RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Design and synthesis of 4-piperazinyl quinoline derived urea/thioureas for anti-breast cancer activity by a hybrid pharmacophore approach

Viswas Raja Solomon^a*, Sheetal Pundir^a* and Hoyun Lee^{a,b}

^aHealth Sciences North Research Institute, Sudbury, Canada; ^bDepartment of Medicine, The University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada

ABSTRACT

In an attempt to improve anti-breast cancer activity, a new series of 4-piperazinylquinoline derivatives based on the urea/thiourea scaffold were designed and synthesised by a pharmacophore hybrid approach. We then examined for their antiproliferative effects on three human breast tumor cell lines, MDA-MB231, MDA-MB468 and MCF7, and two non-cancer breast epithelial cell lines, 184B5 and MCF10A. Among those 26 novel compounds examined, **5**, **9**, **17**, **18**, **21**, **23** and **29** showed significantly improved antiproliferative activity on breast cancer cells. Compound **23** (4-(7-chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (2-morpholin-4-yl-ethyl)-amide) (**RL-15**) is especially desirable, since its antigrowth/cell-killing activity is 7-11 fold higher on cancer than non-cancer cells. Data from cell biological studies demonstrated that cancer cells compromised plasma membrane integrity in the presence of compound **23**. The cancer cell-specific property of compound **23** shown in cell culture stands *in vivo* test, this compound can be an excellent lead for effective and safe anticancer drug.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 18 November 2018 Revised 6 January 2019 Accepted 10 January 2019

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

KEYWORDS

4-Aminoquinoline; anticancer agents; urea; thiourea; repurposing; pharmacophore approach

Introduction

Chloroquine (CQ), which contains a 4-aminoquinoline scaffold (Figure 1), is a well-known antimalarial drug. Based on repurposing concept, we previously demonstrated that the combination of CQ with radiation or Akt inhibitors not only significantly increases antigrowth/cell-killing effects but also enhances the selectivity towards cancer over non-cancer cells¹⁻³. CQ is well known for their lysosomotropic property and accrued in the lysosomes and elevates intra-lysosomal pH; and inhibits with autophagosome degradation in the lysosomes. This unique characteristic of CO and its analogs may be imperative for the enhancement of cellkilling by cancer therapeutic agents in different tumor models^{4,5}. Based on this interesting note, we synthesised several 4-aminoquinoline analogs (Figure 1, I) and examined their cytotoxic effects on breast cancer cell lines. We found that some of these compounds are very effective and show selective cytotoxic effects on cancer cells^{6,7}. In continuation of our efforts to develop more effective CQ analogs (Figure 1, II and III) by merging 4-piperazinylquinoline ring structure with an isatin ring by a hybrid approach, and found that 4-piperazinylquinoline exhibited promising antibreast cancer activity^{8–10}.

Small heterocyclic molecules have enrich potential for the discovery of drug candidates, among which urea and thiourea groups are privileged pharmacophores found in many medicinally active compounds. They have been shown to have promise against cancer cells, HIV-1 protease, hypercholesteromia and atherosclerosis^{11,12}. The current literatures evident that molecules containing urea and thiourea pharmacophores (Figure 2(a), **IV–VII**) are potent inhibitors of human DNA-topoisomerase II and active against various cancer cells^{11,13–18}. Based on these prior annotations, we surmised that appropriate hybridization on these pharmacophores (i.e. 4-piperazinylquinoline and urea/thiourea) could possess an effective anticancer activity. Similar approaches of combining two molecules have previously been exploited with very good results^{19–24}. Encouraged by these results, we designed and synthesised hybrid compounds by linking the main structural unit of the 4-piperazinylquinoline ring system with the urea/thiourea functionality, and examined their cytotoxic effects on three human breast tumor and two matching non-cancer cell lines (Figure 2(b), Scheme 1). For the most desirable compound, we carried out cell-based experiments to gain the mechanism of function.

Materials and methods

Chemistry

Melting points (mp) were taken in open capillaries on the Complab melting point apparatus. Elemental analysis was performed on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 C, H, N analyzer and values were within the acceptable limits of the calculated values. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a DPX-500 MHz Bruker FT-NMR spectrometer using deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-d₆ as solvent. The chemical shifts were reported as parts per million (δ ppm) tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained on a JEOL-SX-102 instrument using fast atom bombardment (FAB positive). The progress of the reaction was monitored on readymade silica-gel plates (Merck) using chloroform-methanol (9:1) as solvent. Iodine was used as a developing agent or by spraying with the Dragendorff's reagent. Chromatographic purification was performed over a silica

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

CONTACT V. Raja Solomon vrajasolomon@yahoo.com; Hoyun Lee value hee@hsnri.ca Phealth Sciences North Research Institute, Sudbury, Ontario, Canada *These two authors contributed equally.

B Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.



Figure 1. The structures of 4-aminoquinolines reported for anticancer activity reported from this laboratory by a pharmacophore hybridization approach.



Figure 2. (a) Urea and thiourea analogs with anticancer activity. (b) The design and synthesis of hybrid 4-piperazinylquinoline analogs.

gel (100–200 mesh). All chemicals and reagents obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (USA) were used without further purification.

General synthetic procedure for 7-substituted-4-piperazin-1yl-quinoline

A mixture of 7-substituted-4-chloro-quinoline (10.10 mmol), piperazine (2.61 g, 30.30 mmol) and triethylamine (1.4 ml, 10.10 mmol) were heated slowly to $80 \,^{\circ}$ C over 1 h while stirring. The temperature was then increased to 130-140 $^{\circ}$ C for 6 h where it was kept for while stirring continuously. The reaction mixture was taken up in dichloromethane after cooled to room temperature. The organic layer was washed with 5% aq. NaHCO₃, followed by washing with water and then with brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was then precipitated by addition of mixture of solvent hexane: chloroform (8:2).



Reagents and Conditions: (a) 80° C for 1 h, 120–130° C for 6–8 h; (b) RNCO or RNCS, DMF, rt, 4 hr.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 4-piperazinyl quinoline derived urea (5-16) and thiourea (17-30) analogues.

7 -Chloro-4-piperazin-1-yl-quinoline (3)

This compound was obtained as a pale white solid in 86% yield; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.31 (br s, 1H, NH), 3.15 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.18 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.80–6.81 (d, J=5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.46–7.47 (d, J=5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.92–7.94 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.01 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.68–8.69 (d, J=5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 46.10 (2C), 53.58 (2C), 108.97, 121.97, 125.24, 126.09, 128.91, 134.84, 150.22, 151.99, 157.38; electron spray mass spectroscopy (ES-MS) *m/z* 248 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₁₃H₁₄ClN₃: C, 63.03; H, 5.70; N, 16.96; found: C, 63.01; H, 5.73; N, 16.99.

4 -Piperazin-1-yl-7-trifluoromethyl-quinoline (4)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 78% yield; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.78 (br s, 1H, NH), 3.18 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.24 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 7.07–7.08 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.46–7.47 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.63–7.65 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.13–8.14 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.34 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.81–8.82 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.34 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.52.15 (2C), 53.48 (2C), 110.64, 120.79, 125.14, 125.22, 127.76, 130.70, 130.96, 148.73, 152.20, 157.19; ES-MS *m/z* 282 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₁₄H₁₄F₃N₃: C, 59.78; H, 5.02; N, 14.94; found: C, 59.75; H, 4.98; N, 14.97.

General synthetic procedure for urea (1–2) and thiourea analogs of 4-aminoquinoline (5–30)

A mixture 7-substituted-4-piperazin-1-yl-quinoline (3.33 mmol), triethylamine (0.5 ml, 3.33 mmol) and appropriate isocynate or iso-thiocynate (3.33 mmol) in anhydrous DMF were stirred at room temperature until the reaction was complete. This reaction mix-ture was poured into statured NaCl solution and products get precipitated out. In all cases, the desired urea or thiourea product precipitated from NaCl solution. The precipitate was collected via vacuum filtration and dried *in vacuo* and recrystallised from mix-ture of solvent hexane: dichloromethane (3:7).

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid phenylamide (5)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 98% yield; M.p: 142–143 °C; IR (Potassium bromide, KBr) ν_{max} C=O 1645 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.29 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.79 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.63 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.87–6.88 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.08–7.09 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.32–7.33 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.29 (s, 1H, Ar-H),

7.35–7.37 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.39–7.41 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.48–7.50 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.99–8.01 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.03 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.78–8.79 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.21 (2C), 52.20 (2C), 109.58, 118.53, 120.41, 121.92, 122.04, 122.40, 125.61, 126.24, 128.48, 128.64, 134.54, 140.37, 150.10, 152.12, 155.71, 156.65; ES–MS m/z 366 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₀H₁₉ClN₄O: C, 65.48; H, 5.22; N, 15.27; found: C, 65.45; H, 5.27; N, 15.22.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid (2,5-dimethyl-phenyl)-amide (6)

This compound was obtained as a yellowish pale white solid in 76% yield; M.p: 118–119 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = O$ 1638 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.16 (m, 3H, CH₃), 2.36 (m, 3H, CH₃), 3.34 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.74 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.17 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.81–6.82 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 6.96–6.97 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 6.99–7.01 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.11 7.61–7.64 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.14–8.16 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.25 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.76–8.77 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 15.53, 25.23, 48.38 (2C), 51.61 (2C), 109.25, 121.73, 124.78, 127.12, 127.74, 129.27 (4C), 129.34, 135.81, 136.78, 137.12, 150.21, 151.92, 156.06; ES-MS *m/z* 395 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₂H₂₃ClN₄O: C, 66.91; H, 5.87; N, 14.19; found: C, 66.96; H, 5.91; N, 14.15.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid (4-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-amide (7)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 69% yield; M.p: 175–176 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = O \ 1625 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.29 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.83 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.87–6.88 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 6.97 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.48–7.50 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.50–7.54 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.96–7.98 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.09–8.10 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.80 (br s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.22 (2C), 51.91 (2C), 119.31 (3C), 121.75, 124.77, 125.30, 126.19, 126.12, 126.22, 128.89, 135.39, 142.09, 149.98, 151.78, 151.78, 154.53, 156.44; ES-MS m/z 435 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₁H₁₈ClF₃N₄O: C, 58.00; H, 4.17; N, 12.88; found: C, 57.96; H, 4.21; N, 12.92.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid (2,4,6trichloro-phenyl)-amide (8)

This compound was obtained as a pale white solid in 76% yield; M.p: 168–169 °C; IR (KBr) ν_{max} C = O 1627 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.27 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.79 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.95–6.96 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.47 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 7.49 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.93 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.05–8.07 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.53 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.68–8.69 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.64 (2C), 52.24 (2C), 110.72, 121.44, 124.85, 125.34, 128.05 (2C), 128.41 (2C), 133.25, 132.28, 132.45, 133.19, 148.76, 152.24, 154.39, 156.55; ES-MS *m/z* 471 [M+H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₀H₁₆Cl₄N₄O: C, 51.09; H, 3.43; N, 11.92; found: C, 51.13; H, 3.47; N, 11.96.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid naphthalen-1-ylamide (9)

This compound was obtained as a pale creamy white solid in 72% yield; M.p: 138–139 °C; IR (KBr) ν_{max} C = O 1622 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.29 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.86 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.92 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.39–7.40 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.43–7.45 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.53–7.55 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.68–7.75 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.93–8.03 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 8.53 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.70–8.71 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.23 (2C), 52.18 (2C), 110.71, 120.93, 123.14, 123.24, 125.24, 125.59, 125.62, 125.79 (2C), 125.91 (2C), 127.66, 128.18, 129.79, 130.81, 133.22, 134.93, 148.75, 152.21, 156.64, 156.77; ES-MS *m/z* 417 [M +H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₄H₂₁ClN₄O: C, 69.14; H, 5.08; N, 13.44; found: C, 69.17; H, 5.05; N, 13.39.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid cyclohexylamide (10)

This compound was obtained as a pale creamy white solid in 68% yield; M.p: 112–113 °C; IR (KBr) ν_{max} C = O 1620 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.74 (m, 6H, CH₂ cyclohexyl), 1.96–2.02 (m, 4H, CH₂ cyclohexyl), 3.23 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.62 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.65–3.69 (m, 1H, CH cyclohexyl), 4.40 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.86–6.87 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–*H*), 7.47–7.48 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–*H*), 7.96–7.98 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–*H*), 8.08 (s, 1H, Ar–*H*), 8.81–8.82 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–*H*); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 20.34 (2C), 26.84, 31.56 (2C), 44.21 (2C), 47.67, 52.20 (2C), 109.58, 118.53, 121.92, 122.04, 126.24, 128.48, 140.37, 150.10, 153.12, 156.65; ES-MS *m/z* 373 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₀H₂₅ClN₄O: C, 64.42; H, 6.76; N, 15.03; found: C, 64.40; H, 6.72; N, 15.07.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid phenylamide (11)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 92% yield; M.p: 146–147 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = O$ 1640 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.31 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.84 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.76 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.78–6.79 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.09–7.10 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.29–7.33 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.34–7.40 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.70–7.72 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.15–8.17 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.39 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.85–8.86 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.32 (2C), 51.90 (2C), 109.48, 118.63, 120.56, 121.89, 122.04, 122.53, 124.35, 125.61, 126.24, 128.48, 128.64, 132.34, 140.57, 150.18, 152.17, 155.71, 156.58; ES-MS *m/z* 400 [M+H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₁H₁₉F₃N₄O: C, 62.99; H, 4.78; N, 13.99; found: C, 63.02; H, 4.82; N, 14.05.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid (2,5-dimethyl-phenyl)-amide (12)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 74% yield; M.p: 108–109 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = O$ 1633 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.15 (m, 3H, CH₃), 2.22 (m, 3H, CH₃), 3.28 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.77 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.81–6.82 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 6.96–6.97 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 6.96–7.01 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.11 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.61–7.64 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.14–8.16 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.25 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.76–8.77 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.21 (2C), 52.12 (2C), 109.41, 118.47, 120.37, 121.77, 122.34, 122.56, 123.67, 124.31, 125.75, 126.24, 128.55, 128.67, 134.48, 140.37, 147.25, 150.10, 152.12, 155.71, 156.58; ES-MS *m/z* 429 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₃H₂₃F₃N₄O: C, 64.48; H, 5.41; N, 13.08; found: C, 64.42; H, 5.39; N, 13.12.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid (4-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-amide (13)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 73% yield; M.p: 154–155 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = O \ 1620 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.31 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.84 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.69 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.98–6.99 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.41–7.53 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.71–7.73 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.16–8.18 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.41 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.484–8.85 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.15 (2C), 51.78 (2C), 119.27 (3C), 121.65, 124.27, 124.65, 125.23, 126.25, 126.16, 126.24, 128.75, 135.41, 142.14, 149.87, 151.78, 151.78, 154.41, 156.35; ES-MS m/z 469 [M+H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₂H₁₈F₆N₄O: C, 56.41; H, 3.87; N, 11.96; found: C, 56.38; H, 3.91; N, 11.93.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid (2,4,6-trichloro-phenyl)-amide (14)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 71% yield; M.p: 142–143 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = O \ 1622 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.23 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.82 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 5.33 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.96–6.97 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.33 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 7.62–7.64 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.15–8.17 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.22–8.24 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.76–8.77 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.56 (2C), 52.01 (2C), 110.66, 121.33, 124.67 (2C), 125.11, 128.05 (2C), 128.41 (2C), 133.15, 132.20, 132.44, 133.15, 148.80, 152.24, 154.39, 156.25; ES-MS m/z 505 [M+H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₁H₁₆Cl₃F₃N₄O: C, 50.07; H, 3.20; N, 11.12; found: C, 50.01; H, 3.25; N, 11.09.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid naphthalen-1-ylamide (15)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 70% yield; M.p: 127–128 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = O \ 1623 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.31 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.87 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.71 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.99–7.00 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.49–7.58 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.69–7.73 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.85–7.94 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.14–8.16 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.47 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.87–8.88 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.20 (2C), 52.14 (2C), 110.64, 120.93, 123.14, 123.24, 125.24, 125.59, 125.62, 125.79 (2C), 125.89 (2C), 127.66, 128.18, 129.77, 130.77, 134.19, 134.89, 148.66, 152.32, 156.54, 156.81;

ES-MS m/z 451 $[M+H]^+;$ Anal.Calcd for $C_{25}H_{21}F_3N_4O:$ C, 66.66; H, 4.70; N, 12.44; found: C, 66.62; H, 4.66; N, 12.41.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid cyclohexylamide (16)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 60% yield; M.p: 132–133 °C; IR (KBr) ν_{max} C = O 1635 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.73 (m, 6H, CH₂ cyclohexyl), 1.96–2.02 (m, 4H, CH₂ cyclohexyl), 3.24 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.67 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.69–3.72 (m, 1H, CH cyclohexyl), 4.42 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.96–6.97 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.68–7.70 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.15–8.16 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.42 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.84–8.85 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 20.27 (2C), 26.74, 31.58 (2C), 44.24 (2C), 47.71, 52.24 (2C), 109.61, 118.55, 121.95, 122.41, 122.42, 126.41, 128.46, 140.33, 150.12, 153.14, 156.64; ES-MS *m/z* 406 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₁H₂₅F₃N₄O: C, 62.06; H, 6.20; N, 13.78; found: C, 62.10; H, 6.23; N, 13.82.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid phenylamide (17)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 98% yield; M.p: 171–172 °C; IR (KBr) ν_{max} C = S 1367 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.37 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.17 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.86 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.16–7.29 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.45–7.50 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.59 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.93–7.95 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.01 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.76–8.77 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.17 (2C), 52.14 (2C), 109.61, 118.56, 120.54, 121.91, 122.07, 122.45, 125.66, 126.27, 128.42, 128.66, 134.56, 140.39, 150.12, 151.84, 156.65, 182.89; ES-MS m/z 383 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₀H₁₉ClN₄S: C, 62.73; H, 5.00; N, 14.63; found: C, 62.69; H, 4.97; N, 14.59.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (2,6dimethyl-phenyl)-amide (18)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 88% yield; M.p: 138–139 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1355 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.16 (m, 3H, *CH*₃), 2.23 (m, 3H, *CH*₃), 3.27 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.24 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.92–6.93 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.03 (s, 3H, Ar–H), 7.44–7.46 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.93 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.03–8.04 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.67–8.68 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.98 (br s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 18.53 (2C), 48.43 (2C), 51.68 (2C), 109.22, 121.71, 124.76, 126.66, 127.74, 128.57 (4C), 129.07, 135.78, 137.00, 150.16, 151.92, 156.06, 183.72; ES-MS *m/z* 411 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₂H₂₃ClN₄S: C, 64.30; H, 5.64; N, 13.63; found: C, 64.26; H, 5.60; N, 13.59.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (4-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-amide (19)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 82% yield; M.p: 112–113 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1350 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.28 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.21 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.87–6.88 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.42–7.44 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.51–7.56 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.93 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.98–7.99 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.67–8.68 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 9.51 (br s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 49.47 (2C), 51.48 (2C), 109.30, 121.68, 122.04, 124.66, 126.43, 126.46, 126.58,

126.77, 126.84, 127.11, 129.01, 135.35, 142.97, 150.09, 151.90, 155.94, 183.62; ES-MS m/z 451 $[\rm M+H]^+;$ Anal.Calcd for $C_{21}H_{18}ClF_3N_4S$: C, 55.94; H, 4.02; N, 12.43; found: C, 55.91; H, 4.06; N, 12.39.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (4-chloro-phenyl)-amide (20)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 76% yield; M.p: 145–146 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1358 \ cm^{-1}; \ ^1H \ NMR$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.28 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.23 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.89–6.90 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.43–7.45 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.92–7.93 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.00–8.02 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.67–8.68 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 9.37 (br s, 1H, NH); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 48.56 (2C), 51.51 (2C), 109.35, 121.71, 122.13, 126.52, 126.46, 126.61, 126.77, 126.86, 127.11, 129.01, 135.35, 142.97, 150.11, 151.93, 155.98, 183.47; ES-MS *m/z* 417 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₀H₁₈Cl₂N₄S: C, 57.56; H, 4.35; N, 13.42; found: C, 57.61; H, 4.30; N, 13.46.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (2,4,6trichloro-phenyl)-amide (21)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 74% yield; M.p: 132–133 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1348 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.27 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.27 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.87–6.88 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.38 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 7.42–7.44 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.93 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.97–7.99 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.67–8.68 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 9.21 (br s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 48.53 (2C), 51.82 (2C), 109.43, 121.79, 125.29, 126.39, 128.25, 128.74 (2C), 133.01 (2C), 134.79, 135.34, 136.16, 150.09, 152.01, 156.22, 182.46; ES-MS m/z 486 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₀H₁₆Cl₄N₄S: C, 49.40; H, 3.32; N, 11.52; found: C, 49.34; H, 3.29; N, 11.56.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid naphthalen-1-ylamide (22)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 55% yield; M.p: 107–108 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1360 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.27 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.11 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.81–6.82 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.29 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.34–7.36 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.42–7.44 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.47–7.49 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.50–7.61 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.77–7.78 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.88–7.90 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.00–8.09 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.12 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.73–8.74 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 49.66 (2C), 51.42 (2C), 109.19, 121.36, 121.66, 121.86, 124.67, 125.60, 125.83, 126.89, 126.98, 128.16, 128.64, 129.09, 134.51, 135.19, 136.12, 136.12, 150.15, 151.93, 155.97, 185.78; ES-MS *m/z* 433 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₄H₂₁ClN₄S: C, 66.58; H, 4.89; N, 12.94; found: C, 66.61; H, 4.84; N, 12.97.

4 -(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (2-morpholin-4-yl-ethyl)-amide (23)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 70% yield; M.p: 114–115 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1362 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.53 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 2.65–2.68 (t, 2H, CH₂), 3.31 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.75 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 3.77–3.87 (m, 2H, CH₂), 4.22 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.63 (br s, 1H,

NH), 6.86–6.87 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.47–7.48 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.97–7.99 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.08 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.79–8.80 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 43.47, 49.38 (2C), 51.45 (2C), 51.87, 52.78 (2C), 65.67 (2C), 109.30, 121.78, 127.11, 129.12, 135.57, 142.97, 150.09, 151.90, 155.94, 183.71; ES-MS *m*/z 420 [M + H]⁺;Anal.Calcd for C₂₀H₂₆ClN₅OS: C, 57.20; H, 6.24; N, 16.68; found: C, 57.12; H, 6.21; N, 16.70.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid phenylamide (24)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 96% yield; M.p: 187–188 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1367 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.37 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.12 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.95–6.96 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.12 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.13v7.25 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.31–7.37 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.65–7.69 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.11–8.13 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.42 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.83–8.84 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.19 (2C), 52.18(2C), 109.63, 118.54, 120.58, 121.89, 122.27, 122.45, 124.35, 125.66, 126.27, 128.42, 128.66, 134.56, 140.41, 150.12, 151.84, 156.65, 183.21; ES-MS *m/z* 417 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₁H₁₉F₃N₄S: C, 60.56; H, 4.60; N, 13.45; found: C, 60.60; H, 4.55; N, 13.48.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (2,6-dimethyl-phenyl)-amide (25)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 85% yield; M.p: 105–106 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\rm max}$ C = S 1350 cm $^{-1}$; $^1{\rm H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.31 (m, 6H, CH₃), 3.43 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.32 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.98–6.99 (d, J= 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.02 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.13–7.18 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.70–7.72 (d, J= 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.15–8.16 (d, J= 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.83 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.87–8.88 (d, J= 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 18.55 (2C), 48.46 (2C), 51.72 (2C), 109.26, 121.75, 123.87, 124.79, 126.68, 127.78, 128.61 (4C), 129.17, 135.82, 137.05, 150.16, 151.92, 156.16, 183.69; ES-MS m/z 445 [M+H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₃H₂₃F₃N₄S: C, 62.15; H, 5.22; N, 12.60; found: C, 62.11; H, 5.19; N, 12.57.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (4-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-amide (26)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 80% yield; M.p: 123–124 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1353 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.37 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.13 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.99–7.00 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.29–7.31 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.46 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.64–7.66 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.70–7.72 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.14–8.15 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.41 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.88–8.89 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 49.51 (2C), 51.38 (2C), 109.45, 121.71, 122.44, 123.78, 124.67, 126.48, 126.51, 126.59, 126.79, 126.88, 127.15, 129.21, 135.36, 142.99, 150.29, 151.90, 155.89, 183.62; ES-MS m/z 485 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₂H₁₈F₆N₄S: C, 54.54; H, 3.74; N, 11.56; found: C, 54.51; H, 3.70; N, 11.59.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (4-chloro-phenyl)-amide (27)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 74% yield; M.p: 165–166 °C; IR (KBr) ν_{max} C = S 1356 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.35 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.12 (s, 4H,

N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 5.32 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.98–6.99 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.16–7.18 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.35–7.37 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.70–7.72 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.13–8.15 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.41 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.87–8.88 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 48.34 (2C), 51.71 (2C), 110.70, 120.97, 125.10, 125.29 (2C), 127.08 (2C), 127.66, 128.36 (2C), 130.10 (2C), 139.65, 148.65, 152.33, 156.03, 182.83; ES-MS m/z 451 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₁H₁₈ClF₃N₄S: C, 55.94; H, 4.02; N, 12.43; found: C, 55.90; H, 3.99; N, 12.39.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (2,4,6-trichloro-phenyl)-amide (28)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 70% yield; M.p: 112–114 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1349 \ cm^{-1}; \ ^1H \ NMR$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.32 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.27 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 5.37 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.01–7.02 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.44 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 7.65–7.67 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.19–8.21 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.24 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.77–8.78 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H); $\ ^{13}C$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 48.49 (2C), 51.79 (2C), 109.39, 121.68, 123.71, 125.23, 126.45, 128.25, 128.74 (2C), 133.01 (2C), 134.79, 135.34, 136.16, 150.12, 152.11, 156.22, 183.25; ES-MS m/z 521 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₁H₁₆Cl₃F₃N₄S: C, 48.52; H, 3.10; N, 10.78; found: C, 48.48; H, 3.14; N, 10.81.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid naphthalen-1-ylamide (29)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 70% yield; M.p: 137–138 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1350 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.22 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 4.04 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 7.33–7.34 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.47 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.50–7.58 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.64–7.65 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.77–7.88 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.98–8.06 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 8.41 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.82–8.83 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 49.68 (2C), 51.45(2C), 109.21, 121.35, 121.68, 121.88, 123.78, 124.69, 125.62, 125.85, 126.91, 126.98, 128.18, 128.64, 129.09, 134.51, 135.19, 136.12, 136.12, 150.17, 151.93, 155.99, 184.88; ES-MS *m/z* 467 [M + H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₅H₂₁F₃N₄S: C, 64.36; H, 4.54; N, 12.01; found: C, 64.39; H, 4.58; N, 11.98.

4 -(7-Trifluoromethyl-quinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (2-morpholin-4-yl-ethyl)-amide (30)

This compound was obtained as a pale yellowish white solid in 68% yield; M.p: 134–135 °C; IR (KBr) $\nu_{max} C = S \ 1360 \ cm^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.51 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 2.60–2.64 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.34 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 3.71 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 3.75–3.78 (m, 2H, CH₂), 4.13 (s, 4H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NAr), 6.69 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.94–6.95 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 7.68–7.69 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.37 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.14–8.16 (d, $J = 10.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H), 8.37 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.82–8.83 (d, $J = 5.0 \ Hz$, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 43.45, 49.35 (2C), 51.47 (2C), 51.89, 52.81 (2C), 65.71 (2C), 109.30, 121.78, 124.23, 127.11, 129.12, 135.57, 142.97, 150.09, 151.90, 155.94, 183.68;; ES-MS m/z 454 [M+H]⁺; Anal.Calcd for C₂₁H₂₆F₃N₅OS: C, 55.61; H, 5.78; N, 15.44; found: C, 55.59; H, 5.82; N, 15.41.

Materials and methods for in vitro cytotoxicity screening

Cell lines

The human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB468, MDA-MB231 and MCF-7 were purchased from ATCC and maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone, Logan UT) and 2 mM L-glutamine. MCF10A and 184B5 immortalised breast cells were purchased from ATCC and maintained in mammary epithelial basal medium supplemented with an MEGM mammary epithelial singlequot kit (Cambrex). Cells were grown at 37° C with 5% CO₂, 95% air under the humidified conditions. Cell line authentication was carried out by Genetica DNA Laboratories (Burlington, NC) using a short tandem repeat profiling method (March 2015; July 2015; September 2016).

SRB assay

Cell cytotoxic effects were determined by a SRB-based protocol^{2,25}.

Cell staining procedure

Lysosomal and AO staining were carried out as described previously²⁶. Mitochondrial staining with MitoTracker was carried out as described previously²⁶. Briefly, cells were plated on a poly-D-lysine pre-coated 1.8-cm sterile glass coverslip that had been placed in a well of a 6-well clustered dish. Cells were then incubated with 50 nM MitoTracker Red (ThermoFisher) for 30 min in a cell culture incubator. Plasma membrane staining was carried out with lipid-specific CellMask (Molecular probes, Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer's instruction. For actin staining, cells fixed with 4% formaldehyde were incubated with phalloidin conjugated with 5 μ M of DRAQ5 (blue) for 5 min.

Results and discussion

Chemistry

Twenty-six novel hybrid compounds (5-30) were synthesised in the present study as outlined in Scheme 1. The intermediate compounds of 7-substituted-4-piperazin-1-yl-quinoline (3 and 4) were prepared by aromatic nucleophilic substitution on 7-substituted-4chloro-quinoline (1 and 2) with excess of piperazine in the presence of triethylamine, and the products were obtained with a simple standard workup procedure in excellent yields. Substituted-4piperazinylquinoline derived urea (5-16) or thiourea (17-30) analogs were obtained from a "one pot-two component protocol" in which an appropriate secondary amine, substituted isocynate or thiocynate in the presence of triethylamine in anhydrous dimethyl formamide (DMF) at room temperature. The electrophilic character of the carbonylic carbon (5-16) is stronger than that of the thiocarbonylic carbon (17–30), thus facilitating the nucleophilic attack of the secondary amines. The products were purified by recrystallization with ethanol, and yields were in the range of 60-98% for 4-piperazinylguinoline derived urea analogs (5-16) and 55-98% for the 4-piperazinylquinoline derived thiourea analogs (17-30). The higher yield was observed for 4-(7-chloro-quinolin-4-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylic acid phenylamide (5) due to the higher reactivity of phenylisocyanate.

The infrared (IR) spectra for the 4-piperazinylquinoline derived urea and thiourea analogs showed the absorption stretching band values in the range of $1645-1620 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $1367-1348 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for C=O and C=S groups, respectively. The values obtained in the

¹H NMR chemical shifts permitted the characterization of the hydrogens showing similar values for both urea and thiourea analogs with the same substituents. However, deshield effects on H-1 and H- α NMR chemical shift values of thiourea analogs were observed (c.a. δ 0.2–0.7) due to the heavy atom effect of sulfur. The signals of aromatic hydrogens occurred as multiplets in the characteristic region. The ¹H NMR chemical shift of the N–H in the urea and thiourea analogs were presented a strong deshielding when compared with the N-H values of the amines, for example, δ 2.31 (3) and δ 6.63 (5), where X = Cl. ¹³C NMR spectral analysis of the urea and thiourea analogs showed the typical absorptions for aliphatic carbons in the expected region, such as the signals for the carbonylic and thiocarbonylic carbons, in the range of δ 154.39–156.34 and δ 182.46–185.78, respectively. The compounds reported in this study have also been thoroughly characterised by elemental analysis and mass spectral data.

Antiproliferative effects of the compounds on cancer and noncancer cells

The newly synthesised 4-piperazinylquinoline compounds (**5–30**) were evaluated for their antiproliferative effects using three breast cancer cell lines, for which the compounds were diluted to achieve seven different concentrations ranging from 100 to $1.625 \,\mu$ M. Followed by 48 h incubation with the compound, cells were treated with sulforhodamine B (SRB) to measure their growth/viability (% of the untreated control) by spectrophotometer²⁵. The total cellular macromolecules levels known to accurately reflect by UV reading of SRB staining. The 50% growth inhibition (Gl₅₀) concentration for each derivative was calculated with reference to a standard curve (control cells), which represents the concentration that results in a 50% decrease in cell growth after 48 h of incubation. The resultant data are shown in Table 1. The reference compounds CQ and cisplatin were included for the comparison.

Among the 26 novel compounds synthesised and examined, sixteen compounds showed GI₅₀ in the range of 3.0–19.8 μ M, 11 compounds at 20.6–50.6 μ M against MDA-MB231 triple-negative breast cancer cells. In the case of MDA-MB468 cells, 18 compounds showed GI₅₀ range between 4.6 and 19.8 μ M, eight compounds between 21.7 and 57.6 μ M. As for MCF-7 cells, 21 compounds showed GI₅₀ in the range of 4.0–18.6 μ M, five compounds at 20.4–30.2 μ M. The differences in the GI₅₀ values may be attributable to a variety of factors such as nature of the seventh substitution at the 4-piperazinylquinoline ring system, the nature of urea/thiourea substitution and the genetic and biochemical background of the cell lines.

Compounds derived from the 7-chloro-4-piperazinylquinoline ring system hybridised with urea pharmacophore having a phenyl (5) or naphthyl (9) substitution showed increased antiproliferative effects on MDA-MB231, MDA-MB468 and MCF7 cells in comparison with those derived from the 7-trifluoro substituted 4-piperazinylquinoline ring system linked with urea pharmacophore having a phenyl (11) or naphthyl (15) substitution. Compounds derived from the 7-trifluoro-4-piperazinylquinoline ring system hybridised with a 2,5-dialkyl phenyl (12) or a 4-trifluoromethyl phenyl (13) urea analog showed increases of antiproliferative activity in all of the three breast cancer cell lines examined, compared to those derived from the 7-chloro substituted on the 4-piperazinylquinoline ring system with a 2,5-dialkyl phenyl (6) or a 4-trifluoromethyl phenyl (7) urea analog. Similarly, compounds derived from the 7trifluoro-4-piperazinylquinoline ring system hybridised with a 2,4,6-trichloro phenyl (14) or a cyclohexyl (16) substituted urea

Table 1. Antiproliferative activity of 4-piperazinylquinoline derivatives on breast cancer and non-cancer breast cell lines.^a

C. No	Lab code	-Х	-R	GI ₅₀ (μM) ^{a,b}					
				MDA-MB231	MDA-MB468	MCF7	184B5	MCF10A	Log P ^c
5	RL-1	Cl	Phenyl	5.3 ± 0.5	4.7 ± 0.5	6.6 ± 0.6	14.1 ± 0.9	11.8 ± 1.4	3.2
6	RL-25	Cl	2,5-Dimethyl-phenyl	50.6 ± 3.2	35.5 ± 1.3	28.9 ± 1.4	19.8 ± 1.0	18.8 ± 1.3	3.7
7	RL-26	Cl	4-Trifluoromethyl-phenyl	21.8 ± 1.5	19.8 ± 0.8	16.6 ± 1.2	4.7 ± 0.3	4.6 ± 0.3	4.6
8	RL-14	Cl	2,4,6-Trichloro-phenyl	29.1 ± 1.7	30.4 ± 2.0	23.7 ± 2.4	22.3 ± 1.7	23.7 ± 1.0	4.7
9	RL-2	Cl	Naphthalen-1-yl	9.1 ± 1.0	7.1 ± 044	9.4 ± 0.8	21.8 ± 1.0	21.8 ± 1.0	4.4
10	RL-4	Cl	Cyclohexyl	20.8 ± 1.3	10.9 ± 0.8	6.0 ± 0.5	16.3 ± 1.0	15.7 ± 1.3	4.2
11	RL-6	CF_3	Phenyl	20.6 ± 1.4	57.6 ± 3.2	11.0 ± 0.6	12.2 ± 1.3	21.1 ± 1.6	3.4
12	RL-23	CF_3	2,5-Dimethyl-phenyl	39.6 ± 2.1	21.7 ± 1.0	22.5 ± 1.4	7.6 ± 0.7	8.8 ± 0.9	3.9
13	RL-24	CF_3	4-Trifluoromethyl-phenyl	15.3 ± 1.3	2.7 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	4.8
14	RL-20	CF_3	2,4,6-Trichloro-phenyl	21.1 ± 1.3	22.5 ± 0.2	12.6 ± 1.2	33.2 ± 2.1	17.4 ± 0.5	4.9
15	RL-7	CF_3	Naphthalen-1-yl	17.8 ± 1.0	9.6 ± 0.9	7.5 ± 0.6	27.9 ± 1.7	11.1 ± 0.9	5.7
16	RL-9	CF_3	Cyclohexyl	20.8 ± 2.3	12.7 ± 0.5	4.9 ± 0.3	20.8 ± 1.4	20.9 ± 1.0	4.4
17	RL-5	Cl	Phenyl	4.8 ± 0.2	11.8 ± 0.6	4.9 ± 0.3	19.2 ± 1.6	13.9 ± 1.3	4.3
18	RL-16	Cl	2,6-Dimethyl-phenyl	9.6 ± 0.5	7.8 ± 0.8	7.3 ± 0.5	16.7 ± 1.0	52.3 ± 2.9	5.3
19	RL-12	Cl	4-Trifluoromethyl-phenyl	14.9 ± 1.1	7.8 ± 0.8	4.9 ± 0.4	3.7 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.1	5.2
20	RL-11	Cl	4-Chloro-phenyl	22.6 ± 1.4	33.1 ± 1.5	20.4 ± 0.9	14.4 ± 0.6	8.8 ± 0.7	5.1
21	RL-13	Cl	2,4,6-Trichloro-phenyl	7.7 ± 0.5	5.1 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.3	4.7 ± 0.3	6.8 ± 0.6	6.5
22	RL-3	Cl	Naphthalen-1-yl	19.8 ± 1.1	10.0 ± 0.9	30.1 ± 1.2	17.8 ± 0.6	13.3 ± 1.0	5.5
23	RL-15	Cl	2-Morpholin-4-yl-ethyl	3.0 ± 0.1	4.6 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 0.1	34.4 ± 0.6	32.3 ± 0.8	2.8
24	RL-10	CF_3	Phenyl	13.8 ± 0.8	14.1 ± 0.9	7.5 ± 0.6	24.4 ± 2.0	34.2 ± 1.3	4.6
25	RL-22	CF_3	2,6-Dimethyl-phenyl	22.2 ± 1.7	13.3 ± 0.3	5.2 ± 0.5	21.39 ± 1.3	14.1 ± 1.5	5.6
26	RL-17	CF_3	4-Trifluoromethyl-phenyl	16.5 ± 1.2	23.4 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 0.3	8.8 ± 0.6	10.7 ± 2.0	5.5
27	RL-18	CF_3	4-Chloro-phenyl	18.7 ± 2.3	11.8 ± 1.6	7.0 ± 0.7	7.9 ± 0.6	9.2 ± 1.0	5.3
28	RL-19	CF_3	2,4,6-Trichloro-phenyl	12.2 ± 0.9	23.4 ± 1.9	11.8 ± 1.0	5.5 ± 0.5	8.2 ± 0.7	6.7
29	RL-8	CF_3	Naphthalen-1-yl	9.8 ± 1.0	9.4 ± 0.8	6.4 ± 0.7	50.6 ± 3.8	69.4 ± 3.7	4.6
30	RL-21	CF_3	2-Morpholin-4-yl-ethyl	11.8 ± 0.9	14.2 ± 0.6	6.2 ± 0.7	17.4 ± 1.0	18.9 ± 1.3	3.1
	CQ			22.5 ± 1.4	28.6 ± 0.2	38.4 ± 0.3	76.1 ± 0.2	81.3 ± 1.4	5.1
	Cisplatin			23.6 ± 0.2	31.0 ± 0.3	25.8 ± 0.7	25.5 ± 0.6	51.5 ± 0.6	1.7

^aSigmoidal dose response curves (variable slope) were generated GraphPad Prism V. 4.02 (GraphPad Software Inc.)

^bValues are the mean of triplicates of at least two independent experiments.

^cLog *p* were calculated using ChemDraw Ultra V.8.0 (CambridgeSoft Corporation).

analog showed increased antiproliferative activities on all three breast cancer cell lines, compared to those derived from the 7chloro substituted 4-piperazinylquinoline ring system with a 2,4,6trichloro phenyl (8) or a cyclohexyl (10) urea substitution. However, all of these compounds showed lower antiproliferative activity than compound 5. Structure-activity relationship studies indicates that the introduction of small to bulky groups of liphohphilic substitution such as dialkyl (6), halogenated (7 and 8) poly aromatic hydrocarbon (naphthyl 9) or a cyclic alkyl (cycloalkyl 10) moiety leads to an increase in lipophilicity and decrease in the antiproliferative activity, compared to the unsubstituted phenyl compound (6). This further indicates that the 7-chloro-4-piperazinylquinoline ring system is favorable for antiproliferative activity. It is also clear that the replacement of the 7-chloro group with their bioisoteric functional group of the 7-trifluoromethyl substitution leads to the decrease in the antiproliferative activity on breast cancer cells⁷. The bioisoteric replacement of the chloro atom with stronger electron-withdrawing group of trifluoromethyl resulted in less potent analogs for the anticancer activity^{7,27–29}.

Compounds derived from the 7-chloro-4-piperazinylquinoline ring system hybridised with thiourea pharmacophore containing phenyl (17), 2,6-dimethyl-phenyl (21), 4-trifluoromethyl-phenyl (19), 2,4,6-trichloro-phenyl (21) or 2-morpholin-4-yl-ethyl (23) showed better antiproliferative activity on all three breast cancer cell lines, compared to compounds 24, 25, 26, 28 and 30, all of which are derived from the 7-trifluoro substituted 4-piperazinylquinoline ring system. Conversely, compounds derived from the 7trifluoro substituted 4-piperazinylquinoline ring system hybridised with 4-chloro-phenyl (27) or naphthyl (29) substituted thiourea analogs showed better antiproliferative activity on all three breast cancer cell lines examined, compared to those derived from the 7-chloro-4- piperazinylquinoline ring system with 4-chloro-phenyl



Figure 3. MCF7 breast cancer cells are much more sensitive to compound 23 (RL-15) than MCF10A non-cancer breast cells. CQ is a reference compound as compound 23 and CQ share the basic chemical scaffold.

(20) or naphthyl (22) substituted thiourea. However, all of these compounds showed lower antiproliferative activity than compound 23 (RL-15), which contains morpholinyl ethyl substitution with Log *p* values of 2.9, as it exhibited GI_{50} values of 5.3, 4.7 and 4.5 μ M against MDA-MB231, MDA-MB468 and MCF7 cells, respectively. Our results clearly demonstrated that the 7-chloro-4-piperazinylquinoline ring system is more favorable for antiproliferative



Figure 4. The treatment of cancer cells with compound 23 resulted in the loss of plasma membrane integrity. (a) MCF7 cell morphology changes in the presence of compound 23. MCF7 cells were sham treated or treated with compound 23 for 48 h, followed by staining with either EtBr. Cell samples were mixed with staining solution immediately prior to microscopy. (b) The structure of actin filaments on the plasma membrane appears to be intact in the presence of compound 23. (c) MCF7 cells were stained with the lipid-specific CellMask.

activity on breast cancer cells than other substitutes, and directly correlated with our earlier studies⁷.

Among the series, thiourea compounds **19**, **21**, **24**, **28** and **29** showed stronger activities against all three different breast cancer cell lines. In this case, the more lipophilic property of thiourea may play an important role. For example, the Log p values of the thiourea compounds **28**, which has a higher Log p values (6.7), is more effective than the corresponding urea compound **10**, which has lower Log p values (4.2). In fact, all of the thiourea containing compounds with higher Log p values are more active, compared to the corresponding urea analogs. It may be due, at least in part, to the differences in electronegativity of sulfur and oxygen atoms on thiourea and urea analogs.

Compounds **5**, **9**, **23** and **29** show 4-8-fold higher activity than the reference compounds cisplatin and CQ. Among them, compound **23** is the most effective as its GI_{50} values are 3.0, 4.6 and 4.5 μ M against MDA-MB231, MDA-MB468 and MCF7 cells, respectively.

We further assessed their antiproliferative effects on two noncancer immortalised cell lines (184B5 and MCF10A). As shown in Table 1, the antigrowth effects of compounds **8**, **10**, **11**, **14**, **15**, **16**, **21**, **22**, **25**, **26** and **27** are comparable against cancer and non-cancer cells, although some of them are more active against certain cell lines (e.g. compounds **8** and **10**). Remarkably, the following compounds show higher activity against non-cancer cells than cancer cells: **6**, **7**, **12**, **13**, **19**, **20** and **28**. In contrast, compounds **5**, **9**, **17**, **18**, **23**, **24**, **29** and **30** showed much stronger activities against cancer than non-cancer cells. Among these compounds, compound **23** (RL-15) is the most desirable since its Gl₅₀ values against non-cancer cell lines are 34.4μ M (184B5) and 32.3μ M (MCF10A) (Table 1; Figure 3). The antiproliferative effects of compound **23** on cancer cells are thus 7-fold (MDA-MB468 vs MCF10A) to 11-fold (MDA-MB231 vs 184B5) higher than matching non-cancer breast cells, which may indicate that compound **23** could potentially be safer than other compounds. Therefore, we examined it further to gain insights into its molecular mechanism of action.

Cancer cells lose plasma membrane integrity in the presence of compound 23 (RL-15)

In contrast to the Sham control, MCF7 breast cancer cells treated with compound **23** readily uptake ethidium bromide (EtBr), suggesting that the plasma membrane may have been compromised (Figure 4(a), left panels). This conclusion is further strengthened by data obtained from acridine orange (AO) staining, which also showed abnormal (swollen) cell morphology (Figure 4(a), right panels). However, data from phalloidin stained MCF7 cells indicated that the structure of actin filaments at the plasma membrane may largely be intact, even though some cells are apparently enlarged (Figure 4(b)). Interestingly, the entire cytoplasm was stained by the lipid-specific CellMask in the cells



Figure 5. Mitochondrial membrane may be compromised in the presence of compound 23. (a) The mitochondrial volumes are substantially increased. (b) Mitochondria are aggregated and disorganized in the presence of compound 23. MCF7 mitochondria and nuclei were stained with MitoTracker and DRAQ5, respectively, as described in Materials and methods.

treated with compound **23** (Figure 4(c)). Thus, these data are consistent with the notion that the lipid structure on the plasma membrane has been compromised in response to compound **23** (Figure 4(c)). However, it is currently unclear how lipid molecules are found throughout the entire cytoplasm. We found that $42.4 \pm 4.8\%$ of 500 cells examined showed compromised plasma membrane phenotypes by 24-h post treatment. In addition, cells treated with compound **23** are often enlarged and flattened, and contained multi-nuclei. In addition, many small vacuoles are found in the cytoplasm of these cells (Figure 4(a-c)). All of these phenomena are likely leading to eventual cancer cell demise in the presence of compound **23**.

The mitochondria and lysosome are increased in volumes and disorganised

The MCF7 cells treated with compound **23** showed substantial increases in mitochondrial and lysosomal volumes, often in a disorganised form (Figures 5 and 6). It should be noted that these abnormalities could occur if the membranes of these organelles are compromised. Thus, the molecular target of compound **23** is likely a common element found in the membranes of cytoplasm, mitochondria and lysosomes, but not in the nuclear membrane as it is not compromised in the presence of compound **23** (Figures 4–6). Finally, our data also show that nucleus is often pushed to one side (Figure 5(b)), probably due to the increase in volumes and disorganization of mitochondria and lysosomes.

Conclusion

We here describe the hybrid pharmacophore design and synthesis of a new series of 4-piperazinylquinoline derivatives. Data from an *in vitro* study showed that most of the compounds derived from 4-piperazinylquinoline exhibited promising anticancer activity against human breast cancer cells. Among the 26 novel compounds, compounds **5**, **9**, **17**, **18**, **23** and **29** showed significantly improved antigrowth/antiproliferative activity against MDA-MB231, MDA-MB468 and MCF7 breast cancer cells. Comparing those hybrid compounds containing thiourea or urea, the former is generally more active than the latter as compounds **19**, **21**, **24**, **28**



Figure 6. Lysosomes are increased in volume and often heavily aggregated in the presence of compound 23. MCF7 cells were stained with LysoTracker.

and 29 showed stronger activities than their corresponding urea analog compounds 7, 8, 11, 14 and 15 against all three different breast cancer cell lines. Since all of these compounds required higher drug concentration to achieve the same GI₅₀ value against the 184B5 and MCF10A non-cancer breast cell lines, they could be safer than other compounds. Among them, compound 23 (4-(7chloro-guinolin-4-yl)-piperazine-1-carbothioic acid (2-morpholin-4yl-ethyl)-amide) is considered to be the most desirable, since its antiproliferative activity is 7-11 fold higher on cancer than noncancer cells. Data from cell morphology study demonstrated that the membrane integrity of the cytoplasm, mitochondria and lysosome is compromised in the cells treated with compound 23, perhaps through the disruption of the lipid structure in these membranes. However, the nuclear membrane is not compromised, suggesting that the target molecule of compound 23 may be a common element found on the membranes of the cytoplasm, mitochondria and lysosome, but not in the nuclear membrane. Overall, our data suggest that hybrid compounds containing the 4-piperazinylquinoline pharmacophore and thiourea can be promising leads, and this new hybrid approach can be an excellent way of developing effective anti-breast cancer agents.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by funds from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada (NSERC), the Northern Cancer Research Foundation and the Ministry of Research and Innovation of Ontario.

References

- 1. Zhao H, Cai Y, Santi S, et al. Chloroquine-mediated radiosensitization is due to the destabilization of the lysosomal membrane and subsequent induction of cell death by necrosis. Radiat Res 2005;164:250–7.
- Hu C, Solomon VR, Ulibarri G, Lee H. The efficacy and selectivity of tumor cell killing by Akt inhibitors are substantially increased by chloroquine. Bioorg Med Chem 2008;16: 7888–93.
- 3. Hu C, Solomon VR, Cano P, Lee H. A 4-aminoquinoline derivative that markedly sensitizes tumor cell killing by Akt inhibitors with a minimum cytotoxicity to non-cancer cells. Eur J Med Chem 2010;45:705–9.
- Solomon VR, Lee H. Chloroquine and its analogs: a new promise of an old drug for effective and safe cancer therapies. Eur J Pharmacol 2009;625:220–33.
- 5. Verbaanderd C, Maes H, Schaaf MB, et al. Repurposing Drugs in Oncology (ReDO)-chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine as anti-cancer agents. Ecancermedicalscience 2017;11: 781.
- 6. Zhang H, Solomon VR, Hu C, et al. Synthesis and *in vitro* cytotoxicity evaluation of 4-aminoquinoline derivatives. Biomed Pharmacother 2008;62:65–9.
- 7. Solomon VR, Hu C, Lee H. Design and synthesis of chloroquine analogs with anti-breast cancer property. Eur J Med Chem 2010;45:3916–23.
- 8. Solomon VR, Hu C, Lee H. Design and synthesis of antibreast cancer agents from 4-piperazinylquinoline: a hybrid pharmacophore approach. Bioorg Med Chem 2010;18: 1563–72.
- 9. Pundir S, Vu HY, Solomon VR, et al. VR23: a quinoline-sulfonyl hybrid proteasome inhibitor that selectively kills cancer via cyclin E-mediated centrosome amplification. Cancer Res 2015;75:4164–75.
- 10. Lee H, Solomon VR, Pundir S, Quinoline sulfonyl derivatives and uses thereof, Patent USA 9975852; 2018.
- 11. Li HQ, Lv PC, Yan T, Zhu HL. Urea derivatives as anticancer agents. Anticancer Agents Med Chem 2009;9:471–80.
- 12. Lv PC, Li HQ, Sun J, et al. Synthesis and biological evaluation of pyrazole derivatives containing thiourea skeleton as anticancer agents. Bioorg Med Chem 2010;18: 4606–14.
- Dai Y, Hartandi K, Ji Z, et al. Discovery of N-(4-(3-amino-1Hindazol-4-yl)phenyl)-N'-(2-fluoro-5-methylphenyl)urea (ABT-869), a 3-aminoindazole-based orally active multitargeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor. J Med Chem 2007;50: 1584–97.

- Jiang JD, Roboz J, Weisz I, et al. Synthesis, cancericidal and antimicrotubule activities of 3-(haloacetamido)-benzoylureas. Anticancer Drug Des 1998;13:735–47.
- Song DQ, Wang Y, Wu LZ, et al. Benzoylurea derivatives as a novel class of antimitotic agents: synthesis, anticancer activity, and structure-activity relationships. J Med Chem 2008;51: 3094–103.
- 16. Tokala R, Bale S, Janrao IP, et al. Synthesis of 1,2,4-triazolelinked urea/thiourea conjugates as cytotoxic and apoptosis inducing agents. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2018;28:1919–24.
- 17. Kumar V, Chimni SS. Recent developments on thiourea based anticancer chemotherapeutics Anticancer. Agents Med Chem 2015;15:163–75.
- Abdel-Rahman HM, Morsy MA. Novel benzothiazolyl urea and thiourea derivatives with potential cytotoxic and antimicrobial activities. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2007;22: 57–64.
- 19. Meunier B. Hybrid molecules with a dual mode of action: dream or reality? Acc Chem Res 2008;41:69–77.
- Romagnoli R, Baraldi PG, Carrion MD, et al. Hybrid alphabromoacryloylamido chalcones Design, synthesis and biological evaluation. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2009;19:2022–8.
- 21. Srivastava V, Lee H. Chloroquine-based hybrid molecules as promising novel chemotherapeutic agents. Eur J Pharmacol 2015;762:472–86.
- 22. Agarwal D, Gupta RD, Awasthi SK. Are antimalarial hybrid molecules a close reality or a distant dream? Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2017;61.
- Solomon VR, Almnayan D, Lee H. Design, synthesis and characterization of novel quinacrine analogs that preferentially kill cancer over non-cancer cells through the downregulation of Bcl-2 and up-regulation of Bax and Bad. Eur J Med Chem 2017;137:156–66.
- 24. Solomon VR, Pundir S, Lee H. Examination of novel 4-aminoquinoline derivatives designed and synthesized by a hybrid pharmacophore approach to enhance their anticancer activities. Sci Rep Commun 2018.
- 25. Skehan P, Storeng R, Scudiero D, et al. New colorimetric cytotoxicity assay for anticancer-drug screening. J Natl Cancer Inst 1990;82:1107–12.
- 26. Santi SA, Lee H. Ablation of Akt2 induces autophagy through cell cycle arrest, the downregulation of p70S6K, and the deregulation of mitochondria in MDA-MB231 cells. PLoS One 2011;6:e14614.
- Orr FG, Musso DL, Boswell GE, et al. Inhibition of Uridine Phos-phorylase: synthesis and Structure-Activity Relationships of Aryl-Substituted 5-Benzyluracils and 1-[(2-Hydroxyethoxy)-methyl]-5-benzyluracils. J Med Chem 1995; 38:3850–6.
- el Kouni MH, el Kouni MM, Naguib FMN. Differences in activities and substrate specificity of human and murine pyrimidine nucleoside phosphorylases: implications for chemotherapy with 5-fluoropyrimidines. Cancer Res 1993;53: 3687–93.
- 29. Chu MYW, Naguib FMN, Iltzsch MH, et al. Potentiation of 5fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine antineoplastic activity by the uridine phos-phorylase inhibitors benzylacyclouridine and benzyloxybenzyl-acyclouridine. Cancer Res 1984;44:1852–6.