ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Angioplasty of Flow-Limiting Stenosis Reduces Aortic and Brachial Blood Pressure in Patients With Peripheral Artery Disease

Lucas Busch , MD;* Yvonne Heinen, MD;* Manuel Stern, MD; Georg Wolff, MD; Göksen Özaslan, MD; Konstantina Tzetou, MD; Roberto Sansone, MD; Christian Heiss , MD; Malte Kelm , MD

BACKGROUND: Arterial hypertension affects cardiovascular outcome in patients with peripheral artery disease (PAD). We hypothesized that angioplasty of peripheral arterial stenoses decreases aortic (aBP) and brachial blood pressure (bBP).

METHODS AND RESULTS: In an index cohort (n=30), we simultaneously measured aBP, bBP, augmentation index (Alx), and aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) before and after angioplasty of the iliac and femoropopliteal arteries; diagnostic angiography served as a control. In an all-comer registry cohort (n=381), we prospectively measured bBP in patients scheduled for angioplasty of the iliac, femoral, and crural arteries or diagnostic angiography. Systolic aBP decreased after iliac (Δ -25 mmHg; 95% Cl, -30 to -20; *P*<0.0001) and femoropopliteal angioplasty (Δ -12 mmHg; 95% Cl, -17 to -5; *P*<0.0001) as compared with diagnostic angiography. Diastolic aBP decreased after iliac (Δ -9 mmHg; 95% Cl, -13 to -1; *P*=0.01) but not femoropopliteal angioplasty. In parallel, Alx significantly dropped, whereas PWV remained stable. In the registry cohort, systolic bBP decreased after angioplasty of the iliac (Δ -17 mmHg; 95% Cl, -31 to -8; *P*=0.0005) and femoropopliteal arteries (Δ -10 mmHg; 95% Cl, -23 to -1; *P*=0.04) but not the crural arteries, as compared with diagnostic angiography. Diastolic bBP decreased after iliac (Δ -10 mmHg; 95% Cl, -17 to -2; *P*=0.01) and femoropopliteal angioplasty (Δ -9 mmHg; 95% Cl, -15 to -1; *P*=0.04). Multivariate analysis identified baseline systolic bBP and site of lesion as determinants of systolic bBP drop after endovascular treatment.

CONCLUSIONS: Angioplasty of flow-limiting stenoses in patients with peripheral artery disease lowers aortic and brachial blood pressure with more pronounced effects at more proximal lesion sites and elevated baseline systolic blood pressure. These data indicate a role of endovascular treatment to acutely optimize blood pressure in patients with peripheral artery disease.

REGISTRATION: URL: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov; Unique identifier: NCT02728479.

Key Words: arterial hypertension
augmentation index
blood pressure
peripheral artery disease
pulse wave velocity

Gurrent prevention guidelines recommend a medical treatment for arterial hypertension in particular in patients with manifest cardiovascular disease.^{1–6} Although blood pressure (BP) control in patients with peripheral artery disease (PAD) reduces cardiovascular mortality, the management of risk factors, including BP, is often suboptimal in these patients.⁷ Only 30% to 50% of treated patients with PAD achieve adequate BP control.^{7,8}

Isolated systolic hypertension occurs in up to 90% of patients with PAD.⁹ It is now appreciated that wave reflection and arterial stiffness are important determinants of age-related isolated systolic hypertension.^{10,11}

Correspondence to: Malte Kelm, MD, Division of Cardiology, Pulmonology and Vascular Medicine, Medical Faculty, University Duesseldorf, Moorenstraße 5, 40225 Duesseldorf, Germany. E-mail: Malte.Kelm@med.uni-duesseldorf.de

^{*}L. Busch and Y. Heinen contributed equally.

Supplementary Material for this article is available at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.120.019724

For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 12.

^{© 2021} The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha

CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

What Is New?

- This is the first study that assessed invasively acute aortic and brachial blood pressure responses to peripheral angioplasties.
- Endovascular treatment of flow-limiting stenosis lowers aortic and brachial arterial blood pressure.
- Beneficial effects are more pronounced with increased baseline systolic pressure and more proximal lesion site.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Blood pressure control in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease reduces cardiovascular mortality.
- Our data demonstrate that angioplasty of iliac and femoropopliteal artery lesions acutely improves blood pressure in patients with symptomatic peripheral artery disease.
- The long-term effects on blood pressure have yet to be determined.

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

aBP	aortic blood pressure							
Alx	augmentation index							
bBP	brachial blood pressure							
BTK	below the knee arteries							
CFA	common femoral artery							
HR	heart rate							
PWV	pulse wave velocity							

In the context of PAD, arterial stenoses and occlusions may cause local reflection of the pulse wave increasing aortic augmentation and contribute to the development of isolated systolic hypertension. We have previously shown that peripheral arterial angioplasty acutely improves endothelium-dependent flow-mediated dilation and decreases local arterial stiffness associated with superficial femoral artery stenoses.¹² Elimination of a proximal stenosis might affect wave reflection or shift it toward more distal sites in the lower limbs such as to the level of resistance arteries. However, the direct effect of peripheral arterial angioplasty on aortic blood pressure (aBP) and brachial blood pressure (bBP) has not been investigated to date. Therefore, we hypothesized that endovascular treatment of peripheral flowlimiting arterial stenoses acutely reduces aBP and bBP.

Using serial and segmental invasive measurement of hemodynamics in the proximal to distal aorta in combination with vascular ultrasound, we demonstrate here for the first time that endovascular treatment of peripheral arterial stenoses through restitution of blood flow into the target leg significantly reduces aBP and bBP, improves augmentation index (Alx), whereas pulse wave velocity (PWV) remained unaffected. In a large prospective all-comer registry of patients with PAD scheduled for endovascular treatment, these findings were corroborated with beneficial effects becoming more evident with higher systolic bBP and treatment of the more proximal lesion site.

METHODS

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the first author upon reasonable request (hanslucas.busch@med.uni-duesseldorf.de).

We prospectively analyzed in an index cohort systolic bBP, diastolic bBP, heart rate (HR), ankle-brachial index (ABI), and common femoral artery (CFA) flow at baseline 1 day before and 1 day after angioplasty of the iliac (n=15) and femoropopliteal arteries (n=10), and after mere diagnostic angiography (n=5). Moreover, we analyzed in these 30 patients intraprocedural systolic and diastolic aBP, Alx, aortic PWV, HR, and bBP before and after angioplasty, and diagnostic angiography in the catheterization laboratory.

As a second study group, we prospectively enrolled 381 patients scheduled for elective percutaneous transluminal angioplasty on an all-comer basis within the Duesseldorf PTA Registry (PTA Registry–An Observational Study of Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty; Clinical Trial Registration– URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier:: NCT02728479). Clinical baseline characteristics and bBP responses to angioplasty or angiography were analyzed (see Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials diagram, Figure 1). The study was conducted after approval of the local ethics committee and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients gave written informed consent before the procedure.

Standard Angioplasty Strategy

lliac lesions were treated with angioplasty (Passeo 35; Biotronik) followed by implantation of balloon-expandable stents (Dynamic; Biotronik). Femoropopliteal arteries were treated with angioplasty, followed by drug-coated balloon treatment (Passeo-Lux 18; Biotronik), and if necessary, with self-expandable nitinol stents (Innova; Boston Scientific). Below the knee (BTK) crural vessels were primarily treated with angioplasty, followed if necessary by implantation of balloon-expandable drug-eluting stents (Xience; Abbott Vascular).



Figure 1. CONSORT diagram of the prospective Duesseldorf percutaneous transluminal angioplasty study.

A, Index cohort with 30 patients. Clinical evaluations including office measurement of brachial blood pressure (bBP), ankle-brachial index (ABI), heart rate (HR), and vascular assessment at baseline 1 day before and 1 day after intervention. Intraprocedural invasive serial hemodynamic measurement of segmental aortic blood pressure (aBP), augmentation index (AIx), pulse wave velocity (PWV), and bBP before and after angioplasty or diagnostic angiography. **B**, Registry cohort with office bBP recordings 1 day before and 1 day after angioplasty and angiography. Cath lab indicates catheterization laboratory; and Fempop., femoropopliteal.

Because we commonly treat a high-risk collective of patients with a remarkable proportion of cardiovascular risk factors at our department, no anesthesia is applied during the procedure and thereafter for patient safety. Standard local anesthesia is applied at the arterial access site with lidocaine.

Office Measurement of bBP, HR, and CFA Flow in the Index Cohort

Office measurements were performed at baseline 1 day before and 1 day after intervention and included measurement of ABI, HR, and a standardize vascular ultrasound assessment (10 MHz transducer, Vivid I; GE Healthcare). HR and bBP were measured using an automated clinical digital sphygmomanometer (Dynamap Vital Signs Monitor, Dinamap; GE Healthcare). CFA blood flow was calculated as volume flow [ml/min] = π *r²[cm]*Vmean[cm/s]*60; see Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials diagram Figure 1A). Local CFA resistance was calculated as

resistance CFA [mmHg x min/l] = $\frac{\text{mean arterial pressure [mmHg]}}{\text{CFA volume flow [l/min]}}$

Mean arterial pressure was calculated with the mathematical equation mean arterial pressure = systolic bBP + $\frac{1}{3}$ × (systolic bBP – diastolic bBP).

Invasive Measurement of Aortic Blood Pressure, Alx, and Aortic PWV in the Index Cohort

aBP was obtained invasively before and after peripheral angioplasty and diagnostic angiography. In all cases (n=30), catheterization was performed via the CFA with a standard 6F sheath (Merit Medical). Recording of the aBP was performed with a pigtail catheter pullback in the aorta before and after angioplasty. We divided the aorta into 4 segments: ascending aorta, descending thoracic aorta, suprarenal aorta, and infrarenal aorta. In each segment, at least 4 cardiac cycles were recorded, and mean pressure was calculated. The length of the aorta was approximated with a calibrated measurement of the piqtail catheter length using the automated Philipps Xper IM catheterization laboratory software. The PWV was determined with a pigtail pull back from the aortic root to the aortic bifurcation under x-ray film control, and the transit time of the pulse wave was measured using ECG gating.¹³ In case of femoropopliteal interventions, a standard crossover maneuver is performed to reach the culprit lesion. We used the HKWin software (HKWin v1.148.2; Medizin Technik Komponenten) to print out the original pressure contour waveforms invasively obtained. The Alx values were calculated by visual measurements based on the following formula Augmentation index (AIx%) = $\frac{\text{Augmenation Pressure (AP)}}{\text{Determine AP}} \times 100.$ Pulse Pressure (PP)

Two blinded investigators calculated the PWV independently, and the mean PWV of both measurements was used. The time of the first systolic shoulder was also calculated by visual measurements. We assessed the reproducibility of our method for measuring PWV. Measurements were repeated in 15 out of 30 subjects. The coefficient of variation for PWV measurements was 6%, and the reproducibility analysis of PWV measurements showed that the mean difference was 0.13 m/s, with an SD of 0.86 m/s. Most of the values ranged within a mean±2 SD. Also, there was no significant interobserver difference (0.1 m/s with an SD of 0.8 m/s). Therefore, reliability of PWV measurements were satisfactory. bBP was measured using an automated clinical digital sphygmomanometer (Dynamap Vital Signs Monitor, Dinamap; GE Healthcare) right before and right after intervention and was correlated with aBP (Figure 1A).

Analyses of bBP in the Registry Cohort

Prospective analyses were based on the Duesseldorf PTA Registry and included data from patients who were scheduled for elective diagnostic angiographies or angioplasties of lower leg peripheral arteries. Clinical evaluations were performed in the outpatient clinic or on the ward at baseline 1 day before and 1 day after intervention. The clinical evaluations included staging to Rutherford classification, measurement of ABI, treadmill testing if possible, and a standardize ultrasound assessment (10-MHz transducer; Vivid I; GE Healthcare) to identify target lesions (see Figure 1B for detailed information). bBP was measured using an automated clinical digital sphygmomanometer (Dynamap Vital Signs Monitor, Dinamap; GE Healthcare) at the upper arm. Patients receiving vasoactive medication during the procedure or any periinterventional change in BP-lowering or pain medication were excluded. Patients were grouped according to the most proximal flow-limiting stenosis.

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers and percentages; statistical comparisons for these were made by the χ^2 test. Continuous variables are expressed as mean values and SD and compared by the ANOVA F test. Changes in parameters (Δ) were calculated as postangioplasty values minus baseline (pre angioplasty) values and are expressed as means with 95% Cls. Within-subject changes with single comparisons in hemodynamics were analyzed using a paired Student t test; when changes in parameters were compared among groups, we used 1-way ANOVA with a post hoc Tukey correction for multiple comparisons. Linear relationships between continuous variables were expressed as Pearson r. Statistical significance was assumed at $P \le 0.05$. To determine predictors of change in systolic bBP in both cohorts, a multiple linear regression analysis was performed with comorbidities, sex, hemodynamic characteristics (Alx, PWV, bBP), and localization of the proximal stenosis (iliac versus femoropopliteal versus BTK versus diagnostic angiography) as covariates. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Software's Prism version 6.00 and IBM's SPSS software version 22.0.

RESULTS

Index Cohort *aBP* Decreases After Iliac and Femoropopliteal Angioplasty

Segmental measurement of systolic aBP and diastolic aBP was obtained in 30 patients before and after

peripheral angioplasty or mere diagnostic angiography. Details on patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. Of these patients, 15 underwent iliac angioplasty (100% stent), 10 patients underwent femoropopliteal angioplasty (90% stent), and 5 patients underwent diagnostic angiography only. No major complications occurred in the index cohort (Table S1).

As depicted in Figure 2, iliac and femoropopliteal angioplasty and stenting led to a significant decrease in systolic aBP in all segments of the aorta. Mean systolic difference after iliac interventions was –24 mmHg

 Table 1.
 Baseline Clinical and Demographic Characteristics of 30 Patients With Peripheral Artery Disease (Index Cohort)

 Assessed by Invasive Hemodynamic Measurements Before and After Angioplasty

Baseline Characteristics	Total	lliac	Fempop	Diagnostic	P Value
No.	30 (100)	15 (50)	10 (33)	5 (17)	
Age, y	71±10	69±10	74±8	68±11	0.38
Men	22 (73)	11 (73)	7 (70)	4 (80)	0.92
Smoker	20 (67)	11 (73)	6 (60)	3 (60)	0.74
Hypertension	30 (100)	15 (100)	10 (100)	5 (100)	NA
Hyperlipidemia	23 (77)	12 (80)	7 (70)	4 (80)	0.83
CAD	25 (83)	12 (80)	8 (80)	5 (100)	0.55
Diabetes mellitus	11 (37)	6 (40)	4 (40)	1 (20)	0.7
Renal failure	13 (43)	6 (40)	5 (50)	2 (40)	0.87
Aspirin	28 (93)	15 (100)	9 (90)	4 (80)	0.26
Clopidogrel	25 (83)	14 (93)	9 (90)	2 (40)	0.017*
Statin	26 (87)	13 (87)	9 (90)	4 (80)	0.87
Antihypertensive treatment	30 (100)	15 (100)	10 (100)	5 (100)	NA
ACE	25 (83)	12 (80)	9 (90)	4 (80)	0.78
ARB	4 (13)	3 (20)	1 (10)	O (0)	0.49
СВВ	10 (33)	6 (40)	3 (30)	1 (20)	0.69
β-blocker	15 (50)	7 (47)	5 (50)	3 (60)	0.88
Clinical stage					·
Rutherford 2–3	24 (80)	13 (87)	6 (60)	5 (100)	0.12
Rutherford 4	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	O (0)	NA
Rutherford 5–6	6 (20)	2 (13)	4 (40)	0 (0)	0.12
Baseline ABI	0.53±0.09	0.52±0.1	0.53±0.12	0.53±0.03	0.97
Procedural characteristics					
No. of stents					
0	6 (20)	O (O)	1 (10)	5 (100)	<0.0001*
1	11 (37)	4 (27)	7 (70)	O (0)	0.02*
2	10 (33)	9 (60)	1 (10)	O (0)	0.008*
≥3	3 (10)	2 (13)	1 (10)	O (O)	0.7
Length of target lesion, mm		44.0±7	165.0±67	180±80	<0.0001*
Length of stented segment, mm		46.0±9	172.0±71	0 (0)	<0.0001*
Target vessel diameter, mm		8.4±0.7	6.0±0.9	6.6±1	<0.0001*
Occlusion	16 (53)	6 (40)	7 (70)	3 (60)	0.3
ABI before discharge	0.85±0.16	0.92±0.07	0.92±0.07	0.54±0.03	<0.0001*

Categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers (percentages); statistical comparisons for these were made by the χ^2 test. Continuous variables are expressed as mean±SD and compared by the ANOVA *F* test. *P* values represent the overall difference between the 3 groups. ABI indicates ankle-brachial index of the target leg; ACE, angiotensin-converting-enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; CAD, coronary artery disease; CBB, calcium channel blocker; Fempop, femoropopliteal.

*Significant difference between groups (P<0.05).





Bars indicate standard error of the mean. *P<0.05 vs baseline (paired *t* test). **•** indicates iliac angioplasty (n=15); **•**, femoropopliteal (fempop.) angioplasty (n=10); •, diagnostic angiography (n=5).

Figure 3. Physicomechanical properties of the aorta.

A, Aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) before (black bars) and after (white bars) peripheral angioplasty of the iliac (n=15) femoropopliteal (fempop.) (n=10) arteries and during diagnostic angiography (n=5). **B**, Timing of the reflected pressure wave (T1) to the aorta before (black bars) and after (white bars) angioplasty or diagnostic angiography. **C**, Augmentation index (Alx) before (black bars) and after (white bars) elective angioplasty and diagnostic angiography. Bars indicate mean and standard error of the mean. **P*<0.05 vs baseline (paired *t* test). %, &, and #, *P*<0.05 (1-way ANOVA).

and -11 mmHg after femoropopliteal intervention. Systolic aBP remained stable after diagnostic angiography (Table S2).

Similarly, iliac and femoropopliteal angioplasty and stenting led to a significant decrease in diastolic aBP but not diagnostic angiography. Mean diastolic difference after iliac intervention was –8 mmHg and –4 mmHg after femoropopliteal intervention. There was no significant change in diastolic aBP after diagnostic angiography (Table S2). Iliac angioplasty had the most pronounced systolic and diastolic aBP lowering effect (Table S3).

Impact of Angioplasty on Mechanical Indices of the Aorta

Aortic PWV did not differ at baseline between the groups (Figure 3A, Table S4) and was not affected by diagnostic angiography or angioplasty of arteries. Angioplasty did not change timing of the reflected pressure wave to the aorta (Figure 3B, Table S4). In patients with iliac lesions, the baseline Alx was significantly greater compared with patients with dominant femoropopliteal lesions and patients with diagnostic angiography (Table S4). Iliac angioplasty achieved the most pronounced Alx-lowering effect, whereas there was no change in Alx after diagnostic angiography (Figure 3C, Table S4).

Intraprocedural Relation of aBP to bBP

The degree of intraprocedural bBP lowering after angioplasty was comparable to intraprocedural aBP lowering (Figure S1, Table S2, Table S3). The intraprocedural change in aBP correlated with the change in bBP (Figure 4). HR was not affected by angioplasty or angiography (Table S2).

Reduced bBP and Increased CFA Flow in Follow-Up Control 1 Day After Angioplasty

Office measurements of HR, bBP, and CFA flow at baseline 1 day before and 1 day after angioplasty or angiography are shown in Figure 5. HR was not affected by diagnostic angiography and angioplasty of arteries (Figure 5A, Table S5). Peripheral angioplasty



led to a significant lowering of bBP, with the most pronounced effect after iliac angioplasty (Figure 5B; Table S5). The degree of bBP lowering 1 day after angioplasty was comparable to intraprocedural bBP lowering. Baseline CFA blood flow into the target leg was significantly lower in dominant iliac lesions as



Figure 4. Relation of intraprocedural changes in aortic blood pressure (aBP) and brachial blood pressure (bBP) before and after angioplasty.

A, Correlation of angioplasty-associated changes in invasively measured systolic aBP (\triangle systolic aBP) and systolic bBP (\triangle systolic bBP) determined via sphygmometry. **B**, Correlation of changes in diastolic aBP (\triangle diastolic aBP) and diastolic bBP (\triangle diastolic bBP). \blacksquare indicates iliac angioplasty (n=15); **A**, femoropopliteal angioplasty (n=10); and •, diagnostic angiography (n=5).

compared with femoropopliteal lesions and diagnostic angiography (Figure 5D, Table S5). Although bBP decreased significantly after angioplasty of iliac and femoropopliteal lesions, CFA blood flow increased in the intervened leg with the most pronounced effect after iliac angioplasty, whereas there was a modest increase in flow after femoropopliteal angioplasty (Figure 5D, Table S5). There was no change in blood flow in the untreated leg (Figure 5C, Table S5). Likewise, ABI increased in the treated leg (Table 1). Baseline CFA resistance of the target leg was significantly higher in dominant iliac lesions as compared with femoropopliteal lesions and diagnostic angiography (Table S5). Although flow into the treated leg increased, CFA resistance decreased, with the most pronounced effect after iliac angioplasty (Table S5). Because bBP decreased and flow in the untreated leg remained unchanged, CFA resistance decreased

in the untreated leg (Table S5), with the most pronounced effect after contralateral iliac angioplasty.

Registry Cohort bBP Decreases After Iliac and Femoropopliteal Angioplasty

The baseline characteristics of 381 study subjects who had undergone diagnostic angiography or angioplasty of iliac, femoropopliteal, and BTK arteries are shown in Table 2. Patients were grouped according to the most proximal stenosis. In total, 119 patients underwent iliac intervention, 208 patients underwent femoropopliteal intervention, 39 patients were treated BTK only, and 15 patients underwent diagnostic angiography. At baseline, the ABI in 381 patients was 0.5±0.11 and increased significantly to 0.9±0.1 before discharge. Technical success was achieved in 376 cases (99%), with no major complications (Table S6). Patients with crural BTK interventions were significantly more often affected by critical limb ischemia as indicated by Rutherford classification 4-6 with a significantly lower baseline ABI. As depicted in Table S7, clinical baseline and procedural characteristics were similar between the registry cohort and the index cohort.

Systolic and diastolic bBP as measured on the day before and on the day after angioplasty decreased significantly after iliac and femoropopliteal angioplasties, but not after BTK artery angioplasty and diagnostic angiography (Figure 6, Table S8 and Table S9).

Univariate and multivariate analysis identified baseline systolic bBP and site of arterial lesion (Table S10) as predictors of the change in systolic bBP.

DISCUSSION

This study shows for the first time that (1) angioplasty of flow-limiting stenosis in patients with PAD effectively decreased systolic and diastolic aBP and bBP, (2) this acute beneficial effect was dependent on baseline systolic bBP and was more pronounced at proximal sites of arterial lesion, and (3) was associated with favorable reduction of Alx, whereas PWV as an index of physicomechanical properties of the aorta itself remained stable.

Patient Population

This study was conducted in a representative large cohort of high-risk patients with PAD affected by a remarkable proportion of cardiovascular risk factors. The prevalence of these comorbidities is even higher than in the recently published VOYAGER PAD (Vascular Outcomes Study of Aspirin Along With Rivaroxaban in Endovascular or Surgical Limb Revascularization for Peripheral Artery Disease) trial and COMPASS





A, Heart rate (HR) measured at baseline 1 day before (black bars) and 1 day after (white bars) angioplasty of the iliac (n=15) and femoropopliteal (fempop.) (n=10) arteries, and after diagnostic angiography (n=5). **B**, Systolic bBP and diastolic bBP 1 day before (black bars) and 1 day after angioplasty (white bars) and diagnostic angiography. **C**, Common femoral artery (CFA) blood flow in the untreated leg 1 day before (black bars) and 1 day after (white bars) and 1 day before (black bars) and 1 day after (white bars) angioplasty of the contralateral leg. **D**, Target leg CFA blood flow 1 day before (black bars) and 1 day after (white bars) angioplasty and diagnostic angiography. Bars are mean and error bars are standard error of the mean. **P*<0.05 vs baseline (paired *t* test). §, #, and &, *P*<0.05 (1-way ANOVA).

(Rivaroxaban for the Prevention of Major Cardiovascular Events in Coronary or Peripheral Artery Disease) trial, and the previously reported German national average in hospitalized patients with PAD.^{14–16} The present study shows that endovascular interventions can be safely and efficiently performed in an all-comer multimorbid patient cohort with excellent hemodynamic improvement as demonstrated by a high technical success rate, improved ABI, and low complication rate. The safety profile is remarkable, with no conversion to vascular surgery and no major adverse limb events.

Antihypertensive Treatment in Patients With PAD

Patients with symptomatic PAD have a markedly increased risk for death, cardiovascular events and, especially in those with critical limb ischemia, limb loss.¹⁶

Table 2. Baseline Clinical and Demographic Characteristics of the All-Comer Registry Cohort

Baseline Characteristics	Total	lliac	Fempop	ВТК	Diagnostic	P Value
No.	381 (100)	119 (31)	208 (55)	39 (10)	15 (4)	
Age, y	71±2	70±8	72±8	72±11	68±12	0.09
Men	289 (76)	85 (71)	160 (77)	32 (82)	12 (80)	0.5
Smoker	221 (58)	78 (66)	114 (55)	20 (50)	9 (60)	0.22
Hypertension	337 (88)	106 (89)	187 (90)	32 (82)	12 (80)	0.38
Hyperlipidemia	290 (76)	100 (84)	149 (71)	29 (75)	12 (80)	0.09
CAD	265 (70)	81 (68)	145 (70)	28 (72)	11 (73)	0.96
Diabetes mellitus	170 (45)	40 (34)	98 (47)	26 (68)	6 (40)	0.003*
Renal failure	159 (42)	40 (34)	91 (44)	22 (57)	6 (40)	0.07
Aspirin	351 (92)	115 (97)	190 (91)	33 (85)	13 (87)	0.13
Clopidogrel	305 (80)	102 (86)	169 (81)	28 (71)	6 (40)	<0.0001*
Statin	287 (75)	96 (81)	150 (72)	31 (79)	10 (67)	0.27
Antihypertensive treatment	350 (92)	108 (90)	194 (93)	33 (86)	15 (100)	0.19
ACE	210 (56)	70 (59)	110 (53)	22 (57)	8 (53)	0.77
ARB	96 (25)	30 (25)	55 (26)	8 (21)	3 (20)	0.84
СВВ	133 (35)	45 (38)	71 (34)	12 (31)	5 (33)	0.85
β-blocker	207 (54)	75 (63)	132 (64)	23 (59)	7 (47)	0.6
Clinical stage						
Rutherford 2–3	218 (57)	96 (81)	112 (54)	7 (18)	3 (20)	<0.0001*
Rutherford 4	34 (9)	10 (8)	18 (9)	3 (7)	3 (20)	0.5
Rutherford 5–6	129 (34)	13 (11)	78 (37)	29 (75)	9 (60)	<0.0001*
Baseline ABI	0.50±0.11	0.53±0.11	0.50±0.1	0.48±0.15	0.51±0.07	0.036*
Procedural characteristics						
No. of stents implanted						
0	100 (26)	4 (3)	50 (24)	31 (80)	15 (100)	<0.0001*
1	128 (34)	43 (37)	80 (38)	5 (13)	0 (0)	0.0005*
2	119 (31)	59 (49)	57 (27)	3 (7)	0 (0)	<0.0001*
≥3	34 (9)	13 (11)	21 (10)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.1
Length of target lesion, mm		42.0±6	158.0±51	33.0±12	210±78	<0.0001*
Length of stented segment, mm		44.0±5	164.0±60	12±3	0 (0)	<0.0001*
Target vessel diameter, mm		8.1±0.6	6.2±0.6	2.9±0.7	6.9±1.2	<0.0001*
Occlusion	250 (66)	62 (52)	142 (68)	33 (86)	13 (87)	0.0001*
ABI before discharge	0.9±0.1	0.92±0.1	0.92±0.12	0.84±0.1	0.54±0.11	<0.0001*

Categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers (percentages); statistical comparisons for these were made by the χ^2 test. Continuous variables are expressed as mean±SD and compared by the ANOVA *F* test. *P* values represent the overall difference between the 4 groups. ABI indicates ankle-brachial index of the target leg; ACE, angiotensin-converting-enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BTK, below the knee; CAD, coronary artery disease; CBB, calcium channel blocker; and Fempop, femoropopliteal.

*Significant difference between groups (P<0.05).

This poor outcome might also be because PAD and critical limb ischemia are often underdiagnosed and undertreated.¹⁶ Patients with PAD are less intensively treated with antihypertensive drugs as compared with other cardiovascular diseases,⁹ and BP is often uncontrolled.¹⁷ Meta-analyses and randomized controlled trials have shown that a 10 mmHg reduction in systolic bBP or a 5 mmHg reduction in diastolic bBP is associated with significant reductions in all major cardiovascular events by ~20% and all-cause mortality up to 15%.^{1,18,19} Notably, the effect size of lowering in aBP and bBP seen in our study after endovascular

treatment was in the same range as seen with many antihypertensive drug regimens.¹

aBP and bBP Lowering

Our study is the first to demonstrate that peripheral arterial angioplasty leads to acute BP lowering in patients with symptomatic PAD. More than 80% of the investigated patients were affected by arterial hypertension, most of them already under antihypertensive treatment. This study confirms a high prevalence of hypertension in patients affected by PAD.⁹ Of the



Figure 6. Change in brachial blood pressure (bBP) following angioplasty in the registry cohort.

Office systolic bBP (**A**) and diastolic bBP (**B**) at baseline as measured on the day before (black bars) and on the day after (white bars) elective angioplasty of iliac (n=119), femoropopliteal (fempop.) (n=208), and below-the-knee (BTK) (n=39) arteries. Bars indicate mean standard error of the mean. **P*<0.05 vs baseline (paired *t* test). & and #, *P*<0.05 (1-way ANOVA).

hypertensive subjects, the majority were characterized by isolated systolic and not by diastolic hypertension. In the treated patients, peripheral angioplasty led to lowering of systolic and diastolic aBP, with the effect being greater on systolic pressure. Furthermore, our data indicate that the localization of the proximal stenosis plays a pivotal role. The more proximal the location, the more pronounced the BP-lowering effect is. Angioplasty of proximal iliac lesions had the strongest BP-lowering effect. This significant aortic effect correlated to the degree of bBP lowering. In nearly 400 patients in the all-comer registry cohort, we observed a similar bBP response to angioplasty confirming the initial results. Therefore, our study indicates that angioplasty can achieve a remarkable acute aBP- and bBP-lowering effect.

Murgo et al investigated the effect of bilateral manual femoral compression on the aortic pressure waveform in men.²⁰ Bilateral femoral compression caused an increase of \approx 10 to \approx 20 mmHg in systolic aBP, accompanied by a rise in diastolic pressure of \approx 4 mmHg. Baksi et al invasively investigated 20 subjects' pressure and flow velocity with a sensor-tipped intra-arterial wire at multiple locations distal to the proximal aorta before, during, and following occlusion of the left femoral artery by thigh cuff inflation.²¹ Supra systolic cuff inflation led to a significant increase in aortic mean arterial pressure by \approx 4 mmHg, whereas local femoral artery mean arterial pressure increased by \approx 6 mmHg. Again, the increase in BP in these experimental studies with acute induction of flow-limiting interventions in individuals without PAD was in the order of magnitude as the decrease of BP observed in our study after removal of flow-limiting stenosis.

Angioplasty Improves Regional Blood Flow in the Target Leg

Conversely to aBP and bBP lowering, iliac artery angioplasty led to a significant increase in CFA blood flow of the target leg, whereas angioplasty in the more distal femoral arteries led to a modest increase in CFA blood flow. Factors governing blood flow to the affected extremity include the number, severity, and location of stenotic lesions, and the presence of collateral vessels. It is well understood that conduit vessel function is impaired in patients affected by PAD. Our previous results have indicated a local response to peripheral angioplasty by an improved local flow-mediated vasodilation response to angioplasty.¹² In this study, angioplasty of conduit vessels improved arterial flow and perfusion pressure, as indicated by an elevated ABI.

Impact of Angioplasty on Physicomechanical Indices of the Aorta

Overall, the patients were a high-risk population as indicated by a high prevalence of comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney failure, and coronary artery disease. This aggregation of vascular risk factors translates into advanced stages of aortic stiffness, as indicated by a high baseline aortic PWV. Aortic PWV remained stable after angioplasty or mere diagnostic angiography. This indicates that peripheral angioplasty does not acutely change physicomechanical properties of the aorta in patients with advanced PAD. However, we observed an acute lowering of central Alx through peripheral angioplasty, and significant predictors of systolic bBP-lowering magnitude were baseline bBP and proximal lesion site. This suggests that in patients with proximal lesions, these may act as major pulse reflection sites increasing systolic BP by aortic augmentation. Thus, the change in Alx by angioplasty may be explained by a primary decrease of the contribution of pressure wave reflected at the proximal lesion and thereby a secondary decrease in BP, thus decreasing augmentation pressure.

Blood Pressure Lowering Through Angioplasty

We conclude that treatment of such lesions increases blood flow into the treated leg with concomitant drop of systemic BP, which together results in a decrease of local vascular resistance that decreases wave reflection as measured by Alx.²² Arterioles account for one half of systemic vascular resistance, whereas the other half is contributed to by other elements of arterial circulation including conduit arteries.²³ Tanaka et al demonstrated in healthy women that Alx was the primary predictor of systemic vascular resistance.²⁴

One limitation of the present study is that we only measured changes in BP in a short time frame after angioplasty. Although it is known that chronic BP control is dependent on a complex network of pressure control systems, with the kidney being a main regulator,²⁵ our results provide novel insight that underscore the potential of endovascular treatment to acutely optimize BP, in particular in those patients with high systolic bBP and more proximal lesion sites. Jacomella et al demonstrated that the effect of lower limb angioplasty on Alx lasted for 3 months after endovascular treatment.²⁶ Further studies with longer follow-up are required to investigate if lower limb angioplastyof proximal lesions, in particular, may play a role in long term BP management in these high-risk patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data demonstrate that peripheral arterial angioplasty of iliac and femoropopliteal lesions acutely improve BP in patients with symptomatic PAD independent of comorbidities. Although physicomechanical properties of the aorta remain stable during angioplasty, increase of flow into the treated leg with concomitant drop of systemic BP together results in a decrease in peripheral vascular resistance of the target leg and concomitant reduced wave reflection that overall ameliorated Alx. Further studies are needed to evaluate if this acute effect persists and provides long-term added benefits of angioplasties, and whether this translates into lower major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular event rates in this highrisk population.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received October 9, 2020; accepted April 14, 2021.

Affiliations

Department of Cardiology, Pulmonology, and Vascular Medicine, University Duesseldorf, Medical Faculty, Duesseldorf, Germany (L.B., Y.H., M.S., G.W., G.Ö., K.T., R.S., C.H., M.K.); Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Surrey, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Guildford, United Kingdom (C.H.); and Cardiovascular Research Institute Duesseldorf (CARID), , Duesseldorf, Germany (M.K.).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr Wagenhäuser and Dr Horn for discussion and advice. Open access funding was enabled and organized by ProjektDEAL.

Sources of Funding None.

Disclosures

None.

Supplementary Material

Table S1–S10 Figure S1

REFERENCES

- Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, Clement DL, Coca A, de Simone G, Dominiczak A, ESC Scientific Document Group, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39:3021–3104. DOI: 10.1093/ eurheartj/ehy339.
- O'Donnell MJ, Chin SL, Rangarajan S, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Rao-Melacini P, Zhang X, Pais P, Agapay S, et al. Global and regional effects of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with acute stroke in 32 countries (INTERSTROKE): a case-control study. *Lancet*. 2016;388:761–775. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30506-2.
- Chow CK, Teo KK, Rangarajan S, Islam S, Gupta R, Avezum A, Bahonar A, Chifamba J, Dagenais G, Diaz R, et al. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in rural and urban communities in high-, middle-, and low-income countries. *JAMA*. 2013;310:959–968. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2013.184182.
- Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R, Prospective SC, Prospective Studies Collaboration. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet.* 2002;360:1903– 1913. DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(02)11911-8.
- Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, Barshes NR, Corriere MA, Drachman DE, Fleisher LA, Fowkes FGR, Hamburg NM, Kinlay S, et al. 2016 AHA/ACC guideline on the management of patients with lower extremity peripheral artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69:e71–e126. DOI: 10.1016/j. jacc.2016.11.007.
- Aboyans V, Ricco J-B, Bartelink M-L, Björck M, Brodmann M, Cohnert T, Collet J-P, Czerny M, De Carlo M, Debus S, et al. 2017 ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:763–816. DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ ehx095.
- Bhatt DL, Steg PG, Ohman EM, Hirsch AT, Ikeda Y, Mas JL, Goto S, Liau CS, Richard AJ, Rother J, et al. International prevalence, recognition, and treatment of cardiovascular risk factors in outpatients with atherothrombosis. *JAMA*. 2006;295:180–189. DOI: 10.1001/jama.295. 2.180.
- Ya'qoub L, Peri-Okonny P, Wang J, Patel KK, Stone N, Smolderen K. Blood pressure management in patients with symptomatic peripheral artery disease: insights from the portrait registry. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes*. 2019;5:79–81. DOI: 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcy035.
- Safar ME, Priollet P, Luizy F, Mourad JJ, Cacoub P, Levesque H, Benelbaz J, Michon P, Herrmann MA, Blacher J. Peripheral arterial disease and isolated systolic hypertension: the ATTEST study. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2009;23:182–187. DOI: 10.1038/jhh.2008.121.
- Heiss C, Pitcher A, Belch JJF, De Carlo M, Reinecke H, Baumgartner I, Mazzolai L, Aboyans V. The year in cardiology: aorta and peripheral circulation. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41:501–508b. DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ ehz939.
- Chirinos JA, Segers P, Hughes T, Townsend R. Large-artery stiffness in health and disease: jacc state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;74:1237–1263. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.07.012.
- Heinen Y, Stegemann E, Sansone R, Benedens K, Wagstaff R, Balzer J, Rassaf T, Lauer T, Kelm M, Heiss C. Local association between endothelial dysfunction and intimal hyperplasia: relevance in peripheral

artery disease. J Am Heart Assoc. 2015;4:e001472. DOI: 10.1161/ JAHA.114.001472.

- Horvath IG, Nemeth A, Lenkey Z, Alessandri N, Tufano F, Kis P, Gaszner B, Cziraki A. Invasive validation of a new oscillometric device (arteriograph) for measuring augmentation index, central blood pressure and aortic pulse wave velocity. *J Hypertens*. 2010;28:2068–2075. DOI: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e32833c8a1a.
- Anand SS, Caron F, Eikelboom JW, Bosch J, Dyal L, Aboyans V, Abola MT, Branch KRH, Keltai K, Bhatt DL, et al. Major adverse limb events and mortality in patients with peripheral artery disease: the compass trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71:2306–2315. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.03.008.
- Bonaca MP, Bauersachs RM, Anand SS, Debus ES, Nehler MR, Patel MR, Fanelli F, Capell WH, Diao L, Jaeger N, et al. Rivaroxaban in peripheral artery disease after revascularization. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382:1994–2004. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2000052.
- Reinecke H, Unrath M, Freisinger E, Bunzemeier H, Meyborg M, Luders F, Gebauer K, Roeder N, Berger K, Malyar NM. Peripheral arterial disease and critical limb ischaemia: still poor outcomes and lack of guideline adherence. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36:932–938. DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv006.
- 17. Smolderen KG, Gosch K, Patel M, Jones WS, Hirsch AT, Beltrame J, Fitridge R, Shishehbor MH, Denollet J, Vriens P, et al. PORTRAIT (Patient-Centered Outcomes Related to Treatment Practices in Peripheral Arterial Disease: Investigating Trajectories): overview of design and rationale of an international prospective peripheral arterial disease study. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2018;11:e003860. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.117.003860.
- Brunstrom M, Carlberg B. Association of blood pressure lowering with mortality and cardiovascular disease across blood pressure levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2018;178:28– 36. DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2017.6015.

- Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, Anderson SG, Callender T, Emberson J, Chalmers J, Rodgers A, Rahimi K. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* 2016;387:957–967. DOI: 10.1016/S0140 -6736(15)01225-8.
- Murgo JP, Westerhof N, Giolma JP, Altobelli SA. Aortic input impedance in normal man: relationship to pressure wave forms. *Circulation*. 1980;62:105–116. DOI: 10.1161/01.CIR.62.1.105.
- John Baksi A, Davies JE, Hadjiloizou N, Baruah R, Unsworth B, Foale RA, Korolkova O, Siggers JH, Francis DP, Mayet J, et al. Attenuation of reflected waves in man during retrograde propagation from femoral artery to proximal aorta. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;202:441–445. DOI: 10.1016/j. ijcard.2015.09.064.
- Wilkinson IB, MacCallum H, Hupperetz PC, van Thoor CJ, Cockcroft JR, Webb DJ. Changes in the derived central pressure waveform and pulse pressure in response to angiotensin ii and noradrenaline in man. J Physiol. 2001;530:541–550. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7793.2001.0541k.x.
- 23. Guyton AC. Systemic circulation. *Textbook of Medical Physiology*. 1986;218–229.
- Tanaka H, Dinenno FA, Hunt BE, Jones PP, DeSouza CA, Seals DR. Hemodynamic sequelae of age-related increases in arterial stiffness in healthy women. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1998;82:1152– 1155. DOI: 10.1016/S0002-9149(98)00578-5.
- Guyton AC. Blood pressure control-special role of the kidneys and body fluids. Science. 1991;252:1813–1816. DOI: 10.1126/science.2063193.
- Jacomella V, Shenoy A, Mosimann K, Kohler MK, Amann-Vesti B, Husmann M. The impact of endovascular lower-limb revascularisation on the aortic augmentation index in patients with peripheral arterial disease. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2013;45:497–501. DOI: 10.1016/j. ejvs.2013.01.026.

Supplemental Material

Table S1. Adverse events of the index cohort.

Adverse events	All (30)	lliac (15)	Fempop. (10)	Diagnostic (5)
Peripheral embolization (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Hematoma (%)	2 (7)	1 (7)	1 (10)	0 (0)
Pseudaneurysm (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Other (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Death (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Study limb amputation (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Severe adverse events (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
MALE				
Lower extremity bypass (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Amputation (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

MALE = major adverse limb events; Fempop. = femoropopliteal; BTK = below the knee

Table S2. **Overview of intraprocedural endpoints in the index cohort.** Segmental, serial, invasive aortic blood pressure (aBP) measurements and brachial blood pressure (bBP) measurements before and after endovascular treatment. Mean systolic aBP (A), mean diastolic aBP (B) as measured at baseline before iliac (n=15) or femoropopliteal angioplasty (n=10) or mere diagnostic angiography (n=5). Changes in systolic bBP (C), diastolic bBP (D) and heart rate (E, HR) at baseline before and after angiography or angioplasty measured in the catheter laboratory.

Α	systolic aBF	o baseline	systolic a	BP post	Pair (po	ed differe st-baselir	nce ne)	_
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)
Iliac angioplasty	173	4	149	4	-24	-27	-21	<0.0001
Fempop. angioplasty	164	5	153	5	-11	-14	-8	<0.0001
Diagnostic angiography	157	4	158	5	1	-1	3	0.22
В	diastolic aBP b		diastolic a	Paired difference				
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)
Iliac angioplasty	74	1	66	1	-8	-9	-6	<0.0001
Femoropop. angioplasty	76	2	72	2	-4	-6	-3	<0.0001
Diagnostic angiography	70	2	71	2	1	0	2	0.6
с	systolic bBP baseline		systolic bl	Paired difference systolic bBP post (post-baseline)				
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)
Iliac angioplasty	177	5	156	5	-21	-25	-17	<0.0001
Femoropop. angioplasty	166	8	156	8	-10	-13	-6	<0.0001
Diagnostic angiography	155	8	156	8	1	-2	4	0.5
D	diastolic bB	P baseline	diastolic b	BP post	Paired difference (post-baseline)			_
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)
Iliac angioplasty	72	2	66	3	-6	-9	-3	0.0001
Femoropop. angioplasty	74	4	70	3	-4	-7	-1	0.02
Diagnostic angiography	69	4	69	4	0	-5	6	0.8
E	HR bas	seline	HR p	ost	Paired difference (post-baseline)			_
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)
Iliac angioplasty	75	3	76	2	1	-0.5	4	0.13
Femoropop. angioplasty	77	4	76	4	-1	-2	2	0.9
Diagnostic angiography	70	4	70	3	0	-4	5	0.8

Table S3. Aortic (aBP) and brachial blood pressure (bBP) lowering effect of iliac (n=15) and femoral angioplasty (n=10) in the index cohort as compared to diagnostic angiography (n=5).

Α		syst	olic aBP	
	mean difference	95%	6 CI	p-value (one-way ANOVA)
lliac angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography	-25	-30	-20	<0.0001
Fempop. angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography	-12	-17	-5	<0.0001
В		dias	tolic aBP	
	mean difference	95%	6 CI	p-value (one-way ANOVA)
lliac angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography	-9	-13	-1	0.01
Fempop. angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography	-5	-10	2	0.2
c		syst	olic bBP	
	mean difference 95% Cl (c c angiography -25 -30 -20 nostic angiography -12 -17 -5 diastolic aBP mean difference 95% Cl (c c angiography -9 -13 -1 nostic angiography -9 -13 -1 nostic angiography -5 -10 2 mean difference 95% Cl (c c angiography -5 -10 2 mean difference 95% Cl (c c angiography -2 -30 -14 nostic angiography -11 -19 -2	p-value (one-way ANOVA)		
lliac angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography	-22	-30	-14	<0.0001
Fempop. angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography	-11	-19	-2	0.01

D	diastolic bBP						
	mean difference	95%	p-value (one-way ANOVA)				
Iliac angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography	-6	-13	-1	0.02			
Fempop. angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography	-4	-11	2	0.2			

Table S4. **Physicomechanical endpoints in the index cohort.** (A) Aortic pulse wave velocity at baseline and after peripheral angioplasty of iliac (n=15) and femoropopliteal (n=10) arteries, as well as mere diagnostic angiography (n=5). (B) Timing of the arrival of the reflected wave (T1) to the aorta, (C) change in Alx before and after endovascular treatment or diagnostic angiography.

Α	PWV base	line (m/s)	PWV pc	ost (m/s)	Pair (po	red differe ost-baselir	nce ne)	_
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)
Iliac angioplasty	15.4	0.5	15.2	0.5	-0.3	-0.4	0.1	0.07
Fempop. angioplasty	14.3	0.7	14	0.7	-0.3	-0.7	0.3	0.5
Diagnostic angiography	14.4	1	14.4	0.8	0	-1	1	0.96
В	T1 baseline (ms)		T1 pos	st (ms)	Pair (po	Paired difference (post-baseline)		
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)
lliac angioplasty	103	4	105	3	-2	-1	5	0.1
Fempop. angioplasty	106	4	107	4	-1	-2	4	0.4
Diagnostic angiography	106	2	106	2	0	-5	6	0.8
с	Alx base	line (%)	Alx pc	st (%)	Pair (pc	red differe	nce ne)	-
lliac angionlasty	mean 27	<u>⊃⊏</u> 2.8	mean 15	3E 2.2	mean	-13.0	-9.6	p (t-test)
mae anyiopiasiy	21	2.0	15	2.2	-12	-10.0	-3.0	~0.0001

14

18

2

3.2

-5

0

-7.4

-0.6

-2.5

1

0.0013

0.6

Fempop. angioplasty

Diagnostic angiography

19

18

2.5

3.4

Table S5. Office measurements in the index cohort. Changes in systolic brachial blood pressure (systolic bBP, A) and diastolic brachial blood pressure (diastolic bBP, B), common femoral artery (CFA) blood flow of the target (C) and contralateral leg (D), heart rate (HR, E) and CFA resistance of the target (F) and contralateral leg (G) at baseline one day before and one day after angiography or angioplasty.

A	systolic bBI	^o baseline	svstolic bBP post		Pair (po				
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)	
lliac angioplasty	174	4	154	5	-20	-25	-16	<0.0001	
Femoropop. angioplasty	163	7	153	7	-10	-13	-7	<0.0001	
Diagnostic angiography	156	9	157	9	1	-3	5	0.47	
В	diastolic bB	P baseline	diastolic b	BP post	Pai (po	Paired difference (post-baseline)			
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)	
lliac angioplasty	71	2	65	2	-6	-9	-4	<0.0001	
Femoropop. angioplasty	74	4	70	4	-4	-7	-1	0.01	
Diagnostic angiography	70	3	71	4	0	-4	5	0.8	
с	CFA flow target leg	(ml/min) baseline	CFA flow target le	(ml/min) g post	Pai (po	red differe ost-baselir	nce ne)	-	
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)	
Iliac angioplasty	210	39	348	38	138	96	180	<0.0001	
Femoropop. angioplasty	314	37	377	36	63	50	75	<0.0001	
Diagnostic angiography	301	22	306	29	5	-17	26	0.6	
D	CFA flow (ml/min) contralat. leg baseline		CFA flow contralat.	(ml/min) leg post	Pair (po	red differe ost-baselir	nce ne)	_	
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)	
Iliac angioplasty	330	31	327	30	-3	-17	10	0.6	
Femoropop. angioplasty	360	45	357	42	-3	-27	21	0.8	
Diagnostic angiography	347	38	349	35	2	-18	20	0.8	
E	HR bas	seline	HR p	ost	Pair (po				
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)	
lliac angioplasty	77	3	76	3	-1	-3	6	0.6	
Femoropop. angioplasty	78	4	76	4	-2	-5	1	0.08	
Diagnostic angiography	71	2	69	2	-2	-6	2	0.2	
F	Resistan (mmHg*min/l base	ce CFA I) target leg line	Resistan (mmHg*min/l pos	ce CFA) target leg st	Pail (po	_			
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)	
lliac angioplasty	804	140	326	40	-478	-712	-244	0.0007	
Femoropop. angioplasty	379	51	287	33	-92	-134	-50	0.0008	
Diagnostic angiography	332	36	335	46	3	-37	43	0.85	
G	Resistan (mmHg*min/l) leg bas	ce CFA contralateral seline	Resistan (mmHg [*] contralatera	ce CFA ^t min/l) al leg post	Paired difference (post-baseline)				
	mean	SE	mean	SE	Mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)	
lliac angioplasty	405	40	380	37	-24	-38	-10	0.0022	
Femoropop. angioplasty	335	46	319	49	-16	-41	10	0.19	
Diagnostic angiography	295	35	294	35	-1	-17	15	0.84	

 Table S6. Adverse events of the all-comers registry cohort.

Adverse events	All (381)	lliac (119)	Fempop. (208)	BTK (39)	Diagnostic (15)
Peripheral embolization (%)	9 (2)	4 (3)	5 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Hematoma (%)	13 (3)	4 (3)	7 (3)	2 (5)	0 (0)
Pseudaneurysm (%)	6 (2)	0 (0)	5 (2)	1 (4)	0 (0)
Other (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Death (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Study limb amputation (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Severe adverse events (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
MALE					
Lower extremity bypass (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Amputation (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

MALE = major adverse limb events; Fempop. = femoropopliteal; BTK = below the knee

Table S7. Baseline clinical, demographic and procedural characteristics of the registry cohort compared to the index cohort.

(a) Baseline characteristics	lliac registry	lliac index	р	Fempop. registry	Fempop. index	р	Diagnostic registry	Diagnostic index	р
n	119	15	-	208	10	-	15	5	-
Age (yrs)	70 ± 8	69 ± 10	0.66	72 ± 8	74 ± 8	0.35	68 ± 12	68 ± 11	0.99
Male (%)	85 (71)	11 (73)	0.99	160 (77)	7 (70)	0.7	12 (80)	4 (80)	1
Smoker (%)	78 (66)	11 (73)	0.77	114 (55)	6 (60)	0.34	9 (60)	3 (60)	1
Hypertension (%)	106 (89)	15 (100)	1	187 (90)	10 (100)	1	12 (80)	5 (100)	0.54
Hyperlipidemia (%)	100 (84)	12 (80)	0.71	149 (71)	7 (70)	1	12 (80)	4 (80)	1
CAD (%)	81 (68)	12 (80)	0.55	145 (70)	8 (80)	0.73	11 (73)	5 (100)	0.53
Diabetes (%)	40 (34)	6 (40)	0.77	98 (47)	4 (40)	0.75	6 (40)	1 (20)	0.6
Renal failure (%)	40 (34)	6 (40)	0.77	91 (44)	5 (50)	0.75	6 (40)	2 (40)	1
ASS (%)	115 (97)	15 (100)	1	190 (91)	9 (90)	1	13 (87)	4 (80)	1
Clopidogrel (%)	102 (86)	14 (93)	0.7	169 (81)	9 (90)	0.69	6 (40)	2 (40)	1
Statin (%)	96 (81)	13 (87)	0.74	150 (72)	9 (90)	0.29	10 (67)	4 (80)	1
Antihypertensive treatment (%)	108 (90)	15 (100)	0.6	194 (93)	10 (100)	1	15 (100)	5 (100)	1
ACE (%)	70 (59)	12 (80)	0.11	110 (53)	9 (90)	0.02	8 (53)	4 (80)	0.6
ARB (%)	30 (25)	3 (20)	0.66	55 (26)	1 (10)	0.46	3 (20)	0 (0)	0.54
CBB (%)	45 (38)	6 (40)	1	71 (34)	3 (30)	1	5 (33)	1 (20)	1
Betablocker (%)	75 (63)	7 (47)	0.79	132 (64)	5 (50)	0.5	7 (47)	3(60)	1
Clinical stage									
Rutherford 2-3 (%)	96 (81)	13 (87)	0.74	112 (54)	6 (60)	0.76	3 (20)	5 (100)	0.036
Rutherford 4 (%)	10 (8)	0 (0)	0.6	18 (9)	0 (0)	1	3 (20)	0 (0)	0.54
Rutherford 5-6 (%)	13 (11)	2 (13)	0.68	78 (37)	4 (40)	1	9 (60)	0 (0)	0.04
Baseline ABI	0.53 ± 0.11	0.52 ± 0.11	0.7	0.5 ± 0.1	0.53 ± 0.12	0.4	0.51 ± 0.07	0.53 ± 0.03	0.55
(b) Procedural characteristics									
No. of stents									
0 (%)	4 (3)	0 (0)	1	50 (24)	1 (10)	0.45	15 (100)	5 (100)	1
1 (%)	43 (37)	4 (27)	0.57	80 (38)	7 (70)	0.09	0 (0)	0 (0)	na
2 (%)	59 (49)	9 (60)	0.59	57 (27)	1 (10)	0.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	na
>=3 (%)	13 (11)	2 (13)	0.68	21 (10)	1 (10)	1	0 (0)	0 (0)	na
Length of target lesion (mm)	42.0 ± 6	44.0 ± 7	0.23	158.0 ± 51	165.0 ± 67	0.68	210 ± 78	180 ± 80	0.47
Length of stented segment (mm)	44.0 ± 5	$\textbf{46.0} \pm \textbf{9}$	0.2	164.0 ± 60	172.0 ± 71	0.7	0 (0)	0 (0)	na
Target vessel diameter (mm)	8.1 ± 0.6	$\textbf{8.4}\pm\textbf{0.7}$	0.08	$\textbf{6.2}\pm\textbf{0.6}$	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	0.3	$\textbf{6.9} \pm \textbf{12}$	$\textbf{6.6} \pm \textbf{1}$	0.62
Occlusion (%)	62 (52)	6 (40)	0.38	142 (68)	7 (70)	1	13 (87)	3 (60)	0.2
ABI before discharge	$\textbf{0.92}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	$\textbf{0.92} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	0.99	$\textbf{0.92}\pm\textbf{0.12}$	0.92 ± 0.07	0.99	$\textbf{0.54} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	0.54 ± 0.03	0.99

ABI = ankle-brachial index of the target leg, ACE = angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker, BTK = below the knee, CAD = coronary artery disease, CBB = calcium channel blocker, Fempop. = femoropopliteal. Categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers (n) and percentages (%); statistical comparisons for these were made by the chisquared test. Continuous variables are expressed as mean values and standard deviation and compared by unpaired t-test. The values presented in the column "p-value" represent the overall difference between two groups; bold font indicates a significant difference between groups (p<0.05) **Table S8**. Overview of end points in the registry cohort. Systolic brachial blood pressure (A, systolic bBP) and diastolic brachial blood pressure (B, diastolic bBP) at baseline as measured on the day before and post on the day after mere diagnostic angiography of peripheral arteries (n=15) or elective angioplasty of iliac (n=119), femoropopliteal (n=208), and below-the-knee (BTK, n=39) arteries.

A	systolic bBF	^o baseline	systolic b	BP post	Pair (po	ed differe st-baselin	nce ne)	_
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	95%	6 CI	p (t-test)
lliac angioplasty	152	2	133	2	-19	-15	-23	<0.001
Fempop. angioplasty	154	2	143	1	-12	-9	-15	<0.001
BTK angioplasty	143	3	136	3	-7	-1	-14	0.032
Diagnostic angiography	149	3	147	4	-2	2	-6	0.271

В	diastolic bBP baseline		diastolic bBP post		Paired difference (post-baseline)			_
	mean	SE	Mean	SE	mean	95% CI		p (t-test)
lliac angioplasty	77	2	72	2	-5	-2	-9	0.006
Femoropop. angioplasty	77	1	73	1	-4	-1	-6	0.002
BTK angioplasty	77	3	77	3	-1	6	-8	0.849
Diagnostic angiography	78	2	83	9	5	21	-11	0.460

Table S9. Change in brachial blood pressure (bBP) following angioplasty in the registry cohort. Delta office systolic bBP (A) and diastolic bBP (B) after elective angioplasty of iliac (n=119), femoropopliteal (n=208), below-the-knee (BTK, n=39) arteries as compared to delta bBP after diagnostic angiography (n=15).

Α systolic bBP p-value mean difference 95% CI (one-way ANOVA) Iliac angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography -17 -31 -8 0.0005 Fempop. angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography -10 -23 -1 0.04 BTK vs diagnostic angiography -5 -5 19 0.34 в diastolic bBP p-value mean difference 95% CI (one-way ANOVA) Iliac angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography -10 -17 -2 0.01

-9

-6

-15

-14

-0.3

4

0.04

0.33

Fempop. angioplasty vs diagnostic angiography

BTK vs diagnostic angiography

Table S10. Factors associated with change of systolic brachial blood pressure (bBP). Multiple linear regression analysis was performed with comorbidities (age, BMI, CKD, sex, CAD, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, diabetes, hypertension, COPD), hemodynamic characteristics (AIx, PWV, bBP) and localization of the proximal stenosis (iliac vs. femoropopliteal vs. BTK vs diagnostic angiography) as covariates.

Univariate analysis	Index cohort (n=30)	Registry cohort (n=381)	All patients (n=411)		
Baseline systolic bBP	r=-0.35; R ² =0.12; p=0.06	r=-0.51; R²=0.24; p<0.001	r=-0.50; R²=0.25; p<0.001		
Baseline diastolic bBP	r=-0.19; R ² =0.04; p=0.32	r=-0.23; R²=0.10; p<0.001	r=-0.21; R²=0.05; p<0.001		
Baseline Alx	r=-0.39; R²=0.14; p=0.04	n/a	n/a		
Baseline PWV	r=-0.22; R ² =0.05; p=0.23	n/a	n/a		
Segment of angioplasty					
1 = iliac	1: r=-0.73; R²=0.53; p<0.001	1: r=-0.20; R ² =0.04; p<0.001	1: r=-0.22; R ² =0.05; p<0.001		
2 = fempop + BTK	2: r=0.26; R ² =0.07; p=0.08	2: r=0.14; R ² =0.02; p=0.004	2: r=0.14; R ² =0.02; p=0.002		
Multivariate analysis	Index cohort (n=30)	Registry cohort (n=381)	All patients (n=411)		
	R ² = 0.78, constant =-16,6	$R^2 = 0.31$, constant = 62.3	<u>R²=0.29, constant = 54,76</u>		
Baseline systolic bBP	Beta-Coeff.=0.07; p=0.59	Beta-Coeff.=-0.49; p<0.001	Beta-Coeff.=-0.44; p<0.001		
Baseline diastolic bBP	Beta-Coeff.=-0.16; p=0.21	Beta-Coeff.=-0.01; p=0.80	Beta-Coeff.=-0.04; p=0.43		
Baseline Alx	Bota-Cooff -0.60: p=0.55	nlo	,		
Deselves DM/M	Deta-Coeff.=0.00, p=0.00	II/a	n/a		
Baseline PWV	Beta-Coeff.=0.02; p=0.86	n/a	n/a n/a		
Baseline PWV Segment of angioplasty	Beta-Coeff.=0.02; p=0.86	n/a	n/a n/a		
Segment of angioplasty 1 = iliac	Beta-Coeff.=0.02; p=0.86 1: Beta-Coeff.=-1.1; p<0.0001	n/a 1: Beta-Coeff.=-0.40; p=0.001	n/a n/a 1: Beta-Coeff.=-0.42; p<0.001		

bBP = brachial blood pressure; AIx = augmentation index; PWV = pulse wave velocity; Fempop. = femoropopliteal; BTK = below the knee;

bold font indicates a significant association with change of systolic bBP (p<0.05).





Changes of mean systolic/diastolic aBP (A) and mean systolic/diastolic bBP (B) are given in delta BP, data to calculate changes in BP are derived from Figure 2. Bars indicate mean and standard error of the mean. *, & and # indicate significant differences at p < 0.05 (one-way ANOVA).