# iScience



## Article

## Wind-assisted sprint migration in northern swifts



### Susanne Åkesson, Giuseppe Bianco

susanne.akesson@biol.lu.se

#### Highlights

Geolocators were used to estimate migration speeds in Laplandbreeding common swifts.

Migration was straighter and faster in spring than in autumn.

Overall spring migration speeds were higher than predicted by theory.

Fueling at stopover, flyand-forage, and wind assistance enabled maximum speeds.

Åkesson & Bianco, iScience 24, 102474 June 25, 2021 © 2021 The Author(s). https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.isci.2021.102474

## **iScience**



## Article Wind-assisted sprint migration in northern swifts

Susanne Åkesson<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Giuseppe Bianco<sup>1</sup>

### SUMMARY

Long-distance migration has evolved repeatedly in animals and covers substantial distances across the globe. The overall speed of migration in birds is determined by fueling rate at stopover, flight speed, power consumption during flight, and wind support. The highest speeds (500 km/day) have been predicted in small birds with a fly-and-forage strategy, such as swallows and swifts. Here, we use GLS tracking data for common swifts breeding in the northern part of the European range to study seasonal migration strategies and overall migration speeds. The data reveal estimated overall migration speeds substantially higher (average: 570 km/day; maximum: 832 km/day over 9 days) than predicted for swifts. In spring, swift routes provided 20% higher tailwind support than in autumn. Sustained migration speeds of this magnitude can only be achieved in small birds by a combined strategy including high fueling rate at stopover, fly-and-forage during migration, and selective use of tailwinds.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Birds have inhabited all continents on the planet and regularly perform some of the longest migrations recorded (Alerstam et al., 2003; Beason et al., 2012; Croxall et al., 2005; Gill et al., 2009; Stutchbury et al., 2009; Egevang et al., 2010; Bairlein et al., 2012; DeLuca et al., 2015; Sokolovskis et al., 2018). Tracking data show substantial variation in phenology, routes, and speed of migration, but for most birds, spring migration is faster than that in autumn (Nilsson et al., 2013). The overall migration speed is dependent on flight speed, fuel deposition rate and power consumption during flight (Hedenström & Alerstam 1997, 1998), and body size and flight style, with the highest speeds in smaller birds, typically 200-400 km/day (Alerstam et al., 2003; Hedenström and Alerstam 1998). For swallows and swifts that forage during flight on migration, the overall migration speed, including both fueling and flight, is predicted to be 500 km/day (Hedenström and Alerstam 1998). However, because of a maximum sustained metabolic scope (Hammond and Diamond 1997) and a high energy cost of flight (Pennycuick 1989), aerial insectivores may still need to rest for ca 25% of the time during migration (Hedenström and Alerstam 1998). Common swifts (Apus apus) spend up to 10 months on the wing during non-breeding (Hedenström et al., 2016), with a highly mobile lifestyle shared within the genus (Liechti et al., 2013; Hedenström et al., 2019), including regular high altitude flights (Hedenström et al., 2016, 2019; Dokter et al., 2013; Meier et al., 2018). Here, we use GLS tracking data for common swifts breeding in the northern part of their range to study seasonal migration strategies and overall migration speeds.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Migration phenology**

Common swifts remain airborne during non-breeding (Hedenström et al., 2016), enabling a highly mobile lifestyle and exploration of ephemeral food resources (Åkesson et al., 2012; Wellbrock et al., 2017; Boano et al., 2020). The timing of movements is important with respect to food availability and winds (Åkesson and Helm 2020; Wellbrock et al., 2017; Boano et al., 2020; Åkesson et al., 2016) and involves limited periods of fueling prior to autumn migration (Åkesson et al., 2012). Although these swifts spend most of their non-breeding year on the wing, their migrations are characterized by periods of relative geographic stasis (which we will call "stopovers") interspersed with periods of concerted directional flight. Adult common swifts tracked by geolocation departed from the breeding sites in Swedish Lapland on average 15 August (standard deviation [SD]:  $\pm$ 10 days, range: 3 Aug-7 Sept, n = 19; Table 1). The swifts arrived at their wintering areas south of the Sahara approximately six weeks later by on average 26 September

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Centre for Animal Movement Research, Lund University, Ecology Building, 223 62 Lund, Sweden

<sup>2</sup>Lead contact

\*Correspondence: susanne.akesson@biol.lu.se https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci. 2021.102474







	Autumn			Spring			
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range	
Departure date	15-Aug	10	3-Aug - 7-Sept	14-May	6	6-May - 29-May	
Arrival date	26-Sep	13	31-Aug - 14-Oct	29-May	7	17-May - 9-June	
Travel time (days)	20	7	10–46	10	2	7–15	
Stopover time (days)	22	13	5–44	5	4	0–15	
Total migration (days)	42	15	18–66	15	5	9–25	
Total distance (km)	9933	1175	8000-12,025	7996	553	6594–9820	
N stops	3	1	1–4	1	1	0–3	
Detour (%)	38	19	15–89	13	7	2–30	
Travel speed (km/day)	506	1129	256-888	816	138	641–1119	
Migration speed (km/day)	250	88	147–483	570	143	312-832	
Flight in migration (%)	51	16	29–87	71	18	37–100	

Table 1. Migration characteristics for autumn (19 individuals) and spring (20) recorded by light-level geolocators for common swifts *Apus apus* breeding in Swedish Lapland

(SD:  $\pm 13$  days, range: 31 Aug-14 Oct, n = 19; Table 1). Autumn migration involved 1–4 periods of residency ("stopover sites" with restricted geographic movements, hereafter "stopover"; Table 1) mainly located between 35° and 50° latitude, just before the crossing of the Sahara Desert (Figures 1A and 2A). Only 6 out of 19 birds engaged in a single stopover north of 55° latitude soon after departure in autumn. For 3 of these birds, the stop lasted only 2 days, and for the other 3 birds, the stops lasted 3, 8, and 15 days. Spring migration involving prolonged directed transportation flights started on average 14 May from the most northern site of residency south of the Sahara (SD:  $\pm 6$  days, n = 19; Table 1) and lasted on average 15  $\pm$  5 days. Swifts arrived to the breeding areas on average 29 May (SD:  $\pm 7$  days, n = 19; range: 17 May-9 June, n = 20; Table 1) and remained resident at maximum 3 areas during spring migration, with 3 birds not performing any stopover at all (Table S1. Statistics for autumn and spring migration, related to Figure 1). Spring stopovers were predominantly located around the Mediterranean area (i.e., North Africa and Europe; Lat:  $35^{\circ}$ – $45^{\circ}$ ) (Figures 1A and 2A).

The swifts spent significantly more days resident at stopovers during autumn migration (mean  $\pm$  SD: 22  $\pm$  13 days) than in spring (mean  $\pm$  SD: 5  $\pm$  5 days) (V = 190, n = 19, p < 0.001). Both total days spent on migration (t = 8.29, df = 18, p < 0.001) and the number of travel days, i.e., days in transportation flight (V = 190, n = 19, p < 0.001), were higher in autumn as compared to in spring (Table 1).

#### **Routes and migration strategy**

The swifts left the breeding areas in Swedish Lapland toward southeast in autumn. Thereafter, they migrated south across northern Europe, where they shifted toward southwest to stopover sites on the Iberian Peninsula (Figure 1A). A lower number of swifts used stopover sites in south-eastern Europe, from Italy to Greece (Figure 1A). After stopover in the Mediterranean region, the swifts initiated broad front migration across the western and central parts of the Sahara Desert (Figure 1A; Åkesson et al., 2012). The crossing involved some staging time in the Sahel zone, before they reached the winter destinations in sub-Saharan West to Central Africa (Figure 1A).

Spring migration across the Sahara was initiated directly from the wintering sites or via a period of residency (stopover) spent in West Africa (Liberia; Åkesson et al., 2012) (Figure 1A). It took on average longer time (26 days) for the swifts to cross the Sahara in autumn from the Mediterranean region (i.e., approx. 40° latitude) to their final migration destination in the sub-Saharan region including stationary and directed migration flight segments (SD:  $\pm$ 19 days, n = 19), than in spring from the departure location south of the Sahara to the Mediterranean Sea (7 days; SD:  $\pm$ 3 days, n = 19) (t = 4.34, df = 18, p < 0.001). There was also a difference in days spent in travel for the Sahara crossing between autumn (mean  $\pm$  SD: 11  $\pm$  8 days) and spring (mean  $\pm$  SD: 5  $\pm$  2 days) (t = 2.57, df = 18, p < 0.05).

The migration routes were significantly longer in autumn (mean  $\pm$  SD: 9533  $\pm$  1175 km) than in spring (mean  $\pm$  SD: 7996  $\pm$  553 km) (t = 5.09 df = 18, p < 0.001; Table 1), resulting in significantly longer detours

## iScience Article





#### Figure 1. Routes and difference in migration performance for common swifts in autumn vs. spring

(A) Routes from the breeding area in Swedish Lapland (black filled square) to sub-Saharan Africa depicted by miniature light-level geolocators (GLS). Lines connect 1-day GLS average locations (black dots), and open circles denote the location and duration of stopovers along the migratory routes. Locations affected by the equinox (unknown latitude) are shown by open dots and dashed lines.

(B) Differences between autumn and spring migration in common swifts (n = 19). Boxplots show data distribution, and black lines connect the same individual during both migratory seasons. For averages and ranges, see Table 1; for individuals' performance, see Table S1. Statistics for autumn and spring migration, related to Figure 1.

calculated relative to a great circle route distance (Imboden and Imboden 1972) in autumn as compared to in spring (mean detour  $\pm$  SD: 38  $\pm$  19% in autumn, and 13  $\pm$  7% in spring, t = 5.15, df = 18, p < 0.001; Figure 1E).

Our data reveal that common swifts breeding in the northernmost part of the European range migrate by more direct routes, i.e. shorter detours, in spring as compared to in autumn, but also following shorter detours in both seasons as compared to more southern populations (Åkesson et al., 2012, 2016). Still they cover substantial distances on migration (on average > 9900 km in autumn and >7900 km in spring), exceeding those recorded for populations in south and central Sweden (Åkesson et al., 2012). The initial part of the routes were mainly directed south across northern Europe in autumn as predicted by ringing recoveries (Fransson et al., 2008). The swifts used more and longer stopovers during autumn as compared to in spring, leading to a migration strategy combining prolonged flights and intermittent periods of residency, possibly including also daily foraging for fueling. An increase in stops occurred before the barrier crossing north of the Mediterranean region. The length of stopovers further increased with decreasing latitudes in autumn, with some of the longest noted in the northern Sahel zone on the southern border to the Sahara Desert, suggesting a transition to extended periods of residency during non-breeding allocated to this region (Åkesson et al., 2012). Likely, swifts were exploring good foraging conditions here before resumed migration (Åkesson et al., 2012, 2016; Norevik et al., 2019).

Only six birds explored autumn stopovers soon after departing from the breeding sites (>55° latitude, 2– 15 days). This suggests initial fueling before departure from the breeding area (13 out of 19 birds), and for six swifts using initial stopover involving relatively short periods, and prolonged flights to stopover areas further to the south. The use of initial stopover may, however, also be affected by wind conditions met *en route* and not just the need to refuel. Our data suggest a short fueling period before migration is initiated, as compared to other long-distance avian migrants (Lindström 1991, 2003).

Stopover use during spring migration was very limited in our northern swifts, suggesting a migration strategy including foraging and flight along the way. This pattern suggests that the swifts minimize the overall time spent on spring migration (Alerstam and Lindström 1990). Three individuals did not use any stopover at all during spring migration, while the majority of the birds explored 1–3 staging areas, lasting on average 5 days, resulting in faster migration in spring than in autumn (Nilsson et al., 2013; Åkesson et al., 2012).







## Figure 2. Migration performance and estimated wind support for common swifts as function of latitude (A) Mean duration ( $\pm$ SE) and total number of stopover periods.

(B) Predicted flight altitude and tailwind support ( $\pm$ SE).

(C) Predicted mean tailwind difference encountered by common swifts departing at  $\pm 3$  days of their actual departure date. Negative values mean that the bird choosing a different departure day would have had on average less profitable winds at the given latitude.

The approximate latitudinal extent of the ecological barrier including the Sahara Desert and the Mediterranean Sea is indicated by background shaded areas.

## iScience Article



As support for a difference in migration strategy between seasons, we found more time spent in directed flight during spring (on average 71%) than in autumn (51%). The proportion of time spent on directed flight in spring approaches those limits predicted for swifts (ca 75%, Hammond and Diamond 1997) but will still enable swifts to include some periods for foraging and rest, the latter possibly by temporarily reaching higher altitudes (Hedenström et al., 2016, 2019). The activity limit is still relatively high and is restricted by maximum sustained metabolic scope (Hammond and Diamond 1997) and high energy cost of flight (Pennycuick 1989), leading to predicted need to rest for part (ca 25%) of the time during migration for aerial insectivores like swifts (Hedenström and Alerstam 1998). We find it interesting that northern swifts approach those predicted limits with respect to flight time and rest only on spring migration, but not in autumn, when they remain resident for longer periods and spend fewer days in directed flight. The stopovers prior to crossing of the Mediterranean Sea and the Sahara Desert in autumn (Figure 2) suggest fueling before resumed migration, which has also been noted for other populations of swifts (Åkesson et al., 2016).

#### **Migration and travel speeds**

The swifts reached faster average overall migration speeds in spring (mean  $\pm$  SD: 570  $\pm$  143 km/day) as compared to in autumn (mean  $\pm$  SD: 250  $\pm$  88 km/day), including periods both at stopover and in directed transportation flight, but not including initial stopover (t = -9.24, df = 18, p < 0.001; Figure 1B). Travel speeds, excluding periods of stopover, were also faster in spring (mean  $\pm$  SD: 816  $\pm$  138 km/day) than in autumn (mean  $\pm$  SD: 506  $\pm$  129 km/day) (t = -7.17, df = 18, p < 0.001; Figure 1C). As a result, the swifts spent more time in directed transportation flight during spring migration (mean  $\pm$  SD: 71  $\pm$  18%) as compared to in autumn (mean  $\pm$  SD: 51  $\pm$  16%) (t = -4.67, df = 18, p < 0.001; Figure 1D).

A fly-and-forage strategy as suggested for swifts (Alerstam et al., 2003; Hedenström and Alerstam 1998) will lead to higher predicted migration speeds as compared to a strict migration and stopover strategy widely used by terrestrial birds, for which search and settling costs at stopover may be substantial and the cost of carrying large fuel reserves will be high (Alerstam et al., 2003; Hedenström and Alerstam 1998). However, the observed migration pattern suggests a mixed strategy, including prolonged stopover periods and daily foraging, but with different proportions for autumn and spring. The swifts kept higher migration and travel speeds in spring than in autumn, in line with what has been found in other avian migrants (Nilsson et al., 2013; Norevik et al., 2017; Meier et al., 2020). In fact, the overall migration speeds, not including initial fueling, during spring (570 km/day) exceed those predicted for swifts (500 km/day; Hedenström and Alerstam 1998). The high spring migration speeds further exceed those predicted for birds in general, taking size and maximum fueling rate into account (Alerstam et al., 2003). They are, furthermore, higher than those of other populations of common swifts (170 km/day in autumn and 336 km/day in spring Åkesson et al., 2012).

A challenge to correctly estimate migration speeds is the difficulty to estimate fueling rate and time spent fueling before migration is initiated (Lindström 1991; Lindström et al., 2019). Both factors have strong effects on calculations of overall migration speeds leading to erroneous estimations if not included (Lindström et al., 2019). To define time spent fueling and fueling rate is especially challenging for swifts, being airborne during non-breeding (Hedenström et al., 2016). If we use the estimation of initial period of residency in autumn (median: 2.5 days) recorded for the six swifts departing from the breeding area and making an initial stopover to predict fueling period, we may expect a high capacity for fueling since the time is short and only marginally slower estimations of migration speeds (a reduction by 6% in autumn and 15% in spring, with corresponding average migration speeds of 232 km/day and 485 km/day, respectively). Still, this time may be an underestimation of fueling period prior to spring migration, but since 17 out of 20 individuals initiated their migration from the wintering sites, we cannot estimate fueling time for spring migration from stopover time. Based on the above reasoning, we may double the number of days spent fueling prior to departure to 5 days, leading to a reduction of calculated overall migration speed by 27% in spring resulting in an average speed of 418 km/day. Since swifts may forage daily on their way and they have been shown not to substantially increase body mass prior to departure (Åkesson et al., 2012), we believe the estimations of migration speeds based directly on our data, including the estimated reductions generated by assuming periods of initial fueling (6% reduction in autumn and 15–27% reduction in spring), are realistic. At the same time, the migration speed remains high in relation to other bird migrants (Alerstam et al., 2003; Lindström 1991), but with the size and lifestyle of swifts, this can be expected (Alerstam et al., 2003; Hedenström and Alerstam 1998).

## CellPress

#### Movements in relation to winds

Winds have strong impact on birds in air and especially during migrations when energy and time are minimized (Alerstam and Lindström 1990). Winds can add substantially positively or negatively to realized flight ranges (Liechti 2006). The estimation of strongest wind profit during migration was for both autumn and spring migratory seasons predicted between 25° and 45° of latitudes while crossing the northern part of the Sahara Desert and the Mediterranean Sea (Figure 2B). However, tailwind support was lower during autumn (2.6  $\pm$  2.2 m s-1) as compared to spring migration (8.6  $\pm$  5.5 m s-1) (t = -4.3, df = 18, p < 0.001). The maximum tailwind speed of 36 m s-1 (i.e., more than 3 times the assumed airspeed) was reached in spring around 30°–35° latitude at a flying altitude of 5,500 m a.s.l. Furthermore, autumn migration across the Sahara and the Mediterranean Sea was predicted at a significantly lower altitude than the spring migration (t = -5.5, df = 18, p < 0.001) with the average altitude in autumn of 1,883  $\pm$  621 m a.s.l. compared to 3,421  $\pm$  882 m a.s.l. in spring (Figure 2B).

We did not find any effect of departure day on the tailwind component that the bird would have encountered at their departure location in a  $\pm 3$  days range (likelihood ratio test:  $\chi^2(5) = 5.76$ , p = 0.33) nor did we find any effect of interaction between departure day and migratory season ( $\chi^2(5) = 1.37$ , p = 0.93). Hence, the swifts could have departed any day during the considered week range (both in autumn and spring) without experiencing a significantly different wind condition at their departure location. However, when we considered the effect of departure decision on the tailwind component across the entire migratory route, we found significant support for the fixed effect of departure day but only when considering also the interaction between day and latitude ( $\chi^2(15) = 34.27$ , p < 0.01). This means that the departure decision was affecting the tailwind encountered en route, but with a magnitude, that is a function of latitude. Furthermore, the contribution of the fixed effects to the complete model revealed that interactions between departure day supported when including the interaction with the spring season (multiple interactions at p < 0.05). Hence, in autumn, departure decision did not have any effect on wind conditions en route but only in spring. Furthermore, the estimates of the model for the interaction with spring, departure, and latitude were mostly negative, meaning that the birds were choosing the departure day that resulted in the best wind support in the considered 1-week period.

To further detail in which latitudinal range swifts were more affected by their departure decision, we considered the average tailwind difference between any assumed departure date in the  $\pm 3$  day range and the actual departure date. This analysis showed that swifts were particularly aided (i.e. negative tailwind differences) by their departure decision during spring between 25° and 55° latitudes while crossing the northern part of Sahara Desert and reaching central Europe (Figure 2C). Thanks to their departure decision in this latitudinal range, swifts were able to gain almost 20% of wind support as compared to their south passage in autumn across the same latitudinal range. This proportion approaches differences in migration speeds between spring and autumn reported for a range of bird species (Nilsson et al., 2013), suggesting that at least part of the difference in migration speeds between seasons could be related to selective use of tailwinds by birds migrating along similar routes across the Sahara as our swifts.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Common swifts stay airborne during non-breeding (Hedenström et al., 2016). In short, they live their life in the air where they are continuously exposed to changing weather and winds, sometimes leading to so-called "weather migrations" where swifts occasionally leave the breeding areas on mass migrations in response to bad weather (Koskimies 1950; Hedenström and Åkesson 2017). In addition, wind speeds often exceed air speeds generated by powered flight in birds (Hedenström and Åkesson 2017; Pennycuick et al., 2013; Henningsson et al., 2009) and as such winds need to be continuously handled by swifts on the wing. On migration, winds may have a strong effect on bird migration including timing of migration, flight altitude, drift, and migration speeds (Liechti 2006; Alerstam 1979). Birds have been shown to explore tailwinds for timely departures with wind support during migrations (e.g. Richardson 1978; 1990; Åkesson and Hedenström 2000), suggesting a capacity to optimize their migration with respect to wind profit. Here, we show how much wind support could be gained by exploring winds at different altitudes, resulting in a 20% gain in spring as compared to in autumn.

#### Limitations of the study

This study used geolocation by light (Global Location Sensing) to track the migration of common swifts, which result in limited precision for locations, especially in terrestrial environments due to shading

## iScience Article



(Lisovski et al., 2012, 2020; cf. Åkesson et al., 2016), and lack of latitude information during equinox periods. Future studies would benefit from using miniature Global Positioning System technology to track the movements of individual swifts and to include other populations of swifts with varying migration distances. Since wind (Figure 2C) could only explain part of the difference in overall migration speeds between seasons (about double the speed in spring as compared to in autumn), there may still be other factors that we have not been able to identify. One important factor could be availability of food, affecting fueling rates with strong implications for realized migration speeds (Lindström 1991, 2003), and which may vary between seasons and latitudes. Insect abundance across the season and geographical range would be interesting to include in the study, as well as the choice and quality of the food by foraging swifts. Although we have been able to reveal exceptionally high overall migration speeds in swifts breeding in the northern part of the European range and use of a mixed migration strategy including both fly-and-forage and stopover use, future tracking studies would benefit from recording actual flight altitudes and movements with higher resolution.

#### **Resource** availability

#### Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Susanne Åkesson (Susanne.Akesson@biol.lu.se).

#### Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

#### Data and code availability

The data sets and code generated during this study are available at the tracking database at Lund University [www.canmove.lu.se].

#### **METHODS**

All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.102474.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Birgitta Andersson, Astrid Hedenström, Jan Holmgren, Börje Wennström, Kurt Vennström, and Kenny Ärlebrant who assisted in catching swifts. This is a report from the Center for Animal Movement Research (CAnMove) at Lund University. Permission to attach geolocators to common swifts in Sweden was given by Malmö-Lunds djurförsöksetiska nämnd (M112–09, M470-12). Permission to capture and ring common swifts in Sweden was given by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency and the Swedish Ringing Office (nr 440) to S.Å. Funding was provided by research grants from the Carl Trygger Foundation for Scientific Research (CTS 12:563) and the Swedish Research Council (621-2010-5584, 621-2013-4361, 2016-03625) to S.Å. This study received support from the Center for Animal Movement Research (CAnMove) funded by a Linnaeus grant from the Swedish Research Council (349-2007-8690) and Lund University.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

S.Å. conceived the study, collected and prepared GLS data, and evaluated timing of movements; G.B. performed wind and statistical analyses. Both authors discussed the results and wrote the manuscript.

#### **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: June 11, 2020 Revised: March 4, 2021 Accepted: April 23, 2021 Published: May 20, 2021



#### REFERENCES

Åkesson, S., Klaassen, R., Holmgren, J., Fox, J.W., and Hedenström, A. (2012). Migration routes and strategies in a highly aerial migrant, the common swift *Apus apus*, revealed by light-level geolocators. PLoS One 7, e41195.

Åkesson, S., Bianco, G., and Hedenström, A. (2016). Negotiating an ecological barrier: crossing the Sahara in relation to winds by common swifts. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 371, 20150393.

Åkesson, S., and Hedenström, A. (2000). Wind selectivity of migratory flight departures in birds. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 47, 140–144.

Åkesson, S., and Helm, B. (2020). Endogenous programs and flexibility in bird migration. Front. Ecol. Evol. *8*, 78.

Alerstam, T. (1979). Wind as selective agent in bird migration. Ornis Scand. 10, 76–93.

Alerstam, T., and Lindström, Å. (1990). Optimal bird migration: the relative importance of time, energy, and safety. In Bird Migration (Springer), pp. 331–351.

Alerstam, T., Hedenström, A., and Åkesson, S. (2003). Long-distance migration: evolution and determinants. Oikos 103, 247–260.

Bairlein, F., Norris, D.R., Nagel, R., Bulte, M., Voigt, C.C., Fox, J.W., Hussell, D.J.T., and Schmaljohann, H. (2012). Cross-hemisphere migration of a 25 g songbird. Biol. Lett. *8*, 505–507.

Beason, J.P., Gunn, C., Potter, K.M., Sparks, R.A., and Fox, J.W. (2012). The Northern Black Swift: migration path and wintering area revealed. Wilson J. Ornithol. *124*, 1–8.

Boano, G., Pellegrino, I., Ferri, M., Cucco, M., Minelli, F., and Åkesson, S. (2020). Climate anomalies affect annual survival rates of swifts wintering in sub-Saharan Africa. Ecol. Evol. 10, 7916–7928.

Croxall, J.P., Silk, J.R., Phillips, R.A., Afanasyev, V., and Briggs, D.R. (2005). Global circumnavigations: tracking year-round ranges of nonbreeding albatrosses. Science 307, 249–250.

DeLuca, W.V., Woodworth, B.K., Rimmer, C.C., Marra, P.P., Taylor, P.D., McFarland, K.P., Mackenzie, S.A., and Norris, D.R. (2015). Transoceanic migration by a 12 g songbird. Biol. Lett. *11*, 20141045.

Dokter, A.M., Åkesson, S., Beekhuis, H., Bouten, W., Buurma, L., van Gasteren, H., and Holleman, I. (2013). Twilight ascents by common swifts, *Apus apus*, at dawn and dusk: acquisition of orientation cues? Anim. Behav. *85*, 545–552.

Egevang, C., Stenhouse, I.J., Phillips, R.A., Petersen, A., Fox, J.W., and Silk, J.R. (2010). Tracking of Arctic terns *Sterna paradisaea* reveals longest animal migration. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 107, 2078–2081.

Fransson, T., Österblom, H., and Hall-Karlsson, S. (2008). Swedish Bird Ringing Atlas, *Vol. 2*. Gill, R.E., Tibbitts, T.L., Douglas, D.C., Handel, C.M., Mulcahy, D.M., Gottschalck, J.C., Warnock, N., McCaffery, B.J., Battley, P.F., and Piersma, T. (2009). Extreme endurance flights by landbirds crossing the Pacific Ocean: ecological corridor rather than barrier? Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 276, 447–457.

Hammond, K.A., and Diamond, J. (1997). Maximal sustained energy budgets in humans and animals. Nature 386, 457–462.

Hedenström, A., and Alerstam, T. (1997). Optimum fuel loads in migratory birds: distinguishing between time and energy minimization. J. Theor. Biol. 189, 227–234.

Hedenström, A., and Alerstam, T. (1998). How fast can birds migrate? J. Avian Biol. *29*, 424–432.

Hedenström, A., Norevik, G., Warfvinge, K., Andersson, A., Bäckman, J., and Åkesson, S. (2016). Annual 10-month aerial life phase in the common swift *Apus apus*. Curr. Biol. 26, 3066– 3070.

Hedenström, A., and Åkesson, S. (2017). Adaptive airspeed adjustment and compensation for wind drift in the common swift: difference between day and night. Anim. Behav. 127, 117–123.

Hedenström, A., Norevik, G., Boano, G., Andersson, A., Bäckman, J., and Åkesson, S. (2019). Flight activity in pallid swifts *Apus pallidus* during the non-breeding period. J. Avian Biol. *50*, e01972.

Henningsson, P., Karlsson, H., Bäckman, J., Alerstam, T., and Hedenström, A. (2009). Flight speeds for different seasons: the case of the swift. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B *276*, 2395–2401.

Imboden, C., and Imboden, D. (1972). Formel für Orthodrome und Loxodrome bei der Berechnung von Richtung und Distanz zwischen Beringungs-und Wiederfundort. Vogelwarte 26, 336–346.

Koskimies, J. (1950). The life of the swift, *Micropus apus* (L.), in relation to weather. Ann. Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae A IV Biologica *15*, 1–151.

Lindström, Å. (1991). Maximum fat deposition rates in migrating birds. Orn. Scand. 22, 12–19.

Lindström, Å. (2003). Fuel deposition rates in migrating birds: causes, constraints and consequences. In Avian Migration (Springer), pp. 307–320.

Lindström, Å., Alerstam, T., and Hedenström, A. (2019). Faster fuelling is the key to faster migration. Nat. Clim. Change *9*, 288–289.

Liechti, F. (2006). Birds: blowin' by the wind? J. Ornithol. 147, 202–211.

Liechti, F., Witvliet, W., Weber, R., and Bächler, E. (2013). First evidence of a 200-day non-stop flight in a bird. Nat. Commun. *4*, 1–7.

Lisovski, S., Hewson, C.M., Klaassen, R.H., Korner-Nievergelt, F., Kristensen, M.W., and Hahn, S. (2012). Geolocation by light: accuracy and precision affected by environmental factors. Methods Ecol. Evol. *3* (3), 603–612.

**iScience** 

Article

Lisovski, S., Bauer, S., Briedis, M., Davidson, S.C., Dhanjal-Adams, K.L., Hallworth, M.T.,., and Bridge, E.S. (2020). Light-level geolocator analyses: a user's guide. J. Anim. Ecol. *89*, 221–236.

Meier, C.M., Karaardıç, H., Aymí, R., Peev, S.G., Bächler, E., Weber, R., Witvliet, W., and Liechti, F. (2018). What makes Alpine swift ascend at twilight? Novel geolocators reveal year-round flight behaviour. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 72, 45.

Meier, C.M., Karaardıç, H., Aymí, R., Peev, S.G., Witvliet, W., and Liechti, F. (2020). Populationspecific adjustment to the annual cycle in a superswift trans-Saharan migrant. J. Avian Biol. 2020, e02515.

Norevik, G., Boano, G., Hedenström, A., Lardelli, R., Liechti, F., and Åkesson, S. (2019). Highly mobile insectivorous swifts perform multiple intra-tropical migrations to exploit an asynchronous African phenology. Oikos *128*, 640–648.

Norevik, G., Åkesson, S., and Hedenström, A. (2017). Migration strategies and annual space-use in an Afro-Palaearctic aerial insectivore-the European nightjar *Caprimulgus europaeus*. J. Avian Biol. *48*, 738–747.

Nilsson, C., Klaassen, R.H., and Alerstam, T. (2013). Differences in speed and duration of bird migration between spring and autumn. Am. Nat. 181, 837–845.

Pennycuick, C.J. (1989). Bird Flight Performance (Oxford University Press).

Pennycuick, C.J., Åkesson, S., and Hedenström, A. (2013). Air speeds of migrating birds observed by ornithodolite and compared with predictions from flight theory. J. R. Soc. Interface 10, 20130419.

Richardson, W.J. (1978). Timing and amount of bird migration in relation to weather: a review. Oikos *30*, 224–272.

Richardson, W.J. (1990). Timing of bird migration in relation to weather: updated review. In Bird Migration, E. Gwinner, ed. (Springer), pp. 78–101.

Sokolovskis, K., Bianco, G., Willemoes, M., Solovyeva, D., Bensch, S., and Åkesson, S. (2018). Ten grams and 13,000 km on the wing-route choice in willow warblers *Phylloscopus trochilus yakutensis* migrating from Far East Russia to East Africa. Movem. Ecol. *6*, 1–10.

Stutchbury, B.J., Tarof, S.A., Done, T., Gow, E., Kramer, P.M., Tautin, J., Fox, J.W., and Afanasyev, V. (2009). Tracking long-distance songbird migration by using geolocators. Science *323*, 896.

Wellbrock, A.H.J., Bauch, C., Rozman, J., and Witte, K. (2017). 'Same procedure as last year?' Repeatedly tracked swifts show individual consistency in migration pattern in successive years. J. Avian Biol. *48*, 897–903. iScience, Volume 24

## Supplemental information

Wind-assisted sprint migration

in northern swifts

Susanne Åkesson and Giuseppe Bianco

## **Supplemental Information**

### **Transparent Methods**

### Experimental model and subject details

Permissions were given by the Malmö/Lund Ethical Committee for Scientific work on animals (Dnr 5.8.18-12719/2017). Permission to attach geolocators to common swifts in Sweden was given by Malmö-Lunds djurförsöksetiska nämnd (M112-09, M470-12). Permission to trap and ring common swifts in Sweden was given by the Swedish Nature Protection Agency and the Swedish Ringing Centre (nr 440) to SÅ.

### Study site and capture of birds

In total 45 adult common swifts were captured by mistnets at the nest sites and equipped with miniature geolocators (GLS) in Hakkas (66.92°N, 21.55°E), Swedish Lapland in 2010, 2012 and 2014. In total 24 (53%) of the logged swifts were recaptured in later seasons, resulting in similar recapture rate as other colonies (Åkesson et al. 2012, 2016; Morganti et al. 2018). Out of these, 20 loggers recorded movements during one full year including both autumn and spring migrations for 20 unique individuals, but from these timing of autumn migration was missing out for one bird due to equinox problems (Table 1).

We used archival light loggers (Intigeo-W55B1 and W65B1) from Migrate Technology Ltd. without a stalk (Åkesson et al. 2012; Morganti et al. 2018). The logger was attached to the bird with a full body harness made of a soft braded nylon string, with one loop around the neck and each wing, respectively (Åkesson et al. 2012). The mass of the geolocator including harness (0.8-1.0 g depending on model), never reached above 3% of the body mass (Åkesson et al. 2012). We noted no signs on the skin or plumage indicating damage due to the attachment of the harness or geolocator at recapture.

### Geolocation

For both geolocator models, we used the program *Intiproc* v.1.03 provided by the manufacturer Migrate Technology Ltd., to perform the initial linear correction function for the clock drift. A critical sun angle corresponding to a light-level value of 2 on the arbitrary geolocator light scale was used to minimize the difference in latitude between pre-and post-equinox, and at the same time minimize the uncertainty in latitude close to equinox for periods when the swifts were stationary as defined by the estimations of longitude. The "Hill-Ekstrom" procedure (Ekstrom 2004) was used to evaluate sun angle for each track as outlined in Åkesson et al. (2012). Depending on logger model, the sun angles varied between -3.0 to -4.0 and -5.0 to -6.8 degrees. From analyses of each trajectory, we excluded a five-week period around the autumn and spring equinoxes (i.e., two weeks prior to and three weeks after autumn equinox, and the reverse in spring), but used the longitude data to evaluate timing of movements as outlined in Åkesson et al. (2016). Due to the clean light

measurements (Åkesson et al. 2016), we could calculate a mean position for each day, and we used those positions for further analyses of route choice and timing of movement for the individual swifts.

Archival light-level geolocators attached to forest dwelling birds generate substantial errors in light measurements (latitude: 143±62 km, longitude: 50±34 km, mean±95% confidence interval; Fudickar et al. 2011; Lisovski et al. 2012), but since common swifts stay airborne during the non-breeding period (Hedenström et al. 2016), and the light sensor receives continuous light exposure, lower errors are expected as discussed in Åkesson et al. (2016).

### **Evaluation of movement data**

Departure date from the breeding site was estimated based on a change in pattern of light data (i.e., extended dark periods at night, and regular visits in the nestbox during daytime when feeding young during breeding, to an abrupt change to continuous light in daytime and dark nights during migration). We evaluated the timing of departure from breeding and wintering sites, as well as stopover duration and speed of migration in autumn and spring. For each track we defined staging areas, i.e., stop-over sites where the swifts stayed on average >2 days with limited change in latitude and longitude positions, and movement segments where those positions changed between days, as outlined in Åkesson et al. (2016) and exemplified in Figure S1. Method to classify GLS data, Related to Figure 1. In autumn, movements sometimes coincided with the equinox period, and then we used only longitude to define periods of stopover and periods of movement, which was possible because of substantial longitude shifts during migration (Åkesson et al. 2016). For part of tracks around equinoxes, the trajectory was represented by using linear temporal interpolation of the latitudes as shown in Figure 1A.

We used the movement trajectories including positions for prolonged stopover sites, to calculate both overall migration speed (movements including stopover time; km/day) and travel speed (speed of movement during travel days; km/day) for autumn and spring migration. Locations of staging areas are given as the mean latitude and longitude positions for the time spent resident. The characteristics of movements during autumn and spring are given in Table 1.

## Evaluation of wind profit and departure decisions

To evaluate the wind profit along the migratory routes, we first linearly interpolated the GLS positions at 6-hour interval to have constant timestamps that were also coinciding with the wind database temporal resolution (i.e., 00:00, 06:00, 12:00 and 18:00 UTC; see below). Then we annotated all locations with wind data from the NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis project (<u>www.cdc.noaa.gov</u>) using the RNCEP R package (Kemp et al. 2012a). Since GLS do not provide information on flight altitude, we used wind conditions at six pressure levels (i.e. 1,000, 925, 800, 700, 600 and 500 hPa), corresponding to approximately 100, 750, 1,500,

3,000, 4,200 and 5,500 m above sea level (a.s.l.). For each location and all pressure levels, we calculated the wind profit using the airspeed equation in the RNCEP package, assuming that swifts flew with fixed airspeed of 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> (Hedenström & Åkesson 2017), and preferred direction coinciding with the direction to the next location along the track (Kemp et al. 2012b). Finally, for each location we selected the flight altitude corresponding to the pressure level that provided the strongest wind support expressed as the speed of the tailwind component. That is, the bird was allowed to change altitude every 6h to find the optimal performance at the given location. The predicted flight altitude and the corresponding tailwind speed were averaged at 5° latitude intervals along the tracks before plotting and statistical testing seasonal and regional differences as outlined below.

To infer departure decisions in relation to winds, we annotated all tracks' departures (from breeding area, wintering area or any stopover location) with wind data as outlined above. We calculated the potential wind profit as tailwind difference between any of the 3 days previous departure and 3 days after departure (i.e., one-week interval centred around the actual departure date) and the actual departure date. In this scenario, a negative tailwind difference means that in the considered day the bird would have received less support from the winds (i.e., the bird did the right decision not departing in this day) and a positive value would indicate that a better wind situation existed for departure than the one chosen by the bird. In this way, we could assess whether a departure decision was based on favourable wind conditions at the departure locations. Similarly, we calculated tailwind difference at ±3 days for each track at 5<sup>o</sup> latitude intervals. In this way we could also test whether departure decisions were rather based on favourable winds along the upcoming migratory leg and, hence, on the ability of the bird to predict wind conditions a few days ahead and in anticipated geographical locations several kilometres away from the departure location.

### Quantification and statistical analysis

Analyses of migratory movement data were done with paired samples t-test or paired samples Wilcoxon test after a Shapiro-Wilk normality test. To assess the potential effect of departure decision on wind support during migration we built a series of linear mixed-effects models using the *lme4* package version 1.1-23 (Bates et al. 2015) and *lmerTest* 3.1-2 (Kuznetsova et al. 2017). To test whether departure decisions were made based on wind at the departure location we modelled the difference of tailwind support against the fixed effects of migratory season and departure day (±3 days range). We included in the model the interaction between season and day and bird ID as random factor to account for the repeated measures of the same individual. To assess the effect of departure decision along the migratory route we modelled the difference of tailwind support averaged in 5° of latitude bins including season, day, latitude and their interactions as fixed effects and bird ID as random effect. For both models, we evaluated the contributions of the fixed effects comparing the complete models above against a depleted model missing the specific fixed effect and/or its interactions with the likelihood ratio test (Bates et al. 2015). Maps, plots

and statistical analysis were performed in the software R ver. 3.6.3 (R Development Core Team 2020).

## **Supplemental references**

Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. J. Stat. Software 67, 1e48.

Ekstrom, P.A. (2004). An advance in geolocation by light. Memoirs of the National Insitute of Polar Research, Special Issue, 58, 210–226.

Fudickar, A. M., Wikelski, M., & Partecke, J. (2011). Tracking migratory songbirds: accuracy of light-level loggers (geolocators) in forest habitats. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3, 47–52.

Kemp, M.U., Emiel van Loon, E., Shamoun-Baranes, J., & Bouten, W. (2012a). RNCEP: global weather and climate data at your fingertips. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3, 65–70.

Kemp, M.U., Shamoun-Baranes, J., van Loon, E.E., McLaren, J.D., Dokter, A.M. & Bouten, W. (2012b). Quantifying flow-assistance and implications for movement research. J. Theor. Biol. 308, 56-67.

Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B. & Christensen, R.H.B. (2017). "ImerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models." J. Stat. Software 82, 1–26.

Morganti, M., Rubolini, D., Åkesson, S., Bermejo, A., de la Puente, J., Lardelli, R., Liechti, F., Boano, G., Tomassetto, E., Ferri, M., Caffi, M., Saino, N., and Ambrosini, R. (2018). Effect of light-level geolocators on apparent survival of two highly aerial swift species. J. Avian Biol. 49, jav-01521.

R Development Core Team. (2020). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna (Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available from: <u>http://www.R-project.org</u>.



## Figure S1. Method to classify GLS data. Related to Figure 1.

Example of the information used to classify movements from GLS data. Raw data (triangles) refers to locations obtained by light measurements twice a day. The two daily locations are averaged to obtain a daily location (circles) that define the migratory path. Stopovers are identified combining observation of locations (raw and 1d average) and daily changes in latitude, longitude and displacement. For illustration purpose latitude locations during equinoxes have been linearly interpolated.

Table S1. Statistics for autumn and spring migration. Related to Figure 1.Data are for n=20 common swifts breeding in Swedish Lapland and wintering in sub-Saharan Africa.

Season	ID	Departure	Arrival	Travel time	Stopover time	Total migration	Total distance	N stops	Detour	Travel speed	Migration speed	Flight in migration
1 Autumn	3213_A40431	04-Aug	10-Sep	20 d	17 d	37 d	8000 km	3	26 %	397 km/d	215 km/d	54 %
2 Autumn	3215_A32231	06-Aug	27-Sep	18 d	33 d	52 d	9922 km	4	53 %	537 km/d	191 km/d	36 %
3 Autumn	3219_A40439	16-Aug	07-Sep	16 d	5 d	21 d	8571 km	1	30 %	541 km/d	403 km/d	75 %
4 Autumn	3220_A32213	15-Aug	03-Oct	20 d	28 d	49 d	9197 km	2	41 %	451 km/d	188 km/d	42 %
5 Autumn	3224_A40442	12-Aug	14-Oct	18 d	44 d	62 d	9178 km	4	16 %	509 km/d	147 km/d	29 %
6 Autumn	3225_A32225	15-Aug	13-Oct	17 d	42 d	58 d	10362 km	2	43 %	616 km/d	177 km/d	29 %
7 Autumn	3226_A32224	21-Aug	14-Sep	14 d	10 d	24 d	8388 km	2	28 %	594 km/d	348 km/d	59 %
8 Autumn	3228_A40448	09-Aug	29-Sep	21 d	30 d	51 d	9101 km	3	32 %	425 km/d	178 km/d	42 %
9 Autumn	3229_A40451	06-Aug	16-Sep	18 d	22 d	40 d	10420 km	3	51 %	582 km/d	259 km/d	44 %
10 Autumn	3231_A40453	16-Aug	25-Sep	23 d	16 d	39 d	9859 km	2	30 %	420 km/d	251 km/d	60 %
11 Autumn	3232_A32245	07-Aug	12-Oct	22 d	44 d	66 d	10311 km	4	45 %	470 km/d	157 km/d	33 %
12 Autumn	A260_A31257	07-Sep	08-Oct	21 d	10 d	31 d	8057 km	3	23 %	388 km/d	258 km/d	66 %
13 Autumn	A269_A31256	13-Aug	31-Aug	10 d	8 d	18 d	8455 km	1	16 %	888 km/d	483 km/d	54 %
14 Autumn	A272_A31267	02-Sep	02-Oct	20 d	10 d	30 d	9332 km	3	42 %	466 km/d	316 km/d	68 %
15 Autumn	A283_A31260	29-Aug	29-Sep	19 d	13 d	32 d	8380 km	1	15 %	436 km/d	264 km/d	61 %
16 Autumn	A288_A31266	14-Aug	14-Oct	25 d	21 d	61 d	12025 km	4	89 %	490 km/d	199 km/d	41 %
17 Autumn	A295_A31265	07-Aug	29-Sep	46 d	7 d	53 d	11713 km	3	69 %	256 km/d	222 km/d	87 %
18 Autumn	A306_A31264	13-Aug	17-Sep	18 d	17 d	35 d	10803 km	2	54 %	591 km/d	306 km/d	52 %
19 Autumn	N531_A31261	03-Aug	20-Sep	16 d	31 d	47 d	9058 km	2	22 %	557 km/d	192 km/d	34 %
20 Spring	3213_A40431	19-May	04-Jun	11 d	5 d	15 d	7928 km	2	20 %	747 km/d	521 km/d	70 %
21 Spring	3215_A32231	15-May	07-Jun	9 d	15 d	24 d	7737 km	3	7 %	883 km/d	326 km/d	37 %
22 Spring	3219_A40439	09-May	20-May	12 d	0 d	12 d	7497 km	0	4 %	641 km/d	641 km/d	100 %
23 Spring	3220_A32213	13-May	06-Jun	10 d	15 d	25 d	7712 km	2	9 %	770 km/d	312 km/d	40 %
24 Spring	3221_A40440	12-May	21-May	9 d	0 d	9 d	6594 km	0	2 %	733 km/d	733 km/d	100 %
25 Spring	3224_A40442	10-May	31-May	10 d	11 d	21 d	7859 km	2	20 %	776 km/d	374 km/d	48 %
26 Spring	3225_A32225	14-May	24-May	10 d	0 d	10 d	7696 km	0	14 %	745 km/d	745 km/d	100 %
27 Spring	3226_A32224	23-May	05-Jun	9 d	4 d	13 d	7918 km	1	7 %	923 km/d	613 km/d	66 %
28 Spring	3228_A40448	06-May	20-May	12 d	3 d	15 d	8395 km	1	16 %	693 km/d	570 km/d	82 %
29 Spring	3229_A40451	10-May	30-May	10 d	9 d	20 d	7887 km	2	12 %	754 km/d	399 km/d	53 %
30 Spring	3231_A40453	12-May	21-May	8 d	1 d	9 d	7611 km	1	6 %	977 km/d	832 km/d	85 %
31 Spring	3232_A32245	06-May	17-May	7 d	4 d	11 d	7643 km	1	12 %	1092 km/d	696 km/d	64 %
32 Spring	A260_A31257	29-May	09-Jun	9 d	2 d	11 d	7859 km	1	16 %	905 km/d	702 km/d	78 %
33 Spring	A269_A31256	22-May	04-Jun	9 d	4 d	13 d	7837 km	1	5 %	849 km/d	593 km/d	70 %
34 Spring	A272_A31267	20-May	31–May	7 d	4 d	11 d	7552 km	2	7 %	1119 km/d	672 km/d	60 %
35 Spring	A283_A31260	16-May	05-Jun	15 d	5 d	20 d	9820 km	2	30 %	644 km/d	497 km/d	77 %
36 Spring	A288_A31266	07-May	20-May	11 d	2 d	13 d	8445 km	1	19 %	757 km/d	642 km/d	85 %
37 Spring	A295_A31265	10-May	22-May	10 d	1 d	12 d	7675 km	1	7 %	736 km/d	643 km/d	87 %
38 Spring	A306_A31264	15-May	31-May	13 d	4 d	17 d	8810 km	2	23 %	671 km/d	529 km/d	79 %
39 Spring	N531_A31261	17-May	01-Jun	10 d	5 d	15 d	8039 km	2	15 %	820 km/d	531 km/d	65 %