GENOME SEQUENCES





Complete Genome Sequence and Methylome Analysis of *Micrococcus luteus* SA211, a Halophilic, Lithium-Tolerant Actinobacterium from Argentina

F. L. Martínez,^{a,b} B. P. Anton,^c P. DasSarma,^b V. Rajal,^a V. Irazusta,^a R. J. Roberts,^c S. DasSarma^b

^aInstituto de Investigaciones para la Industria Química, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Universidad Nacional de Salta, Salta, Argentina ^bInstitute of Marine and Environmental Technology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA ^cNew England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA

ABSTRACT *Micrococcus luteus* has been found in a wide range of habitats. We report the complete genome sequence and methylome analysis of strain SA211 isolated from a hypersaline, lithium-rich, high-altitude salt flat in Argentina with single-molecule real-time sequencing.

*M*icrococcus luteus strains have been isolated from a wide range of sources, including the air (1), soils (2), and humans (3). We isolated an extremophilic strain of *M*. *luteus* designated SA211 from soil of the hypersaline Salar del Hombre Muerto in northwestern Argentina that can grow in media containing high concentrations of salts (up to 4 M NaCl), including lithium (up to 30 g/liter in defined liquid media) (4). Genome sequencing was performed to establish methods for its use in biotechnological applications.

Genomic DNA was isolated from a culture of *M. luteus* SA211 grown to late exponential phase at 30°C and 200 rpm in modified nutrient broth (MNB) (4) using the following procedure. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min (Eppendorf Centrifuge, 5415R) and lysed by adding 800 μ l of lysozyme (10 mg/ml) in buffer (75 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris, pH 7.5) and incubation for 2 h at 37°C (5). Next, 80 μ l of 10% SDS and 20 μ l of proteinase K (20 mg/ml) were added, and the mixture was incubated for 2 h at 55°C. Then, 335 μ l of 5 M NaCl and 700 μ l of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1) were added, and the mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. It was then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min, and the aqueous phase was recovered. DNA was precipitated by the addition of sodium acetate (3.0 M, pH 5.2) and isopropanol (0.65 volumes) and pelleted by centrifugation (12,000 rpm, 10 min) (6). The pellet was washed with ethanol and dried, and the DNA was resuspended in TE buffer with pH 8 and stored at -20° C.

Sequencing was performed with the PacBio RS II platform. A SMRTbell sequencing library was prepared from genomic DNA randomly sheared to 20 kb with a Megaruptor (Diagenode, Denville, NJ) and size selected (6 to 50 kb) with a BluePippin system (Sage Science, Beverly, MA). The library was sequenced with one single-molecule real-time (SMRT) cell with C4-P6 chemistry and 360 min collection time. Sequencing reads (37,323 total, with mean subread length 12,515 bp) were assembled *de novo* with RS_HGAP_Assembly.3 (7) in the SMRT analysis 2.3.0 environment with the default settings. Error correction and closure were performed with RS_BridgeMapper.1, and methylation patterns were determined with RS_Modification_and_Motif_Analysis.1, both also within the SMRT analysis environment and with default settings. Annotation was performed in house with GeneMark (8), tRNAscan (9), and the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) (10).

The 2,483,045-bp genome is GC-rich (73%) and consists of a single, circular chro-

Citation Martínez FL, Anton BP, DasSarma P, Rajal V, Irazusta V, Roberts RJ, DasSarma S. 2019. Complete genome sequence and methylome analysis of *Micrococcus luteus* SA211, a halophilic, lithium-tolerant actinobacterium from Argentina. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e01557-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA .01557-18.

Editor Julia A. Maresca, University of Delaware Copyright © 2019 Martínez et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license

Address correspondence to B. P. Anton, anton@neb.com.

F.L.M. and B.P.A. contributed equally to this work.

Received 14 November 2018 Accepted 19 December 2018 Published 24 January 2019

TABLE 1 Methylated motifs in *M. luteus* SA211

| Motif | Modification | % motifs detected | Predicted MTase locus |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| GCA(N ₇)RTGT | m6A | 100 | FMM_08165 |
| CAG <u>A</u> TG | m6A | 100 | FMM_10000 |
| AGCCC <u>A</u> | m6A | 99.9 | FMM_11335 |
| CTCGAG | Probable m5C | 15 to 40 | FMM_08635 |

mosome. It codes for 2,161 predicted proteins, with a median pl value of 5.6 and a mode pl value of 4.8, which indicates an acidic proteome characteristic of halophiles (11). It also codes for 48 tRNAs and possesses 2 operons with 55, 16S, and 23S rRNAs.

The methylated DNA motifs and the methyltransferases (MTases) predicted to be responsible for each are shown in Table 1, with locations of methylated bases on the top strand (A or C) and bottom strand (T or G) underlined. FMM_11335 is the most likely open reading frame (ORF) responsible for AGCCC<u>A</u> because it resembles other Type IIG MTases methylating a 3' terminal A residue; however, another ORF, FMM_01825, remains a possible, but less likely, candidate. The motif CTCGAG manifested as 2 related sites, CTCGAGNR (40.1%) and CTCGAGVNB(N₁₁)B (15.1%); the exact methylated base is unknown.

Data availability. The *M. luteus* SA211 genome sequence has been deposited in GenBank under the accession number CP033200. Raw data are available in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the accession number SRP166874.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work in the DasSarma laboratory is supported by NASA exobiology grants NNX15AM07G and NNH18ZDA001N-EXO. F.L.M. was supported by the Fulbright Fellowship Program.

We thank Monica Aparicio for technical assistance.

B.P.A. and R.J.R. work for New England Biolabs, a company that sells research reagents, including restriction enzymes and DNA methyltransferases, to the scientific community.

REFERENCES

- Golofit-Szymczak M, Górny RL. 2018. Microbiological air quality in office buildings equipped with dventilation [*sic*] systems. Indoor Air 28: 792–805. https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12495.
- Kuo V, Shoemaker WR, Muscarella ME, Lennon JT. 2017. Whole-genome sequence of the soil bacterium *Micrococcus* sp. KBS0714. Genome Announc 5:e00697-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00697-17.
- Young M, Artsatbanov V, Beller HR, Chandra G, Chater KF, Dover LG, Goh E-B, Kahan T, Kaprelyants AS, Kyrpides N, Lapidus A, Lowry SR, Lykidis A, Mahillon J, Markowitz V, Mavromatis K, Mukamolova GV, Oren A, Rokem JS, Smith MC, Young DI, Greenblatt CL. 2010. Genome sequence of the Fleming strain of *Micrococcus luteus*, a simple free-living actinobacterium. J Bacteriol 192:841–860. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01254-09.
- Martínez FL, Orce IG, Rajal VB, Irazusta VP. 2018. Salar del Hombre Muerto, source of lithium-tolerant bacteria. Environ Geochem Health https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-018-0148-2.
- Pospiech A, Neumann B. 1995. A versatile quick-prep of genomic DNA from Gram-positive bacteria. Trends Genet 11:217–218. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0168-9525(00)89052-6.
- 6. Green MR, Sambrook J. 2017. Precipitation of DNA with isopropanol.

Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2017:pdb prot093385. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot093385.

- Chin C-S, Alexander DH, Marks P, Klammer AA, Drake J, Heiner C, Clum A, Copeland A, Huddleston J, Eichler EE, Turner SW, Korlach J. 2013. Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing data. Nat Methods 10:563–569. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nmeth.2474.
- Besemer J, Borodovsky M. 2005. GeneMark: Web software for gene finding in prokaryotes, eukaryotes and viruses. Nucleic Acids Res 33:W451–W454. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki487.
- Lowe TM, Chan PP. 2016. tRNAscan-SE On-line: integrating search and context for analysis of transfer RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 44: W54–W57. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw413.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/gkw569.
- DasSarma S, DasSarma P. 2015. Halophiles and their enzymes: negativity put to good use. Curr Opin Microbiol 25:120–126. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.mib.2015.05.009.