**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Peripheral Endothelial (Dys)Function, Arterial Stiffness and Carotid Intima-Media Thickness in Patients after Kawasaki Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses

Sanne M. Dietz<sup>1</sup>\*, Carline E. A. Tacke<sup>1</sup>, Barbara A. Hutten<sup>2</sup>, Taco W. Kuijpers<sup>1</sup>

1 Department of pediatric hematology, immunology and infectious diseases, Emma Children's Hospital, Academic Medical Center (AMC), Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2 Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

\* s.m.dietz@amc.uva.nl

# Abstract

# Background

Kawasaki disease (KD) is a systemic pediatric vasculitis. Its main complication is the development of coronary arterial aneurysms (CAA), causing an increased risk for ischemia and myocardial infarction. It is unclear whether KD patients, apart from the presence of CAA, have an increased cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk due to the previous systemic vasculitis. The aim of this study was to systematically review and meta-analyse the literature regarding surrogate markers for CVD risk in KD patients.

# Methods

Medline and Embase were searched for articles comparing endothelial dysfunction (flowmediated dilation, nitroglycerin-mediated dilation and peripheral arterial tonometry), vascular stiffness (stiffness index, pulse wave velocity) and carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) between patients and controls. Two investigators assessed the articles for eligibility and evaluated quality.

# Results

Thirty studies were included. For all outcomes, moderate to high heterogeneity between studies was found. Most studies reported a decreased flow-mediated dilation in the whole KD- and CAA-positive group compared to controls, while data on CAA-negative patients were conflicting. The stiffness index was increased in the majority of studies evaluating the whole KD- and CAA-positive group, but not in most studies on CAA-negative patients. Mean cIMT was neither significantly increased in the whole KD-group nor in the CAA-positive group nor in most studies studying CAA-negative patients. Studies measuring maximum cIMT were conflicting.



# OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Dietz SM, Tacke CEA, Hutten BA, Kuijpers TW (2015) Peripheral Endothelial (Dys)Function, Arterial Stiffness and Carotid Intima-Media Thickness in Patients after Kawasaki Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses. PLoS ONE 10(7): e0130913. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130913

Editor: Ho-Chang Kuo, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, TAIWAN

Received: March 3, 2015

Accepted: May 25, 2015

Published: July 10, 2015

**Copyright:** © 2015 Dietz et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Stinafo Foundation (The Hague, The Netherlands). The sponsor had no role in the study design, the data collection and analysis, the writing of the report, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### Conclusion

Literature suggests that surrogate markers for CVD risk in KD patients are increased in CAA-positive but not in CAA-negative patients. This may indicate that CAA-positive patients should be monitored for CVD in later life. The results of this review have to be interpreted with care due to substantial heterogeneity between studies and methodological limitations, as well as the lack of long-term follow-up studies.

#### Introduction

Kawasaki disease (KD) is a pediatric vasculitis mainly affecting children under the age of 5[1]. Coronary artery aneurysms (CAA) develop in 25% of untreated and 5–15% of patients treated with intravenous immunoglobulins, making it the most common cause of pediatric acquired heart disease in the Western world.

It can be hypothesized that, due to the previous systemic vasculitis, patients with KD have an increased risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) at a later age, apart from the presence or absence of CAA. This hypothesis is difficult to test since KD was first described less than 50 years ago and therefore most of the KD patients are too young to have experienced cardiovascular events.

In recent years, several non-invasive surrogate markers of CVD risk have become available.

Endothelial dysfunction can be measured by flow-mediated dilatation (FMD), nitroglycerinmediated dilation (NMD) or peripheral arterial tonometry (PAT) [2,3]. Peripheral arterial stiffness can also be an indicator of increased CVD risk. It can be measured by pulse wave velocity (PWV) or by the beta stiffness index (SI) [4]. Furthermore, structural changes in the arterial wall can be found by measuring the carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT), well-established surrogate marker of atherosclerosis and subsequent predictor of cardiovascular events [5,6].

The aim of this study was to systematically review and meta-analyze the existing literature regarding CVD risk after KD, as measured by surrogate markers.

#### Methods

#### Search strategies

We conducted a systematic literature search of Medline (1966-September 2014) and Embase (1980-September 2014) for studies addressing KD and surrogate markers of cardiovascular risk (i.e. endothelial dysfunction, peripheral arterial stiffness and cIMT). We used two domains of MeSH terms and free text words combined by 'AND', and in each domain the terms were combined by 'OR'. The first domain contained terms of KD (including all synonyms and abbreviations), and the second contained terms of surrogate markers of cardiovascular risk (including all synonyms, abbreviations and free word text such as 'carotid intima-media thickness', 'vascular stiffness', 'endothelial dysfunction', 'flow-mediated dilatation', 'pulse wave velocity', 'peripheral arterial tonometry'). The complete protocol is registered in the Prospero database under CRD42014005706, the PRISMA checklist and Medline electronic search strategy are added as <u>S1 PRISMA</u> Checklist and <u>S1 File</u>.

#### Study selection and quality assessment

We selected those original studies that reported on surrogate markers of cardiovascular risk (i.e. endothelial dysfunction [FMD, NMD, PAT], vascular stiffness [PWV, SI] and cIMT) in

KD patients. Studies were excluded if healthy control groups were not available within the same studies, if lipid-lowering medication was used when measuring subjects, or if data contained preliminary results. Furthermore, because of the possible influence of the acute inflammation, studies measuring patients within 6 months after the acute phase were excluded. Language restrictions were not imposed. The selection process was divided into three successive stages: title-, abstract- and manuscript selection. Two investigators (SD and CT) independently determined eligibility of the retrieved studies, according to predefined criteria. Using an adjusted version of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for observational studies (<u>S1 Table</u>: Quality assessment criteria), the same investigators assessed the methodological quality of the eligible studies. Selection of patients and controls, comparability, and outcome measurements were evaluated. Disagreements were solved by discussion, and if necessary, by the opinion of a third reviewer.

#### Data extraction

Using a predetermined form, two investigators (SD and CT) independently extracted data of the eligible articles. Information was collected on study characteristics (study design, country and sample size). The CAA-classification used was retrieved and the number of CAA-positive patients was noted based on whether patients ever had CAA (worst-ever CAA-score). In addition, the following characteristics of participants were extracted: gender, age, blood pressure, BMI, and treatment during the acute KD phase. Outcome measurements were, if possible, collected for the control- and the whole KD group, as well for the CAA-negative and CAA-positive group. When data were missing, the corresponding authors were emailed to request the information.

# Statistical analysis

When studies described multiple CAA-positive groups based on severity, we calculated pooled estimates of the mean and standard deviation (SD) values for the overall CAA positive group. The same was done when cIMT was described separately for the left and the right carotid artery. When p-values were not provided, but mean, SD and numbers were reported, we calculated whether there was a significant difference between patients and controls using review manager software, version 5.2 (Cochrane Collaboration).

We used the same software to create forest plots for cIMT, FMD and SI in KD patient compared to controls and in CAA positive patients compared to controls, with study-level effect sizes calculated as absolute mean differences. We measured the proportion of between-study differences not attributable to chance with the I<sup>2</sup> statistic. We considered values of 25–50%, 50–75% and  $\geq$ 75% to indicate low, moderate and high heterogeneity, respectively. Only when heterogeneity was low or moderate ( $\leq$ 75%), pooled estimates of the summary mean difference were computed using the random-effects model according to the method of DerSimonian and Laird. [7]. A Z-test was performed to test the overall effect.

When studies with overlapping inclusions were present, the largest study was used for the forest plot and if applicable, the pooled estimate.

Heterogeneity was explored by a sensitivity analyses for all analyses. Furthermore, for the whole KD group, a meta-regression analysis using the random effects, methods of moments approach was performed, with study characteristics as covariates. We looked at 'time since KD', 'percentage of IVIG-treated patients' and 'percentage of CAA-positive patients'. We did not perform meta-regression analyses on the CAA-positive groups because covariables were usually not described separately for CAA-positive groups in most studies. We used comprehensive meta-analyses software (version 2) to execute the meta-regression analyses.

We did not perform meta-analyses on the data of CAA-negative patients because not all studies used the same CAA-criteria. This implies that a child might have no enlargement according to one classification but does have an aneurysm according to the other. All CAApositive patients have enlargement according to at least one classification system.

#### Results

#### Description of studies

Our search retrieved 621 articles. After scanning titles and/or abstracts, we excluded 586 studies. Of the remaining 35 articles, five were excluded based on the whole article. Hence, 30 studies remained for final inclusion (Fig 1). Table 1 shows the characteristics of these studies.

Four studies were cohort studies with a maximal follow-up of 6 months [11,20,33,37] and the remainder had a cross-sectional study design. The total number of subjects per study varied from 22 to 253. The mean age of KD patients ranged from 6.5 to 31.5 years. Although the criteria used were not clearly stated in all manuscripts, most studies defined CAA according to Japanese criteria or by z-scores [38,39]. Two articles used deviating classifications [11,23]. Most studies reported the worst-ever CAA-score, two studies reported the CAA-status 30 days after beginning of the disease [15,36] and in three studies it was not clear which status was reported on [16,17,30]. Some research groups invited the same patients for different studies, which created overlap of inclusions between studies [10,12–14,19,22,26–28,33]. Table 2 shows a summary of findings.

#### Quality of studies

The results of the quality assessments are shown in Fig 2. All studies were found to have methodological limitations; the overall scores ranged from 4 to 11 (maximum of 16, <u>S2 Table</u>: Quality assessment per study). Most limitation arose from representativeness of cases, definition of controls and lack of adjustment for potential confounding factors.

#### Endothelial dysfunction

**Flow-mediated dilation.** A total of 15 studies reported on FMD (<u>Table 2</u>). Eleven studies showed a significantly decreased FMD in patients after KD as compared to controls, with a mean or median difference ranging from -9.7% to -2.7%. Four studies showed no statistical significant difference.

In meta-analyses, after excluding two studies reporting median instead of mean FMD, an extensive heterogeneity between the remaining 13 studies was found ( $I^2 = 89\%$ ). Therefore, we did not pool the results (Fig 3A). Subsequently, heterogeneity was explored by sensitivity analyses and by means of meta-regression analysis. None of the three predefined covariables were shown to be of significant influence on heterogeneity.

**Flow-mediated dilation and CAA status.** Seven studies compared CAA-negative patients to controls; four studies found a significantly decreased FMD, whereas three studies did not find any difference (Table 2).

CAA-positive patients were found to have a significantly decreased FMD compared to controls in 10 out of 11 studies. Two studies reported median FMD. When analyzing the nine studies describing mean FMD, a high heterogeneity was found ( $I^2 = 89\%$ , Fig 3B). If the small study by Laurito *et al.* [35], which included only seven patients with mild transient CAA, was excluded from the analyses, moderate heterogeneity was calculated ( $I^2 = 59\%$ ). Combining the remaining eight studies, a statistically significant decreased FMD was observed in patients after KD (mean difference -6.06%, 95%CI: -7.76% to -5.47%).





#### Fig 1. Flow diagram of selected studies.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130913.g001

Niboshi *et al.* found that, compared to patients without CAA, FMD was significantly decreased in patients with persisting CAA but not in patients with transient CAA [21]. Ikemoto *et al* found a significant negative correlation between the severity of the coronary artery lesion and FMD [15].

**Nitro-glycerine-mediated dilation.** In addition to FMD, seven studies measured NMD (<u>Table 2</u>). None of the studies found a significant difference between patients after KD and

First author, year	Country			Kawasaki patients				Controls	Reported	
		% IVIG	No; CAA +/CAA-	Age (yrs.)	<b>%</b>	Time since KD (yrs.)	No.	Age (yrs.)	<b>%</b> 3	outcome
Dhillon, 1996 [ <u>8]</u>	UK	15	20 (3/17)	13 (11–19)*	12 (60)	11.3 (5.3–17.1)*	20	15 (10–16)*	NA	FMD, NMD
Silva, 2001 [9]	Canada	33	24 (13/11)	14.3±1.8	18 (75)	11.3±1.8	11	14.1±1.5	6 (55)	FMD, NMD
Noto, 2001 [ <u>10</u> ]	Japan	58	20 (20/0)	16.6±4.1	12 (60)	9.8±4.0	20	16.3±4.7	12 (60)	cIMT, SI
Deng, 2002 [ <u>11</u> ]	China	87	39 (6/33)	7.1±2.7	28 (72)	3.4±2.1	17	7.0±3.1	13 (76)	FMD, NMD
Cheung, 2004 [ <u>12]</u>	China	93	71 (43/28)	CAA+: 10.2 ±4.1	47 (66)	7.8 (1–16.6)†	35	10.3±3.6	25 (71)	SI
				CAA-: 9.7±3.2		6.9 (1.1–13.2)†				
Cheung, 2004 [ <u>13</u> ]	China	92	66 (37/29)	CAA+: 9.0±3.1	43 (65)	7.8±3.7	36	9.1±2.6	24 (67)	PWV
				CAA-: 8.9±3.2		6.2±2.4				
Cheung, 2004 [ <u>14]</u>	China	93	71 (42/29)	9.5±3.7	48 (68)	7.7±3.9	41	10.5±3.7	28 (68)	PWV
lkemoto, 2005 [ <u>15</u> ]	Japan	100‡	65 (34/31)	13 (9–22)*	38 (58)	12 (5–21)*	20	15 (9–23)*	11 (55)	cIMT, SI, FMD
Kadono, 2005 [ <u>16]</u>	Japan	NA	24 (15/9)	8.3±4.1	13 (54)	5.8±4.6	41	10.7±4.4	29 (71)	cIMT, FMD
Borzutzky, 2007 [ <u>17]</u>	Chili	100	11 (1/10)	10.6±2	7 (64)	8.1±3.6	11	10.4±1.8	7 (64)	FMD
McCrindle, 2007 [ <u>18]</u>	Canada	64	52 (19/33§)	15.5±2.3	35 (67)	11.2±3.7	60	14.9±2.4	30 (50)	FMD, NMD
Cheung, 2007 [ <u>19</u> ]	China	90	50 (26/24)	CAA+:8.6±2.8	33 (66)	7.4±3.5	22	9.5±2.5	14 (64)	CIMT, PWV, SI
				CAA-:8.6±3.3		5.8±2.1				
Huang, 2008 [ <u>20]</u>	Taiwan	100	11 (11/0)	12.9±2.5	8 (73)	10.77±3.01	11	12.97±2.42	8 (73)	FMD
Niboshi, 2008 [ <u>21</u> ]	Japan	6	35 (15/20)	27±4.2	16 (46)	24.1±4.5	36	25.5±3.9	19 (53)	FMD, PWV
Cheung, 2008 [ <u>22]</u>	China	75	51 (32/19)	13.4±0.6	40 (78)	10.5±0.68	32	14.6±0.6	26 (81)	cIMT, SI
Liu, 2009 [ <mark>23</mark> ]	China	100	41 (21/20)	CAA+: 7.0 (3– 11)†	25 (61)	5.0 (1.6–10.0)†	22	8.4 (3.2– 14.0)†	13 (59)	FMD, SI
				CAA-: 7.3 (4.9–11.0)†		3.8 (1.5–8.0)†				
Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 [ <u>24]</u>	USA	36	28 (9/19)	20.9±6	19 (68)	16±6	27	21.3±7.5	16 (59)	cIMT, SI
Lee, 2009 [ <u>25</u> ]	Korea	100	25 (25/0)	12.6±2.0	11 (44)	> 8	55	14.5±0.7¶	29 (53)	cIMT, PWV
Noto, 2009 [ <u>26]</u>	Japan	52	35 (35/0)	20.5±9.3	28 (80)	18.6±8.4	35	19.6±7.2	28 (80)	cIMT, FMD, NMD, SI
Duan, 2011 [ <u>27</u> ]	China	97	31 (31/0)	6.2±3.4	22 (71)	2.53 (1–12.5)*	21	5.7±2.5	14 (67)	cIMT, FMD, NMD, SI
Noto, 2012 [ <u>28</u> ]	Japan	64	18 (18/0)	17.2±5.3	13 (72)	14.1±6.9	15	15.3±2.0	12 (80)	cIMT, SI
Pinto, 2013 [29]	Portugal	100	19 (0/19)	21±6	12 (63)	>5	16	21±6	9 (56)	PAT
Tobayama, 2013 [ <u>30]</u>	Japan	21	14 (11/3)	31.5±5.5	8 (57)	28.6±5.6	41	32.6±5.3	21 (51)	PAT

(Continued)



First author, year	Country			Kawasaki pat	ients			Controls		Reported
		% IVIG	No; CAA +/CAA-	Age (yrs.)	<b>%</b>	Time since KD (yrs.)	No.	Age (yrs.)	<b>%</b>	outcome
Ishikawa, 2013 [ <u>31]</u>	Japan	100	24 (9/15)	6.5±1.7	14 (58)	3.3 (2.0–4.4)	22	7.9±2.8	13 (59)	cIMT, FMD, NMD
Selamet Tierney, 2013 [ <u>32]</u>	USA	93	203 (47/ 156§)	16.73±4.21	122 (60)	11.6 (1.2–26)*	50	17.57±4.33	29 (58)	cIMT, PAT
Duan, 2014 [ <u>33]</u>	China	100	13 (13/0)	5.8±2.1	13 (100)	3.1 ±1.7	14	5.5 ± 2.3	14 (100)	FMD, SI
Cho, 2014 [ <u>34</u> ]	Korea	91	68 (19/49)	CAA+: 8.00 ±1.89	40 (59)	5.75±2.65	30	7.65 ± 0.78	16 (53)	PWV
				CAA-: 7.22 ±1.49		4.36±2.21				
Laurito, 2014 [ <u>35]</u>	Italy	0	14 (7/7)	10.0±3.7	9 (64)	6.3±4.8	14	10.2±2.4	7 (50)	cIMT, FMD
Oguri, 2014 [ <u>36</u> ]	Japan	81	75 (11/64)	8.2±2.8	49 (65)	5.68±2.48	50	8.3±3.5	25 (46)¶	cIMT, SI
Singh-Meena, 2014 [ <u>37]</u>	India	100	27 (10/17)	8.22±2.6	20 (74)	2.45 ± 1.20	23	8.46 ± 2.9	12 (52)	cIMT

#### Table 1. (Continued)

Values represent mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated.

\* Median (range).

† mean (range).

‡ 400mg for 5 days

§ McCrindle et al: Ectasia in 8 patients. Selamet Tierney et al: Ectasia in 20 patients.

|| Median (IQR)

¶ p<0.05 for age or sex when KD group is compared to control group

IVIG, Intravenous immunoglobulin; CAA, Coronary arterial aneurysm; FMD, Flow-mediated dilation; NA, not available; NMD, Nitroglycerin-mediated dilation; cIMT, Carotid intima-media thickness; SI, stiffness index; PWV, Pulse wave velocity

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130913.t001

controls, neither when looking at the groups as a whole nor when looking at CAA-negative or CAA-positive patients.

**Peripheral arterial tonometry.** All three studies reporting PAT used the Endo-PAT device to measure the reactive hyperemia index (RHI) or Endo-PAT Index. Selamet Tierney *et al.*, studying 203 patients, found no difference in Endo-PAT index between patients and controls [32]. A similar result was reported by Tobayama *et al.*[30]. Pinto *et al.* found a significantly lower RHI in their CAA-negative patients (Table 2) [29].

#### Vascular stiffness

**Stiffness index.** A total of 10 studies studied the SI of the carotid artery (<u>Table 2</u>). Seven studies found a significant increased SI in patients compared to controls, while three studies found no difference.

After excluding two studies because of overlapping inclusions in the meta-analysis [19,22] and one study because they reported the median [33], there was high heterogeneity between the remaining studies ( $I^2 = 81\%$ , Fig 4A).

A meta-regression analysis showed an independent positive association between the percentage of CAA-positive patients and the mean difference in SI (p<0.0001), which may partly explain the high heterogeneity.

**Stiffness index and CAA status.** Five out of six studies reporting on CAA-negative patients did not find a significant difference in SI of patients compared to controls.



#### Table 2. Summary of findings.

	Site, unit		Mean controls	Mean CAA- and CAA+ pt.	<b>P</b> *	Mean CAA-	<b>P</b> †	Mean CAA+	P‡
FMD and NMD									
Dhillon, 1996 [8]	BA, side unclear	% FMD	9.40±3.5	3.1±3.5	<0.001	-	-	-	-
		% NMD	21.7±5.4	23.0±9.5	0.58	-	-	-	-
Silva, 2001 [ <u>9]</u>	BA, side unclear	% FMD	6.20±2.8	4.6±3.3	0.17	-	-	-	-
		% NMD	15.1±3.6	14.4±6.9	0.73	-	-	-	-
Deng, 2002 [ <u>11</u> ]	Right BA	% FMD	14.1±6.8	6.2±3.9	<0.0001	6.3±4.3	-	5.7±1.4	-
		% NMD	33.2±13.7	30.6±9.2	0.49	30.2±9.7	-	33.3±5.0	-
lkemoto, 2005 [15]	Right BA	% FMD	18.8±2.8	-	-	19.4±3.9	NS	12.5±7.6	§
Kadono, 2005 [ <u>16]</u>	Right BA	% FMD	11.7±14.7	3±11.0	<0.05	8.3±9.1	-	-0.5±9.2	-
Borzutzky, 2008 [ <u>17</u> ]	BA, n-d arm	% FMD	8.00±2.9	11.1±5.7	0.12	-	-	-	-
McCrindle, 2007 [ <u>18</u> ]	BA, side unclear	% FMD	9.4±9.0	8.9±11.6	0.6	-	-	-	-
		% NMD	-	-	0.93	-	-	-	-
Huang, 2008 [ <u>20</u> ]	Right BA	% FMD	13.11±1.00	-	-	-	-	6.12±1.61	<0.001
Niboshi, 2008 [ <u>21]</u>	Right BA	% FMD	14.4±3.2	10.4±2.6	<0.01	11.5±2.8	< 0.05	9.1±2.1	< 0.05
Liu, 2009 [ <u>23]</u>	Left BA	% FMD	12.1±2.3	-	-	9.5±2.8	<0.01	4.5±1.5	<0.01
Noto, 2009 [ <u>26]</u>	BA, side unclear	% FMD	13.30±4.8	-	-	-	-	9.1±2.7	<0.001
		% NMD	20.6±7.0	-	-	-	-	20.5±6.2	0.96
Duan, 2011 [27]	Left BA	% FMD	12±8	-	-	-	-	4±8	0.001
		% NMD	29±12	-	-	-	-	23±10	0.075
lshikawa, 2013 [ <mark>31</mark> ]	Left BA	% FMD	11.10 (10.1– 13.9)	-	-	9.1 (6.6– 10.7)	<0.05	4.4 (2.6–5.7)	<0.01
		% NMD	25.1±4.5	-	-	24.0±8.2	NS	21.7±5.0	NS
Duan, 2014 [ <u>33</u> ]	Left BA	% FMD	11.1 (8.8–16.4)	-	-	-	-	4.2 (-1.71– 8.16)	0.001
		% NMD	24.13 (18.62– 30.86)	-	-	-	-	24.4 (11.2– 30.65)	0.545
Laurito, 2014 [ <u>35</u> ]	Right BA	% FMD	9.54±1.8	9.38 ± 1.4	0.79	9.5±1.2	-	9.3±1.6	-
РАТ									
Pinto, 2013 [29]	Left and righ fingers	it index	2.31±0.53	-	-	1.67±0.49	0.001	-	-
Tobayama, 2013 [ <u>30</u> ]	1 finger of ea	ch hand	1.89±0.51	2.03 ± 0.44	0.19	-	-	-	-

(Continued)

#### Table 2. (Continued)

PLOS ONE

EMD and NMD	Site, unit	Mean controls	Mean CAA- and CAA+ pt.	<b>P</b> *	Mean CAA-	P†	Mean CAA+	P‡
Selamet Tierney, 2014 [32]	Left and right index fingers	1.70±0.53	1.78 ± 0.46	0.35	1.79±0.44	0.55	1.71±0.51	0.55
SI	-							
Noto, 2001 [ <u>10]</u>	Right CA	2.94±0.91	-	-	-	-	4.11±0.86	< 0.001
Cheung, 2004 [ <u>12]</u>	Right CA	4.24±0.86	-	-	4.27±0.83	-	5.07±1.11	0.001
Ikemoto, 2005 [15]	CA, side unclear	2.47±0.79	-	-	2.54±0.9	NS	2.59±1.06	NS
Cheung, 2007 [ <u>19</u> ]	Right CA	3.77±0.92	-	-	4.22±0.64	-	4.72±1.20	0.003
Cheung, 2008 [22]	Right CA	3.39±0.76	-	-	3.79±1.00	-	4.38±1.10	< 0.001
Liu, 2009 [ <mark>23</mark> ]	Right CA	3.59±0.46	-	-	3.81±0.50	0.142	4.10±0.44	<0.01
Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 [24]	Left and right CA	1.93±0.48	1.9±0.1	0.912#	2.0±0.7	0.912#	1.8±0.3	0.912#
Duan, 2011 [27]	Right CA	2.8±0.6	-	-	-	-	3.6±0.8	0.001
Oguri, 2014 [ <u>36</u> ]	Right CA	2.89±0.59	3.03±0.61	0.2	-	-	-	-
Duan, 2014 [ <u>33]</u>	Right CA	2.93 (2.67–3.15)	-	-	-	-	3.12 (3.02– 3.37)	0.032
PWV								
Cheung, 2004 [ <u>13]</u>	Right brachial-radial, m/s	5.89±1.35	-	-	6.71±1.82	0.042	7.17±1.79	0.001
Cheung, 2004 [ <u>14</u> ]	Right brachial-radial, m/s	6.2±1.5	7.2 ± 2.8	0.034	-	-	-	-
Cheung, 2007 [ <u>19</u> ]	Right brachial-radial, m/s	5.77±1.25	-	-	6.73±1.88	-	7.22±1.67	0.009
Niboshi, 2008 [ <u>21</u> ]	L+R brachial-ankle, cm/s	1103±139	1146 ± 147	¶	-	-	-	-
Lee, 2009 [ <u>25</u> ]	Left brachial-ankle, cm/s	984.0±96.5	-	-	-	-	1020.6±146.5	<0.05
	Right brachial-ankle, cm/s	976.3±93.5	-	-	-	-	979.7±154.8	NS
Cho, 2014 [ <u>34]</u>	L+R brachial-radial, cm/s**	966.71±88.7	-	-	1076.86 ±164.10	< 0.05	1181.50±7.78	<0.05
cIMT								
Noto, 2001 [ <u>10]</u>	Max RCCA, mm	0.48±0.08	-	-	-	-	0.54±0.09	<0.05
lkemoto, 2005 [ <u>15</u> ]	Max RLCCA, mm	0.5±0.04	-	-	0.47±0.06	NS	0.50±0.05	NS
Kadono, 2005 [ <u>16]</u>	Max RCCA, mm	0.46±0.06	0.45±0.07	0.77	-	-	-	-
Cheung, 2007 [ <u>19]</u>	Mean RCCA, mm	0.36±0.04	-	-	0.39±0.04	0.008	0.41±0.04	<0.001
Cheung, 2008 [22]	Mean RCCA, mm	0.42±0.016	-	-	0.42±0.019	NS	0.44±0.023	0.006
Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 [24]	Mean RLCCA, mm	0.48±0.06	0.49±0.07	0.905#	0.5±0.01	0.905#	0.47±0.01	0.905#
Lee, 2009 [ <mark>25</mark> ]	Max RCCA, mm	0.50±0.01	-	-	-	-	0.41±0.19	NS
Noto, 2009 [ <u>26]</u>	Max RCCA, mm	0.46±0.05	-	-	-	-	0.57±0.15	<0.001
Duan, 2011 [ <u>27]</u>	Mean RCCA, mm	0.44±0.04	-	-	-	-	0.49±0.07	0.025
Noto, 2012 [28]	Max, RCCA, mm	0.42±0.04	-	-	-	-	0.54±0.08	0.005
lshikawa, 2013 [ <u>31</u> ]	Mean RCCA, mm	0.43±0.04	-	-	0.43±0.02	NS	0.45±0.03	NS
Selamet Tierney,	Mean RCCA, mm	0.432±0.029	0.428±0.024	0.35	0.429±0.02	0.41#	0.426±0.024††	0.41#
2013 [32]	Mean LCCA, mm	0.434±0.028	0.438±0.034	0.42	0.439±0.04	0.05#	0.439±0.035††	0.05#
	Mean LRCCA calculated	0.433±0.028	0.433±0.030		0.434±0.032		0.433±0.031	

(Continued)

	Site, unit	Mean controls	Mean CAA- and CAA+ pt.	<b>P</b> *	Mean CAA-	P†	Mean CAA+	P‡
FMD and NMD								
Singh-Meena, 2014 [37]	Max LCCA, mm	0.417±0.065	0.500±0.071	<0.001	-	-	-	-
Laurito, 2014 [ <u>35]</u>	Mean RLCCA, mm	0.5±0.1	0.5±0.1	0.93	0.4±0.1	-	0.5±0.1	-
Oguri, 2014 [ <u>36]</u>	Mean RCCA, mm	0.39±0.04	0.40±0.03	0.15	-	-	-	-

\* p whole KD group vs controls

† p CAA-negative patients vs controls

 $\ddagger$  p CAA-positive patients vs controls

 $\prescript{s}\pr$ 

|| Median (IQR)

# based on ANOVA

¶ p<0.05 for male patients, p not significant for female patients

\*\* in original article stated as m/s

†† z-score > 3.

NS, non-significant (as reported in original articles); BA, Brachial artery; cIMT; carotid intima-media thickness; FMD, flow-mediated dilatation; LCCA, Left common carotid artery; n-d; non-dominant; NMD, nitroglycerin-mediated dilation; PAT, peripheral arterial tonometry; PWV, pulse wave velocity; RCCA, Right common carotid artery; SI, stiffness index. *Italic numbers are calculated using the data in the original articles* 

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130913.t002

Nine studies studied CAA-positive patients. Seven studies found a significant difference in SI between CAA-positive patients and controls, while two studies did not. Two studies were not included in the meta-analysis because of overlapping inclusions [19,22] and one because it measured the median instead of mean[33]. High heterogeneity was found between the remaining six studies ( $I^2 = 88\%$ ; Fig 4B). After excluding the small study of Gupta-Malhotra *et al.*[24]





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130913.g002

Α	Kawas	saki pati	ents	Co	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Duan, 2011	4	8	31	12	8	21	5.8%	-8.00 [-1,2.43, -3.57]	(
Deng, 2002	6.2	3.9	39	14.1	6.8	17	6.8%	-7.90 [-11.36, -4.44]	_ <b>—</b>
Liu 2009	6.94	3.4	41	12.1	2.3	22	9.1%	-5.16 [-6.58, -3.74]	
Kadono, 2005	3	11	24	11.7	14.7	41	4.1%	-8.70 [-14.99, -2.41]	
Laurito, 2014	9.38	1.4	14	9.54	1.8	14	9.2%	-0.16 [-1.35, 1.03]	+
Borzutzky, 2007	11.1	5.7	11	8	2.9	11	6.5%	3.10 [-0.68, 6.88]	
Huang 2008	6.12	1.61	11	13.11	1	11	9.3%	-6.99 [-8.11, -5.87]	-
McCrindle 2007	8.9	11.6	52	9.4	9	60	6.4%	-0.50 [-4.39, 3.39]	
Silva 2001	4.6	3.3	24	6.2	2.8	11	8.4%	-1.60 [-3.72, 0.52]	
Dhillon, 1996	3.1	3.5	20	9.4	3.5	20	8.3%	-6.30 [-8.47, -4.13]	
Ikemoto, 2005	15.8	7	65	18.8	2.8	20	8.4%	-3.00 [-5.10, -0.90]	
Noto, 2009	9.1	2.7	35	13.3	4.8	35	8.7%	-4.20 [-6.02, -2.38]	
Niboshi 2008	10.4	2.6	35	14.4	3.2	36	9.1%	-4.00 [-5.35, -2.65]	-
Total			402			319			
B	CAA-posi	tive pati	ents	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	
Study	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
Duan, 2011	4	8	31	12	8	21	8.2%	-8.00 [-12.43, -3.57]	[
Deng, 2002	5.7	1.4	6	14.1	6.8	17	9.9%	-8.40 [-11.82, -4.98]	
Liu 2009	4.5	1.5	21	12.1	2.3	22	13.4%	-7.60 [-8.76, -6.44]	+
Kadono, 2005	-0.5	9.2	15	11.7	14.7	41	5.6%	-12.20 [-18.67, -5.73]	
Laurito, 2014	9.3	1.6	7	9.54	1.8	14	13.0%	-0.24 [-1.75, 1.27]	+
Huang 2008	6.12	1.61	11	13.11	1	11	13.5%	-6.99 [-8.11, -5.87]	+
Ikemoto, 2005	12.5	7.6	34	18.8	2.8	20	10.9%	-6.30 [-9.13, -3.47]	
Noto, 2009	9.1	2.7	35	13.3	4.8	35	12.6%	-4.20 [-6.02, -2.38]	
Niboshi 2008	9.1	2.1	15	14.4	3.2	36	13.0%	-5.30 [-6.79, -3.81]	-
Total			175			217			
									-20 -10 0 10 20
									Favours (control) Favours (Kawasaki)

Fig 3. Forest plots of difference in flow-mediated dilation between patients and controls. (A) Forest plot: flow-mediated dilation of Kawasaki patients and controls, in order of 'time since KD'. (B) Forest plot: flow-mediated dilation of CAA-positive patients and controls, in order of 'time since KD'.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130913.g003

PLOS ONE

Α	Kawas	aki patie	ents	Co	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Duan, 2011	3.6	0.8	31	2.8	0.6	21	13.4%	0.80 [0.42, 1.18]	
Liu 2009	3.959	0.487	41	3.59	0.46	22	16.2%	0.37 [0.13, 0.61]	
Oguri, 2014	3.03	0.61	75	2.89	0.59	50	16.7%	0.14 [-0.07, 0.35]	+
Cheung, 2004, Heart	4.755	1.077	71	4.24	0.86	35	13.5%	0.51 [0.14, 0.89]	
Noto, 2001	4.11	0.86	20	2.94	0.91	20	10.3%	1.17 [0.62, 1.72]	_ <b>_</b>
lkemoto, 2005	2.569	0.979	65	2.47	0.79	20	12.7%	0.10 [-0.32, 0.52]	+-
Gupta-Malhotra, 2009	1.9	0.1	28	1.93	0.48	27	17.2%	-0.03 [-0.21, 0.15]	+
Total			331			195			
B	CAA-pos	sitive pat	ients	С	ontro	I		Mean Difference	
Study	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
Duan, 2011	3.6	0.8	31	2.8	0.6	21	17.0%	0.80 [0.42, 1.18]	
Liu 2009	4.1	0.44	21	3.59	0.46	22	18.5%	0.51 [0.24, 0.78]	
Cheung, 2004, Heart	5.07	1.11	43	4.24	0.86	35	16.2%	0.83 [0.39, 1.27]	<b></b>
Noto, 2001	4.11	0.86	20	2.94	0.91	20	14.5%	1.17 [0.62, 1.72]	_ <b>_</b>
Ikemoto, 2005	2.59	1.06	34	2.47	0.79	20	15.3%	0.12 [-0.38, 0.62]	
Gupta-Malhotra, 2009	1.8	0.3	9	1.93	0.48	27	18.6%	-0.13 [-0.40, 0.14]	
Total			158			145			
								-	
									-2 -1 0 1 2

Fig 4. Forest plots of difference in stiffness index between patients and controls. (A) Forest plot: stiffness index of Kawasaki patients and controls, in order of 'time since KD'. (B) Forest plot: stiffness index of CAA-positive patients and controls, in order of 'time since KD'.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130913.g004

with only nine patients with early transient CAA, heterogeneity decreased to 60%. When pooling the data of the remaining studies, a history of CAA was associated with a significantly increased SI of 0.67 (95% CI 0.38–0.96).

**Pulse wave velocity.** PWV in patients after KD was reported in six studies. Cheung *et al.* performed three of these, all showing an increased brachial-radial PWV in CAA-positive and CAA-negative patients compared to controls [13,14,19]. These results were similar to the results of Cho *et al.*[34].

Two studies measured brachial-ankle PWV (baPWV). Lee *et al.* found an increased PWV in their CAA-positive patients compared to controls [25]. Of note, their patient group was significantly younger than the control group, although one would expect the difference to be greater as PWV increases with age. Niboshi *et al.* found a significantly faster PWV in adult male but not in female KD patients as compared to controls [21].

# Carotid intima-media thickness

A total of 15 studies reported on cIMT in patients after KD (<u>Table 2</u>). The studies reported the cIMT of the right common carotid artery (CCA), the left CCA or the mean of both. Mean cIMT was reported in eight, while maximum cIMT was reported in seven studies.

Seven studies reported a significantly increased cIMT, seven studies showed no significant difference and one study showed a decreased cIMT as compared to controls.

In the meta-analysis, studies measuring mean cIMT and maximum cIMT were analyzed separately (Fig 5A and 5B). When analyzing the studies measuring mean cIMT, one study was excluded because of overlapping inclusions [19]. We found moderate heterogeneity in the remaining seven studies ( $I^2 = 51\%$ ). When pooling the data of these studies, a mean difference of 0.01 mm (95% CI 0.00 to 0.02 mm) was found between patients and controls (Fig 5A). It was remarkable that in the two studies showing a thinner cIMT in KD patients, the control group was or seemed to be significantly older [16,25].

In meta-regression analyses none of the three predefined covariables was of significant influence on the heterogeneity.

Two studies measuring maximum cIMT were not included in the forest plot because of overlapping inclusions (Fig 5A) [10,28]. We did not combine the data of the five remaining studies because of the limited number of studies and the high heterogeneity and conflicting results ( $I^2 = 90\%$ ).

**Carotid intima-media thickness and CAA-status.** Seven studies described CAA-negative patients and only one found an increased cIMT in patients compared to controls (<u>Table 2</u>).

Of the twelve studies measuring cIMT in CAA-positive patients, six reported a significantly increased cIMT and one showed a decreased cIMT (<u>Table 2</u>). One of the seven studies measuring mean cIMT was not included in the forest plot because of overlapping inclusions. The remaining six studies showed a moderate heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 70\%$ ; <u>Fig 5B</u>). When pooling the data of these studies, a mean difference of 0.01 mm (95% CI 0.00 to 0.03 mm) was found between patients and controls (<u>Fig 5B</u>).

Maximum cIMT of CAA-positive patients was measured in five studies of which two were not included in the meta-analysis because of overlapping inclusions. As is shown in <u>Fig 5B</u>, the remaining studies had conflicting results.

Two studies divided their CAA-positive patients in subgroups according to diameter or zscore [15,32]. Ikemoto *et al.* did not find any difference between patients with mild, moderate and giant aneurysms, although these groups consisted of few patients only (16, 8 and 10 patients respectively). Selamet Tierney *et al.* found a significantly thicker left cIMT in patients with a history of giant aneurysms, whereas patients with a history of ectasia, small or medium CAA did not show this phenomenon.



Study   Mean   SD   Total   Mean   SD   Total   Weight   IV, Random, 95% CI   IV,     Mean IMT   Duan, 2011   0.49   0.07   31   0.44   0.04   21   7.1%   0.05 [0.02, 0.08]   Ishikawa, 2013   0.438   0.026   24   0.43   0.04   22   13.0%   0.01 [-0.01, 0.03]   Oguri, 2014   0.4   0.03   75   0.39   0.04   50   20.1%   0.01 [-0.00, 0.02]   Laurito, 2014   0.5   0.1   14   0.5   0.1   14   1.4%   0.00 [-0.07, 0.07]   Cheung, 2008   0.433   0.023   51   0.42   0.016   32   26.7%   0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Selamet Tierney, 2013   0.433   0.03   203   0.433   0.028   50   26.1%   0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]   Gupta-Malhotra, 2009   0.49   0.07   28   0.48   0.66   27   5.7%   0.01 [-0.02, 0.02]   Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); I <sup>2</sup> = 51%   Test for overall effect: Z = 2.33 (P = 0.02)   Max IMT   Singh-Meena, 2013	Random, 95% Cl
Mean IMT   Duan, 2011   0.49   0.07   31   0.44   0.04   21   7.1%   0.05 [0.02, 0.08]     Ishikawa, 2013   0.438   0.026   24   0.43   0.04   22   13.0%   0.01 [-0.01, 0.03]     Oguri, 2014   0.4   0.03   75   0.39   0.04   50   20.1%   0.01 [-0.00, 0.02]     Laurito, 2014   0.5   0.1   14   0.5   0.1   14   1.4%   0.00 [-0.07, 0.07]     Cheung, 2008   0.433   0.023   51   0.42   0.016   32   26.7%   0.01 [0.00, 0.02]     Selamet Tierney, 2013   0.433   0.03   203   0.433   0.028   50   26.1%   0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]     Gupta-Malhotra, 2009   0.49   0.07   28   0.48   0.06   27   5.7%   0.01 [0.00, 0.02]     Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); P = 51%   23   20.9%   0.08 [0.05, 0.12]   Kadono, 2005   0.45   0.07   24   0.46   0.06   41   21.3	
Duan, 2011 $0.49$ $0.07$ $31$ $0.44$ $0.04$ $21$ $7.1\%$ $0.05 [0.02, 0.08]$ Ishikawa, 2013 $0.438$ $0.026$ $24$ $0.43$ $0.04$ $22$ $13.0\%$ $0.01 [-0.01, 0.03]$ Oguri, 2014 $0.4$ $0.03$ $75$ $0.39$ $0.04$ $50$ $20.1\%$ $0.01 [-0.00, 0.02]$ Laurito, 2014 $0.5$ $0.1$ $14$ $0.5$ $0.1$ $14$ $1.4\%$ $0.00 [-0.07, 0.07]$ Cheung, 2008 $0.433$ $0.023$ $51$ $0.42$ $0.016$ $32$ $26.7\%$ $0.01 [0.00, 0.02]$ Selamet Tierney, 2013 $0.433$ $0.03$ $203$ $0.433$ $0.028$ $50$ $26.1\%$ $0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]$ Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 $0.49$ $0.07$ $28$ $0.48$ $0.06$ $27$ $5.7\%$ $0.01 [-0.02, 0.04]$ Total (95% CI)426216100.0%0.01 [0.00, 0.02]Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); I <sup>2</sup> = 51%Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.33$ (P = 0.02)Max IMTSingh-Meena, 2013 $0.5$ $0.071$ $27$ $0.417$ $0.065$ $23$ $20.9\%$ $0.08 [0.05, 0.12]$ Kadono, 2005 $0.45$ $0.07$ $24$ $0.46$ $0.06$ $41$ $21.3\%$ $-0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]$ Lee, 2007 $0.411$ $0.19$ $25$ $0.5$ $0.01$ $55$ $16.3\%$ $-0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]$ Noto, 2005 $0.485$ $0.057$ $65$ $0.5$ $0.04$ $20$ $22.3\%$ <	
Ishikawa, 2013 0.438 0.026 24 0.43 0.04 22 13.0% 0.01 [-0.01, 0.03]   Oguri, 2014 0.4 0.03 75 0.39 0.04 50 20.1% 0.01 [-0.00, 0.02]   Laurito, 2014 0.5 0.1 14 0.5 0.1 14 1.4% 0.00 [-0.07, 0.07]   Cheung, 2008 0.433 0.023 51 0.42 0.016 32 26.7% 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Selamet Tierney, 2013 0.433 0.03 203 0.433 0.028 50 26.1% 0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]   Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 0.49 0.07 28 0.48 0.06 27 5.7% 0.01 [-0.02, 0.04]   Total (95% CI) 426 216 100.0% 0.01 [-0.00, 0.02] 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); P = 51% 516 0.01 [-0.04, 0.02] 0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5	
Oguri, 2014 0.4 0.03 75 0.39 0.04 50 20.1% 0.01 [-0.00, 0.02]   Laurito, 2014 0.5 0.1 14 0.5 0.1 14 1.4% 0.00 [-0.07, 0.07]   Cheung, 2008 0.433 0.023 51 0.42 0.016 32 26.7% 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Selamet Tierney, 2013 0.433 0.03 203 0.433 0.028 50 26.1% 0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]   Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 0.49 0.07 28 0.48 0.06 27 5.7% 0.01 [-0.02, 0.04]   Total (95% CI) 426 216 100.0% 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); P = 51% 51% 516 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Max IMT Singh-Meena, 2013 0.5 0.071 27 0.417 0.065 23 20.9% 0.08 [0.05, 0.12]   Kadono, 2005 0.445 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01	
Laurito, 2014 0.5 0.1 14 0.5 0.1 14 1.4% 0.00 [-0.07, 0.07]   Cheung, 2008 0.433 0.023 51 0.42 0.016 32 26.7% 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Selamet Tierney, 2013 0.433 0.03 203 0.433 0.028 50 26.1% 0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]   Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 0.49 0.07 28 0.48 0.06 27 5.7% 0.01 [-0.02, 0.04]   Total (95% CI) 426 216 100.0% 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); I <sup>2</sup> = 51% 51% 516 516   Test for overall effect: Z = 2.33 (P = 0.02) Max IMT 50.071 27 0.417 0.065 23 20.9% 0.08 [0.05, 0.12]   Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02]   Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 <	-
Cheung, 2008 0.433 0.023 51 0.42 0.016 32 26.7% 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Selamet Tierney, 2013 0.433 0.03 203 0.433 0.028 50 26.1% 0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]   Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 0.49 0.07 28 0.48 0.06 27 5.7% 0.01 [-0.02, 0.04]   Total (95% CI) 426 216 100.0% 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); P = 51% 51% 516 516   Test for overall effect: Z = 2.33 (P = 0.02) Max IMT 50.071 27 0.417 0.065 23 20.9% 0.08 [0.05, 0.12]   Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02]   Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 20 22.3% -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]   Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 19.2% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Selamet Tierney, 2013 0.433 0.03 203 0.433 0.028 50 26.1% 0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]   Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 0.49 0.07 28 0.48 0.06 27 5.7% 0.01 [-0.02, 0.04]   Total (95% CI) 426 216 100.0% 0.01 [-0.02, 0.04] 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); I <sup>2</sup> = 51% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.33 (P = 0.02) 0.433 0.5 0.071 27 0.417 0.065 23 20.9% 0.08 [0.05, 0.12]   Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02]   Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 20 22.3% -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]   Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 0.46 0.05 35 19.2% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16]   Total 176 174 100.0% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16] 10.11 [0.06, 0.16] 0	-
Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 0.49 0.07 28 0.48 0.06 27 5.7% 0.01 [-0.02, 0.04]   Total (95% Cl) 426 216 100.0% 0.01 [-0.02, 0.04]   Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); I <sup>2</sup> = 51% 51% 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Max IMT Singh-Meena, 2013 0.5 0.071 27 0.417 0.065 23 20.9% 0.08 [0.05, 0.12]   Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02]   Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 20 22.3% -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]   Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 19.2% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16] Total   Total 176 174 100.0% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16] 0.11 [0.06, 0.16] 10.19   Study Mean SD Total Mean Difference Meandom 95% Cl	+
Total (95% Cl) 426 216 100.0% 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]   Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); I <sup>2</sup> = 51% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.33 (P = 0.02) Max IMT   Singh-Meena, 2013 0.5 0.071 27 0.417 0.065 23 20.9% 0.08 [0.05, 0.12]   Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02]   Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 20 22.3% -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]   Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 19.2% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16] -0.01 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.01 [-0.06, 0.16] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.01 [-0.06, 0.16] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.01 [-0.06, 0.16] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] <	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 12.15, df = 6 (P = 0.06); l <sup>2</sup> = 51% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.33 (P = 0.02) Max IMT Singh-Meena, 2013 0.5 0.071 27 0.417 0.065 23 20.9% 0.08 [0.05, 0.12] Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02] Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02] Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 20 22.3% -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 0.46 0.05 35 19.2% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16] Total 176 174 100.0% CAA-positive patients Control Mean Difference Study Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV Bandom 95% Cl	•
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.33 (P = 0.02)   Max IMT   Singh-Meena, 2013 0.5 0.071 27 0.417 0.065 23 20.9% 0.08 [0.05, 0.12]   Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02]   Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 20 22.3% -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]   Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 19.2% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16] Total   Total 176 174 100.0%	
Max IMT     Singh-Meena, 2013   0.5   0.071   27   0.417   0.065   23   20.9%   0.08 [0.05, 0.12]     Kadono, 2005   0.45   0.07   24   0.46   0.06   41   21.3%   -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]     Lee, 2007   0.41   0.19   25   0.5   0.01   55   16.3%   -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02]     Ikemoto, 2005   0.485   0.057   65   0.5   0.04   20   22.3%   -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]     Noto, 2009   0.57   0.15   35   0.46   0.05   35   19.2%   0.11 [0.06, 0.16]     Total   176   174   100.0%   111 [0.06, 0.16]   174   100.0%	
Singh-Meena, 2013 0.5 0.071 27 0.417 0.065 23 20.9% 0.08 [0.05, 0.12]   Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02]   Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 20 22.3% -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]   Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 0.46 0.05 35 19.2% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16]   Total 176 174 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	
Kadono, 2005 0.45 0.07 24 0.46 0.06 41 21.3% -0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]   Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02]   Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 20 22.3% -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]   Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 0.46 0.05 35 19.2% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16]   Total 176 174 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	( <u>2. <u>1</u>. <u>1</u>.)</u>
Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 16.3% -0.09 [-0.16, -0.02] Ikemoto, 2005 0.485 0.057 65 0.5 0.04 20 22.3% -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01] Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 0.46 0.05 35 19.2% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16] Total 176 174 100.0% CAA-positive patients Control Mean Difference Study Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV Bandom 95% CL	12 21 28
Ikemoto, 2005   0.485   0.057   65   0.5   0.04   20   22.3%   -0.02 [-0.04, 0.01]     Noto, 2009   0.57   0.15   35   0.46   0.05   35   19.2%   0.11 [0.06, 0.16]     Total   176   174   100.0%     B   CAA-positive patients   Control   Mean Difference     Study   Mean   SD   Total   N   Random 95% Cl	<u></u>
Noto, 2009   0.57   0.15   35   0.46   0.05   35   19.2%   0.11   [0.06, 0.16]     Total   176   174   100.0%   Inclusion   Inclusion<	1
Total 176 174 100.0% B CAA-positive patients Control Mean Difference Study Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV Bandom 95% Cl	
B CAA-positive patients Control Mean Difference	
Study Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV Random 95% Cl	
Study noun SD fotal moun SD fotal weight with SSN of	and the second second
Mean cIMT	
Duan, 2011 0.49 0.07 31 0.44 0.04 21 13.6% 0.05 [0.02, 0.08]	
Ishikawa. 2013 0.45 0.03 9 0.43 0.04 22 15.8% 0.02 [-0.01, 0.05]	
Laurito, 2014 0.5 0.1 7 0.5 0.1 14 2.5% 0.00 [-0.09, 0.09]	
Cheung 2008 0.44 0.023 32 0.42 0.016 32 26.1% 0.02 [0.01, 0.03]	+
Selamet Tierney, 2013 0.433 0.031 47 0.433 0.028 50 24.8% 0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]	+
Gupta-Malhotra, 2009 0.47 0.01 9 0.48 0.06 27 17.1% -0.01 [-0.03, 0.01]	
Total (95% Cl) 135 166 100.0% 0.01 [-0.00, 0.03]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 16.52, df = 5 (P = 0.005); I <sup>2</sup> = 70%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.74 (P = 0.08)	
Max cIMT	
Lee, 2007 0.41 0.19 25 0.5 0.01 55 30.0% -0.09 (-0.160.02)	<b></b>
Ikemoto, 2005 0.5 0.05 34 0.5 0.04 20 36.6% 0.00 [-0.02, 0.02]	
Noto, 2009 0.57 0.15 35 0.46 0.05 35 33.4% 0.11 [0.06, 0.16]	
Total 94 110 100.0%	1
-0.2 -0.1	
Favours (kaw	1 U U.1

Fig 5. Forest plots of difference in carotid intima-media thickness between patients and controls. (A) Forest plot: Carotid intima-media thickness of Kawasaki patients and controls, in order of 'time since KD'. (B) Forest plot: Carotid intima-media thickness of CAA-positive patients and controls, in order of 'time since KD'.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130913.g005

#### Discussion

Our systematic review summarizes 30 studies on surrogate markers for CVD risk in patients after KD compared to unaffected controls. FMD and SI were increased in most studies, being more pronounced in CAA-positive patients. Mean CIMT in the whole KD-group and the CAA-positive group did not seem to be increased while data on maximum cIMT were inconclusive. The results of this review have to be interpreted with care due to methodological limitations and substantial heterogeneity between studies.

# Quality of studies

Most studies had important methodological limitations. First, CVD risk is dependent on many factors. It has been shown that cIMT and FMD are dependent on life-style factors such as

social-economic status and physical activity [40,41]. Therefore, a suitable control group is vital, which many studies failed to include or describe. Secondly, factors such as age, gender, blood pressure and BMI are known to influence surrogate markers [42]. These variables should be identified and adjusted for in the final outcome measurement. None of the studies adjusted for these factors. Moreover, most surrogate markers are highly dependent on the ultrasonographist(s) and/or interpreter(s) of the images. Hence, blinding is required but this was not described in 16 out of the 30 studies. Finally, many studies included a very limited number of patients. Only three studies included  $\geq$ 50 participants for both groups.

# Heterogeneity of studies

Substantial heterogeneity existed between studies. In addition to the methodological limitations, the study populations varied in 'time since KD', 'number of CAA-positive patients', 'percentage of IVIG-treated patients', 'gender distribution', 'age', 'ethnicity' and 'CAA-criteria'. When exploring heterogeneity by analyzing the first three variables, we could only find a significant covariate for the meta-analyses on SI. It was however, difficult to define some of these variables: the percentage of CAA-positive patients does not necessarily correlate to the severity of the aneurysms; some studies only included patients with transient dilations, while others included patients with severe or persistent aneurysms.

# Endothelial (dys)function

FMD in correlation with CVD risk has been researched extensively. Ras *et al.* found a CVD risk ratio of 0.9 per 1% higher FMD in a systematic review in adults [43]. In children, a significantly lower FMD has been found in sub-populations with an increased cardiovascular risk such as familial hypercholesterolemia [44].

FMD is an endothelium-dependent marker, which is mediated by the release of nitric oxide (NO) [45]. In contrast to FMD, NMD is an endothelium-independent marker, thought to reflect smooth muscle (dys)function. It has shown to be increased in diabetes mellitus and hypertension and is suggested to be a marker of the grade of cardiovascular risk[46]. NMD was not increased in any of the studies in this review, suggesting that the patients are at-risk but the endothelial dysfunction is at an early stage when smooth muscle function is not (yet) affected.

PAT is thought to correlate with coronary endothelial dysfunction. Studies in adults and children have shown a correlation between a lower PAT and coronary atherosclerosis and cardiovascular events or risk factors [47,48]. An earlier, large cohort study did not show correlation between FMD and PAT, indicating that they might reflect distinct aspects of endothelial function and possibly explaining the difference in PAT and FMD in our review [49].

# Arterial stiffness

Aortic PWV is a known predictor of cardiovascular events [50]. In contrast, studies included in this review measured brachial-radial PWV or baPWV. Earlier studies found a significant correlation between baPWV and cardiovascular events or risk factors, but large prognostic studies are missing [51,52]. Brachioradial PWV is less common in use and to our knowledge, no large studies looking at the association between brachioradial PWV and cardiovascular events have been performed.

SI has shown to be increased in children with obesity and in adults after myocardial infarction, although no large studies have investigated the exact correlation between CVD event and SI [53,54].

# Carotid IMT

CIMT is a validated measure of cardiovascular risk. Lorenz *et al.* found a hazard ratio (HR) of 1.15 for myocardial infarction (MI) and 1.18 for stroke with every 0.1 mm increase in cIMT in their systematic review [6]. In addition, they showed that people <50 years of age are at higher relative risk with increasing cIMT compared to people >50 years [55]. Also, hazards increase significantly faster for cIMT values of 0.6–1 mm compared to higher IMT values [56].

Although cIMT is validated, it is important to realize that a distance of 0.5 mm is measured using a device with an axial resolution of around 0.04–0.05 mm, implicating a large standard deviation by default, hence not suitable for research in small groups. In our review, 13 out of the 15 studies measuring cIMT included less than 50 participants per group.

#### Cardiovascular disease risk

Even though most surrogate markers for CVD risk showed a significant difference between one of the KD-groups and controls in most studies, the pathophysiological mechanism behind these changes following KD is still unclear. In fact, post-mortem studies have failed to show atherosclerotic changes, even in affected coronary arteries, [57]. In this post-mortem study, active remodeling of the coronary arterial wall could be identified years after the acute stage of the disease, potentially indicating that a distinct cardiovascular process, other than atherosclerosis, may be held responsible for an increased CVD risk following the early period of acute vascular inflammation in KD. Prolonged (low-grade) inflammation as suggested by the presence of increased levels of inflammatory markers such as (high-sensitivity) CRP are believed to be associated with the occurrence of cardiovascular events in adults [58,59]. However, controversy exists as to whether patient with KD have a continued low-grade inflammation years after the disease [12,21,24,32].

A pathophysiological mechanism responsible for the changes in the vasculature in KD, both in the coronary and the peripheral arteries, has yet to be elucidated. Whether persistent lowgrade inflammation or genetic factors may play a role in this "KD-vasculopathy" and in remodelling of the arterial wall is as yet unclear.

#### Limitations

Some limitations of our study have to be mentioned. First, we found substantial heterogeneity between studies. Because of this heterogeneity, we could not pool most of the results from the original studies. Hence, conclusions can only be drawn from a summary of these studies without a statistical finding.

Although we tried to find the source of heterogeneity by performing meta-regression analyses, we could not find factors for all surrogate markers. For both cIMT and SI, we could include less than 10 studies in the meta-regression analyses; it is questionable whether such numbers are large enough because of a lack of power.

For this review we considered patients who ever had CAA as CAA-positive. However, CAA range from small to giant, reflecting the severity of the original vasculitis and it may thus not be appropriate to combine all CAA-positive patients into one group.

None of the included studies reported on long-term longitudinal data as they were all crosssectional or very short-term cohort studies. Long-term follow-up is necessary to investigate the course of the surrogate markers over time as well as the natural course of the disease and to predict CVD risk at a later age.

# Conclusion

This systematic review and meta-analyses suggests that surrogate markers for CVD risk in patients after KD are increased in CAA-positive but not in CAA-negative patients. The results have to be interpreted with care due to methodological limitations and high heterogeneity between studies which prevents the possibility of data pooling. However, these findings might indicate that CAA-positive patients should be monitored and counselled for CVD in later life. Long-term follow-up of former KD patients is needed to confirm our results.

# **Supporting Information**

**S1 PRISMA Checklist. PRISMA checklist for systematic reviews and meta-analyses.** (DOC)

**S1 File. Electronic MEDLINE search strategy.** (DOC)

**S1 Table. Quality assessment criteria.** (DOCX)

**S2 Table. Quality assessment per study.** (DOCX)

# Acknowledgments

We thank Arnold Leenders, clinical librarian, for his help with conducting the search in the different databases, and Junfeng Wang for his help with the translation of one article.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: SD CT. Performed the experiments: SD CT. Analyzed the data: SD BH. Wrote the paper: SD CT BH TK. Selecting of the articles, determining elegibility, extracting of data and assessing methodological quality: SD CT.

# References

- 1. Newburger JW, Takahashi M, Gerber MA, Gewitz MH, Tani LY, Burns JC, et al. Diagnosis, treatment, and long-term management of Kawasaki disease: a statement for health professionals from the Committee on Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis and Kawasaki Disease, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, American Heart Association. Circulation. 2004; 110: 2747–71. PMID: <u>15505111</u>
- Corretti MC, Anderson TJ, Benjamin EJ, Celermajer D, Charbonneau F, Creager MA, et al. Guidelines for the ultrasound assessment of endothelial-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation of the brachial artery: a report of the International Brachial Artery Reactivity Task Force. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002; 39: 257–65. PMID: <u>11788217</u>
- Kuvin JT, Patel AR, Sliney KA, Pandian NG, Sheffy J, Schnall RP, et al. Assessment of peripheral vascular endothelial function with finger arterial pulse wave amplitude. Am Heart J. 2003; 146: 168–74. PMID: 12851627
- O'Rourke MF, Staessen JA, Vlachopoulos C, Duprez D, Plante GE. Clinical applications of arterial stiffness; definitions and reference values. Am J Hypertens. 2002; 15: 426–44. PMID: <u>12022246</u>
- Touboul PJ, Hennerici MG, Meairs S, Adams H, Amarenco P, Bornstein N, et al. Mannheim carotid intima-media thickness and plaque consensus (2004-2006-2011). An update on behalf of the advisory board of the 3rd, 4th and 5th watching the risk symposia, at the 13th, 15th and 20th European Stroke Conferences, Mannheim, Germany, 2004, Brussels, Belgium, 2006, and Hamburg, Germany, 2011. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2012; 34: 290–6. doi: 10.1159/000343145 PMID: 23128470
- Lorenz MW, Markus HS, Bots ML, Rosvall M, Sitzer M. Prediction of clinical cardiovascular events with carotid intima-media thickness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Circulation. 2007; 115: 459– 67. PMID: <u>17242284</u>

- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986; 7: 177–88. PMID: <u>3802833</u>
- Dhillon R, Clarkson P, Donald AE, Powe AJ, Nash M, Novelli V, et al. Endothelial dysfunction late after Kawasaki disease. Circulation. 1996; 94: 2103–6. PMID: <u>8901658</u>
- Silva AA, Maeno Y, Hashmi A, Smallhorn JF, Silverman ED, McCrindle BW. Cardiovascular risk factors after Kawasaki disease: a case-control study. J Pediatr. 2001; 138: 400–5. PMID: <u>11241050</u>
- Noto N, Okada T, Yamasuge M, Taniguchi K, Karasawa K, Ayusawa M, et al. Noninvasive assessment of the early progression of atherosclerosis in adolescents with Kawasaki disease and coronary artery lesions. Pediatrics. 2001; 107: 1095–9. PMID: <u>11331692</u>
- Deng YB, Xiang HJ, Chang Q, Li CL. Evaluation by high-resolution ultrasonography of endothelial function in brachial artery after Kawasaki disease and the effects of intravenous administration of vitamin C. Circ J. 2002; 66: 908–12. PMID: 12381083
- Cheung YF, Ho MH, Tam SC, Yung TC. Increased high sensitivity C reactive protein concentrations and increased arterial stiffness in children with a history of Kawasaki disease. Heart. 2004; 90: 1281–5. PMID: <u>15486121</u>
- Cheung YF, Yung TC, Tam SC, Ho MH, Chau AK. Novel and traditional cardiovascular risk factors in children after Kawasaki disease: implications for premature atherosclerosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004; 43: 120–4. PMID: <u>14715193</u>
- Cheung YF, Ho MH, Ip WK, Fok SF, Yung TC, Lau YL. Modulating effects of mannose binding lectin genotype on arterial stiffness in children after Kawasaki disease. Pediatr Res. 2004; 56: 591–6. PMID: 15295097
- Ikemoto Y, Ogino H, Teraguchi M, Kobayashi Y. Evaluation of preclinical atherosclerosis by flow-mediated dilatation of the brachial artery and carotid artery analysis in patients with a history of Kawasaki disease. Pediatr Cardiol. 2005; 26: 782–6. PMID: 16132279
- Kadono T, Sugiyama H, Hoshiai M, Osada M, Tan T, Naitoh A, et al. Endothelial function evaluated by flow-mediated dilatation in pediatric vascular disease. Pediatr Cardiol. 2005; 26: 385–90. PMID: 15633046
- Borzutzky A, Gutierrez M, Talesnik E, Godoy I, Kraus J, Hoyos R, et al. High sensitivity C-reactive protein and endothelial function in Chilean patients with history of Kawasaki disease. Clin Rheumatol. 2008; 27: 845–50. PMID: 18071779
- McCrindle BW, McIntyre S, Kim C, Lin T, Adeli K. Are patients after Kawasaki disease at increased risk for accelerated atherosclerosis? J Pediatr. 2007; 151: 244–8. PMID: <u>17719931</u>
- Cheung YF, Wong SJ, Ho MH. Relationship between carotid intima-media thickness and arterial stiffness in children after Kawasaki disease. Arch Dis Child. 2007; 92: 43–7. PMID: <u>16820386</u>
- Huang SM, Weng KP, Chang JS, Lee WY, Huang SH, Hsieh KS. Effects of statin therapy in children complicated with coronary arterial abnormality late after Kawasaki disease: a pilot study. Circ J. 2008; 72: 1583–7. PMID: 18758088
- Niboshi A, Hamaoka K, Sakata K, Yamaguchi N. Endothelial dysfunction in adult patients with a history of Kawasaki disease. Eur J Pediatr. 2008; 167: 189–96. PMID: 17345094
- Cheung YF O K, Woo CW, Armstrong S, Siow YL, Chow PC, et al. Oxidative stress in children late after Kawasaki disease: relationship with carotid atherosclerosis and stiffness. BMC Pediatr. 2008; 8: 20. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2431-8-20</u> PMID: <u>18466622</u>
- Liu XQ, Huang GY, Liang XV, Ma XJ. Endothelial progenitor cells and arterial functions in the late convalescence period of Kawasaki disease. Acta Paediatr. 2009; 98: 1355–9. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1651-2227</u>. 2009.01334.x PMID: <u>19438842</u>
- Gupta-Malhotra M, Gruber D, Abraham SS, Roman MJ, Zabriskie JB, Hudgins LC, et al. Atherosclerosis in survivors of Kawasaki disease. J Pediatr. 2009; 155: 572–7. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.04.054</u> PMID: <u>19595365</u>
- Lee SJ, Ahn HM, You JH, Hong YM. Carotid intima-media thickness and pulse wave velocity after recovery from kawasaki disease. Korean Circ J. 2009; 39: 264–9. doi: <u>10.4070/kcj.2009.39.7.264</u> PMID: <u>19949610</u>
- Noto N, Okada T, Karasawa K, Ayusawa M, Sumitomo N, Harada K, et al. Age-related acceleration of endothelial dysfunction and subclinical atherosclerosis in subjects with coronary artery lesions after Kawasaki disease. Pediatr Cardiol. 2009; 30: 262–8. doi: <u>10.1007/s00246-008-9329-6</u> PMID: 19020792
- 27. Duan C, Du ZD, Wang Y, Jia LQ. [Late endothelial function in children with coronary aneurysm due to Kawasaki disease]. Zhongguo Dang Dai Er Ke Za Zhi. 2011; 13: 373–6. PMID: <u>21575340</u>
- 28. Noto N, Okada T, Abe Y, Miyashita M, Kanamaru H, Karasawa K, et al. Characteristics of earlier atherosclerotic involvement in adolescent patients with Kawasaki disease and coronary artery lesions:

significance of gray scale median on B-mode ultrasound. Atherosclerosis. 2012; 222: 106–9. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1016/j.atherosclerosis.2012.01.049</u> PMID: <u>22377394</u>

- Pinto FF, Laranjo S, Parames F, Freitas I, Mota-Carmo M. Long-term evaluation of endothelial function in Kawasaki disease patients. Cardiol Young. 2013; 23: 517–22. doi: <u>10.1017/S1047951112001357</u> PMID: 23040585
- Tobayama H, Takahashi K, Fukunaga H, Matsui K, Tanaka N, Harada M, et al. Analysis of arterial function in adults with a history of Kawasaki disease. J Cardiol. 2013; 61: 330–5. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jjcc.2012</u>. <u>12.007</u> PMID: <u>23499175</u>
- Ishikawa T, Iwashima S. Endothelial dysfunction in children within 5 years after onset of Kawasaki disease. J Pediatr. 2013; 163: 1117–21. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2013.04.046 PMID: 23759425
- Selamet Tierney ES, Gal D, Gauvreau K, Baker AL, Trevey S, O'Neill SR, et al. Vascular health in Kawasaki disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013; 62: 1114–21. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jacc.2013.04.090</u> PMID: <u>23835006</u>
- Duan C, Du ZD, Wang Y, Jia LQ. Effect of pravastatin on endothelial dysfunction in children with medium to giant coronary aneurysms due to Kawasaki disease. World J Pediatr. 2014; 10: 323–237.
- Cho HJ, Yang SI, Kim KH, Kim JN, Kil HR. Cardiovascular risk factors of early atherosclerosis in school-aged children after Kawasaki disease. Korean J Pediatr. 2014; 57: 217–21. doi: <u>10.3345/kjp.</u> <u>2014.57.5.217</u> PMID: <u>25045363</u>
- Laurito M, Stazi A, Delogu AB, Milo M, Battipaglia I, Scalone G, et al. Endothelial and platelet function in children with previous Kawasaki disease. Angiology. 2014; 65: 716–22. doi: <u>10.1177/</u> 0003319713502392 PMID: 24019084
- Oguri M, Nakamura T, Tamanuki K, Akita C, Kitaoka C, Saikawa Y, et al. Subclinical arterial stiffness in young children after Kawasaki disease. Cardiol Young. 2014; 24: 87–94. doi: <u>10.1017/</u> S1047951112002302 PMID: 23388098
- Meena RS, Rohit M, Gupta A, Singh S. Carotid intima-media thickness in children with Kawasaki disease. Rheumatol Int. 2014; 34: 1117–21. doi: 10.1007/s00296-013-2820-2 PMID: 23925993
- Group JCSJW. Guidelines for diagnosis and management of cardiovascular sequelae in Kawasaki disease (JCS 2008)—digest version. Circ J. 2010; 74: 1989–2020. PMID: <u>20724794</u>
- Manlhiot C, Millar K, Golding F, McCrindle BW. Improved classification of coronary artery abnormalities based only on coronary artery z-scores after Kawasaki disease. Pediatr Cardiol. 2010; 31: 242–9. doi: 10.1007/s00246-009-9599-7 PMID: 20024653
- 40. Lamotte C, Iliescu C, Beghin L, Salleron J, Gonzalez-Gross M, Marcos A, et al. Association of socioeconomic status, truncal fat and sICAM-1 with carotid intima-media thickness in adolescents: the HELENA study. Atherosclerosis. 2013; 228: 460–5. doi: <u>10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.03.007</u> PMID: <u>23561648</u>
- Pahkala K, Heinonen OJ, Simell O, Viikari JS, Ronnemaa T, Niinikoski H, et al. Association of physical activity with vascular endothelial function and intima-media thickness. Circulation. 2011; 124: 1956–63. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.043851 PMID: 21969011
- Dawson JD, Sonka M, Blecha MB, Lin W, Davis PH. Risk factors associated with aortic and carotid intima-media thickness in adolescents and young adults: the Muscatine Offspring Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009; 53: 2273–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.03.026 PMID: 19520251
- Ras RT, Streppel MT, Draijer R, Zock PL. Flow-mediated dilation and cardiovascular risk prediction: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol. 2013; 168: 344–51. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.09.</u> <u>047</u> PMID: <u>23041097</u>
- de Jongh S, Lilien MR, op't Roodt J, Stroes ES, Bakker HD, Kastelein JJ. Early statin therapy restores endothelial function in children with familial hypercholesterolemia. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002; 40: 2117– 21. PMID: <u>12505222</u>
- Green DJ, Dawson EA, Groenewoud HM, Jones H, Thijssen DH. Is flow-mediated dilation nitric oxide mediated?: A meta-analysis. Hypertension. 2014; 63: 376–82. doi: <u>10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.</u> <u>113.02044</u> PMID: <u>24277765</u>
- 46. Maruhashi T, Soga J, Fujimura N, Idei N, Mikami S, Iwamoto Y, et al. Nitroglycerine-induced vasodilation for assessment of vascular function: a comparison with flow-mediated vasodilation. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2013; 33: 1401–8. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.112.300934 PMID: 23520168
- Rubinshtein R, Kuvin JT, Soffler M, Lennon RJ, Lavi S, Nelson RE, et al. Assessment of endothelial function by non-invasive peripheral arterial tonometry predicts late cardiovascular adverse events. Eur Heart J. 2010; 31: 1142–8. doi: <u>10.1093/eurheartj/ehq010</u> PMID: <u>20181680</u>
- **48.** Hedetoft M, Olsen NV. Evaluation of endothelial function by peripheral arterial tonometry and relation with the nitric oxide pathway. Nitric Oxide. 2014; 42C: 1–8.

- **49.** Hamburg NM, Palmisano J, Larson MG, Sullivan LM, Lehman BT, Vasan RS, et al. Relation of brachial and digital measures of vascular function in the community: the Framingham heart study. Hypertension. 2011; 57: 390–6. doi: <u>10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.160812</u> PMID: <u>21263120</u>
- Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, Stefanadis C. Prediction of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality with arterial stiffness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 55: 1318– 27. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jacc.2009.10.061</u> PMID: <u>20338492</u>
- Han JY, Choi DH, Choi SW, Kim BB, Ki YJ, Chung JW, et al. Predictive value of brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity for cardiovascular events. Am J Med Sci. 2013; 346: 92–7. doi: <u>10.1097/MAJ.</u> 0b013e318268c05a PMID: 23085673
- Im JA, Lee JW, Shim JY, Lee HR, Lee DC. Association between brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity and cardiovascular risk factors in healthy adolescents. J Pediatr. 2007; 150: 247–51. PMID: 17307539
- Hirai T, Sasayama S, Kawasaki T, Yagi S. Stiffness of systemic arteries in patients with myocardial infarction. A noninvasive method to predict severity of coronary atherosclerosis. Circulation. 1989; 80: 78–86. PMID: 2610739
- Nunez F, Martinez-Costa C, Sanchez-Zahonero J, Morata J, Chorro FJ, Brines J. Carotid artery stiffness as an early marker of vascular lesions in children and adolescents with cardiovascular risk factors. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2010; 63: 1253–60. PMID: 21070721
- Lorenz MW, von Kegler S, Steinmetz H, Markus HS, Sitzer M. Carotid intima-media thickening indicates a higher vascular risk across a wide age range: prospective data from the Carotid Atherosclerosis Progression Study (CAPS). Stroke. 2006; 37: 87–92. PMID: <u>16339465</u>
- 56. Chambless LE, Heiss G, Folsom AR, Rosamond W, Szklo M, Sharrett AR, et al. Association of coronary heart disease incidence with carotid arterial wall thickness and major risk factors: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study, 1987–1993. Am J Epidemiol. 1997; 146: 483–94. PMID: 9290509
- Suzuki A, Miyagawa-Tomita S, Komatsu K, Nishikawa T, Sakomura Y, Horie T, et al. Active remodeling of the coronary arterial lesions in the late phase of Kawasaki disease: immunohistochemical study. Circulation. 2000; 101: 2935–41. PMID: 10869266
- Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Rifai N. C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. N Engl J Med. 2000; 342: 836–43. PMID: 10733371
- Buckley DI, Fu R, Freeman M, Rogers K, Helfand M. C-reactive protein as a risk factor for coronary heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analyses for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2009; 151: 483–95. PMID: <u>19805771</u>