Research Article

Epidemiologic Features of Traumatic Fractures in Children and Adolescents: A 9-Year Retrospective Study

Hongwei Wang ,^{1,2,3,4} Chencheng Feng ,⁵ Huan Liu ,⁶ Jun Liu,¹ Lan Ou,⁷ Hailong Yu ,¹ and Liangbi Xiang¹

 ¹Department of Orthopedics, General Hospital of Northern Theater Command of Chinese PLA, Shenyang, Liaoning 110016, China
 ²State Key Laboratory of Robotics, Shenyang Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Science, Shenyang, Liaoning 110016, China
 ³State Key Laboratory of Materials Processing and Die & Mould Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China

⁴State Key Laboratory of Trauma, Burn and Combined Injury, Third Military Medical University, Chongging 400038, China

⁵Department of Orthopedics, Xinqiao Hospital, The Third Military Medical University, Chongqing 400037, China

⁶Department of Orthopedics, Affiliated Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou 646000, China ⁷Department of Radiology, Southwest Hospital, The Third Military Medical University, Chongqing 400038, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Hongwei Wang; cplawhw@163.com and Hailong Yu; yuhailong118@aliyun.com

Received 19 October 2018; Revised 26 December 2018; Accepted 8 January 2019; Published 20 February 2019

Academic Editor: Harold K. Simon

Copyright © 2019 Hongwei Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Purpose. Fractures are common among all types of paediatric injuries, with differences in incidence over time. Here, we present the epidemiologic features of traumatic fractures in a population of youth \leq 18 years of age who were admitted to our university-affiliated hospitals from 2002 to 2010. *Methods.* We retrospectively reviewed 2450 children and adolescents who had traumatic fractures. The data include variables such as age, sex, date of injury, and the mechanism of injury. For the period of 2002-2010, there were 2450 injury events that resulted in at least 1 fracture. *Results.* Low falls (1042, 42.5%) and upper limb fractures (1068, 43.6%) were the most common aetiologies and fracture sites. With increasing age, the proportion of injuries due to motor vehicle collisions (MVCs) decreased and the injuries due to being hit by others and due to sprains increased. With increasing age, the proportion of craniofacial fractures (CFFs) decreased, and lower limb fractures (LLFs), spinal fractures (SFs), and fractures of ribs and the sternum (RSFs) increased. Over time, the proportion of injuries due to MVCs and mechanical injury decreased. Male patients presented with a significantly higher proportion of injuries due to low falls, being struck by an object, being hit by others, and due to sprains. There were a significantly lower proportion of injuries due to MVCs in female patients. Male patients presented with a significantly lower proportion of injuries due to MVCs in female patients. Male patients presented with a significantly lower proportion of injuries due to MVCs in female patients. Male patients presented with a significantly higher proportion of injuries due to MVCs in female patients. Male patients presented with a significantly lower proportion of injuries due to MVCs in female patients. Male patients presented with a significantly higher proportion of uLFs in the ≤ 6 -year-old age group and a significantly lower proportion of LLFs and SFs in the 12-18-year-old age group than did female patient

1. Introduction

Fractures in children and adolescents (\leq 18 years of age) accounted for more than 15% of all traumatic fractures among all age groups in China [1]. Fractures comprise 10% to 25% of all types of paediatric injuries [2–4], and the incidence of fractures increased over time [5, 6]. The patterns of fractures vary between countries, which is due to different climate, culture, and leisure-time activities [7–18].

Paediatric fracture incidence rates have different patterns in different countries. The incidence of girls presenting with a fracture decreased from 1993-1994 to 2005-2006 in Malmö [13]. The childhood fracture incidence decreased significantly during the last two decades in Helsinki, Finland. Concurrently, the incidence of forearm and upper arm fractures increased by one-third [17]. Clavicle and distal radius fractures showed significant seasonal variation for all age groups but not for femoral shaft fractures [18]. In recent years,



FIGURE 1: Age- and sex-specific incidence of fractures per 100,000 hospital admissions.

developments of transportation and construction industry have happened in China and traffic laws were instituted after May 1, 2004. However, data on the characteristics of traumatic fractures (TFs) including age, sex, year of admission, and specific characteristics are scarce in China. In the present study, we present the epidemiologic features of TFs in a population of youth \leq 18 years of age, who were admitted to our university-affiliated hospitals from 2002 to 2010.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population. We retrospectively reviewed 2450 children and adolescents who had TFs. This study was a retrospective cross-sectional study using data from the Military Hospital Information Registry Database gathered from January 2002 to December 2010. Data were collected from the 2 largest tertiary hospitals located in the Shapingba district, which is a core district located in the northwest of Chongqing city. The data include variables such as age, sex, date of injury, and the mechanism of injury. We also describe age- and sex-related differences in incidence, in the mechanism of injury, and in fracture location. We made definitive diagnoses of TFs in patients who were children or adolescents (≤18 years old) using X-rays, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The aetiologies included motor vehicle collisions (MVCs), high fall (height $\geq 2 \text{ m}$), low fall (height <2 m), being struck by an object, hit by others, sprain, mechanical injury (such as twist/strikes/cutting injury by machine), and others (such as earthquake injury and firecracker blast injury). Fracture sites included upper limb fractures (ULFs), lower limb fractures (LLFs), craniofacial fractures (CFFs), spinal fractures (SFs), and fractures of ribs and the sternum (RSFs). Informed consent was obtained from the legal guardians when patients came to our hospital, and they were asked whether we could use the data for research. The study protocol and this manuscript were approved by the committee on ethics and the institutional review board

of Xinqiao Hospital, the Third Military Medical University. We confirm that all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

2.2. Statistical Analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). We used Pearson chi-squared tests to assess differences in age, the sex distribution and clinical characteristics between the two groups. Differences in the continuous variables between the two groups were evaluated using independent sample t-tests. The data was normally distributed and was expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

3. Results

3.1. Age, Gender, and Year of Admission Distributions. The study enrolled 2450 patients (1808 male and 642 female) with a mean age of 11.1±5.0 years and a sex ratio of 2.8 (Table 1). The mean age of the male patients was significantly higher than the female patients. The number of children diagnosed increased with the year of admission (Figure 1). During all periods the occurrence increased with increasing age groups and a male preponderance was observed in all age groups (Table 2). Female patients presented with significantly higher proportions in the ≤ 6 -year-old age group and significantly lower proportions in the 12-18-year-old age group than male patients (Table 3). The overall annual incidence of TFs was (254.1±62.8) cases per 100000 hospital admissions per 3-year period. Annual incidence rates increased from 186.1 cases (2002-2004) to 309.7 cases (2005-2007) and then decreased to 266.6 cases (2008-2010) with year of admission per 100000 hospital admissions per 3-year period. The incidences had a month and season variation, with peaks in summer (27.2%) and September (9.8%). A significantly lower incidence of TFs was observed in winter (21.5%) than the other three seasons.

3.2. Aetiology. Overall, the most common aetiologies were low falls (n=1042, 42.5%), followed by MVCs (n=728, 29.7%)

Aetiologies	Low fall	MVCs	High fall	Struck by object	Hit by others	Sprain	Mechanical injury	Others	Total
Total	1042	728	350	101	74	49	48	58	2450
Incidence per 100000 HA	111.3	77.8	37.4	10.8	7.9	5.2	5.1	6.2	261.7
M/F	791/251	493/235	248/102	88/13	68/6	43/6	40/8	37/21	1808/642
Mean age	10.8 ± 4.6	11.0 ± 5.3	10.8 ± 5.2	11.2 ± 5.1	14.8 ± 3.2	14.1 ± 3.0	13.6 ± 6.3	12.3 ± 5.3	11.1 ± 5.0
Fracture sites									
ULF	698 (67.0)	125 (17.2)	103 (29.4)	33 (32.7)	31(41.9)	12 (24.5)	40(83.3)	26 (44.8)	1068(43.6)
LLF	237 (22.7)	435(59.8)	139 (39.7)	42(41.6)	7 (9.5)	37 (75.5)	5(10.4)	23 (39.7)	925 (37.8)
CFF	99 (9.5)	225 (30.9)	133 (38.0)	25 (24.8)	40(54.1)	0	5(10.4)	11 (19.0)	538 (22.0)
SF	21(2.0)	41(5.6)	57 (16.3)	7 (6.9)	0	0	0	4(6.9)	130 (5.3)
RSF	2 (0.2)	16 (2.2)	8 (2.3)	1(1.0)	1(1.4)	0	2 (4.2)	0	30 (1.2)
ND	98 (9.4)	199 (27.3)	130 (37.1)	7 (6.9)	14(18.9)	3 (6.1)	9 (18.8)	3 (5.2)	463(18.9)
ULF: upper limb fracture; LLF:	lower limb fractur-	e; CFF: craniofaci	al fracture; SF: spir	nal fracture; RSF: fracture	: of rib and sternum; N	VD: neurological	deficit.		

TABLE 1: The epidemiology of traumatic fractures according to different aetiologies.

BioMed Research International

undpand $2005-2004$ $2005-2007$ $2008-2010$ ≤ 6 6 Total 393 1164 556 556 78 Total 393 893 1164 556 781 M/F 39994 $660/233$ $849/315$ $356/200$ 5717 Main age 11.1 ± 4.9 11.0 ± 5.1 11.2 ± 4.9 4.1 ± 1.5 9.4 ± 5717 Mean age 11.1 ± 4.9 11.0 ± 5.1 11.2 ± 4.9 4.1 ± 1.5 9.4 ± 5717 Mean age 11.1 ± 4.9 11.0 ± 5.1 11.2 ± 4.9 4.1 ± 1.5 9.4 ± 5717 Model 13.3 $376(42.1)$ $531(276) \pm 3217(276) \pm 3217(276) \pm 3217(276) \pm 3207(276)$ $207(276) \pm 3207(276) \pm 3217(276) \pm 3203(55.7)$ $207(276) \pm 3207(276) \pm 3217(276) \pm 3203(55.7)$ $207(276) \pm 3207(276) \pm 3207(276) \pm 400(176) \pm 52(4.5)$ $100(110)$ Mruch by object $16(4.1)$ $33(3.7)$ $52(4.5)$ $181(15.5)$ $94(16.9)$ $100(110)$ Struck by object $16(4.1)$ $33(3.7)$ $52(4.5)$ $100(12)$ $100(12)$ Struck by object $16(4.1)$ $33(3.7)$ $52(2.2) \approx 11(0.2)$ $100(12)$ Struck by object $16(4.1)$ $33(3.7)$ $52(4.5)$ $100(12)$ Struck by object $16(4.1)$ $33(3.7)$ $52(2.2) \approx 11(0.2)$ $100(12)$ Struck by object $16(4.1)$ $33(3.7)$ $52(2.2) \approx 10(0.2)$ $100(12)$ Mechanical injury $7(1.8)$ $14(1.6)$ $28(3.1)$ $10(2.2)$ $10(16,2)$ Mechanical injury $7(1.8)$ $12(1.9)$ $23(2.$	$\leq 6 \qquad 6-12$ $556 \qquad 787$ $59.5 \qquad 84.1$ $356/200 \qquad 577/210 \#$ $4.1 \pm 1.5 \qquad 9.4 \pm 1.7 \#$ $2.19 (39.4) \qquad 396 (50.3) \#$ $203 (36.5) \qquad 396 (50.3) \#$ $203 (36.5) \qquad 207 (26.3) \#$ $94 (16.9) \qquad 101 (12.8) \#$ $18 (3.2) \qquad 101 (12.8) \#$ $1 (0.2) \qquad 11 (1.4) \#$ $1 (0.18) \qquad 13 (1.7)$	$12-18$ 1107 1107 118.2 $875/232 \pm 8x$ $15.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 8x$ $15.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 8x$ $15.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 8x$ $318 (28.7) \pm 155 (14.0)$ $427 (38.6) \otimes 8x$ $318 (28.7) \pm 155 (14.0)$ $43 (3.9)$ $62 (5.6) \pm 8x$ $33 (3.0) \pm 3x$
Total393116455678Total39316.1309.7 266.6 59.584M/F299/94 660.233 $849/315$ 556200 5771 M/F299/94 660.233 $849/315$ 356200 5771 Mean age11.1 ± 4.911.0 ± 5.111.2 ± 4.9 4.1 ± 1.5 $9.4 \pm$ Mean age11.1 ± 4.911.0 ± 5.111.2 ± 4.9 4.1 ± 1.5 $9.4 \pm$ Mean age11.1 ± 4.911.0 ± 5.111.0 ± 5.1 $9.4 \pm$ 5771 Mean age11.1 ± 4.911.0 ± 5.111.0 ± 5.1 $9.4 \pm$ 5771 Mean age141 (35.9) $266 (29.8) \#$ $321 (27.6) \#$ $203 (36.5)$ $207 (2.2)$ MVCs141 (55.7)119 (13.3)181 (15.5) $9.4 \pm$ $207 (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2) (2.2)$	556787 59.5 84.1 59.5 84.1 $356/200$ $577/210 \#$ 4.1 ± 1.5 $9.4 \pm 1.7 \#$ 219 (39.4) 396 55.5 207 $(26.3) \#$ 203 (36.5) 207 203 (36.5) 207 203 (36.5) 101 18 (3.2) 101 $10.2)$ 11 $(1.4) \#$ 10 (1.8) 4 10 (1.8) 13 10 (1.8) 13	1107 118.2 $875/232 \pm 8$ 15.8 $\pm 1.7 \pm 8$ 15.8 $\pm 1.7 \pm 8$ 318 (28.7) \pm 318 (28.7) \pm 155 (14.0) 43 (3.9) 62 (5.6) ± 8 33 (3.0) \pm
	59.5 84.1 $356/200$ $577/210 \#$ 4.1 ± 1.5 $9.4 \pm 1.7 \#$ 4.1 ± 1.5 $9.4 \pm 1.7 \#$ $219 (39.4)$ $396 (50.3) \#$ $203 (36.5)$ $207 (26.3) \#$ $94 (16.9)$ $101 (12.8) \#$ $18 (3.2)$ $101 (12.8) \#$ $18 (3.2)$ $101 (12.8) \#$ $1 (0.2)$ $11 (1.4) \#$ $1 (0.2)$ $11 (1.4) \#$ $1 (0.2)$ $11 (1.4) \#$ $1 (0.18)$ $13 (1.7) \#$	118.2 $875/232 \# 8$ $15.8 \pm 1.7 \# 8$ $15.8 \pm 1.7 \# 8$ $318 (28.7) \#$ $155 (14.0)$ $43 (3.9)$ $62 (5.6) \# 8$ $33 (3.0) \#$
M/F $299/94$ $660/233$ $849/315$ $356/200$ $577/1$ Mean age 11.1 ± 4.9 11.0 ± 5.1 11.2 ± 4.9 4.1 ± 1.5 9.4 ± 5.1 Actiologies 11.1 ± 4.9 11.0 ± 5.1 11.2 ± 4.9 4.1 ± 1.5 9.4 ± 5.1 Low fall $155(39.4)$ $376(42.1)$ $511(43.9)$ $219(39.4)$ $396(5)$ MVCs $141(35.9)$ $256(29.8) \#$ $321(276) \#$ $203(35.5)$ $207(2)$ NVCs $141(35.9)$ $266(29.8) \#$ $321(276) \#$ $203(35.5)$ $207(2)$ NVCs $141(15.5)$ $119(13.3)$ $181(15.5)$ $94(16.9)$ $101(1.6)$ Struck by object $16(4.1)$ $33(3.7)$ $52(4.5)$ $18(15.5)$ $201(2.7)$ $40(6)$ Flit by others $8(2.0)$ $40(4.5) \#$ $26(2.2)$ $8(16.9)$ $101(1.8)$ $40(16.9)$ Struck by object $8(2.0)$ $14(1.6)$ $28(2.1)$ $11(0.2)$ $11(1.2)$ $10(1.8)$ Struck by object $8(2.0)$ $14(1.6)$ $28(2.2)$ $84(15.1)$ $10(1.8)$ Struck by object $8(42.7)$ $23(3.1)$ $11(0.9) \#$ $84(15.1)$ $11(1.8)$ Mechanical injury $7(1.8)$ $177(1.9)$ $24(2.9)$ $10(1.8)$ $10(1.8)$ Mechanical injury $7(1.8)$ $177(1.9)$ $24(2.9)$ $10(1.8)$ $10(1.8)$ Age range $6-12/2005-2007$ $141(35.9)$ $255(44.2)$ $254(46.7)$ $259(46.6)$ $26(4.6)$ Sel2002-2004 $84(2.7)$ $395(44.2)$ $544(46.7)$ $259(46.6)$ <	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 356/200 & 577/210 \ \# \\ 4.1 \pm 1.5 & 9.4 \pm 1.7 \ \# \\ 219 & (39.4) & 396 & (50.3) \ \# \\ 203 & (36.5) & 207 & (26.3) \ \# \\ 203 & (36.5) & 207 & (26.3) \ \# \\ 10 & (12.8) \ \# \\ 10 & (12.8) \ \# \\ 10 & (12.8) \ \# \\ 10 & (1.8) \ H & (1.7) \ \# \\ 10 & (1.8) \ H & (1.7) \ \# \\ 10 & (1.8) \ H & (1.7) \ \# \\ \end{array}$	875/232 #& 15.8 ± 1.7#& 427 (38.6) & 318 (28.7) # 155 (14.0) 43 (3.9) 62 (5.6) #& 33 (3.0) #
Mean age 11.1 ± 4.9 11.0 ± 5.1 11.2 ± 4.9 4.1 ± 1.5 $9.4 \pm 4.1 \pm 1.5$ Actiologies $155 (39.4)$ $376 (42.1)$ $511 (43.9)$ $219 (39.4)$ $396 (5) (5) \pm 1.1 \pm 1.5$ Low fall $155 (39.4)$ $376 (42.1)$ $511 (43.9)$ $219 (39.4)$ $396 (5) (207 (2) - 1.1 \pm 1.5))$ MVCs $141 (35.9)$ $266 (29.8) \pm 321 (27.6) \pm 203 (36.5)$ $207 (2) (207 (2) - 1.1 \pm 1.5))$ MVCs $141 (35.9)$ $266 (29.8) \pm 321 (27.6) \pm 203 (36.5)$ $207 (2) (201 (1) - 1.1 \pm 1.5))$ NVCs $141 (35.9)$ $266 (29.8) \pm 321 (27.6) \pm 203 (36.5)$ $207 (2) (201 (1) - 1.1 \pm 1.5))$ Struck by object $16 (4.1)$ $33 (3.7)$ $52 (4.5)$ $181 (15.5)$ $94 (16.9)$ Hit by others $8 (2.0)$ $40 (4.5) \pm 2.2 (3.2) \otimes 8 - 1 - 1 (0.2)$ $110 (1.2)$ Sprain $7 (1.8)$ $14 (1.6)$ $28 (2.4)$ $1 (0.2)$ $11 (1.2)$ Mechanical injury $9 (2.3)$ $28 (3.1)$ $11 (0.9) \pm 8 - 1 - 1 (0.2)$ $11 (1.8)$ Mechanical injury $9 (2.3)$ $28 (3.1)$ $34 (2.9)$ $10 (1.8)$ $4 (0.2)$ Mechanical injury $9 (2.3)$ $28 (3.1)$ $34 (2.9)$ $10 (1.8)$ $4 (0.2)$ Mechanical injury $9 (2.3)$ $28 (3.1)$ $34 (2.9)$ $10 (1.8)$ $4 (0.2)$ Mechanical injury $9 (2.3)$ $28 (3.1)$ $34 (2.9)$ $10 (1.8)$ $4 (0.2)$ Mechanical injury $9 (2.3)$ $28 (3.1)$ $34 (2.9)$ $10 (1.8)$ $4 (0.2)$ Age range $6 (2002-2004$ $84 (21.4)$ $23 (22$	4.1 ± 1.5 $9.4 \pm 1.7 \#$ 219 (39.4) 396 $(50.3) \#$ 203 (36.5) 207 $(26.3) \#$ 94 (16.9) 101 $(12.8) \#$ 18 (3.2) 101 $(12.8) \#$ 18 (3.2) 101 $(12.8) \#$ 10 (22) 11 $(1.4) \#$ 10 (1.8) 13 (1.7) 10 (1.8) 13 (1.7)	15.8 ± 1.7#& 427 (38.6) & 318 (28.7) # 155 (14.0) 43 (3.9) 62 (5.6) #& 33 (3.0) #
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} \mbox{Aetiologies} \\ \mbox{Low fall} & 155 (39.4) & 376 (42.1) & 511 (43.9) & 219 (39.4) & 396 (5 \\ \mbox{Low fall} & 155 (39.4) & 376 (42.1) & 511 (43.9) & 219 (39.4) & 396 (5 \\ \mbox{MVCs} & 141 (35.9) & 266 (29.8) \# & 321 (27.6) \# & 203 (36.5) & 207 (2 \\ \mbox{High fall} & 50 (12.7) & 119 (13.3) & 181 (15.5) & 94 (16.9) & 101 (11 \\ \mbox{Struck by object} & 16 (4.1) & 33 (3.7) & 52 (4.5) & 18 (3.2) & 40 (5 \\ \mbox{Hit by others} & 8 (2.0) & 40 (4.5) \# & 26 (2.2) \& & 1 (0.2) & 11 (1.2) \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 7 (1.8) & 14 (1.6) & 28 (2.4) & 1 (0.2) & 11 (1.2) \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 9 (2.3) & 28 (3.1) & 11 (0.9) \# \& & 10 (1.8) & 4 (0 \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 9 (2.3) & 28 (3.1) & 11 (0.9) \# \& & 10 (1.8) & 141 (5 \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 9 (2.3) & 28 (3.1) & 11 (0.9) \# \& & 10 (1.8) & 141 (6 \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 9 (2.3) & 28 (3.1) & 11 (0.9) \# \& & 10 (1.8) & 141 (6 \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 9 (2.3) & 28 (3.1) & 34 (2.9) & 10 (1.8) & 141 (6 \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 9 (2.3) & 28 (3.1) & 34 (2.9) & 10 (1.8) & 141 (6 \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 9 (2.3) & 28 (3.1) & 34 (2.9) & 10 (1.8) & 141 (6 \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 9 (2.3) & 28 (3.1) & 34 (2.9) & 259 (22.3) & 84 (15.1) & 141 (6 \\ \mbox{Mechanical injury} & 141 (35.9) & 255 (44.2) & 544 (46.7) & 255 (46.6) & 361 (7 \\ \mbox{Facture sites} & 166 (42.2) & 401 (44.9) & 501 (43.0) & 209 (37.6) & 403 (16) \\ \end{tabular}$	219 (39.4) 396 (50.3) # 203 (36.5) 207 (26.3) # 94 (16.9) 101 (12.8) # 18 (3.2) 40 (5.1) 1 (0.2) 11 (1.4) # 1 (0.2) 15 (1.9) # 10 (1.8) 4 (0.5) # 10 (1.8) 13 (1.7)	427 (38.6) & 318 (28.7) # 155 (14.0) 43 (3.9) 62 (5.6) #8 33 (3.0) #
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	219 (39.4) 396 (50.3) # 203 (36.5) 207 (26.3) # 94 (16.9) 101 (12.8) # 18 (3.2) 40 (5.1) 1 (0.2) 11 (1.4) # 1 (0.2) 15 (1.9) # 10 (1.8) 4 (0.5) # 10 (1.8) 13 (1.7)	427 (38.6) & 318 (28.7) # 155 (14.0) 43 (3.9) 62 (5.6) #& 33 (3.0) #
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	318 (28.7) # 155 (14.0) 43 (3.9) 62 (5.6) #& 33 (3.0) #
High fall50 (12.7)119 (13.3)181 (15.5)94 (16.9)101 (11Struck by object16 (4.1)33 (3.7)52 (4.5)18 (3.2)40 (Hit by others8 (2.0)40 (4.5) #26 (2.2) &1 (0.2)11 (1.Sprain7 (1.8)14 (1.6)28 (2.4)1 (0.2)11 (1.Mechanical injury9 (2.3)28 (3.1)11 (0.9) #&10 (1.8)4 (0.Metra concar injury9 (2.3)28 (3.1)11 (0.9) #&10 (1.8)4 (0.Age range7 (1.8)17 (1.9)34 (2.9)10 (1.8)13 (Age range6/2002-200484 (21.4)213 (23.9)259 (22.3)84 (15.1)141 (6-12/2005-2007141 (35.9)285 (31.9)361 (31.0)213 (38.3)285 (285 (31.9)6-12/2008-2010168 (42.7)395 (44.2)544 (46.7)259 (46.6)361 (Fracture sites10.1501 (43.0)209 (37.6)403 ($\begin{array}{ccccc} 94 \ (16.9) & 101 \ (12.8) \ \# \\ 18 \ (3.2) & 40 \ (5.1) \\ 1 \ (0.2) & 11 \ (1.4) \ \# \\ 1 \ (0.2) & 15 \ (1.9) \ \# \\ 10 \ (1.8) & 4 \ (0.5) \ \# \\ 10 \ (1.8) & 13 \ (1.7) \end{array}$	155 (14.0) 43 (3.9) 62 (5.6) $#33 (3.0) #$
Struck by object16 (4.1)33 (3.7)52 (4.5)18 (3.2)40 (Hit by others8 (2.0)40 (4.5) #26 (2.2) &11 (0.2)11 (1.Sprain7 (1.8)14 (1.6)28 (2.4)1 (0.2)15 (1.Mechanical injury9 (2.3)28 (3.1)11 (0.9) #810 (1.8)4 (0.Nacerange7 (1.8)17 (1.9)34 (2.9)10 (1.8)4 (0.Age range 7 (1.8)17 (1.9)34 (2.9)10 (1.8)13 (1.6)Age range $6/2002-2004$ 84 (21.4)213 (23.9)259 (22.3)84 (15.1)141 (1.6) $6/2002-2007$ 141 (35.9)285 (31.9)361 (31.0)213 (38.3)285 (31.9)361 (31.0) $6-12/2008-2010$ 168 (42.7)395 (44.2)544 (46.7)259 (46.6)361 (7.0) $11F$ 166 (42.2)401 (44.9)501 (43.0)209 (37.6)403 (7.0)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	43 (3.9) 62 (5.6) #& 33 (3.0) #
Hit by others8 (2.0)40 (4.5) # $26 (2.2) \&$ 1 (0.2)11 (1.Sprain7 (1.8)14 (1.6) $28 (2.4)$ 1 (0.2)15 (1.Mechanical injury9 (2.3) $28 (3.1)$ 11 (0.9) #810 (1.8)4 (0.Others7 (1.8)17 (1.9) $34 (2.9)$ 10 (1.8)4 (0.Age range $7 (1.8)$ $17 (1.9)$ $34 (2.9)$ 10 (1.8)13 (1.6)Age range $6-12/2005-2004$ $84 (21.4)$ $213 (23.9)$ $259 (22.3)$ $84 (15.1)$ $141 (1.6)$ $6-12/2005-2007$ $141 (35.9)$ $285 (31.9)$ $361 (31.0)$ $213 (38.3)$ $285 (1.6)$ $361 (1.6)$ $6-12/2008-2010$ $168 (42.7)$ $395 (44.2)$ $544 (46.7)$ $259 (46.6)$ $361 (1.6)$ 117 $10 (14.9)$ $501 (43.0)$ $209 (37.6)$ $403 (1.6)$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & (0.2) & 11 & (1.4) \\ 1 & (0.2) & 15 & (1.9) \\ 10 & (1.8) & 4 & (0.5) \\ 10 & (1.8) & 13 & (1.7) \end{array}$	62 (5.6) #8 33 (3.0) # 24 (2.1) 0-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & (0.2) & 15 & (1.9) \# \\ 10 & (1.8) & 4 & (0.5) \# \\ 10 & (1.8) & 13 & (1.7) \end{array}$	33 (3.0) #
Mechanical injury 9 (2.3) 28 (3.1) 11 (0.9) #& 10 (1.8) 4 (0.10) Others 7 (1.8) 17 (1.9) 34 (2.9) 10 (1.8) 13 (1.8) Age range $56/2002-2004$ 84 (21.4) 213 (23.9) 259 (22.3) 84 (15.1) 141 (1.8) $\leq 6/2002-2007$ 141 (35.9) 285 (31.9) 361 (31.0) 213 (38.3) 285 (1.4) $6-12/2005-2010$ 168 (42.7) 395 (44.2) 544 (46.7) 259 (46.6) 361 (1.1) Fracture sites 10 (TF 166 (42.2) 401 (44.9) 501 (43.0) 209 (37.6) 403 (1.2)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} Others & 7 (1.8) & 17 (1.9) & 34 (2.9) & 10 (1.8) & 13 (1.8) \\ Age range & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	10 (1.8) 13 (1.7)	24 (J.C) X
Age range $\leq 6/2002-2004$ 84 (21.4)213 (23.9)259 (22.3)84 (15.1)141 ($\leq 6/2002-2007$ 141 (35.9)285 (31.9)361 (31.0)213 (38.3)285 (51.9) $6-12/2005-2007$ 141 (35.9)285 (31.9)361 (31.0)213 (38.3)285 (51.9) $12-18/2008-2010$ 168 (42.7)395 (44.2)544 (46.7)259 (46.6)361 (51.0)Fracture sites10.1F166 (42.2)401 (44.9)501 (43.0)209 (37.6)403 (51.0)		35 (3.2) &
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
6-12/2005-2007 141 (35.9) 285 (31.9) 361 (31.0) 213 (38.3) 285 (31.0) 6-12/2008-2010 168 (42.7) 395 (44.2) 544 (46.7) 259 (46.6) 361 (36.1) Fracture sites 12-18/2008-2010 166 (42.2) 401 (44.9) 501 (43.0) 209 (37.6) 403 (7	84 (15.1) 141 (17.9)	168 (15.2)
12-18/2008-2010 168 (42.7) 395 (44.2) 544 (46.7) 259 (46.6) 361 (³) Fracture sites 101.F 166 (42.2) 401 (44.9) 501 (43.0) 209 (37.6) 403 (²)	213 (38.3) 285 (36.2)	395 (35.7)
Fracture sites For the sites 401 (44.9) 501 (43.0) 209 (37.6) 403 (5	259 (46.6) 361 (45.9)	544(49.1)
ULF 166 (42.2) 401 (44.9) 501 (43.0) 209 (37.6) 403 (5		
	209 (37.6) 403 (51.2) #	456 (41.2) &
LLF 163 (41.5) 307 (34.4) # 455 (39.1) & 198 (35.6) 255 (198 (35.6) 255 (32.4)	472 (42.6) #8
CFF 67 (17.0) 212 (23.7) # 259 (22.3) # 177 (31.8) 167 (2	177 (31.8) 167 (21.2) #	194 (17.5) #&
SF 25 (6.4) 41 (4.6) 64 (5.5) 5 (0.9) 17 (5 (0.9) 17 (2.2)	108(9.8) # &
RSF 2 (0.5) 7 (0.8) 21 (1.8) 2 (0.4) 7 (0	2 (0.4) 7 (0.9)	21 (1.9) #
ND 71 (18.1) 157 (17.6) 235 (20.2) 93 (16.7) 140 (93 (16.7) 140 (17.8)	

TABLE 2: The epidemiology of traumatic fractures according to different year and age range groups.

4

BioMed Research International

TABLE 3: The epidemiology of TFs according to different genders and whether presented with neurological deficit.

Groups	Genders		Neurological deficit	
	Male	Female	With	Without
Total	1808	642#	463	1987#
Incidence per 100000 HA	193.1	68.6#	49.4	212.2#
Sex ratio	1808/0	0/642#	341/122	1467/520
Mean age	11.5 ± 4.9	$10.1 \pm 5.1 \#$	11.8 ± 5.1	$11.0 \pm 4.9 \#$
Age range				
≤6	356 (19.7)	200 (31.2) #	93 (20.1)	463 (23.3)
6-12	577 (31.9)	210 (32.7)	140 (30.2)	647 (32.6)
12-18	875 (48.4)	232 (36.1) #	230 (49.7)	877 (44.1) #
Year range				
2002-2004	299 (16.5)	94 (14.6)	71 (15.3)	322 (16.2)
2005-2007	660 (36.5)	233 (36.3)	157 (33.9)	736 (37.0)
2008-2010	849 (47.0)	315 (49.1)	235 (50.8)	929 (46.8)
Aetiologies				
Low fall	791 (43.8)	251 (39.1) #	98 (21.2)	944 (47.5) #
MVCs	493 (27.3)	235 (36.6) #	199 (43.0)	529 (26.6) #
High fall	248 (13.7)	102 (15.9)	130 (28.1)	220 (11.1) #
Struck by object	88 (4.9)	13 (2.0) #	7 (1.5)	94 (4.7) #
Hit by others	68 (3.8)	6 (0.9) #	14 (3.0)	60 (3.0)
Sprain	43 (2.4)	6 (0.9) #	3 (0.6)	46 (2.3) #
Mechanical injury	40 (2.2)	8 (1.2)	9 (1.9)	39 (2.0)
Others	37 (2.0)	21 (3.3)	3 (0.6)	55 (2.8) #
Fracture sites	1808	642		
ULF	841 (46.5)	227 (35.4) #	146 (31.5)	922 (46.4) #
LLF	650 (36.0)	275 (42.8) #	126 (27.2)	799 (40.2) #
CFF	382 (21.1)	156 (24.3)	231 (49.9)	307 (15.5) #
SF	82 (4.5)	48 (7.5) #	63 (13.6)	67 (3.4) #
RSF	18 (1.0)	12 (1.9)	13 (2.8)	17 (0.9) #
ND	341 (18.9)	122 (19.0)	463 (100.0)	0 #

ULF: upper limb fracture; LLF: lower limb fracture; CFF: craniofacial fracture; SF: spinal fracture; RSF: fracture of rib and sternum; ND: neurological deficit. #: significant difference compared to male patients or to patients with neurological deficits

and high falls (n=350, 14.3%) (Table 1). The frequency of low falls was significantly higher in children aged 6-12 years than the other two age groups. The frequency of MVCs was significantly higher in children aged ≤ 6 years than the other two age groups. The frequency of hit by others was significantly higher in children aged 12-18 years than the other two age groups. With increasing age, the proportion of injuries due to MVCs decreased and injuries due to being hit by others and sprains increased. With increasing year of admission, the proportion of injuries due to MVCs and mechanical injury decreased. Male patients presented with a significantly higher proportion of injuries due to low fall, being struck by an object, being hit by others, sprains, and a significantly lower proportion of injuries due to MVCs than female patients (Table 3).

3.3. Fracture Sites. Among all the patients, the most common fracture sites were ULFs (n=1068, 43.6%) and LLFs (n=925, 37.8%), followed by CFFs (n=538, 22.0%) (Table 1; Figure 2). The proportion of ULF was the largest in the mechanical injury group (83.3%), low fall group (67.0%), and lowest in the

MVCs group (17.2%). The proportion of LLFs was the largest in the sprain group (75.5%) and MVCs group (59.8%) and lowest in the hit by other groups (9.5%). The proportion of CFFs was the largest in the hit by others group (54.1%) and lowest in the sprain group (0) and low fall group (9.5%). The proportion of SF was the largest in the high fall group (16.3%).

The most common fracture sites were ULFs (n=209, 37.6%) in the ≤ 6 year old patients, ULFs (n=403, 51.2%) in the 6-12 year old patients, and LLFs (n=472, 42.6%) in the 12-18 year old patients. The frequency of ULFs was significantly higher in children aged 6-12 years than the other two age groups. The frequency of LLFs was significantly higher in children aged 12-18 years than the other two age groups. The frequency of CFFs was significantly higher in children aged ≤ 6 years than the other two age groups. The frequency of SFs was significantly higher in children aged ≤ 6 years than the other two age groups. The frequency of SFs was significantly higher in children aged 12-18 years than the other two age groups. The frequency of SFs was significantly higher in children aged 12-18 years than the other two age groups. SFs was significantly higher in children aged 12-18 years than the other two age groups. The frequency of SFs was significantly higher in children aged 12-18 years than the other two age groups. The frequency of SFs was significantly higher in children aged 12-18 years than the other two age groups. With increasing age, the proportion decreased in CFFs and increased in injuries due to LLFs, SFs, and RSF. Male patients presented with a significantly higher proportion of ULFs and significantly lower proportion of LLFs and SFs than female patients (Table 3).



FIGURE 2: Frequency of specific fracture sites among all the patients.

3.4. Neurological Deficit (ND), Complications, and Associated Injuries (ASOIs). A total of 463 (18.9%) patients suffered a neurological deficit (ND), 198 (8.1%) patients had sustained complications (Figure 3(a)), and 385 (15.7%) patients sustained ASOIs (Figure 3(b)). The frequency of ND increased with age (Table 2).

4. Discussion

In the current study, fractures were more common in males than females and the sex ratio was 2.8. The result was consistent with previous studies [5, 7, 14]. The peak incidence occurred in the 12-18-year-old age group for both males and females. Male patients presented with a significantly higher proportion of the ≤6-year-old age group and significantly lower proportion of the 12-18-year-old age group than female patients. In previous studies, the peak incidence occurred in different age groups between males and females and all the results were similar [5, 7, 14, 17]. In Sweden, the peak incidence occurred in 13-14 years in boys and in 11-12 years in girls [5]. Fracture incidence had a peak incidence at 14 years of age among boys and 11 years of age among girls in Britain [7]. In Helsinki, the fracture incidence peaked at 14 years in boys and 10 years in girls [17]. There may be a little bias because these studies focus on fractures including a small number of nontraumatic fractures rather than only traumatic fracture in our study.

The leading causes were low falls, which was consistent with previous studies [5, 17]. In Britain, an increase in fracture incidence was seen from 1967 to 1983, but a significant decrease was seen from 1983 to 2005 [17]. In the current study, the annual incidence of TFs increased from 186.1 to 309.7 cases during the first several years. The phenomenon can be explained by the rapidly changing society due to transportation development and the construction industry. The annual incidence of TFs then decreased during the last several years because traffic laws were instituted after May 1, 2004. The level of education and establishment of traffic laws may contribute to declining rates of injuries and fractures. Therefore, we can see that improved traffic safety as well as increased supervision of physical activities also plays an important role in the decreased incidence.

The most common fracture regions were ULF and LLF. The craniofacial fracture and humerus fracture were the most common fracture sites. In previous studies, the most common fracture site was forearm fractures [5, 7, 17, 18]. With increasing age, the proportion of injuries due to MVCs and CFFs decreased and there were increased injuries due to being hit by others, sprain, LLFs, SFs, and RSF. With increasing year of admission, the proportion of injuries due to MVCs and mechanical injury decreased. Therefore, we should pay more attention to the injuries due to being hit by others, sprain, and LLFs and consider how to prevent these injuries and treat the LLFs. Male patients presented with a significantly higher proportion of injuries due to low fall, being struck by an object, being hit by others, sprains, ULFs, and a significantly lower proportion of injuries due to MVCs, LLFs, and SFs than female patients. Therefore, we can see that the pattern of TFs among the patients has its own characteristics and that targeted intervention methods should be considered to decrease the incidence and burden of TFs.

In the current study, the incidences of TFs had showed season and month variation, with peaks in summer and September. A significantly lower incidence of TFs was observed in winter than in the other three seasons, which can be explained by less outdoor activity in winter. Fractures are



FIGURE 3: Number of patients presented with associated injuries (a) and complications (b).

caused by a combination of intrinsic and extrinsic factors that vary with age [5]. The study results are believed to be helpful in the planning and assignment of medical resources for fracture management in children and adolescents [18]. Through the current study, we can see that we should plan many more preventive measures for younger female children, especially injured by MVCs, and assign more medical resources to CFFs in younger children and LLFs in older children.

The most common associated injuries were head and lung injury, so when patients with fracture come to us for treatment, we should pay much attention to the head and lung injury in avoidance of missed diagnosis and delayed diagnosis. The most common complications were fracture malunion and fracture site infection, so effective prevention measures and avoiding the occurrence of such complications are necessary to ensure a safe and successful treatment. This study has several limitations. First, it was limited by the retrospective study design and by the small sample size. Second, there may be selection bias because this study included patients who were referred to our teaching hospitals. Third, the leading causes were low falls, but the exact aetiology such as sport injury of low falls is not mentioned.

5. Conclusions

Low falls and upper limb fractures were the leading cause and fracture sites. The epidemiologic features of traumatic fractures have specific annual, age, and gender differences. To further improve the prevention and treatment of traumatic fractures in children and adolescents, policy makers should pay attention to these characteristics.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

All listed authors do not have any conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Hongwei Wang, Chencheng Feng, and Huan Liu contributed equally to this work. All listed authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Changqing Li and Yue Zhou for their assistance with the data collection. This work was supported by the Foundation of the Liaoning Province Doctor Startup Fund (201601389), the State Key Laboratory of Robotics (2017-O01), the Open Project Program of the State Key Lab of CAD&CG (A1718), the Open Project Program of the State Key Laboratory of Trauma, Burn and Combined Injury (SKLKF201705), and the State Key Laboratory of Materials Processing and Die & Mould Technology (P2018-011).

References

- W. Chen, H. Lv, S. Liu et al., "National incidence of traumatic fractures in China: a retrospective survey of 512 187 individuals," *The Lancet Global Health*, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. e807–e817, 2017.
- [2] G. Jones and H. M. Cooley, "Symptomatic fracture incidence in those under 50 years of age in southern Tasmania," *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 278–283, 2002.
- [3] V. Mattila, J. Parkkari, P. Kannus, and A. Rimpelä, "Occurrence and risk factors of unintentional injuries among 12- to 18-yearold Finns - A survey of 8219 adolescents," *European Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 437–444, 2004.
- [4] D. W. Spady, D. L. Saunders, D. P. Schopflocher, and L. W. Svenson, "Patterns of injury in children: a population-based approach," *Pediatrics*, vol. 113, part 1, no. 3, pp. 522–529, 2004.
- [5] E. M. Hedström, O. Svensson, U. Bergström, and P. Michno, "Epidemiology of fractures in children and adolescents: increased incidence over the past decade: a population-based study from northern Sweden," *Acta Orthopaedica*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 148–153, 2010.
- [6] B. Kopjar and T. M. Wickizer, "Fractures among children: Incidence and impact on daily activities," *Injury Prevention*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 194–197, 1998.
- [7] C. Cooper, E. M. Dennison, H. G. M. Leufkens, N. Bishop, and T. P. Van Staa, "Epidemiology of childhood fractures in Britain: a study using the general practice research database," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 1976–1981, 2004.
- [8] K. Thandrayen, S. A. Norris, and J. M. Pettifor, "Fracture rates in urban South African children of different ethnic origins: the

birth to twenty cohort," *Osteoporosis International*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 47–52, 2009.

- [9] R. J. Moon, N. C. Harvey, E. M. Curtis, F. de Vries, T. van Staa, and C. Cooper, "Ethnic and geographic variations in the epidemiology of childhood fractures in the United Kingdom," *Bone*, vol. 85, pp. 9–14, 2016.
- [10] E. M. Curtis, R. van der Velde, R. J. Moon et al., "Epidemiology of fractures in the United Kingdom 1988-2012: Variation with age, sex, geography, ethnicity and socioeconomic status," *Bone*, vol. 87, pp. 19–26, 2016.
- [11] H. Wang, H. Yu, Y. Zhou et al., "Traumatic fractures as a result of falls in children and adolescents," *Medicine*, vol. 96, no. 37, p. e7879, 2017.
- [12] H. Wang, Y. Zhou, J. Liu et al., "Traumatic fractures as a result of motor vehicle collisions in children and adolescents," *International Orthopaedics*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 625–630, 2018.
- [13] V. Lempesis, B. E. Rosengren, J.-Å. Nilsson, L. Landin, C. Johan Tiderius, and M. K. Karlsson, "Time trends in pediatric fracture incidence in Sweden during the period 1950–2006," *Acta Orthopaedica*, vol. 88, no. 4, pp. 440–445, 2017.
- [14] R. A. Lyons, A. M. Delahunty, D. Kraus et al., "Children's fractures: A population based study," *Injury Prevention*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 129–132, 1999.
- [15] R. A. Lyons, E. Sellstrom, A. M. Delahunty, M. Loeb, and S. Varilo, "Incidence and cause of fractures in European districts," *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, vol. 82, no. 6, pp. 452–455, 2000.
- [16] D. J. Mathison and D. Agrawal, "An Update on the Epidemiology of Pediatric Fractures," *Pediatric Emergency Care*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 594–603, 2010.
- [17] M. K. Mäyränpää, O. Mäkitie, and P. E. Kallio, "Decreasing incidence and changing pattern of childhood fractures: a population-based study," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 2476–2483, 2010.
- [18] M. S. Park, C. Y. Chung, I. H. Choi et al., "Incidence patterns of pediatric and adolescent orthopaedic fractures according to age groups and seasons in South Korea: A population-based study," *Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 161–166, 2013.