i S

Cinical Kidney Journal

doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfab077 Advance Access Publication Date: 19 April 2021 Letter to the Editor

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Value of FDG-PET/CT in monitoring cyst infections in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic renal disease

Charles Ronsin¹, Clément Bailly², Paul Le Turnier³ and Simon Ville^{1,4}

¹Department of Nephrology and Immunology, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes, France, ²Department of Nuclear Medicine, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes, France, ³Department of Infectious Disease, Hotel-Dieu Hospital—INSERM CIC 1413, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes, France and ⁴Centre de Recherche en Transplantation et Immunologie UMR 1064, INSERM, Université de Nantes, Nantes, France

Correspondence to: Simon Ville; E-mail: simon.ville@chu-nantes.fr

¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography-computed tomography (FDG-PET/CT) allows prompt cyst infection (CI) diagnosis in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) [1-4]. Assuming a close correlation between ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and the clinical outcome, it has been proposed to repeat FDG-PET/CT: a negative image would indicate complete recovery, while persistent hypermetabolism would mean active infection, that is, treatment failure requiring resumption of antibiotic therapy or performance of an invasive procedure [5]. To evaluate the value of FDG-PET/CT in monitoring CI, we conducted a retrospective study reporting the experience of a referral centre in Western France between 2009 and 2019. Definition of liver/kidney CI as definite or probable was based on criteria established by Sallée et al. [1] and treatment failure/recurrence definition on criteria established by Lantinga et al. [6] FDG-PET/CT images were reviewed using a standardized approach consisting of a visual four-point scoring scale (Supplementary data, Figure S1) [7]. We identified 44 CI episodes occurring in 38 patients, 7 had 9 CI episodes with initial and follow-up FDG-PET/CT, whose characteristics are detailed in Table 1. In all cases, initial FDG-PET/CT imaging showed evident ¹⁸F-FDG uptake of the infected cyst. A microorganism, mostly Escherichia coli, was identified in half of the cases. In all cases, initial therapy consisted only of antibiotics with a median duration of 42 (21-43) days. FDG-PET/CT was repeated after antibiotics discontinuation in all patients, after a median time of 13 (1-64) days [55 (43-90) days from the start of antibiotic]. At this

moment, all patients were asymptomatic with a clear decrease in C-reactive protein (CRP) concentration [5 (<5-66) mg/L]. Differential analysis of both FDG-PET/CT found persistence, slight improvement and disappearance of cyst wall hypermetabolism score in, respectively, 4 (44%), 2 (22%) and 3 (33%) cases (Table 1 and Supplementary data, Table S1). There was no correlation between the evolution of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake scoring and CRP concentration at the time of the follow-up FDG-PET/CT (r = 0.03). Otherwise, antibiotic duration (r = 0.02), delay between antibiotic discontinuation and follow-up FDG-PET/CT (r = 0.13) and time from antibiotic initiation to follow-up FDG-PET/CT (r=0.19) were not correlated with FDG-PET/CT scoring kinetic (Figure 1). Review of medical records revealed that persistent ¹⁸F-FDG uptake was not interpreted as treatment failure by physicians in charge (i.e. leading to a modification of therapy consisting of resuming antimicrobials and/or considering an invasive procedure) except in one case, as detailed below. No CI relapse was observed in the study period, including in cases with persistent ¹⁸F-FDG uptake on follow-up. In Patient 2, a persistent ¹⁸F-FDG uptake on FDG-PET/CT performed 17 days after antibiotic discontinuation led to percutaneous cyst aspiration (CA). Bacterial culture remained sterile and FDG-PET/CT results were interpreted as false positive. No antimicrobials were resumed, and no CI relapse developed.

Until now, only a single retrospective study reported four CI cases with follow-up FDG-PET/CT imaging performed 3-6 weeks

Received: 10.3.2021; Editorial decision: 11.3.2021

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of ERA-EDTA.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

5
2
<u> </u>
Ч
hn
ã
·E
Ħ
÷
0
D.
R
b
S
.5
ö
đ
50
ື້ອ
÷Ë
.0
Ä
~
ē
В
٠E
-
Ä
÷
÷
b.
10
5
2
5
Ĕ.
_
5
Ϋ́
2
55
5
Ξ.
Ċ
õ
E.
-
ē
-8
-
-
~
ğ
anc
Iano
CI and
h CI and
th CI and
vith CI and
with CI and
s with CI and
its with CI and
nts with CI and
ients with CI and
tients with CI and
atients with CI and
patients with CI and
O patients with CI and
(D patients with CI and
^o KD patients with CI and
DPKD patients with CI and
DPKD patients with CI and
ADPKD patients with CI and
if ADPKD patients with CI and
of ADPKD patients with CI and
e of ADPKD patients with CI and
ne of ADPKD patients with CI and
ome of ADPKD patients with CI and
come of ADPKD patients with CI and
tcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
utcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
d outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
nd outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
s and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
cs and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
ics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
stics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
istics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
sristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
teristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
icteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
racteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
aracteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
haracteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
Characteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
. Characteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
1. Characteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
? 1. Characteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
le 1. Characteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
ble 1. Characteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and
able 1. Characteristics and outcome of ADPKD patients with CI and

d'n

$ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Dotion to footured			Tin	ne of dia	gnosis				Follow-1	dr		Outcome	SS
	רמונונס רמונינס	Age/sex CKD stage	Diagnosis Bacterial identification	Site of cyst infection	WBC, g/L	CRP, mg/L	FDG-PET/CT scoring	Duration of antibiotic therapy (days)	Time between antibiotic therapy discontinuation and FDG-PET/CT (days)	WBC, g/L	CRP, mg/L	FDG-PET/CT scoring	Therapeutic impact of second FDG-PET/CT result	Failure/ recurrence
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P1	45/F	Probable	Liver	8.8	230	б	42	1	NA	NA	1	None	No/No
49/FProbable is coliLiver102178441148<53AE. coli(hood)(hood)(ivod)11240412174348<5		∠ 48/F 3.∆	E. cuii (viouu) Probable IIndociimented	Liver	22	252	4	42	20	7	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\sim}$	4	None	No/No
P2 $57/F$ (hlood)Definite (hlood)Kidney11 240 44217 27 <5 3BE. coli(CA)(CA)(CA) (CA) $(CA$		49/F 3 A	Probable Fi	Liver	10.2	178	4	42	11	4.8	5	1	None	No/No
			t. wii (blood)											
	P2	57/F 3B	Definite E. coli	Kidney	11	240	4	42	17	2.7	ŝ	4	CA with sterile culture	Yes/No
	P3	69/F	(CA) Probable	Liver	12	120	4	21	34	6.8	66	4	None	No/No
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	3A	Undocumented				1	1	1					
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{PS} & \begin{array}{cccccccc} \text{outputted} \\ \text{2} & \begin{array}{cccccccccc} \text{E.coli} \\ \text{E.coli} \\ \text{CAM} \\ \text{CAM} \\ \text{CAM} \\ \text{CAM} \\ \text{CAM} \\ \text{CAB} \\ \text{CAM} \\ \text{CAB} \\ \text$	P4	74/F ET	Probable IIndominicated	Liver	3.4	179	4	28	62	5.5	20	1	None	No/No
$ \begin{array}{cccccccc} 2 & E. coli \\ + Bacteroides caccae \\ (CA) \\ FT & E. coli \\ FT & E. coli \\ (Dood/urine) \\ P7 & 53/M & Probable \\ FT & E. coli \\ (blood/urine) \\ FT & E. coli \\ (blood/urine) \\ (blood) \\ (blood) \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P5	10 50/M	Definitive	Kidney	22.7	252	4	42	13	6	°5 ∕	4	None	o/No
P6 64/M Probable Kidney 1.6 270 4 42 11 8 10 Fr 5T E.oli 0lood/urine) 1.6 270 4 42 11 8 10 P7 53/M Probable Kidney + 2 460 4 43 1 NA 61 P7 5D Raoutella platicola liver 1 43 1 NA 61		2	E. coli ⊥ Bacteroides racrae											
P6 64/M Probable Kidney 1.6 270 4 42 11 8 10 5T E. coli (blood/urine) (blood/urine) 16 270 4 42 11 8 10 P7 53/M Probable Kidney + 2 460 4 43 1 NA 61 P7 5D Raoutella platicola liver 1 NA 61			(CA)											
FT E. coli (blood/urine) (blood/urine) F7 53/M Probable Kaoutella platicola liver (blood) liver	P6	64/M	Probable	Kidney	1.6	270	4	42	11	∞	10	З	None	No/No
P7 53/M Probable Kidney + 2 460 4 43 1 NA 61 5D Raoutella platicola liver (blood)		ST	E. coli											
P7 53/M Probable Kidney + 2 460 4 43 1 NA 61 5D Raoutella platicola liver (blood)			(blood/urine)											
b) kaouteila piancola liver (blood)	P7	53/M	Probable	Kidney +	2	460	4	43	1	NA	61	2	None	No/No
(blood)		5D	Raoutella platicola	liver										
			(blood)											
Cls were considered as definite in the presence of a CA showing evidence of infection (neutrophils debris and/or microorganism), or probable in the presence of all of the foll	CIs were considered a	is definite in the	presence of a CA showin	ig evidence of ir	ifection (i	neutrophi.	ls debris and/or m	icroorganism), or	probable in the presen	ice of all of	the follor	wing features: fev	er (temperature 38.5°C i	for 3 days), ab-

Treatment pain (partoularly a paipable area of treat createress), increased Ckr (bung/L) and the absence of any significant recent intracystic bleeding (pased on the results of the apoforminal C1 scal) or other causes of twert. Treatment takes of tweet the apoforminal C1 scal) or other causes of tweet. Treatment takes of tweet was defined by modification of therapy) (i.e. switching or adding antimicrobials, or switching between treatment categories antimicrobial, percutaneous or surgical therapy). Treatment recurrence was defined as re-appearance of treatment within 3 months, after a treatment and asymptom-free interval of >1 week. Visual scoring (four-point scale) of cyst hypermetabolism was used on the most hypermetabolis cyst accound the cyst less than or equal to blood was scored as 1; if it was more than blood but less than or equal to liver, it was scored as 2; if it was slightly more than liver, it was scored as 3 and if it was largely more than liver, it was scored as 4.



FIGURE 1: Correlation between the variation of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake scoring (between follow-up and initial FDG-PET/CT) and (A) antibiotic therapy duration, (B) delay between antibiotic therapy discontinuation and follow-up FDG-PET/CT, (C) time from antibiotic therapy initiation to follow-up FDG-PET/CT and (D) CRP concentration at the time of the follow-up FDG-PET/CT.

after diagnostic imaging [8]. Based on the persistence of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake, interpreted as treatment failure, antibiotic was continued until ¹⁸F-FDG uptake resolution on a third FDG-PET/ CT performed 3-4 weeks after. Authors recognized that tailoring treatment duration according to FDG-PET/CT results supposed an unproven relationship between ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and infection. Our results contradict this assumption considering the absence of relapse in patients with ¹⁸F-FDG persistent uptake, which could be explained by non-specific healing processes [9]. Thus, waiting for the resolution of the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake appears to be unjustified and could lead to extension of treatment without benefit and the associated risks inherent to long antimicrobial exposure. Conversely, whether an early negative FDG-PET/CT in patients with satisfying clinical-biological improvement could help to reduce the antibiotic duration remains unknown. This question should be addressed in future studies.

In all, our results do not support using FDG-PET/CT to diagnose CI treatment failure; however, its value in monitoring early recovery needs to be further explored.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at ckj online.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Sallée M, Rafat C, Zahar JR et al. Cyst infections in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2009; 4: 1183–1189
- Jouret F, Lhommel R, Beguin C et al. Positron-emission computed tomography in cyst infection diagnosis in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011; 6: 1644–1650
- Chapman AB, Devuyst O, Eckardt KU et al.; Conference Participants. Autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD): executive summary from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Controversies Conference. Kidney Int 2015; 88: 17–27
- 4. Pijl JP, Glaudemans AWJM, Slart RHJA et al. 18F-FDG PET/CT in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease patients with suspected cyst infection. J Nucl Med 2018; 59: 1734–1741
- Jouret F, Lhommel R, Devuyst O et al. Diagnosis of cyst infection in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: attributes and limitations of the current modalities. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2012; 27: 3746–3751
- Lantinga MA, Casteleijn NF, Geudens A et al. Management of renal cyst infection in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: a systematic review. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2017; 32: 144–150
- 7. Neuville MF, Lovinfosse P, Jadoul A *et al*. The use of a visual 4point scoring scale improves the yield of 18F-FDG PET-CT imaging in the diagnosis of renal and hepatic cyst infection in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2021; 48: 254–259
- 8. Piccoli GB, Arena V, Consiglio V *et al*. Positron emission tomography in the diagnostic pathway for intracystic infection in adpkd and "cystic" kidneys. a case series. *BMC Nephrol* 2011; 12: 48
- 9. Dauchy FA, Dutertre A, Lawson-Ayayi S et al. Interest of [(18)F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/ computed tomography for the diagnosis of relapse in patients with spinal infection: a prospective study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2016; 22: 438–443