



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Letter to the Editor

## Omicron variant: A clear and present danger for patients with cancer



J. Barrière <sup>a,\*</sup>, G. Zalcman <sup>b</sup>, L. Fignon <sup>c</sup>, N. Peiffer-Smadja <sup>d</sup>,  
C. Audigier-Valette <sup>e</sup>, M. Carles <sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Medical Oncology Department, Polyclinique Saint-Jean, Cagnes-sur-Mer, France

<sup>b</sup> Thoracic Oncology Department & INSERM Centre d'Investigation Clinique 1425, Université de Paris, University Institute of Cancer AP-HP North, Bichat-Claude Bernard Hospital, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP.Nord), Paris, France

<sup>c</sup> Supporting Care Unit, Centre Antoine Lacassagne, Nice, France

<sup>d</sup> Infectious Diseases Department, Bichat-Claude Bernard Hospital, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP.Nord), Paris, France

<sup>e</sup> Pulmonology and Oncology Department, Centre Hospitalier Toulon Sainte-Musse, Toulon, France

<sup>f</sup> Infectious Diseases Department, Côte D'Azur University, CHU de Nice, Hôpital Archet 1, Nice, France

Received 13 January 2022; accepted 18 January 2022

Available online 18 February 2022

Dear Editor,

Protection of patients with cancer against SARS-CoV-2 infection has been a priority for oncologists since early 2020. Recently, we have recommended to prioritise patients under active treatment to receive an early third vaccine dose if anti-Spike (S) antibody titers <260 binding antibody units (BAU) per millilitre [1]. The adoption of a complete accelerated vaccine scheme for these patients sought to enable them to face the Delta variant of concern (VOC).

The emergence by late November 2021 of the Omicron VOC [2] with partial or major immune escape properties [3], potentially resulting in reinfection of

previously infected or vaccinated patients, has prompted us to formulate new recommendations as to what the reactive answer to this major threat should be with respect to patients with cancer.

We would like to draw the oncologic community's attention to the supplementary risk of Omicron-related severe COVID-19 for patients with cancer or haematologic malignancies. Anticipating increased infections, transmissibility, and immune escape, we propose five recommendations for health authorities and healthcare workers:

**Proposition 1:** Considering the lower median anti-S titer after two vaccine doses in cancer patients, versus general population [4], **a third vaccine dose should be given to all cancer patients as soon as 3–4 months post-second dose (Dose 2), including residual serum anti-S antibody controls, 3 months later.** The available data indicate faster waning immunity toward Omicron VOC versus Delta strain yet increased protection after booster dosing (Dose 3) [5]. Anti-S antibody levels after the third vaccine jab were increased nine-fold versus anti-S serum titers measured after Dose 2 in general population [6]. Some cancer patients show clear benefits from booster dosing,

\* Corresponding author: Department of Medical Oncology, Polyclinique Saint Jean, 92 avenue Dr Donat, 06800, Cagnes-sur-Mer, France.

E-mail addresses: [j.barriere@polesantesaintjean.fr](mailto:j.barriere@polesantesaintjean.fr) (J. Barrière), [gerard.zalcman@aphp.fr](mailto:gerard.zalcman@aphp.fr) (G. Zalcman), [fignonlaurent@mac.com](mailto:fignonlaurent@mac.com) (L. Fignon), [nathan.peiffer-smadja@aphp.fr](mailto:nathan.peiffer-smadja@aphp.fr) (N. Peiffer-Smadja), [Clarisse.Audigier-Valette@ch-toulon.fr](mailto:Clarisse.Audigier-Valette@ch-toulon.fr) (C. Audigier-Valette), [Carles.m@chu-nice.fr](mailto:Carles.m@chu-nice.fr) (M. Carles).

reflected by increased anti-S antibody levels, even when still undergoing chemotherapy, such levels exceeding the concentrations reached after two vaccine doses [7].

**Proposition 2: Set the threshold of serum anti-SARS-CoV-2 S (anti-S) titers at 1000 BAU/mL, this being the level below which an additional vaccine shot (dose 4) should be indicated.** Such cut-off corresponds to titers obtained at 3–4 months after Dose 2 in a population without comorbidities [8] to whom Dose 3 administration is currently proposed in most developed countries to ensure optimal protection against Omicron. Such cut-off could be retained for administering an additional vaccine jab to immune-compromised patients with cancer, regardless of the timing and even earlier than 4 months post-last dose. This would then be Dose 4. Although a high rate of lymphoid malignancy patients under anti-CD20 treatment fail to respond to vaccination, even after a third jab [9], administering a Dose 4 could display a low (but not null) probability of being efficient in this subgroup.

**Proposition 3: Support pre-exposition prophylaxis using the monoclonal antibody (mAb) combination tixagevimab/cilgavimab (EVUSHELD, AstraZeneca) for patients with cancer without seroconversion after Dose 3 or 4.** Such combination of long-acting anti-S mAbs proves highly efficient *in vitro* on Delta VOC whilst being less affected in terms of *in vitro* neutralisation by numerous Omicron S mutations [4]. Depending on clinical results concerning Omicron resistance, we may need to promptly prioritise sotrovimab mAb (XEVDY, GSK), which retains *in vitro* neutralisation potency versus Omicron [4].

**Proposition 4: A three-dose complete vaccination for patients' relatives** should be proposed as early as 4 months post-Dose 2. In addition, we recommend vaccination of children aged 5–11 years, when in close, usual contact, with immune-compromised parents, in accordance with most Scientific Pediatric Societies, and US (Food and Drug Administration) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) Health agencies.

**Proposition 5: A high-protection mask, such as Filtering Face Piece Type 2 (FFP2)/mask not resistant to oil filtering at least 95% of particles (N95),** should be used by patients. Wearing such a mask should be mandatory in audience-receiving sites and public or private transportation (when shared with other people). Recent comparative data support superior individual protection with such masks versus surgical ones [10]. Such superior protection is likely interesting considering Omicron infectiousness. This recommendation is based on a precautionary principle justified by expert consensus because of the very high circulation of a highly infectious viral pathogen such as Omicron to provide optimal protection of the most immune-compromised patients.

Implementing such propositions will help better protect patients with cancer, especially those receiving systemic treatments. We strongly believe that in no time, the

Omicron virus will invade the whole planet, being especially threatening to the more fragile immunocompromised patients who possibly are incompletely protected by COVID-19 vaccines. We cannot wait any longer for large-scale prospective studies to disclose their results, as the threat is immediate, as close as January 2022.

#### Funding/support

None.

#### Conflict of interest statement

Authors have nothing to disclose.

#### References

- [1] Barrière J, Carles M, Audigier-Valette C, Re D, Zoubir A, Seitz-Polski B, et al. Third dose of anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccine for patients with cancer: should humoral responses be monitored? A position paper. *Eur J Cancer* 2022;162:182–93.
- [2] Pulliam JRC, van Schalkwyk C, Govender N, von Gottberg A, Cohen C, Groome MJ, et al. Increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection associated with emergence of the Omicron variant in South Africa. *medRxiv* 2021.11.11.21266068.
- [3] Planas D, Saunders N, Maes P, Guivel-Benhassine F, Planchais C, Buchrieser J, et al. Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron to antibody neutralization. 2021. <http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.14.472630>.
- [4] Barrière J, Chamorey E, Adjoutah Z, Castelnaud O, Mahamat A, Marco S, et al. Impaired immunogenicity of BNT162b2 anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in patients treated for solid tumors. *Ann Oncol Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol* 2021;32:1053–5.
- [5] Gruell H, Vanshylla K, Tober-Lau P, Hillus D, Schommers P, Lehmann C, et al. mRNA booster immunization elicits potent neutralizing serum activity against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. 2021. <http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.14.21267769>.
- [6] Canaday DH, Oyeibanji OA, White E, Keresztesy D, Payne M, Wilk D, et al. Significantly elevated antibody levels and neutralization titers in nursing home residents after SARS-CoV-2 BNT162b2 mRNA booster vaccination. *medRxiv* [Preprint] 2021 Dec 7. 2021.12.07.21267179, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.07.21267179>.
- [7] Gounant V, Marie Ferré V, Soussi G, Charpentier C, Flament H, Fidouh N, et al. Efficacy of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 vaccine in patients with thoracic cancer: a prospective study supporting a third dose in patients with minimal serologic response after two vaccine doses. *J Thorac Oncol* 2021. S155608642103286X, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2021.10.015>.
- [8] Israel A, Shenhar Y, Green I, Merzon E, Golan-Cohen A, Schäffer AA, et al. Large-scale study of antibody titer decay following BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine or SARS-CoV-2 infection. *medRxiv* [Preprint] 2021 Aug 21. 2021.08.19.21262111, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.19.21262111>.
- [9] Re D, et al. Humoral and cellular responses after a third dose of BNT162b2 vaccine in patients treated for lymphoid malignancies. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.18.21260669>, <http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.18.21260669>.
- [10] Bagheri G, Thiede B, Hejazi B, Schlenzcek O, Bodenschatz E. An upper bound on one-to-one exposure to infectious human respiratory particles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2021 Dec 7;118(49):e2110117118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2110117118>.