Open access Review

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Oesophageal eosinophilia and oesophageal diseases in children: are the limits clear?

Sarah Esther Diaz-Oliva ,¹ Idalmis Aguilera-Matos ,¹ Oscar Manuel Villa Jiménez ,² Angel A Escobedo . ,² Angel A Escobedo

To cite: Diaz-Oliva SE, Aguilera-Matos I, Villa Jiménez OM, et al. Oesophageal eosinophilia and oesophageal diseases in children: are the limits clear? BMJ Paediatrics Open 2020;4:e000680. doi:10.1136/ bmjpo-2020-000680

Received 30 April 2020 Revised 1 July 2020 Accepted 5 July 2020

ABSTRACT

Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, eosinophilic oesophagitis and oesophageal motility disorders are among the most common diseases accompanying oesophageal eosinophilia. They have similarities and their limits are frequently not well defined. This article reviews the main characteristics relating to their similarities and differences, highlighting existing controversies among these diseases, in addition to current knowledge. In the case of a patient with symptoms of oesophageal dysfunction, it is suggested to carry out an integral analysis of the clinical features and diagnostic test results, including histology, while individualising each case before confirming a definitive diagnosis. Future investigation in paediatric patients is necessary to assess eosinophilic infiltration in the various layers of the oesophageal tissue, along with its clinical and pathophysiological implications.

INTRODUCTION

Under normal physiological conditions, eosinophils are present throughout the gastrointestinal tract distal to the squamous oesophagus, so the oesophagus normally lacks these. Several conditions are associated with the infiltration of eosinophils within the oesophagus, or oesophageal eosinophilia (box 1), many of which are uncommon or may present distinctive clinical characteristics.² However, in the clinical setting, there are some frequent oesophageal diseases with the evidence of eosinophils presented on oesophageal histology, such as gastrooesophageal reflux disease (GERD), eosinophilic oesophagitis (EoE), and even oesophageal motility disorders.

EoE is the most distinctive as it relates to the presence of significant mucosal oesophageal eosinophilia, but other disorders must be considered in the differential diagnosis. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis with oesophageal involvement should be evaluated with the study of gastric and duodenal biopsy samples. Hypereosinophilic syndrome should be considered when the peripheral blood eosinophil count is >1500×10⁹ cells/L. Children

who have inflammatory bowel disorders, including coeliac disease or Crohn's disease, can have eosinophil-predominant oesophageal inflammation. A diagnosis of EoE is not appropriate when another condition could account for the histological changes. Treatment should be initiated for the presumed primary aetiology, with monitoring of the oesophageal inflammation. If oesophageal eosinophilia persists after the primary disease is controlled, EoE could be diagnosed as an overlapping condition. EoE has also been associated with connective tissue diseases, perhaps due to a shared pathogenic mechanism. It can also present with other unrelated medical conditions. Many other causes of oesophageal eosinophilia are relatively rare and can be excluded with a comprehensive medical history and laboratory tests; however, in the case of GERD, it can be more complex. Also, there are various reports of association of oesophageal eosinophilic infiltration and oesophageal motility disorders, with recent studies based on its pathophysiology.^{2 3} It is to these three disorders (EoE, GERD and oesophageal motility disorders) that we will make reference to in this article, since they are common diseases in clinical practice, which can overlap and sometimes their limits are not well defined. There have been some consensuses and multiple investigations in regards on these diseases separately, but many aspects may still need to be clarified. The intention of this review is to offer a joint approach to these three conditions, with many similarities and sometimes their limits are not so well defined, emphasising their main characteristics that make they may be similar and be different.

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by RM I

¹Pediatric Gastroentrology, Institute of Gastroenterology, Havana, Cuba ²Institute of Gastroenterology, Havana, Cuba ³Research department, Epidemiology, Institute of Gastroenterology, Havana, Cuba

Correspondence to

Dr Sarah Esther Diaz-Oliva; sarahediazo@gmail.com

DEFINITIONS

EoE is a chronic, inflammatory, local disease of immunological origin and mediated by antigens, usually food. Eosinophilic





Box 1 Conditions associated with oesophageal eosinophilia.²

- ► Eosinophilic oesophagitis
- Eosinophilic gastritis, gastroenteritis or colitis with oesophageal involvement
- Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease
- Achalasia and other disorders of oesophageal involvement
- ► Hypereosinophilic syndrome
- Crohn's disease with oesophageal involvement
- Infections (fungal, viral)
- Connective tissue disorders
- Hypermobility syndromes
- Autoimmune disorders and vasculitides
- ► Dermatological conditions with oesophageal involvement
- Drug hypersensitivity reactions
- Pill oesophagitis
- ► Graft-versus-host disease
- Mendelian disorders (Marfan syndrome type II, hyper-IgE syndrome, PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome, Netherton syndrome, severe atopy metabolic wasting syndrome)

infiltration of the oesophagus was initially described in 1978 in biopsies of a patient that was diagnosed with achalasia. Eosinophilic infiltration was initially considered a consequence of GERD. It has been recognised as a clinicopathological entity from a report made in 1993.⁵ Subsequently, the response to dietary therapy was identified.⁶ The general recognition of this new disorder was in the current millennium, when it has been reported in adults and children.⁷⁸ It is predominantly inflammatory during childhood (inflammatory phenotype) and with progression to fibrosis in adulthood (fibrostenosing phenotype), characterised by signs and symptoms of oesophageal dysfunction related to eosinophilic inflammation limited to the oesophagus. According to the latest international consensus update on the diagnostic criteria for EoE, suspicion of EoE was defined as symptoms of oesophageal dysfunction (concomitant atopic conditions can increase suspicion of EoE) and at least 15 eosinophils/high-power field (hpf) or approximately 60 eosinophils/mm² in oesophageal biopsy. Confirmed EoE was defined as symptoms of oesophageal dysfunction and at least 15 eosinophils/hpf or approximately 60

eosinophils/mm² on oesophageal biopsy (eosinophilic infiltration should be limited to the oesophagus), after evaluation for other causes of oesophageal eosinophilia.² In this consensus, there is recognition that it is the same disease in children and adults. The need to evaluate for conditions that might contribute to oesophageal eosinophilia has been recognised. This allows the diagnosis of EoE to coexist with that of GERD and other conditions.

The North American Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (NASPGHAN) and European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) Pediatric Gastroesophageal Reflux (GER) Clinical Practice Guidelines defines GER as the passage of gastric contents into the oesophagus with or without regurgitation and vomiting. GERD is when GER leads to troublesome symptoms and/or complications. However, GERD shares symptoms and complications with EoE, making it difficult to distinguish these conditions. It also shares symptoms with some motility disorders and both entities may be present in the same patient. Therefore, a definition based on symptoms that can be shared with other conditions may not be completely clear (table 1).

The diagnosis of oesophageal motility disorders is based on alterations present in oesophageal manometry. Conventional manometry has been gradually replaced by high-resolution manometry (HRM), which is currently the 'gold standard' for diagnosis. The Chicago Classification (CC), which defines oesophageal motility disorders, was first published in 2008, and its last update was in 2015 (V.3.0). 11 12 The CC provides uniformity in diagnoses, consisting of a hierarchical analysis; it initially focuses on disorders within oesophagogastric junction (OGI) outflow obstruction (achalasia, OGI outflow obstruction), later on major disorders of peristalsis (diffuse oesophageal spasm, Jackhammer oesophagus (JO), absent contractility) and finally minor disorders of peristalsis (ineffective motility, fragmented peristalsis). 13 14 The CC was based on manometric studies carried out in a healthy adult population; therefore, it may have limitations in the paediatric population. The limitation for obtaining similar studies in a healthy paediatric population is an ethical consideration. 15 Studies have been carried out to

Table 1 Main similarities and differences between eosinophilic oesophagitis (EoE), gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and oesophageal motility disorders in terms of concept and clinical aspects

Aspects	EoE	GERD	Oesophageal motility disorders
Definition ^{2 10 11}	Symptoms are mentioned in both definitions and may be common. Some complications of GERD are also common to EoE Histology is important in EoE definition; in both diseases, there is eosinophilia mucosa		Based mainly on manometric parameters, so it does not exclude other aspects
	Generally higher number of eosinophils on biopsy	Some complications are typical of GERD (Barrett's oesophagus)	
Clinical aspects	Symptomatology compatible (sympt More frequent history of atopy	oms of oesophageal dysfunction) There may also be atopy and respira	tory manifestations



evaluate manometric parameters in symptomatic children depending on factors such as oesophageal length and age, but still without definitive conclusions. ¹⁶ Being a diagnosis based only on manometric alterations, it leaves an open gap for other pathologies that could coexist.

CLINICAL ASPECTS

In paediatric patients, diagnostic guidance based on symptoms is difficult, especially at younger ages, when symptoms are more non-specific, and generally reported by caregivers, and therefore depend on their interpretation.

EoE is suspected clinically when there are symptoms of oesophageal dysfunction, which could manifest in various ways, including dysphagia, food impaction, food refusal, failure to progress with food introduction, heartburn, regurgitation, vomiting, chest pain, odynophagia, abdominal pain and malnutrition. Atopic comorbidities such as asthma, atopic dermatitis, or immediate food allergies should increase the clinical index of suspicion. In younger children, the most common symptoms are those similar to GER, in addition to vomiting, abdominal pain, food refusal and failure to thrive. In older children, adolescents and adults, dysphagia to solids, food impaction and chest pain not associated with swallowing are more frequently reported.¹⁷ Because these symptoms are non-specific, patients should be treated as clinically indicated. The diagnostic algorithm cannot anticipate all clinical possibilities, and provides scope for appropriate evaluation.²

Among the most frequent symptoms that may be associated with GERD in infants and children are general manifestations (irritability, food refusal, failure to thrive), gastrointestinal manifestations (heartburn, regurgitation/vomiting, retrosternal chest pain, dysphagia, epigastric pain) and manifestations of the airway (cough, wheezing, stridor, apnoea episodes, asthma, pneumonia). 10 18 19 Given that the symptoms of GERD are not specific, 'red flags' or warning signs have been defined to guide the need for research studies to rule out complications of GERD and underlying disorders with similar symptoms. It should be noted that GER in infants is very common, and is usually self-limiting. In the presence of an infant with recurrent regurgitation, a thorough history and physical examination with attention to warning signals suggesting other diagnoses is generally sufficient to establish a clinical diagnosis of uncomplicated infant GER. In the absence of warning signs, diagnostic testing and/or therapies including acid suppression are not needed if there is no impact of the symptoms on feeding, growth or acquisition of developmental milestones. Referral to the paediatric gastroenterologist is recommended when in infants or children there are warning signs or symptoms suggesting an underlying gastrointestinal disease. 10

Oesophageal motility disorders also show a spectrum of symptoms similar to EoE and GERD, including weight loss (non-specific symptom predictive of abnormal HRM), feeding difficulties, dysphagia, vomiting, manifestations of GERD, respiratory symptoms, chest pain, failure to thrive, among others.²⁰ More non-specific symptoms are described in younger children, such as vomiting, anorexia, chronic cough, which often delays diagnosis.^{21 22} In oesophageal motility disorders, allergic disorders have also been reported among the most frequent comorbidities.¹⁵

Many of the clinical manifestations are similar in the three entities (table 1), which makes clinical-based differential diagnosis difficult, and diagnostic procedures should be performed when indicated.

ENDOSCOPIC ASPECTS

Upper digestive endoscopy or oesophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) may have specific features but may also be normal in EoE and GERD. (table 2)

In the EoE, an endoscopic reference score has been developed: Edema, Rings, Exudates, Furrows, Strictures that gives a score according to the degree of severity of the finding. ²³ The findings of mucosa on crepe paper and mucous friability are also described. ^{7 24 25}

In the case of GERD, it does not have a gold standard test. EGD is recommended if the complications of GERD need to be assessed and if underlying mucosal disease is suspected before intensification of therapy. The probability of having erosive oesophagitis caused by reflux varies from 15% to 71% between studies, so a normal endoscopy does not necessarily rule out the possibility of GERD. When GERD is erosive, the diagnosis of this is facilitated, the most used classification is Los Angeles classification. There are, of course, other complementary tests, such as pH-metry and multichannel intraluminal impedance to support the diagnosis of GERD in necessary cases.

For the diagnosis of oesophageal motility disorders, anatomic causes of the symptoms must have been excluded by means of a contrast study of the oesophagus and/or EGD. Therefore, EGD should be normal, which does not exclude the presence of oesophageal disease. If there is EoE or GERD, and oesophageal manometry is performed, we can find the diagnosis of motor disorders in these entities.

HISTOLOGICAL ASPECTS

Although there are aspects that could help differentiate GERD and EoE from the histological point of view, there are some cases that are histologically indistinguishable and both conditions can overlap (table 2). It is also more complex if samples are only taken from the distal third of the oesophagus, since this is the most affected in GERD, while in EoE the entire oesophagus is affected in patches. In addition, in severe cases of GERD, more proximal areas can be affected.²⁸ A study of EoE performed in paediatric age showed a denser eosinophilic infiltrate in the distal



Table 2 Some aspects of diagnostic test in eosinophilic oesophagitis (EoE), gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and oesophageal motility disorders

Diagnostic te	ests	EoE	GERD	Oesophageal motility disorders	
Upper digestive endoscopy		Can be normal			
		Endoscopic reference score (EREFS: Oedema, Rings, Exudates, Furrows and Strictures)	Los Angeles classification for erosive oesophagitis; stenosis, oesophageal metaplasia, etc.	Organic causes of dysphagia should be excluded	
		Involvement throughout the oesophagus	Distal involvement		
Histology ²⁸	General features	Eosinophilic infiltration, basal intercellular spaces, elongation		Findings compatible with GERD, with EoE and eosinophilic infiltration of the submucosa and the muscularis propria have been described	
	Eosinophil number	≥15 eos/hpf	Usually less, although in some cases it can reach 15 eos/hpf		
	Location of eosinophil infiltration	Patched along the oesophagus	More intense in distal oesophagus		
	Eosinophilic abscesses	Frequent	Rare		
	Eosinophils degranulated	Frequent	Infrequent		
	Erosion/ulcer	Rare	May be present		
	Damage and loss of superficial squamous cells	Useful if present	Rare		
Oesophageal manometry		It can be pathological		With alterations	

EREFS, Edema, Rings, Exudates, Furrows, Strictures; hpf, high-power field.

oesophagus relative to the middle oesophagus.²⁹ Eosinophil levels in EoE are reported to vary widely by patient, in the same patient per biopsy sample and in the same biopsy by hpf analysis.²⁸ Therefore, in all cases where EoE is a clinical possibility, even when visualising the normal mucosa, multiple biopsy samples of two or more oesophageal levels, directed to areas of apparent inflammation, are recommended to increase diagnostic performance.² In the histological study, in addition to the peak of the eosinophil count, a histological score (EoEHSS) has been developed recently. This provides more histological elements to evaluate EoE and has been shown to be superior in the diagnosis of EoE and in therapeutic decisionmaking.^{30–32}

In GERD, the characteristic histological changes are: polymorphonuclear leucocyte infiltrate, intraepithelial eosinophils, hyperplasia of the basal area and elongation of the papillae.²⁶ These changes are also mentioned in EoE.²⁵ The absence of histological changes does not exclude GERD.¹⁰

MANOMETRIC ASPECTS

No specific manometric pattern for EoE has been identified. ³³ Variable motor abnormalities, both hypocontractile and hypercontractile, were described with conventional oesophageal manometry. ^{8 34} After the use of HRM with CC, they have continued to report, even with a favourable response to steroid therapy. ³⁵

In GERD, it is suggested to use manometric studies when a motility disorder is suspected.¹⁰ The alterations associated with GERD are dysfunction of the OGJ and alterations in the motility of the oesophageal body, mainly ineffective oesophageal motility.^{20 36 37}

The association of motility disorders with oesophageal eosinophilia in the different layers of the oesophagus has been described for decades. In relation to achalasia, the association with mucosal eosinophilia only (EoE) is uncommon, but there are several publications about the association with eosinophilic infiltration of the different oesophageal tissues, especially muscularis propria. 4 38–45

It is not clear when the motility disorder is due to oesophageal eosinophilia or vice versa. In a study, a decrease in oesophageal eosinophilia is described after the therapy of motility disorder, ³³ or just clinical improvement. ⁴⁶ However, other authors reported a patients with achalasia and EoE with a response to steroid therapy, ⁴⁷ mainly vigorous achalasia. ⁴⁴ ⁴⁵ Improvement of oesophageal eosinophilia has also been described with the use of steroids in JO. ⁴⁸ ⁴⁹

Sato *et al* described the heterogeneous infiltration of eosinophils in the oesophagus in the mucosa, submucosa and muscularis propria. The presence of eosinophils in the oesophageal muscle tissue is named as eosinophilic oesophageal myositis, and was associated with hypercontractile oesophagus. In the oesophageal epithelium of these patients, no increase in eosinophils or cytokine



overexpression was observed, but in muscle tissue, there was eosinophilia, eotaxin-3 and C-C chemokine receptor type-3 overexpression. The research has limitations as it was a small-size pilot study and the use of patients with achalasia as a control group.⁵⁰

The relationship between oesophageal motility disorders with oesophageal eosinophilia and GERD requires new researches, mainly in paediatric patients because most of the researches were completed within the adult population.

TREATMENT-RELATED ASPECTS

In managing infants with GERD, non-pharmacological treatment such as avoiding overfeeding, thickened feeds and continuous breast feeding in breastfed infant are initially recommended. If there is no improvement, consider 2–4 weeks of a protein hydrolysate or aminoacid-based formula, or in breastfed infant: elimination of cow's milk in maternal diet. In children and adolescents, the initial recommendation is also lifestyle and dietary education. If there is no improvement pharmacological treatment is recommended: acid suppression for 4–8 weeks, preferably with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). Refer to the paediatric gastroenterologist when patients are refractory to optimal treatment and cannot be permanently weaned from pharmacological treatment within 6–12 months. ¹⁰

GERD was previously distinguished from other diseases and from EoE by clinical response to PPI therapy. Then, it was found that there was a group that histologically met the criteria for EoE but also responded to this treatment and was termed PPI-responsive oesophageal eosinophilia (PPI-REE). In the last diagnostic consensus of EoE, PPI-REE was included in EoE because studies had shown that it was the same disease. To understand this, it is necessary to mention some aspects of the pathophysiology of EoE. The abnormalities found in cases of EoE are increased oesophageal mucosa permeability. It may be responsible for entry of food and environmental allergens into subepithelial tissues and induce allergic reactions following eosinophil infiltration. These allergens then stimulate a Th2-type immune response with increased production of Th2-type cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-13 and IL-4, which increases eosinophil accumulation in the

oesophagus through stimulation of eotaxin-3 production by oesophageal epithelial cells.^{51 52} Cheng et al⁵³ showed that in EoE and GERD cell lines, IL-4 and IL-13 activated the eotaxin-3 promoter. Similar levels of eotaxin-3 were observed in both diseases. PPI might have eosinophilreducing effects independent of effects on acid reflux, and that response to PPI does not distinguish EoE from GERD. A molecular EoE diagnostic panel (EDP) was identified, that is composed of 94 EoE genes and distinguishes patients with EoE from control subjects. Applying EDP, similar expression patterns were demonstrated in EoE and PPI-REE, indicating that PPI-REE is a condition within the same spectrum as EoE.⁵⁴ Due to this, a test with PPI is not required for the diagnosis of EoE in the diagnostic algorithm of the mentioned disease.² And we cannot distinguish GERD and EoE by their response to PPI therapy (table 3).

SOME ASPECTS IN RELATION TO THE PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

New hypotheses related to the mechanisms of inflammation and cytokine release have been developed to explain the abnormalities. In the case of GERD, a new concept has been proposed, stating that it is not reflux that directly damages the epithelium, but rather stimulates epithelial cells to release cytokines that induce proliferative changes and attract T lymphocytes and other inflammatory cells that they end up damaging the mucosa. In EoE, it is known that there is an abnormal immune reaction mediated by Th2 ILs, in which there is a recruitment of eosinophils, inflammatory cytokines are released and the degranulation products released by the eosinophils contribute to epithelial damage. He having similar pathophysiological mechanisms, mediated by cytokines, other similarities in GERD and EoE could be justified. ERD

EoE is defined by the infiltration of eosinophils into the oesophageal mucous layer. Because of this, and for of the invasiveness and difficult access to the rest of the layers of the oesophageal wall, these are generally not studied. Oesophageal biopsies that are limited to the evaluation of the oesophageal epithelium are an inadequate means to assess overall, clinical disease severity in EoE. However, in a study carried out in patients with EoE, the authors reported activated eosinophils in all oesophageal layers. ⁵⁶

Table 3 Aspects related to treatment in eosinophilic oesophagitis (EoE), gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and oesophageal motility disorders

Treatment	EoE	GERD	Oesophageal motility disorders		
	There may be a good response to PPI				
	Response to other therapies (steroids, diet)	Non-pharmacological treatment is initially indicated Other treatments depending on the evolution and severity	Treatment depending on the type of disorder Steroid response has been described in some cases with oesophageal eosinophilia		

PPI, proton pump inhibitor.



Several studies have proposed hypotheses to explain the association of achalasia and other motility disorders with oesophageal eosinophilia. From weak evidence that the oesophageal stasis of achalasia causes eosinophilia mucosa, ³³ ⁴² ⁴⁶ to the oesophageal eosinophilia causes motility abnormalities through the release of cytokines and neurotoxic eosinophil secretory products. ³⁹ ⁴¹ ^{56–59}

Spechler has proposed that EoE, similar to what occurs in eosinophilic gastroenteritis, could have forms with a predominance of mucosa and forms with a predominance of muscle; the predominantly muscular form could cause a variety of oesophageal motor disorders, including achalasia. Some eosinophil products can cause oesophageal muscle contraction (thromboxane B2, leukotriene D4); others cause muscle relaxation (IL-6, IL-13) and fibrosis (transforming growth factor β , IL-13). They can also secrete neuroactive products, or others that destroy oesophageal intramural neurons.

CONCLUSIONS

The clinical similarity between GERD, EoE and oesophageal motility disorders, along with the possibility that they may overlap, requires great attention from the physician. It should be remembered that other entities may be underdiagnosed in the clinical context of GERD. We recommend, in the presence of symptoms of oesophageal dysfunction, if an EGD is to be performed, always take oesophageal biopsy samples in the distal and middle/upper thirds, even if there are no endoscopic alterations, nor have EoE been initially considered. The results of oesophageal manometry should be evaluated in conjunction with those of EGD and oesophageal histology. Before reaching a definitive diagnosis, carry out a comprehensive analysis of the clinical symptoms and the diagnostic tests performed, including oesophageal histology.

Future research, including paediatric patients, is required to assess eosinophilic infiltration of the different layers of the oesophagus and its pathophysiological implications.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Dr. Adrian Van-Nooten for his linguistic

Contributors SED-0: planned the study, searched and selecting articles in the PubMed and Cochrane Library search engines, performed analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript, approval of final version and responsible for overall content. IA-M: searched and selecting articles in the PubMed and Cochrane Library search engines, performed analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript and approval of final version. OMVJ: searched and selecting articles in the PubMed and Cochrane Library search engines, approval of final version and manuscript review. AAE: writing of the manuscript and approval of final version.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data sharing not applicable as no datasets generated and/or analysed for this study. There are no data in this work.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Sarah Esther Diaz-Oliva http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6611-4823 Idalmis Aguilera-Matos http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7364-1533 Oscar Manuel Villa Jiménez http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6675-584X Angel A Escobedo http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6241-3340

REFERENCES

- 1 Walker MM, Potter M, Talley NJ. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis and other eosinophilic gut diseases distal to the oesophagus. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018;3:271–80.
- 2 Dellon ES, Liacouras CA, Molina-Infante J, et al. Updated international consensus diagnostic criteria for eosinophilic esophagitis: proceedings of the agree conference. Gastroenterology 2018;155:1022–33.
- 3 Dellon ES, Liacouras CA. Advances in clinical management of eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastroenterology 2014;147:1238–54.
- 4 Landres RT, Kuster GG, Strum WB. Eosinophilic esophagitis in a patient with vigorous achalasia. *Gastroenterology* 1978;74:1298–301.
- 5 Attwood SEA, Smyrk TC, Demeester TR, et al. Esophageal eosinophilia with dysphagia. Dig Dis Sci 1993;38:109–16.
- 6 Kelly KJ, Lazenby AJ, Rowe PC, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis attributed to gastroesophageal reflux: improvement with an amino acid-based formula. Gastroenterology 1995;109:1503–12.
- 7 Soto-Solís R, Santana-de Anda K, González-Uribe N, et al. Cómo mejorar El diagnóstico de esofagitis eosinofílica: experiencia de Una serie de casos en México. Rev Gastroenterol Méx 2017;82:5–12.
- 8 Spechler SJ, Konda V, Souza R. Can eosinophilic esophagitis cause achalasia and other esophageal motility disorders? Am J Gastroenterol 2018;113:1594–9.
- 9 Pierre R, Guisande A, Sifontes L, et al. Diagnóstico Y tratamiento de la esofagitis eosinofílica en niños. Revisión de la literatura Y recomendaciones basadas en La evidencia. Grupo de trabajo de la Sociedad Latinoamericana de Gastroenterología, Hepatología Y Nutrición pediátrica (SLAGHNP). Acta Gastroenterol Latinoam 2015;45:263–71.
- 10 Rosen R, Vandenplas Y, Singendonk M, et al. Pediatric gastroesophageal reflux clinical practice guidelines: joint recommendations of the North American Society for pediatric gastroenterology, hepatology, and nutrition and the European Society for pediatric gastroenterology, hepatology, and nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2018:66:516–54.
- 11 Kahrilas PJ, Bredenoord AJ, Fox M, et al. The Chicago classification of esophageal motility disorders, v3.0. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2015;27:160–74.
- 12 Hani de Ardila AC, Bernal W, Leguízamo AM, et al. Cómo realizar E interpretar Una manometría esofágica de alta resolución usando La clasificación de Chicago 3.0. Rev Colomb Gastroenterol 2017;32:369–78.
- 13 Rosen R, Garza JM, Tipnis N, et al. An ANMS-NASPGHAN consensus document on esophageal and antroduodenal manometry in children. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2018;30:e13239.
- 14 Bandyopadhyay N, Fass R, Yamasaki T, et al. Esophageal motility disorders. Pocket Handbook of Esophageal Disorders: Springer, 2019: 17–40.
- 15 Edeani F, Malik A, Kaul A. Characterization of esophageal motility disorders in children presenting with dysphagia using high-resolution manometry. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2017;19:13.
- 16 Nikaki K, Ooi JLS, Sifrim D. Chicago classification of esophageal motility disorders: applications and limits in adults and pediatric patients with esophageal symptoms. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2016;18:59.
- 17 Carr S, Chan ES, Watson W. Correction to: eosinophilic esophagitis. Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol 2019;15:22.
- 18 Papachrisanthou MM, Davis RL. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of gastroesophageal reflux and gastroesophageal reflux disease: birth to 1 year of age. J Pediatr Health Care 2015;29:558–64.
- 19 Papachrisanthou MM, Davis RL. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of gastroesophageal reflux and gastroesophageal



- reflux disease: 1 year to 18 years of age. *J Pediatr Health Care* 2016;30:289–94.
- 20 Juzaud M, Lamblin M-D, Fabre A, et al. Correlation between clinical signs and high-resolution manometry data in children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2019;68:642–7.
- 21 Meyer A, Catto-Smith A, Crameri J, et al. Achalasia: outcome in children. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;32:395–400.
- 22 Nurko S. Motility disorders in children. Pediatr Clin North Am 2017:64:593–612.
- 23 Hirano I, Moy N, Heckman MG, et al. Endoscopic assessment of the oesophageal features of eosinophilic oesophagitis: validation of a novel classification and grading system. Gut 2013;62:489–95.
- 24 Iuliano S, Minelli R, Vincenzi F, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in pediatric age, state of the art and review of the literature. Acta Biomed 2018:89:20.
- 25 Abe Y, Sasaki Y, Yagi M, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of eosinophilic esophagitis in clinical practice. Clin J Gastroenterol 2017;10:87–102.
- 26 Leung AKC, Hon KL. Gastroesophageal reflux in children: an updated review. *Drugs in Context* 2019;8:1–12.
- 27 Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, et al. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. Am J Gastroenterol 2006:101:1900–20.
- 28 Chandan VS, T-T W. Eosinophilic esophagitis. AJSP: Reviews & Reports 2019;24:144–9.
- 29 Liacouras CA, Spergel JM, Ruchelli E, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis: a 10-year experience in 381 children. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005;3:1198–206.
- 30 Collins MH, Martin LJ, Alexander ES, et al. Newly developed and validated eosinophilic esophagitis histology scoring system and evidence that it outperforms peak eosinophil count for disease diagnosis and monitoring. Dis Esophagus 2017;30:1.
- 31 Warners MJ, Ambarus CA, Bredenoord AJ, et al. Reliability of histologic assessment in patients with eosinophilic oesophagitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2018;47:940–50.
- 32 Hiremath G, Correa H, Acra S, et al. Correlation of endoscopic signs and mucosal alterations in children with eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastrointest Endosc 2020;91:785–94.
- 33 Frieling T, Heise J, Kreysel C, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis and achalasia just a coincidence? Z Gastroenterol 2019;57:151–5.
- 34 Nurko S, Rosen R, Furuta GT. Esophageal dysmotility in children with eosinophilic esophagitis: a study using prolonged esophageal manometry. Am J Gastroenterol 2009;104:3050.
- 35 Nennstiel S, Bajbouj M, Becker V, et al. High-Resolution manometry in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis under topical steroid therapy-a prospective observational study (HIMEOS-study). Neurogastroenterol Motil 2016;28:599–607.
- 36 Gyawali CP, Roman S, Bredenoord AJ, et al. Classification of esophageal motor findings in gastro-esophageal reflux disease: conclusions from an international consensus group. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2017;29:e13104.
- 37 Touma CMR, Acosta JC, Gómez PS. Trastornos motores esofágicos Y SU relación Con El reflujo gastro-esofágico a través de manometría de alta resolución. Rev Fac Cien Med 2017;42:98–102.
- 38 Fredens K, Tøttrup A, Kristensen IB, et al. Severe destruction of esophageal nerves in a patient with achalasia secondary to gastric cancer. Dig Dis Sci 1989;34:297–303.
- 39 Tøttrup A, Fredens K, Funch-Jensen P, et al. Eosinophil infiltration in primary esophageal achalasia. *Dig Dis Sci* 1989;34:1894–9.
- 40 Goldblum JR, Whyte RI, Orringer MB, et al. Achalasia. A morphologic study of 42 resected specimens. Am J Surg Pathol 1994;18:327–37.

- 41 Goldblum JR, Rice TW, Richter JE. Histopathologic features in esophagomyotomy specimens from patients with achalasia. *Gastroenterology* 1996;111:648–54.
- 42 Gockel I, Bohl JRE, Doostkam S, et al. Spectrum of histopathologic findings in patients with achalasia reflects different etiologies. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;21:727–33.
- 43 Jin H, Wang B, Zhang L-L, et al. Activated eosinophils are present in esophageal muscle in patients with achalasia of the esophagus. Med Sci Monit 2018;24:2377–83.
- 44 Mandaliya R, DiMarino AJ, Cohen S. Association of achalasia and eosinophilic esophagitis. *Indian J Gastroenterol* 2013;32:54–7.
- 45 Hejazi RA, Reddymasu SC, Sostarich S, et al. Disturbances of esophageal motility in eosinophilic esophagitis: a case series. Dysphagia 2010;25:231–7.
- 46 Cools-Lartigue J, Chang S-Y, Mckendy K, et al. Pattern of esophageal eosinophilic infiltration in patients with achalasia and response to Heller myotomy and DOR fundoplication. *Dis Esophagus* 2013;26:766–75.
- 47 Savarino E, Gemignani L, Zentilin P, et al. Achalasia with dense eosinophilic infiltrate responds to steroid therapy. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011;9:1104–6.
- 48 Tanaka S, Toyonaga T, Kawara F, et al. A case of Jackhammer esophagus caused by eosinophilic esophagitis in which Per-Oral endoscopic myotomy resulted in symptom improvement. *Clin J Gastroenterol* 2018;11:377–81.
- 49 Funaki Y, Ogasawara N, Kawamura Y, et al. Markedly effective steroid treatment of three patients with allergy-related Jackhammer esophagus. *Intern Med* 2020;59:633–9.
- 50 Sato H, Nakajima N, Takahashi K, et al. Proposed criteria to differentiate heterogeneous eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders of the esophagus, including eosinophilic esophageal myositis. World J Gastroenterol 2017;23:2414.
- 51 Kinoshita Y, Oouchi S, Fujisawa T. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases - Pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *Allergol Int* 2019:68:420–9.
- 52 Souza R. Diagnosticando La esofagitis eosinofílica: chisporroteo Y siseo de citocinas a la mexicana. Rev Gastroenterol Mex 2017;82:1–4.
- 53 Cheng E, Zhang X, Huo X, et al. Omeprazole blocks eotaxin-3 expression by oesophageal squamous cells from patients with eosinophilic oesophagitis and GORD. *Gut* 2013;62:824–32.
- Wen T, Dellon ES, Moawad FJ, et al. Transcriptome analysis of proton pump inhibitor-responsive esophageal eosinophilia reveals proton pump inhibitor-reversible allergic inflammation. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015;135:187–97.
- 55 Hirano I. Clinical relevance of esophageal subepithelial activity in eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Gastroenterol* 2020;55:249–60.
- 56 Rieder F, Nonevski I, Ma J, et al. T-Helper 2 cytokines, transforming growth factor β1, and eosinophil products induce fibrogenesis and alter muscle motility in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastroenterology 2014;146:1266–77.
- 57 Abu-Ghazaleh RI, Gleich GJ, Prendergast FG. Interaction of eosinophil granule major basic protein with synthetic lipid bilayers: a mechanism for toxicity. *J Membr Biol* 1992;128:153–64.
- 58 Aceves SS, Chen D, Newbury RO, et al. Mast cells infiltrate the esophageal smooth muscle in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis, express TGF-β1, and increase esophageal smooth muscle contraction. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;126:1198–204.
- 59 Drake MG, Lebold KM, Roth-Carter QR, et al. Eosinophil and airway nerve interactions in asthma. J Leukoc Biol 2018;104:61–7.
- 60 Spechler SJ. Eosinophilic esophagitis: novel concepts regarding pathogenesis and clinical manifestations. *J Gastroenterol* 2019;54:837–44.