www.bjcancer.com

# **Short Communication** Birth characteristics and risk of colorectal cancer: a study among Swedish twins

## S Cnattingius<sup>\*,1,2</sup>, F Lundberg<sup>1</sup> and A Iliadou<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, PO Box 281, SE-171 77, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>2</sup>Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, SE 171 76 Stockholm, Sweden

Type-2 diabetes increases the risk of colorectal cancer, and is also associated with low birth weight. However, we found no evidence of associations between birth characteristics and risk of colorectal cancer (m = 248) among Swedish twins. British Journal of Cancer (2009) **100**, 803–806. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604918 www.bjcancer.com Published online 17 February 2009 © 2009 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: birth length; birth weight; colorectal cancer; perinatal

Colorectal cancer is associated with type-2 diabetes (Hu *et al*, 1999) and with high concentrations of insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I). Birth weight is commonly used as a proxy for foetal growth, and may be used as an indirect marker for foetal exposure to growth stimulating factors, such as IGFs (Osorio *et al*, 1996; Ong *et al*, 2000; Christou *et al*, 2001) and oestrogens (Petridou *et al*, 1990; Kaijser *et al*, 2000; Mucci *et al*, 2003). Low birth weight is associated with increased risk of type-2 diabetes (Harder *et al*, 2007), and high birth weight is associated with breast cancer (Silva Idos *et al*, 2008) and possibly also with prostate cancer (Tibblin *et al*, 1995; Platz *et al*, 1998; Ekbom *et al*, 1996, 2000; Boland *et al*, 2003; Nilsen *et al*, 2005b).

Little is known about early risk factors for colorectal cancer. Earlier studies of birth characteristics and colorectal cancer have been inconsistent (Sandhu *et al*, 2002; Nilsen *et al*, 2005a). We examined associations between birth characteristics and risk of colorectal cancer in like-sexed twins with known zygosity. The twin design also enabled us to take into account genetic and shared environmental factors in early life.

#### **METHODS**

In 1973, all like-sexed twins born in 1926-1958 included in the Swedish Twin Registry were sent a questionnaire, including questions of degree of likeness, anthropometric measures and lifestyle factors, with 81% response rate (Cederlof *et al*, 1961; Crumpacker *et al*, 1979; Lichtenstein *et al*, 2002). In this study, we restricted the cohort to twins with known zygosity, as determined by questions on childhood resemblance, which had earlier been validated with DNA markers (Lichtenstein *et al*, 2002). The person-unique national registration number, assigned to all Swedish citizens, permitted linkage among the Swedish Twin Registry, the Cancer and the Cause of Death Registers, and also enabled us to retrieve information from birth records. The study was approved by the research ethics committee of Karolinska Institutet.

Colorectal cancer cases were retrieved from the populationbased Swedish Cancer Register, identified using the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) (ICD-7, ICD-8 and ICD-9 codes 153 and 154, and ICD-10 codes C18-C21).

Information on birth characteristics was abstracted from the original birth records. Correct birth identification of each twin within a pair was ensured by restricting the data collection to twin pairs who were both baptised and named at birth, or who reported birth order with mutual within-pair agreement in a telephonic interview conducted in 1998-2002 (Bergvall et al, 2007). Information from birth records included anthropometric measures at birth, gestational age, maternal age, parity and occupational status of both parents. Gestational age was based on the date of the first day of the last menstrual period. Socio-economic status (SES) at birth was based on information of parental occupation, and was classified according to the recommendations by Statistics Sweden (Swedish Socioeconomic Classification, 1983). Information on education and SES in adulthood was based on Swedish Census data of 1970 and 1980, respectively. Information on adult weight, height, smoking and alcohol consumption was collected through the 1973 questionnaire. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the ratio between the weight and squared height (kg m<sup>2</sup>). Alcohol consumption (estimated mean grams of alcohol per day) was classified according to the recommendations by World Health Organisation as low, medium or high (Ezzati, 2004). Other variables were categorised according to Table 1.

For this study, all like-sexed male and female twins with known zygosity born in 1926–1958 were considered. For the 32 011 twins who were alive and without earlier diagnosis of colorectal cancer at start of follow-up in 1973, the birth record coverage was 73%. Restrictions were because of missing birth weight data in birth records (n = 6293) and uncertain correct identification of each twin within a twin pair at birth (n = 2381), resulting in a final study population of 23 337 twins (including 11 419 male and 11 918 female twins).

#### Statistical analyses

In the cohort analysis, risk time (person-years) was accrued from the time of entry (1 January, 1973) until a first diagnosis of

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Professor S Cnattingius; E-mail: sven.cnattingius@ki.se Received 19 November 2008; revised 6 January 2009; accepted 12 January 2009; published online 17 February 2009

804

 
 Table I
 Numbers and hazard ratios<sup>a</sup> (HRs) with 95% confidence interval
 (CI) of colorectal cancer as a function of maternal, birth and adult characteristics among like-sexed Swedish twins born in 1926-1958

	Study population		Colorectal cancer		
	n	n	(%)	HR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
Total	23 337	248	1.1		
Zygosity					
Monozygous	9141	95	1.0	1.00	(07( 100)
Dizygous	14196	153	1.1	0.99	(0.76–1.28)
Sex Male	01111	146	1.3	1.00	
Female	4 9   9 8	146	0.9	1.00 0.63	(0.49-0.81)
Birth year					
1926-1936	4282	128	3.0	1.00	
1937–1943 1944–1950	5092 7029	62 35	1.2 0.5	0.85 0.71	(0.60-1.21) (0.44-1.13)
1951 – 1958	6934	23	0.3	1.28	(0.72–2.26)
Birth weight, g					
<2500	8904	86	1.0	0.92	(0.68-1.25)
2500–2999 3000–	8444 5989	86 76	1.0 1.3	1.00 1.21	(0.89-1.65)
	5707	70	1.5	1.21	(0.07-1.05)
Birth length, cm <47	7220	68	0.9	0.84	(0.59-1.20)
47-49	6761	79	1.2	1.00	(
≥50	9183	101	1.1	0.97	(0.74-1.28)
Missing	173	0	0.0		
Gestational age, weeks	2000	22	07	0.70	(0.47 1.14)
32-34 35-36	3009 4654	22 49	0.7 1.1	0.73 0.95	(0.47-1.14) (0.69-1.32)
37-41	13761	149	1.1	1.00	(0.07-1.52)
42-45	816	9	1.1	1.02	(0.52-1.98)
Missing	1097	19	1.7		
Mother's age, years	(20	-			(0.5.(
≤19 20-24	629 3926	7 44	.   .	1.21 1.12	(0.56-2.62)
25-29	6966	71	1.0	1.00	(0.70-1.03)
30-34	6434	66	1.0	0.98	(0.70-1.38)
≥35	5368	60	1.1	0.98	(0.70-1.39)
Missing	14	0	0.0		
Matemal parity Primipara	7230	66	0.9	1.00	
Multipara	15 799	175	1.1	1.00	(0.93–1.67)
Missing	308	7	2.3	1120	(0000 1107)
Parental SES at birth					
Blue-collar worker	10100	98	1.0	1.33	(0.86-2.06)
White-collar worker Self-employed	4483 2877	26 19	0.6 0.7	1.00 0.94	(0.52-1.70)
Missing	5877	105	1.8	0.71	(0.52 1.70)
SES in adulthood					
Blue-collar worker	9101	96	1.1	0.95	(0.72-1.26)
White-collar worker	8657	101	1.2	1.00	(0.52 1.42)
Self-employed Missing	1418 4161	18 33	1.3 0.8	0.86	(0.52–1.42)
Education level					
Compulsory	10708	137	1.3	1.00	
More than compulsory	8618	95	1.1	1.01	(0.77–1.32)
Missing	4011	16	0.4		
BMI in 1973, kgm <sup>-2</sup> <18.5	2242	14	0.6	1.36	(0.76-2.44)
< 18.5 18.5-24.9	15 792	14	0.6	1.00	(0.70-2.74)
			2		

#### Table I (Continued)

	Study population		Colorectal cancer		
	n	n	(%)	HR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
≥25	2283	54	2.4	1.60	(1.15-2.23)
Missing	3020	38	1.3		
Height in 1973 (quartiles) <sup>b</sup>					
lst	4714	53	1.1	0.89	(0.62-1.28)
2nd	5096	58	1.1	1.00	
3rd	4464	40	0.9	0.82	(0.54-1.23)
4th	6093	59	1.0	1.00	(0.70-1.44)
Missing	2970	38	1.3		
Smoking status in 1973					
Never	8588	96	1.1	1.00	
Ever	11047	108	1.0	0.97	(0.74-1.28)
Missing	3702	44	1.2		. ,
Alcohol consumption in 1973					
None	6836	63	0.9	1.00	
Low	11645	133	1.1	1.29	(0.95-1.74)
Moderately high	1197		0.9	1.01	(0.53-1.91)
Missing	3659	41	1.1		. ,

BMI = body mass index; SES = socio-economic status. <sup>a</sup>Hazard ratios are stratified for birth cohort and adjusted for age at end of follow-up. <sup>b</sup>Sex-specific height quartiles.

colorectal cancer or censored at the date of first emigration from Sweden, death or end of follow-up (31 December 2006). Cox proportional hazard models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) for colorectal cancer with age (measured in months) as the underlying time scale, and robust standard error estimates to account for the dependence within twin pairs.

We estimated the independent risk of colorectal cancer for all exposure variables (Table 1). Different adjusted models were used in the cohort analyses to estimate risk (Table 2, models 1-4). All twins share early environmental factors, dizygotic and monozygotic twins share 50 and 100% of their segregating genes, respectively. To account for familial (genetic and shared early environmental) factors, we calculated mean ( $\pm$  s.d.) birth weight and birth length among dizygotic and monozygotic twin pairs, discordant for colorectal cancer. Analyses were carried out in PROC PHREG in SAS 9.2.

#### RESULTS

In our cohort of 23 337 twins, 248 developed colorectal cancer during the follow-up period. Table 1 shows the distribution of birth and adult characteristics and crude HRs for colorectal cancer. Compared with males, females had a reduced risk. Risk increased with birth weight, but no risk estimates were significant. Other birth characteristics and parental factors at delivery did not influence the risk. Overweight in adulthood (BMI ≥25.0) was associated with an increased risk of colorectal cancer.

Table 2 shows birth weight and birth length categories and HRs. Generally, there were no significant associations between birth weight or birth length and risk in the (crude or adjusted) models. When we also adjusted in a subsample for adult characteristics, a long birth length ( $\geq$  50 cm) appeared to be protective (HR 0.56; 95% CI 0.33-0.94). However, this was probably explained by a selection effect: a reduction in risk was also obtained when we included the same number of individuals (n = 8757) in the model and only adjusted for birth characteristics (HR 0.57; 95% CI 0.34-0.94). There were no significant interactions between sex and birth weight or birth length with respect to risk, in neither the crude (P = 0.29 and P = 0.51, respectively) nor the fully adjusted models (P = 0.51 and P = 0.69, respectively).

 Table 2
 Unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) of colorectal cancer in relation to birth weight and birth length in the cohort analyses of Swedish like-sexed twins born in 1926–1958

Birth weight, g	Study population N	Colorectal cancer		HR (95% CI), adjusted for		
		n	%	Birth characteristics <sup>a</sup> (n = 22 240)	Birth and maternal characteristics <sup>b</sup> (n = 16 703)	
<2500 2500-2999 ≥3000	8904 8444 5989	86 86 76	1.0 1.0 1.3	1.01 (0.72–1.40) 1.00 1.15 (0.82–1.61)	1.07 (0.69–1.64) 1.00 1.04 (0.66–1.64)	
Birth length, cm				(n = 22 097)	(n = 16649)	
<47 47-49 ≥50	7220 6761 9183	68 79 101	0.9 1.0 1.1	0.94 (0.65–1.34) 1.00 0.88 (0.64–1.20)	0.92 (0.58–1.47) 1.00 0.72 (0.48–1.09)	

All analyses are stratified by birth year and have accounted for the clustered data structure and between-cluster effect. <sup>a</sup>Adjusted for zygosity, sex and gestational age. <sup>b</sup>Adjusted for birth characteristics, mother's age, parity and socioeconomic status at birth.

To account for familial (genetic or shared environmental) factors, we also carried out analyses within disease-discordant twin pairs. In dizygotic disease-discordant twin pairs (n = 144), the mean birth weight (s.d.) was 2761 g (507) and 2756 g (487) among cases and co-twin controls, respectively (P = 0.94). In monozygotic disease-discordant twin pairs (n = 86), the corresponding mean birth weights (s.d.) were 2610 g (495) and 2642 g (550), respectively (P = 0.69). Similarly, there were no differences in mean birth length within dizygotic or monozygotic disease-discordant twin pairs (data not shown).

### DISCUSSION

In a cohort study of Swedish twins, we found no evidence that birth characteristics influenced the risk of colorectal cancer. There were also no differences in mean birth weight and birth length among disease-discordant dizygotic and monozygotic twin pairs. As analyses within disease-discordant twin pairs account for familial (genetic and early environmental) factors and perfectly match for gestational age, these negative findings further strengthen the hypothesis that foetal growth is not related to offspring risk of colorectal cancer.

A J-shaped relation between self-reported birth weight and colorectal cancer was initially reported by a British study, including 96 cases (Sandhu *et al*, 2002). A Norwegian study, including 247 cases, found that the risk was increased among males with a short birth length (Nilsen *et al*, 2005a). However, the sex-specific analyses included only 150 male and 97 female cancer cases, and interaction analyses between birth characteristics and sex with respect to risk of colorectal cancer were not reported. In

REFERENCES

- Bergvall N, Iliadou A, Johansson S, de Faire U, Kramer MS, Pawitan Y, Pedersen NL, Lichtenstein P, Cnattingius S (2007) Genetic and shared environmental factors do not confound the association between birth weight and hypertension: a study among Swedish twins. *Circulation* 115: 2931–2938
- Boland LL, Mink PJ, Bushhouse SA, Folsom AR (2003) Weight and length at birth and risk of early-onset prostate cancer (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 14: 335-338
- Cederlof R, Friberg L, Jonsson E, Kaij L (1961) Studies on similarity diagnosis in twins with the aid of mailed questionnaires. *Acta Genet Stat Med* 11: 338-362

our negative study, we found no significant interactions between sex and birth characteristics with respect to risk of colorectal cancer.

The generalisability of results from twin studies may be questionable as twins are in general more growth restricted than singletons, have shorter gestational age, and because they may differ in prenatal environment and upbringing. However, the incidence of colorectal cancer does not appear to be different in twins compared with singletons (Verkasalo *et al*, 1999). As studies within twin pairs adjust for gestational age, differences in birth weight within twin pairs reflect the differences in foetal growth, and genetic factors are fully taken into account when analyses are restricted to monozygotic twin pairs. Thus, for the research question of the effect of foetal growth on offspring risk of disease, twin studies have a high internal validity.

This study lends no support for an association between birth characteristics and risk of colorectal cancer. The conflicting results from the few earlier studies may be because of limited statistical power, but it is relevant that the underlying biological reasons for an association with birth characteristics remain speculative.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Society (grants No. 4594-B01-01XAC and 4594-B04-04XAB), the Swedish Council For Working Life and Social Research (grants No. 2004-0174 and 2007-0231), the Swedish Research Council (grants No. K2006-71X-14676-04-2 and K2008-54X-20638-01-3) and the European Union-funded Network of Excellence Lifespan (FP6 036894).

- Christou H, Connors JM, Ziotopoulou M, Hatzidakis V, Papathanassoglou E, Ringer SA, Mantzoros CS (2001) Cord blood leptin and insulin-like growth factor levels are independent predictors of fetal growth. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 86: 935-938
- Crumpacker DW, Cederlof R, Friberg L, Kimberling WJ, Sorensen S, Vandenberg SG, Williams JS, McClearn GE, Grever B, Iyer H, Krier MJ, Pedersen NL, Price RA, Roulette I (1979) A twin methodology for the study of genetic and environmental control of variation in human smoking behavior. *Acta Genet Med Gemellol (Roma)* **28:** 173-195

- Ekbom A, Hsieh CC, Lipworth L, Wolk A, Ponten J, Adami HO, Trichopoulos D (1996) Perinatal characteristics in relation to incidence of and mortality from prostate cancer. *BMJ* **313**: 337-341
- Ekbom A, Wuu J, Adami HO, Lu CM, Lagiou P, Trichopoulos D, Hsieh C (2000) Duration of gestation and prostate cancer risk in offspring. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 9: 221-223
- Ezzati M (2004) Comparative Quantification of Health Risks Global and Regional Burden of Disease Attributable to Selected Major Risk Factors. World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland
- Harder T, Rodekamp E, Schellong K, Dudenhausen JW, Plagemann A (2007) Birth weight and subsequent risk of type 2 diabetes: a metaanalysis. Am J Epidemiol 165: 849-857
- Hu FB, Manson JE, Liu S, Hunter D, Colditz GA, Michels KB, Speizer FE, Giovannucci E (1999) Prospective study of adult onset diabetes mellitus (type 2) and risk of colorectal cancer in women. J Natl Cancer Inst 91: 542 – 547
- Kaijser M, Granath F, Jacobsen G, Cnattingius S, Ekbom A (2000) Maternal pregnancy estriol levels in relation to anamnestic and fetal anthropometric data. *Epidemiology* **11:** 315–319
- Lichtenstein P, De Faire U, Floderus B, Svartengren M, Svedberg P, Pedersen NL (2002) The Swedish Twin Registry: a unique resource for clinical, epidemiological and genetic studies. J Intern Med 252: 184-205
- Mucci LA, Lagiou P, Tamimi RM, Hsieh CC, Adami HO, Trichopoulos D (2003) Pregnancy estriol, estradiol, progesterone and prolactin in relation to birth weight and other birth size variables (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 14: 311-318
- Nilsen TI, Romundstad PR, Troisi R, Potischman N, Vatten LJ (2005a) Birth size and colorectal cancer risk: a prospective population based study. *Gut* **54:** 1728 1732
- Nilsen TI, Romundstad PR, Troisi R, Vatten LJ (2005b) Birth size and subsequent risk for prostate cancer: a prospective population-based study in Norway. Int J Cancer 113: 1002-1004

- Ong K, Kratzsch J, Kiess W, Costello M, Scott C, Dunger D (2000) Size at birth and cord blood levels of insulin, insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I), IGF-II, IGF-binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1), IGFBP-3, and the soluble IGF-II/mannose-6-phosphate receptor in term human infants. The ALSPAC study team. avon longitudinal study of pregnancy and childhood. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85: 4266-4269
- Osorio M, Torres J, Moya F, Pezzullo J, Salafia C, Baxter R, Schwander J, Fant M (1996) Insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) and IGF binding proteins-1, -2, and -3 in newborn serum: relationships to fetoplacental growth at term. *Early Hum Dev* **46**: 15–26
- Petridou E, Panagiotopoulou K, Katsouyanni K, Spanos E, Trichopoulos D (1990) Tobacco smoking, pregnancy estrogens, and birth weight. *Epidemiology* 1: 247-250
- Platz EA, Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Curhan GC, Spiegelman D, Colditz GA, Willett WC (1998) Retrospective analysis of birth weight and prostate cancer in the health professionals follow-up study. Am J Epidemiol 147: 1140-1144
- Sandhu MS, Luben R, Day NE, Khaw KT (2002) Self-reported birth weight and subsequent risk of colorectal cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 11: 935-938
- Silva Idos S, De Stavola B, McCormack V (2008) Birth size and breast cancer risk: re-analysis of individual participant data from 32 studies. *PLoS Med* 5: e193
- Swedish Socioeconomic Classification (1983) Statistics Sweden: Stockholm, Sweden
- Tibblin G, Eriksson M, Cnattingius S, Ekbom A (1995) High birthweight as a predictor of prostate cancer risk. *Epidemiology* **6:** 423-424
- Verkasalo PK, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Pukkala E (1999) Genetic predisposition, environment and cancer incidence: a nationwide twin study in Finland, 1976-1995. Int J Cancer 83: 743-749