Prebent Steinmann Pin to Remove the Broken Retrograde Intramedullary Nail After Tibiotalocalcaneal Arthrodesis



Napatpong Thamrongskulsiri, M.D., Napol Tangboonnitiwong, M.D., Vanasiri Kuptniratsaikul, M.D., and Somsak Kuptniratsaikul, M.D.

Abstract: A retrograde intramedullary nail is an implant option for tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis because it provides mechanical strength and compression at the fusion site, while being less invasive to soft tissue. However, some fusion failures result in implant overloading, resulting in implant failure. The accumulated stress at the level of the subtalar joint will most likely cause implant breakage. It is challenging to remove the broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail's proximal part. Several surgical procedures for removing the broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail have been reported. In this article, we present a surgical technique for removing a broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail by punching out the proximal part of the broken nail using a prebent Steinmann pin. It has the advantage of being less invasive and not requiring any specific tools to punch out the nail.

Introduction

Tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis is a salvage operation for tibiotalar and subtalar joint arthrosis, deformity, and previous failed ankle surgery.¹ If untreated, a progressive deformity may put more strain on the nearby joints during weight bearing, which can cause subtalar or talonavicular arthrosis.² Retrograde intramedullary nail is one implant option used in tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis because it provides mechanical strength and compression at the fusion site, while being

The authors report the following potential conflicts of interest or sources of funding: The authors report no conflicts of interest in the authorship and publication of this article. Full ICMJE author disclosure forms are available for this article online, as supplementary material.

Received December 2, 2022; accepted February 11, 2023.

Address correspondence to Somsak Kuptniratsaikul, M.D., Department of Orthopaedics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand. E-mail: somk50@hotmail.com

© 2023 THE AUTHORS. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Arthroscopy Association of North America. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

2212-6287/221594 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eats.2023.02.021 less invasive to soft tissue.³⁻⁶ However, some fusion failures result in implant overloading, resulting in implant failure.^{1,7} The accumulated stress at the level of the subtalar joint will most likely cause implant breakage. The proximal part of the broken implant cannot be easily removed from the insertion wound, making implant removal challenging for surgeons. Moreover, soft tissue preservation concerns discourage invasive surgical approaches. Several surgical techniques have been reported to remove the broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail.⁸⁻¹⁰

In the present article, we propose a surgical technique for the removal of the broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail using the pre-bent Steinmann pin to punch out the proximal part of the broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail. It has the advantage that it is less invasive and doesn't need any special tools to punch out the nail.

Preoperative Evaluation

Plain radiographs and blood tests are used for evaluation. The plain radiograph is expected to show a broken nail at the tibiotalocalcaneal joint with no bony fracture (Fig 1). The infection is investigated using a blood test for complete blood count (CBC), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and C-reactive protein (CRP).

Surgical Technique (With Video Illustration)

Patient Positioning

The patient is lying on a radiolucent table in the supine position during the procedure. Before preparation

From the Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand (N.T.); Department of Orthopaedics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, The Thai Red Cross Society, Bangkok, Thailand (N.T.); Department of Orthopaedics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, The Thai Red Cross Society, Bangkok, Thailand (V.K.); and Department of Orthopaedics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, The Thai Red Cross Society, Bangkok, Thailand (S.K.).



Fig 1. Plain radiographs (A) anteroposterior view (B) lateral view of the right ankle showing the broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail (orange arrow).

and draping of the leg and ankle in the standard sterile fashion, fluoroscopy is set up for intraoperative imaging, and a tourniquet is wrapped around the proximal thigh to control bleeding.

Removing the Distal Part

A stabbed incision is made to remove the distal locking screw under fluoroscopic guidance. A plantar



Fig 2. Right ankle, intraoperative fluoroscopy, anteroposterior view. Distal part of nail was removed by connecting with guide handle.

incision is made at the previous scar, and the distal part of the nail is simply removed by connecting with the handle guide (Fig 2, Video 1).

Removing the Proximal Part

A stabbed incision is made to remove the proximal locking screw under fluoroscopic guidance. The anterior cortex of the tibia is then perforated with a 6.0-mm drill bit, about 2 cm above the proximal nail's tip. A Steinmann pin (Orthopeasia, Samutprakarn, Thailand) with a 4.0-mm diameter is bent with a plate bender and connected to the T-handle (Orthopeasia, Samutprakarn, Thailand) with the blunt end facing out (Figs 3 and 4, Video 1). The prebent Steinmann pin (Orthopeasia, Samutprakarn, Thailand) is inserted through the anterior cortical opening and passes through the nail until it reaches the proximal part of the broken nail; then, it is slapped with a hammer until the broken nail's proximal part is driven through the plantar opening incision (Figs 4 and 5, Video 1). The removed nail and prebent Steinmann pin (Orthopeasia, Samutprakarn, Thailand) are shown in Fig 6. Finally, the retrograde nail (DePuy Synthes) is revised and allograft is added for tibiotalocalcaneal fusion in the ordinary method (Fig 7).

Postoperative Care

In order to increase the chance of a successful union, postoperative care includes a short leg slab for 2 weeks before switching to a short leg cast after the swelling has subsided. The non-weight bearing period lasts for 12 weeks. After beginning to walk, the patient remains an additional 2 months wearing a walker boot (Fig 7).



Fig 3. Pre-bent 4.0-mm diameter Steinmann pin connected to the T-handle.

Discussion

Broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail removal technique was previously reported in various ways, such as partial fibulectomy (9), a ball-tip guide wire (8), and cement extractor hook (10).

Kyung et al. described the partial fibulectomy technique.⁹ The lateral approach with the partial fibulectomy technique provided advantages that allow for direct visualization for the removal of the broken nail and gives the opportunity to completely prepare the subtalar fusion bed. But the breakage site was exposed by making a large bone defect at the lateral cortex of the talus and subtalar joint.



Fig 4. Right tibia, intraoperative fluoroscopy, and anteroposterior view. The prebent Steinmann pin is inserted into the tibial opening through the anterior cortex opening and passes through the nail.



Fig 5. Right ankle in the supine position. The prebent Steinmann pin is introduced through the anterior cortical opening and passes through the nail until it reaches the proximal part of the broken nail. Then it is slapped with a hammer until the broken nail's proximal part is driven through the plantar opening incision.

Aynardi and Raikin described a novel removal technique that employed a bent ball-tip guide wire as an extractor.⁸ The ball-tip guide wire method frequently fails in our experience due to slipping between the ball tip and the distal nail rim during retrograde hammering. Similar to this, Papachristos and Dalal described that the proximal nail was removed using a



Fig 6. (A) Prebent Steinmann pin. (B) Removed broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail.



Fig 7. Postoperative plain radiographs of the antero-posterior view (A) and lateral view (B) of the right ankle showing the tibiotalocalcaneal nail is revised and allograft is added for fusion in the ordinary method.

Table	1.	Advantages	and	Disadvantages
-------	----	------------	-----	---------------

Advantages	No special instrument is required to remove the broken intramedullary nail.	
	Cost-effective	
	There is no need for a knee arthrotomy or injury to the knee cartilage.	
	Minimally invasive and less soft tissue trauma	
	This technique is easy and reproducible.	
Disadvantages	This technique may increase the risk of radiation exposure during intraoperative fluoroscopy.	

Table 2. Pearls and Pitfalls

Pearls	Using the Steinmann pin that fits into the nail hole diameter		
	Bending the Steinmann pin carefully to fit the		
	tibial and nail's curvature		
Pitfalls	A tibial fracture during hammering may occur		
	from the Steinmann pin's inappropriate curvature		

cement extractor hook from the Moreland hip revision set (DePuy Synthes, Raynham, MA).¹⁰ We propose a technique that could create an easier way to remove the proximal part of the broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail by using a Steinmann pin with a larger diameter than the nail hole. We bent the Steinmann pin to make the curve to allow the Steinmann pin to pass through the intramedullary canal and nail hole from the anterior tibial cortex without knee arthrotomy to antegrade hammering the nail, which can injure the knee cartilage. With just one small opening on the anterior tibial cortex and the prebent Steinmann pin, surgeons can use this technique to push the proximal part of the broken nail down through the plantar opening incision and easily remove it.

There are advantages to the present technical note. The broken intramedullary nail can be removed without the use of a special instrument. There is no need for a knee arthrotomy or injury to the knee cartilage during removal of the broken nail. This technique is easy and reproducible. However, it may increase the risk of radiation exposure during intraoperative fluoroscopy. Advantages and disadvantages and pearls and pitfalls of the procedure are further described in Tables 1 and 2.

In conclusion, this technique is a reproducible, minimally invasive, and cost-effective method for removing a broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail.

References

- 1. Jehan S, Shakeel M, Bing AJ, Hill SO. The success of tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis with intramedullary nailing—A systematic review of the literature. *Acta Orthop Belg* 2011;77:644-651.
- **2.** Thordarson DB. Fusion in posttraumatic foot and ankle reconstruction. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2004;12:322-333.
- **3.** El-Tantawy A, Atef A, Samy A. Trans-calcaneal retrograde nailing for secondary-displaced traumatic ankle fractures in diabetics with insensate feet: A less-invasive salvage-arthrodesis technique. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 2022;32:37-46.
- Kou J, Weinfeld S. Tibiotalocalcaneal nailing: Indications and technique. *Techniques Foot Ankle Surg* 2009;8:126-133.
- 5. Zhang C, Shi Z, Mei G. Locking plate versus retrograde intramedullary nail fixation for tibiotalocalcaneal

arthrodesis: A retrospective analysis. *Indian J Orthop* 2015;49:227-232.

- 6. Hoang V, Anthony T, Gupta S, Chang R, Chan H, Watson T. Treatment of severe ankle and hindfoot deformity: Technique using femoral head allograft for tibiotalocalcaneal fusion using a cup-and-cone reamer. *Arthrosc Tech* 2021;10:e1187-e1195.
- **7.** Rammelt S, Pyrc J, Agren PH, et al. Tibiotalocalcaneal fusion using the hindfoot arthrodesis nail: a multicenter study. *Foot Ankle Int* 2013;34:1245-1255.
- **8.** Aynardi M, Raikin SM. Removal of broken cannulated intramedullary nail after tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Int* 2013;34:1305-1307.
- 9. Kyung MG, Park GY, Lee DY. Removal of broken tibiotalocalcaneal nail: Case report and technical tip. *Foot Ankle Int* 2022;43:1250-1254.
- **10.** Papachristos IV, Dalal RB. A novel technique to remove a broken tibiotalocalcaneal intramedullary nail using Moreland hip revision instrumentation. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2019;101:374-375.