Investig Clin Urol 2017;58:205-209. https://doi.org/10.4111/icu.2017.58.3.205 pISSN 2466-0493 • eISSN 2466-054X



What are the differences between older and younger patients with epididymitis?

Fukashi Yamamichi^{1,2}, Katsumi Shigemura^{1,3}, Soichi Arakawa^{1,4}, Masato Fujisawa¹

¹Division of Urology, Department of Organ Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, ²Department of Urology, Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki General Medical Center, Amagasaki, ³Division of Infectious Disease, Department of International Health Science, Kobe University Graduate School of Health Science, Kobe, ⁴Department of Urology, Sanda Municipal Hospital, Sanda, Japan

Purpose: According to the aging of society and the spread of antibiotic-resistant strains, it is worth considering the different aspects of epididymitis (EP) in older and younger patients, even though the etiology and therapeutic strategies of this disease are considered to be established. Thus, we investigated how age affects EP-related symptoms.

Materials and Methods: Data were gathered from 7 hospitals in Hyogo, Japan, and the correlations of age (older or younger) with urine findings such as pyuria or bacteriuria and EP-related symptoms such as fever were investigated.

Results: In all 308 cases with full data for evaluation, there were 66 febrile (38°C or higher) cases (21.4%) and bacteriuria was seen in 158 cases (51.3%). In the multivariate analysis, older age (65 years or older) was significantly correlated with the presence of pyuria (p=0.0156). Regarding the relationship between urine findings and EP-related symptoms, pyuria was significantly related to fever (37°C or higher; p=0.0159).

Conclusions: Our data showed that older patients with EP had pyuria significantly more often than did younger patients, which correlated with EP-related symptoms (fever). These data suggest that age-specific guidelines may be necessary.

Keywords: Bacteriuria; Epididymitis; Risk factors

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

The recent aging of society and, importantly, the spread of antibiotic-resistant strains, makes it worthwhile to consider the different aspects of epididymitis (EP) in older and younger patients, even though the etiology and therapeutic strategies of this disease are considered to be established. Thus, we investigated how age (older or younger) affects EP-related symptoms. EP may have different characteristics in older and younger patients, especially in terms of causative microorganisms and severity; however, research has focused mostly on younger men in the United States [1]. It has been debated whether EP is related to urinary tract infections (UTIs) [2-5], and the theory suggests that the cause of EP in younger men tends to be sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and that the cause in older men tends to be UTIs. This may be partly because the causative routes of infection are varied, and some routes involve the urinary tract and others involve urogenital organs such as the prostate or seminal vesicle [6].

Received: 25 November, 2016 · Accepted: 14 January, 2017

Corresponding Author: Katsumi Shigemura

Division of Urology, Department of Organ Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, 7-5-1 Kusunoki-Cho, Chuo-Ku, Kobe 650-0017, Japan

TEL: +81-78-382-6155, FAX: +81-78-382-6169, E-mail: yutoshunta@hotmail.co.jp

Yamamichi et al

ICUROLOGY

EP presents with a variety of clinical features or symptoms; for instance, the infection occurrence pattern can be acute or chronic, and the patients may or may not have fever [5]. Differences in the extent of inflammation may help to explain differences in presenting symptoms [7]. Considering that older patients have lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) from benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) [8], urinary tract factors may affect this infectious disease; for instance, pyuria, bacteriuria, or large volumes of residual urine might be risk factors for EP from UTI.

In this study, we retrospectively examined 308 cases from seven hospitals in Hyogo, Japan, to explore the clinical risk factors for EP-related symptoms, with a particular focus on the patients' age or urine findings of pyuria or bacteriuria. These findings could provide the basis for prospective or randomized studies to establish the clinical risk factors for EP-related symptoms or algorithms for treatments in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. EP cases

The EP data were gathered from seven hospitals (Kobe University Hospital, Yodogawa Catholic Hospital, Steel Memorial Hirohata Hospital, Shinko Hospital, Kobe City Medical Center West Hospital, Kobe Red Cross Hospital, and Hara Genitourinary Hospital) in Hyogo, Japan. Data were analyzed retrospectively. This study was admitted by the Kobe University Institutional Review Board and followed the basis of the Declaration of Helsinki (approval number: 160148). EP was diagnosed by the physicians taking care of the patients as induration and tenderness of the epididymis. All patients were diagnosed and treated between April 2007 and July 2012.

2. Data analyses

All data included the following factors: age, febrile or afebrile, duration to improvement of fever, site, tenderness, pyuria, bacteriuria, and antibiotic treatments. The symptoms investigated were those at the time of diagnosis. In addition, pyuria and bacteriuria were also investigated if they might have caused EP-related symptoms. Pyuria was defined as 5 or more white blood cells per high power field in urine and bacteriuria as a bacterial isolation of 10⁵ or more colony forming units/mL in urine. Bacteriuria was diagnosed by simple urine analysis.

3. Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was conducted by using chi-square

206 www.icurology.org

tests with JSTAT (Java Virtual Machine Statistics Monitoring Tool). To examine whether older age (≥ 65 years) correlated with urine findings or EP-related symptoms in EP patients, we divided the patients into 2 groups by age, 65 years or older and 64 years or younger, and performed statistical analyses on the categories mentioned above. This is because 65 years is regarded as older age in this country. In addition, statistical analyses were conducted to examine whether pyuria or bacteriuria correlated to EP-related symptoms with p<0.05 considered to indicate statistical significance. These analyses were performed by use of univariate and multivariate analyses, and multiple regression analysis was performed by use of the PASW Statistics 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

1. Patient data

In all cases in which data were available for analysis, age ranged from 5 to 98 years. The site of EP included 150 right cases, 152 left cases, and 4 bilateral cases (2 unknown cases). Sixty-six cases (21.4%) had high fever (\geq 38°C) and the mean duration to fever suppression was 3.35±1.83 days. Pyuria was seen in 147 of 308 cases (47.7%) and bacteriuria was seen in 152 of 308 cases (49.4%). Causative microorganisms were *Escherichia coli* and *Chlamydia* in 8 cases each (26%), respectively. As to other antibiotic-resistant strains, there were 2 cases each of extended spectrum beta-lactamaseproducing *E coli* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*

Table 1. Patients' characteristics

Characteristic	Value
No. of cases	308
	51.0±20.0
Age (y)	51.0±20.0
Body temperature (°C)	
<37	216
≥37	92
Duration of fever (\geq 37°C) (d)	3.35±1.83
Site	
Left	152
Right	150
Bilateral	4
Unknown	2
Pyuria	
(-)	161
(+)	147
Bacteriuria	
(-)	156
(+)	152

Values are presented as number or mean±standard deviation.

ICUROLOGY

aureus (0.65%), respectively (Tables 1, 2).

All cases improved after treatment but 2 cases underwent orchiectomy for abscess formation. As therapeutic antibiotics, levofloxacin (133 patients [43.2%]), cefozopran (73

Table 2. Causative	microorganism	and antibiotic treatment	s
Tuble 2. Cuusuitte	meroorgumsm	i una unabiolic acument	2

Microorganism and antibiotic treatments	Number
Causative microorganism	
Escherichia coli	8
<i>E. coli</i> (ESBL)	2
Chlamydia	8
MRSA	2
Others	5
Negative	9
Unknown	274
1st line antibiotic treatments	
Levofloxacin	133
Cefozopran	73
Ceftriaxone	43
Other antibiotics	57
Unknown	2
Antibiotics	
1 Kind	151
2 Kinds	139
≥3 Kinds	18

ESBL, extended spectrum beta lactamase; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Table 3. Comparison	between age and	epididymitis-relate	d symptoms
Tuble 5. companion	between age and	cpluluyinnus relate	a symptoms

patients [23.7%], and ceftriaxone (43 patients [14.0%]) were used frequently. One hundred fifty-one patients (49.0%) were treated with 1 kind of antibiotic and 139 (45.1%) were treated with 2 kinds, but mostly they were used as a switching therapy.

2. Comparison data according to age

To determine if age correlated with urine findings such as pyuria or bacteriuria or EP-related symptoms such as scrotum swelling or fever, we set 65 years as the cutoff as mentioned above and then compared symptoms between the older age group (\geq 65 years) and the younger age group (\leq 64 years). We found that pyuria (p=0.0027) and bacteriuria (p=0.033) were observed at a significantly higher ratio in the older age group than in the younger age group. However, there was no significant correlation between age and duration of fever (p=0.057). In the multivariate analysis, older age groups correlated with pyuria (p=0.0156) (Table 3).

3. Comparison data according to presence of pyuria or bacteriuria

Pyuria was significantly related to fever (p<0.0001 for 37° C or higher and p=0.0008 for 38° C or higher), and this was observed as significant in the multivariate analyses as well (p=0.0159 for 37° C or higher) (Table 4).

\/	Age (y), n		Univariate		Multivariate	
Variable -	<65	≥65	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Body temperature (°C)						
<37	151	65	1.30 (0.78–2.18)	0.388		
≥37	59	33				
<38	168	74	1.30 (0.73–2.30)	0.456		
≥38	42	24				
Duration of fever (\geq 37°C) (d)	66	28	1.29 (0.99–1.67)	0.057		
Swelling						
(-)	3	0	1.41 (0.61–3.23)	0.571		
(+)	207	98				
Pyuria						
(-)	122	39	2.09 (1.29–3.42)	0.0027*	1.89 (1.12–3.17)	0.0156*
(+)	88	59				
Bacteriuria						
(-)	111	39	1.70 (1.04–2.76)	0.033*	1.38 (0.82–2.32)	0.220
(+)	99	59				
Outcome						
Conservative	208	98	2.55 (0.89-4.02)	0.836		
Surgical	2	0				

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*p<0.05, statistically significant difference.

ICUROLOGY

•								
Body temperature	Pyu	Pyuria, n		Bacteriuria, n		Univariate		ate
(°C)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
<37	130	86			2.97 (1.78–4.96)	<0.0001*	2.86 (1.22–6.70)	0.0159*
≥37	31	61						
<38	139	103			2.70 (1.52–4.78)	0.0008*	1.06 (0.41–2.76)	0.907
≥38	22	44						
<37			106	110	1.05 (0.65–1.71)	0.939		
≥37			44	48				
<38			120	122	1.18 (0.68–2.04)	0.648		
≥38			30	36				

Table 4. Comparison between body temperature and urine findings

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*p<0.05, statistically significant difference.

DISCUSSION

The notable features of EP are as follows: (1) Identification of the route of infection is sometimes unclear. (2) The causative microorganisms are often undetectable. (3) EP is comparatively easy to diagnose. (4) As mentioned below in the study limitations, it may be hard to test urine or pus cultures because patients with EP, like patients with male urethritis, tend to be cured in clinics and not in research institutions such as a university hospital. Therefore, there are fewer cases with treatment difficulties compared with other urological infections and thus research is lacking. Accordingly, we focused on the correlation between the patient's age and urine findings related to inflammation or infection, and EP-related symptoms such as fever in order to further knowledge of EP and its etiology.

Several reports have described the guidelines for the management of EP. Street et al. [9] stated that in their management of epididymo-orchitis they consider mumps and, especially in the immune-compromised host, tuberculosis as possible causative microorganisms and that consideration of gonorrhea is essential for decisions about antibiotics. As to the antibiotic treatments, our cases included a high frequency of fluoroquinolone use according to the literature [10], followed by the third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins. These differences in antibiotic use suggest that (1) our cases included older patients at higher ratios than in other studies and that Enterobacteriaceae, which is not an STI-causing microorganism in general, should have been a target for treatment, and (2) regional guidelines may be necessary because not all regions will have the same trends in patients' age and causative microorganisms [11].

In terms of causative microorganisms, Bébéar et al. [12] stated in their review that asymptomatic chlamydia infection can cause symptomatic EP and that single-dose antibiotic treatments should be effective in uncomplicated cases. On the other hand, *E coli* was most often isolated as the causative microorganism in our limited data (because only 41 cases had positive urine or pus culture tests as mentioned below in the study limitations), which differs from other reports in which *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* or *Chlamydia* spp. were the most prevalent [13,14]. EP may be caused by symptomatic or asymptomatic UTIs, at least based on our data and especially in the older generation.

A report from Korea showed that epididymo-orchitis was more common in patients not only with a history of urethral stricture but on clean intermittent catheterization (CIC) rather than indwelling urethral catheterization. Those authors also added that CIC, not urethral stricture, is a significant independent risk factor for epididymo-orchitis [15]. Our data showed that pyuria correlated with fever (p=0.0159). In contrast to younger men, in whom sexually transmitted pathogens are predominant, in older patients with a history of subvesical obstruction, E coli is the most frequent pathogen responsible [16]. These data support our data mentioned above and overcome the limited evidence for not only etiology but also treatments, especially in older patients.

We would like to emphasize the limitations of this study. First, the data were retrospectively gathered from seven hospitals over 5 years and lack some elements necessary for definitive statistical analyses. However, we designed the study to include and reflect real clinical EP and its treatments. In particular, bacterial studies were not always available, suggesting that physicians need to be educated on the need for culture tests when treating with antibiotics [17]. Garthwaite et al. [18] discussed the lower implementation rate of the European Urological Association guideline in the management of acute epididymo-architis. However, the references cited in that paper are older and

ICUROLOGY

considering the dramatic changes in society, especially in aging and antibiotic-resistant microorganisms, information on this disease needs to be updated by this kind of research. Finally, our data on LUTS were available in only a limited population. These limitations should be overcome by the establishment of therapeutic strategies not only for antibiotic therapies but also for treatment goals and the management of risk factors such as uncontrolled LUTS from BPH including pyuria or bacteriuria.

CONCLUSIONS

Our retrospective data showed that older patients (≥ 65 years) had a higher ratio of pyuria, and in the multivariate analysis, pyuria may have been significantly correlated with EP-related symptoms (fever) in this study of over 300 cases of EP. These data suggest that age-specific guidelines may be necessary, and for this, additional clinical trials should be considered.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have nothing to disclose.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Minoru Hazama, Akira Fujii, Hiroshi Maeda, Masuo Yamashita, Ichiro Nakamura, Takashi Matsui, and Shoji Hara for data gathering and taking care of patients.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mittemeyer BT, Lennox KW, Borski AA. Epididymitis: a review of 610 cases. J Urol 1966;95:390-2.
- Ludwig M, Kümmel C, Schroeder-Printzen I, Ringert RH, Weidner W. Evaluation of seminal plasma parameters in patients with chronic prostatitis or leukocytospermia. Andrologia 1998;30 Suppl 1:41-7.
- 3. Horner PJ; European Branch of the International Union against Sexually Transmitted Infection and the European Office of the World Health Organization. European guideline for the management of epididymo-orchitis and syndromic management of acute scrotal swelling. Int J STD AIDS 2001;12 Suppl 3:88-93.
- Grabe M, Bjerklund-Johansen TE, Botto H, Çek M, Naber KG, Tenke P, et al. Guidelines on urological infections. Anheim (Netherlands): European Association of Urology; c2010. Epi-

didymitis and Orchitis; p. 79-81.

- 5. Trojian TH, Lishnak TS, Heiman D. Epididymitis and orchitis: an overview. Am Fam Physician 2009;79:583-7.
- La Vignera S, Calogero AE, Arancio A, Castiglione R, De Grande G, Vicari E. Transrectal ultrasonography in infertile patients with persistently elevated bacteriospermia. Asian J Androl 2008;10:731-40.
- Marconi M, Pilatz A, Wagenlehner F, Diemer T, Weidner W. Are antisperm antibodies really associated with proven chronic inflammatory and infectious diseases of the male reproductive tract? Eur Urol 2009;56:708-15.
- 8. Kirby R. Improving lower urinary tract symptoms in BPH. Practitioner 2011;255:15-9, 2.
- Street E, Joyce A, Wilson J; Clinical Effectiveness Group, British Association for Sexual Health and HIV. BASHH UK guideline for the management of epididymo-orchitis, 2010. Int J STD AIDS 2011;22:361-5.
- Ludwig M. Diagnosis and therapy of acute prostatitis, epididymitis and orchitis. Andrologia 2008;40:76-80.
- Shigemura K, Okada H, Shirakawa T, Tanaka K, Arakawa S, Kinoshita S, et al. Susceptibilities of Neisseria gonorrhoeae to fluoroquinolones and other antimicrobial agents in Hyogo and Osaka, Japan. Sex Transm Infect 2004;80:105-7.
- 12. Bébéar C, de Barbeyrac B. Genital Chlamydia trachomatis infections. Clin Microbiol Infect 2009;15:4-10.
- 13. De Jong Z, Pontonnier F, Plante P, Gautier JR, Ioualalen A, Archambaud M, et al. The frequency of Chlamydia trachomatis in acute epididymitis. Br J Urol 1988;62:76-8.
- Eley A, Oxley KM, Spencer RC, Kinghorn GR, Ben-Ahmeida ET, Potter CW. Detection of Chlamydia trachomatis by the polymerase chain reaction in young patients with acute epididymitis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1992;11:620-3.
- 15. Ku JH, Jung TY, Lee JK, Park WH, Shim HB. Influence of bladder management on epididymo-orchitis in patients with spinal cord injury: clean intermittent catheterization is a risk factor for epididymo-orchitis. Spinal Cord 2006;44:165-9.
- 16. Melekos MD, Asbach HW. Epididymitis: aspects concerning etiology and treatment. J Urol 1987;138:83-6.
- Freifeld AG, Bow EJ, Sepkowitz KA, Boeckh MJ, Ito JI, Mullen CA, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the use of antimicrobial agents in neutropenic patients with cancer: 2010 update by the infectious diseases society of america. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52:e56-93.
- Garthwaite MA, Johnson G, Lloyd S, Eardley I. The implementation of European Association of Urology guidelines in the management of acute epididymo-orchitis. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2007;89:799-803.