SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN Bulk modulus for polar covalent crystals

Bo Xu, Qianqian Wang & Yongjun Tian

State Key Laboratory of Metastable Materials Science and Technology, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, China.

A microscopic empirical model of bulk modulus based on atomic-scale parameters is proposed. These parameters include the bond length, the effective bonded valence electron (EBVE) number, and the coordination number product of two bonded atoms, etc. The estimated bulk moduli from our model are in good agreement with experimental values for various polar covalent crystals including ionic crystals. Our current work sheds lights on the nature of bulk modulus, provides useful clues for design of crystals with low compressibility, and is applicable to complex crystals such as minerals of geophysical importance.

n the past several decades, great efforts have been made to establish direct correlations between the macroscopic mechanical properties and the microscopic parameters of solid. Such correlations can reveal the crucial factors that determine materials mechanical properties. More importantly, they can be used to estimate mechanical properties of designed crystals and provide insightful information before experimental trials. Up to now, some important progresses have been achieved. For example, microscopic hardness models have been constructed in terms of atomic-scale parameters and used extensively experimentally as well as in superhard materials design¹. However, microscopic models for other mechanical properties, such as bulk modulus²⁻⁴, are still in the primary stage.

Bulk modulus measures material's resistance to uniform compression, and is highly related to the chemical composition and crystal structure. Materials with high bulk modulus are potentially superhard materials according to the rough correlation between bulk modulus and hardness⁵. Moreover, bulk modulus is relevant to geophysics since it is involved in the interpretation of earth's seismic properties. Numerous experimental and theoretical efforts on bulk modulus have thus been undertaken. However, the measurements of the bulk moduli are nontrivial and values for many materials are still unavailable or unreliable. Concurrently, theoretical calculations of bulk modulus is a strictly defined thermodynamic quantity (B = -VdP/dV), and can thus be obtained theoretically by either using the stain–stress method⁶, or fitting the calculated total energy with Murnaghan equation of state⁷ and Birch–Murnaghan equation of state⁸. These *ab initio* calculations, relying on computational facilities, can also provide details of the bonding, structural, and electronic properties of solids⁹. The second is empirical approaches based on readily accessible parameters, e.g. chemical bond length, valence, and ionicity. The empirical models can estimate bulk moduli of crystals with the advantage of simplicity and comparable accuracy as *ab initio* ones, but usually different quantification schemes are being used for different class of crystals.

To put present work in context, a brief review of empirical approaches for bulk modulus estimation is necessary. In 1923, Bridgman proposed an empirical relationship between bulk modulus and molar volume for metals¹⁰. Sequentially, researchers revealed the bulk modulu of minerals are controlled primarily by the specific volume per ion pair¹¹⁻¹³. Jayaraman correlated the bulk modulus with the unit cell volume and the effective covalence product for rocksalt-structured rare-earth compounds¹⁴. It was established that, for a given class of materials with identical crystal structure and similar bonding properties, the bulk modulus would scale with the unit cell volume according to $B = B_0 V_0^{-p}$, where B_0 and p, constants characteristic of selected materials family, are mainly determined by the type of chemical bond (e.g. bond ionicity) and the dependence of the interatomic potential on the interatomic distance, respectively¹⁵. Hazen and Finger proposed a more general bulk modulus-volume relationship for cation coordination polyhedral in a variety of structure types including oxides, silicates, halides, sulfides, phosphides, and carbides: $B = 7.5S^2 Z_a Z_c / \overline{d}^3$, where $B, S^2, \overline{d}, Z_a$ and Z_c are the polyhedral bulk modulus, an empirical ionicity, the mean cation–anion separation, cation and anion formal charge, respectively¹⁶. However, application of this model to rutile-type oxides showed large deviations from experimental values as pointed out by the same authors¹⁷.

In his seminal work for zincblende semiconductors, Cohen assumed the bulk modulus of covalent crystals should obey the scaling relation between covalent bond energy and the bond volume change, and proposed a bulk modulus model using bond length and an ionicity parameter². The different power-law dependent of bulk

SUBJECT AREAS: MECHANICAL PROPERTIES THEORY AND COMPUTATION CONDENSED-MATTER PHYSICS STRUCTURAL MATERIALS

> Received 25 June 2013

Accepted 11 October 2013

Published 29 October 2013

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.J.T. (fhcl@ysu.edu. cn)



Figure 1 | Plot of v against the product of coordination numbers of two bonded atoms.

modulus on the bond length for semiconductors ($B \propto d^{-3.5}$) and ionic crystals ($B \propto d^{-3}$) were also pointed out². Most recently, Cohen's work was developed by Kamran³ and Verma¹⁸ for diamond-like and zincblende covalent crystals. In both cases, the $d^{-3.5}$ dependence of *B* is retained with different descriptions of the ionicity.

Other empirical bulk modulus models have also been proposed based on different considerations, such as the electronegativity⁴, transition pressure¹⁹, Debye temperature²⁰, plasmon energy²¹, partitioning of the elastic constants with the atomic basin concept²², etc. Details of these models are less relevant to present work. It should be noted that some of the key variables used in these models are undeducible from the crystal structural information and have to be provided otherwise, which limit these models' applicability.

The bulk modulus of a crystal microscopically depends on the nature of its chemical bonds such as bond length and type, as corroborated in the empirical relationships between bulk modulus and specific volume (or bond length). Also, a crystal structure dependence of bulk modulus was revealed^{4,23,24}. To formulate a microscopic bulk modulus model with easy accessibility and broad applicability, input variables should be directly deducible for selected crystals. Parameterizing of the influences of bond type and crystal structure is thus prerequisite. Recently, we suggested a universal quantification of the bond strength in covalent and ionic crystals by using the proposed effectively bonded valence electron (EBVE) number, n_{AB} , of a chemical bond^{25,26}, which can be used as a parameter to characterize bond type (See Method section for the details). For singlebond crystals, Levine pointed out the normalized bond volume, v = $n_b d^3/\Omega$, can be used as a measure of crystal geometry, where n_b , d, and Ω are the bond number in the unit cell, bond length, and unit cell volume, respectively²⁷. Further analysis shows v is proportional to the product of coordination numbers for two bonded atoms, and distinguishes zincblende, wurtzite, rocksalt, CsCl, cuprite, fluorite, rutile, and corundum structures (Figure 1). This product can thus be used to characterize the crystal structure. We emphasize that both EBVE number and coordination number product can easily be calculated for a designated crystal and applied to multi-bond crystals.

Thus far, three main parameters affecting the bulk modulus, i.e. the bond length (*d*), EBVE number (n_{AB}) and coordination number product ($p = N_A N_B$), are determined. Previous studies revealed the power-law behavior of the bond length (or unit cell volume) on bulk modulus^{2,15}. Also, the exponential dependence of the bond strength on EBVE number is emphasized²⁵. These studies highlighted the roles of the bond length and valance electrons on bulk modulus, which can be quantified tentatively in terms of fundamental variables with the formalism,

$$B(GPa) = Cp^l d^m \exp(kn_{AB}), \qquad (1)$$

where *C*, *l*, *m*, and *k* are the fitting constants. In the following, we deduce the empirical expression of bulk modulus for simple $A^{N}B^{8-N}$ type covalent crystals with one coordination number. The generalizations to covalent crystals with diverse coordination numbers and to ionic crystals are presented sequentially, followed by generalization to multi-bond crystals. The application of our model to geophysics interesting minerals is also demonstrated.

Results

A^N**B**^{8-N} **type covalent crystals.** We first consider single-bond A^N**B**^{8-N} type covalent crystals with zincblende, wurtzite, and rocksalt structures where only one type of chemical bond and one coordination number are presented. With the aid of available experimental bulk modulus data (shown in Table 1), our approach is to decompose the bulk modulus into parameters associated with individual chemical bonds, i.e. *d*, *n*_{AB}, and *p*, by using Eqn. 1. The constants, *C*, *l*, *m*, and *k*, can be extrapolated from the experimental bulk modulus data for these covalent crystals with the Levenberg-Marquardt method^{28,29}, giving

$$B(\text{GPa}) = 54.7p^{0.914}d^{-3.46}\exp(1.485n_{AB}).$$
 (2)

The key variables, experimental bulk moduli^{3,4,16,30-36}, and calculated bulk moduli from our model and from other models^{3,4} are listed in Table 1 for selected A^NB^{8-N} type covalent crystals. The comparison between calculated bulk moduli and experimental values is emphasized in Figure 2. The overall accuracy of our model is comparable with the electronegativity model⁴ and better than that of Kamran et al³. Unlike the other two models, where either electronegativity or ionicity has to be determined through other way, all the input parameters in our model can be directly deduced from the designated crystal with simple arithmetic calculations, providing a unique advantage for its application. To further check the performance of Eqn. 2 for other similar crystals not included in Table 1, we list the results for zincblende-structured BAs³⁷ and BSb³⁸, rocksalt-structured PbS39, PbTe40, and AgCl41 in Table 2. The consistence of bulk moduli from the experiments (ab initio calculations for BSb) and our empirical model is satisfying.

 $A_n B_m$ type covalent crystals. For selected $A_n B_m$ ($n \neq m$) type crystals (Table 3), bulk moduli calculated from Eqn. 2 are systematically smaller than experimental values^{13,17,22,42,43}. It should be noted that an average bond length was used for rutile and corundum structures in Table 2. Previously we chose $p = N_A N_B$ as a characteristic of crystal geometry, which did not explicitly reveal the diversity of the coordination numbers as presented in AnBm crystals. Here we define $N_{\text{max}} = \max[N_A, N_B]$ and $N_{\min} = \min[N_A, N_B]$. We choose two schemes to address this issue. The first one is to use N_A and N_B individually as input parameters, with the power-law indexes determined by fitting the experimental data. The second one is to introduce an asymmetry parameter, $\Lambda = N_{\text{max}}/N_{\text{min}}$, for two bonded atoms with different coordination numbers. The bulk modulus formulae are $B(GPa) = CN_{max}^{s}N_{min}^{t}d^{m}\exp(kn_{AB})$ and B(GPa) = $Cp^{\prime}\Lambda^{t}d^{m}\exp(kn_{AB})$, respectively. To keep the consistency with Eqn. 2, only the power-law indexes to $N_{\rm max}$, $N_{\rm min}$, and Λ are adjusted during data fitting, and determined to be 1.201, 0.672, and 0.370, respectively. These two schemes give similar results ($B_{cal,1}$ and $B_{cal,2}$) in Table 3). Here we choose the second scheme,

$$B(\text{GPa}) = 54.7p^{0.914} \Lambda^{0.37} d^{-3.46} \exp(1.485n_{AB}).$$
(3)

Table 1 | Parameters related to the calculation of bulk modulus as well as experimental and calculated bulk moduli for simple $A^{\sf N}B^{{\sf 8}\cdot{\sf N}}$ covalent crystals

Crystal	Stucture	d	n _{AB}	р	B_{Exp}	B Cal	B_{Cal}^{a}	B_{Cal}^{d}
С	A4	1.55	0.707	16	442°	433	418.2	442.1
Si	A4	2.35	0.707	16	100°	103	97.4	95.6
Ge	A4	2.45	0.707	16	78ª	89	84.2	81.3
Sn	A4	2.81	0.707	16	55⁵	55	52.1	52.6
SiC	B3	2.81	0.707	16	211°	222	202.9	203.6
BN	B3	1.57	0.643	16	369°	377	373	398.9
BP	B3	1.97	0.643	16	173°	172	180.4	170.8
AIN	B4	1.9	0.643	16	201°	195	180.9	196.6
AIP	B3	2.36	0.643	16	86ª	92	88.3	89.9
AlAs	B3	2.43	0.643	16	77°	83	80.5	79.1
AlSb	B3	2.66	0.643	16	59°	61	56.1	61.3
GaN	B4	1.97	0.643	16	190°	172	164	193.8
GaP	B3	2.36	0.643	16	89ª	92	86.6	88.8
GaAs	B3	2.45	0.643	16	76°	81	77.4	78.6
GaSb	B3	2.65	0.643	16	57°	62	59.6	62.1
InN	B4	2.16	0.643	16	137°	125	111.1	129.4
InP	B3	2.54	0.643	16	73∘	71	66.1	69.3
InAs	B3	2.61	0.643	16	60ª	65	61.2	62.8
InSb	B3	2.81	0.643	16	47°	50	47.7	51.4
BeO	B4	1.64	0.474	16	244°	252		
BeS	B3	2.1	0.474	16	105 ^d	107	132.7	112.9
BeSe	B3	2.2	0.474	16	92ª	91	113.2	95.1
BeTe	B3	2.4	0.474	16	67ª	68	86.5	72.2
MgO	B1	2.1	0.316	36	163°	178		154.8
MgS	B1	2.6	0.316	36	80 ^t	85	72.2	76.6
CaO	B1	2.4	0.316	36	114º	112		98.8
SrO	B1	2.58	0.316	36	88ª	87		78.7
BaO	B1	2.77	0.316	36	69 ⁿ	68		62.5
ZnO	B4	1.97	0.474	16	139ª	134		141
ZnS	B3	2.34	0.474	16	75	74	82.8	76.6
ZnSe	B3	2.45	0.474	16	62ª	63	69.3	66.0
ZnTe	B3	2.64	0.474	16	51ª	49	55.6	53.4
CdS	B4	2.52	0.474	16	62ª	57	62.6	60.7
CdSe	B4	2.62	0.474	16	56ª	50	54.4	53.7
CdTe	B3	2.81	0.474	16	42ª	39	42.9	44.0
HeSe	B3	2.63	0.474	16	49ª	49	55.1	53.0
HgTe	B3	2.78	0.474	16	44 ^d	41	46.1	44.6
MnO	B1	2.22	0.316	36	147i	147		
FeO	B1	2.17	0.316	36	174 ⁱ	159		
°Ref. 3, [⊾] Re	ef. 30, ^c Ref. 3	1, ^d Ref. 4	, ° Ref. 32,	^r Ref. 33	3, ^₀ Ref. 34	, [⊾] Ref. 1	6, ' Ref. 35	, ⁱ Ref. 36.

Thus far, a uniform empirical bulk modulus model for both $A^{\rm N}B^{\rm 8-N}$ type and A_nB_m type covalent crystals is formulated.

Ionic crystals. In examining the bulk moduli for I-VII rocksalt ionic crystals, Cohen gave an approximate scaling, $B = 550d^{-3}$, which differs from that for diamond- and zincblende-structured covalent crystals in the prefactor and power-law index². We use Eqn. 1 to fit the experimental bulk moduli of rocksalt ionic crystals²³, using fixed *l* (0.914) and *k* (1.485). The power-law index *m* determined here (-3.43) is very close to the previous value (-3.46). For simplicity, an identical value of -3.46 is used, and the empirical bulk modulus formula for rocksalt-structured ionic crystals is deduced as

$$B(\text{GPa}) = 25.4p^{0.914}d^{-3.46}\exp(1.485n_{AB}).$$
 (4)

We apply Eqn. 4 to cesium halides with CsCl-structure and produce bulk moduli in great consistent with experimental $ones^{23}$, as listed in Table 4.

Now we consider ionic crystals, such as Li_2O , CaF_2 , SrF_2 , and BaF_2 , which possess one type of chemical bond and two distinct coordination numbers. At first glance, the bulk modulus for these crystals should be calculated in the same way as for A_nB_m type covalent

crystals by introducing $\Lambda^{0.37}$ term into Eqn. 4. However, the calculated bulk moduli are systematically smaller than experimental values except for $Li_2O^{22,44}$. The ratios of B_{cal} to B_{exp} for CaF₂, SrF₂, and BaF₂ are approximately the same, indicating a common factor we may miss in the bulk modulus formula for ionic crystals. We note an obvious difference in cationic charge state of Li (+1) and alkaliearth metal atoms (+2) in these crystals. The valence electrons in ionic crystals, unlike those in covalent crystals distributed on the bond, are transferred from cations to anions, which weakens the resistance to compression and results in smaller bulk modulus. It is plausible that cations with higher charge state have a stronger tendency to pull the valence electrons back to the bond region, thus improve the resistibility of chemical bonds to compression and increase the bulk modulus. Therefore, we introduce an additional term, Q', to Eqn. 4 to account for such effect, where Q is the cationic charge state and r is the power-law index determined to be 0.58 from CaF₂, SrF₂, and BaF₂ data. The bulk modulus formula for ionic crystals can be defined as

$$B(\text{GPa}) = 25.4p^{0.914}d^{-3.46}\Lambda^{0.37}Q^{0.58}\exp(1.485n_{AB}).$$
 (5)

The key parameters, experimental and calculated bulk moduli are listed in Table 4 for selected ionic crystals. Again, a great consistency is achieved between the calculated bulk moduli and the experimental ones.

Multi-bond crystals. Up to now, we have formulated the bulk modulus for simple polar covalent crystals with parameters deduced from the crystal structure. The generalization of our bulk modulus model to complex multi-bond crystals is straightforward, since all the parameters used in our model are directly correlated to the chemical bond and can easily be applied to complex multi-bond crystals. The only issue is how to weight the contributions to bulk modulus from individual constitutional chemical bonds. Bulk modulus for a multicomponent material can be attributed to the contributions from individual components. From the definition of bulk modulus, B = -VdP/dV, we get

$$\frac{1}{B} = -\frac{dV}{VdP} = -\frac{\sum_{\mu} dV_{\mu}}{VdP} = \frac{\sum_{\mu} V_{\mu} \left(-dV_{\mu}/V_{\mu}dP\right)}{V}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{\mu} V_{\mu}/B_{\mu}}{V} = \sum_{\mu} v_{\mu}/B_{\mu},$$
(6)

where B_{μ} and $v_{\mu} = V_{\mu}/V$ are the bulk modulus and volume fraction of the μ component, respectively. For a multi-bond crystal, B_{μ} can be determined by using previous equations, and the volume fraction of

distinct chemical bond can be calculated as
$$v_{\mu} = N_{\mu} d_{\mu}^3 / \sum_{\mu} N_{\mu} d_{\mu}^3$$
,

where d_{μ} and N_{μ} are the bond length and bond multiplicity of the μ -type bond in the unit cell, respectively. Bulk modulus for a multibond crystal can then be calculated with Eqn. 6.

Key variables and bulk moduli are listed in Table 5 for representative multi-bond crystals^{13,22,45-48}. The global consistency between calculated bulk modulus and experimentally determined value are remarkably good considering the very simple assessment method and the relatively complex structure in multi-bond crystals. Here we calculate the bulk modulus of spinel Fe₃O₄ to show how our model works for multi-bond systems. In Fe₃O₄, all oxygen atoms are 4-coordinated, while one third of Fe atoms, Fe1, are 4-coordinated and the other two thirds of Fe atoms, Fe2, are 6-coordinated. The bond multiplicities of O-Fe1 and O-Fe2 bonds are 4 and 12, respectively. B_{μ} for O-Fe1 and O-Fe2 components determined from Eqn. 3 are 144 and 188 GPa, respectively. Using Eqn. 6, the bulk modulus of spinel Fe₃O₄ is calculated to be 177 GPa, in good consistent with the experimental value of 187 GPa¹³. Also shown in





Figure 2 | Calculated bulk moduli from different models versus the experimental values for simple $A^{N}B^{s\cdot N}$ type covalent crystals. The inset shows magnified image between 30 and 135 GPa.

Table 5 are the theoretically proposed β -C₃N₄⁴⁶ and z-BC₂N⁴⁷ structures. The calculated bulk moduli for these structures with Eqn. 3 and 6 are consistent with the values from first-principles calculations, indicating the prediction power of our simple semi-empirical model.

Discussion

We can rewrite Eqn. 5 as

$$B(\text{GPa}) = 54.7p^{0.914}d^{-3.46}\Lambda^{0.37}Q_{ionic}\exp(1.485n_{AB}), \qquad (7)$$

where $Q_{ionic} = 0.464Q^{0.58}$ (Q is the cationic charge state) is an ionic term which need to be considered for ionic crystals. We thus formulate our empirical bulk modulus for polar crystals with Eqn. 7 and 6. In Figure 3, bulk moduli from first principles calculations and our empirical model are compared with respect to the experimental values for some $A^{N}B^{8\cdot N}$ type covalent crystals. Obviously, the accuracy of our model is comparable to LDA-U results and better than LDA-N, GGA-U, and GGA-N results. The advantage of our empirical model is obvious for saving a lot of calculation endeavor compared with these first-principles calculation methods.

It is interesting to further test our model to some geophysical interested materials. These materials usually have complex crystal structures and demonstrate polymorphism, which are difficult to be

Table 2 Bulk moduli determined from experiments (ab initio cal-
culations for BSb) and Eqn. 2 for zincblende-structured BAs and
BSb, rocksalt-structured PbS, PbTe, and AgCl

Crystal	Stucture	d	n _{AB}	р	B _{Exp}	B Cal
BAs	B3	2.07	0.643	16	148°	145
BSb	B3	2.22	0.643	16	116 ^b	114
PbS	B1	2.97	0.316	36	50°	54
PbTe	B1	3.23	0.316	36	38 ^d	40
AgCl	B1	2.89	0.165	36	47°	47
∘Ref. 37, [⊾] Ref	f. 38, ° Ref. 39, ^d R	ef. 40, ° Ref.	41.			

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS | 3 : 3068 | DOI: 10.1038/srep03068

treated even with state of the art calculation methods. In Table 6 we list results for polymorphous Mg₂SiO₄, i.e. forsterite (α)⁴⁹, wadsleyite $(\beta)^{50}$, and ringwoodite (γ) Mg₂SiO₄⁵¹, based on our model. These materials are the main constituents of the middle and lower crust as well as upper mantle of the earth. The knowledge of the elastic properties of them is of great importance to understand earth's seismic properties. Our bulk modulus estimations, as listed in Table 6, clearly reflex the relative values of different phases of Mg₂SiO₄ with an acceptable accuracy. We compare the bulk moduli of α -, β -, and γ -Mg₂SiO₄ from first principles calculations and our empirical model with respect to the experimental values (See the inset to Figure 3). Although the empirical model estimates bulk modulus with accuracy inferior to those from first principles calculations, the divergence is in the range of experimental error. Considering the simple formula of our model, it can thus be used to quickly estimate bulk modulus for complex crystals.

Some cautions must be exercised during applying our bulk modulus model. First, three dimensional bond network with clearly defined bonds are needed since our model is based on the partitioning of bulk modulus into individual bonds. Our empirical model thus

Table 3 Parameters as well as experimental and calculated bulk
moduli for A _n B _m covalent crystals

Crystal	Stucture	d	n _{AB}	р	Λ	B_{Exp}	B Cal, 1	B Cal, 2
Ag ₂ O	C3	2.044	0.474	8	2	84ª	79	81
Cu ₂ O	C3	1.85	0.474	8	2	112°	111	114
ZrO_2	C1	2.195	0.474	32	2	235 [⊾]	223	222
TiO ₂	C4	1.96	0.596	18	2	224°	233	235
SnO_2	C4	2.05	0.596	18	2	205 ^d	200	201
GeO ₂	C4	1.878	0.596	18	2	258°	269	271
Al_2O_3	D51	1.915	0.474	24	1.5	252°	253	246
Fe_2O_3	D51	2.025	0.474	24	1.5	207°	209	203
°Ref. 22, [⊾] R	Ref. 42, ° Ref.	13, ^d Ref. 4	3, ° Ref. 17.					

Ĭ,

Table 4 | Parameters as well as experimental and calculated bulk moduli for ionic crystals

Crystal	Stucture	d	n _{AB}	р	B_{Exp}	B _{Cal}
LiF	B1	2.01	0.165	36	76.4ª	76.7
LiCl	B1	2.57	0.165	36	32.9°	32.8
LiBr	B1	2.75	0.165	36	26.0°	25.9
Lil	B1	3.01	0.165	36	19.3°	19.0
NaF	B1	2.31	0.165	36	47.1°	47.4
NaCl	B1	2.82	0.165	36	23.9°	23.8
NaBr	B1	2.99	0.165	36	19.6°	19.4
Nal	B1	3.24	0.165	36	14.9°	14.7
KF	B1	2.67	0.165	36	28.7°	28.7
KCl	B1	3.15	0.165	36	16.5°	16.2
KBr	B1	3.3	0.165	36	13.9°	13.8
KI	B1	3.53	0.165	36	11.1ª	10.9
RbF	B1	2.83	0.165	36	24.1°	23.5
RbCl	B1	3.29	0.165	36	14.0°	13.9
RbBr	B1	3.44	0.165	36	12.0°	12.0
Rbl	B1	3.67	0.165	36	9.6ª	9.6
CsF	B1	3.00	0.165	36	19.3°	19.2
CsCl	B2	3.57	0.123	64	17.6°	16.7
CsBr	B2	3.72	0.123	64	15.4°	14.5
Csl	B2	3.96	0.123	64	12.5°	11.7
Li ₂ O	C1	2.01	0.237	32	88 [⊾]	100
CaF ₂	C1	2.365	0.247	32	84.0°	85.6
SrF ₂	C1	2.5	0.247	32	71.3°	70.7
BaF ₂	C1	2.683	0.247	32	55.5°	55.3
°Ref 23, [⊾] Ref. 2	2, ° Ref. 44.					

does not work for transition metal (TM) borides where the chemical bonds between TM atoms and boron atoms are not well defined. Second, our model cannot be applied to crystals such as α -SiO₂ and anatase TiO₂ with an open-packed crystal structure. In these structures, bonds may not deform iso-structurally under compression: bond bending and/or non-centrosymmetric forces play important

roles¹³. These structures can be discriminated by the normalized bond volume (v). As shown in Figure 1, v for α -SiO₂ and anatase TiO₂ are obviously shifted away from the fitting straight line, indicating an open-packed crystal structure. Third, we note substantial deviations between the calculated bulk moduli from our model and experimental values for TM carbides and nitrides. Unlike TM oxides which are dominated with ionic character, TM carbides and nitrides are characterized with covalent bonds. The difficulty to make an effective assessment for TM carbides and nitrides mainly arises from this covalent character, with additional complexity from the diverse experimental values reported even for the same material. It is well known that the bond strength of *s*-*p*-*d* hybridized chemical bond is greater than that of s-p hybridized chemical bond⁵². In addition, felectrons would push out the spatial extent of the valence *d*-orbitals, allow *d*-electrons to make stronger directional bonds, and enhance bulk modulus⁵³. Both effects are not easy to quantify within our simple model. Finally, it should be noted that our microscopic model is deduced based on the experimental bulk modulus data obtained at room temperature. Accordingly, our model works at room temperature and the temperature effect on bulk modulus is out of the scope of current work^{54,55}.

To end this paper, we emphasize that our model only need input variables which can be directly deduced from the crystal structure, providing us a general yet powerful tool for bulk modulus evaluation. Pivotal parameters determining bulk modulus are clearly revealed from our model: Short bond length, high EBVE number, and large coordination number are preferred for achieving high bulk modulus. Moreover, the contributions from individual chemical bonds to bulk modulus can readily be partitioned in our model, which is important for design of materials with low compressibility.

Methods

During compression, it is the disturbed valence electrons that determine the bulk modulus. To establish an effective quantification model, we must find a practical way to estimate the population of these electrons. Considering two atoms, A and B, forming a bond in a crystal, the valence electrons are Z_A and Z_B with coordination numbers of N_A and N_B , respectively. The EBVE number, n_{AB} , of A-B bond in terms of n_A and n_B as

Crystal	Bond	N,	d	n _{AB}	р	Λ	В.,	BCal	BEXD
, ,	5.0	μ	1.007	0.474	1	1	μ 1.4.4	170	1070
Fe ₃ O ₄	Fe-O	4	1.927	0.4/4	16	1	144	1/8	18/4
6 T O	Fe-O	12	2.073	0.4/4	24	1.5	188	1.47	174
SrIIO ₃	1-0	0	1.95	0.555	30	I	327	14/	1745
	Sr-O	12	2.76	0.165	/2	2	134		
MgAl ₂ O ₄	Mg-O	4	1.88	0.4/4	16	I	15/	210	202ª
	Al-O	12	1.95	0.474	24	1.5	233		
β-Si ₃ N ₄	Si-N	6	1.73	0.707	12	1.333	252.9	251	250°
	Si-N	6	1.728	0.707	12	1.333	253.9		
	Si-N	6	1.704	0.707	12	1.333	266.5		
	Si-N	6	1.767	0.707	12	1.333	235		
c-Si₃N₄	Si-N	1	1.78	0.781	16	1	298	308	300°
	Si-N	3	1.88	0.588	24	1.5	311		
β-C₃N₄	C-N	6	1.457	0.707	12	1.333	458	466	451 ^d
	C-N	6	1.447	0.707	12	1.333	469		
	C-N	6	1.449	0.707	12	1.333	467		
	C-N	6	1.447	0.707	12	1.333	469		
z-BC ₂ N	B-C	4	1.559	0.6	16	1	361	411	403°
-	C-C	4	1.527	0.707	16	1	456		
	C-C	8	1.537	0.707	16	1	445		
	B-N	8	1.546	0.643	16	1	397		
	B-N	4	1.579	0.643	16	1	369		
	C-N	4	1.542	0.781	16	1	491		
SiO2	Si-O	4	1.76	0.596	18	2	340	329	314 ^f
<u>-</u>	Si-O	2	1 808	0.596	18	2	310		





Figure 3 | Comparison of bulk moduli deduced from first-principles calculations and our empirical models with respect to the experimental values. The inset shows the corresponding results of α -, β -, and γ -Mg₂SiO₄.

$$n_{AB} = \frac{n_A n_B}{\sqrt{n_A^2 + n_B^2}},$$

where $n_A = Z_A/N_A$ and $n_B = Z_B/N_B$ are the nominal valence electrons contributed to A-B bond. The EBVE numbers of diamond (0.707) and NaCl (0.163) are in good consistent with the Mulliken overlap population from first-principles calculations. It should be pointed out that lone pair electrons must be excluded during calculations of the nominal valence electrons contributed to A-B bond. For example, in rutile TiO₂, oxygen atom is 3-coordinated with two electrons forming a lone pair (not participating bonding). n_0 is thus 4/3 instead of 2.

For the first-principles calculations, crystal structural relaxations and property calculations were performed using density functional theory within both

generalized gradient approximation (GGA) and local density approximation (LDA) as implemented in the CASTEP code⁵⁶. Both ultrasoft (U) and norm-conserving (N) pseudopotentials were employed in the calculations. *k*-point samplings in the Brillouin zone were chosen by using the Monkhorst-Pack scheme with a resolution of $2\pi \times 0.04$ Å. The elastic constants, C_{ij} , were calculated theoretically based on the stress and strain relation (Hooke's law), then the bulk moduli for polycrystals were estimated using the Voigt-Reuss-Hill approximation which is the arithmetic average of the upper (Voigt) and lower (Reuss) bounds for the actual macroscopic effective elastic constants⁶. The calculated bulk moduli are labeled with GGA-U, GGA-N, LDA-U, and LDA-N according to the selected electron-electron exchange functional and pseudopotential.

Crystal	Bond	N_{μ}	d	n _{AB}	р	Λ	B_{μ}	B _{Cal}	B_{Exp}
α-Mg₂SiO₄	Mg-O	16	2.131	0.325	24	1.5	137	153	129°
	Mg-O	16	2.084	0.325	24	1.5	148		
	Mg-O	16	2.068	0.325	24	1.5	152		
	Mg-O	16	2.065	0.325	24	1.5	152		
	Mg-O	16	2.21	0.325	24	1.5	121		
	Mg-O	8	2.177	0.325	24	1.5	127		
	Mg-O	8	2.045	0.325	24	1.5	158		
	Si-O	16	1.637	0.832	16	1	431		
	Si-O	8	1.613	0.832	16	1	453		
	Si-O	8	1.655	0.832	16	1	415		
3-Mg ₂ SiO ₄	Mg-O	16	2.046	0.325	24	1.5	158	163	172 ^k
•	Mg-O	8	2.115	0.325	24	1.5	141		
	Mg-O	16	2.093	0.325	24	1.5	146		
	Mg-O	4	2.095	0.325	24	1.5	146		
	Mg-O	4	2.035	0.325	24	1.5	161		
	Mg-O	16	2.123	0.325	24	1.5	139		
	Mg-O	16	2.128	0.325	24	1.5	138		
	Mg-O	16	2.016	0.325	24	1.5	166		
	Si-O	8	1.638	0.832	16	1	430		
	Si-O	16	1.632	0.832	16	1	436		
	Si-O	8	1.701	0.832	16	1	378		
γ-Mg ₂ SiO ₄	Mg-O	96	2.066	0.325	24	1.5	153	168	185 ^b
-	Si-O	32	1.665	0.832	16	1	407		

- 1. Tian, Y., Xu, B. & Zhao, Z. Microscopic theory of hardness and design of novel superhard crystals. Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 33, 93-106 (2012).
- Cohen, M. L. Calculation of bulk moduli of diamond and zincblende solids. Phys. Rev. B 32, 7988-7991 (1985).
- Kamran, S., Chen, K. & Chen, L. Semiempirical formulae for elastic moduli and brittleness of diamondlike and zinc-blende covalent crystals. Phys. Rev. B 77, 094109 (2008).
- 4. Li, K., Ding, Z. & Xue, D. Electronegativity-related bulk moduli of crystal materials. Phys. Status Solidi B 248, 1227-1236 (2011).
- Haines, J., Leger, J. M. & Bocquillon, G. Synthesis and design of superhard materials. Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 31, 1-23 (2001).
- Wu, Z. J. et al. Crystal structures and elastic properties of superhard IrN₂ and IrN₃ 6. from first principles. Phys. Rev. B 76, 054115 (2007).
- 7. Murnaghan, F. D. The compressibility of media under extreme pressures. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 30, 244-247 (1944).
- 8. Birch, F. Finite elastic strain of cubic crystals. Phys. Rev. 71, 809-824 (1947).
- Cohen, M. L. The theory of real materials. Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 30, 1-26 (2000).
- 10. Bridgman, P. W. The compressibility of thirty metals as a function of pressure and temperature. Proc. Am. Acad. Arts Sci. 58, 165-242 (1923).
- 11. Anderson, O. L. & Nafe, J. E. Bulk modulus-volume relationship for oxide compounds and related geophysical problems. J. Geophys. Res. 70, 3951-3963 (1965).
- 12. Anderson, D. L. A seismic equation of state. Geophys. J. R. Astro. Soc. 13, 9-30 (1967).
- 13. Anderson, D. L. & Anderson, O. L. Bulk modulus-volume relationship for oxides. J. Geophys. Res. 75, 3494-3500 (1970).
- 14. Jayaraman, A., Batlogg, B., Maines, R. G. & Bach, H. Effective ionic charge and bulk modulus scaling in rocksalt-structured rare-earth compounds. Phys. Rev. B 26, 3347-3351 (1982).
- 15. Neumann, H. Bulk modulus-volume-ionicity relationship in tetrahedrally bonded semiconductors. Cryst. Res. Technol. 22, 99-104 (1987).
- 16. Hazen, R. M. & Finger, L. W. Bulk modulus-volume relationship for cation-anion polyhedra. J. Geophys. Res. 84, 6723-6728 (1979).
- 17. Hazen, R. M. & Finger, L. W. Bulk moduli and high-pressure crystal-structures of rutile-type compounds. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 42, 143-151 (1981).
- 18. Verma, A. S. Elastic moduli and brittleness of diamondlike and zinc blende structured solids. Mater. Chem. Phys. 135, 106-111 (2012).
- 19. Al-Douri, Y., Abid, H. & Aourag, H. Correlation between the bulk modulus and the transition pressure in semiconductors. Mater. Lett. 59, 2032-2034 (2005).
- 20. Deus, P. & Schneider, H. A. A simple estimation of the bulk module of ternary chalcopyrite semiconducting compounds by means of the Debye characteristic temperature. Cryst. Res. Technol. 20, 867-869 (1985).
- 21. Kumar, V., Shrivastava, A. K. & Jha, V. Bulk modulus and microhardness of tetrahedral semiconductors. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 71, 1513-1520 (2010).
- 22. Otero-de-la-Roza, A. & Luaña, V. Topological partition of the elastic constants of crystals. J. Phys. Chem. A 115, 12953-12961 (2011).
- 23. Narain, S. Analysis of the Debye temperature for A^NB^{8-N} type ionic and partially covalent crystals. Phys. Status Solidi B 182, 273-278 (1994).
- 24. Verma, A. S. An empirical model for bulk modulus and cohesive energy of rocksalt-, zincblende- and chalcopyrite-structured solids. Phys. Status Solidi B 246, 345-353 (2009).
- 25. Guo, X. et al. Unbinding force of chemical bonds and tensile strength in strong crystals. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 485405 (2009)
- 26. Xu, B., Guo, X. & Tian, Y. in Graphene simulation (ed Jianru Gong) Ch. 11, 211-226 (InTech, 2011).
- 27. Levine, B. F. Bond susceptibilities and ionicities in complex crystal-structures. J. Chem. Phys. 59, 1463-1486 (1973).
- 28. Levenberg, K. A method for the solution of certain non-linear problems in least squares. Q. Appl. Math. 2, 164-168 (1944).
- 29. Marquardt, D. W. An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 11, 431-441 (1963)
- 30. Kamioka, H. Temperature variations of elastic moduli up to eutectic temperature in tin-bismuth alloys. Japan J. Appl. Phys. 22, 1805-1809 (1983).
- 31. Bentle, G. G. Elastic constants of single-crystal BeO at room temperature. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 49, 125-128 (1966).
- 32. Sinogeikin, S. V. & Bass, J. D. Single-crystal elasticity of MgO at high pressure. Phys. Rev. B 59, R14141-R14144 (1999).
- 33. Van Camp, P. E., Van Doren, V. E. & Martins, J. L. High pressure properties of the alkaline-earth sulphides. Phys. Status Solidi B 190, 193-197 (1995).
- 34. Bartels, R. A. & Vetter, V. H. The temperature dependence of the elastic constants of CaO and SrO. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 33, 1991-1992 (1972).
- 35. Ves, S., Schwarz, U., Christensen, N. E., Syassen, K. & Cardona, M. Cubic ZnS under pressure: Optical-absorption edge, phase transition, and calculated equation of state. Phys. Rev. B 42, 9113-9118 (1990).

- 36. Sirdeshmukh, D. B. & Subhadra, K. G. Bulk modulus-volume relationship for some crystals with a rock salt structure. J. Appl. Phys. 59, 276 (1986).
- 37. Greene, R. G., Luo, H., Ruoff, A. L., Trail, S. S. & DiSalvo, F. J., Jr. Pressure induced metastable amorphization of BAs: Evidence for a kinetically frustrated phase transformation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2476-2479 (1994).
- 38. Ferhat, M., Bouhafs, B., Zaoui, A. & Aourag, H. First-principles study of structural and electronic properties of BSb. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10, 7995-8006 (1998).
- 39. Peresada, G. I., Ponyatovskii, E. G. & Sokolovskaya, Z. D. Pressure dependence of the elastic constants of PbS. Phys. Status Solidi A 35, K177-K180 (1976).
- 40. Miller, A. J., Saunders, G. A. & Yogurtcu, Y. K. Pressure dependences of the elastic constants of PbTe, SnTe and Ge_{0.08}Sn_{0.92}Te. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 14, 1569-1584 (1981).
- 41. Hull, S. & Keen, D. A. Pressure-induced phase transitions in AgCl, AgBr, and AgI. Phys. Rev. B 59, 750-761 (1999).
- 42. Namavar, F. et al. Thermal stability of nanostructurally stabilized zirconium oxide. Nanotechnology 18, 415702 (2007).
- 43. Haines, J. & Leger, J. M. X-ray diffraction study of the phase transitions and structural evolution of tin dioxide at high pressure: Relationships between structure types and implications for other rutile-type dioxides. Phys. Rev. B 55, 11144-11154 (1997).
- 44. White, G. K. Thermal expansion at low temperatures of the alkaline earth fluorides and PbF₂. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 13, 4905-4913 (1980).
- 45. Zerr, A. et al. Synthesis of cubic silicone nitride. Nature 400, 340-342 (1999). 46. Teter, D. M. & Hemley, R. J. Low-compressibility carbon nitrides. Science 271, 53-55 (1996)
- 47. Zhou, X.-F. et al. Most likely phase of superhard BC2N by ab initio calculations. Phys. Rev. B 76, 100101 (2007).
- 48. Ross, N. L., Shu, J. & Hazen, R. M. High-pressure crystal chemistry of stishovite. Am. Mineral. 75, 739-747 (1990).
- 49. Isaak, D. G., Anderson, O. L., Goto, T. & Suzuki, I. Elasticity of single-crystal forsterite measured to 1700 K. J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth 94, 5895-5906 (1989).
- 50. Li, B., Liebermann, R. C. & Weidner, D. J. Elastic moduli of wadsleyite (β-Mg₂SiO₄) to 7 gigapascals and 873 kelvin. Science 281, 675-677 (1998).
- 51. Jackson, J. M., Sinogeikin, S. V. & Bass, J. D. Sound velocities and elastic properties of γ-Mg₂SiO₄ to 873 K by Brillouin spectroscopy. Am. Mineral. 85, 296-303 (2000)
- 52. Pauling, L. The nature of the chemical bond and the structure of molecules and crystals; an introduction to modern structural chemistry. (Cornell University Press, 1960).
- 53. Grossman, J. C., Mizel, A., Côté, M., Cohen, M. L. & Louie, S. G. Transition metals and their carbides and nitrides: Trends in electronic and structural properties. Phys. Rev. B 60, 6343-6347 (1999).
- 54. Garai, J. & Laugier, A. The temperature dependence of the isothermal bulk modulus at 1 bar pressure. J. Appl. Phys. 101, 023514-023514 (2007).
- 55. Anderson, O. L. Derivation of Wachtman's equation for the temperature dependence of elastic moduli of oxide compounds. Phys. Rev. 144, 553-557 (1966).
- 56. Clark, S. J. et al. First principles methods using CASTEP. Z. Kristallogr. 220, 567-570 (2005).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NBRPC (Grant No. 2011CB808205), NSFC (Grants No. 51332005, No. 51121061).

Author contributions

B.X. and Y.J.T. designed and coordinated the overall study. Q.Q.W. performed the first principles calculations. B.X. and Y.J.T. analyzed all data and wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the discussion of the results.

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Xu, B., Wang, Q. & Tian, Y. Bulk modulus for polar covalent crystals. Sci. Rep. 3, 3068; DOI:10.1038/srep03068 (2013).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Anticology NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported license. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bv-nc-sa/3.0