Research article

Open Access

Dibenzazecine compounds with a novel dopamine/5HT_{2A} receptor profile and 3D-QSAR analysis

Alexandra Hamacher¹, Mathias Weigt¹, Michael Wiese¹, Barbara Hoefgen¹, Jochen Lehmann² and Matthias U Kassack^{*1}

Address: ¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Institute of Pharmacy, University of Bonn, An der Immenburg 4, 53121 Bonn, Germany and ²Institute of Pharmacy, University of Jena, Philosophenweg 14, 07743 Jena, Germany

Email: Alexandra Hamacher - alexhamacher@web.de; Mathias Weigt - m.weigt@uni-bonn.de; Michael Wiese - m.wiese@uni-bonn.de; Barbara Hoefgen - b.hoefgen@gmx.de; Jochen Lehmann - j.lehmann@uni-jena.de; Matthias U Kassack* - kassack@uni-bonn.de * Corresponding author

Published: 15 September 2006

BMC Pharmacology 2006, 6:11 doi:10.1186/1471-2210-6-11

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2210/6/11

© 2006 Hamacher et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 24 April 2006 Accepted: 15 September 2006

Abstract

Background: Antipsychotics are divided into typical and atypical compounds based on clinical efficacy and side effects. The purpose of this study was to characterize *in vitro* a series of novel azecine-type compounds at human dopamine D_1 - D_5 and $5HT_{2A}$ receptors and to assign them to different classes according to their dopamine/ $5HT_{2A}$ receptor profile.

Results: Regardless of using **affinity** data (pK_i values at D_1 - D_5 and $5HT_{2A}$) or **selectivity** data (15 log (K_i ratios)), principal component analysis with azecine-type compounds, haloperidol, and clozapine revealed three groups of dopamine/ $5HT_{2A}$ ligands: 1) haloperidol; 2) clozapine plus four azecine-type compounds; 3) two hydroxylated dibenzazecines. Reducing the number of K_i ratios used for principal component analysis from 15 to two (the D_1/D_2 and $D_2/5HT_{2A}$ K_i ratios) obtained the same three groups of compounds. The most potent dibenzazecine clustering in the same group as clozapine was the non-hydroxylated LE410 which shows a slightly different D_2 -like receptor profile ($D_{2L} > D_3 > D_{4.4}$) than clozapine ($D_{4.4} > D_{2L} > D_3$). The monohydroxylated dibenzacezine LE404 clusters in a separate group from clozapine/LE410 and from haloperidol and shows increased D_1 selectivity.

Conclusion: In conclusion, two compounds with a novel dopamine/ $5HT_{2A}$ receptor profile, LE404 and LE410, with some differences in their respective D_1/D_2 receptor affinities including a validated pharmacophore-based 3D-QSAR model for D_1 antagonists are presented.

Background

Dopamine is an important neurotransmitter in the mammalian CNS which has influence on physiological, behavioural and neuroendocrine functions, mediated through receptors on the cell surface. Five different dopamine receptor subtypes have been cloned and characterized. They belong to the super-family of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) and can be divided into two subfamilies, D₁-like (D₁, D₅) and D₂-like (D₂, D₃, D₄) receptors, according to their sequence homologies, biochemical properties, and pharmacologic profiles [1]. D₁-like receptor stimulation activates adenylyl cyclase (AC) via coupling to stimulatory G protein $G\alpha_s/G\alpha_{olf}$ subunits leading to an increase in intracellular cAMP concentrations. In contrast, D₂-like receptors are $G\alpha_i/G\alpha_o$ linked and inhibit AC activity [2]. Dopamine receptors are clinically important drug targets for the treatment of disorders such as Parkinson's disease and schizophrenia [3]. Blockade of dopamine D₂ receptors is the main feature of antipsychotic action. Typical antipsychotics like the first generation D₂ receptor antagonists haloperidol or chlorpromazine can cause therapy-limiting extrapyramidalmotor side effects (EPS). Second generation (atypical) antipsychotics are serotonin/dopamine antagonists with no or low EPS at doses showing antipsychotic activity and have significantly greater affinity for 5HT_{2A} than for D₂ receptors [4]. This serotonin-dopamine ratio could contribute to atypicality [5-7] but further investigations are needed to define the precise mechanism of atypical antipsychotics. However, antipsychotic activity is not only the result of D₂ and 5HT_{2A} receptor blockade but an inhibitory/modulating effect on various dopamine and serotonin $(D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4, 5HT_{1A}, 5HT_{1D}, 5HT_{2A}, 5HT_{2C})$ and further receptors [8]. Within the heterogeneous group of atypical antipsychotics, only clozapine exhibits effects against treatment-resistant schizophrenia [9]. Responsible for this net effect among atypical antipsychotics may be the moderate affinity of clozapine at various receptor subtypes, especially at D₁-receptors. A dysfunction in D₁receptor modulation in the prefrontal cortex contributes to the negative symptoms and cognitive deficits observed in schizophrenia. However, selective D₁ antagonism alone has not turned out as an effective antipsychotic principle [9,10].

LE300, an indolobenzacezine (figure 1) has previously been characterized [11] and shows a binding profile similar to that of clozapine, however with a greater affinity for D₁- than D₂-like receptors. A series of LE300-derived compounds was recently synthesized and screened at dopamine D_1 , D_{21} , and D_5 receptors by a previously published functional calcium assay [12,13]. The aim of the current study was to investigate the comprehensive binding and functional receptor profile of the most active of the dibenzazecine derivatives of LE300 (LE400, LE401, LE403, LE404, LE410, and LE420, figure 1) at all human dopamine and 5HT_{2A} receptors, to test whether data from the calcium assay initially used for screening of LE300derived compounds [13] correlate with other assays measuring functional activation of GPCRs (cAMP, [35S]-GTP_yS), and to establish a 3D-QSAR pharmacophore model of these ligands. Heterologous competition binding experiments were carried out at recombinantly expressed human dopamine and 5HT_{2A} receptors, and obtained data were compared with functional data from intracellular [cAMP] and [Ca²⁺] measurements and [³⁵S]-GTP_yS-binding. Indeed, dibenzazecine compounds with a previously not available receptor profile (increased antagonist activity at D_1 -like and $5HT_{2A}$ receptors) were found.

3D-QSAR studies were performed resulting in QSAR models allowing further rational ligand design at a molecular level.

Results

Receptor expression and characterization

Homologous radioligand competition binding experiments were performed to determine the receptor expression levels (B_{max}) and binding affinities (K_d) of the used radioligands. Average B_{max} and K_d values for each receptor are shown in table 1. All K_d values except for 5HT_{2A} receptors were 3-6-fold higher than those found in the literature (table 1, [14-17]). This effect could be attributed to the use of isotonic Krebs-HEPES-buffer pH 7.4 in this study instead of the widely used TRIS-HCl buffer pH 7.4 in the literature. Figure 2 shows as an example the bufferdependent inhibition by LE300 of [3H]SCH23390 binding to D₁ receptor membranes. Using Krebs-HEPES instead of TRIS-HCl buffer yielded ~4-fold higher Ki values of LE300 (figure 2) but allowed a better comparison of functional and binding data. A buffer-dependent change of affinity was also observed with the test compounds. However, the K_d ratios among the receptor subtypes using Krebs-HEPES buffer were equal to literature data using TRIS-HCl (not shown).

Radioligand binding studies

Binding affinities of the compounds LE300, LE400, LE401, LE403, LE404, LE410, and LE420 (figure 1) were estimated at recombinant dopamine and $5HT_{2A}$ receptors in cell membrane preparations. Further compounds used for 3D-QSAR analysis of D₁ receptor ligands (AHAD11, B157, LERU301, SH3, figure 1) were tested at D₁ receptors only due to limited availability. For the sake of comparison, haloperidol as a classical antipsychotic, clozapine as an atypical antipsychotic, and LE300 were included as reference compounds. Figure 3 shows the radioligand displacement curves of the most potent hD₁ and hD_{2L} ligands LE404 and LE410 at hD₁ (A), hD_{2L} (B), and 5HT_{2A} (C) receptors. p*K_i* values are displayed in table 2 (LE300, LE400, LE401, LE403, LE404, LE410, and LE420) and table 3 (AHAD11, B157, LERU301, SH3).

All compounds showed similar affinities at hD1 and hD5 receptors. The mono-hydroxylated LE404 turned out as the most potent compound at hD1/hD5 receptors with pKi values of 8.47 and 8.53, respectively, followed by the bis-hydroxylated LE403 which is 3-10-fold less potent than LE404. Replacement of the hydroxy- by methoxy-substituents resulting in LE400 dramatically decreased the affinity at all tested receptors. An increase of the size of the nitrogen substituent (allyl group of LE401) further decreased the affinity at all tested receptors. Except LE400 and LE401, all other compounds possessed up to 33fold (LE403, LE404) higher affinities for D1-like than for D2-



Figure I Structural formulas of the indolobenzazecine LE300, SCH23390, and a series of ten derived compounds.

like receptors (table 2). Among D2-like receptors, all compounds – except LE404 – showed the highest affinity at hD2L and lower affinities at hD3 and hD4.4 receptors similar to the profile of haloperidol at D2-like receptors. However, different to haloperidol which shows a strong D2 over D1 selectivity, LE compounds (except LE400 and LE401) show selectivity for D1 over D2. Removal of the hydroxy-group of LE404 yielding LE410 resulted in a dramatic loss of D1 over D2 selectivity, and left LE410 as the most potent compound at hD2L and hD3 receptors with pKi values of 7.54 and 6.86, respectively. Bioisosteric replacement of one benzene residue in LE410 by thiophene gave LE420 showing a similar receptor profile as LE410 but with reduced affinity at all tested receptors.

Fable 1: Characterization of recombinantly expressed human dopamine and h5HT _{2A} receptors in HEK293 cell membrane
preparations

	K _d	B _{max}	K _{d Literature} a)
Receptor	nM	fmol/mg protein	nM
hD	1.93 ± 0.24	3520 ± 790	0.35
hD ₂₁	0.18 ± 0.02	1641 ± 462	0.06
hD ₃	0.84 ± 0.10	4060 ± 973	0.275
hD₄₄	0.30 ± 0.06	493 ± 83.7	0.09
hD ₅	1.50 ± 0.23	1030 ± 263	0.30
h5HT _{2A}	0.54 ± 0.07	165 ± 84.2	0.91

^{a)} Data taken from [14-17]

 $[^{3}H]$ SCH23390 was used for D₁-like, $[^{3}H]$ spiperone for D₂-like and 5HT_{2A} receptors in homologous competition experiments. Data are mean ± SEM, $n \ge 3$.



Figure 2

Buffer-dependent differences in hD₁ receptor potencies of LE300 in competition binding. Inhibition by LE300 of the binding of 0.2 nM [³H]SCH23390 to hD₁ receptor expressing HEK293 cell membranes using Krebs-HEPES buffer pH 7.4 (\odot) or TRIS-HCl pH 7.4 (\bigcirc), respectively. Hill slopes were not different from unity. Nonspecific binding was determined with 1 μ M SCH23390, and was less than 7%. Data shown are mean ± SEM, n = 3. K_i (Krebs-HEPES): 10.0 ± 1.15 nM; K_i (TRIS-HCl): 2.36 ± 0.13 nM.

LE404 displayed a receptor profile within the D2-like receptors which is unique among the tested LE compounds. Within the D2-like receptors, LE404 reached the highest affinity at hD4.4 (pKi: 7.23), a slightly lower affinity at hD2L (pKi: 7.10), and the lowest affinity at hD3 receptors (pKi: 6.73). The D2-like receptor affinity pattern of LE404 is thus similar to clozapine ($D4.4 \ge D2L > D3$). In contrast to clozapine which appeared ~ equipotent at D1/D2 receptors in all of our test systems, LE404 shows 25fold selectivity for D1 over D2. LE404 displayed higher affinities than LE300 at all dopamine receptors except hD2L where both compounds are ~ equipotent. All compounds except LE401 showed the highest affinities among all tested receptors at 5HT2A. The most potent compound at 5HT2A was LE300 with an affinity in the subnanomolar range followed by LE404 in the low nanomolar range. LE300, LE400, LE403, LE404, LE410, and LE420 achieved Ki-D2i-5HT2A /K selectivity ratios > 7.

Functional studies (cAMP, Ca²⁺ and [35 S]-GTP γ S binding) at hD₁ and hD_{2L} receptors

For functional studies, hD_1 and hD_{2L} receptors were chosen as characteristic representatives of each of the two dopamine receptor subtype groups allowing a comparison of functional and binding data. The inhibition by LE compounds of agonist-induced changes in intracellular [cAMP] and [Ca²⁺] in intact HEK293 cells, and [³⁵S]-GTP γ S binding in HEK293 cell membranes were estimated. Table 4 shows EC₅₀ and IC₅₀ values of standard lig-



Figure 3

Heterologous competition binding curves of LE404 (\blacksquare) and LE410 (\bigcirc) at hD₁ (A), hD_{2L} (B), and 5HT_{2A} (C) receptors. Data shown are the means ± SEM of specific binding of at least four determinations assayed in triplicate. A. 0.2 nM [³H]SCH23390 was used for hD₁ receptors. Nonspecific binding was determined with I μ M LE300. B. 0.1 nM [³H]spiperone was used for hD_{2L} receptors. Nonspecific binding was determined with I μ M haloperidol. C. 0.1 nM [³H]spiperone was used for h5HT_{2A} receptors. Nonspecific binding was determined with I μ M ketanserin.

Compound	рК _i							
	hDı	hD _{2L}	hD ₃	hD _{4.4}	hD ₅	h5HT _{2A}		
Haloperidol	6.55 ± 0.09	8.56 ± 0.05	8.00 ± 0.05	8.10 ± 0.04	7.50 ± 0.06	6.84 ± 0.12		
Clozapine	6.68 ± 0.03	6.60 ± 0.06	6.13 ± 0.05	6.93 ± 0.08	6.50 ± 0.08	8.23 ± 0.07		
LE300	7.98 ± 0.06	7.19 ± 0.04	6.48 ± 0.04	6.46 ± 0.08	7.99 ± 0.05	9.65 ± 0.04		
LE400	5.58 ± 0.16	5.90 ± 0.05	5.28 ± 0.07	4.79 ± 0.06	5.44 ± 0.07	6.86 ± 0.13		
LE401	4.77 ± 0.25	5.06 ± 0.13	4.83 ± 0.16	< 4 ^{a)}	4.79 ± 0.50	< 4 ª)		
LE403	7.94 ± 0.06	6.43 ± 0.07	6.14 ± 0.10	6.26 ± 0.06	7.84 ± 0.05	8.40 ± 0.08		
LE404	8.47 ± 0.10	7.10 ± 0.05	6.73 ± 0.06	7.23 ± 0.03	8.53 ± 0.09	8.79 ± 0.07		
LE410	7.76 ± 0.04	7.54 ± 0.06	6.86 ± 0.07	6.32 ± 0.06	7.78 ± 0.10	8.40 ± 0.10		
LE420	6.89 ± 0.07	6.64 ± 0.05	6.07 ± 0.06	5.83 ± 0.11	6.92 ± 0.04	7.97 ± 0.05		

Table 2:	Characterization of	of comp	ounds by	/ heterolog	zous com	petition binding
abic L.	Characterization	n comp	ounus by	inceer or	gous com	becaution binaning

 $^{a)}$ Displacement of radioligand was < 30% at 10 μM

Haloperidol, clozapine, and LE compounds were characterized at dopamine and h5HT_{2A} receptors. [³H]SCH23390 was used for hD₁-like and [³H]spiperone for hD₂-like and h5HT_{2A} receptors. Displayed are pK_i values ± SEM, n \ge 3.

ands at D1 and D2L receptors. The effects of LE compounds on $[^{35}S]$ -GTP γS binding were determined in the presence of agonist. At hD₁ receptors the full agonist dihydrexidine [18] was used for [35S]-GTPyS binding experiments instead of SKF38393 which was used in cAMP and Ca²⁺ studies. In membrane preparations from HEK293-hD₁ cells, dihydrexidine showed a significantly higher increase in [35S]-GTP_yS binding than SKF38393 (figure 4). Dihydrexidine gave an EC₅₀ of 43.8 ± 8.23 nM (hD₁, figure 4). A difference between dihydrexidine and SKF38393 was not observed in intracellular [Ca²⁺] and [cAMP] measurements (data not shown), and thus SKF38393 was used in Ca^{2+} and cAMP studies. The EC₅₀ of quinpirole at hD_{2L} receptors was estimated as 437 ± 93.1 nM (data not shown). All LE compounds except LE401 showed an inhibition of [35S]-GTP_yS binding between 25 and 40% (not shown).

None of the tested compounds (neither LE compounds nor reference compounds haloperidol or clozapine) showed any agonist effect in functional studies (data not shown). All test compounds inhibited agonist-stimulated effects on intracellular [cAMP] and [Ca²⁺] and on [³⁵S]-GTP γ S binding at D₁ or D_{2L} receptors, respectively, in a concentration-dependent manner. LE400 in Ca²⁺ studies and LE401 in all functional assays achieved \leq 50% inhibitory activity at 10 μ M. Concentration-inhibition curves of the most potent novel compounds at D₁ and D_{2L} receptors, LE404 and LE410, are displayed in figure 5. Apparent

Table 3: Characterization of AHAD11, B157, LERU301, and SH3 at hD_1 receptors used for 3D-QSAR analysis.

		Com	oound	
	AHADII	B157	LERU301	SH3
рК _i (hD _I)	5.82 ± 0.07	6.98 ± 0.05	7.26 ± 0.03	6.17 ± 0.04

Displayed are pK_i values \pm SEM, $n \ge 3$.

functional pK_i values (pK_i_{app}) derived from inhibition experiments of all compounds in cAMP, Ca2+, and [35S]-GTPyS studies are presented in table 5. When comparing pK_i values of one compound from cAMP, Ca^{2+} , and $[^{35}S]$ -GTP γ S studies, differences may occur (e.g., clozapine at D₁ receptors: pK_i (cAMP): 6.46; pK_i ([³⁵S]-GTP γ S): 7.47) but also good accordance was observed (e.g., LE404 at D₁ receptors: pK_i values between 7.95 and 8.20). The rank orders of potency of the tested compounds at D_1 and D_{2L} receptors, respectively, remained similar for the three functional assays: the most potent compound at D₁ receptors in all three functional assays (table 5) and in binding (table 2) is LE404 whereas the weakest compounds are LE400 and LE401. At D_{2L} receptors, LE300, LE410, and LE404 are the most potent compounds after haloperidol whereas again, LE400 and LE401 are the weakest (binding: table 2, functional assays: table 5). LE404 has a 25fold selectivity for D₁ over D_{2L} receptors based on binding (table 2). This D_1 preference was lost in cAMP and [35 S]-GTP γ S experiments (LE404 is ~ equipotent at D₁ and D_{2L}) but a certain D_1 preference (3-fold) was retained in Ca²⁺ studies (table 5). Haloperidol showing a 100-fold D_{2L} over D₁ selectivity in binding (table 2) retained this 100fold D_{2L} selectivity in [35S]-GTPγS experiments but showed an increased D_{2L} selectivity in cAMP and Ca²⁺ studies (> 1000-fold). LE410 which displayed an only moderate D_1 selectivity in binding (~2-fold, table 2) became D_{2L} selective in cAMP and Ca²⁺ studies but was ~ equipotent in $[^{35}S]$ -GTP γS binding. These results show that cAMP and Ca2+ studies uprate the potency of compounds at D_{2L} compared to D_1 receptors (tables 2 and 5).

Statistical comparison of functional and binding data at $D_{\rm I}$ and $D_{\rm 2L}$ receptors

The multiple intercorrelation and thus the equality of the results obtained by binding and the three functional assays at D_1 and D_{2L} receptors, respectively, was determined by principal component analysis (PCA). Results of

		Ago	Agonist		Antagonist		
Assay	Receptor	SKF38393	Quinpirole	LE300	Haloperidol		
[cAMP]	hD	33.0 ± 4.01		123 ± 31.1			
	hD _{2L}		9.61 ± 3.31		1.54 ± 0.39		
[Ca ²⁺]	hD	24.5 ± 4.19		718 ± 168			
	hD _{2L}		8.62 ± 2.66		0.30 ± 0.10		

Table 4: EC₅₀ and IC₅₀ values of reference compounds at D₁ and D_{2L} receptors in functional studies.

Data shown are EC_{50}/IC_{50} values in nM ± SEM, $n \ge 3$.

the PCA comparing the four test systems (factor loadings) are displayed in table 6. The first extracted principal component (PC) for D₁ receptors described 89.8% of the total variance among the four p K_i variables (cAMP, Ca²⁺, [³⁵S]-GTP γ S, and binding) with factor loadings > 0.91 (table 6) leaving an eigenvalue of only 0.237 for the second PC. For D_{2L} receptors, the first extracted PC explained 97.5% of the total variance among the four p K_i variables (factor loadings > 0.98, table 6) leaving an eigenvalue of only 0.050 for the second PC. Following the idea that a PC with an eigenvalue of << 1 has no legitimacy for the description of the total variance [19], the PCA results indicate a significant multiple correlation among the four variables for D₁ and D_{2L} receptors, respectively.

Nature of antagonism of LE compounds at D_1 and D_2 receptors

Next, the nature of antagonism of LE compounds at D_1 and D_{2L} receptors was tested by Clark analysis [20]. Since LE404 was the most potent compound at D_1 and LE410 the most potent at D_{2L} receptors (binding, table 2), LE404



Figure 4

hD₁ receptor stimulation of [35 S]-GTP γ S-binding by dihydrexidine (\bullet) and SKF38393 (\bigcirc). Both agonists were used in HEK293 cell membranes recombinantly expressing hD₁ receptors in the presence of I μ M GDP. Data shown are means ± SEM of at least three experiments.

and LE410 were chosen as representatives to undergo functional analysis for competitive antagonism. In the presence of increasing concentrations of LE404 and LE410, parallel rightward shifts of the agonist concentration-effect curves in the Ca2+ assay were observed without loss of maximum efficacy at hD₁ and hD_{2L} receptors (data not shown). The rightward shift of the concentrationeffect curves of the agonist was analyzed with non-linear regression analysis according to Lew and Angus [20]. Data were fitted to equations (1) and (2) (see methods). An F-Test showed no significant difference (p > 0.2), thus equation (2) with a Hill slope of 1 was the preferred model and used to obtain pK_h values. Results for LE404 at hD_1 and hD₂₁ receptors are presented in figures 6A and 6B. Inserts show the Clark plots (mean log EC₅₀ values of the agonist concentration-effect curves plotted against log ([LE404] + $K_{\rm b}$) which yielded straight lines at both receptor subtypes. pK_b values were calculated as: hD_1 : $pK_{b \text{ LE404}} = 8.09 \pm 0.15$; $pK_{b \text{ LE410}} = 7.69 \pm 0.13$; hD_{2L} : $pK_{b \text{ LE404}} = 7.61 \pm 0.10$; pK_{b} $_{\text{LE410}}$ = 8.05 ± 0.11. pK_b values of LE404 and LE410 derived from non-linear Clark analysis show no significant difference to those derived from Schild analysis [21] (data not shown). Both functional analyses (Schild, Clark) give thus evidence for a competitive antagonistic behaviour of LE404 and LE410 at D_1 and D_{2L} receptors.

Statistical analysis of binding affinities and selectivities at dopamine and $5HT_{2A}$ receptors

In order to perform a statistically valid test for the discovery of ligands with differing affinity profiles at dopamine D_1 - D_5 and 5HT_{2A} receptors among the examined compounds, multiple intercorrelations of binding **affinity** values (pK_i , table 2) as well as binding **selectivity** values [log (K_i ratio) = log (K_i Receptor $_1/K_i$ Receptor $_2$)] were investigated in two separate PCA's. PCA has already successfully been applied to define similar and deviating responses among biological data (variables) [22,23]. LE401 was excluded from both PCA's because precise pK_i values were missing at hD_{4.4} and h5HT_{2A} receptors (table 2). In the first PCA, eight compounds were examined (haloperidol, clozapine, LE300, LE400, LE403, LE404, LE410, and LE420) for their affinity in six test systems (D₁-D₅ and 5HT_{2A} receptors). The PCA resulted in two PC's from which the first

			рК	і арр			
Compound	[cA	MP] _i	[Ca	a ²⁺] _i	[³⁵ S]-GTP	[³⁵ S]-GTPγ S binding	
	hDı	hD _{2L}	hDı	hD _{2L}	hDı	hD _{2L}	
Haloperidol	6.80 ± 0.10	9.88 ± 0.07	6.61 ± 0.09	10.0 ± 0.13	7.10 ± 0.91	9.10 ± 0.07	
Clozapine	6.46 ± 0.05	7.30 ± 0.07	6.54 ± 0.15	6.92 ± 0.11	7.47 ± 0.29	7.48 ± 0.08	
LE300	7.55 ± 0.13	8.73 ± 0.10	7.22 ± 0.15	7.93 ± 0.12	7.75 ± 0.12	8.14 ± 0.11	
LE400	5.35 ± 0.17	6.88 ± 0.09	< 5.00 ^{a)}	< 5.00 ^{a)}	6.25 ± 0.13	6.39 ± 0.14	
LE401	5.00 ± 0.13	< 5.00 ^{a)}	< 5.00 ^{a)}	< 5.00 ^{a)}	< 5.00 ^{a)}	< 5.00 ^{a)}	
LE403	7.02 ± 0.09	7.23 ± 0.12	7.57 ± 0.11	7.14 ± 0.08	7.48 ± 0.12	7.20 ± 0.15	
LE404	7.95 ± 0.09	8.01 ± 0.08	8.20 ± 0.15	7.71 ± 0.01	8.10 ± 0.13	8.13 ± 0.08	
LE410	7.35 ± 0.12	8.63 ± 0.07	7.39 ± 0.07	8.13 ± 0.11	8.02 ± 0.08	8.13 ± 0.09	
LE420	6.44 ± 0.21	7.69 ± 0.08	6.73 ± 0.09	7.08 ± 0.12	7.17 ± 0.11	7.51 ± 0.08	

Table 5: Inhibitory potencies of the	LE compounds on	agonist-induced effects on	[cAMP]	, [Ca ²⁺] _i , a	and [³⁵ S]-GTPγS binding
--------------------------------------	-----------------	----------------------------	--------	--	--------------------------------------

^{a)} Inhibitory activity was \leq 50% at 10 μ M.

Concentration-effect curves were obtained with hD₁ and hD_{2L} receptors. Data shown are apparent pK_i values ($pK_{i abp}$) ± SEM, n ≥ 3.

extracted 80.5% of the total variance among the eight pK_i variables, and the second extracted 11.4%. The factor loadings of the eight variables (compounds) are listed in table 7 and show that the eight compounds define three subgroups of dopamine/5HT_{2A} ligands: 1) clozapine, LE300, LE400, LE410, and LE420 with factor loadings contributing to the first PC of > 0.739; 2) haloperidol in the second PC with a factor loading of -0.923; 3) LE403 and LE404 in the second PC with opposite direction to haloperidol (factor loadings 0.868 and 0.886) indicating that LE403 and LE404 display an affinity profile opposite to that of haloperidol. For the second PCA, for each of the eight compounds, log (K_i ratio) values [= log (K_i Receptor 1/ K_{i Receptor 2})] were calculated for all possible 15 receptor affinity ratios $(D_1/D_{2L'} D_1/D_3, D_1/D_{4.4'} D_1/D_5, D_1/5HT_{2A'})$ $D_{2I}/D_3,\,D_{2I}/D_{4.4},\,D_{2I}/D_5,\,D_{2I}/5HT_{2A'}\,D_3/D_{4.4},\,D_3/D_5,\,D_3/21/20,\,D_4,\,D_5,\,D_5,\,D_5/20,\,D_5$ $5HT_{2A}$, $D_{4,4}/D_{5'}$, $D_{4,4}/5HT_{2A'}$, $D_{5}/5HT_{2A}$) using the data from table 2. The resulting log (K_i ratio) data matrix contains selectivity information for each of the compounds. Results of this second ("selectivity") PCA were basically identical to results from the first ("affinity") PCA (table 7). The first extracted PC explained 74.8% of the total variance among the eight variables (log (K_i ratio) values), and the second PC extracted 15.4% of the total variance. The second ("selectivity") PCA discovered the same three subgroups of dopamine/5HT_{2A} ligands as did the first PCA: 1) clozapine, LE300, LE400, LE410, and LE420 with factor loadings contributing to the first PC of > 0.780 (table 7); 2) haloperidol in the second PC with a factor loading of -0.901; 3) LE403 and LE404 in the second PC with opposite direction to haloperidol (factor loadings 0.933 and 0.893). Thus, regardless of using affinity information (pK_i) or selectivity information (log (K_i ratio)) for PCA, the same three subgroups of dopamine/5HT_{2A} ligands were discriminated. The agreeing results from both PCA's underline that the statistical analysis of binding affinities

and selectivities at dopamine and $5\mathrm{HT}_{\mathrm{2A}}$ receptors did not create chance correlations.

3D-QSAR (CoMFA/CoMSIA studies)

Since the main feature of the LE compounds is their D_1 selectivity, a 3D-QSAR pharmacophore model for the D₁ receptor was establish using the 12 compounds shown in figure 1 and their D_1 -p K_i values from table 2 and 3. For a successful CoMFA/CoMSIA study, it is crucial to find an appropriate alignment of the examined compounds. It is not necessary that all compounds possess the bioactive conformation but it is useful that the compounds adopt a relative conformation and position to each other as they would bind to the receptor. The D_1/D_5 selective antagonist (-)-2b-SCH39166 (ecopipam) was taken as a pharmacophore template. (-)-2b-SCH39166 is a benzonaphthazepine, a rigid analogue of SCH23390, thus limiting the number of possible conformations (figure 7) [24]. Unfortunately, (-)-2b-SCH39166 was not available to us for testing, and was thus not used for the final QSAR-analysis. However, due to its rigid nature, it was helpful to find a good starting point for selecting conformations and alignments of the 12 compounds from figure 1. Essential pharmacophore features of (-)-2b-SCH39166 are the two aromatic rings and the basic nitrogen (hydrogen acceptor) while the hydroxyl group served as an optional H-donor/ acceptor feature (figure 7). Results of the alignment of the final models of the LE compounds are shown in figure 8. The aromatic residues and basic nitrogen atoms remain the main pharmacophore features. Crossvalidation results (leave-one-out) for the final models for CoMFA and CoM-SIA both using steric and electrostatic fields are displayed in table 8, and show crossvalidation parameters q² of 0.82 for CoMFA and 0.88 for CoMSIA. To prove that these models were not a result of a chance correlation, a stability test was performed using the random groups PLS



Figure 5

Functional characterisation of LE404 (\blacksquare) and LE410 (\bullet) at hD₁ (A, B, C) and hD_{2L} receptors (D, E, F). A Inhibition by LE404 and LE410 of 100 nM SKF38393-stimulated accumulation of intracellular [cAMP]. Data shown are means ± SEM of at least four determinations assayed in triplicate. **B** Inhibition by LE404 and LE410 of 100 nM SKF38393-stimulated increase in intracellular [Ca²⁺]. Data shown are means ± SEM of at least four determinations assayed in triplicate. **C** Inhibition by LE404 and LE410 of G-protein activation obtained by 1 μ M dihydrexidine-stimulation. Data shown are means ± SEM of two independent experiments assayed in duplicate. **D** Inhibition by LE404 and LE410 of 100 nM quinpirole-stimulated decrease of intracellular [cAMP] in the presence of 10 μ M forskolin. Data shown are means ± SEM of at least four determinations assayed in triplicate. **E** Inhibition by LE404 and LE410 of 30 nM quinpirole-stimulated increase in intracellular [Ca²⁺]. Data shown are means ± SEM of at least four determinations assayed in triplicate. **E** Inhibition by LE404 and LE410 of 30 nM quinpirole-stimulated increase in intracellular [Ca²⁺]. Data shown are means ± SEM of at least four determinations assayed in triplicate. **F** Inhibition by LE404 and LE410 of G-protein activation obtained by 10 μ M quinpirole-stimulation. Data shown are means of two independent experiments assayed in duplicate.

Α



В



Figure 6

Functional analysis of the antagonist effect of LE404 at hD₁ and hD_{2L} receptors. The analysis was carried out by measuring the attenuation by LE404 of the agonistinduced increase in intracellular [Ca²⁺] in HEK293 cells recombinantly expressing hD₁ and hD_{2L} receptors, respectively. Dashed lines show 95% confidence intervals. SKF38393 was used as agonist at hD₁ receptors, quinpirole at hD_{2L}. All slopes were not significantly different from unity. Presented data are means \pm SEM from at least three independent experiments each with at least three pendent experiments of LE404 at hD₁ (**A**) and hD_{2L} receptors (**B**). Inserts show Clark plots. method ("leave-many-out"). The test showed a high stability of the models presented in figure 8 with a mean q^2 of 0.76 (SD 0.10) for the combined steric and electrostatic field in CoMFA and a mean q^2 of 0.81 (SD 0.12) in CoM-SIA. The distribution of the q^2 values for this validation is shown in figure 9.

Discussion

Among a group of new azecine compounds, this study has revealed two dibenzacezines (LE404 and LE410) with potent activity at dopamine and 5HT_{2A} receptors displaying a novel receptor profile at D₁-D₅ and 5HT_{2A} receptors. Compounds were evaluated in binding studies at D₁-D₅ and 5HT_{2A} receptors and functionally (cAMP, Ca²⁺, [³⁵S]-GTP γ S) at D₁ and D₂₁ receptors, representative for the two subgroups of G_s (D₁-like) and G_i (D₂-like) coupled dopamine receptors. PCA revealed the equivalence of functional and binding pK_i values (table 6) even though binding, cAMP, Ca2+, and [35S]-GTPγS assays differ strongly in the applied conditions (equilibrium: binding, cAMP, [³⁵S]-GTPγS; non-equilibrium: Ca²⁺) and used endpoints (competition binding, G protein activation, second [cAMP] and "third" [Ca²⁺] messenger generation). A comparison of pK_i values of one compound in the four different assays thus leads to differences, e.g., K_i ratios of haloperidol at D₁/D_{2L} receptors are ~1200 in cAMP, ~2500 in Ca2+, and ~100 in [35S]-GTPγS and binding studies but the rank order of potency remains almost unchanged (tables 2 and 5). Mottola et al. [25] have introduced the term "functional selectivity" to propose that depending on the experimental (buffer, equilibrium) and cellular conditions regarding receptor and G protein expression, a mixture of agonist/partial agonist and/or antagonist actions are likely. The \sim 2-fold difference in D₁ and D_{2L} receptor expression in this study (table 1) may thus contribute to differences in pK_i values observed in functional and binding studies. The same reasons may serve as an explanation for differences in the K_d values of SCH23390 and spiperone in this study and in the literature (table 1) and for the ~1.4-5.5-fold differences in the affinity of LE300 in this and a previous study [11]. Further, affinities in this study were tested at recombinantly expressed receptors in HEK293 cell membranes in Krebs-HEPES-buffer whereas the previous study used CHO cell membranes in a Tris-Mg²⁺-buffer [11]. As was shown in figure 2, different buffers can result in significantly different affinity of a ligand.

LE404 and LE410 are competitive antagonists as was shown by Clark analysis (figure 6). pK_b values of LE404 and LE410 derived from these functional analyses are in accordance with pK_i values derived from inhibition curves (tables 5 and 2). Statistical analysis (PCA) of binding **affinity** data (pK_i values, table 2) and binding **selectivity** data [log (K_i ratio) values, calculated from table 2]

Table 6: Factor loadings	of the four	variables	used in	principal
component analysis				

Variable	hD ₁	hD _{2L}
cAMP	0.953	0.985
Ca ²⁺	0.955	0.986
[³⁵ S]-GTPγS	0.913	0.985
radioligand binding	0.970	0.994

resulted in three groups of ligands: first: haloperidol; second: clozapine, LE300, LE400, LE410, and LE420; and interestingly - a third group: containing LE403 and LE404 (table 7). The most potent compounds in group 2 and group 3 are LE410 and LE404. LE410 has a similar affinity profile as clozapine except the lower potency of LE410 at the $hD_{4,4}$ receptor (table 2). In contrast, LE404 has a 25fold selectivity for D₁ over D_{2L} receptors and thus a novel dopamine/5HT_{2A} receptor profile. Interestingly, if instead of all K_i ratio values which have been used for the PCA in table 7 only the D_1/D_{2L} and $D_{2L}/5HT_{2A}$ ratios of all compounds were used for clustering, the same three groups were found: 1) haloperidol, 2) clozapine, LE400, LE410, LE420, LE300, and 3) LE403 and LE404 (table 9). Thus, instead of six receptors and 15 K_i ratios, a reduction to three receptors ($D_{1'}$, $D_{2L'}$, 5HT_{2A}) and two K_i ratios is sufficient to obtain the same clustering of compounds.

Meltzer et al. suggested the use of D_1/D_{2L} and $D_{2I}/5HT_{2A}$ ratios to allow a clustering of antipsychotics into typical and atypical compounds [5-7]. However, instead of Meltzer et al. who calculated pK_i ratio values which are imprecise in defining selectivity (same selectivity may result in different pK_i ratios depending on the potency), K_i ratios (table 9) or log (K_i ratio) values (for PCA in table 7) were calculated in this study. K_i ratios recalculated from data of Meltzer et al. [5] and K_i ratios from this study were no more different than 3-fold (table 9). LE300, LE403,

LE404, LE410, and LE420 achieved K_{i-D2}/K_{i-5HT2A} selectivity ratios > 7 which may suggest an atypical behaviour of these compounds according to Meltzer et al. [5]. However, so far there are no in vivo behavioural studies underlying an antipsychotic effect of the LE compounds. The third group of ligands, LE403 and LE404, differ from LE410 by a 15-20-fold increase in D₁ selectivity (table 9). RMI-81582 has very similar D_1/D_2 and $D_2/5HT_{2A}$ K_i ratios as LE403 and LE404 (table 9) and was shown to exert antipsychotic effects [26]. A further increase in D_1 selectivity over D₂, e.g., compound SCH23390 (table 9), results in a complete loss of antipsychotic activity [5,9,10]. Therefore, LE403 and LE404 might display an antipsychotic effect which however needs to be proven in *in vivo* studies. Only in vivo studies take into account the complexity of neuropsychiatric diseases including expression, distribution, and regulation of multiple receptors as well as adaptive processes.

This study confirmed recent findings that an increase in the size of the residue of the azecine nitrogen is detrimental to the affinity at dopamine/ $5HT_{2A}$ receptors (table 2) [11]. Hydroxylated versus non-hydroxylated dibenzacezines differ in their affinity and selectivity profiles (LE410, LE404, table 2) and define 2 separate groups. Monohydroxylation (LE404) results in higher potency than bis-hydroxylated compounds (LE403). Abolishing the H-donor properties by exchanging hydroxyl by methoxy groups was detrimental to the potency (LE400 versus LE403). Binding data of all compounds in figure 1 have been used to establish a valid 3D-QSAR pharmacophore model for D_1 receptors (figure 8). The resulting model shows excellent q² values for crossvalidation results and random groups PLS tests for both, CoMFA and CoMSIA (figure 9) excluding a chance correlation. The pharmacophore model is thus a solid basis for further improvement of dopamine receptor ligands.

Table '	7: PCA	results o	of affinity	and sele	ctivity dat	a at dopa	mine and	5HT _{2A} receptors
---------	--------	-----------	-------------	----------	-------------	-----------	----------	-----------------------------

Variable	P	K _i	log (K _{i Receptor 1} /K _{i Receptor 2})		
	I st Principal Component	2 nd Principal Component	I st Principal Component	2 nd Principal Component	
Haloperidol	-0.205	-0.923	-0.272	-0.901	
Clozapine	0.796	0.260	0.780	0.356	
LE300	0.775	0.629	0.921	0.354	
LE400	0.955	0.222	0.983	0.122	
LE403	0.488	0.868	0.290	0.933	
LE404	0.410	0.886	0.395	0.893	
LE410	0.739	0.568	0.840	0.433	
LE420	0.829	0.549	0.873	0.474	

The first PCA is using pK_i values from table 2 (**affinity** information), the second log (K_i ratio) values (**selectivity** information). Log (K_i ratio) values [= log (K_i Receptor 2)] were calculated for all possible 15 receptor affinity ratios using data from table 2. Displayed are factor loadings for the first two PC's after Varimax rotation.



Figure 7

3D model of (-)-2b-SCH39166 with H-donor/acceptor and aromatic features. This model was used as pharmacophore-template for the LE compounds.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has revealed two compounds, the dibenzacezines LE410 and LE404 with a novel dopamine/5HT_{2A} receptor profile. LE404 and LE410 differ in their D₁/D_{2L} selectivity. LE410 clusters in one group with the atypical antipsychotic clozapine but has a different D₂-like receptor profile (hD_{2L} > hD₃ > hD_{4.4}) than clozapine (hD_{4.4} > hD_{2L} > hD₃). LE404 clusters in a separate group from clozapine/LE410 and from haloperidol and shows increased D₁ selectivity similar to the experimental compound RMI-81582 which displayed antipsychotic activity [26]. An antipsychotic activity of LE404 and LE410 in *in vivo* studies still needs to be shown. Further, a validated 3D-QSAR pharmacophore model for D₁ antagonists is presented.

Methods

Materials

LE300, 400, 401, 403, 404, 410, and 420 were synthesized according to methods previously published [11,13].



Figure 8

Alignment of the final 3D-QSAR models of the LE compounds.

[³H]SCH23390 (66.0 Ci/mmol), [³H]spiperone (118 Ci/mmol), and [³⁵S]-GTP γ S were obtained from Amersham Biosciences (Buckinghamshire, UK). SKF38393 was purchased from TOCRIS (Bristol, U.K.). A pRc/CMV vector construct for hD₃ receptors was kindly provided by Dr. P. Sokoloff (Paris, France) [27] and a pcDNA3.1+ construct containing cDNA coding for the h5HT_{2A} receptor was obtained from the UMR cDNA resource center [28]. All other reagents were supplied by Sigma Chemicals unless otherwise stated.

Cell culture

HEK293 cells stably expressing hD_1 , hD_{2L} , or hD_5 dopamine receptors were established as previously described [11,29]. Stable cell lines of HEK293 cells (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA) were generated by transfecting the plasmids coding for hD_3 and $h5HT_{2A}$ using polyfect[®] transfection reagent (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and were

 Table 8: Crossvalidation results for the final alignment models of the LE compounds

Field	Minimum σ	No of components	SDEP*	q ²
CoMFA CoMSIA	0.75	3	0.60	0.82

* SDEP: standard error of prediction

The models are displayed in figure 8 using steric and electrostatic fields for both CoMFA and CoMSIA.



Figure 9 Validation of the final alignment models using the random groups PLS method ("leave-many-out"). A. CoMFA field. B. CoMSIA field.

selected using G-418 (400 μ g/ml medium). All stably transfected cell lines were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle Medium Nutrient Mixture F-12 Ham (DMEM/F12 1:1 mixture) containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 μ g/ ml streptomycine, 100 U/ml penicillin G, 5 mM Lglutamine, and 200 μ g/ml active G-418. The human D_{4.4} receptor was stably expressed in CHO cells (kindly provided by Dr. van Tol, Toronto, Canada) and grown in Ham F12 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 μ g/ml streptomycin, 100 U/ml penicillin G, 1 mM L-glutamine, and 200 μ g/ml active G-418. Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere under 5% CO₂.

Membrane preparation

Confluent 145 mm tissue culture dishes (Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen, Germany) of HEK293 or CHO cells were harvested by scraping, resuspended in ice-cold

compounds except LE401					
Compound	K _{i-D1} /K _{i-D2}	К _{i-D2} /К _{i-5HT2A}			
Haloperidol	102 (100 ^a))	$0.02 (0.05^{a})$			

Table 9: K_i ratio values $(K_{i,D1}/K_{i,D2} \text{ and } K_{i,D2}/K_{i,SHT2A})$ of all test

Haloperidol	102 (100 ^{a)})	0.02 (0.05 ^{a)})
Clozapine	0.83 (1.58 ^{a)})	42.6 (19.95 ^{a)})
LE400	2.09	9.12
LE410	0.60	7.24
LE420	0.56	21.4
LE300	0.16	294
LE403	0.03	93.5
LE404	0.04	49.0
RMI-81582	0.05 ^{a)}	31.6 ^{a)}
SCH23390	0.0004 ^{b)}	50.0 ^{c)}

^{a)} Value is calculated from [6].

^{b)} Value is calculated from [33,34].

c) Value is calculated from [34,35]

Further, data for SCH23390 and RMI-81582 are calculated from literature data. $\rm K_i$ values used are derived from radioligand binding studies.

Krebs-HEPES buffer (118 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, 4.2 mM NaHCO₃, 11.7 mM D-Glucose, 1.3 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4), and disrupted using a Polytron homogenizer on ice (Kinematica AG, Basel, Switzerland). After centrifugation at 40,000 × g at 2°C, the supernatant was discarded, and pellet was washed twice with ice-cold Krebs-HEPES buffer. Eventually, the pellet was resuspended in the appropriate binding buffer (see below) and stored in aliquots at -80°C until use for radioligand binding. The method of Bradford [30] was used to determine the protein content of membrane preparations with bovine serum albumin as standard.

For [35 S]-GTP γ S-binding, cell pellets were resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl/1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4, homogenized in a glass-teflon homogenizer and centrifuged for 15 min (40,000 × g, 4 ° C). Supernatant was discarded, and pellet was washed twice with ice-cold Tris-HCl/EDTA buffer and finally resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 250 mM sucrose, pH 7.4, and stored at -80 °C. The protein content was determined according to the Bradford method [30] with gamma immunoglobulin as standard.

Radioligand binding experiments

The equilibrium dissociation constants K_d of the radioligands used ([³H]SCH23390 for hD₁-like, [³H]spiperone for hD_{2L}-like and h5HT_{2A} receptors) were determined in homologous competition binding experiments and receptor densities of the respective dopamine receptor cell membrane preparations (B_{max} values) were calculated using the DeBlasi equation [31]. Heterologous competition binding experiments were performed in Krebs-HEPES buffer in a final volume of 1.1 ml at 26°C for 2 h (D₁-like receptors) or 3 h (D₂-like receptors and $5HT_{2A}$ receptors) as described previously [11]. Cell membranes (total protein amount ~90 µg/tube) were incubated with 0.2 nM final [³H]SCH23390 (D₁-like receptors) or with 0.1 nM final [³H]spiperone (D₂-like receptors and 5HT_{2A} receptors) and competing drugs. The assay was terminated by rapid filtration of 1 ml through polyethylene imine pretreated (0.2%) glass fiber filters (Schleicher und Schuell, Dassel, Germany), followed by two washes with ice-cold distilled water. Filters were soaked in 5 ml of scintillation fluid for at least 12 h and bound radioactivity was determined by liquid scintillation counting. Nonspecific binding of [3H]SCH23390 was determined in the presence of 1 µM LE300, nonspecific binding of [³H]spiperone in the presence of 1 μ M haloperidol for hD_{2L}-like receptors and 1 μM ketanserin for $h5HT_{2A}$ receptors.

Estimation of [35S]-GTP /S-binding in HEK293 membranes

Cell membranes (for hD₁: total protein amount ~16 µg, ~1.5 pmol receptor/mg protein; for hD_{2L}: total protein amount ~16 µg, ~0.3 pmol receptor/mg protein) were incubated with test compounds, 1 µM GDP, agonist (1 µM dihydrexidine for hD₁, 10 µM quinpirole for hD_{2L}) and 100 pM [³⁵S]-GTP γ S in microplates in a total volume of 200 µl assay buffer (20 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂). Plates were incubated for 60 min at 30 °C. Reaction was terminated by rapid vacuum filtration through GF/C filter plates (PerkinElmer), and filter plates were washed four times with 200 µl Tris-HCl (pH 7.4). Radioactivity retained on the filter plates was counted in a microplate counter (Microbeta, PerkinElmer).

Measurement of changes in intracellular [Ca²⁺] in HEK293 cells

Measurement of changes in intracellular [Ca²⁺] was performed as previously described using a NOVOstar microplate reader with a built-in pipetor (BMG LabTech, Offenburg, Germany) [11]. HEK293 cells expressing the respective dopamine receptor were loaded with 3 µM Oregon Green 488 BAPTA-1/AM (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 1 h at 25°C in Krebs-HEPES buffer containing 1% Pluronic F-127. Then, cells were rinsed three times with Krebs-HEPES buffer containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin, diluted, and evenly plated into 96 well plates (Greiner, Frickenhausen, Germany) at a density of ~35,000 cells/well. Microplates were kept at 37°C. Fluorescence intensity was measured at 520 nm (bandwidth 35 nm) for 5 s at 0.4 s intervals to monitore baseline. Buffer alone or test compounds dissolved in buffer were then injected into separate wells, and fluorescence intensity was monitored at 520 nm (bandwidth 35 nm) for 25

s at 0.4 s intervals. Excitation wavelength was 485 nm (bandwidth 12 nm). Concentration-inhibition curves in the presence of the test compounds were obtained by preincubating the cells with the compounds for 30 min at 37° C prior to injection of agonist (hD₁: 100 nM SKF38393; hD_{2L}: 30 nM quinpirole).

Measurement of changes in intracellular [cAMP] in HEK293 cells

Intracellular [cAMP] levels were estimated by using a cAMP reporter gene assay. pCRE-Luc Cis-Reporter plasmid (Path Detect® CRE Cis-Reporting System, Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) coding for the firefly luciferase under the control of a cAMP response element was transiently transfected in HEK293 cells stably expressing the hD_1 or hD_{21} receptor. 24 h after transfection, cells were reseeded in poly-L-lysine-coated (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) white 96-well plates with clear bottom (Greiner, Frickenhausen, Germany) at a density of ~25,000 cells/well. Microplates were incubated for 48 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ before using the cells for adenylyl cyclase stimulation or inhibition experiments. Cells were then exposed to increasing concentrations of test compounds dissolved in serum-free and phenol red-free medium and incubated for 3 h at $37\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 5% CO_2. In case of $hD_{2L^{\prime}}$ 10 μM forskolin was added. Antagonistic activity was tested by pre-incubation of test compounds for 30 min at 37°C and 5% CO₂ prior to the addition of agonist (hD₁: 100 nM SKF38393; hD₂₁: 100 nM quinpirole plus 10 µM forskolin) for 3 h. Incubation was terminated by adding 100 µl of cell lysis buffer (8 mM tricine, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 2 mM EDTA, 5 % Triton[®] X-100, pH 7.8) for 20 min at 4°C. Luciferase activities were measured with the LUMIstar microplate reader (BMG LabTech, Offenburg, Germany). After monitoring the baseline for 0.3 s, 100 µl of luciferase assay reagent (30 mM tricine, 0.5 mM ATP, 10 mM MgSO₄, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5 mM coenzyme A, 0.5 mM Dluciferin, pH 7.8) was added and luminescence was measured at 25°C for 12.7 s at 0.1 s intervals. Luminescence was corrected by subtracting baseline levels.

Functional analysis of antagonism

Functional analysis of the antagonist effect of LE404 and LE410 was carried out by measuring the attenuation by LE404 or LE410 of the agonist-induced increase in intracellular [Ca²⁺] in HEK293 cells recombinantly expressing hD₁ or hD_{2L} receptors. At least four antagonist concentrations were used. Functional data were used for nonlinear regression analysis according to Clark [20]. The pEC₅₀ values of the agonist curves were plotted against the concentration of test compounds LE404 or LE410 and analyzed by non-linear regression curve fitting using the following equations:

(1) $pEC_{50} = -\log ([B]^n + 10^{-pKb}) - \log c$

(2) $pEC_{50} = -\log ([B] + 10^{-pKb}) - \log c$

where [B] is the concentration of antagonist (LE404 or LE410), pK_b is the negative decadic logarithm of the antagonist dissociation constant, n the Hill coefficient, and log c the difference between the pK_b and the pEC_{50} value of the agonist concentration-response curve in absence of the antagonist. Fits to equations (1) and (2) were compared by an F-test.

Data analysis

Radioligand-binding and functional data (measurement of intracellular [Ca²⁺], [cAMP], and [³⁵S]GTP γ S binding) were analyzed by fitting the pooled data from at least three experiments (each with three replicates) to the four parameter logistic equation using Prism software 3.0 from GraphPad (GraphPad Software; San Diego, CA, USA). Competition-binding experiments were fitted best to a one-site binding model. Inhibition constants K_i from radioligand binding competition experiments were calculated from IC₅₀ values using the Cheng-Prusoff equation [32]. Apparent functional K_i values were calculated according to the following equation adapted from Cheng and Prusoff [32]:

 $K_i = IC_{50} / (1 + L/EC_{50})$

where IC_{50} is the inhibitory concentration of the antagonist to block by 50% the agonist effect, EC_{50} is the effective concentration 50% of the used agonist (i.e., SKF38393 for hD₁, and quinpirole for hD_{2L} receptors), and L is the molar concentration of the used agonist. Data (data points in figures and numbers in tables) are given as mean \pm SEM of at least three independent experiments each performed with triplicates unless otherwise stated. Statistical analyses including principal component analysis were performed using SPSS (version 12.0.1 for Windows).

3D-QSAR (CoMFA/CoMSIA) studies

All calculations were carried out on an x86-compatible PC running SuSE-Linux 9.2. For molecular modelling, SYBYL 7.0 (Tripos Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, USA) and MOE 2004.03 for Linux (Chemical Computing Group Inc., Montreal, Quebec, Canada) were used. Conformational clustering was done using MATLAB Release 13 for Linux (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Conformational analyses of all 12 compounds from figure 1 were done using a repeated molecular dynamics based simulated annealing approach as implemented in SYBYL 7.0. MMFF94 served as the force field with distance dependent electrostatics. A molecule was heated up to 1000 K within 2000 fs, held at this temperature for 2000 fs and annealed to 0 K for 10000 fs using an exponential annealing function. By applying this procedure, a total of 100 conformations were sampled during 100 cycles to account for

conformational flexibility and to find the most likely conformations occurring most often in the resulting pool. This was done for both configurations of the protonated nitrogen atom because molecular mechanics is not able to switch configurations. All conformations were then optimized with the semi-empirical quantum mechanics method AM1 as implemented in MOPAC 6 from SYBYL and further compared using the SYBYL MATCH algorithm. Subsequently, a MATLAB clustering algorithm was used to extract the most divergent conformations using the root mean square (RMS) values of the comparison and the AM1 heat of formation. The most diverse and most often represented conformations of each compound were selected and overlaid with the pharmacophore resulting from the rigid ligand (-)-2b-SCH-39166 using the program MOE. The best 2-4 matched alignments per compound were selected for the CoMFA/CoMSIA study upon minimum RMS criteria and visual examination. These conformations were transferred to a SYBYL database and used as an initial alignment for the CoMFA/CoMSIA study. During an automated procedure, all possible combinations were tested on the CoMFA and CoMSIA combined steric/electrostatic fields with partial least squares analysis (PLS). In subsequent PLS analyses, the alignment was refined and the CoMFA/CoMSIA models were optimized. To prove that these models were not a result of a chance correlation, a stability test was performed using the random groups PLS method. Within this method, cross-validation was done with groups of more than one compound, which were excluded earlier during the model-building regression. Unlike the leave-one-out cross-validation, these groups are selected on a random basis and instead of twelve cross-validation groups, only five were used. Because of the random selection of the group members, this cross-validation was repeated a hundred times.

Abbreviations

CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; CoMFA, comparative molecular field analysis; CoMSIA, comparative molecular similarity indices analysis; HEK, human embryonic kidney; PC, principal component; PCA, principal component analysis; RMI 81582, 2-chloro-11-(3-dimethylaminopropylidene)morphanthridine; SCH23390, *R*-(+)-7-chloro-8-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1*H*-3-benzazepine; (-)-2b-SCH39166, (-)-trans-6,7,7a,8,9,13b-hexahydro-3-chloro-2-hydroxy-N-methyl-5H-benzo [d]naptho-(2,1-b)-azepine; SKF38393; (±)-1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-(1*H*)-3-benzazepine-7,8-diol.

Authors' contributions

AH established recombinant cell lines, carried out functional measurements and radioligand binding studies, and performed data evaluation. Further, AH drafted the manuscript. MWeigt carried out the 3D-QSAR studies. MWiese provided intellectual input and critical interpretation of the data. BH carried out calcium measurements. JL provided the LE compounds. MUK carried out principal component analyses and finalized the manuscript for publication. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Dr. Carsten Tober, Reutlingen, for performing [35 S]-GTP γ S-binding. Source of funding for all authors were their respective budgets from the Universities. There was no influence/role of the University on this study, the writing of the manuscript, or decisions to submit the manuscript for publication.

References

- Missale C, Nash SR, Robinson SW, Jaber M, Caron MG: Dopamine receptors: from structure to function. *Physiol Rev* 1998, 78:189-225.
- 2. Neve KA, Seamans JK, Trantham-Davidson H: **Dopamine receptor** signaling. J Recept Signal Transduct Res 2004, **24:**165-205.
- 3. Carlsson A: A paradigm shift in brain research. Science 2001, 294:1021-1024.
- Remington G: Understanding antipsychotic "atypicality": a clinical and pharmacological moving target. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2003, 28:275-284.
- Meltzer HY, Matsubara S, Lee JC: Classification of typical and atypical antipsychotic drugs on the basis of dopamine D-1, D-2 and serotonin2 pKi values. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1989, 251:238-246.
- Meltzer HY: The role of serotonin in antipsychotic drug action. Neuropsychopharmacology 1999, 21:106S-115S.
- Meltzer HY, Li Z, Kaneda Y, Ichikawa J: Serotonin receptors: their key role in drugs to treat schizophrenia. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2003, 27:1159-1172.
- 8. Reynolds GP: Receptor mechanisms in the treatment of schizophrenia. J Psychopharmacol 2004, 18:340-345.
- Tauscher J, Hussain T, Agid O, Verhoeff NP, Wilson AA, Houle S, Remington G, Zipursky RB, Kapur S: Equivalent occupancy of dopamine D1 and D2 receptors with clozapine: differentiation from other atypical antipsychotics. Am J Psychiatry 2004, 161:1620-1625.
- Sedvall GC, Karlsson P: Pharmacological manipulation of DIdopamine receptor function in schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology 2006, 21:S181-S188.
- Kassack MU, Hofgen B, Decker M, Eckstein N, Lehmann J: Pharmacological characterization of the benz[d]indolo[2,3-g]azecine LE300, a novel type of a nanomolar dopamine receptor antagonist. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 2002, 366:543-550.
- Kassack MU, Hofgen B, Lehmann J, Eckstein N, Quillan JM, Sadee W: Functional screening of G protein-coupled receptors by measuring intracellular calcium with a fluorescence microplate reader. J Biomol Screen 2002, 7:233-246.
- Hoefgen B, Decker M, Mohr P, Schramm AM, Rostom SA, El Subbagh H, Schweikert PM, Rudolf DR, Kassack MU, Lehmann J: Dopamine/ serotonin receptor ligands. 10: SAR Studies on azecine-type dopamine receptor ligands by functional screening at human cloned D1, D2L, and D5 receptors with a microplate reader based calcium assay lead to a novel potent D1/D5 selective antagonist. J Med Chem 2006, 49:760-769.
- Sunahara RK, Guan HC, O'Dowd BF, Seeman P, Laurier LG, Ng G, George SR, Torchia J, Van Tol HH, Niznik HB: Cloning of the gene for a human dopamine D5 receptor with higher affinity for dopamine than D1. Nature 1991, 350:614-619.
- Seeman P, Van Tol HH: Deriving the therapeutic concentrations for clozapine and haloperidol: the apparent dissociation constant of a neuroleptic at the dopamine D2 or D4 receptor varies with the affinity of the competing radioligand. Eur J Pharmacol 1995, 291:59-66.
- 16. Cussac D, Newman-Tancredi A, Sezgin L, Millan MJ: [3H]S33084: a novel, selective and potent radioligand at cloned, human

dopamine D3 receptors. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 2000, 361:569-572.

- 17. List SJ, Seeman P: Resolution of dopamine and serotonin receptor components of [3H]spiperone binding to rat brain regions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1981, 78:2620-2624.
- Salmi P, Isacson R, Kull B: Dihydrexidine--the first full dopamine DI receptor agonist. CNS Drug Rev 2004, 10:230-242.
- 19. Buehl A, Zoefel B: SPSS Version 10. Einfuehrung in die moderne Datenanalyse 2000 edition. Muenchen, Addison-Wesley; 2000.
- Lew MJ, Angus JA: Analysis of competitive agonist-antagonist interactions by nonlinear regression. Trends Pharmacol Sci 1995, 16:328-337.
- 21. Arunlakshana O, Schild HO: Some quantitative uses of drug antagonists. Br | Pharmacol Chemother 1959, 14:48-58.
- Mueller H, Kassack MU, Wiese M: Comparison of the usefulness of the MTT, ATP, and calcein assays to predict the potency of cytotoxic agents in various human cancer cell lines. *J Biomol* Screen 2004, 9:506-515.
- Schaper KJ, Kaliszan R: Applications of statistical methods to drug design. In Trends in Medicinal Chemistry 1987 edition. Edited by: Mutschler E and Winterfeldt E. Weinheim, VCH; 2006:125-139.
- Mutschler E and Winterfeldt E. Weinheim, VCH; 2006:125-139.
 24. Chipkin RE, Iorio LC, Coffin VL, McQuade RD, Berger JG, Barnett A: Pharmacological profile of SCH39166: a dopamine D1 selective benzonaphthazepine with potential antipsychotic activity. | Pharmacol Exp Ther 1988, 247:1093-1102.
- Mottola DM, Kilts JD, Lewis MM, Connery HS, Walker QD, Jones SR, Booth RG, Hyslop DK, Piercey M, Wightman RM, Lawler CP, Nichols DE, Mailman RB: Functional selectivity of dopamine receptor agonists. I. Selective activation of postsynaptic dopamine D2 receptors linked to adenylate cyclase. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2002, 301:1166-1178.
- Young MA, Meltzer HY: **RMI-81,582**, a novel antipsychotic drug. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 1980, 67:101-106.
 Sokoloff P, Giros B, Martres MP, Bouthenet ML, Schwartz JC: Molec-
- Sokoloff P, Giros B, Martres MP, Bouthenet ML, Schwartz JC: Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel dopamine receptor (D3) as a target for neuroleptics. *Nature* 1990, 347:146-151.
- 28. [http://www.cdna.org] 2005 [http://www.cdna.org].
- Kassack MU: Quantitative comparison of functional screening by measuring intracellular Ca2+ with radioligand binding at recombinant human dopamine receptors. AAPS PharmSci 2002, 4:E31.
- 30. Bradford MM: A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 1976, **72:**248-254.
- DeBlasi A, O'Reilly K, Motulsky HJ: Calculating receptor number from binding experiments using same compound as radioligand and competitor. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 1989, 10:227-229.
 Cheng Y, Prusoff WH: Relationship between the inhibition con-
- Cheng Y, Prusoff WH: Relationship between the inhibition constant (K1) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 per cent inhibition (I50) of an enzymatic reaction. Biochem Pharmacol 1973, 22:3099-3108.
- Barnett A, Ahn HS, Billard W, Gold EH, Kohli JD, Glock D, Goldberg LI: Relative activities of SCH 23390 and its analogs in three tests for DI/DAI dopamine receptor antagonism. Eur J Pharmacol 1986, 128:249-253.
- Bergmann J, Madras BK, Spealman RD: Behavioral effects of DI and D2 dopamine receptor antagonists in Squirrel monkeys. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1991, 258:910-917.
- 35. Bischoff S, Heinrich M, Krauss J, Sills MA, Williams M, Vassout A: Interaction of the DI receptor antagonist SCH 23390 with the central 5-HT system: radioligand binding studies, measurements of biochemical parameters and effects on L-5-HTP syndrome. J Recept Res 1988, 8:107-120.