## Additional insights on the modelling of the COVID-19 clinical progression using multistate methodology

Maja von Cube, Martin Wolkewitz, Martin Schumacher, Derek Hazard

Corresponding to Dr. Maja von Cube, Institute of Medical Biometry and Statistics, Faculty of Medicine and Medical Center-University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Ernst-Zermelo-Str 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany (e-mail: <u>cube@imbi.uni-freiburg.de</u>)

Author affiliations: Institute of Medical Biometry and Statistics, Faculty of Medicine and Medical Center-University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany (Maja von Cube, Martin Wolkewitz, Martin Schumacher, Derek Hazard)

MvC is funded by the EQUIP Medical Scientists Programme of the University of Freiburg.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

Running head: On the modelling of the COVID-19 clinical progression

We congratulate Mody et al.(1) on their sophisticated multi-state analysis of 1,577 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in a regional U.S. hospital system. Multi-state models allow for a detailed investigation of the course of disease while importantly avoiding severe, yet common, types of bias (2).

Previous articles characterize the longitudinal trajectory of COVID-19 hospitalized patients with regard to methodology (3) and outcome classification (4) in multi-state settings, and apply these methods to German data (5). Our experience enables important additional insights that we would like to contribute here.

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

First, we stress that recognition of discharge alive as a competing risk in the cause-specific Cox regression is vital for a complete understanding of covariate effects. Patients who are discharged alive are no longer at risk of intensive care unit (ICU) admission, intubation and death in the hospital. Effects on the discharge hazard have an indirect effect on the risk of these events. For example in Rieg et al.(5), we found that the male sex significantly reduces the discharge hazard. Even though sex was not found to have a significant effect on the death hazard, the absolute risk of death in the hospital was increased for male patients; they stayed longer in the hospital and were consequently longer at risk for ICU admission, intubation and death. Thus, the Cox regression analysis only provides a complete picture of the covariate effects if the effects on the discharge hazard are also presented.

Furthermore, Mody et al. provide detailed stacked probability plots (figures 1, 2 (1)) for different levels of care (inpatient floor, intensive-care, invasive and non-invasive ventilation) as well as death and discharge. Separate to the plots, the median and interquartile range (IQR) of the time patients spent in each level of care is given (figure 5). We emphasize that the stacked probability plots also impressively combine this information in one single graphic. The mstate R-package that the authors use provides a powerful function that allows for the estimation of the mean time spent in each level of care. Confidence intervals can be obtained via bootstrapping (3,4,6). These estimates are directly related to the stacked probability plot as the area between two curves (7); readers can discern the different durations spent in each level of care directly from the graphic. In contrast to median and IQR, the estimated mean durations provide direct information for the planning of hospital capacities such as ICU beds and ventilators. Of note, the multi-state model can be simplified by consolidating states while neither altering these estimates nor the risks of requiring a specific level of care, being discharged alive, or dying. At the same time the simplified model results in higher statistical power, allows a clearer presentation of the results and facilitates comparison with other studies with lower level of detail.

Finally, we highlight that multi-state analyses are also a powerful tool to harmonize heterogeneous endpoints in randomized controlled trials (4). For example, all categories of the endpoint scale developed by the World Health Organization for COVID-19 trials can be combined in a single informative stacked probability plot (8). This allows for direct visual comparisons of the course of disease of different treatment groups resulting in fast and easily accessible information.

Mody et al. performed an exemplary analysis and we hope that our insights provide readers with further constructive information on the disease modelling of COVID-19. Researchers are encouraged to also use multi-state methodology for the evaluation of emerging threats such as the genetic variants of SARS-COV-2. A well-designed study, analyzed with multi-state methodology, can provide important insights into the complex disease progression.

Word count: 593 (of 500-600 words)

1. Mody A, Lyons PG, Guillamet CV, Michelson A, Yu S, Namwase AS, et al. The Clinical Course of COVID-19 Disease in a US Hospital System: a Multi-state Analysis. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2020 Dec 22. (in press; doi: 10.1093/aje/kwaa286)

2. Wolkewitz M, Lambert J, von Cube M, Bugiera L, Grodd M, Hazard D, et al. Statistical Analysis of Clinical COVID-19 Data: A Concise Overview of Lessons Learned, Common Errors and How to Avoid Them. *Clinical Epidemiology* 2020; 12:925-928

3. Hazard D, Kaier K, von Cube M, Grodd M, Bugiera L, Lambert J, et al. Joint analysis of duration of ventilation, length of intensive care, and mortality of COVID-19 patients: a multistate approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2020; 20(1):1-9.

4. von Cube M, Grodd M, Wolkewitz M, Hazard D, Wengenmayer T, Canet E, et al. Harmonizing Heterogeneous Endpoints in Coronavirus Disease 2019 Trials Without Loss of Information. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2020; 49(1):e11.

5. Rieg S, von Cube M, Kalbhenn J, Utzolino S, Pernice K, Bechet L, et al. COVID-19 in-hospital mortality and mode of death in a dynamic and non-restricted tertiary care model in Germany. *PLOS ONE*. 2020; 15(11):e0242127.

6. de Wreede LC, Fiocco M, Putter H, et al. mstate: an R package for the analysis of competing risks and multi-state models. *Journal of Statistical Software* 2011; 38(7):1-30.

7. Beyersmann J, Putter H. A note on computing average state occupation times. *Demographic Research*. 2014; 30(62):1681–96.

RIGIT

8. Marshall JC, Murthy S, Diaz J, Adhikari N, Angus DC, Arabi YM, et al. A minimal common outcome measure set for COVID-19 clinical research. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2020; 20(8):1473-3099.