ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Study on Maternal SNPs of MTHFR Gene and HCY Level Related to Congenital Heart Diseases

Hui Shi¹ · Shiwei Yang² · Ning Lin¹ · Peng Huang³ · Rongbin Yu³ · Mei Chen² · Lijuan Wang¹ · Zhixin Jiang¹ · Xiaoru Sun¹

Received: 17 April 2020 / Accepted: 30 August 2020 / Published online: 21 November 2020 © The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

The aim of this study is to evaluate the relationship between maternal single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene with plasma homocysteine (HCY) level and offspring congenital heart diseases (CHDs). 338 mothers with offspring CHDs as case group and 306 mothers of normal children as control group were recruited. Their pregnant histories were interviewed by questionnaire and the MTHFR rsl801133 and rsl801131 were genotyped. The case–control analysis was used to find out the relationship between maternal SNPs of MTHFR gene and offspring CHDs. And the plasma HCY concentration of the mothers of CHDs children was detected. This case–case study was intended to find out the relevance between maternal HCY level and SNPs of MTHFR gene. There were significant differences in the gender of children, occupation of mothers, family history with CHDs, history of abortion, history of adverse pregnancy, early pregnancy health, fetus during pregnancy, pesticide exposure and drug exposure in CHDs group and control group (P < 0.05). MTHFR rsl801133 was significantly associated with their offspring CHDs in mothers. The polymorphism of maternal MTHFR rsl801133 increased plasma HCY level, especially the homozygous mutation. Besides the environmental factors, our results suggested that the maternal MTHFR rsl801133 polymorphism might be a risk factor of their offspring CHDs, which may be due to the hyperhomocysteinemia by abnormal metabolism of HCY.

Keywords Congenital heart disease · SNPs of MTHFR · Homocysteine

Introduction

Congenital heart diseases (CHDs) refers to abnormal cardiovascular structure or function at birth. Monitoring data on birth defects in China showed that CHDs have been the highest incidence of birth defects and increased yearly since 2005 [1]. They became the first cause of death among 1 year

Hui Shi and Shiwei Yang have contributed equally to this work.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00246-020-02449-1) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

¹ Jiangsu Institute of Planned Parenthood Research, Nanjing 210036, Jiangsu, China

- ² Department of Cardiology, Children's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210008, China
- ³ Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu, China

infants in China due to severe illness, multiple complications and high mortality [2]. The etiology of CHDs is not fully understood. A great amount of studies indicated CHDs are affected by both genetic and environmental factors [3, 4]. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR), the major enzymes in the folate/homocysteine (HCY) metabolism pathway, catalyzes the conversion of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate into 5-methyltetrahydrofolate. The mutation of the MTHFR gene results in the decrease of the enzyme activity and the synthesis of methionine, the direct precursor of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) which is an important methyl donor in cells participating in the tissue development and growth. Most common genetic variants of the MTHFR gene are the 677C > T polymorphism (rs1801133) and 1298A > C polymorphism (rs1801131), which result in the conversion of alanine to valine and glutamate to alanine, respectively. In our previous study, we found environment risks for CHDs in Chinese and the maternal genotype in MTHFR rs1801131 variant significantly increased offspring CHDs risk by screened five single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

Xiaoru Sun sunxr@nju.edu.cn

of three genes [5]. However, the relationship between the maternal plasma HCY level and SNPs of MTHFR was only showed a tendency without statistic significances. The objective of the present further study was to evaluate the relationship between the genotype and HCY level in the plasma of mothers with offspring CHDs by case–case study in a larger crowd, and meanwhile a further investigation on maternal environmental risks and the genotype factor in MTHFR by case–control study.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The study had been approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the Jiangsu Institute of Planned Parenthood Research, Nanjing, China. Prior written informed consent was obtained from all the participants enrolled in the study.

Participants

From May 2012 to September 2016, the mothers of CHDs children in the study were recruited from the Nanjing Children's Hospital, and the mothers of healthy children with matching age were recruited from the preconception health care center, Jiangsu Province, China. They were interviewed face-to-face to collect personal information and excluded diseases such as CHDs, hypertension, diabetes, tumor and others. Each participant donated 3 mL venous blood for HCY tests and host DNA genotyping.

DNA Collection and Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from a leukocyte pellet by traditional proteinase K digestion and followed by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. MTHFR rs1801133 and rs1801131 were genotyped by the Taq-Man allelic discrimination assay on an ABI 7900 system (Applied Biosystems, La Jolla, CA). The information on primers and probes are shown in Table S.

Detection of HCY

Plasma was separated from venous blood with EDTA anticoagulant in time. HCY tests were performed by circulatory enzyme method on Backman automatic biochemical analyzer in Clinical Laboratory of Zhongda hospital southeast university. The HCY detection were performed according to the manufacturer's (NingBo Medical System Biotechnology Co., Ltd) protocols briefly as follows. The sample was mixed with reagent 1 and incubated at 37 °C for 5 min. Then the mixture was added reagent 2, and

incubated at 37 °C for another 2 min. The absorbance change of the sample was monitored for 3 min under the detection wavelength of 340 nm (main)/405 nm (auxiliary), and the HCY concentration was calculated by the formula.

Statistical Analysis

The survey data were recorded independently by two individuals and were checked after entry. Counting variables were described by frequency (percentage), and their differences between groups were tested by χ^2 test. Quantitative data were described by means \pm standard deviation $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SD})$, their difference between groups was tested by t-test or One-Way ANOVA. We used median (25% and 75% interguartile) to describe skewness distribution variables, and their difference between groups was tested by rank sum test. Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidential intervals (CIs) were calculated as a measure of difference in the response rate using logistic regression analysis. Multivariate logistic regression was used to analysis the association of selected SNPs with offspring CHDs, in which factors showing significant differences in univariate analysis were included for adjustment.

The statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Analysis System software (version 9.1.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All P < 0.05 in a two-sided test was considered statistically significant.

Results

In this study, a total of 338 mothers with offspring CHDs and 306 mothers of normal children with available blood sample were included. The selected characteristics of the mothers in CHDs group and the controls in the study are shown in Table 1. There were significant differences in the gender of children, child-bearing age, education, occupation, history of abortion, early pregnancy health, smoking, pesticide exposure and drug exposure in two groups (P < 0.05 for both comparisons).

The genotype percentage values for MTHFR rs1801133 and rs1801131 have been given in Table 2 for the mothers. All the distributions were found to be in agreement with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. Logistic regression analyses showed that MTHFR rs1801133 was significantly increased their offspring CHDs risk compared with control group of mothers (OR 6.24, 95% CI 2.87–13.56 in Recessive model) (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the association of MTHFR rs1801133 and rs1801131 with plasma HCY level in mothers with offspring CHDs (12 unqualified samples of venous blood Table 1Demographic andselected variables in motherswith offspring CHDs andcontrols

Variables	Control N(%)	Case N(%)	Р
Gender of children ^a			< 0.001
Male	171(57.00)	138(40.95)	
Female	129(43.00	199(59.05)	
Child-bearing age ^{a,b}			
24.12 ± 5.11	25.93 ± 2.24	26.52 ± 5.25	0.075
<25	90(31.25)	150(45.18)	< 0.001
≥25	198(68.75)	182(54.82)	
Education ^a			< 0.001
Middle school and lower	110(39.71)	192(56.97)	
High school and above	167(60.29)	145(43.03)	
Occupation ^a			0.005
Farmer	44(15.83)	66(19.94)	
Worker	76(27.34)	80(24.17)	
Server	15(5.40)	34(10.27)	
Businessman	25(8.99)	28(8.46)	
Housework	35(12.59)	356(10.57)	
Teacher/government worker	28(10.07)	11(3.32)	
Others	55(19.78)	77(23.26)	
Family history with CHDs ^a			0.118
No	272(97.84)	321(95.54)	
Yes	6(2.16)	15(4.46)	
History of abortion ^a			0.025
No	185(66.55)	194(57.74)	
Yes	93(33.45)	142(42.26)	
History of adverse pregnancy ^a			0.67
No	127(45.68)	156(47.42)	
Yes	151(54.32)	173(52.58)	
Early pregnancy health ^a			< 0.001
Healthy	242(88.32)	197(60.80)	
Illness	32(11.68)	127(39.20)	
Fetus during pregnancy ^a			0.136
Normal	266(97.08)	317(94.63)	
Abnormal	8(2.92)	18(5.37)	
Folate supplements or multiple vitamin ^a			0.277
No	130(42.48)	158(46.75)	
Yes	176(57.52)	180(53.25)	
Smoking ^a			0.022
No	173(83.17)	302(89.88)	
Yes	35(16.83)	34(14.58)	
Drinking ^a			0.167
No	254(92.70)	297(89.46)	
Yes	20(7.30)	35(10.54)	
Pesticide exposure ^a			0.023
No	269(98.53)	319(95.22)	
Yes	4(1.47)	16(4.78)	
Drug exposure ^a			< 0.001
No	244(90.37)	247(75.77)	
Yes	26(9.63)	79(24.23)	

^aCounting variables were described by frequency (percentage), and tested by χ^2 test for differences between groups

 b Quantitative data were described by mean \pm SD, and tested by t-test for differences between groups

 Table 2
 Association of selected SNPs with offspring CHDs in mothers

Genotype	Control N(%)	Case N(%)	OR (95% CI) ^a	P value ^a
rs1801133				
CC	94(30.72)	108(31.95)	1	
CT	159(51.96)	161(47.63)	0.74(0.46-1.19)	0.211
TT	53(17.32)	69(20.41)	5.22(2.29–11.91)	< 0.001
Dominant			1.06(0.67-1.66)	0.812
Recessive			6.24(2.87–13.56)	< 0.001
Additive			1.59(1.13-2.22)	0.007
rs1801131				
AA	207(67.65)	228(67.46)	1	
AC	92(30.07)	91(26.92)	1.00(0.62-1.62)	0.996
CC	7(2.29)	19(5.62)	2.18(0.74-6.40)	0.157
Dominant			1.12(0.71-1.78)	0.624
Recessive			2.17(0.77-6.32)	0.155
Additive			1.19(0.82–1.74)	0.36

^aAdjusted by gender of children, child-bearing age group, education, occupation, history of abortion, early pregnancy health, smoking, pesticide exposure an drug exposure

Table 3Association of selected SNPs with plasma HCY level inmothers with offspring CHDs

Variables	Ν	Median	95%CI	Р
rs1801133				< 0.001
CC	103	8.4	5.88-10.92	
CT	158	9.35	5.61-13.09	
TT	65	11.5	5.88-17.12	
rs1801133				< 0.001
CC	103	8.4	5.88-10.92	
CT/TT	223	9.8	5.26-14.34	
rs1801133				< 0.001
CC/CT	261	9	5.65-12.33	
TT	65	11.5	5.88-17.12	
rs1801131				0.652
AA	221	9.3	4.98-13.62	
AC	88	9.8	6.14-13.46	
CC	17	9.2	6.41-11.98	
rs1801131				0.691
AA	221	9.3	4.98-13.62	
AC/CC	105	9.5	5.97-10.03	
rs1801131				0.516
AA/AC	309	9.5	5.36-13.64	
CC	17	9.2	6.41–11.99	

were excluded). The polymorphism of maternal MTHFR rs1801133 significantly increased plasma HCY level, especially with the homozygous mutation.

Discussion

The critical period of embryonic heart development is at 3-8 weeks of gestation. Environmental factors, as important as genetic factors, are causes of CHDs which mainly include the living environment, infection factors and drug effects of pregnant women [6–9]. In this study we found that gender of children, mothers' child-bearing age, education, occupation, history of abortion, early pregnancy health, smoking, pesticide exposure and drug exposure were significantly associated with the risk of offspring CHDs susceptibility. The findings are consistent with those we observed in previous study.

MTHFR gene, catalyzes the conversion of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate into 5-methyltetrahydrofolate. The latter is involved in the transformation of HCY to methionine, releasing tetrahydrofolate, which is decreasing the level of plasma HCY [10]. Therefore, the correlation between polymorphism of MTHFR gene and CHDs has become research focuses [11-13]. However, there is a great controversy reports about the relationship between the maternal MTHFR rsl801133 and rsl801131 polymorphism and their offspring CHDs [12, 14–16]. Some of them considered that rs1801133 and rs1801131 were related with the risk of CHDs [12, 13]. But some studies suggested that there is no association between the parents' polymorphism of MTHFR rs1801133 and children' CHDs [14]. Even there was a report identified MTHFR rs1801131 as a protective locus against CHDs [17]. In our previous study, we found the mothers who got a mutation of MTHFR rs1801131 CC genotypes had a 267% increase in risk of given birth of a CHDs children (OR 3.67, 95%CI 1.12–12.05). But in this study we found that the rs1801133 variant genotypes significantly increased their offspring CHDs risk by 524% (OR 6.24, 95% CI 2.87-13.56 in Recessive model) while no statistic difference between MTHFR rs1801131 polymorphism and offspring CHDs. The inconsistency of our results may be due to different adjustment variables, in the current study we additionally adjusted child-bearing age, education and smoking without adjusting family history with CHD, history of adverse pregnancy and fetus during pregnancy. Therefore, a larger sample size studies are required to achieve more robust results.

The plasma HCY level is another tricky problem. It was affected both by genetic and nutritional elements. In our previous study, we found that it was associated with offspring CHDs, and the critical value was 8.9 μ mol/L determined by the ROC curve [18]. It is proved by nutrition studies that folic acid was key methyl donor in the plasma HCY metabolic pathway and VitB12 participates in the transfer of it. HCY could not be methylated to methionine by deficiency of folic acid or VitB12, which

leads to hyperhomocysteinemia. However, the relationship between MTHFR rs1801133 and plasma HCY level were not very clear. It is reported that the polymorphism of MTHFR rs1801133 does not influence the level of plasma HCY. The mutation of MTHFR rs1801133 CT and TT genotypes is not found to be related to hyperhomocysteinemia [19] unless there is low level of folate occurred. In this study, we found that the polymorphism of maternal MTHFR rs1801133 significantly increased plasma HCY level, especially with the homozygous mutation, under the situation which there is no significant difference between the groups taking or not taking additional folate and multiple vitamins. We doubt that our crowd might not be lack of folate in Jiangsu Province, the south of China [20]. Thus, we come to the conclusion that the maternal MTHFR rs1801133 polymorphism might be a risk factor of offspring CHDs due to the hyperhomocysteinemia by abnormal metabolism of HCY.

In summary, besides the environmental factors, the maternal MTHFR rs1801133 and rs1801131 polymorphisms might be risk factors of offspring CHDs in different pathway which require further investigation in a large quantity of populations.

Acknowledgements This study was funded by Maternal and Child Health Research Project of Jiangsu Provincial Commission of Health and Family Planning (Grant No. F201501), Scientific Research Project of Jiangsu Provincial Commission of Health and Family Planning (Grant No. Z2015-07), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81670284), Nanjing Science and Technology Project (Grant No. 201715057).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest The authors declared that they have no conflicts of interest to this work.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- National Maternal and Child Health Monitoring and Annual Newsletter, 2016, 4. https://www.mchscn.org/Article_Show. asp?ArticleID=637
- National Health and Family Planning Commission (2015) 2015 China yearbook of health and family planning statistics. Peking Union Medical College Press, Beijing

- Zaidi S, Brueckner M (2017) Genetics and genomics of congenital heart disease. Circ Res 120(6):923–940
- Blue GM, Kirk EP, Sholler GF et al (2012) Congenital heart disease: current knowledge about causes and inheritance. Med J Aust 197(3):155–159
- Shi H, Yang S, Liu Y, Huang P, Lin N, Sun X, Yu R, Zhang Y, Qin Y, Wang L (2015) Study on environmental causes and SNPs of MTHFR, MS and CBS genes related to congenital heart disease. PLoS ONE 10(6):e0128646
- Loffredo CA, Silbergeld EK, Ferencz C et al (2001) Association of transposition of the great ateries in infants with maternal exposures to herbicides and rodenticides. Am J Epidemiol 153(6):529–536
- Karatza AA, Giannakopoulos I, Dassios TG et al (2011) Periconceptional tobacco smoking and isolated congenital heart defects in neonatal period. Int J Cardiol 148(3):295–299
- Xiaojin L, Shusen Li, Lianzhong W et al (2002) Epidemiology analysis of correlative factor proportion of 2356 cases of congenital heart disease. Southwest Natl Def Med 12(4):298–301
- Mengjie C, Meiying L, Qiuyan P et al (2012) Case-control study of maternal risk factor for fetel congenital heart diseases. Chin J Perinat Med 15(4):211–216
- Kumar A, Palfrey HA, Pathak R, Kadowitz PJ, Gettys TW, Murthy SN (2017) The metabolism and significance of homocysteine in nutrition and health. Nutr Metab (Lond) 14:78
- Zhang Q, Zha D, Dong P et al (2014) Association analysis between MTHFR genetic polymorphisms and the risk of congenital heart diseases in Chinese Han population. J Pharm Pharmacol 66(9):1259–1264
- Huang J, Mei J, Jiang L et al (2014) MTHFR rs1801133 C>T polymorphism is associated with an increased risk of tetralogy of Fallot. Biomed Rep 2(2):172–176
- Yu D, Zhuang Z, Wen Z et al (2017) MTHFR A1298C polymorphisms reduce the risk of congenital heart defects: a meta-analysis from 16 case-control studies. Ital J Pediatr 43(1):108
- Mamasoula C, Prentice RR, Pierscionek T et al (2013) Association between C677T polymorphism of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase and congenital heart disease: meta-analysis of 7697 cases and 13,125 controls. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 6(4):347–353
- Li Z, Jun Y, Zhong-Bao R et al (2015) Association between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and congenital heart disease. A family-based meta-analysis. Herz 40(Suppl 2):160–167
- 16. Jiang Y, Mei J, Zhang W, Qian X, Zhang S, Liu C, Yang H (2015) Correlation between offspring congenital heart disease and MTHFR 677C/T polymorphism and general status of pregnant women. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 36(10):1072–1076
- Hobbs CA, James SJ, Parsian A et al (2006) Congenital heart defects and genetic variants in the methylenetetrahydroflate reductase gene. J Med Genet 43(2):162–166
- Shi H, Yang S, Sun X et al (2018) Study on risk value of homocysteine in pre-pregnancy women related to congenital heart disease. J Southeast Univ (Med Sci Ed) 37(4):593–596
- 19. Manetti A, Pollini I, Cecchi F et al (2000) The epidemiology of cardiovascular malformations. Genet Epidemiol 11:224
- Hao L, Ma J, Stampfer MJ, Ren A, Tian Y, Tang Y, Willett WC, Li Z (2003) Geographical, seasonal and gender differences in folate status among Chinese adults. J Nutr 133(11):3630–3635

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.