## Breaking the Phalanx: Overcoming Bacterial Drug Resistance with Quorum Sensing Inhibitors that Enhance Therapeutic Activity of Antibiotics

Jon-Michael Beasley<sup>#1</sup>, Dorjbal Dorjsuren<sup>#2</sup>, Sankalp Jain<sup>#2</sup>, Marielle Rath<sup>1</sup>, Ricardo Scheufen Tieghi<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Tropsha<sup>1</sup>, Anton Simeonov<sup>2</sup>, Alexey V. Zakharov<sup>2,\*</sup>, Eugene Muratov<sup>1,\*</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA.

<sup>2</sup> Division of Preclinical Innovation, National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Rockville, MD, 20850

<sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed: *E-mail:* <u>alexey.zakharov@nih.gov</u>, <u>murik@email.unc.edu</u>.

## Table of Content Graphic



## Abstract

Antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections loom over humanity as an increasing deadly threat. There exists a dire need for new treatments, especially those that synergize with our existing arsenal of antibiotic drugs to help overcome the gap in antibiotic efficacy and attenuate the development of new antibiotic-resistance in the most dangerous pathogens. Quorum sensing systems in bacteria drive the formation of biofilms, increase surface motility, and enhance other virulence factors, making these systems attractive targets for the discovery of novel antibacterials. Quorum sensing inhibitors (QSIs) are hypothesized to synergize with existing antibiotics, making bacteria more sensitive to the effects of these drugs. In this study, we aimed to find the synergistic combinations between the QSIs and known antibiotics to combat the two deadliest hospital infections - Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii. We mined biochemical activity databases and literature to identify known, high efficacy QSIs against these bacteria. We used these data to develop and validate a Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) model for predicting QSI activity and then employed this model to identify new potential QSIs from the Inxight database of approved and investigational drugs. We then tested binary mixtures of the identified QSIs with 11 existing antibiotics using a combinatorial matrix screening approach with ten (five of each) clinical isolates of P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii. Amongst explored drug combinations, 31 exhibited a synergistic effect, including mixtures involving naldemedine and telotristat, two drugs predicted by our model with previously undescribed QSI activity. Although no mixture inhibiting all the strains was found, piperacillin combined with curcumin, ketoprofen, indomethacin, and piroxicam demonstrated the broadest antimicrobial action. We anticipate that further preclinical investigation of these combinations of novel repurposed QSIs with a known antibiotic may lead to novel clinical candidates.

# Introduction

Antibiotic resistance, a global crisis of formidable proportions, has catapulted scientific communities into an urgent quest for innovative strategies to address the escalating threat to public health.<sup>1</sup> Most current antibiotics have been designed to directly kill pathogenic bacteria by destroying cell membranes or interfering with protein synthesis, triggering "Life or Death" selection pressure and promoting microbial resistance's evolution. Indeed, almost all pathogenic bacteria are resistant to commonly used antibiotics, which effectively renders frontline treatments ineffective.<sup>2</sup> The extensive use of antibiotics has led to the creation of "superbugs" that can resist a wide variety of common antibiotics.<sup>3</sup> A report by the British Government estimated that by 2050, antimicrobial resistance could cause 10 million deaths each year (**Figure 1**), rivalling cancer as a global cause of death, and cause a cumulative loss of US \$100 trillion to world GDP.<sup>4</sup>





As we face the antibiotic resistance crisis, where even routine infections pose a menacing risk, the development of novel solutions is imperative.<sup>7</sup> Despite the urgent need for new treatment options, the speed of antibiotic development lags far behind the rate at which bacteria are evolving to become multidrug resistant (MDR). The lack of innovation on this front can be attributed to the high cost of \$1 billion<sup>8</sup> but low success rates of new drugs, as well as to the high likelihood that bacteria will eventually develop resistance to monotherapies. These expectations of low potential profitability effectively de-incentivize pharmaceutical companies to work on discovering and manufacturing such drugs.<sup>9</sup>

Fortunately, existing antibiotics can still be leveraged against bacterial infections. Combination therapy with synergistic antibiotics having distinct mechanisms of action and different targets shows promise in reducing antibiotic resistance. In addition, dual therapies can more effectively and quickly treat bacterial infections.<sup>10</sup> Furthermore, lower doses of each antibiotic in the dual therapy can be used, which reduces the risk of toxicity and adverse effects.<sup>11</sup>

Several combination therapies are already on the market, such as (Augmentin), (Zosyn), amoxicillin/clavulanate tazobactam/piperacillin and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (Bactrim). Other antibiotic combinations have shown success in experiments, such as vancomycin + trimethoprim and vancomycin + *coli*<sup>12</sup>, Escherichia polymixin nitrofurantoin against + meropenem + В ampicillin/sulbactam against Acinetobacter baumannii<sup>13</sup>, and polymixin B + aztreonam + amikacin against colistin-resistant *E. coli*<sup>14</sup>. Interestingly, several non-antibiotic compounds have synergistic effects with select antibiotics, including ursolic acid/oleanolic acid + ampicillin/oxacillin against MRSA<sup>15</sup> and plant-derived flavonoids catechol-type flavonoid-7,8-dihydroxyflavone, myricetin, and luteolin + colistin against MDR bacteria<sup>16</sup>.

It is important to note that combination therapies do not necessarily need to be specially formulated, but rather each compound can be administered separately. Because combination therapies are less common, there are few dosing guidelines; therefore, physicians and pharmacists play a vital role when it comes to dosing in the clinic.<sup>17</sup>

#### Quorum Sensing Inhibitors and their Synergy with Antibiotics.

Among the diverse avenues of research aimed at circumventing antibiotic resistance, the inhibition of quorum sensing (QS) has emerged as a promising and relatively unexplored frontier.<sup>4,18,19</sup> Unlike traditional antibiotics that directly target essential cellular processes, QS inhibitors (QSIs) seek not to eliminate bacteria outright but disarm them, diminishing their ability to mount a coordinated defense.<sup>20</sup> At the heart of this exploration lies the recognition that as bacterial populations reach a critical density, they release signaling molecules into their environment.<sup>20,21</sup> These molecules serve as communal messages, allowing bacteria to gauge their numbers and orchestrate collective actions, such as forming biofilms, enhancing surface motility, or activating virulence factors, which have been associated with increased resistance to common antibiotics.<sup>20,21</sup> Disrupting this communication breaks their ability to act as a united front, potentially rendering them more susceptible to the host immune system and traditional antibiotics.<sup>22,23</sup>

The potential for synergistic interventions that simultaneously target both QS and traditional antibiotic pathways holds the promise of a two-pronged assault on bacterial resilience.<sup>19</sup> Synergistic combinations involving QS inhibitors may lower the required

doses of each drug and help avoid adverse events while exploiting the greater therapeutic effect.<sup>24,25</sup> For example, low-dose gentamicin combined with amoxicillin improves treatment of bacterial endocarditis.<sup>26,27</sup> Furthermore, synergistic combinations have the potential to rescue the efficacy of antibiotics for which bacteria have previously developed resistance, enabling the practice of "antibiotic recycling" of the first line-of-defense drugs.<sup>28</sup>

# Focusing on the Threat of Drug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* Infections

resistant Multidrug ESKAPE pathogens, such Enterococcus faecium, as Staphylococcus Klebsiella pneumoniae, aureus, Acinetobacter baumannii. Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Enterobacter spp. have been recognized as emerging threats to public health. Among these 6 bacteria, the World Health Organization (WHO) prioritizes Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa as critical for R&D of new antibiotics. Antibiotic resistance in *P. aeruginosa* poses a challenge due to the variety of resistance mechanisms, complicating the selection of effective treatment. An analysis of bloodstream infections from a major US hospital revealed higher mortality rates associated with P. aeruginosa isolates exhibiting a 'difficult to treat' resistance phenotype (DTR), which is characterized by resistance to fluoroquinolones, cephalosporins and carbapenems. Patients infected with isolates resistant to all these antibiotics classes experienced a 40% increase in the adjusted mortality rates compared to those with susceptible strains.<sup>29</sup> Similarly, MDR of *Acinetobacter* infections further complicates treatment. Patients frequently have prolonged exposure to healthcare environments, increasing risk of exposure to antibiotics and colonization by resistant isolates.<sup>30</sup>

## Study Goals and Outline

As stated above, WHO lists carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as critical and high priority pathogens, respectively, as they are common, fatal nosocomial infectious agents.<sup>3,31</sup> This study aims to provide further evidence for the hypothesis that QSIs can synergize with existing antibiotic drugs, leading to novel combination therapies with the great potential to be effective against *A. baumannii* and/or *P. aeruginosa*. To achieve this goal, we focused on a knowledgebased discovery approach to identify QSIs reported in chemical bioactivity online databases and literature followed by Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship (QSAR) modeling to identify additional approved or investigational drugs predicted as QSIs. We endeavored to nominate and test the combinations of putative QSIs with known

antibiotics against various strains of *A. baumannii* and *P. aeruginosa* to identify synergistic treatments.

## **Materials and Methods**

### **ChEMBL Mining and Data Curation**

We began the search for compounds that may inhibit QS by searching the ChEMBL database<sup>32</sup> for assays containing the phrase "quorum sensing". Then, assays specific to the "Pseudomonas aeruginosa" and "Acinetobacter baumannii" species were selected for further curation. Data collected from ChEMBL were curated using KNIME (v4.5.2). These entries were separated by the assay "Standard Type" field annotated by ChEMBL. We discovered 1007 "Inhibition", 141 "Activity", 78 "Efficacy", 71 "IC50", 38 "Ratio", 8 "CFU", 4 "FC", 3 "EC50", 3 "Ratio IC50", and 1 "IC85" entry Standard Types. The "Assay Description" field for each entry was reviewed to ensure that each assay was measuring the inhibition or antagonism, rather than induction or agonism of QS activity. Next, potential QS inhibitors were selected by choosing only entries with "Inhibition", "Activity", or "Efficacy" Standard Values >= 50%, or those with an "Active" or "Dose-dependent effect" annotation in the "Comments" field. The Assay Descriptions for the included entries were again manually reviewed and only entries that describe assays using compound concentrations of 10 µM or less were kept. "IC50" and "IC85" Standard Type entries were included only if their Standard Values were  $\leq 10 \mu$ M. The results of this data curation exercise included 49 entries describing 36 unique compounds with  $\geq$  50% inhibition of QS activity at concentrations of 10 µM or less. 838 entries describing 349 unique compounds were regarded as inactive with these criteria. Both chemical structures and biological activities were curated following the protocols developed by us earlier.<sup>33,34</sup> The resulting dataset is included in **Supplementary Data** 1.

#### **QSAR Modeling and Virtual Screening**

We employed QSAR modeling, validation, and virtual screening protocols implemented in KNIME (v4.5.2). The 36 active and 349 inactive compounds were aggregated as a training set for QSAR modeling. Compound structure cleaning and curation was performed. This included removal of salts, mixtures, and duplicates, as well as standardizing structure representations (aromatic rings, nitro groups, etc.).<sup>33</sup> Following these procedures, 372 compounds (36 actives and 336 inactives) remained in the cleaned training set. We trained a random forest model and validated its performance using a five-fold external cross validation protocol. We then performed virtual screening of the Inxight pharmaceutical collection<sup>35</sup> to identify approved or investigational compounds predicted to inhibit quorum sensing in *P. aeruginosa*. From the 36 known active QSIs and QSAR predicted actives, those which are already U.S. FDA approved drugs were selected for combination screening.

## Compound Selection Guided by Literature and Knowledge Graph Mining

Abstract Sifter (Version 5.5) is a publicly available Microsoft Excel workbook-based application that enhances PubMed's search capabilities.<sup>36</sup> The macro-enabled Microsoft Excel workbook, developed by the US EPA, was utilized to run a PubMed query to identify compounds that possess quorum-sensing inhibition against *A. baumannii*, since no relevant assay data was found in ChEMBL for this species. The keywords: "Acinetobacter baumannii" AND "quorum sensing" AND "inhibitors" were used. The search returned a total of 37 relevant articles. To further filter only the most relevant compounds demonstrating promising quorum sensing activity, all abstracts and papers were further investigated. If the compounds described in the research papers presented inhibition of >50% at 50 micromolar concentrations or less, the compounds were nominated for further testing, and the remaining compounds were removed.

In addition to Abstract Sifter, we used the ROBOKOP knowledge graph<sup>37,38</sup> and ChemoText<sup>39</sup> to find the additional evidence of nominated compounds being the QSI and/or their prior use as a constituent of antimicrobial mixture therapy. We also used these tools and QSAR models developed by us earlier<sup>40</sup> to exclude the combinations that may lead to undesired drug-drug interactions or side effects.

## **Bacterial Strains Tested**

All strains of *P. aeruginosa*: MRSN 317 (NR-51516), MRSN 1344 (NR-51520), MRSN 1583 (NR-51524), PA14 (NR-50573), MRSN 315 (NR-51515) and *Acinetobacter Baumannii*: WC-136 (NR-19298), WC-487 (NR-19299), 137 (OIFC137) (NR-17777), BC-5 (NR-17783), and MRSN 1171 (NR-52153) were procured from ATCC.<sup>41</sup> The selections of these resistant strains were based on their descriptions of the various resistant antibiotics.

# Antibiotic Testing of *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* in 1536-well Plate Format

LB Liquid cultures of both *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter Baumannii* strains were used for  $AC_{50}$  determination for individual antibiotics used in 1536 well plate format. Briefly, 4 µL of LB medium was dispensed into multi-well plates by a Multidrop Combi Reagent Dispenser (Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh PA). Test compounds were delivered as a DMSO solution via a Kalypsys pintool transfer (San Diego, CA) and arrayed as eleven-point titrations, with final drug concentrations ranging from 46 µM to 0.18 µM.

Following compound transfers, 2  $\mu$ L of diluted overnight culture of *P. aeruginosa* were for overnight growth assay. The bacterial growth was assessed using a BacTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega, Madison, WI) by measuring the ATP quantity, which was directly proportional to the number of viable cells in the well. The luminescent signal was read with a ViewLux reader (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT).

# Combinatorial Matrix Synergy Assay for *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* in 1536-well Plate Format

LB Liquid cultures of both *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter Baumannii* were utilized for predicted QSI and antibiotic mixtures in a matrix format in 1536-well plates. Briefly, 6  $\mu$ L of LB medium was dispensed by a Multidrop Combi Reagent Dispenser (Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh PA) into 1536-well solid bottom plates preplated with the selected compounds in combination using acoustic dispenser (Labcyte 650, Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN) at six-point titrations. Final concentrations of the tested drugs ranged from 46  $\mu$ M to 0.18  $\mu$ M. Bacterial growth was monitored by assessing cell viability though OD at 600nm, which directly correlates with the number of viable cells in each well. Optical density readings were captured using Envision reader (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT).

### **Selection of Antibiotics Tested**

We selected 21 antibiotics commonly used in clinics for treatments of bacterial infections based on a variety of mechanisms, targeting different aspects of bacterial replication: Chlorhexidine, Ciprofloxacin arowth and hydrochloride, Cefepime, Enrofloxacin, Piperacillin, Tazobactam, Difloxacin, Grepafloxacin, Pazufloxacin (mesylate). Tobramycin, Meropenem, Ceftazidime. Aztreonam, Garenoxacin. Trovafloxacin, Clinafloxacin, Delafloxacin (meglumine), Avibactam sodium, Gemifloxacin, Epetraborole and Cefiderocol. Descriptions of common uses of these drugs, their mechanisms of action, and drug resistance potential are provided in Supplemental Data 2.

We screened our in-house antibiotic library including these 21 drugs and selected those showing activity against most strains for matrix screening/analysis (**Supplemental Data 3**). This led to the selection of 11 antibiotics used in combination matrix screening: Avibactam sodium, Cefepime, Ceftazidime, Chlorhexidine, Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride, Difloxacin, Meropenem, Piperacillin, Tazobactam, Tobramycin, and Trovafloxacin.



**Figure 2. Study design and results of combinatorial matrix screening of antibiotics with QSIs.** Antibiotics selected by robotic screening against drug-resistant bacteria and potential QSIs selected by knowledge mining and machine learning predictions were tested against *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* isolates, revealing synergistically active drug combinations.

### **ChEMBL Quorum Sensing Inhibition Data**

The result of the ChEMBL search yielded 1354 bioactivity entries for *P. aeruginosa*, but none were found for *A. baumannii*. The results of this data curation included 49 entries describing 36 unique compounds with >= 50% inhibition of QS activity at concentrations of 10  $\mu$ M or less (**Supplemental Data 1**). 838 entries describing 349 unique compounds were regarded as inactive with these criteria. Of the 36 active compounds, 3 were chosen for synergy screening in this study due to their status as approved or investigational drugs in the Inxight pharmaceutical collection<sup>35</sup>: curcumin, azaguanine-8, and sulfathiazole.

### **QSAR Modeling and Virtual Screening**

The RF model trained on compounds tested for *P. aeruginosa* QSI activity obtained an AUC-ROC of 0.89 and the same model trained on randomly labelled data obtained



performance of only 0.51, indicating our model was not overfitted. We further performed virtual

screening of the Inxiaht pharmaceutical collection.<sup>35</sup> 49 of the 12,584 compounds were predicted to be active. Of these 49, seven compounds including abafungin, mycophenolic acid, telotristat. fenebrutinib, umbralisib (R enantiomer), relacorilant. and naldemedine. remained as these were predicted not have to undesired drug-drug interactions by

our models and knowledge graph mining results. Due to significant deficit of reliable experimental data, we also utilized KGs for searching for any additional evidence of QSI or antibacterial activity of selected compounds, similarly to our previous antiviral studies.<sup>42</sup>

**Figure 3.** Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve of *P. aeruginosa* QSI classifier model demonstrating five-fold cross validation performance. This model achieved 0.89 AUC (red line), while cross validation on randomly labelled data achieved no better performance than random prediction (0.51 AUC, blue line).

#### **Abstract Sifter for Literature Mining**

Literature mining with Abstract Sifter resulted in 37 articles for investigation. From these 37 articles, 26 compounds of potential interest were identified (Compounds with inhibition greater than 50% for *A. baumannii* and clearly stated concentrations used).

From these 26 compounds, known antibiotics were excluded, resulting in the nomination of 5 compounds for further investigation: ketoprofen, piroxicam, indomethacin, curcumin, and levamisole.

#### Table 1: Nominated Compounds from *A. baumannii* Literature Search.

Ketoprofen, piroxicam, indomethacin, curcumin, and levamisole were identified as QSIs in *A. baumannii*.<sup>43–45</sup>

Compound Name:	PUBCHEM ID	Inhibition	Concentration	DOI
Ketoprofen	PUBCHEM.COMPOUND:3825	72%	0.7-6.25 mg/mL	<u>10.1111/jam.15</u> <u>609</u>
Piroxicam	PUBCHEM.COMPOUND:54676228	91%	1.25-2.5 mg/mL	<u>10.1111/jam.15</u> <u>609</u>
Indomethacin	PUBCHEM.COMPOUND:3715	81%	3.12-12.5 mg/mL	<u>10.1111/jam.15</u> <u>609</u>
Curcumin	PUBCHEM.COMPOUND:969516	80%	0.01 and 0.05 mg/mL	<u>10.3389/fmicb.</u> <u>2019.00990</u>
Levamisole	PUBCHEM.COMPOUND:26879	72%	0.512 mg/mL	<u>10.1007/s1009</u> <u>6-020-03882-z</u>

#### Synergy and Antagonism of Selected Combinations

**Figure 4** summarizes the results of testing the combinations of Piperacillin with Piroxicam at different concentrations against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MRSN 1344). The heat maps depict the response profiles when testing these combinations, indicating areas of synergy and antagonism. The response profiles in the heat maps are evaluated using the DBSumNeg value (**Figure 4** (b)), which quantifies the degree of synergy. In this context, DBSumNeg represents the sum of the differences between observed and expected growth inhibition values, with negative values indicating synergy. A DBSumNeg threshold of -3 is considered indicative of synergy because it reflects a significant deviation from additive effects, meaning the combined effect of the drugs is greater than the sum of their individual effects.<sup>46</sup>



#### P. Aeruginosa MRSN 1344 (DBSumNeg: -3.81)



Review of all response profiles for the drug combinations (**Supplemental Data 4-5**) resulted in selection of 26 combinations with DBSumNeg less than -3 in any tested strain (**Table 2**). Of these combinations, we sought to select the combinations, for each bacterial species, which achieved high synergy in multiple strains or across multiple species. We found that all 26 of these combinations achieved synergy in only one strain per species, but the combination of <u>curcumin and piperacillin</u> had strong synergy in both *A. baumannii* WC-487 and *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 1344, the combination of <u>piroxicam and piperacillin</u> had strong synergy in both *A. baumannii* WC-136 and *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 1344, the combination of <u>indomethacin and piperacillin</u> had strong synergy in both *A. baumannii* WC-136 and *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 1344, and the combination of <u>ketoprofen and piperacillin</u> had strong synergy in both *A. baumannii* WC-136 and *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 1344, and the combination of <u>ketoprofen and piperacillin</u> had strong synergy in both *A. baumannii* WC-136 and *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 1344, and the combination of <u>ketoprofen and piperacillin</u> had strong synergy in both *A. baumannii* WC-136 and *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 1344, and the combination of <u>ketoprofen and piperacillin</u> had strong synergy in both *A. baumannii* WC-136 and *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 1344, the combination of <u>ketoprofen and piperacillin</u> had strong synergy in both *A. baumannii* WC-136 and *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 1344 (**Figure 5**).

We also found the combinations of naldemedine with avibactam, tazobactam, tobramycin, ceftazidime, chlorhexidine, and piperacillin in *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 315, the combination of telotristat and chlorhexidine in *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 315, and the combination of telotristat with tobramycin and avibactam in *A. baumannii* MRSN 1171 noteworthy as all of these combinations achieved exceptionally high DBSumNeg scores < -5 not achieved by any other combinations in the study (**Table 2**).

We found that 43 drug combinations displayed anti-synergistic, antagonistic behavior in at least one strain. All but 4 of these antagonistic combinations were observed in only one bacterial strain. The combinations of curcumin and meropenem, curcumin and ciprofloxacin HCl, azaguanine-8 and ciprofloxacin HCl, and abafungin and clinafloxacin showed antagonism in two *A. baumannii* strains. **Figure 6** shows all synergistic and antagonistic combinations side-by-side in a circle plot for each bacterial species. A tabular form of these synergistic and antagonist interactions is available in **Supplemental Data 6**.

Table 2: Combinations of known antibiotics with nominated drugs showinghighest synergistic activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* strains.Combinations with DBSumNeg less than -3.0 were selected for further review (drugnames in bold font), but combinations with -2.5 or less are still shown.

Antibiotic	<b>Combination Drug</b>	DBSumNeg	Strain
Levamisole	Meropenem	-4.87	
1	Trovafloxacin		
Levamisole	mesylate Trovafloxacin	-4.14	
Sulfathiazole	mesvlate	-3.9	
Sulfathiazole	Meropenem	-3.7	Pseudomonas aeruginosa, MRSN 317
Sulfathiazole	Chlorhexidine	-3.19	
Levamisole	Cefepime HCI	-2.92	
Mycophenolic acid	Meropenem	-2.54	
Curcumin	Piperacillin	-4.36	
Piroxicam	Piperacillin	-3.81	
Indomethacin	Piperacillin	-3.8	Pseudomonas aeruginosa, MRSN 1344
Azaguanine-8	Piperacillin	-3.7	
Ketoprofen	Piperacillin	-3.65	
Levamisole	Piperacillin	-2.75	
Naldemedine	Avibactam	-11.93	
Naldemedine	Tazobactam	-9.49	
Telotristat	Chlorhexidine	-8.76	
Naldemedine	Tobramycin	-7.71	
Naldemedine	Ceftazidime	-7.59	Pseudomonas aeruginosa, MRSN 315
Naldemedine	Chlorhexidine	-7.25	
Naldemedine	Piperacillin	-7.07	
Telotristat	Difloxacin HCI	-3.11	
Piroxicam	Piperacillin	-4.98	
Indomethacin	Piperacillin	-3.94	Acinetobacter baumannii, WC-136
Ketoprofen	Piperacillin	-3.76	
Ketoprofen	Ciprofloxacin HCI	-4.2	Acinetobacter baumannii, WC-487
Piroxicam	Ciprofloxacin HCI	-4.17	

Indomethacin	Ciprofloxacin HCI	-4.13	
Curcumin	Piperacillin	-3.73	
 Sulfathiazole	Ciprofloxacin HCI	-2.64	
Telotristat	Tobramycin	-6.73	
Telotristat	Avibactam	-5.92	
Telotristat	Cefepime HCI	-4.97	
Telotristat	Piperacillin	-4.34	
Levamisole	Chlorhexidine	-3.02	Acinetobacter baumannii, MRSN 1171
Sulfathiazole	Tobramycin	-2.88	
Telotristat	Ceftazidime	-2.83	
Piroxicam	Chlorhexidine	-2.78	
Telotristat	Tazobactam	-2.63	



**Figure 5: Synergistic Interaction Heatmap for Antibiotics and Compounds Against** *A. baumannii* and *P. aeruginosa.* The figure displays a heatmap representing the number of strains showing synergy between various antibiotics and compounds, as evaluated against A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa. Number of strains showing synergy was quantified for combinations that showed a DBSumNeg value of less than –3.



**Figure 6: Interaction Network of** *A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa* with Antibiotics **and Compounds.** Network represents the interactions between antibiotics and QSIs against *A. baumannii* (left) and *P. aeruginosa* (right), classified by their synergistic (green), and antagonistic (red).

### Drug Approval Status, Pharmacokinetics, and Dosing Considerations

We must also consider pharmacokinetic properties which might affect drug concentration at the site of infection. An important consideration is that the non-antibiotic quorum sensing inhibitors are not indicated for bacterial infections to date, so dosing regimens may need to be created to ensure the concentration reaches the IC50 in the site of infection, while mitigating potential toxicity. We have provided reported and predicted pharmacokinetic data for these drugs in **Supplemental Data 7.** We have not included CMax, as this is dependent on dosing and only directly applicable for bloodstream infections. Clinical use of these drugs have either not yet been approved for clinical use in the US or may have been withdrawn due to safety concerns. Approval status of these drugs is compiled in **Table 3**.

Drug name	Approval status	Comments	
Ketoprofen	Approved		
Meropenem	Approved		
Aztreonam	Approved		

**Table 3**. Approval status of tested drugs (found on DrugBank<sup>47</sup>)

Cefepime	Approved	
Cefiderocol	Approved	
Diperacillin	Approved	
Clinaflovacia		Investigational new drug
Cirranoxaciri	Investigationali	application was withdrawn due to safety concerns <sup>48</sup>
Ciprofloxacin hydrochloride	Approved	
Delafloxacin (meglumine)	Approved	
Difloxacin HCI	Vet approved, not approved for human use	
Enrofloxacin	Vet approved, not approved for human use	
Garenoxacin	Investigational in the US,	
(Mesylate hydrate)	approved in Japan	
Gemifloxacin	Approved	
Grepafloxacin	Withdrawn	Due to deaths from Torsade de Pointes
Pazufloxacin	Investigational in the US,	
(mesylate)	approved in Japan	
Trovafloxacin	Withdrawn	Due to risks of fatal liver failure
mesylate		
Sulfathiazole	Withdrawn	All forms except for those indicated for vaginal use have been withdrawn
Epetraborole (hydrochloride)	Investigational	Risk of nephrotoxicity, safer sulfonamide antibiotics are available
Tobramycin	Approved	
Abafungin	Investigational	Development discontinued in 2009 in Europe and Japan
Ketoprofen	Approved	
Piroxicam	Approved	
Indomethacin	Approved	
Azaguanine-8	Experimental	
Chlorhexidine	Approved	
Curcumin	Approved	
Levamisole	Withdrawn; vet approved	Withdrawn from market for human use due to risk of

		agranulocytosis
Mycophenolic	Approved	
acid		
Naldemedine	Approved	
Relacorilant	Investigational	
Telotristat	Experimental	
Umbralisib (R-	Withdrawn	Withdrawn due to risk of death
enantiomer)		

Tables 4a and 4b. Potential interactions between selected synergistic combinations.

Interactions were checked using Facts and Comparisons<sup>49</sup> and DrugBank<sup>47</sup>. If a potential interaction was found, we performed a further literature and database search for the nature of the interaction.

Table 4a. Drug combinations that are predicted to have drug-drug interactions.

Agent 1	Agent 2	Outcome of the potential interaction	Potential mechanism of interaction
Meropenem	Ketoprofen	Increased meropenem concentration	Ketoprofen can decrease renal excretion of meropenem, leading to a higher concentration of meropenem in serum. <sup>50</sup> Ketoprofen inhibits the following transporters: SLC1A2, SLC22A6, SLC22A8, SLC22A11, SLC22A7, several of which play a role in renal excretion.
			Meropenem is renally excreted.
Piperacillin	Piroxicam	Increased piperacillin concentration	Reduced excretion of piperacillin. <sup>51</sup> Piroxicam is an inhibitor of SLC22A6 and SLC22A8. <sup>52</sup> Piperacillin is an inhibitor of SLC22A6 and substrate of
Tabasasia	N a lata na a al'in a		SLC22A8.
IODramycin	Naldemedine	tobramycin concentration	excretion of tobramycin, resulting in a higher serum level of tobramycin. <sup>53</sup>

			Both drugs are renally excreted (tobramycin 90-95% and naldemedine 57%). <sup>49</sup>
Piperacillin	Naldemedine	Increased naldemedine concentration	Piperacillin could reduce the excretion of naldemedine, resulting in a higher serum concentration of naldemedine. <sup>53</sup>
			Piperacillin is primarily renally excreted (68% as unchanged drug in the urine). <sup>49</sup>
Piperacillin	Indomethacin	Increased piperacillin and indomethacin	Reduced renal clearance of both drugs.
		concentrations	Piperacillin is an inhibitor of SLC22A6, and indomethacin is a substrate and inhibitor of SLC22A6.
			Piperacillin is a substrate of SLC22A8, and indomethacin is an inhibitor.
Ceftazidime	Naldemedine	Increased naldemedine concentrations	Ceftazidime could reduce the excretion of naldemedine, resulting in a higher serum concentration of naldemedine. <sup>53</sup>
			Both drugs are renally excreted (ceftazidime IV or injection 80-90% and naldemedine 57% in urine). <sup>49,5449</sup>
Difloxacin HCL	Indomethacin	Neuroexcitatory activity	NSAIDs can increase risk of fluoroquinolone-induced neuroexcitatory activity. Difloxacin and indomethacin specific combination has not been evaluated. <sup>55</sup>
Enrofloxacin	Indomethacin	Neuroexcitatory activity	Indomethacin may increase risk of fluoroquinolone-induced neuroexcitatory activity. <sup>56</sup> The specific combination of enrofloxacin and indomethacin has not been evaluated.
Pazufloxacin mesylate	Indomethacin	Neuroexcitatory activity	Indomethacin may increase risk of fluoroquinolone-induced neuroexcitatory activity, although there are no case reports of this but rather a class effect. <sup>57,58</sup>

Cefepime HCL	Ketoprofen	Nephrotoxicity	Increased risk of nephrotoxicity. Renal function should be monitored.
			One animal study showed that cefepime and diclofenac (a different NSAID) increased risk of tissue damage. <sup>59</sup>

Table 4b. Drug combinations that have no known drug-drug interactions.

Agent 1	Agent 2
Piperacillin	Azaguanine-8
Piperacillin	Curcumin
Piperacillin	Levamisole
Piperacillin	Ketoprofen
Avibactam	Naldemedine
Tazobactam	Naldemedine
Chlorhexidine	Naldemedine
Chlorhexidine	Telotristat
Tobramycin	Telotristat
Avibactam	Telotristat
Piperacillin	Sulfathiazole
Ciprofloxacin HCL	Sulfathiazole
Meropenem	Curcumin
Cefiderocol	Curcumin

Of the 24 hit combinations, 10 are expected to have potential drug-drug interactions. Of note, many of these interactions pertain to one drug reducing the clearance of another, through inhibiting transporters (as in the case of ketoprofen + meropenem, piperacillin + piroxicam, and others). If these treatments are implemented in the hospital/clinic, dose adjustments may be necessary. However, there are several interactions more directly related to toxicity, as is the case with indomethacin + fluoroquinolones (risk of neuroexcitatory activity) and cefepime + ketoprofen (risk of acute kidney injury).

## Discussion

In this study, we substantiated the hypothesis that treating drug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* isolates with QSIs in combination with existing antibiotic drugs could confer a synergistic bactericidal effect. To prioritize clinical translational potential, we chose to focus on screening combinations involving known QSIs which are also approved drugs with well-known safety and pharmacokinetic profiles in humans. To

select additional test compounds with unknown QSI activity, we developed a QSAR model to predict QSI activity from among approved compounds in the Inxight pharmaceuticals collection. We identified several combinations involving piroxicam, curcumin, indomethacin, ketoprofen, naldemedine, and telotristat which synergize with existing antibiotics. However, the exact mechanism of this synergistic activity has yet to be fully understood. Here, we discuss the putative mechanisms of action of these compounds based on prior literature.

### Putative Mechanisms of Action of the Synergistic QSIs

#### Piroxicam

While piroxicam has been shown to inhibit various QS virulence factors in several *A. baumannii* strains<sup>43</sup>, no studies, to the best of our knowledge, demonstrated its ability to inhibit QS, specifically, in *P. aeruginosa.* However, piroxicam was predicted to inhibit QS proteins LasR and PqsE by molecular docking and structure analysis.<sup>60</sup> Piroxicam has been shown to protect mice from a lethal challenge of *P. aeruginosa* and diminish inflammatory response to *P. aeruginosa* pneumonia, although this protection was not due to direct bactericidal effects of the drug.<sup>61</sup> Interestingly, other oxicam NSAID drugs, meloxicam and tenoxicam, have demonstrated QSI activity in *P. aeruginosa.*<sup>62,63</sup> It is known that the combination of piroxicam with piperacillin can reduce the renal clearance of piperacillin. This is likely because piroxicam is an inhibitor of SLC22A6 and SLC22A8<sup>52</sup>, while piperacillin is an inhibitor of SLC22A6 and substrate of SLC22A8<sup>51</sup>. It is plausible that this drug interaction may improve treatment outcomes by extending the half-life of piperacillin, although additional *in vivo* studies are required to support this hypothesis.

#### Curcumin

Curcumin has been shown to disrupt QS in *A. baumannii* strain ATCC 17978. Specifically, curcumin reduced biofilm formation by ~45% at 10 µg/ml and ~86% at 100 µg/ml, significantly reduced pellicle formation at 50 µg/ml, reduced surface motility by >75% at 10 µg/ml, and reduced *C. elegans* killing by infection from 80% to 35% at 50 µg/ml.<sup>44</sup> Furthermore, molecular docking studies predicted interactions with curcumin and the active site of the biofilm response regulator BfmR as putative mechanism of action for regulation of *A. baumannii* QS virulence factors.<sup>44</sup> In *P. aeruginosa* PA14, inhibited the LasI/LasR QS system, as evidenced by 21% reduction in 3-oxo-C12-HSL production (via LasI) and 7% reduction in 3-oxo-C12-HSL detection (via LasR) at 200 µg/ml.<sup>64</sup> Curcumin has been shown to attenuate virulence of *P. aeruginosa* in whole plant and animal models. It is also thought to inhibit *P. aeruginosa* efflux pumps<sup>65</sup>, presenting another possible mechanism for its observed synergy with beta-lactam antibiotics, such as piperacillin. Furthermore, the combination of curcumin and

meropenem has previously been shown to have synergistic activity against several meropenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates, suggesting broad spectrum potential for this treatment combination.<sup>66</sup>

#### Indomethacin

Like piroxicam, indomethacin has been shown to inhibit *A. baumannii* QS virulence factors, including biofilm formation, and surface motility, and bacterial tolerance to oxidative stress.<sup>43</sup> In another study, indomethacin was tested for inhibition of QS in *Chromobacterium violaceum* CV026 and effects on virulence production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, but was found to lack anti-QS activity as measured by the inhibition of production of violacein pigment.<sup>67</sup> While we show the synergistic effects of indomethacin with piperacillin in our current study, these prior results suggest that indomethacin may be acting through mechanisms unrelated to QS. Further experiments beyond violacein pigment inhibition in *P. aeruginosa* are warranted to investigate the activity reported in our current study.

#### Ketoprofen

Ketoprofen, like piroxicam and indomethacin, was shown to inhibit QS virulence factors with MICs 0.7-6.25 mg/mL in various *A. baumannii* strains.<sup>43</sup> The QSI activity of ketoprofen has also previously been confirmed in *P. aeruginosa* via assays showing attenuation of virulence factors and biofilm formation, as well as reduction in the expression of lasI, lasR, rhII, and rhIR genes, by 35-47, 22-48, 34-67, and 43-56%, respectively.<sup>68</sup> Furthermore, *in silico* studies and comparison of chemical structures to natural QS activator ligands suggest that ketoprofen and its analogues inhibit QS in *P. aeruginosa* by acting directly on the *PqsR* protein target.<sup>69</sup>

#### Naldemedine

Naldemedine, a peripherally acting mu-opioid receptor (OPRM1) antagonist used in the treatment of opioid induced constipation, was a particularly surprising hit which showed exceptionally strong synergy with multiple antibiotics when tested against *P. aeruginosa* MRSN 315. We selected this drug for combinations screening as it was a predicted by our QSAR model as a QSI in *P. aeruginosa*, but we could find very little literature linking the drug to use in infectious diseases. However, one study used molecular docking to identify naldemedine, along with telmisartan and azilsartan, as potential inhibitors of the sortase A (SrtA) virulence factor in *Staphylococcus aureus*.<sup>70</sup> SrtA in Gram-positive infectious bacteria, like *S. aureus*, recruits other virulence proteins to the bacterial cell wall to aid in cell adhesion, host cell invasion, immune system evasion, biofilm formation, and nutrient acquisition.<sup>71,72</sup> SrtA represents an attractive drug target as it is localized to the exterior of the cell wall, has no known homologs in humans, and is not essential to cell viability, thus targeting this protein is unlikely to apply an evolutionary

selective pressure towards resistance mechanisms.<sup>73–75</sup> However, most Gram-negative bacteria, including *P. aeruginosa*, lack sortase enzymes, so the exact mechanism of naldemedine's strong synergy with antibiotics in *P. aeruginosa* is unclear. We believe the evidence in the current study motivates further investigation into the mechanisms of its antimicrobial effect and its clinical use as a therapeutic agent.

#### Telotristat

Telotristat ethyl, the prodrug of telotristat, is a tryptophan hydroxylase inhibitor used to treat carcinoid syndrome diarrhea from neuroendocrine tumors. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no prior studies evaluating the direct effects of telotristat on bacterial QS, however we hypothesize that telotristat may inhibit QS by disrupting serotonin signaling. Tryptophan hydroxylases (TPH1/2) are critical to the production of serotonin, and serotonin is known to be a key modulator of bacteria in the host gut microbiome.<sup>76</sup> Serotonin upregulates QS signaling in *P. aeruginosa* and these bacteria are known to synthesize their own serotonin from available tryptophan in the environment.<sup>77</sup> Therefore, we hypothesize that the observed synergistic effects of telotristat in our current study could be due to inhibition of bacterial tryptophan hydroxylase, which could deplete serotonin and reduce QS activity, even in an *in vitro* environment.

## **Considerations for Clinical Use**

Clinical use of these drug combinations will require consideration of drug distribution throughout the body and study of appropriate dosing strategies. Furthermore, clinicians will need to consider half-lives of each combination therapy and devise dosing regimens to ensure sufficient therapeutic concentrations of both drugs concomitantly. Thus, additional monitoring may be required if these combinations are implemented in practice. As usual, clinical judgment will be necessary for implementing these treatments in patients.

## Conclusions

In this study, we substantiated the hypothesis that treating drug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* isolates with QSIs in combination with existing antibiotic drugs could confer a synergistic bactericidal effect. To prioritize clinical translational potential, we chose to focus on screening combinations involving known QSIs which are also approved drugs with well-known safety and pharmacokinetic profiles in humans. To select additional test compounds with unknown QSI activity, we developed a QSAR model to predict QSI activity from among approved compounds in the Inxight pharmaceuticals collection.

We used a combinatorial matrix screening approach to test these 14 identified compounds in combination with 11 antibiotics with antimicrobial activity against our *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* isolates at varied concentrations. We discovered several pairs of compounds with a strong antimicrobial synergy effect, including combinations of curcumin, piroxicam, indomethacin, and ketoprofen with piperacillin, which showed synergy in both *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* strains, and combinations involving naldemedine and telotristat, drugs with previously unreported QSI or antimicrobial activity.

We also investigated potential drug-drug interactions between the agents in the synergistic combination hits. Of the 24 combinations, 10 have potential drug-drug interactions. Of these, 6 pertain to the alteration in excretion. If implemented in the clinic/hospital, this could require dose adjustment, but it is not a reason to discount the combination. There are four combinations for which toxicity is a concern (NSAIDs + fluroquinolones). These combinations could require further monitoring in clinic.

In summary, our results and previous evidence for the hypothesis that QSIs could enhance the antimicrobial activity of the existing antibiotic arsenal. We anticipate that these results will motivate further preclinical investigations to confirm antibacterial synergy and combination safety *in vivo* before translational use in the clinic.

# **Conflict of Interest**

A.T. and E.N.M. are co-founders of Predictive, LLC, which develops novel alternative methodologies and software for toxicity prediction. All the other authors declare no conflicts.

# Acknowledgements

AT and ENM acknowledge the partial support from NIH (U24 ES035214) and NIEHS (R41ES033857). This study was also partly supported by the Intramural research program of the NCATS, NIH. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

# References

- 1. Zhang, R., Eggleston, K., Rotimi, V. & Zeckhauser, R. J. Antibiotic resistance as a global threat: evidence from China, Kuwait and the United States. *Global. Health* **2**, 6 (2006).
- 2. Tanwar, J., Das, S., Fatima, Z. & Hameed, S. Multidrug resistance: an emerging crisis. Interdiscip. Perspect. Infect. Dis. **2014**, 541340 (2014).
- 3. Tacconelli, E. *et al.* Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: the WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **18**, 318–327 (2018).
- 4. Zhao, X., Yu, Z. & Ding, T. Quorum-Sensing Regulation of Antimicrobial Resistance in Bacteria. *Microorganisms* **8**, (2020).
- 5. O'Neill, J. *The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR)*. https://amr-review.org/ (2014).
- 6. Sleeman, K. E. *et al.* The escalating global burden of serious health-related suffering: projections to 2060 by world regions, age groups, and health conditions. *Lancet Glob. Health* **7**, e883–e892 (2019).
- 7. Gould, I. M. Antibiotic resistance: the perfect storm. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **34**, S2–S5 (2009).
- 8. A new class of antibiotics is cause for cautious celebration but the economics must be fixed. *Nature* **625**, 7 (2024).
- 9. WHO Antibacterial Pipeline Team. Lack of innovation set to undermine antibiotic performance and health gains. *World Health Organization* https://www.who.int/news/item/22-06-2022-22-06-2022-lack-of-innovation-set-to-undermine-antibiotic-performance-and-health-gains (2022).
- 10. Drusano, G. L. *et al.* Analysis of combination drug therapy to develop regimens with shortened duration of treatment for tuberculosis. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e101311 (2014).
- 11. Wang, N., Luo, J., Deng, F., Huang, Y. & Zhou, H. Antibiotic combination therapy: A strategy to overcome bacterial resistance to aminoglycoside antibiotics. *Front. Pharmacol.* **13**, 839808 (2022).
- 12. Zhou, A. *et al.* Synergistic interactions of vancomycin with different antibiotics against Escherichia coli: trimethoprim and nitrofurantoin display strong synergies with

vancomycin against wild-type E. coli. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **59**, 276–281 (2015).

- 13. Lenhard, J. R. *et al.* Polymyxin-resistant, carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii is eradicated by a triple combination of agents that lack individual activity. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **72**, 1415–1420 (2017).
- 14. Bulman, Z. P. *et al.* Polymyxin Combinations Combat Escherichia coli Harboring mcr-1 and blaNDM-5: Preparation for a Postantibiotic Era. *MBio* **8**, (2017).
- 15. Catteau, L. *et al.* Synergy between Ursolic and Oleanolic Acids from Vitellaria paradoxa Leaf Extract and β-Lactams against Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus: In Vitro and In Vivo Activity and Underlying Mechanisms. *Molecules* **22**, (2017).
- 16. Zhong, Z.-X. *et al.* Natural flavonoids disrupt bacterial iron homeostasis to potentiate colistin efficacy. *Sci. Adv.* **9**, eadg4205 (2023).
- 17. Ahmed, A., Azim, A., Gurjar, M. & Baronia, A. K. Current concepts in combination antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients. *Indian J. Crit. Care Med.* **18**, 310–314 (2014).
- 18. Naga, N. G., El-Badan, D. E., Ghanem, K. M. & Shaaban, M. I. It is the time for quorum sensing inhibition as alternative strategy of antimicrobial therapy. *Cell Commun. Signal.* 21, 133 (2023).
- 19. Brackman, G., Cos, P., Maes, L., Nelis, H. J. & Coenye, T. Quorum sensing inhibitors increase the susceptibility of bacterial biofilms to antibiotics in vitro and in vivo. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **55**, 2655–2661 (2011).
- 20. Brackman, G. & Coenye, T. Quorum sensing inhibitors as anti-biofilm agents. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **21**, 5–11 (2015).
- 21. Miller, M. B. & Bassler, B. L. Quorum sensing in bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 55, 165–199 (2001).
- 22. Park, S.-C., Park, Y. & Hahm, K.-S. The role of antimicrobial peptides in preventing multidrug-resistant bacterial infections and biofilm formation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **12**, 5971–5992 (2011).
- 23. Khatoon, Z., McTiernan, C. D., Suuronen, E. J., Mah, T.-F. & Alarcon, E. I. Bacterial biofilm formation on implantable devices and approaches to its treatment and prevention. *Heliyon* **4**, e01067 (2018).

- 24. Fong, J. *et al.* Combination Therapy Strategy of Quorum Quenching Enzyme and Quorum Sensing Inhibitor in Suppressing Multiple Quorum Sensing Pathways of P. aeruginosa. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 1155 (2018).
- 25. Rezzoagli, C., Archetti, M., Mignot, I., Baumgartner, M. & Kümmerli, R. Combining antibiotics with antivirulence compounds can have synergistic effects and reverse selection for antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *PLoS Biol.* **18**, e3000805 (2020).
- 26. Tamma, P. D., Cosgrove, S. E. & Maragakis, L. L. Combination therapy for treatment of infections with gram-negative bacteria. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **25**, 450–470 (2012).
- Martí-Carvajal, A. J., Dayer, M., Conterno, L. O., Gonzalez Garay, A. G. & Martí-Amarista,
  C. E. A comparison of different antibiotic regimens for the treatment of infective endocarditis. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 5, CD009880 (2020).
- 28. Salam, M. A. *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance: A growing serious threat for global public health. *Healthcare (Basel)* **11**, (2023).
- 29. Kadri, S. S. *et al.* Difficult-to-Treat Resistance in Gram-negative Bacteremia at 173 US Hospitals: Retrospective Cohort Analysis of Prevalence, Predictors, and Outcome of Resistance to All First-line Agents. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **67**, 1803–1814 (2018).
- 30. Lee, H.-Y., Chen, C.-L., Wu, S.-R., Huang, C.-W. & Chiu, C.-H. Risk factors and outcome analysis of acinetobacter baumannii complex bacteremia in critical patients. *Crit. Care Med.* **42**, 1081–1088 (2014).
- 31. WHO updates list of drug-resistant bacteria most threatening to human health. https://www.who.int/news/item/17-05-2024-who-updates-list-of-drug-resistantbacteria-most-threatening-to-human-health.
- 32. Mendez, D. *et al.* ChEMBL: towards direct deposition of bioassay data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D930–D940 (2019).
- Fourches, D., Muratov, E. & Tropsha, A. Trust, but verify: on the importance of chemical structure curation in cheminformatics and QSAR modeling research. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 50, 1189–1204 (2010).
- 34. Fourches, D., Muratov, E. & Tropsha, A. Curation of chemogenomics data. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **11**, 535 (2015).
- 35. Siramshetty, V. B. *et al.* NCATS Inxight Drugs: a comprehensive and curated portal for translational research. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, D1307–D1316 (2022).

- 36. Baker, N., Knudsen, T. & Williams, A. Abstract Sifter: a comprehensive front-end system to PubMed. [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. *F1000Res.* **6**, (2017).
- 37. Bizon, C. *et al.* ROBOKOP KG and KGB: Integrated Knowledge Graphs from Federated Sources. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **59**, 4968–4973 (2019).
- 38. Morton, K. *et al.* ROBOKOP: an abstraction layer and user interface for knowledge graphs to support question answering. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 5382–5384 (2019).
- 39. Capuzzi, S. J. *et al.* Chemotext: A Publicly Available Web Server for Mining Drug-Target-Disease Relationships in PubMed. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **58**, 212–218 (2018).
- 40. Zakharov, A. V. *et al.* QSAR Modeling and Prediction of Drug-Drug Interactions. *Mol. Pharm.* **13**, 545–556 (2016).
- 41. ATCC: The Global Bioresource Center | ATCC. https://www.atcc.org/?matchtype=e&network=g&device=c&adposition=&keyword=atc c%20org&gad\_source=1&gbraid=0AAAAADR6fprCqnXVNJ1oqyrMnWcqwhr6F&gclid=Cj wKCAiApsm7BhBZEiwAvlu2X50Upv4obnGv2PMyHXCXJXg3vox6yQuAgfkpJVhYG7\_m7ke AwGWUKhoCkY4QAvD\_BwE.
- 42. Bobrowski, T. *et al.* Synergistic and Antagonistic Drug Combinations against SARS-CoV-2. *Mol. Ther.* **29**, 873–885 (2021).
- 43. Elshaer, S. L., Shaldam, M. A. & Shaaban, M. I. Ketoprofen, piroxicam and indomethacinsuppressed quorum sensing and virulence factors in Acinetobacter baumannii. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **133**, 2182–2197 (2022).
- 44. Raorane, C. J. *et al.* Antibiofilm and Antivirulence Efficacies of Flavonoids and Curcumin Against Acinetobacter baumannii. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 990 (2019).
- 45. Seleem, N. M., Abd El Latif, H. K., Shaldam, M. A. & El-Ganiny, A. Drugs with new lease of life as quorum sensing inhibitors: for combating MDR Acinetobacter baumannii infections. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **39**, 1687–1702 (2020).
- 46. Mott, B. T. *et al.* High-throughput matrix screening identifies synergistic and antagonistic antimalarial drug combinations. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13891 (2015).
- 47. Knox, C. *et al.* Drugbank 6.0: the drugbank knowledgebase for 2024. *Nucleic Acids Res.*52, D1265–D1275 (2024).
- 48. W-L withdraws clinafloxacin NDA Pharmaceutical industry news | The Pharmaletter. https://www.thepharmaletter.com/w-l-withdraws-clinafloxacin-nda.

- 49. Facts and Comparisons | UpToDate Lexidrug | Wolters Kluwer. https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/uptodate/enterprise/lexidrug-facts-andcomparisons.
- 50. Meropenem: Uses, Interactions, Mechanism of Action | DrugBank Online. https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB00760.
- 51. Piperacillin: Uses, Interactions, Mechanism of Action | DrugBank Online. https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB00319.
- 52. Piroxicam: Uses, Interactions, Mechanism of Action | DrugBank Online. https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB00554.
- 53. Naldemedine: Uses, Interactions, Mechanism of Action | DrugBank Online. https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB11691.
- 54. Ceftazidime: Uses, Interactions, Mechanism of Action | DrugBank Online. https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB00438.
- 55. Hori, S., Kizu, J. & Kawamura, M. Effects of anti-inflammatory drugs on convulsant activity of quinolones: a comparative study of drug interaction between quinolones and anti-inflammatory drugs. *J. Infect. Chemother.* **9**, 314–320 (2003).
- 56. Enrofloxacin: Uses, Interactions, Mechanism of Action | DrugBank Online. https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB11404.
- 57. Pazufloxacin: Uses, Interactions, Mechanism of Action | DrugBank Online. https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB11774.
- 58. Indomethacin: Uses, Interactions, Mechanism of Action | DrugBank Online. https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB00328.
- 59. Aboubakr, M. *et al.* Cefepime and diclofenac sodium combined treatment-potentiated multiple organ injury: Role of oxidative damage and disrupted lipid metabolism. *J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol.* **35**, e22929 (2021).
- Soheili, V., Bazzaz, B. S. F., Abdollahpour, N. & Hadizadeh, F. Investigation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum-sensing signaling system for identifying multiple inhibitors using molecular docking and structural analysis methodology. *Microb. Pathog.* 89, 73–78 (2015).
- 61. Sordelli, D. O., Cerquetti, M. C., Fontán, P. A. & Meiss, R. P. Piroxicam treatment protects mice from lethal pulmonary challenge with Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Infect. Dis.* **159**, 232–238 (1989).

- 62. She, P. *et al.* Meloxicam inhibits biofilm formation and enhances antimicrobial agents efficacy by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Microbiologyopen* **7**, (2018).
- 63. Askoura, M., Saleh, M. & Abbas, H. An innovative role for tenoxicam as a quorum sensing inhibitor in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Arch. Microbiol.* **202**, 555–565 (2020).
- 64. Fernandes, S., Borges, A., Gomes, I. B., Sousa, S. F. & Simões, M. Curcumin and 10undecenoic acid as natural quorum sensing inhibitors of LuxS/AI-2 of Bacillus subtilis and LasI/LasR of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Food Res. Int.* **165**, 112519 (2023).
- 65. Negi, N., Prakash, P., Gupta, M. L. & Mohapatra, T. M. Possible Role of Curcumin as an Efflux Pump Inhibitor in Multi Drug Resistant Clinical Isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* **8**, DC04-7 (2014).
- 66. Gülen, D., Şafak, B., Erdal, B. & Günaydın, B. Curcumin-meropenem synergy in carbapenem resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae curcumin-meropenem synergy. *Iran. J. Microbiol.* **13**, 345–351 (2021).
- 67. Seleem, N. M., Atallah, H., Abd El Latif, H. K., Shaldam, M. A. & El-Ganiny, A. M. Could the analgesic drugs, paracetamol and indomethacin, function as quorum sensing inhibitors? *Microb. Pathog.* **158**, 105097 (2021).
- 68. Mirpour, M. & Zahmatkesh, H. Ketoprofen attenuates Las/Rhl quorum-sensing (QS) systems of Pseudomonas aeruginosa: molecular and docking studies. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 51, 133 (2024).
- 69. Tajani, A. S. *et al.* Anti-quorum sensing potential of ketoprofen and its derivatives against Pseudomonas aeruginosa: insights to in silico and in vitro studies. *Arch. Microbiol.* **203**, 5123–5132 (2021).
- 70. Liu, K. *et al.* The Discovery of Novel Agents against Staphylococcus aureus by Targeting Sortase A: A Combination of Virtual Screening and Experimental Validation. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* **17**, (2023).
- 71. Marraffini, L. A., DeDent, A. C. & Schneewind, O. Sortases and the Art of Anchoring Proteins to the Envelopes of Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **70**, 192– 221 (2006).
- 72. Volynets, G. P. *et al.* Identification of novel small-molecular inhibitors of Staphylococcus aureus sortase A using hybrid virtual screening. *J. Antibiot.* **75**, 321–332 (2022).
- 73. Cascioferro, S., Totsika, M. & Schillaci, D. Sortase A: an ideal target for anti-virulence drug development. *Microb. Pathog.* **77**, 105–112 (2014).

- 74. Cascioferro, S. *et al.* Sortase A inhibitors: recent advances and future perspectives. *J. Med. Chem.* **58**, 9108–9123 (2015).
- 75. Cossart, P. & Jonquières, R. Sortase, a universal target for therapeutic agents against gram-positive bacteria? *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**, 5013–5015 (2000).
- 76. Nunzi, E. *et al.* Host–microbe serotonin metabolism. *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism* (2024) doi:10.1016/j.tem.2024.07.014.
- 77. Knecht, L. D. *et al.* Serotonin Activates Bacterial Quorum Sensing and Enhances the Virulence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the Host. *EBioMedicine* **9**, 161–169 (2016).