



Commentary: Attentional control and the self: The Self Attention Network (SAN)

Giuseppina Porciello^{1,2*}, Ilaria Minio-Paluello^{1,2} and Ilaria Bufalari^{2,3*}

¹ Department of Psychology, "Sapienza," University of Rome, Rome, Italy, ² Social and Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory, IRCCS Fondazione Santa Lucia, Rome, Italy, ³ Department of Psychology of Developmental and Socialization Processes, "Sapienza," University of Rome, Rome, Italy

Keywords: enfacement, engazement, self-gaze, multisensory integration, self-recognition, attentional reorienting

A commentary on

Attentional control and the self: The Self Attention Network (SAN)

by Humphreys, G., and Sui, J. (2015). Cogn. Neurosci. 7, 5-17. doi: 10.1080/17588928.2015.1044427

In their Discussion Paper, Humphreys and Sui (2015) review recent data on the relation between self-bias and attention and bring evidence that self-related stimuli, after a simple association, are able to alter the salience of neutral stimuli which is usually a prerogative of monetary and food reward (O'Doherty et al., 2004; Panasiti et al., 2015; Trilla Gros et al., 2015).

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Anna M. Borghi, University of Bologna, Italy

Reviewed by: Matthew Apps, University of Oxford, UK

*Correspondence:

Giuseppina Porciello giuseppina.porciello@uniroma1.it Ilaria Bufalari ilaria.bufalari@uniroma1.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cognition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 06 October 2016 Accepted: 14 October 2016 Published: 03 November 2016

Citation:

Porciello G, Minio-Paluello I and Bufalari I (2016) Commentary: Attentional control and the self: The Self Attention Network (SAN). Front. Psychol. 7:1701. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01701 The authors review own-name effects, own-face effects and self-biases in associative matching and propose the "Self Attention Network" (SAN), a network model in which dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and intra-parietal sulcus (IPS) exert top-down attention-mediated control over left posterior superior temporal sulcus (LpSTS) and ventro-medial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) which are instead respectively linked to bottom-up orienting of attention and self-related processing.

Here we would like to contribute to the SAN by suggesting that, in addition to considering the behavioral and neural mechanisms that occur when processing supra-modal self-related stimuli, the model would benefit from taking into account the plastic body-centered representation of the self (Maister and Farmer, 2015) and its effects on attention. Specifically, we would like to speculate on how SAN adapts to situations where bodily self-representation is challenged by experimental manipulations that are able to blur self-other distinction, such as shared visuo-tactile stimulation.

Our own face is one of the most important features that define the self and it has a robust representation and a special status in the human cognitive and neural systems (Keenan et al., 2003; Devue and Brédart, 2011). Self-capture and self-advantage effects while processing the self-face, have been extensively found (Tong and Nakayama, 1999; Brédart et al., 2006; Devue et al., 2009). In addition, self-face recognition is linked to the activity of a partially dedicated brain network (Devue and Brédart, 2011) and specific electrophysiological activity (Tacikowski and Nowicka, 2010).

Nevertheless, recent studies show that self-face recognition may be inherently plastic (Tsakiris, 2008; Sforza et al., 2010) as it can be modified by simple visuo-tactile Interpersonal Multisensory Stimulation (IMS) (see Porciello et al., 2016; Sel et al., 2016a for visuo-cardiac IMS). In fact, experiencing tactile stimuli on one's face while seeing synchronous tactile stimulation delivered on the face of another individual, induces changes to self-face representation: a bias in attributing the other's facial features to the self and the illusory experience of looking at oneself in the mirror (Enfacement). At the neural level such IMS modulates the activity of unimodal (inferior occipital gyrus, IOG) and multimodal (right temporo-parietal junction, TPJ and IPS) areas (Apps et al., 2015), both involved in different aspects of self-consciousness: self-location, self-identification, and

first person perspective (Blanke, 2012). In particular, we suggested (Bufalari et al., 2015) that TPJ detects the mismatch between self/other tactile sensations while IPS solves the conflict between felt and observed stimuli by integrating multisensory congruent stimuli and remapping the space around the face which ultimately results in an updated self-face representation and in the illusory experience of looking at oneself in the mirror. In line with this view, recent electrophysiological evidence (Sel et al., 2016b) demonstrates that self-specific mismatch detection mechanisms exist in the brain and along with Apps et al. (2015) neuroimaging data, support the idea that self-processing follows predictive coding's principles (Friston, 2009). In such theoretical account multimodal areas update self-representation in order to minimize the surprise generated in unimodal areas by the synchronous IMS (Apps and Tsakiris, 2014). In addition to changing self-face representation, synchronous visuo-tactile IMS is also able to influence basic perceptual processes, such as detection of facial tactile stimuli (Cardini et al., 2013), and importantly higher level attentional mechanisms triggered by self-related face stimuli. Indeed, we recently measured the attentional capture exerted by the self-face and by a friend's face after participants underwent experimental synchronous and control asynchronous IMS (Porciello et al., 2014b). Participants performed a gaze-following task in which they had to look in the direction signaled by an imperative cue while ignoring distracting stimuli, i.e., either the self or a friend's face gazing toward the correct or the wrong direction. After asynchronous IMS, the distracting power of directional gaze is higher when embedded in one's own face than in a friend's face, confirming that selfsimilarity enhances the degree to which gaze orients attention (Hungr and Hunt, 2012). However, after synchronous IMS, namely when other's facial features are assimilated in the self-face representation (Tajadura-Jiménez et al., 2012), the distracting power of self-gaze vanishes and becomes no more distracting than a friend's face. Synchronous IMS can therefore cancel self-gaze attentional capture resulting in the Engazement effect (Porciello et al., 2014b). At the neural level, we hypothesized that Engazement may rely on the interaction between brain circuits involved in self-recognition (i.e., occipito-frontal and parietal regions, Kircher et al., 2001; Platek et al., 2008), multisensory

REFERENCES

- Apps, M. A. J., Tajadura-Jiménez, A., Sereno, M., Blanke, O., and Tsakiris, M. (2015). Plasticity in unimodal and multimodal brain areas reflects multisensory changes in self-face identification. *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 46–55. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bht199
- Apps, M. A. J., and Tsakiris, M. (2014). The free-energy self: a predictive coding account of self-recognition. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 41, 85–97. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.01.029
- Blanke, O. (2012). Multisensory brain mechanisms of bodily self-consciousness. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 13, 556–571. doi: 10.1038/nrn3292
- Brédart, S., Delchambre, M., and Laureys, S. (2006). One's own face is hard to ignore. Q. J. Exp. Psychol. 59, 46–52. doi: 10.1080/17470210500343678
- Bufalari, I., Porciello, G., Sperduti, M., and Minio-Paluello, I. (2015). Selfidentification with another person's face: the time relevant role of multimodal brain areas in the enfacement illusion. J. Neurophysiol. 113, 1959–1962. doi: 10.1152/jn.00872.2013

integration and self/other distinction (i.e., TPJ and IPS, Apps et al., 2015) with those involved in reflexive shifts of attention (i.e., dorsal and ventral fronto-parietal networks, Corbetta et al., 2008; Callejas et al., 2014).

In light of the dynamic causal model developed by Humphreys and Sui (2015), we suggest that IMS-induced plasticity of self-face representation may change attentional capture related to selfgaze (i.e., make self-face stimuli less salient) via the activity of ventral (including the specific portion of TPJ connected with the PFC and the insula, Mars et al., 2012) and dorsal fronto-parietal networks which are respectively involved in bottom-up orienting (Corbetta et al., 2008; Mars et al., 2012) and in inhibitory control (Grosbras et al., 2005; Klein et al., 2009; Cazzato et al., 2012; Porciello et al., 2014a) of attention.

In particular, IMS-induced plastic change in self-face representation may reduce the activation of the two ventral SAN's nodes, namely vmPFC, which represents the self and the saliency of self-related stimuli, and posterior STS, which triggers bottom up orienting of attention toward self-related stimuli. Consequently, the dorsal fronto-parietal attentional network, including DLPFC and IPS, has to exert less control to inhibit automatic orienting of attention (e.g., gaze-following behavior) toward stimuli that, after the inclusion of other's features, are no longer coded as self-related.

To sum up, we suggest that the neurocognitive interaction between self-bias phenomena and attention passes through a basic and fundamentally plastic representation of the bodily self that may follow predictive coding rules.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

GP, IM-P, and IB have made substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work, wrote the manuscript and approved it for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

IB and GP were supported by the Italian Ministry of Health (GR-2011-02351798) and IM-P by the Italian Ministry of Health (GR-2009-1607360).

- Callejas, A., Shulman, G. L., and Corbetta, M. (2014). Dorsal and ventral attention systems underlie social and symbolic cueing. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 26, 1–25. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_00461
- Cardini, F., Tajadura-jiménez, A., Serino, A., and Tsakiris, M. (2013). It feels like it's me: interpersonal multisensory stimulation enhances visual remapping of touch from other to self. J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform. 39, 630–637. doi: 10.1037/a0031049
- Cazzato, V., Macaluso, E., Crostella, F., and Aglioti, S. M. (2012). Mapping reflexive shifts of attention in eye-centered and hand-centered coordinate systems. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 33, 165–178. doi: 10.1002/hbm. 21202
- Corbetta, M., Patel, G., and Shulman, G. L. (2008). The reorienting system of the human brain: from environment to theory of mind. *Neuron* 58, 306–324. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.04.017
- Devue, C., and Brédart, S. (2011). The neural correlates of visual selfrecognition. *Conscious. Cogn.* 20, 40–51. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2010. 09.007

- Devue, C., Van der Stigchel, S., Brédart, S., and Theeuwes, J. (2009). You do not find your own face faster; you just look at it longer. *Cognition* 111, 114–122. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2009.01.003
- Friston, K. (2009). The free-energy principle: a rough guide to the brain? *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 13, 293–301. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2009. 04.005
- Grosbras, M.-H., Laird, A. R., and Paus, T. (2005). Cortical regions involved in eye movements, shifts of attention, and gaze perception. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 25, 140–154. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20145
- Humphreys, G., and Sui, J. (2015). Attentional control and the self: The Self Attention Network (SAN). Cogn. Neurosci. 7, 5–17. doi: 10.1080/175889 28.2015.1044427
- Hungr, C. J., and Hunt, A. R. (2012). Physical self-similarity enhances the gazecueing effect. Quat. J. Exp. Psychol. (Hove). 65, 1250–1259. doi: 10.1080/174702 18.2012.690769
- Keenan, J. P., Falk, D., and Gallup, G. G. J. (2003). The Face in the Mirror: the Search for the Origins of Consciousness. New York, NY: Haper Collins Publishers.
- Kircher, T. T., Senior, C., Phillips, M. L., Rabe-Hesketh, S., Benson, P. J., Bullmore, E. T., et al. (2001). Recognizing one's own face. *Cognition* 78, B1–B15. doi: 10.1016/S0010-0277(00)00104-9
- Klein, J. T., Shepherd, S. V., and Platt, M. L. (2009). Social attention and the brain. *Curr. Biol.* 19, R958–R962. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2009.08.010
- Maister, L., and Farmer, H. (2015). Attending to the bodily self. *Cogn. Neurosci.* 8928, 1–2. doi: 10.1080/17588928.2015.1075490
- Mars, R. B., Sallet, J., Schüffelgen, U., Jbabdi, S., Toni, I., and Rushworth, M. F. S. (2012). Connectivity-based subdivisions of the human right "temporoparietal junction area": evidence for different areas participating in different cortical networks. *Cereb. Cortex* 22, 1894–1903. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhr268
- O'Doherty, J., Dayan, P., Schultz, J., Deichmann, R., Friston, K., and Dolan, R. J. (2004). Dissociable roles of ventral and dorsal striatum in instrumental conditioning. *Science* 304, 452–454. doi: 10.1126/science.10 94285
- Panasiti, M. S., Puzzo, I., and Chakrabarti, B. (2015). Autistic traits moderate the impact of reward learning on social behaviour. *Autism Res.* 9, 471–479. doi: 10.1002/aur.1523
- Platek, S. M., Wathne, K., Tierney, N. G., and Thomson, J. W. (2008). Neural correlates of self-face recognition: an effect-location meta-analysis. *Brain Res.* 1232, 173–184. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2008.07.010
- Porciello, G., Crostella, F., Liuzza, M. T., Valentini, E., and Aglioti, S. M. (2014a). rTMS-induced virtual lesion of the posterior parietal cortex (PPC) alters the control of reflexive shifts of social attention triggered by pointing hands. *Neuropsychologia* 59, 148–156. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2014. 04.017

- Porciello, G., Daum, M. M., Menghini, C., Brugger, P., and Lenggenhager, B. (2016). Not that heart-stopping after all: visuo-cardiac synchrony does not boost self-face attribution. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0160498. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0160498
- Porciello, G., Holmes, B. S., Liuzza, M. T., Crostella, F., Aglioti, S. M., and Bufalari, I. (2014b). Interpersonal Multisensory Stimulation reduces the overwhelming distracting power of self-gaze: psychophysical evidence for "engazement." *Sci. Rep.* 4:6669. doi: 10.1038/srep06669
- Sel, A., Azevedo, R. T., and Tsakiris, M. (2016a). Heartfelt self: cardio-visual integration affects self-face recognition and interoceptive cortical processing. *Cereb. Cortex* doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhw296. [Epub ahead of print].
- Sel, A., Harding, R., and Tsakiris, M. (2016b). Electrophysiological correlates of self-specific prediction errors in the human brain. *Neuroimage* 125, 13–24. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.09.064
- Sforza, A., Bufalari, I., and Haggard, P. (2010). My face in yours: visuo-tactile facial stimulation influences sense of identity. Soc. Neurosci. 5, 148–162. doi: 10.1080/ 17470910903205503
- Tacikowski, P., and Nowicka, A. (2010). Allocation of attention to self-name and self-face: an ERP study. *Biol. Psychol.* 84, 318–324. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho. 2010.03.009
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., Grehl, S., and Tsakiris, M. (2012). The other in me: interpersonal multisensory stimulation changes the mental representation of the self. *PLoS ONE* 7:e40682. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0040682
- Tong, F., and Nakayama, K. (1999). Robust representations for faces: evidence from visual search. J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform. 25, 1016–1035. doi: 10.1037/0096-1523.25.4.1016
- Trilla Gros, I., Panasiti, M. S., and Chakrabarti, B. (2015). The plasticity of the mirror system: how reward learning modulates cortical motor simulation of others. *Neuropsychologia* 70, 255–262. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia. 2015.02.033
- Tsakiris, M. (2008). Looking for myself: current multisensory input alters self-face recognition. *PLoS ONE* 3:e4040. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0004040

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Porciello, Minio-Paluello and Bufalari. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.