



1542

Original article

Socioeconomic position, lifestyle factors and age at natural menopause: a systematic review and meta-analyses of studies across six continents

Danielle AJM Schoenaker,[†]* Caroline A Jackson,[†] Jemma V Rowlands and Gita D Mishra

School of Population Health, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

*Corresponding author. University of Queensland, School of Population Health, Herston QLD 4006, Australia. E-mail: d.schoenaker@uq.edu.au

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Accepted 26 March 2014

Abstract

Background: Age at natural menopause (ANM) is considered a marker of biological ageing and is increasingly recognized as a sentinel for chronic disease risk in later life. Socioeconomic position (SEP) and lifestyle factors are thought to be associated with ANM.

Methods: We performed a systematic review and meta-analyses to determine the overall mean ANM, and the effect of SEP and lifestyle factors on ANM by calculating the weighted mean difference (WMD) and pooling adjusted hazard ratios. We explored heterogeneity using meta-regression and also included unpublished findings from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health.

Results: We identified 46 studies across 24 countries. Mean ANM was 48.8 years [95% confidence interval (CI): 48.3, 49.2], with between-study heterogeneity partly explained by geographical region. ANM was lowest among African, Latin American, Asian and Middle Eastern countries and highest in Europe and Australia, followed by the USA. Education was associated with later ANM (WMD middle vs low education 0.30, 95% CI: 0.10, 0.51; high vs low education 0.64, 95% CI 0.26, 1.02). A similar dose-response relationship was also observed for occupation. Smoking was associated with a 1-year reduction of ANM (WMD: -0.91, 95% CI: -1.34, -0.48). Being overweight and moderate/high physical activity were modestly associated with later ANM, but findings were less conclusive.

Conclusions: ANM varies across populations, partly due to differences across geographical regions. SEP and some lifestyle factors are associated with ANM, but further research is needed to examine the impact of the associations between risk factors and ANM on future health outcomes.

Key words: Menopause, smoking, body mass index, physical activity, socioeconomic factors, systematic review, meta-analysis

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

[©] The Author 2014; all rights reserved. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the International Epidemiological Association

- Among 46 community-based populations across six continents, differences in age at natural menopause were partly explained by geographical region.
- Lower education and occupation levels were associated with earlier age at natural menopause.
- Smoking was associated with earlier age at natural menopause by almost a year.
- · Associations between physical activity and body mass index and age at natural menopause remain inconclusive.
- To better understand the effect of socioeconomic and lifestyle factors on ANM and subsequent health for postmenopausal women, pooling of individual level data from prospective cohort studies of different populations is needed.

Introduction

Menopause onset marks the end of a women's reproductive stage in life and the start of a time of permanently lowered estrogen exposure that is increasingly recognized as having significant health implications. Earlier age at onset of natural menopause (ANM) has been shown to be associated with reduced risk of breast cancer,¹ ovarian cancer² and, by contrast, with increased risk of cardiovascular disease,³ atherosclerosis,⁴ stroke⁵ and osteoporosis.⁶ Overall, all-cause mortality has been found to be reduced by 2% with each increasing year of ANM.^{7,8}

ANM appears to vary across different regions, countries and ethnic groups.9,10 This may be due to genetic variation,¹¹ but may also reflect differences in socioeconomic position (SEP) and environmental, lifestyle, reproductive or early childhood factors. SEP and lifestyle factors that may affect timing of menopause include education, occupation, income, smoking, physical activity and body mass index (BMI). Of these, smoking has been consistently recognized to have an association with earlier menopause.¹²⁻¹⁴ However, far fewer studies have examined the effect of other socioeconomic and lifestyle factors on ANM, with inconsistent findings being reported.^{15,16} There are therefore a number of gaps in our understanding of preventable factors that may influence ANM. To our knowledge, and with the exception of smoking, no review has sought to synthesize the effect of SEP and lifestyle factors on ANM by means of meta-analysis. We therefore carried out a systematic review of existing literature to investigate the international variability and the socioeconomic and lifestyle determinants of ANM. We performed a series of meta-analyses that: (i) summarize the mean ANM across studies; and (ii) examine the associations between SEP and lifestyle factors and ANM, using meta-regression to explore between-study heterogeneity. The analyses also incorporate unpublished data on ANM and associated risk factors from mid-age women in the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH), a large

population-based study with prospective data on SEP and lifestyle factors.

Methods

The Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health

We included additional unpublished results from ALSWH, which is a prospective population-based study of factors affecting the health and well-being of three cohorts of Australian women born in 1973–78, 1946–51, and 1921–26. Women were randomly selected from the national Medicare health insurance database, which includes all Australian citizens and permanent residents. Since 1996, surveys have been administered to each cohort every 2–3 years. Further details of the recruitment methods and response have been described elsewhere.^{17,18} Informed consent was obtained from all participants at each survey, with ethical approval obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committees of the University of Newcastle and the University of Queensland.

Our meta-analysis includes data from women in the 1946-51 cohort. In 1996, 13 715 women aged 45-50 years participated in the baseline survey and were followed up until 2010, when they were aged 59-64 years. Using data from all six surveys, we included 7575 women in our analyses, after excluding women with: surgical menopause (n = 4301); unclassifiable menopause status (n = 1675); or missing ANM (n = 135); or who were pregnant (n = 29). We included a total of 4519 women in survival analysis described below, after additionally excluding women who were postmenopausal at baseline (n = 1665) or with missing covariate data (n = 1391). Menopause status was determined through responses to survey questions on hysterectomy, oophorectomy, hormone therapy (HT) and menstrual pattern.¹⁹ Women were classified as having surgical menopause if they reported hysterectomy, oophorectomy or both. Women were defined as: premenopausal if they had menstruated in the past 3 months and reported no change in menstrual frequency in the past 12 months; perimenopausal if they reported changes in menstrual frequency or 3 to 11 months of amenorrhoea; and naturally postmenopausal if they reported amenorrhoea for 12 consecutive months or more.²⁰ If women reported use of HT or oral contraception before reaching postmenopause, their menopause status was defined as unclassifiable. Based on answers to the question: 'If you have reached menopause, at what age did your periods completely stop?', age at menopause was defined as the age at the final menstrual period. If data on ANM were missing or incongruous with the derived menopause status, age at menopause was imputed by using the age at midpoint of the surveys between which menopausal transition occurred, minus 1 year (because women were already free of menstrual periods for at least 12 months when their status was defined as postmenopausal).¹⁹

SEP and lifestyle factors included in the analyses were based on responses given in the baseline survey, with the exception of physical activity, information on which was used from survey 2. To maximize similarity with other studies included in the meta-analysis, variables were classified into comparable categories: education as low (no formal qualifications), middle (higher school certificate/diploma) and high (university or higher degree); occupation as low (no paid work or manual worker), middle (trade, administrative, sales and service) and high (manager, professional); smoking status as non-smoker, ex-smoker and current smoker as well as dichotomized into non- or ex-smoker and current smoker. Physical activity was categorized as sedentary/low (0 to <600 metabolic equivalent (MET)/min/week) and moderate/high (≥600 MET/min/week). BMI was computed as self-reported weight (kg)/height (m²) and categorized as underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), healthy weight (18.5–24.9 kg/ m^{2}), overweight (25–29.9 kg/m²) or obese (\geq 30 kg/m²).²¹

Survival analysis of ALSWH data

Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to obtain hazard ratios (HRs) for the associations between each risk factor and ANM in ALSWH. Survival time for each participant was defined as the time in years between age at baseline and reported ANM. All women reached menopause during the study. Multivariable models were adjusted for all other explanatory variables and age (years), number of times given birth (never, once, twice, three times, four or more), age at menarche (years), duration of oral contraceptive use (never, ≤ 10 years, >10years), duration of use of HT (never, ≤ 4 years, >4 years), and country of birth (Australia, other English-speaking, Europe, Asia, other). These analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3.

Literature review

Search strategy and selection criteria

To identify relevant primary studies, we performed a systematic review of existing published literature by searching six databases from earliest date available up to December 2012: MEDLINE, EMBASE, PubMed, Science Direct and Web of Science. The Cochrane Library was searched to identify additional review articles. The search strategy consisted of a combination of search terms relating to menopause and country or ethnicity. We also included terms for six socioeconomic and lifestyle factors of interest (education, occupation, income, smoking, physical activity and BMI), to identify studies that reported menopause in the context of these factors. The search was restricted to studies published in English (see Supplementary data for full search strategy, available at IJE online). We also perused the reference lists of relevant articles to identify any additional studies not identified by our search strategy.

J.R. screened titles and abstracts of identified articles, and J.R. or D.S. and G.M. reviewed the full text of potentially relevant articles. Disagreements about the eligibility of a study or differences between the two sets of extracted information were resolved through discussion between authors. Our inclusion criteria were: community-based studies; studies reporting on ANM and/or the association between any of education, occupation, income, smoking, physical activity and BMI, and ANM. Studies were excluded if they: focused on early menopause due to causes other than natural menopause (e.g. hysterectomy, bilateral oophorectomy); were highly selective (e.g. clinical study populations); or had fewer than 100 participants.

Data extraction

J.R. or D.S. and G.M. independently extracted the following information from included articles: first author; baseline study year; baseline age range; study design; years of follow-up; study country; ethnicity; study population; definition of natural menopause; exclusion criteria for study population; and relevant data. Data on ANM were generally presented in one of two ways. Where presented as a continuous variable, we extracted the overall mean ANM with standard error (SE) and/or standard deviation (SD) or confidence interval (CI), and where reported, the mean or median by SEP and/or lifestyle factor. Where a median was reported, it was extracted along with the range and interquartile range. Where data on the effect of SEP and lifestyle on ANM were reported, we extracted the relevant adjusted effect estimates (e.g. HR, risk ratio etc.). If studies reported adjusted effect estimates but used a different reference category compared with the most commonly used reference category, the authors were contacted and requested to re-analyse their data in line with other studies.^{22–28} A total of four authors responded and could provide additional results that were included in meta-analyses.

Preparation of data for meta-analysis

Where the overall mean ANM was not reported in a study but was reported for subgroups of the study population (e.g. stratified by smoking status), a weighted mean was calculated as an estimate of the overall mean. Where the SE was not reported, it was calculated from the SD or the CI, if provided.

Studies that measured the risk factors in any categorical manner were included in the meta-analysis. In order to compare and pool the results of studies in the meta-analyses, the original categories for variables were harmonized to maximize the available data. Variables were re-classified as: low, middle and high level for education and occupation; none to low and moderate to high level for physical activity; and <20, 20–25, 25–30 and \geq 30 kg/m² for BMI. Smoking status was categorized as non-smoker, ex-smoker and current smoker and also dichotomized into non- or ex-smoker and ever- or current smoker.

Meta-analysis

Meta-analysis and meta-regression were performed using Stata version 12.0.²⁹ We combined studies that reported on mean ANM to obtain a pooled mean with 95% CI, using the mean and SE from each study. For the association between risk factors and ANM, we used the mean age and SD to obtain study-specific mean differences with 95% CIs, and the overall pooled weighted mean difference (WMD). Where possible, we also combined adjusted HRs to obtain summary estimates for the associations between each risk factor and ANM. We pooled HRs since this was the most commonly reported measure of effect, with few studies reporting other effect estimates.

We assessed heterogeneity between studies using the chi² (Cochrane Q) and I² statistics. Where substantial heterogeneity was observed in fixed effects models, we obtained summary estimates using random effects models. In the meta-analyses of mean ANM and of the unadjusted effect of risk factors on ANM, we investigated sources of heterogeneity using subgroup analysis and, where at least 10 studies were included, performed meta-regression. We also created funnel plots where more than five studies were included, as one method of assessing likelihood of publication bias resulting from non-publication of small studies that observed smaller or no associations.

Meta-regression

We used random effects univariable and multivariable meta-regression to investigate the role of a priori study characteristics in explaining observed heterogeneity in ANM using the 'metareg' function in Stata.³⁰ These characteristics included: the region from which the study population was selected (Middle East, Asia, Latin America, USA, Europe, Australia and Africa); the study design (prospective or not); whether the study population was aged younger than or equal to 65 years or older than 65 years (i.e. age when they were asked to report ANM); and the definition of menopause [World Health Organization (WHO) definition or other definition/no definition reported]. Natural menopause is defined by the WHO as the permanent cessation of menstruation resulting from the loss of ovarian follicular activity, occurring after 12 consecutive months of amenorrhoea, for which there is no other obvious pathological or physiological cause.³¹ We obtained univariable and multivariable coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and associated P-values for the association between each characteristic and ANM. Characteristics with a *P*-value of <0.2 were then included in the multivariable model. The P-value for region in the unadjusted model and the P-values for all characteristics in the multivariable model were adjusted for multiple testing.³⁰

Similarly, we explored the heterogeneity in the effect of smoking and education on ANM using meta-regression to investigate the role of study characteristics described above. However, we dichotomized region into 'economically more developed regions'(USA, Europe, Australia) vs 'other regions' (Middle East, Asia, Latin American, Africa), since we had too few studies to explore the effect of region as classified above.

This manuscript was prepared in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.³²

Results

The numbers of identified and included studies are summarized in Figure 1. The search initially identified 3119 articles. After excluding duplicate records, the titles and abstracts of 1619 articles were screened, with 1475 identified as not relevant. We therefore reviewed the full text of 144 articles, 44 of which met the inclusion criteria.^{22–24,26–28,33–70} Two studies each contained two separate study populations.^{26,55} After incorporating additional results from ALSWH, the review encompassed a total of 46 study populations. Of these, the meta-analyses for ANM included: 36 studies for overall ANM by region; 16 for risk factors for ANM; and 13 for adjusted hazard ratios of the effect of risk factors on ANM.

Study characteristics

Table 1 presents characteristics of the 46 studies that were part of at least one of the three sets of meta-analyses.



Figure 1. Flow diagram of selection process and inclusion of studies for meta-analysis.

¹Studies by Dravta *et al.* (2009) and Ku *et al.* (2004) each included two study populations.

Of the identified studies, 36 were cross-sectional, one was retrospective and 9 were prospective cohort studies. Study populations were drawn from a total of 24 countries. Since detailed information on ethnicity was presented in only a few studies, we grouped studies according to the geographical region from which the study population was derived: Africa; Asia; Australia; Latin America; Middle East; Europe; and the USA. Most studies defined ANM according to the WHO definition (n = 30),³¹ but some used a different definition (n = 9) or did not specify a definition (n = 7). All of the 46 studies either excluded or censored women with surgical menopause from their analysis.

For each SEP measure or lifestyle factor, up to seven studies reported data on unadjusted and/or adjusted associations with ANM, but were not included in the analyses because: they used a different referent group in their analysis compared with the majority of studies; they reported estimates other than HRs; or it was not possible to calculate a mean and standard deviation (Figure 1).

Covariates used in studies included in meta-analyses of adjusted effect estimates are presented in Table 2. The majority of studies adjusted for education, parity, age at menarche, BMI and smoking.

Table 1. Descript	ion of studie	s included in	meta-ana ו	Ilysis							
First author	Baseline study year	Baseline age range	Years of follow-up	N^{a}	Study country	Study population	Menopause definition ^b	HRT users excluded	Surgical menopause excluded	Included in meta-analysis of unadjusted data on risk factors	Included in meta- analysis of adjusted data on risk factors
Prospective cohort stı Akahoshi ³³	ıdies 1958–1959	40-41	30	493	Japan	Survivors of the atomic bombings in Napasabi	12 months	n/r	Yes	BMI	No data reported
Do^{44}	1980–1982	17-88	16	5593	Australia	Twins selected from the Australian twin registry	12 months	Censored	Yes	No	Education, smoking
Dorjgochoo ⁴⁵	1997–2000	40-70	16	33054	China	Selected from 7 urban communities in Shanghai	12 months	Yes	Yes	Education, occupa- tion, income, smoking, phys- ical acrivity	Data not in suitable format
Dravta (a) ^{d,26}	1998–2002	20-44	n/r	3281°	Europe	Selected from at least 3 areas in each of the 9 participating countries or resions	12 months	Yes	Yes	No data reported	Smoking, BMI, physical activity
Dravta (b) ^{d,26}	2002	18-60	n/r	1524°	Switzer- land	Random sample recruited from 8 areas using population registries	12 months	Yes	Yes	No data reported	Smoking, BMI, physical activity
Hong ⁵²	1985	255	16	2658	Korea	Kangwha Country residents (selection method not reported)	n/r	n/r	Yes	No data reported	No data reported
Nagel ²²	1994–1998	35-65	9	1009	Germany	Selected in Heidelberg and surrounding communities from general popula- tion registries	12 months	n/r	Yes	No data reported	Smoking, BMI, physical activity
Palmer ²⁷	1995	35-55	4	1323	USA	Subscribers to Essence magazine, mem- bers of selected Black professional organizations, and friends and rela- rives of <i>early</i> resondents	Age last period	Censored	Censored	No data reported	Smoking, BMI, physical activity
Van Noord ⁶⁵	1975-1977	53-64	6	4686	Nether- lands	From population-based cancer screen- ing project conducted in Ultrecht city	12 months	Yes	Yes	No data reported	No data reported
PHWSJA	1996	45-50	14	7575	Australia	Randomly selected from the national Medicare health insurance database, which includes all Australian citizens and permanent residents	Age last period	No	Yes	Education, occupa- tion, smoking, BMI, physical activity	Education, smok- ing, BMI, phys- ical activity
Retrospective cohort Cooper ⁴²	study 1990–1991	69–81	n/a	543	USA	College-educated women in Minnesota (selection method not reported)	Age last period	n/r	Yes	Smoking	No data reported
Cross-sectional studic Ansari ³⁴	es 2008	n/r	n/a	500	Iran	Randomly selected from 38 health centres in Zahedan cirv	n/r	n/r	Yes	No data reported	No data reported
Ashrafi ³⁵	2004-2005	>35	n/a	2462	Tehran	Selected using randomized cluster sam- pling in each of the 22 metropolitan districts of Tehran	n/r	n/r	Yes	Smoking	No data reported

(Continued)

Table 1. Continue	ed										
First author	Baseline study year	Baseline age range	Years of follow-up	$N^{\rm a}$	Study country	Study population	Menopause definition ^b	HRT users excluded	Surgical menopause excluded	Included in meta-analysis of unadjusted data on risk factors	Included in meta- analysis of adjusted data on risk factors
Ayatollahi ³⁶	2000	n/r	n/a	948	Iran	Living in Shiraz for at least 1 year, se- lected using cluster sampling	12 months	n/r	Yes	Education, occupa- tion, income, smoking, BMI, physical activity	No data reported
Bener ³⁷	1996–1997	240	n/a	742	UAE	Attending primary health clinics for preventive health care and members of women's associations in Al Ain City, Abu Dhabi, Dubai and Sharjah Emirates	12 months	n/r	Yes	Education, BMI	No data reported
Beser ³⁸	1990	40-54	n/a	1076	Turkey	Selected using cluster-stratified and ran- dom sampling in Trabzon city	12 months	n/r	Yes	Education, BMI	No data reported
Blumel ³⁹	n/r	40-59	n/a	384	Latin America	Sample of women from 49 healthcare centres in 47 cities in 15 Latin American countries	12 months	Yes	Yes	No	No data reported
Chang ⁴⁰	1991	40-60	n/a	124	Taiwan	Chinese women randomly sampled from a community in Taipei	12 months	Yes	Yes	No data reported	No data reported
Chmara ⁴¹	1998–2002	35-69	n/a	2117	Poland	Selected from participants in several studies conducted in 2 regions	n/r	Yes	Yes	Smoking	No data reported
Cramer ⁶⁹	1989–1992	45-54	n/a	8657	NSA	Selected from town census lists from 10 suburbs in eastern Massachusetts	Age last period	n/r	Yes	Smoking	Smoking
Delavar ⁴³	n/r	45-63	n/a	1397	Iran	Selected from 60 clusters identified ac- cording to the latest census of Babol	12 months	n/r	Yes	Education, smok- ing, BMI	Data not in suitable format
Dvronyk ⁴⁶	n/r	>40	n/a	248	USA	city Randomly selected from existing	12 months	n/r	Yes	Smoking	No data reported
Fallahzadeh ⁴⁷	2006	40-70	n/a	346	Iran	studies Living in Yazd city for at least 1 year,	12 months	n/r	Yes	Education, income,	Education, income,
Fleming ⁴⁸	1988-1991	>25	n/a	1825	USA	selected using a cluster sampling Representative sample of the US civilian	12 months	n/r	Yes	smoking, BMI Occupation.	smoking Data not in suitable
)						population participating in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey				smoking	format
Garrido-Latorre ⁴⁹	1990–1993	n/r	n/a	472	Mexico	Control population of a case-control study on gynaecological cancer, drawn from the National Health Surveys System, Mexico City	12 months	n/r	Yes	Education, smoking	No data reported
Gold ²⁸	1995-1997	40-55	n/r	14620°	USA	Multi-ethnic population residing near 1 of the 7 clinic sites, selected using community-based sampling from lists of the population at 5 sites and using random digit dialling com- bined with 'snowball' approach at 2 sites	12 months	Censored	Censored	No data reported	Education, smoking

(Continued)

Table 1. Continu	ed										
First author	Baseline study year	Baseline age range	Years of follow-up	N^{a}	Study country	Study population	Menopause definition ^b	HRT users excluded	Surgical menopause excluded	Included in meta-analysis of unadjusted data on risk factors	Included in meta- analysis of adjusted data on risk factors
Hagstad ⁵⁰	1979	37-66	n/a	490	Sweden	Randomly selected from census registry in Goteborg	6 months	n/r	Yes	No data reported	No data reported
Harris ⁵¹	1967	n/r	36	1311	USA	Women re-entering the workforce and balancing the roles of homemakers, mothers, and labour force	n/r	n/r	Yes	Income	No data reported
Kaczmarek ⁵³	2000-2004	35-65	n/a	7183°	Poland	participants Randomly selected from sites of all resi- dance acteoroties	12 months	Censored	Censored	No data reported	Education,
Kriplani ⁵⁴	2001-2002	mid-aged	n/a	201	India	Accompanying other patients to an out- bustient clinic in northern India	12 months	n/r	Yes	No data reported	Data not in suitable format
Ku (a) ⁵⁵	1998–1999	>35	n/a	961	Korea	Living in Korea, randomly recruited	12 months	n/r	Yes	Education,	Data not in suitable
Ku (b) ⁵⁵	1998–1999	>35	n/a	1011	China	IFOR COMMUNITY-DASED SOCIAL BYOUPS KOREAN SECOND- OF third-generation emigrants to China, randomly re- cruited from community-based social	12 months	n/r	Yes	smoking Education, smoking	rormat Data not in suitable format
Kwawukume ⁵⁶	1991–1992	40-56	n/a	123	Ghana	groups Women from Akosombo District were interviewed at churches, markets, houses and common gathering places for women (selection method not	12 months	n/r	Yes	No data reported	No data reported
Lawlor ⁵⁷	1999–2001	60-79	n/a	3513	UK	reported) Randomly selected from general practi- rioner lists in 2.3 British towns	Age last period	Yes	Yes	No data reported	No data reported
Li ²⁴	2010-2011	40-65	n/a	6070	China	'Systematic' sampling of women who attend annual health screening in Jiangsu Province of China, with sam- ple size in each city proportional to	12 months	n/r	Yes	No data reported	Education, smok- ing, BMI
Loh^{58}	2001	45-60	n/a	656	Singapore	cuty population size Random sample from the database of all female citizens of Singabore	12 months	n/r	Yes	No data reported	No data reported
Luoto ⁶⁸	1989	45-64	n/a	1713	Finland	Random sample from the Finnish Population Registry	Age last period	n/r	Yes	No	Education
Magursky ⁵⁹	1967	38–58	n/a	6877	Czecho- slovakia	From Martin district (selection method not reported)	n/r	n/r	Yes	No	No data reported
											(Continued)

Table 1. Contin	ned										
First author	Baseline study year	Baseline age range	Years of follow-up	N^{a}	Study country	Study population	Menopause definition ^b	HRT users excluded	Surgical menopause excluded	Included in meta-analysis of unadjusted data on risk factors	Included in meta- analysis of adjusted data on risk factors
McKnight ⁶⁰	2003–2007	245	n/a	10440	USA	Selected from a commercially available nationwide list including telephone numbers	12 months	n/r	Yes	Education, income, smoking, phys- ical activity	Data not in suitable format
Morris ⁶⁷	2003–2011	40-98	n/r	21511	UK	Initial recruits were registered sup- porters of the Breakthrough Breast Cancer charity (4.2%) and women who referred themselves to the study (24.6%) living in the UK. Participants could nonlinate other women to icic the active (71.2%)	Age last period	Yes	Yes	No	Smoking, BMI, physical activity
$Nagata^{70}$	1992	45-55		1445	Japan	women to join the study (7.1.2.70) Female residents in Takayama	Age last period	n/r	Yes	No data reported	Data not in suitable format
Okonofua ⁶¹	n/r	44-87	n/a	563	Nigeria	Random sample from Oyo State in Nioeria	n/r	n/r	Yes	Smoking	No data reported
Olaolurun ⁶²	2006–2007	40-60	n/a	489	Nigeria	Residents of Ibadan, Oyo State in Nigeria selected using cluster committing	12 months	n/r	Yes	Smoking	Data not in suitable format
Ortiz ⁶⁶	2000–2001	40-59	n/a	324	Puerto Rico	sampung Health fair visitors in 22 municipalities	12 months	Yes	Censored	No data reported	No data reported
Ozdemir ⁶³	2001	50-65	n/a	262	Turkey	Random selection from primary health centres in Ankara	Age last period	n/r	Yes	No data reported	No reported
Sievert ⁶⁴	1999–2000	40-70	n/a	451	Mexico	Recruited from public parks, on the streets outside their homes, in open markets, in small shops and in front of large public buildings in the cap- ital city of Puehla	12 months	Yes	Yes	No data reported	Data not in suitable format
Yasui ²³	2001-2007	≥40	n/a	5602	Japan	Registered nurses, licensed practical nurses, public health nurses, and/or midwives (The Japan Nurses' Health Study)	12 months	n/r	Yes	No data reported	BMI
ALSWH, Austral	ian Longitudina	l Study on Won	nen's Health;	BMI, boc	ly mass index; f/	1, follow-up, HRT, hormone replacement	therapy; n/a, not a	ıpplicable; r	ı/r, not reporte	d; UAE, United Arab	Emirates; UK, United

Kingdom; USA, United States of America.

 $^{\rm a}N$ for number of women with reported age at natural menopause.

 $^{\mathrm{b}}\mathrm{When}$ reported in months: minimal length of time without menstruation.

^cN includes pre- and postmenopausal women included in analysis.

^dThe combined results from the two cohorts included in the Dravta article were included in the meta-analysis of adjusted data. ^eAdditional unpublished results obtained from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH).

	Soci	io-den	nograj	phic fa	actors					Rej	produ	ictive	e facto	ors					Life	estyle	facto	rs		
	Age	Country/place of residence/site	Birth year	Education	Occupation	Income	Marital status	Handedness	Descendant of prophet	Parity	Number of live births	Age at first full-term pregnancy	Age at menarche	Menstrual cycle length	Menstrual cycle regularity	Oral contraceptive use	Unilateral oophorectomy	Hormone use	Weight	Height	BMI	Smoking	Physical activity	Self-reported health status
Cramer ⁶⁹				1						1									1					
Do ⁴⁴			1	1	1					1			1									1		
Dravta ²⁶		1	1	1						1			1								1	1	1	
Fallahzadeh ⁴⁷				1		1	1	1	1										1	1		1		
Kaczmarek ⁵³				1							1		1	1		\checkmark						1		1
Li ²⁴				1		1				1			1								1	1		
Luoto ⁶⁸		\checkmark		1	\checkmark							~						\checkmark			~	1		
Morris ⁶⁷	1									1											1	1	1	
Nagel ²²	1																							
Palmer ²⁷				1						1			1			1	1				1	1	1	
Yasui ²³			\checkmark							\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	✓	\checkmark				1	1		
ALSWH ^a	\checkmark	1		1	\checkmark					~			1			1		1			1	1	\checkmark	

 Table 2. Overview of covariates used in multivariate analysis in studies included in meta-analyses of adjusted hazard ratios for

 socioeconomic and lifestyle factors and ANM

^aAdditional unpublished results obtained from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH).

International variability in age of natural menopause

The meta-analysis of ANM included 36 studies, which gave an overall summary mean ANM of 48.78 (95% CI: 48.33, 49.22). However, there was substantial heterogeneity between studies $(I^2 = 99.6\%)$, with mean age ranging from 46 to 52 years (Figure 2). Sub-group analysis by geographical region demonstrated that ANM was generally lower among African, Latin American, Asian and Middle Eastern countries, and was highest in Europe and Australia, followed by the USA. However, despite this overall pattern there remained substantial heterogeneity between countries within each region (Figure 2). Meta-regression analysis indicated that region was statistically associated with mean ANM, and explained 68.5% of the observed heterogeneity. Study design and inclusion of women aged over 65 years were also associated with ANM, but contributed to only a small proportion of the observed heterogeneity. Together, region, study design and age of study population explained 71.1% of the between-study variance (Table 3).

Socioeconomic position

The meta-analysis for the effect of education on ANM comprised 11 study populations including

ALSWH, 37, 38, 43, 45, 47, 49, 55, 60, 71 all of which were included in the analysis of middle vs low education level and nine of which were included in the analysis of high vs low education level. Onset of menopause occurred one-third of a year later in women with a middle education level compared with a low education level [WMD (random effects) 0.30, 95% CI: 0.10, 0.51; Figure 3a], and two-thirds of a year later in women with a high education level [WMD (random effects) 0.64, 95% CI: 0.26, 1.02; Figure 3b]. However, there was moderate to high heterogeneity between studies included in each of these analyses $(I^2 = 57.3\%$ and 87.9%, respectively). Two of the included studies were from 'economically more developed regions', whereas the remaining studies were from 'other regions'. Sub-group analyses revealed that the effect of education appeared to be stronger in 'economically more developed regions' than 'other regions'. Meta-regression of the results for mid-level education was consistent with this, revealing that 19.9% of the between-study variance was explained by region. None of the between-study variance appeared to be explained by differences in study design, age of population or definition of menopause.

Six studies^{24,28,44,47,53,68} that did not provide sufficient data to be included in the meta-analysis of unadjusted WMD but reported adjusted HRs were pooled with results

Afria Formucken, 1993 Ghan L23 Formucken, 2003 Fo	Study	Country	Ν		Mean age (95% CI)
Warwunden 1993 Ghana 123 48.0 (47)910 48.3 Olacofun, 2009 Nigeria 489 48.0 (47)910 48.3 Subtrait (htertogeneity: -squared = 0%; p = 0.013) 48.3 (48,12) 0.48.5 48.0 (47)910 48.3 Alahobi, 2002 Japan 493 493 493 (42,12) 0.48.5 Chong, 1995 Taiwari 124 493 (42,21) 6.53 493 (42,31) 6.53 Derigocho, 2008 China 30044 493 (42,31) 6.53 493 (42,31) 6.53 Jong, 2007 South force 265 46,50 (46,70) 4.71 46,50 (46,70) 4.71 Kiph, 2004 Chinese* 1011 48,70 (48,70) 6.43 493 (42,91) 6.43 Subtrait (htertogeneity: -squared = 98,5%; p < 0.001)	Africa				
Obanotau, 1990 Nigeria 563 48.00 (48.09) 0.48.3 Subtotal (heterogeneity: i-squared = 0%; p = 0.513) 48.33 (48.12) 0.48.6 48.33 (48.12) 0.48.6 Asia Alabohi, 2002 Japan 493 Digocho, 2008 China 33054 48.30 (48.20) 0.48.5 Jongiccho, 2008 China 33054 48.30 (48.20) 0.48.5 Jongiccho, 2008 China 30054 48.30 (48.70) 0.48.1 Jongiccho, 2008 China 2011 48.30 (48.70) 0.48.1 Ku(b), 2004 Sintotal (heterogeneity: -laquared = 98.9%; p < 0.001)	Kwawukume, 1993	Ghana	123		48.05 (47.40 to 48.70
Oka00run, 2009 Nigeria 499 48.00 (48.09 10 e.83) Subtotal (heterogeneity: i-squared = 0%; p = 0.51.3) 48.33 (48.12 to 48.5 Akai 48.30 (48.12 to 48.5 Akai 49.30 (48.21 to 48.5 Abahohi, 2002 Japan 49.3 Operational Constraints 124 48.30 (48.21 to 48.5 Operational Constraints 30.00 (48.51 to 50.3) 48.30 (48.21 to 48.5 Operational Constraints 124 48.30 (48.21 to 48.5 Operational Constraints 124 48.30 (48.21 to 48.5 Operational Constraints 1011 48.30 (48.71 to 48.5 Vicingli Constraints 1011 48.30 (48.71 to 48.5 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 98.9%; p < 0.001)	Okanofua, 1990	Nigeria	563		48.40 (47.99 to 48.81
Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 0%; p = 0.513) Aia Aia Aiababbi, 202 Japan 493 Chang, 1995 Taiwan ⁴ 124 Dorigichoe, 2006 China 33054 Horg, 2007 South Korea 2658 + Horg, 2007 South Korea 2658 + Horg, 2007 South Korea 2658 + Horg, 2007 South Korea 2651 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 96.8%; p = 0.011) Ku (h), 2004 South Korea 261 Australia ASWH, 2013 - 7755 - Do, 1996 - Chanar, 2008 Polind 2117 + Hagstad, 1998 Sweden 490 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 96.6%; p = 0.001) Lawior, 2003 UK 3513 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 96.6%; p = 0.001) Lawior, 2003 UK 3513 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.3%; p < 0.001) Lawior, 2003 UK 3513 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.3%; p < 0.001) Lawior, 2003 UK 3513 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.3%; p < 0.001) Lawior, 2003 UK 3513 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.3%; p < 0.001) Lawior, 2003 UK 3513 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.3%; p < 0.001) Lawior, 2003 UK 3513 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.3%; p < 0.001) Lawior, 2003 UK 3513 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001) Lawior, 2003 UK 3513 + Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001) Ataria, 2008 Tehran 2462 + Harra, 2008 Tehran 2462 + Harra, 2008 Tehran 2462 + Hore, 1998 UAE 742 + Harra, 2005 Iran 948 + Baner, 1998 UAE 742 + Harra, 2005 Iran 948 + Baner, 1998 UAE 742 + Harra, 2005 Iran 948 + Baner, 1998 UAE 742 + Harra, 2005 Iran 948 + Harra, 2004 Turkey 252 + Harra, 2005 Iran 948 + Harra, 2005 Iran 948 + Harra, 2004 Turkey 252 + Hore, 1998 - Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001) Harra 450 (46.310 ta 47.2) + Harra, 2005 Iran 948 + Harra, 2004 Turkey 252 + Harra, 2005 Iran 948 + Harra, 2006 Iran 1970 + Harra, 2005 Iran 948 + Harra, 2007 Ha	OlaOlorun, 2009	Nigeria	489		48.50 (48.09 to 48.91
Ania Mahohi, 2002 Japan 493 Chang, 1995 Taiward 124 For a 2008 China 30054 For a 2018 China 3005 For a 3005	Subtotal (heterogeneity)	: I-squared = 0%: n	= 0.513)		48.38 (48.12 to 48.65
Asia Akahoshi, 2002 Japan 493 Chang, 1995 Taiwan' 124 Dorigechoc, 2008 China 33054 Hong, 2007 South Korea 2628 + 48, 90 (46,7016 47) (47,104,7016 47) (47,104,7016 47) (47,7016 47) (47,7016 47) (47,7016 47) (48,770 48) (48,770 48) (49,710 49) (48,770 48) (49,710 49) (40,710 49) (41,710 4	Subtotal (neterogeneity)	. i squarea = 070, p	0.010)	\sim	40.00 (40.12 to 40.00
Akahoshi, 2002 Japan 93 Chang, 1995 Taiwari 124 Dorigocho, 2008 China 33054 Kriplani, 2005 India 201 Kriplani, 2005 India 201 Kriplani, 2005 India 201 Kriplani, 2005 India 201 Kriplani, 2005 Surgeoref 656 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 98.6%; p < 0.001) Australia AlSWH, 2013 - 7575 Do, 1998 ¹ - 1693 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 98.6%; p < 0.001) Latin America Bumel, 2008 Poland 2117 Magel, 2005 Germany 1009 Van Noord, 1997 Netherlands 4686 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001) Latin America Bumel, 2006 IS countries ⁴ 17150 Hair Ansari, 2008 Iran 2462 Ansari, 2006 Iran 2462 Ansari, 2006 Iran 2462 Ansari, 2008 Iran 2462 Ansari, 2006 Iran 2462 Ansari, 2008 Iran 2	Asia				
Chang. 1995 Taiwan' 124 4 46 48 48 00 (45.210 6.32) Doirgiocho. 2006 China 33054 Horg. 2007 South Korea 2558 4 48.00 (45.710 6.71) 48.00 (45.710 6.71) 51.22 (45.710 6.72) 51.22 (51.92 10 52.0) 51.22 (40.71 10 49.9) 51.22 (40.71 1	Akahoshi, 2002	Japan	493		50.10 (49.85 to 50.35
Dorigocho, 2008 China 33054 - 45.0 (45.16 0.47.2) Kriplani, 2005 India 201 - 45.0 (45.110 6.47.2) Kriplani, 2005 India 201 - 48.50 (45.110 6.47.2) Ku (b), 2004 South Korea 96.1 - 48.50 (45.110 6.47.2) Luh, 2005 Singapore' 65.6 - 49.00 (48.57 to 48.4) Australia - - 75.75 - 50.4 (46.93 to 52.7) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 96.6%; p < 0.001)	Chang, 1995	Taiwan ^a	124		49.80 (49.23 to 50.37
Hong. 2007 South Korea 258	Dorjgochoo, 2008	China	33054	=	49.20 (49.16 to 49.24
Kriplani, 2005 India 201 46.70 (46.110 47.2 Kulaj, 2004 Chinese ² 1011 48.80 (48.57 to 48.4) Kulb, 2005 Singaporé 656 49.30 (49.86 70 to 49.5) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 98.9%; p < 0.001)	Hong, 2007	South Korea	2658	-	46.90 (46.70 to 47.10
Ku(a), 2004 Chinese ^a 1011 48.90 (48.70 to 49.1) Ku(b), 2005 Singapore ^a 656 49.00 (48.57 to 49.4) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 98.9%; p < 0.001)	Kriplani, 2005	India	201		46.70 (46.11 to 47.2
Ku (b), 2003 South Korea 961 49.30 (49.08 to 49.5 Loh, 2005 Singaporé 656 49.00 (48.57 to 44.9) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 98.9%; p < 0.001)	Ku(a), 2004	Chinese ^b	1011	+=	48.90 (48.70 to 49.10
Loh, 2005 Singapore ⁶ 656 40.001) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 98.9%; p < 0.001) Australia ALSWH, 2013 - 7575 Do, 1998 ⁴ - 1693 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 96.6%; p < 0.001) Europe Chmara, 2008 Poland 2117 Hagstad, 1997 Netherlands Magursky, 1975 Czecholovakia 6877 Van Noord, 1997 Netherlands ALSWH, 2013 - 50, 46 (49.91 to 51.0 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001) Latin America Blumel, 2006 15 countries ⁶ 17150 Haith America Blumel, 2006 17 countries ⁶ 17150 Haith America Blumel, 2006 17 countries ⁶ 17150 Haith America Blumel, 2006 17 countries ⁶ 17150 Haith America Blumel, 2007 Iran 500 Ashraf, 2008 Tehran 2462 Haith Ade, 2007 Iran 948 Haith Ade, 2007 Iran 346 Harni, 2008 - 1225 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001) USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 Delvar, 2011 Iran 1397 Harni, 2008 - 1225 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.8%; p < 0.001) Harni, 2008 - 1323 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.8%; p < 0.001) Harni, 2008 - 1323 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.8%; p < 0.001) Hardi (As 31 to 49.8%) Harni, 2008 - 1323 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.8%; p < 0.001) Hardi (As 31 to 49.8%) Harni, 2008 - 1323 Harni, 2009 - 1311 Harni, 2008 - 1323 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.8%; p < 0.001) Hardi (As 31 to 49.8%) Harni, 2008 - 1323 Harni, 2009 - 1324 Harni, 2008 - 1323 Harni, 2008 - 1323 Harni, 2009 - 1324 Harni, 2008 - 1323 Harni, 2008	Ku (b), 2004	South Korea	961		49.30 (49.08 to 49.52
Subtatal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 98.5%; p < 0.001) 48.75 (48.08 to 49.4 Australia ALSWH, 2013 - 7575 Do, 1998 ⁴ - 1693 Subtatal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 96.6%; p < 0.001) Europe Chmara, 2008 Poland 2117 = 49.81 (49.71 to 49.9 Chmara, 2008 Poland 2117 = 49.81 (49.71 to 49.9 Hagstad, 1998 Sweden 490 Lawlor, 2003 UK 3513 = 50.00 (49.84 to 50.1 Magursky, 1975 Czechoslovakia 6877 = 51.21 (51.11 to 51.3 Nagel, 2005 Germany 1009 Australad Blumel, 2006 Germany 1009 Latin America Blumel, 2006 I 5 countries* 17150 Garrido-Latore, 1996 Mexico 472 Ansari, 2009 I ran 500 Australi, 2008 Tehran 2462 + 46.50 (46.35 to 45.9 Sievert, 2003 Mexico 451 Ansari, 2008 Tehran 2462 + 47.71 (47.49 to 47.9 Ayatollahi, 2005 I ran 948 Bener, 1998 UAE 742 + 46.50 (46.35 to 47.4 Australi, 2008 Tehran 2462 + 47.70 (47.97 to 48.6 Bener, 1998 UAE 742 + 46.50 (46.35 to 47.9 Ayatollahi, 2005 I ran 948 Bener, 1998 UAE 742 + 47.70 (47.97 to 46.7 Belahzadeh, 2007 I ran 346 Australi, 2008 - 1825 Subtatal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001) USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 Doronyk, 2006 - 248 Fleming, 2008 - 1825 Australi, 2009 - 13111 Middle Erit Australia 2462 + 47.70 (46.91 to 47.9 Australia, 2009 - 13111 Australia 2462 + 47.70 (47.91 to 47.91 Australia 2462 + 48.80 (48.31 to 49.4 Australia 246 Austra	Loh, 2005	Singapore ^c	656	+ 	49.00 (48.57 to 49.43
Australia ALSWH, 2013 - 7575 Do, 1998 - 1693 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 96.5%; p < 0.001) Europe Chmar, 2008 Poland 2117 Hagstad, 1998 Sweeten 490 Lawlor, 2003 UK 3513 Magursky, 1975 Czechoslovakia 6877 Nagel, 2005 Germany 1009 Lawlor, 2003 UK 3513 Magursky, 1977 Czechoslovakia 6877 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001) Latin America Blumel, 2006 15 countries ⁶ 17150 Middle East Ansari, 2009 Iran 500 Ansari, 2009 Iran 548 Ansari, 2009 Iran 5488 Ansari, 2009 Iran 548 Ansari, 2000 Iran 348 Ansari, 2	Subtotal (heterogeneity	: I-squared = 98.9%	6; p < 0.001)		48.75 (48.08 to 49.42
ALSWH, 2013 - 7575 Do, 1998' - 1693 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 96.6%; p < 0.001) Europe Chmar, 2008 Poland 2117 = 49.81(49.710 459) Hagstad, 1998 Sweden 490 Lawlor, 2003 UK 3513 = 50.00(49.910 51.0) Lawlor, 2003 UK 3513 = 50.00(49.910 51.0) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001) Latin America Blumel, 2006 15 countries* 17150 Garrido-Latore, 1996 Mexico 472 4 Ansari, 2009 Iran 500 Ansari, 2009 Iran 543 Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 + 47.2 46.50(46.35 to 47.4 46.50(46.35 to 47.4 47.72(47.45 to 47.9) 47.24 (45.91 to 48.8 46.50(46.35 to 47.4 47.72(47.45 to 47.9) 47.24 (45.91 to 47.8 46.50(46.35 to 47.4 47.72(47.45 to 47.9) 47.24 (45.81 to 48.8 46.50(46.35 to 47.4 47.72(47.45 to 47.9) 47.24 (45.81 to 48.8 46.50(46.35 to 47.4 47.72(47.45 to 47.9) 47.39(46.85 to 47.4 47.39(46.85 to 47.4 47.39(46.85 to 47.4 47.39(46.85 to 47.4 47.39(46.85 to 47.9) 47.39(46.85 to 47.9) 48.30(47.99 to 47.8) 48.30(47.99 to 47.8) 48.30(47.	Australia				
Junt 1, 1, 2013 Junt 1, 2014 Junt 1, 2014	ALSW/H 2013	-	7575	=	52 00 (51 92 to 52 08
Lob, 1990 Lob, 1990 Lob, 1990 Lob, 1990 Europe Subtoal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 96.6%; p < 0.001)	Do 100%		1602		52.00 (51.52 to 52.00
Laboration (netering interp) (netrogenetry) (netro	Subtotal (beterogeneity	- 	1095 (· n <0.001)		> 51 25 (49.51 to 51.0.
Europe Chmara, 2008 Poland 2117 = 49.81 (49.71 to 49.9 Hagstad, 1998 Sweden 490 = 50.80 (50.70 to 50.9 Lawlor, 2003 UK 3513 = 50.00 (49.84 to 50.1 Magursky, 1975 Czechoslovakia 6877 = 51.21 (51.11 to 51.3 Magursky, 1997 Netherlands 4686 = 50.16 (50.04 to 50.2 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001) = 50.54 (50.04 to 51.0 Latin America Blumel, 2006 15 countries* 17150 = 48.50 (48.19 to 48.8 Garrido-Latore, 1996 Mexico 472 = 46.50 (46.55 to 46.9) Sievert, 2003 Mexico 451 = 46.70 (46.27 to 47.11 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001) = 47.24 (45.88 to 48.68 Bener, 1998 UAE 742 = 47.71 (47.49 to 47.93 Ayatollahi, 2005 Iran 948 = 47.02 = 47.71 (47.49 to 47.93 Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 = 47.39 (46.59 to 46.79 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001) = 47.37 (46.91 to 47.88 USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 = 49.50 (49.21 to 49.77 USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 = 49.50 (49.21 to 49.77 USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 = 49.50 (49.21 to 49.77 Haring, 2008 - 1825 = 48.90 (48.81 to 49.48 Hering, 2008 - 1825 = 48.90 (48.91 to 47.89 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) = 48.78 (48.33 to 49.47 Haring, 2009 - 13311 = 49.48 (48.49 to 49.47 Haring, 2009 - 13311 = 49.48 (48.49 to 49.47 Haring, 2009 - 13311 = 49.48 (49.49 to 49.47 Haring, 2009 - 13311 = 49.68 (49.40 to 49.87 Haring, 2009 - 1331 = 49.68 (49.40 to 49.87 Haring, 2008 - 1825 = 48.90 (48.88 to 49.47 Haring, 2009 - 1331 = 49.68 (49.40 to 49.87 Haring, 2009 - 1331 = 49.68 (4	Subtotal (neterogeneity	. i-squared = 50.07	0, p <0.001)		51.25 (45.75 to 52.70
Chmar, 2008 Poland 2117 = 49.81 (49.71 to 49.9 Hagstad, 1998 Sweden 490 = 50.80 (50.70 to 50.9 Lawlor, 2003 UK 3513 = 50.80 (50.70 to 50.9 Magursky, 1975 Czechosłowiai 6877 = 51.21 (51.11 to 51.3 Nagel, 2005 Germany 1009 = 50.16 (50.04 to 52.) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001)	Europe				
Hagstad, 1998 Sweden 490 = = 50.80 (50.70 to 50.9 Lawlor, 2003 UK 3513 + 50.00 (49.84 to 50.1 Magursky, 1975 Czechoslovakia 6877 + 51.21 (51.11 to 51.3 Van Noord, 1997 Netherlands 4686 + 50.16 (50.04 to 50.2 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 91.% p < 0.001) + 50.54 (50.04 to 51.0 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%, p < 0.001) + 50.54 (50.04 to 51.0 Middle East Ansari, 2009 Iran 500 + 46.20 (46.35 to 47.4 Ashrafi, 2008 Tehran 2462 + 47.71 (47.49 to 47.92 Ayatollahi, 2005 Iran 948 + 48.30 (48.37 to 47.92 Bener, 1998 UAE 742 + 47.70 (47.45 to 47.92 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%, p < 0.001) + 50.54 (50.04 to 51.0 USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 + 47.00 (46.39 to 47.92 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%, p < 0.001) + 50.54 (50.04 to 49.24 USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 + 49.50 (49.21 to 49.74 USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 + 49.50 (49.40 + 49.40 USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 + 49.50 (49.40 +	Chmara, 2008	Poland	2117	-	49.81 (49.71 to 49.92
Lawlor, 2003 UK 3513 Magursky, 1975 Czechoslovakia 6877 Nagel, 2005 Germany 1009 Van Noord, 1997 Netherlands 4686 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001) Latin America Blumel, 2006 15 countries* 17150 Garrido-Latore, 1996 Mexico 451 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001) Middle East Ansari, 2009 Iran 500 Astronov 472 + 46.50 (46.55 to 47.9; Astronov 46.27 to 47.1; Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001) Middle East Ansari, 2009 Iran 500 Mexico 451 Delavar, 1998 UAE 742 + 46.50 (46.55 to 47.9; Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 Cooper, 1999 - 543 Dvornyk, 2006 - 248 Fleming, 2008 - 1825 + 49.50 (49.21 to 49.7; Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001) Middle Heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001) Middle Heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001) Astronov 44 46 46 48 + 50 52 54	Hagstad, 1998	Sweden	490	-	50.80 (50.70 to 50.90
Magursky, 1975 Czechoslovakia 6877 = 51.21 (51.11 to 51.3) Nagel, 2005 Germany 1009 + 51.30 (51.01 to 51.5) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001)	Lawlor, 2003	UK	3513	+	50.00 (49.84 to 50.16
Nagel, 2005 Germany 1009 51.30 (51.01 to 51.5) Van Noord, 1997 Netherlands 4686 + 50.16 (50.04 to 50.2) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001)	Magursky, 1975	Czechoslovakia	6877	-	51.21 (51.11 to 51.32
Van Noord, 1997 Netherlands 4686 # 50.16 (50.04 to 50.2) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001)	Nagel, 2005	Germany	1009		51.30 (51.01 to 51.59
Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.1%; p < 0.001) Latin America Blumel; 2006 15 countries* 17150 Garrido-Latore; 1996 Mexico 472 Sievert; 2003 Mexico 451 Garrido-Latore; 1996 Mexico 451 Garrido-Latore; 1998 Mexico 451 Colore; 1998 UAE 742 Colore; 1998 UAE 742 Colore; 1998 UAE 742 Colore; 1994 Turkey 1076 Garrido-Latore; 1996 Colore; 1999 Garrido Carrido Colore; 1999 Garrido Garrido Carrido Car	Van Noord, 1997	Netherlands	4686	+	50.16 (50.04 to 50.28
Latin America Blumel, 2006 15 countries* 17150 48.50 (48.19 to 48.8 Garrido-Latore, 1996 Mexico 472 46.50 (46.05 to 46.9) Sievert, 2003 Mexico 451 47.41 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001) 47.24 (45.88 to 48.60 Middle East Ansari, 2009 Iran 500 46.90 (46.35 to 47.41 Ashrafi, 2008 Tehran 2462 4 Ayatollahi, 2005 Iran 948 48.50 (47.41 to 47.81 Ayatollahi, 2005 Iran 948 48.50 (47.91 to 46.51 Beser, 1998 UAE 742 4 Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 4 Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 47.70 (47.45 to 47.91 Ayatollahi (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001) 47.37 (46.91 to 47.81 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001) 47.37 (46.91 to 47.81 Michight, 2011 - 10440 49.44 Palmer, 2003 - 1323 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Total (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Total (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Total (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Total (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 48.78 (48.31 to 49.42 Sub	Subtotal (heterogeneity	: I-squared = 99.1%	%; p < 0.001)	\diamond	50.54 (50.04 to 51.05
Blumel, 2006 15 countries ⁶ 17150 46.50 (48.19 to 48.8 Garrido-Latore, 1996 Mexico 472 46.50 (46.05 to 46.9) Sievert, 2003 Mexico 451 46.70 (46.27 to 47.1) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001) 47.24 (45.88 to 48.61 Middle East Ansari, 2009 Iran 500 46.90 (46.35 to 47.41 Ashrafi, 2008 Tehran 2462 4 Ashrafi, 2005 Iran 948 4 Bener, 1998 UAE 742 4 Beser, 1998 UAE 742 4 Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 4 Fallabradeh, 2007 Iran 346 47.39 (46.86 to 47.91 Votronyk, 2006 - 248 47.39 (46.80 to 47.91 Ar, 70 (46.49 to 47.51 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001) 47.37 (46.91 to 47.81 Ashrafi, 2009 - 1311 49.90 (48.38 to 49.11 Harris, 2009 - 1312 44 Meknight, 2011 - 10440 4 Palmer, 2003 - 1323 4 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 98.6%; p < 0.001) 47.87 (46.91 to 47.81 Ashrafi, 2009 - 1312 44 46 48 47 46 48 47 48 48.30 (47.81 to 49.41 Ashrafi, 2009 - 1312 44 46 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48	Latin America				
billing, 2000 13 Culturities 11 20 46.30 (46.13 to 48.6) Garrido-Latore, 1996 Mexico 451 46.50 (46.05 to 46.9) Sievert, 2003 Mexico 451 46.50 (46.05 to 46.9) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001)	Plumal 2006	1E countriose	17150		10 E0 / 10 to 10 to 10 01
Garmo-Latore, 1995 Mexico 472 46.50 (46.05 to 46.5) Sievert, 2003 Mexico 451 46.50 (46.27 to 47.1) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001)	Gunida Labora 1000	15 countries	17150		46.50 (46.19 10 46.6.
Sievert, 2003 Mexico 451 46.70 (46.27 to 47.1) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 97.2%; p < 0.001)	Garrido-Latore, 1996	IVIEXICO	472		46.50 (46.05 to 46.93
Middle East 47.24 (45.88 to 48.01) Ashrafi, 2009 Iran 500 Ashrafi, 2008 Tehran 2462 Ashrafi, 2005 Iran 948 Ashrafi, 2005 Iran 948 Beser, 1998 UAE 742 Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Sievert, 2003	iviexico	451		40.70 (46.27 to 47.13
Middle East Ansari, 2009 Iran 500 46.90 (46.35 to 47.4) Ashrafi, 2008 Tehran 2462 +- 47.71 (47.49 to 47.9) Ayatollahi, 2005 Iran 948 48.30 (47.97 to 48.6) Bener, 1998 UAE 742 +- 47.65 (47.41 to 47.8) Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 +- 47.70 (47.45 to 47.9) Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 47.39 (46.86 to 47.9) Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 47.00 (46.49 to 47.5) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Subtotal (neterogeneity	. i-squareu – 97.27	₀, p < 0.001)		47.24 (45.88 10 48.00
Ansari, 2009 Iran 500 46.90 (46.35 to 47.4) Ashrafi, 2008 Tehran 2462 47.71 (47.49 to 47.9) Ayatollahi, 2005 Iran 948 47.65 (47.41 to 47.8) Bener, 1998 UAE 742 47.65 (47.41 to 47.8) Beser, 1994 Turkey 1076 46.24 (45.99 to 46.4) Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 47.00 (46.49 to 47.9) Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346	Middle East				
Ashrafi, 2008 Tehran 2462 ++ 47.71 (47.49 to 47.92) Ayatollahi, 2005 Iran 948 48.30 (47.97 to 48.6) Bener, 1998 UAE 742 ++ 47.65 (47.41 to 47.8) Beser, 1994 Turkey 1076 46.24 (45.99 to 46.4) Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 ++ 47.70 (47.45 to 47.9) Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 47.39 (48.86 to 47.9) Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 ++ Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Ansari, 2009	Iran	500		46.90 (46.35 to 47.45
Ayatollahi, 2005 Iran 948 48.30 (47.97 to 48.6) Bener, 1998 UAE 742 47.65 (47.41 to 47.8) Beser, 1994 Turkey 1076 46.24 (45.99 to 46.4) Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 47.70 (47.45 to 47.9) Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 47.39 (46.86 to 47.9) Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 47.00 (46.49 to 47.5) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Ashrafi. 2008	Tehran	2462		47.71 (47.49 to 47.93
Bener, 1998 UAE 742 ++ 47.65 (47.41 to 47.8) Beser, 1994 Turkey 1076 ++ 46.24 (45.9) to 46.4) Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 ++ 47.00 (47.45 to 47.9) Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 ++ 47.00 (47.45 to 47.9) Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 ++ 47.00 (46.49 to 47.5) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Avatollahi 2005	Iran	948		48.30 (47.97 to 48.63
Beser, 1994 Turkey 1076 46.24 (45.99 to 46.4) Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 46.24 (45.99 to 46.4) Delavar, 2011 Iran 346 47.70 (47.45 to 47.9) Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 47.00 (46.49 to 47.5) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Rener 1998	LIΔE	742		A7 65 (A7 A1 to A7 89
Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 47.24 (43.5) 10 40.4.4 Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 47.70 (47.45 to 47.9) Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 47.39 (46.86 to 47.9) Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 47.00 (46.49 to 47.5) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Becor 1994	Turkov	1076		46.24 (45.99 to 46.40
Delavar, 2011 Iran 1397 47.70 (47.45 to 47.9) Fallahzadeh, 2007 Iran 346 47.39 (46.86 to 47.9) Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 47.00 (46.49 to 47.5) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Delever 2011	lasa	1070		40.24 (45.55 to 40.4
Failanzaden, 2007 Iran 346 47.39 (46.86 to 47.9. Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 47.00 (46.49 to 47.5 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Delavar, 2011	iran	1397		47.70 (47.45 to 47.95
Ozdemir, 2004 Turkey 262 47.00 (46.49 to 47.5 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Fallahzadeh, 2007	Iran	346		47.39 (46.86 to 47.92
Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%; p < 0.001)	Ozdemir, 2004	Turkey	262		47.00 (46.49 to 47.51
USA Cooper, 1999 - 543 - 49.50 (49.21 to 49.7) Dvornyk, 2006 - 248 - 48.90 (48.31 to 49.4) Fleming, 2008 - 1825 - 48.30 (47.89 to 48.7) Harris, 2009 - 1311 - 49.15 (48.86 to 49.4) Mcknight, 2011 - 10440 - 49.00 (48.88 to 49.1) Palmer, 2003 - 1323 - 49.60 (49.40 to 49.80) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001) - 48.78 (48.33 to 49.2) Total (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) - 48.78 (48.33 to 49.2)	Subtotal (heterogeneity	: I-squared = 94.6%	%; p < 0.001)	<>	47.37 (46.91 to 47.84
Coor 543 49.50 (49.21 to 49.7) Dvornyk, 2006 - 248 48.90 (48.31 to 49.4) Fleming, 2008 - 1825 48.30 (47.89 to 48.7) Harris, 2009 - 1311 49.15 (48.86 to 49.4) Mcknight, 2011 - 10440 49.60 (49.40 to 49.8) Palmer, 2003 - 1323 49.60 (49.40 to 49.4) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001)					
Dvornyk, 2006 - 248 - 49.50 (49.21 to 49.7) Fleming, 2008 - 1825 - 48.90 (48.31 to 49.4) Harris, 2009 - 1311 - 49.15 (48.6 to 49.4) Mcknight, 2011 - 10440 = 49.00 (48.88 to 49.1) Palmer, 2003 - 1323 - 49.60 (49.40 to 49.80) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001)	Cooper 1999	-	543		49.50 (49.21 to 40.70
Fleming, 2008 - 1825 - 48.50 (48.51 to 49.4) Harris, 2009 - 1311 - 49.15 (48.6 to 49.4) Mcknight, 2011 - 10440 = 49.00 (48.8 to 49.1) Palmer, 2003 - 1323 - 49.60 (49.40 to 49.80) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001)	Dvornyk 2006		248		AS 90 (AS 21 to AD AC
Harris, 2009 - 1323 - 48.30 (47.89 to 48.7) Harris, 2009 - 1311 - 49.15 (48.86 to 49.4) Mcknight, 2011 - 10440 = 49.00 (48.88 to 49.1) Palmer, 2003 - 1323 - 49.60 (49.40 to 49.86) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001)	Eloming 2000		1025		40.30 (40.31 10 49.43
Harris, 2009 - 1311 49.15 (48.86 to 49.4) Mcknight, 2011 - 10440 = 49.00 (48.88 to 49.4) Palmer, 2003 - 1323 = 49.60 (49.40 to 49.80 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001)	Fielding, 2008	-	1020		40.50 (47.89 t0 48.7.
McKnight, 2011 - 10440 = 49.00 (48.88 to 49.12) Palmer, 2003 - 1323 = 49.60 (49.40 to 49.80) Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001)	narris, 2009	-	1311		49.15 (48.86 to 49.44
Palmer, 2003 - 1323 49.60 (49.40 to 49.80 Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001)	Mcknight, 2011	-	10440	=	49.00 (48.88 to 49.12
Subtotal (heterogeneity: I-squared = 89.8%; p < 0.001)	Palmer, 2003	-	1323	-	49.60 (49.40 to 49.80
Total (heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.6%; p < 0.001) 44 46 48 50 50 52 54	Subtotal (heterogeneity	: I-squared = 89.8%	6; p < 0.001)		49.11 (48.78 to 49.43
44 46 48 50 52 54	Total (heterogeneity: I-s	quared = 99.6%; p	< 0.001)		48.78 (48.33 to 49.22
· · ·			44	46 48 50 52	54

Figure 2. Meta-analysis of mean age at natural menopause, stratified by geographical region (using random effects). CI, confidence interval. ^aChinese women in Taiwar; ^bsecond- or third-generation emigrants from Korea to China; ^cChinese Malay and Indian women in Singapore; ^dmean and standard error were calculated from the median and range using recommended formula;⁸⁶ ^eStudy included centres from North, Central and South America (Argentina; Bolivia; Brazil; Chile; Colombia; Costa Rica; Cuba; Dominican Republic; Ecuador; Honduras; Mexico; Panama; Paraguay; Peru; Uruguay. Dotted line represents the overall summary mean age.

Characteristic	Meta-regression coefficient (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value ^a	% variance explained
Univariable meta-regression			
Region ^b			
Australia	0.73 (-0.68 to 2.14)	0.717	68.5
Asia	-1.80(-2.72 to -0.87)	0.001	
Africa	-2.23 (-3.45 to -0.99)	0.003	
Latin American	-3.30 (-4.51 to -2.08)	< 0.001	
Middle East	-3.18 (-4.10 to -2.25)	< 0.001	
USA	-1.46 (-2.45 to -0.47)	0.015	
Joint effect of region		< 0.001	
Prospective study design	-1.46 (-2.52 to -0.41)	0.008	16.7
Study population did not include women aged >65 years	-0.75 (-1.77 to 0.27)	0.146	3.4
Ideal definition of menopause used	0.61 (-0.42 to 1.64)	0.237	1.4
Multivariate meta-regression ^c			
Region ^b			71.1
Australia	0.77 (-0.69 to 2.22)	0.845	
Asia	-1.62 (-2.53 to -0.72)	0.010	
Africa	-2.35 (-3.58 to -1.12)	0.005	
Latin American	-3.22 (-4.42 to -2.02)	< 0.001	
Middle East	-3.12 (-4.05 to -2.20)	< 0.001	
USA	-1.25 (-2.22 to -0.28)	0.075	
Joint effect of region		< 0.001	
Prospective study design	0.08 (-0.74 to 0.90)	1.000	
Study population did not include women aged >65 years	-0.65 (-1.29 to -0.01)	0.232	
- •			

Table 3. Univariable and multivariate meta-regression analysis of the effect of potential sources of heterogeneity on menopausal age

^aP-value for region adjusted for multiplicity in the univariate analysis; P-value for region, age and study design adjusted for multiplicity in the multivariate model.

^bComparing each region against Europe (which had the largest number of studies).

^cOnly those characteristics with a *P*-value of <0.2 in the univariate models were included in the multivariate model.

from ALSWH. Both middle and high education levels remained associated with a later ANM compared with low education level (pooled HR 0.93, 95% CI: 0.87, 0.98, and 0.81, 95% CI: 0.74, 0.89, respectively), although there was moderate heterogeneity between studies for the effect of high education (Table 4).

The meta-analysis of occupation and ANM comprised ALSWH plus three published studies.^{45,48,71} Occupation had an effect comparable to education, with ANM being higher in women with a middle occupation level compared with a low occupation level [WMD (random effects) 0.25, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.49; Figure 3c], and higher again in women with a high occupation level [WMD (random effects) 0.76, 95% CI: 0.44, 1.09; Figure 3d]. However, moderate heterogeneity existed between studies in each analysis ($I^2 = 40.7\%$ and 65.7%, respectively).

Five studies reported ANM by level of income.^{45,47,51,60,71} A pooled analysis of these studies revealed no effect of income on ANM (WMD 0.04, 95% CI: -0.83, 0.91), but there was substantial heterogeneity between studies (I² = 93.4%; Figure 4).

Smoking

The meta-analysis of smoking comprised 15 study populations, including ALSWH.^{35,36,41-43,45-49,55,60,61} Overall, smoking was associated with having an earlier mean ANM by almost a year (WMD -0.94, 95% CI: -1.36, -0.52; Figure 5a). Substantial heterogeneity was found between studies ($I^2 = 86.2\%$), which remained after excluding one outlying study where the estimate was in the opposite direction⁴² ($I^2 = 78.9\%$). A subgroup analysis revealed that the heterogeneity was explained by region (Figure 5b), with the effect of smoking greater in 'economically more developed regions' (WMD -1.67, 95% CI: -1.82, -1.51; heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$) than in 'other regions' (WMD -0.70, 95% CI: -1.12, -0.28). Meta-regression similarly demonstrated that region was associated with the effect of smoking on mean ANM (coefficient for 'economically more developed regions' vs 'other regions' -0.91, 95% CI: -1.25, -0.57; *P* < 0.001), explaining 99.6% of the observed between-study variance.

One of these 14 studies,⁴⁷ plus 11 study populations including ALSWH,^{22-24,26-28,44,53,67,69} reported adjusted

٩						%	В						%
Study	Country	Middle	i Low		WMD (95% CI)	Weight	Study	Country High	Low			WMD (95% CI)	Weight
ALSWH, 2013	Australia	1 2832	3415	. + .	0.43 (0.24, 0.62)	19.20	ALSWH, 2013	Australia 1264	3415		. +	0.82 (0.59, 1.05)	17.61
Ayatollahi, 20	15 Iran	321	463		0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	5.72	Ayatollahi, 2005	Iran 171	463		- •	0.70 (-0.30, 1.70	8.16
Bener, 1996 Beser, 1994	UAE Turkey	201	875	L	0.06 (-0.33, 0.63) -0.66 (-1.31, -0.01)	6.73	Delavar, 2011	Iran 99	543			0.90 (0.02, 1.78)	9.40
Delavar, 2011	Iran	98	543	- ‡	0.00 (-1.06, 1.06)	3.14	Dorjgochoo, 2008	China 1144	9 14186		•	1.10 (1.01, 1.19)	18.65
Dorjgochoo, 2	208 China	7408	14186	•	0.30 (0.19, 0.41)	21.71	Fallahzadeh, 2007	Iran 48	141	•	_	-0.41 (-1.95, 1.13	4.56
Fallahzadeh, 2	007 Iran	143	141	•	1.01 (0.16, 1.86)	4.49	Garrido-Latorre, 1996	Mexico 15	402		- •	0.60 (-4.73, 5.93	0.49
Garrido-Lator	e, 1996 Mexico	48	402	ļ.	-0.10 (-1.48, 1.28)	1.96	Ku [a], 2004	Taiwan 261	242	•		-0.40 (-1.02, 0.22	12.53
Ku [a], 2004	Taiwan	508	242	•	0.60 (0.11, 1.09)	9.80	Ku [h] 2004	Korea 360	312	•		-0 10 (-0 66 0.46	13.37
Ku [b], 2004	Korea	289	312	· E	-0.20 (-0.78, 0.38)	7.84		000 000		•			
McKnight, 201	1 USA	5674	1503	•	0.80 (0.38, 1.22)	11.66	McKnight, 2011	USA 3248	1503		↓ -∢	1.50 (1.07, 1.93)	15.24
Overall (I-squ	ared = 57.3%, p = 0.	(600			0.30 (0.10, 0.51)	100.00	Overall (I-squared = 83	3.9%, p = 0.000)		~	⇒	0.64 (0.26, 1.02)	100.00
			4 -3 -2 -1 0	1 2 3 4						4 -3 -2 -1 0	1 2 4		
			Weighted mea	an difference						Weighted mear	n difference		
U						%	Ω						%
Study	Country	Middle	Low		WMD (95% CI)	Weight	Study	Country	High	Low		WMD (95% CI)	Weight
ALSWH, 2013	Australia	3256	885	- + :	0.21 (-0.07, 0.49)	33.33	ALSWH, 2013	Australia	3012	885		0.60 (0.31, 0.89)	35.68
Ayatollahi, 2005	Iran	27		_	0.60 (-0.25, 1.45)	6.72	Ayatollahi, 2005	Iran	61	867		0.40 (-0.93, 1.73)	5.46
Dorjgochoo, 2008	China	5710	17405	•	0.30 (0.19, 0.41)	57.60	Dorjgochoo, 2008	China	9710	17405	•	1.00 (0.91, 1.09)	47.01
Fleming, 2008	NSA	162	1429	, -	-1.28 (-2.78, 0.22)	2.35	Fleming, 2008	NSA	234	1429		0.49 (-0.34, 1.32)	11.84
Overall (I-square	d = 40.7%, p = 0.	.167)		\diamond	0.25 (0.02, 0.49)	1 00.00	Overall (I-squared =	= 65.7%, p =	0.033)		\diamond	0.76 (0.44, 1.09)	100.00
			-3 -2 -1 (0 1 2 3						-3 -2 -1 0	1 2 1		
			Weighted me	an difference						Weighted mea	an difference		
Figure 3. Meta-al ^a Random effects appropriate.	nalysis ^a of th _' estimates. T	e unac ⁻ hird a	ljusted effect of (a) mic and fourth columns in	ddle education le the figures give	evel, (b) high ed	ucation level, (c f women class	c) middle occupatior ified as having a m	n level and iiddle, higl	l (d) hiç h or lo	jh occupation level on w education level, an	age of natural r d middle, high o	nenopause. or low occupatic	n level, as

1554

Table 4. Summary estimates for meta-analysis of adjustedhazard ratios for the effect of smoking, body mass index,physical activity and education on age of menopause onset

Characteristic	Number of studies	Pooled hazard ratio (95% CI)	Heterogeneity (I ² [%]; <i>P</i> -value ^a
Education ^b			
Low		1.00 (ref)	-
Middle	7	0.93 (0.87 to 0.98)	58.4; 0.025
High	7	0.81 (0.74 to 0.89)	48.6; 0.070
Cigarette smoking			
Non/ex-smoker		1.00 (ref)	-
Current smoker	12	1.32 (1.25 to 1.40)	70.7; <0.001
Body mass index ^c			
Normal		1.00 (ref)	-
Overweight	7	0.93 (0.91 to 0.95)	1.3; 0.414
Obese	6	0.92 (0.85 to 0.99)	78.9; <0.001
Physical activity			
Low/none		1.00 (ref)	-
Moderate/high	5	0.97 (0.95 to 1.04)	65.6; 0.02

^a*P*-value of chi² test for heterogeneity.

^bSeparate meta-analyses were performed, pooling data on middle education level vs low, and high education level vs low.

^cSeparate meta-analyses were performed, pooling data on overweight vs normal, and obese vs normal.

HRs for the effect of smoking on ANM. Meta-analysis indicated that smokers were at a 33% increased risk of becoming postmenopausal at a given age compared with non-smokers (pooled HR 1.33, 95% CI: 1.26, 1.40), with substantial heterogeneity between studies (Table 4). Thus, smoking remains associated with an earlier ANM after adjusting for potential confounding factors.

ANM in ex-smokers was rarely reported across studies. Meta-analysis of unadjusted data from three studies, including ALSWH, revealed no difference in ANM among ex-smokers compared with non-smokers. Similarly, pooled adjusted effect estimates revealed no association between ex-smoking and ANM.

Body mass index

The meta-analysis of the effect of being overweight included ALSWH plus six published studies,^{33,36–38,43,47} five of which were also included in the analysis of obesity. Overall, no clear association was found between being overweight and ANM [WMD (random effects]) 0.05, 95% CI: -0.25, 0.35; Figure 6a], although there was moderate heterogeneity between studies (I² = 44.1%). Similarly, there was no clear association between obesity and ANM, although there was a trend for obesity being associated with a later ANM [WMD (fixed effects) 0.13, 95% CI: -0.08, 0.34; Figure 6b], with little heterogeneity between studies (I² = 10.7%).

Six studies^{22–24,26,27,67} reported adjusted HRs, which we pooled with the results from our analysis of ALSWH. The

summary estimate indicated that being overweight was associated with a decreased risk of menopause (i.e. menopause occurred later in overweight women) by about 7% (pooled HR 0.93, 95% CI: 0.91, 0.95). A similar effect was seen for obesity (pooled HR 0.92, 95% CI: 0.85, 0.99), although there was substantial heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 78.9\%$) (Table 4).

Physical activity

The meta-analysis of physical activity and ANM included three published studies^{45,60,71} plus ALSWH. Onset of menopause occurred about one-third of a year earlier among women who were physically inactive compared with those who were moderately or highly physically active [WMD (fixed effects) -0.34, 95% CI: -0.62, -0.07; Figure 6c]. There was however substantial heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 81.8\%$), which appeared to be explained by differences between the results for ALSWH and the other three studies, since the exclusion of ALSWH removed all heterogeneity.

When the results from ALSWH were pooled with an additional four studies^{22,26,27,67} that reported adjusted HRs there was no effect of physical activity on ANM (Table 4).

Examination of funnel plots for the effect of smoking, education and BMI revealed no suggestion of publication bias through non-publication of small or null associations among small study populations (Supplementary Figure 1, available as Supplementary data at *IJE* online).

In general, the findings from studies that reported data on associations between SEP and lifestyle factors and ANM that could not be included in the analyses were in keeping with the overall results obtained from the metaanalyses described above (Supplementary Tables 1, 3 and 4, available as Supplementary data at *IJE* online).

Discussion

This is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to investigate regional variability in ANM, and the effect of SEP and a range of lifestyle factors on ANM. Among 44 community-based studies across six continents, with additional unpublished findings from ALSWH, we found that ANM was generally lower among African, Latin American, Asian and Middle Eastern countries and highest in Europe and Australia, followed by the USA. In terms of SEP, the estimates from meta-analyses for education and occupation level both demonstrated a dose response for later ANM, with increasing education or occupation level associated with increasing ANM. Smoking was associated with having ANM almost 1 year earlier. Moderate or high



Figure 4. Meta-analysis^a of the unadjusted effect of income on age of menopause. ^aRandom effects estimate.

physical activity was also associated with earlier menopause when unadjusted data were included in the metaanalysis, but this attenuated to give no evidence of effect when adjusted data were included. Meta-analysis of adjusted estimates also suggested that being overweight was marginally associated with slightly later ANM, whereas no association was found with obesity.

Timing of menopause is an indicator of ovarian function and ageing, and therefore critical for women's health. Both early and late ANM have been shown to be associated with adverse health outcomes in postmenopause women, highlighting the importance of identification of factors across the life course that can affect ANM. Based on our findings, socioeconomic and lifestyle factors assessed in mid life are associated with timing of ANM, and may thereby influence future disease risk.

Although genetic and racial factors have been proposed to be an important determinant of ANM,^{9,11} other factors could also explain the international variability of ANM. The pattern of regional differences evident for ANM in this study, however, support the role of SEP and lifestyle factors rather than just genetic factors, with an 'economically more developed region'/'other region' dichotomy tending to distinguish ANM across regions. Moreover, the two studies from 'other regions' nations with the highest ANM were from Japan³³ and Taiwan,⁴⁰ which arguably also have a relatively long record of being high-income and economically advanced nations.

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis of the available evidence on SEP and ANM. It highlights the role

of SEP in delaying ANM, with both higher education and occupation levels associated with later onset of menopause. Authors of a previous systematic review on education level and ANM concluded that there was no unequivocal evidence for an association between education and ANM.¹⁶ However, results from our meta-analysis demonstrate an overall clear dose-response relationship between lower education and earlier ANM, even among studies that had adjusted for confounding factors. In addition, the similar dose response that is evident for occupation in our meta-analysis complements these findings on education and provides further support for the role of SEP in influencing ANM. The mechanism underlying this association remains unclear, but may be at least partially explained by lifestyle factors such as smoking and diet. Even though most studies adjusted for lifestyle factors smoking and BMI, no adjustments were made for dietary factors. Also, a birth cohort study found that lower childhood, but not adulthood, social class was associated with earlier ANM.⁷² Adverse childhood experiences may include household crowding, father's occupation, no hot water supply in the house, shared bedroom and no car access.⁷³ Childhood experiences may explain some of the observed association between education and occupation and ANM.

Our finding that current smoking is a risk factor for earlier ANM concurs with the conclusions drawn from previous reviews.^{13,14} The overall magnitude of effect of smoking on ANM in our meta-analysis is similar to that found in an earlier meta-analysis. The latter included six studies, compared with the 14 studies included in our

A				%	B	study	Country Nonsmo	king Smoking		WMD (95% CI)	% Weight
study	Country Nonsr	noking Smoking	_	WMD (95% CI) Weight	I				-		
						Economically more de	veloped region		- ;		
ALSWH 2013	Australia 6133	1206	ł	-1 57 (-1 83 -1 31) 10 08		ALSWH, 2013	Australia 6133	1206	+	-1.57 (-1.83, -1.31)	37.98
		000				Chmara, 2008	Poland 1332	616	•	-1.67 (-1.93, -1.41)	37.21
Ashrati, 2008	lehran 2147	133	• -	0.02 (-0.78, 0.82) 7.58		Dvornyk, 2006	USA 210	38		-1.00 (-2.31, 0.31)	1.44
Ayatollahi, 2005	Iran 901	47	•	-1.10 (-2.63, 0.43) 4.37		Fleming, 2008	USA 1460	365	- -	-1.43 (-2.48, -0.38)	2.27
Chmara, 2008	Poland 1332	616	•	-1.67 (-1.93, -1.41) 10.07		McKnight, 2011	USA 8772	1622	•	-1.90 (-2.24, -1.56)	21.11
Cooper, 1999	USA 121	422	-	0.80 (0.15, 1.45) 8.33		Subtotal (I-squared =	0.0%, p = 0.475)		- \$	-1.67 (-1.82, -1.51)	100.00
Delavar, 2011	Iran 732	10		-0.60 (-2.68, 1.48) 2.92							
Dorjgochoo, 2008	China 31886	3 1167	+	-0.80 (-1.04, -0.56) 10.12		Other region					
Dvornyk, 2006	USA 210	38	•	-1.00 (-2.31, 0.31) 5.15		Ashrati, 2008 Avetallabi, 2005	Tehran 2147	133	•	0.02 (-0.78, 0.82)	16.15 6 20
Fallahzadeh, 2007	Iran 297	49	-	- 0.83 (-0.68, 2.34) 4.43		Ayatoriarii, zuuo Delavar 2011	Iran 301 Iran 732	4 /	-	-1.10 (-2.03, 0.43) -0 60 (-2 68 -1 48)	2 70 2 70
Fleming, 2008	USA 1460	365	- -	-1.43 (-2.48, -0.38) 6.32		Doriaochoo. 2008	China 31886	1167	•	-0.30 (-1.040.56)	34.38
Garrido-Latorre, 19	96 Mexico 397	74		-0.60 (-1.89, 0.69) 5.24		Fallahzadeh, 2007	Iran 297	49	+	- 0.83 (-0.68, 2.34)	6.46
Ku [a], 2004	Taiwan 982	29	_	-0.80 (-2.23, 0.63) 4.70		Garrido-Latorre, 1996	Mexico 397	74	•	-0.60 (-1.89, 0.69)	8.30
Ku [b]. 2004	Korea 937	24		-1.80 (-3.060.54) 5.36		Ku [a], 2004	Taiwan 982	29		-0.80 (-2.23, 0.63)	7.02
McKnight 2011	USA 8772	1622		-1.90 (-2.24, -1.56) 9.78		Ku [b], 2004	Korea 937	24 —	•	-1.80 (-3.06, -0.54)	8.62
Okonofija 1000				-1 40 (-2 62 -0 18) 5 53		Okonofua, 1990			•	-1.40 (-2.62, -0.18)	9.04
Overall (I-squared	= 86.2%, p = 0.00	(C	-\$	-1.40 (-2.02, -0.10) 3.33 -0.94 (-1.36, -0.52) 100.00		Subtotal (I-squared =	33.4%, p = 0.151)		◊.	-0.70 (-1.12, -0.28)	100.00
			•			Overall (I-squared = 7	(8.9%, p = 0.000)		- \(\lambda\)	-1.14 (-1.50, -0.77)	
					I						
			3 -2 -1 0 1 2 Weighted mean differe	3 Ince	I			-3	-2 -1 0 1 2		
			1					3	eighted mean differe/	ence	
arrie E Mato and	odt (o) to Boioul	, hotorilocom	officer of complete on page of	و m محمد محمد محمد (م) + 4 م	ofinite of o	doffication to model		accord low to	to attractification on the	of or one tripo to the total of total of the total of the total of tota	
gure o. Meta-ana	Hysis or (a) the	e unaujusteu t	enect or smoking on age c	or menopause and (b) the una	aduste	a ellect of smoki	ng on age or na	iturai menop	ause, stratilieu by whet	Ther countries were e	conomic-

ally more developed regions' or 'other regions' ^b WMD, weighted mean difference; Cl, confidence interval. Figu

and the exclusion of which removed all heterogeneity among 'economically more developed regions'. The third and fourth columns in each figure give the number of women who were classified as smokers ^aRandom effects estimates; ^bthe meta-analysis stratified by region excludes one outlying study (Cooper *et al.*), since the direction of the effect estimate for smoking was clearly contrary to all other studies, and non-smokers in each study.





review.¹² However, the previous meta-analysis mostly identified studies performed in the USA, included some non-population-based studies and, after excluding one study, found no heterogeneity between studies. In contrast, our review was more comprehensive in identifying additional relevant studies from a range of countries. Subgroup and meta-regression analyses also suggested that observed heterogeneity is due to differences in results from 'economically more developed regions' vs 'other regions'. It remains unclear whether this is a true difference in the effect of smoking, or is due to differences in study methodology or measurement error. In terms of biological mechanisms, smoking has been associated with hormonal production and metabolism, including expression of CYP1A2 genotype and decreased serum estrogen levels,⁷⁴ increased concentrations of 2-hydroxyestrogen,⁷⁵ and increased quantity of androgens,⁷⁶ all contributing towards an anti-estrogen effect resulting in earlier natural menopause. This proposed biological mechanism, whereby smoking may influence hormone levels in a way which is reversible upon cessation of smoking, is consistent with studies that have identified ex-smokers as having ANM close to non-smokers.^{26,42,45,53,67} In keeping with this, we found no difference in ANM when comparing ex-smokers with non-smokers, although data on ex-smoking was rarely reported, with most studies comparing current vs never smokers. This finding is inconsistent with the notion of cumulative and irreversible damage to ovarian follicles due to exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons^{77,78} and requires further investigation.

In comparison with smoking, BMI categories in relation to ANM have been less frequently studied. Our review suggests that being overweight or obese may be associated with a very slightly later ANM. However, published data are limited and findings from studies are mixed. Overweight and obese women have higher circulating level of estrogen coupled with low levels of sex hormone-binding globulin which may result in delayed ANM.⁷⁹ Studies looking at weight change, which has been suggested to create hormonal imbalances resulting in decreased rate of follicular atresia,⁷⁹ found a positive correlation between weight change and timing of natural menopause.45,80,81 Evidence on physical activity and ANM is also scarce. Vigorous activity has been shown to restrict ovarian function by decreasing serum estrogens and increasing sex hormone-binding globulin, which could lead to earlier menopause.⁸² Overall, a modest association of moderate to high physical activity with earlier ANM was observed in unadjusted, but not adjusted, meta-analysis. These inconclusive findings for overweight/obesity and physical activity may reflect the inconsistent results from included studies, the weakness of the association or the lack of power due to limited number of studies that could be included in meta-analyses. Given these limited and mixed findings, further research on how BMI and physical activity influence ANM is needed.

Heterogeneity in mean ANM was moderate to substantial, based on estimates of I^2 , for many of the metaanalyses. However, in keeping with the recommendations that heterogeneity between studies does not preclude synthesis in a meta-analysis, and that sources of heterogeneity should be investigated,^{83,84} we explored heterogeneity using sub-group analysis and meta-regression based on a priori study characteristics.

Despite restricting our inclusion criteria to identify more methodologically robust studies, many of the studies included in this systematic review had a number of limitations. Most studies were based on cross-sectional data, which are more prone to recall errors as accuracy of reported age may vary according to the duration from the time of ANM to the time of the study. For women with natural menopause, it has been found previously that 70% recalled their ANM correctly within 1 year,⁸⁵ whereas older women tend to report later ANM.⁴⁴ Responses for SEP and lifestyle factors obtained in cross-sectional studies may also be misclassified as they can reflect the participants' status at the time of the study, particularly an issue for studies with older women, rather than at or prior to menopause. The process of study selection for the review also revealed the use of different definitions for ANM. Some studies have reported ANM as age at last menstrual period, whereas others use 12 months since last menstrual period according to the WHO definition. However, the meta-regression analysis undertaken as part of this review did not identify the inclusion of women over the age of 65 years or the definition of ANM as a source of heterogeneity with respect to mean ANM across studies. Study design, in terms of prospective vs cross-sectional, did however account for a modest proportion of the observed heterogeneity. The definitions of and strata within risk factors differed across studies, rendering them sometimes difficult to compare and potentially leading to issues of misclassification. Finally, studies varied with regard to factors adjusted for in the multivariable analysis, and others were unable to adjust for important confounding factors at all as the relevant data were not collected. These limitations are reflected in the number of studies available for inclusion on the meta-analyses, relative to the total number of relevant studies identified.

Since we completed our literature search, a multiethnic longitudinal study from the USA was published on modifiable factors and ANM.⁸⁶ Gold *et al.* found no evidence of racial/ethnic variation in ANM after adjusting for socioe-conomic, lifestyle and health variables. Higher education

level, higher weight at baseline, not smoking and having less physical activity were all associated with later ANM.⁸⁶ These results are consistent with our findings and therefore inclusion of this study in our review is unlikely to have changed our results or conclusions. Furthermore, we examined selected risk factors in relation with ANM to maintain the feasibility of the review within the limits of our available resources. Other potential determinants of ANM may also include diet, alcohol intake and anthropometric measures such as waist and hip circumference. Also, we did not seek to identify studies on diet and ANM in this review, since the differences in how diet is measured would have impeded any sensible pooling of study results. A careful examination of how diet impacts on ANM will benefit from a pooled analysis of individual patient data where similar dietary measurements were used.

Conclusion and future research

In summary, our systematic review and series of metaanalyses indicate that there is a dose-response association between lower SEP and later menopause, and confirms the association of smoking with earlier menopause. By contrast, the impacts of BMI and physical activity remain inconclusive. Findings also highlighted that geographical region in part explained the variation in mean ANM evident across studies.

Future research needs to establish a deeper understanding of the effect of socioeconomic position and biological and lifestyle factors on ANM. To achieve this, research utilizing large prospective cohort studies and pooling of individual-level data from studies that use similar methods but are drawn from different populations is needed. Future research also needs to determine the impact of ANM variability on subsequent health for postmenopause women and estimate the long-term clinical and public health relevance of the observed effects of risk factors on ANM.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data are available at IJE online.

Funding

The Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health, which was conceived and developed by groups of interdisciplinary researchers at the Universities of Newcastle and Queensland, is funded by the Australian Government Department of Health. Caroline A Jackson is sponsored by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Centre for Research Excellence in Women's Health (APP100986) and Gita D Mishra is supported by an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship (FT120100812).

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

- Kelsey JL, Gammon MD, John EM. Reproductive factors and breast cancer. *Epidemiol Rev* 1993;15:36.
- Franceschi S, La Vecchia C, Booth M *et al.* Pooled analysis of 3 European case-control studies of ovarian cancer: II. Age at menarche and at menopause. *Int J Cancer* 1991;49:57–60.
- Atsma F, Bartelink M-LEL, Grobbee DE, van der Schouw YT. Postmenopausal status and early menopause as independent risk factors for cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. *Menopause* 2006;13:265–79.
- Joakimsen O, Bønaa KH, Stensland-Bugge E, Jacobsen BK. Population-based study of age at menopause and ultrasound assessed carotid atherosclerosis: The Tromsø Study. J Clin Epidemiol 2000;53:525–30.
- Lisabeth LD, Beiser AS, Brown DL, Murabito JM, Kelly-Hayes M, Wolf PA. Age at natural menopause and risk of ischemic stroke: The Framingham Heart Study. *Stroke* 2009;40:1044–49.
- Gallagher JC. Effect of early menopause on bone mineral density and fractures. *Menopause* 2007;14:567–71.
- Ossewaarde ME, Bots ML, Verbeek ALM *et al.* Age at menopause, cause-specific mortality and total life expectancy. *Epidemiology* 2005;16:556–62.
- Mondul AM, Rodriguez C, Jacobs EJ, Calle EE. Age at natural menopause and cause-specific mortality. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005;162:1089–97.
- Thomas F, Renaud F, Benefice E, De Meeus T, Guegan JF. International variability of ages at menarche and menopause: patterns and main determinants. *Hum Biol* 2001;73:271–90.
- Morabia A, Costanza MC; World Health Organization Collaborative Study N. International variability in ages at menarche, first livebirth, and menopause. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998;148:1195–205.
- Murabito JM, Yang Q, Fox C, Wilson PW, Cupples LA. Heritability of age at natural menopause in the Framingham Heart Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005;90:3427–30.
- Sun L, Tan L, Yang F *et al.* Meta-analysis suggests that smoking is associated with an increased risk of early natural menopause. *Menopause* 2012;19:126–32.
- Parente RC, Faerstein E, Celeste RK, Werneck GL. The relationship between smoking and age at the menopause: A systematic review. *Maturitas* 2008;61:287–98.
- 14. Midgette AS, Baron JA. Cigarette smoking and risk of natural menopause. *Epidemiology* 1990;1:474–80.
- Harlow BL, Signorello LB. Factors associated with early menopause. *Maturitas* 2000;35:3–9.
- Canavez FS, Werneck GL, Parente RCM, Celeste RK, Faerstein E. The association between educational level and age at the menopause: a systematic review. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2011;283:83–90.

- 17. Brown WJ, Bryson L, Byles JE *et al.* Women's Health Australia: recruitment for a national longitudinal cohort study. *Women Health* 1999;28:23–40.
- Lee C, Dobson AJ, Brown WJ et al. Cohort profile: the Australian longitudinal study on women's health. Int J Epidemiol 2005;34:987–91.
- Berecki-Gisolf J, Begum N, Dobson AJ. Symptoms reported by women in midlife: menopausal transition or aging? *Menopause* 2009;16: 1021–29.
- Guthrie J, Dennerstein L, Dudley E. Weight gain and the menopause: a 5-year prospective study. *Climacteric* 1999;2:205–11.
- 21. World Health Organization (WHO). Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Geneva: WHO, 2000.
- 22. Nagel G, Altenburg H-P, Nieters A, Boffetta P, Linseisen J. Reproductive and dietary determinants of the age at menopause in EPIC-Heidelberg. *Maturitas* 2005;**52**:337–47.
- Yasui T, Hayashi K, Mizunuma H *et al.* Factors associated with premature ovarian failure, early menopause and earlier onset of menopause in Japanese women. *Maturitas* 2012; 72:249–55.
- Li L, Wu J, Pu D *et al.* Factors associated with the age of natural menopause and menopausal symptoms in Chinese women. *Maturitas* 2012;73:354–60.
- Henderson KD, Bernstein L, Henderson B, Kolonel L, Pike MC. Predictors of the timing of natural menopause in the multiethnic cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;167:1287–94.
- Dratva J, Real FG, Schindler C *et al.* Is age at menopause increasing across Europe? Results on age at menopause and determinants from two population-based studies. *Menopause* 2009;16:385–94.
- Palmer JR, Rosenberg L, Wise LA, Horton NJ, Adams-Campbell LL. Onset of natural menopause in African American women. *Am J Public Health* 2003;93:299–306.
- Gold EB, Bromberger J, Crawford S *et al.* Factors associated with age at natural menopause in a multiethnic sample of midlife women. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001;153:865–74.
- 29. Sterne JAC. Meta-analysis in Stata: An Updated Collection from the Stata Journal. College Station, TX: Stata Press, 2009.
- Harbord RM, Higgins JP. Meta-regression in Stata. Stata J 2008;8:493–519.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Research on the Menopause in the 1990s. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1996.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;151:W65–94.
- Akahoshi M, Soda M, Nakashima E *et al*. The effects of body mass index on age at menopause. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2002;26:961–68.
- Ansari H, Rakhshani F, Vahedi MM, Mostafapour FK. Evaluation of socio-economic factors related to the natural menopause age in Zahedan, Southeastern Iran. *Maturitas* 2009;63:S67.
- Ashrafi M, Ashtiani SK, Malekzadeh F, Amirchaghmaghi E, Kashfi F, Eshrati B. Factors associated with age at natural menopause in Iranian women living in Tehran. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2008;102:175–76.

- Ayatollahi SMT, Ghaem H, Ayatollahi SAR. Sociodemographic factors and age at natural menopause in Shiraz, Islamic Republic of Iran. *East Mediterr Health J* 2005;11:146–54.
- Bener A, Rizk DE, Ezimokhai M, Hassan M, Micallef R, Sawaya M. Consanguinity and the age of menopause in the United Arab Emirates. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1998;60:155–60.
- Beser E, Aydemir V, Bozkaya H. Body mass index and age at natural menopause. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 1994;37:40–42.
- Blumel JE, Chedraui P, Calle A *et al*. Age at menopause in Latin America. *Menopause* 2006;13:706–12.
- 40. Chang C, Chow SN, Hu Y. Age of menopause of Chinese women in Taiwan. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1995;49:191–92.
- 41. Chmara-Pawlinska R, Szwed A. Cigarette smoking and the age of natural menopause in women in Poland. *Przegl Lek* 2004;61:1003–05.
- Cooper GS, Sandler DP, Bohlig M. Active and passive smoking and the occurrence of natural menopause. *Epidemiology* 1999;10:771–73.
- Delavar MA, Hajiahmadi M. Age at menopause and measuring symptoms at midlife in a community in Babol, Iran. *Menopause* 2011;18:1213–18.
- Do KA, Treloar SA, Pandeya N *et al.* Predictive factors of age at menopause in a large Australian twin study. *Hum Biol* 1998;70:1073–91.
- 45. Dorjgochoo T, Kallianpur A, Gao YT *et al.* Dietary and lifestyle predictors of age at natural menopause and reproductive span in the Shanghai Women's Health Study. *Menopause* 2008;15:924–33.
- Dvornyk V, Long J-R, Liu P-Y et al. Predictive factors for age at menopause in Caucasian females. *Maturitas* 2006;54:19–26.
- Fallahzadeh H. Age at natural menopause in Yazd, Islamic republic of Iran. *Menopause* 2007;14:900–04.
- Fleming LE, Levis S, LeBlanc WG *et al.* Earlier age at menopause, work, and tobacco smoke exposure. *Menopause* 2008;15:1103–08.
- Garrido-Latorre F, Lazcano-Ponce EC, López-Carrillo L, Hernández-Avila M. Age of natural menopause among women in Mexico city. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1996;53:159–66.
- Hagstad A. Gynecology and sexuality in middle-aged women. Women Health 1988;13:57–80.
- Harris AES, Bernstein RM, Kuhle BX. Predicting age at onset of menopause: Testing the "adaptive onset" hypothesis. *Maturitas* 2009;64:193–95.
- Hong JS, Yi S-W, Kang HC *et al*. Age at menopause and causespecific mortality in South Korean women: Kangwha Cohort Study. *Maturitas* 2007;56:411–19.
- Kaczmarek M. The timing of natural menopause in Poland and associated factors. *Maturitas* 2007;57:139–53.
- Kriplani A, Banerjee K. An overview of age of onset of menopause in northern India. *Maturitas* 2005;52:199–204.
- 55. Ku SY, Kang JW, Kim H et al. Regional differences in age at menopause between Korean-Korean and Korean-Chinese. *Menopause* 2004;11:569–74.
- Kwawukume E, Ghosh T, Wilson J. Menopausal age of Ghanaian women. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1993;40:151–55.
- 57. Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Davey Smith G. The association of socio-economic position across the life course and age at

menopause: the British Women's Heart and Health Study. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2003;**110**:1078–87.

- Loh F-H, Khin L-W, Saw S-M, Lee JJM, Gu K. The age of menopause and the menopause transition in a multiracial population: a nation-wide Singapore study. *Maturitas* 2005; 52:169–80.
- Magursky V, Mesko M, Sokolik L. Age at the menopause and onset of the climacteric in women of Martin District, Czechoslovkia. *Int J Fertil* 1975;20:17–23.
- 60. McKnight KK, Wellons MF, Sites CK *et al.* Racial and regional differences in age at menopause in the United States: findings from the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2011;205:353.
- Okonofua F, Lawal A, Bamgbose J. Features of menopause and menopausal age in Nigerian women. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1990;31:341–45.
- OlaOlorun F, Lawoyin T. Age at menopause and factors associated with attainment of menopause in an urban community in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Climacteric* 2009;12:352–63.
- 63. Özdemir O, Çöl M. The age at menopause and associated factors at the health center area in Ankara, Turkey. *Maturitas* 2004;49:211–19.
- 64. Sievert LL, Hautaniemi SI. Age at menopause in Puebla, Mexico. *Hum Biol* 2003;75:205–26.
- 65. van Noord PAH, Dubas JS, Dorland M, Boersma H, Velde ET. Age at natural menopause in a population-based screening cohort: the role of menarche, fecundity, and lifestyle factors. *Fertil Steril* 1997;68:95–102.
- 66. Ortiz AP, Harlow SD, Sowers M, Nan B, Romaguera J. Age at natural menopause and factors associated with menopause state among Puerto Rican women aged 40-59 years, living in Puerto Rico. *Menopause* 2006;13:116–24.
- 67. Morris DH, Jones ME, Schoemaker MJ, McFadden E, Ashworth A, Swerdlow AJ. Body mass index, exercise, and other lifestyle factors in relation to age at natural menopause: analyses from the breakthrough generations study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2012;175:998–1005.
- Luoto R, Kaprio J, Uutela A. Age at natural menopause and sociodemographic status in Finland. Am J Epidemiol 1994;139:64–76.
- Cramer DW, Harlow BL, Xu H, Fraer C, Barbieri R. Cross-sectional and case-controlled analyses of the association between smoking and early menopause. *Maturitas* 1995;22:79–87.
- Nagata C, Takatsuka N, Inaba S, Kawakami N, Shimizu H. Association of diet and other lifestyle with onset of menopause in Japanese women. *Maturitas* 1998;29:105–13.
- Ayatollahi SMT, Ghaem H, Ayatollahi SAR. Determinants of age at natural menopause in Shiraz. *Iran J Med Sci* 2002;27:131–33.

- Hardy R, Kuh D. Social and environmental conditions across the life course and age at menopause in a British birth cohort study. *BJOG* 2005;112:346–54.
- Mishra GD, Cooper R, Tom SE, Kuh D. Early life circumstances and their impact on menarche and menopause. Women Health 2009;5:175–90.
- Meek MD, Finch GL. Diluted mainstream cigarette smoke condensates activate estrogen receptor and aryl hydrocarbon receptor-mediated gene transcription. *Environ Res* 1999;80: 9–17.
- 75. Michnovicz JJ, Hershcopf RJ, Naganuma H, Bradlow HL, Fishman J. Increased 2-hydroxylation of estradiol as a possible mechanism for the anti-estrogenic effect of cigarette smoking. N Engl J Med 1986;315:1305–09.
- Bancroft J, Cawood EHH. Androgens and the menopause; a study of 40–60-year-old women. *Clin Endocrinol* 1996; 45:577–87.
- Essenberg J, Fagan L, Malerstein A. Chronic poisoning of the ovaries and tests of albino rats and mice by nicotine and cigarette smoke. West J Surg Obstet Gynecol 1951;59:27–32.
- Mattison D, Thorgeirsson S. Smoking and industrial pollution, and their effects on menopause and ovarian cancer. *Lancet* 1978;311:187–88.
- 79. Leidy LE. Timing of menopause in relation to body size and weight change. *Hum Biol* 1996;68:967–82.
- Aydin ZD. Determinants of age at natural menopause in the Isparta Menopause and Health Study: premenopausal body mass index gain rate and episodic weight loss. *Menopause* 2010;17:494–505.
- Bromberger JT, Matthews KA, Kuller LH, Wing RR, Meilahn EN, Plantinga P. Prospective study of the determinants of age at menopause. *Am J Epidemiol* 1997;145:124–33.
- McTiernan A, Tworoger SS, Ulrich CM *et al.* Effect of exercise on serum estrogens in postmenopausal women: a 12-month randomized clinical trial. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:2923–28.
- Higgins JP. Heterogeneity in meta-analysis should be expected and appropriately quantified (Commentary). *Int J Epidemiol* 2008;37:1158–60.
- Ioannidis JP, Patsopoulos NA, Rothstein HR. Reasons or excuses for avoiding meta-analysis in forest plots. *BMJ* 2008;336:1413.
- 85. Den Tonkelaar I. Validity and reproducibility of self-reported age at menopause in women participating in the DOM-project. *Maturitas* 1997;27:117–23.
- Gold EB, Crawford SL, Avis NE *et al.* Factors related to age at natural menopause: longitudinal analyses from SWAN. *Am J Epidemiol* 2013;178:70–83.