European Psychiatry

www.cambridge.org/epa

Abstract

Cite this article: (2021). Symposium. *European Psychiatry* **64**(S1), S8–S46.

Symposium

Best of 2020 Research that advanced psychiatry and changed our practice

BOEPA001

Addiction

J. Bramness

Department Of Alcohol, Drug And Tobacco Research, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.43

Addiction: what did we learn in 2020? Every year several thousand scientific papers on alcohol, drugs, and nicotine are published. The picking of five papers must obviously be arbitrary and subjective. However, the scientific literature of 2020 cannot be regarded without acknowledging the many papers concerning the COVID-19 pandemic. Some studies on alcohol, drug, and nicotine show a small increase, some a small decrease, but many no change. The addiction consequences of the pandemic and the societal lockdowns may thus be less dramatic than feared. This is true even if many papers reported higher mental distress during the pandemic and there is a close relationship between mental distress and substance use, a relationship that has been further confirmed in studies from the past year. Furthermore, a review concerning the addictive potential of cannabis has further alarmed us of the current liberalization also affecting Europe. A new figure of "1 in 3" cannabis users getting hooked may possibly replace the old "1 in 10". Furthermore, the year has brought even more solid knowledge of the transition from substanceinduced psychosis (SIP) to schizophrenia, teaching psychiatrists in acute psychiatry an important lesson on how to view SIP. As many as 1 in 3 patients with SIP will eventually receive a diagnosis of schizophrenia, making SIP the most powerful risk factor for schizophrenia known. Lastly, the lecture will present a very novel and unexpected finding regarding alcohol elimination, that may change how we treat intoxications with different alcohols.

Disclosure: No significant relationships.

BOEPA003

Bipolar affective disorder

A. Young

Department Of Psychological Medicine, Centre For Affective Disorders, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.44

Abstract Body: Abstract: Biomarkers for diagnosis and treatment of Bipolar Disorder: hope or hype? Professor Allan Young, Centre for Affective Disorders, IoPPN, KCL London, SE5 8AF. allan.young@kcl.ac.uk The use of "biomarkers" (biological markers) in basic and clinical research as well as in clinical practice has become so commonplace in many areas of medicine that their presence as primary endpoints in clinical trials is now widely accepted. In clinical disciplines where specific biomarkers have been well characterized and repeatedly shown to correctly predict relevant clinical outcomes across a variety of treatments and populations, this use is entirely justified and appropriate. However, the validity of biomarkers in most psychiatric disorders continues to be evaluated. This lecture will review the current conceptual status of biomarkers as clinical and diagnostic tools for bipolar disorder and as surrogate endpoints in clinical research in bipolar disorder. The conceptual background in terms of current diagnostic categories and research domain criteria will be discussed and the various approaches with putative value (e.g., brain imaging, genetics, and neuroendocrinology) reviewed (1, 2). The lecture will end with a discussion of approaches to evaluating biomarkers of lithium response (3).

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the European Psychiatric Association. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

