

Immunologic Profiling of Human Metapneumovirus for the Development of Targeted Immunotherapy

Ifigeneia Tzannou,¹ Sarah K. Nicholas,² Premal Lulla,¹ Paibel I. Aguayo-Hiraldo,¹ Anisha Misra,³ Caridad A. Martinez,¹ Annette A. Machado,³ Jordan S. Orange,⁴ Pedro A. Piedra,³ Juan F. Vera,¹ and Ann M. Leen¹

¹Center for Cell and Gene Therapy, Baylor College of Medicine, Texas Children's Hospital, and Houston Methodist Hospital, ²Solid Organ Transplant Immunology, Section of Immunology, Allergy and Rheumatology, ³Department of Molecular Virology and Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, and ⁴Center for Human Immunobiology, Baylor College of Medicine and Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, Texas

Human metapneumovirus (hMPV) is a respiratory virus detected in $\geq 9\%$ of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) recipients, in whom it can cause significant morbidity and mortality. Given the lack of effective antivirals, we investigated the potential for immunotherapeutic intervention, using adoptively transferred T cells. Thus, we characterized the cellular immune response to the virus and identified F, N, M2-1, M, and P as immunodominant target antigens. Reactive T cells were polyclonal (ie, they expressed CD4 and CD8), T-helper type 1 polarized, and polyfunctional (ie, they produced interferon γ , tumor necrosis factor α , granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, and granzyme B), and they were able to kill autologous antigen-loaded targets. The detection of hMPV-specific T cells in HSCT recipients who endogenously controlled active infections support the clinical importance of T-cell immunity in mediating protective antiviral effects. Our results demonstrate the feasibility of developing an immunotherapy for immunocompromised patients with uncontrolled infections.

Keywords. Human metapneumovirus; virus-specific T cells; immunotherapy.

The adoptive transfer of virus-specific T cells (VSTs) has proven to be a safe and effective means of preventing and treating infections associated with a range of latent viruses that cause morbidity and mortality in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) recipients, including cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, BK virus, and human herpesvirus 6. Adenovirus has also proven susceptible to this approach [1–10]. However, it is increasingly apparent that infections with other seasonal viruses, such as human metapneumovirus (hMPV), are clinically problematic, result in prolonged hospitalization, and can be fatal, such as when the virus progresses from an upper to a lower respiratory tract infection. Hence, the goal of the current study was to explore the potential for targeting hMPV with an immunotherapeutic approach.

hMPV is a negative-sense, single-stranded RNA virus of the family Paramyxoviridae, with 8 genes that encode for the following 9 proteins: nucleocapsid protein (N), phosphoprotein (P), matrix protein (M), fusion protein (F), transcription elongation factor (M2-1), RNA synthesis regulatory factor (M2-2), small hydrophobic surface protein (SH), major attachment glycoprotein (G), and large polymerase subunit (L; [Figure 1A](#) and [1B](#)).

The glycoproteins F, SH, and G are essential for target cell entry, while N, P, and L are responsible for viral replication and gene transcription, with transcription additionally regulated by M2-1 and M2-2. Based on phylogenetic analyses, hMPV can be subdivided into 2 major lineages (A and B) and further subcategorized into A1, A2a, A2b, B1, and B2, with equal prevalence [11–14].

hMPV is a ubiquitous virus, to which virtually 100% of the population has been exposed before the age of 5 years [15, 16]. Infections are most frequently detected during the winter, and are associated with symptoms including rhinorrhea, fever, coughing, and wheezing [17–20]. Although viral infections in individuals with competent immune systems are usually well controlled, hMPV infection in immunocompromised allogeneic HSCT recipients (incidence, 2.5%–9%) is responsible for severe symptoms, including pneumonia and bronchiolitis, and, in those who develop lower respiratory tract infections, has proven fatal in 26.6%–43% of cases [21–25]. There are currently no approved preventive vaccines nor antiviral drugs, and although the nucleoside analog ribavirin (with or without intravenous immunoglobulin) has been used therapeutically, results have been inconsistent, with considerable associated toxicities (eg, hemolytic anemia and cytopenias) [23, 26–31].

While hMPV might be a suitable candidate for targeting by adoptive immunotherapy, such treatments can only be developed if there is evidence that (1) T cells recognizing the virus are presenting in the peripheral blood of healthy virus-exposed individuals and (2) these cells mediate antiviral effects upon encountering an infected cell. We now demonstrate that hMPV-reactive T cells do indeed exist in the peripheral blood of both healthy subjects and HSCT recipients with respiratory tract infections, and based on (1) the

Received 28 May 2017; editorial decision 20 July 2017; accepted 24 July 2017; published online July 25, 2017.

Presented in part: FOCIS, Chicago, Illinois, June 2014; Keystone, Breckenridge, Colorado, January 2015; ASBMT, San Diego, California, February 2015.

Correspondence: I. Tzannou, MD, Center for Cell and Gene Therapy, Baylor College of Medicine, 1102 Bates Street, 1770.00, Houston, TX 77030 (ixtzanno@txch.org).

The Journal of Infectious Diseases® 2017;216:678–87

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jix358




Figure 1. Human metapneumovirus (hMPV) structure and genome and frequency of circulating hMPV-specific T cells. *A* and *B*, hMPV structure (*A*) and genome (*B*). *C*, Frequency of antigen-specific T cells in peripheral blood, as determined by an interferon γ enzyme-linked immunospot assay, after overnight stimulation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) with hMPV (N, P, M, F, M2-1, M2-2, SH, G, and L), parainfluenza virus type 3 (PIV3; M and HN), and cytomegalovirus (CMV; IE1 and pp65) antigen-spanning pepmixes for 18 healthy individuals. PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; SFC, spot-forming cell.

number of responding donors and (2) the magnitude of reactive T cells, we establish a hierarchy of antigen immunodominance. Furthermore, we show that T cells reactive for these immunogenic antigens can be readily expanded *ex vivo*, exhibit a polyclonal, T-helper type 1 (Th1)-polarized profile, and can kill antigen-expressing targets. Thus, we anticipate that such expanded T-cell populations will prove clinically valuable in preventing and treating hMPV infections in immunocompromised individuals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Donors and Cell Lines

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained from healthy donors and allogeneic HSCT recipients with informed consent under Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Review Board-approved protocols (H-7634, H-36346, H-35436, and H-25064) and were used to generate hMPV-directed virus-specific T cells (VSTs) and phytohemagglutinin (PHA) blasts. PHA blasts were generated from PBMCs (5×10^5 cells/mL) in 24-well plates by using PHA (5 μ g/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and were maintained in VST medium (Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 [HyClone Laboratories, Logan, UT], 45% Click's [Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, CA], 2 mM GlutaMAX TM-I [Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY], and 5% human AB serum [Valley Biomedical, Winchester, VA]) supplemented with interleukin 2 (100 U/mL; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, VA), which was replenished every 3 days.

VST Generation

Pepmixes

For PBMC stimulation, we used pepmixes (peptide libraries of 15mers overlapping by 11 amino acids) spanning the antigens N, P, M, F, M2-1, M2-2, SH, G, and L derived from the hMPV strain

CAN97-83 (hMPV substrain A2). All pepmixes were synthesized by JPT Peptide Technologies (Berlin, Germany). Lyophilized pepmixes were reconstituted at 400 ng/ μ L in dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and stored at -80°C .

VST Activation

Fifteen million fresh/frozen PBMCs were pelleted in a 15-mL tube, pulsed for 30 minutes at 37°C with pepmixes at a concentration of 200 ng/peptide/ 15×10^6 PBMCs, and then resuspended in VST medium supplemented with 400 U/mL interleukin 4 and 10 ng/mL interleukin 7 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and plated in either 24-well plates (2×10^6 cells/well) or transferred to a G-Rex10 device (15×10^6 cells/G-Rex10 device; Wilson Wolf, Minneapolis, MN). Medium and cytokines were replenished on day 7, and cultures were split when they reached a density of $>3 \times 10^6$ cells/well (for 24-well plate) or $>50 \times 10^6$ cells (for the G-Rex10 device). On days 9–11, VSTs were harvested, counted, and used for phenotypic and functional studies.

VST Expansion

For the second stimulation, $1\text{--}2 \times 10^7$ hMPV-specific T cells were plated with 1×10^7 irradiated (30 Gy), pepmix-pulsed autologous PHA blasts. The cells were resuspended in 30 mL of VST medium supplemented with interleukin 4 and interleukin 7, and transferred to a G-Rex10 device. On days 3 and 7 (± 1 day), cultures were replenished with fresh medium supplemented with 5 ng/mL interleukin 15 (CellGenix, Freiburg, Germany). On days 19–21, VSTs were harvested and used for further studies.

Flow Cytometry

Immunophenotyping

hMPV-specific T cells were surface stained with monoclonal antibodies to CD3, CD56, CD27, CD45RO, and CCR7 (Becton

Dickinson [BD], Franklin Lakes, NJ) and to CD4, CD8, CD16, CD27, and CD62L (Beckman Coulter, Pasadena, CA). For staining, cells were washed once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and pelleted, and antibodies were added in saturating amounts (2–5 μ L). After incubation for 15 minutes at 4°C in the dark, cells were washed twice and analyzed. Approximately 20000 live cells were acquired on a Gallios flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA), and the data were analyzed using Kaluza flow cytometry analysis software (Beckman Coulter).

Intracellular Cytokine Staining

VSTs were harvested, resuspended at a concentration of 2×10^6 cells/mL in VST medium, and plated at 200 μ L/well in a 96-well plate. The cells were then stimulated with 200 ng of test or control pepmix in the presence of brefeldin A (1 μ g/mL), monensin (1 μ g/mL), CD28, and CD49d (1 μ g/mL; BD) overnight. Subsequently, VSTs were washed with PBS, pelleted, and surface stained with CD8 and CD3 (5 μ L/antibody/tube). After incubation for 15 minutes at 4°C in the dark, they were washed, pelleted, fixed, and permeabilized with Cytofix/Cytoperm solution (BD) for 20 minutes at 4°C in the dark. After washing with PBS containing fetal bovine serum and saponin (BD), cells were incubated with 20 μ L of interferon γ (IFN- γ) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α) antibodies (BD) for 30 minutes at 4°C in the dark. Cells were then washed twice with cold PBS containing fetal bovine serum and saponin, and at least 20 000 live cells from each population were analyzed with a FACSCalibur equipped with Gallios software. The data were analyzed using Kaluza flow cytometry analysis software (Beckman Coulter).

FoxP3 Staining

FoxP3 staining was performed using the eBioscience FoxP3 kit per the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, VSTs were rested in medium without cytokines for 48 hours, and 1×10^6 cells were washed with PBS and surface stained with CD3, CD4, and CD25 antibodies (BD) for 15 minutes. The cells were then washed, resuspended in 1 mL of fixation/permeabilization buffer, and incubated for 1 hour at 4°C in the dark. After washing with PBS, the cells were resuspended in permeabilization buffer and incubated with 5 μ L of isotype or FoxP3 antibody (clone PCH101) for 30 minutes at 4°C. Following a final wash, cells were acquired and analyzed with a FACSCalibur. The data were analyzed using Kaluza flow cytometry analysis software (Beckman Coulter).

Functional Studies

Enzyme-Linked Immunospot (ELISPOT) Assay

ELISPOT analysis was used to quantitate the frequency of antigen-specific IFN- γ - and granzyme B-producing T cells. PBMCs and hMPV-specific T cells were resuspended at 5×10^6 and 2×10^6 cells/mL, respectively, in VST medium, and 100 μ L of cells was added to each ELISPOT well. Antigen-specific activity was measured after direct stimulation (500 ng/peptide/mL) with each of the individual hMPV pepmixes (N, P, M, F, M2-1, M2-2,

SH, G, and L) or control pepmixes (N and F [for respiratory syncytial virus], M and HN [for parainfluenza virus type 3], and IE1 and pp65 [for cytomegalovirus]). PHA (1 μ g/mL) and staphylococcal enterotoxin B (1 μ g/mL) served as positive controls for VSTs and PBMCs, respectively, while unstimulated cells served as a negative control. Each condition was run in duplicate, and results were considered positive if the frequency of reactive cells was ≥ 30 spot-forming cells (SFCs; defined as our potency threshold). After 20 hours of incubation, plates were developed as previously described, dried overnight at room temperature in the dark, and sent to Zellnet Consulting (New York, NY) for quantification. SFC and input cell numbers were plotted.

Multiplex

The hMPV-specific T-cell cytokine profile was assessed using the Milliplex High Sensitivity Human Cytokine Panel (Millipore, Billerica, MA) per the manufacturer's instructions. A total of 2×10^5 VSTs were stimulated using N, P, M, F, or M2-1 pepmixes (200 ng/pepmix/well) overnight. Subsequently, supernatant was collected, plated in duplicate wells, incubated overnight at 4°C with antibody-immobilized beads, and washed and plated for 1 hour at room temperature with biotinylated detection antibodies. Finally, streptavidin-phycoerythrin was added for 30 minutes at room temperature. Samples were washed and analyzed on a Luminex 200 (XMAP Technology), using xPonent software.

Chromium Release Assay

We measured the cytotoxic specificity of the hMPV-specific T cells in a standard 4-hour Cr⁵¹ release assay, using effector to target ratios of 40:1, 20:1, 10:1, and 5:1. VSTs were used as effectors, and the targets were autologous PHA blasts pulsed with pepmixes. Autologous PHA blasts alone were used as specificity controls. The percentage of specific lysis was calculated as [(experimental release – spontaneous release)/(maximum release – spontaneous release)] $\times 100$.

Patient Screening

Respiratory Virus Panel

Nasopharyngeal wash samples (from patients with upper respiratory tract infection) or bronchoalveolar lavage samples (from patients with lower respiratory tract infection) were tested for hMPV and other pathogens, including adenovirus, coronavirus, rhinovirus/enterovirus, influenza virus, parainfluenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus, *Bordetella pertussis*, *Chlamydophila pneumoniae*, and *Mycoplasma* organisms, using a multiplex reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assay. Samples were analyzed by Viracor Eurofins Diagnostics (Lee's Summit, MO) or Houston Methodist Hospital (Houston, TX).

RESULTS

Identification of Immunogenic hMPV Antigens

Since little is known about the T-cell response to hMPV, we isolated PBMCs from healthy donors, stimulated them with

pepmixes spanning all 9 viral antigens, and evaluated the frequency of antigen-specific T cells circulating in peripheral blood, using an IFN- γ ELISPOT assay. As shown in Figure 1C, the magnitude of activity in peripheral blood was low. For example, in 18 donors screened (age range, 24–59 years; mean age \pm standard error of the mean {SEM}, 35 ± 2.1 years), we detected the following mean numbers (\pm SEM) of antigen-reactive SFCs/ 5×10^5 input PBMCs: 7.7 ± 1.3 for N, 5.7 ± 2.1 for P, 8.2 ± 1.9 for M, 12.0 ± 2.6 for F, 7.4 ± 1.7 for M2-1, 2.0 ± 0.7 for M2-2, and 2.0 ± 0.4 , 3.4 ± 1.1 , and 3.2 ± 0.7 for SH, G, and L, respectively. This magnitude of activity was similar to that for antigens of respiratory syncytial virus, a genetically and clinically closely related family member (mean \pm SEM), 12 ± 1.4 and 15 ± 2.8 antigen-reactive SFCs/ 5×10^5 input PBMCs for N and F, respectively; $n = 18$) [32], and of parainfluenza virus type 3 (mean \pm SEM), 18 ± 3.1 and 8 ± 1.5 antigen-reactive SFCs/ 5×10^5 input PBMCs for M and HN, respectively; $n = 18$) [33], but it was substantially less than the response against the immunodominant cytomegalovirus antigens IE1 and pp65 (mean \pm SEM), 164 ± 42 and 561 ± 59 SFCs/ 5×10^5 input PBMCs, respectively; Figure 1C).

Amplification of hMPV-Reactive T Cells In Vitro

To determine whether the apparent paucity of hMPV-reactive T cells in peripheral blood simply reflected a frequency of cells that was below the limit of detection of the ELISPOT assay, we performed a single in vitro stimulation assay to selectively amplify specific cells. Thus, we exposed PBMCs from 29 healthy individuals (age range, 21–59 years; mean age \pm SEM), 32 ± 1.6 years) to a mastermix of the hMPV peptide libraries and allowed reactive T cells to expand for 9–11 days. Overall, we achieved a mean fold-increase (\pm SEM) 4.3 ± 0.2 in total cell numbers ($n = 29$; Figure 2A), and the expanded populations comprised $CD3^+$ T cells ($91.7\% \pm 1\%$), with a mix of

cytotoxic ($CD8^+$) T cells ($29.5\% \pm 2.3\%$) and helper ($CD4^+$) T cells ($61.8\% \pm 2.3\%$; Figure 2B), with no evidence of regulatory T-cell outgrowth, as assessed by $CD4^+CD25^+FoxP3^+$ staining (Supplementary Figure 1). Furthermore, the expanded cells expressed both central ($CD45RO^+CD62L^+$; mean \pm SEM), $38.6\% \pm 2.4\%$) and effector ($CD45RO^+CD62L^-$; mean \pm SEM), $26.9\% \pm 2.2\%$) memory markers ($n = 29$; Figure 2B). To next determine whether we had enriched for hMPV-reactive cells, we assessed specificity by the IFN- γ ELISPOT assay, and, as shown in Figure 3A, we were readily able to expand populations that were specific for all antigens except M2-2. The fold enrichment achieved is summarized in Figure 3B. These data suggest that hMPV-specific T cells reside in the memory pool and can be readily amplified ex vivo.

We then sought to identify a hierarchy of immunodominance based on (1) the number of donors who positively responded to each antigen (≥ 30 SFCs/ 2×10^5 cells) and (2) the magnitude of the response (based on the absolute number of IFN- γ -producing SFCs per 2×10^5 input cells; Table 1). F was recognized by 28 of 29 donors screened (97%) and induced the highest frequency of specific cells (mean \pm SEM), 300 ± 52 SFCs/ 2×10^5 input cells), followed, in descending order, by N (218 ± 28 ; $n = 25$), M2-1 and M (167 ± 21 and 154 ± 24 , respectively; $n = 23$ for both), P (130 ± 24 ; $n = 20$), L (125 ± 21.7 ; $n = 13$), and G (62 ± 18 ; $n = 9$). Reactivity against SH was detected in only 1 donor screened (34 SFCs/ 2×10^5 input cells), whereas M2-2 was not immunogenic. Notably, the majority of donors screened recognized ≥ 5 of the expressed antigens (Figure 3C). Thus, for subsequent functional studies, we chose to focus on the top 5 immunogenic target antigens (F, N, M2-1, M, and P).

Functional Characterization of hMPV-Specific T Cells

To evaluate whether hMPV specificity was mediated predominantly by the $CD4^+$ and/or $CD8^+$ T-cell subsets, we performed




Figure 2. Expansion and phenotype of human metapneumovirus (hMPV)-specific T cells. *A*, Fold expansion achieved over a 9–11-day period, based on cell counting using trypan blue exclusion. *B*, Phenotype of the expanded lines. SEM, standard error of the mean.




Figure 3. Specificity of the expanded cells. *A*, Frequency of antigen-specific T cells, as determined by an interferon γ enzyme-linked immunospot assay, after overnight stimulation of virus-specific T cells (VSTs) with human metapneumovirus (hMPV; N, P, M, F, M2-1, M2-2, SH, G, and L) antigen-spanning pepmixes for 29 healthy individuals. Results are reported as spot-forming cells (SFCs)/2 \times 10⁵ VSTs plated. *B* and *C*, Fold enrichment of T cells with specificity against antigens N, P, M, F, M2-1, M2-2, SH, G, and L ($n = 18$; *B*), and number of antigens recognized by the donors screened ($n = 29$; *C*). Only lines with ≥ 30 spot-forming cells (SFCs)/2 \times 10⁵ VSTs for a given antigen were considered to be positive.

intracellular cytokine staining studies and gated on IFN- γ - and TNF- α -producing CD3⁺CD4⁺ and CD3⁺CD8⁺ T cells. For all 5 antigens, specificity, as determined by production of either cytokine, was detected predominantly in the CD4⁺ T-cell compartment, with a minor CD8-reactive component. **Figure 4A**

Table 1. Hierarchy of Immunodominance Among Human Metapneumovirus Antigens

Antigen	Responders ($n = 29$)	Response, SFCs/2 \times 10 ⁵ VSTs	
		Mean \pm SEM	Median (Range)
F	28	300 \pm 52	272 (39–709)
N	25	218 \pm 28	205 (37–565)
M2-1	23	167 \pm 21	104 (35–418)
M	23	154 \pm 24	113 (41–464)
P	20	130 \pm 24	91 (32–432)
L	13	125 \pm 22	125 (39–289)
G	9	62 \pm 18	46 (30–207)
SH	1	34	34
M2-2	0	0	0

Abbreviations: SEM, standard error of the mean; SFC, spot forming cell; VST, virus-specific T cell.

shows fluorescence-activated cell-sorting data from a representative donor, while **Figure 4C** summarizes data from all 14 donors studied.

Production of multiple proinflammatory cytokines and effector molecules has been reported to correlate with enhanced cytolytic function and improved in vivo activity. Thus, we comprehensively explored the functional profile of our expanded VSTs and found that the majority of IFN- γ -producing cells (**Figure 5A**) also produced TNF- α (detailed results from 1 donor are shown in **Figure 4B**; data for 14 donors are summarized in **Figure 4D**). Furthermore, the lines produced granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF; **Figure 5B**), as well as granzyme B (mean [\pm SEM], 99 \pm 22 SFC/2 \times 10⁵ input cells for F, 85 \pm 20 for N, 96 \pm 14 for M2-1, 60 \pm 17 for M, and 40 \pm 10 for P; $n = 14$ donors screened; **Figure 6A**) with production of Th2 cytokines, including interleukin 6 and interleukin 10, detected at levels similar to control VSTs (**Figure 5C–D**). Thus, our expansion of hMPV-reactive cells induced polyclonal, Th1-polarized T-cell lines.

hMPV-Specific T Cells Are Cytolytic and Kill Virus-Infected Targets

To determine whether the expanded hMPV-specific T cells were cytolytic, we cocultured hMPV-specific T cells with Cr⁵¹-labeled, peptide-loaded autologous PHA blasts with unloaded PHA blasts serving as a control. As shown in **Figure 6B**, hMPV-loaded targets were specifically recognized and lysed by our expanded hMPV-specific T cells at all effector to target ratios tested (mean percentage lysis [\pm SEM], 22% \pm 1.9% for the ratio of 40:1, 18% \pm 3% for 20:1, 18% \pm 3.7% for 10:1, and 12% \pm 2% for 5:1; $n = 10$). In contrast, control target cells were not killed (mean percentage lysis [\pm SEM], 6.5% \pm 1.5% for the ratio of 20:1).

Detection of hMPV-Specific T Cells After In Vivo Viral Reactivation

Finally, to assess the clinical relevance of hMPV-specific T cells, we investigated whether allogeneic HSCT recipients had evidence of amplified levels of T cells directed against our identified




Figure 4. Human metapneumovirus (hMPV)-specific T cells are polyclonal and polyfunctional. A, Interferon γ (IFN- γ) production, as assessed by intracellular cytokine staining, by CD4⁺ helper and CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells on day 10 after antigenic stimulation in 1 representative donor. B, Tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α) production, as evaluated by intracellular cytokine staining, by CD4⁺ helper and CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells on day 10 after stimulation in 1 representative donor. C and D, Summary IFN- γ (C) and TNF- α (D) production for 14 donors screened. SEM, standard error of the mean; VST, virus-specific T cell.

immunodominant target antigens in their peripheral blood during and/or after clearance of hMPV infection. Figure 6C shows the results for patient 1, a 20-month-old girl who developed an upper respiratory tract infection 18 days before her

scheduled receipt of a matched related donor transplant for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. She presented with symptoms including congestion, rhinorrhea, and cough, and hMPV was detected by respiratory virus panel (RVP) screening performed




Figure 5. Human metapneumovirus (hMPV)–specific T cells are T-helper type 1 polarized. Cytokine profile of hMPV-specific T cells, as measured by multiplex bead array. hMPV-specific T cells produce interferon γ (IFN- γ ; *A*) and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF; *B*) but not interleukin 6 (IL-6; *C*) and interleukin 10 (IL-10; *D*). Data are for 14 donors screened.

on a nasal wash sample. Since her symptoms had resolved by day 5 before transplant receipt, her myeloablative transplantation proceeded on schedule, but hMPV remained detectable by RVP PCR until day 45 after transplantation. To assess whether reconstituting hMPV-specific T cells contributed to viral clearance, we collected blood specimens before and 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 weeks after HSCT receipt and measured specific T-cell activity. As shown in Figure 6C, this patient exhibited strong T-cell activity as early as 3 weeks after transplantation, which subsequently declined coincident with viral clearance. We were able to detect similar patterns of T-cell activity in 3 additional transplant recipients with confirmed hMPV infections that were cleared. These results are summarized in Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 2A–C.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we characterized the T-cell immune response against all 9 virally encoded hMPV antigens and, based on both the frequency of responding donors and the magnitude of reactive cells, were able to define a hierarchy of immunodominance, with F being the most immunogenic, followed in

descending order by N, M2-1, M, P, L, G, and SH; M2-2 was not immunogenic. Reactive T cells could be readily enriched in vitro and were polyclonal, Th1-polarized, polyfunctional (producing IFN- γ , TNF- α , GM-CSF, and granzyme B), and able to kill autologous antigen-loaded target cells. Finally, the potential for clinical benefit following the adoptive transfer of such cells was inferred by the detection of reactive T-cell populations in the peripheral blood of allogeneic HSCT recipients who successfully cleared active hMPV infections.

The lack of effective treatments for hMPV in immunocompromised patients led our group to investigate the potential for using adoptively transferred donor-derived T cells to prevent and/or treat hMPV infections, given the success of this approach against other clinically problematic viruses, including cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, BK virus, human herpesvirus 6, and adenovirus [9]. Thus, we first sought to identify appropriate hMPV antigens against which an effector T-cell response might be generated. Prior to the current study, there was limited information on immunity to hMPV, although there is some evidence to suggest the importance of cell-mediated over humoral antiviral activity. For example, in immunocompromised individuals




Figure 6. Human metapneumovirus (hMPV)-specific T-cell cytolytic potential and clinical relevance. *A*, Granzyme B production, as assessed by an enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISPOT) assay ($n=14$). *B*, Cytolytic potential of hMPV-specific T cells, as evaluated by a standard 4-hour Cr^{51} release assay, using hMPV pepmix-pulsed phytohemagglutinin blasts as targets ($n=10$). *C*, Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) isolated from a hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipient (patient 1) during acute hMPV infection were tested for specificity against our panel of hMPV antigens, using an interferon γ ELISPOT as a readout. SEM, standard error of the mean.

(eg, human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients and steroid-treated HSCT recipients), hMPV infections can be severe and sometimes fatal [23, 34–36], and treatment with

intravenous immunoglobulin has not proven beneficial [23, 30]. In murine studies, Kolli et al intranasally infected BALB/c mice with a laboratory strain of hMPV (CAN97-83) or exposed animals to UV-inactivated hMPV and demonstrated that the depletion of either $CD4^+$ or $CD8^+$ T cells compromised the animals' ability to control/clear the viral challenge [37]. Similarly, Hastings et al vaccinated HLA-A2 transgenic C57BL/6 mice with either an hMPV M-derived $CD8^+$ T-cell epitope or irrelevant control, challenged them with a live hMPV strain (TN/94-49), and demonstrated that the viral load in the lungs of vaccinated animals was significantly lower than that for control mice [38]. Finally, Herd et al demonstrated that T cells with an effector profile, characterized by production of both prototypic Th1 cytokines (IFN- γ , GM-CSF, and interleukin 12) and proinflammatory chemokines (macrophage inflammatory protein 1 α and IFN- γ -inducible protein 10), were able to accumulate at the lung following hMPV infection [39]. However, since immune studies of human viruses performed in animals do not always faithfully recapitulate studies performed in the natural host, we sought to analyze the T-cell response to hMPV in healthy human subjects and hMPV-infected HSCT recipients. We initiated our studies in healthy adults and discovered that the frequency of circulating hMPV-reactive T cells was at or below the threshold of our detection assay (IFN- γ ELISPOT). However, reactive cells could readily be expanded to numbers that were clinically relevant [7, 9, 40] with a single in vitro stimulation.

To identify which (if any) of the 9 encoded antigens induced protective immunity, we took a 2-pronged approach. First, we profiled the expanded T-cell product to determine which antigens were most frequently recognized by the majority of donors. After screening 29 healthy individuals, we identified a clear hierarchy of immunodominance, with F being the most frequently recognized, followed by N, M2-1, M, P, L, G, and SH; M2-2 was not immunogenic. Second, we examined peripheral blood specimens from 4 allogeneic HSCT recipients with active hMPV infections (confirmed by RVP PCR) who successfully cleared hMPV coincident with an amplification of reactive T cells, with subsidence upon viral clearance. Finally, to establish the feasibility of using adoptively transferred T cells as a prophylactic or therapeutic approach in immunocompromised patients, we demonstrate that hMPV-reactive T cells with a Th1-polarized effector profile can be successfully expanded to clinically relevant numbers in vitro by means of manufacturing approaches compliant with good manufacturing practice. Taken together, these studies support the development of a future clinical trial of adoptively transferred hMPV-specific T cells specific for either prophylactic or therapeutic use in immunocompromised patients.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and

are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Acknowledgment. We thank the Flow Cytometry and Cell and Vector Production for sharing resources from the Dan L. Duncan Comprehensive Cancer Center (via grant P30 CA125123 from the National Institutes of Health).

Financial support. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grant T32 HL92332-12 to P. I. A.) and the American Cancer Society (Mentored Research Scholars Grant in Applied and Clinical Research grant MRS-14-197-01-LIB to J. F. V.).

Potential conflicts of interest. A. M. L., J. F. V., and I. T. have filed intellectual property documents and submitted a patent application for intellectual property associated with this work. All other authors report no potential conflicts. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Meetings presented. 1. Federation of Clinical Immunology Societies, June 25-28, 2014, Chicago, IL. Abstract no W44. 2. BMT Tandem Meetings, February 11-15, 2015, San Diego, CA. Abstract no 211.

References

1. Leen AM, Christin A, Myers GD, et al. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte therapy with donor T cells prevents and treats adenovirus and Epstein-Barr virus infections after haploidentical and matched unrelated stem cell transplantation. *Blood* **2009**; 114:4283–92.
2. Peggs KS, Verfuerth S, Pizzey A, Chow SL, Thomson K, Mackinnon S. Cytomegalovirus-specific T cell immunotherapy promotes restoration of durable functional antiviral immunity following allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* **2009**; 49:1851–60.
3. Heslop HE, Slobod KS, Pule MA, et al. Long-term outcome of EBV-specific T-cell infusions to prevent or treat EBV-related lymphoproliferative disease in transplant recipients. *Blood* **2010**; 115:925–35.
4. Feuchtinger T, Opher K, Bethge WA, et al. Adoptive transfer of pp65-specific T cells for the treatment of chemorefractory cytomegalovirus disease or reactivation after haploidentical and matched unrelated stem cell transplantation. *Blood* **2010**; 116:4360–7.
5. Doubrovina E, Oflaz-Sozmen B, Prockop SE, et al. Adoptive immunotherapy with unselected or EBV-specific T cells for biopsy-proven EBV+ lymphomas after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Blood* **2012**; 119:2644–56.
6. Leen AM, Bollard CM, Mendizabal AM, et al. Multicenter study of banked third-party virus-specific T cells to treat severe viral infections after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Blood* **2013**; 121:5113–23.
7. Gerdemann U, Katari UL, Papadopoulou A, et al. Safety and clinical efficacy of rapidly-generated trivirus-directed T cells as treatment for adenovirus, EBV, and CMV infections after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant. *Mol Ther* **2013**; 21:2113–21.
8. Icheva V, Kayser S, Wolff D, et al. Adoptive transfer of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) nuclear antigen 1-specific T cells as treatment for EBV reactivation and lymphoproliferative disorders after allogeneic stem-cell transplantation. *J Clin Oncol* **2013**; 31:39–48.
9. Papadopoulou A, Gerdemann U, Katari UL, et al. Activity of broad-spectrum T cells as treatment for AdV, EBV, CMV, BKV, and HHV6 infections after HSCT. *Sci Transl Med* **2014**; 6:242ra83.
10. Feucht J, Opher K, Lang P, et al. Adoptive T-cell therapy with hexon-specific Th1 cells as a treatment of refractory adenovirus infection after HSCT. *Blood* **2015**; 125:1986–94.
11. van den Hoogen BG, Bestebroer TM, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. Analysis of the genomic sequence of a human metapneumovirus. *Virology* **2002**; 295:119–32.
12. van den Hoogen BG, van Doornum GJ, Fockens JC, et al. Prevalence and clinical symptoms of human metapneumovirus infection in hospitalized patients. *J Infect Dis* **2003**; 188:1571–7.
13. Chung JY, Han TH, Kim SW, Hwang ES. Genotype variability of human metapneumovirus, South Korea. *J Med Virol* **2008**; 80:902–5.
14. Carneiro BM, Yokosawa J, Arbiza J, et al. Detection of all four human metapneumovirus subtypes in nasopharyngeal specimens from children with respiratory disease in Uberlândia, Brazil. *J Med Virol* **2009**; 81:1814–8.
15. Ebihara T, Endo R, Kikuta H, Ishiguro N, Ishiko H, Kobayashi K. Comparison of the seroprevalence of human metapneumovirus and human respiratory syncytial virus. *J Med Virol* **2004**; 72:304–6.
16. Lüsebrink J, Wiese C, Thiel A, et al. High seroprevalence of neutralizing capacity against human metapneumovirus in all age groups studied in Bonn, Germany. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* **2010**; 17:481–4.
17. Esper F, Boucher D, Weibel C, Martinello RA, Kahn JS. Human metapneumovirus infection in the United States: clinical manifestations associated with a newly emerging respiratory infection in children. *Pediatrics* **2003**; 111:1407–10.
18. Schildgen V, van den Hoogen B, Fouchier R, et al. Human Metapneumovirus: lessons learned over the first decade. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **2011**; 24:734–54.
19. Edwards KM, Zhu Y, Griffin MR, et al.; New Vaccine Surveillance Network. Burden of human metapneumovirus infection in young children. *N Engl J Med* **2013**; 368:633–43.

20. Feuillet F, Lina B, Rosa-Calatrava M, Boivin G. Ten years of human metapneumovirus research. *J Clin Virol* **2012**; 53:97–105.
21. Englund JA, Boeckh M, Kuypers J, et al. Brief communication: fatal human metapneumovirus infection in stem-cell transplant recipients. *Ann Intern Med* **2006**; 144:344–9.
22. Oliveira R, Machado A, Tateno A, Boas LV, Pannuti C, Machado C. Frequency of human metapneumovirus infection in hematopoietic SCT recipients during 3 consecutive years. *Bone Marrow Transplant* **2008**; 42:265–9.
23. Renaud C, Xie H, Seo S, et al. Mortality rates of human metapneumovirus and respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infections in hematopoietic cell transplantation recipients. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* **2013**; 19:1220–6.
24. Souza JS, Watanabe A, Carraro E, Granato C, Bellei N. Severe metapneumovirus infections among immunocompetent and immunocompromised patients admitted to hospital with respiratory infection. *J Med Virol* **2013**; 85:530–6.
25. Hoellein A, Hecker J, Hoffmann D, et al. Serious outbreak of human metapneumovirus in patients with hematologic malignancies. *Leuk Lymphoma* **2016**; 57:623–7.
26. Williams JV, Crowe JE Jr, Enriquez R, et al. Human metapneumovirus infection plays an etiologic role in acute asthma exacerbations requiring hospitalization in adults. *J Infect Dis* **2005**; 192:1149–53.
27. Debur MC, Vidal LR, Stroparo E, et al. Human metapneumovirus infection in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Transpl Infect Dis* **2010**; 12:173–9.
28. Campbell AP, Chien JW, Kuypers J, et al. Respiratory virus pneumonia after hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT): associations between viral load in bronchoalveolar lavage samples, viral RNA detection in serum samples, and clinical outcomes of HCT. *J Infect Dis* **2010**; 201:1404–13.
29. Egli A, Bucher C, Dumoulin A, et al. Human metapneumovirus infection after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Infection* **2012**; 40:677–84.
30. Hirsch HH, Martino R, Ward KN, Boeckh M, Einsele H, Ljungman P. Fourth European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia (ECIL-4): guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of human respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza virus, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus, and coronavirus. *Clin Infect Dis* **2013**; 56:258–66.
31. Waghmare A, Englund JA, Boeckh M. How I treat respiratory viral infections in the setting of intensive chemotherapy or hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Blood* **2016**; 127:2682–92.
32. Gerdemann U, Keirnan JM, Katari UL, et al. Rapidly generated multivirus-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes for the prophylaxis and treatment of viral infections. *Mol Ther* **2012**; 20:1622–32.
33. Aguayo-Hiraldo PI, Arasaratnam RJ, Tzannou I, et al. Characterizing the cellular immune response to Parainfluenza virus 3. *J Infect Dis* **2017**; 216:153–61.
34. Seo S, Gooley TA, Kuypers JM, et al. Human metapneumovirus infections following hematopoietic cell transplantation: factors associated with disease progression. *Clin Infect Dis* **2016**; 63:178–85.
35. El Chaer F, Shah DP, Kmeid J, et al. Burden of human metapneumovirus infections in patients with cancer: risk factors and outcomes. *Cancer* **2017**; 123:2329–37.
36. Boivin G, Abed Y, Pelletier G, et al. Virological features and clinical manifestations associated with human metapneumovirus: a new paramyxovirus responsible for acute respiratory-tract infections in all age groups. *J Infect Dis* **2002**; 186:1330–4.
37. Kolli D, Bataki EL, Spetch L, et al. T lymphocytes contribute to antiviral immunity and pathogenesis in experimental human metapneumovirus infection. *J Virol* **2008**; 82:8560–9.
38. Hastings AK, Gilchuk P, Joyce S, Williams JV. Novel HLA-A2-restricted human metapneumovirus epitopes reduce viral titers in mice and are recognized by human T cells. *Vaccine* **2016**; 34:2663–70.
39. Herd KA, Nelson M, Mahalingam S, Tindle RW. Pulmonary infection of mice with human metapneumovirus induces local cytotoxic T-cell and immunoregulatory cytokine responses similar to those seen with human respiratory syncytial virus. *J Gen Virol* **2010**; 91:1302–10.
40. Leen AM, Myers GD, Sili U, et al. Monoculture-derived T lymphocytes specific for multiple viruses expand and produce clinically relevant effects in immunocompromised individuals. *Nat Med* **2006**; 12:1160–6.