



Article The Association between Perceived Discrimination and Mental Health of Wage Workers with Disabilities: Findings from the Panel Survey of Employment for the Disabled 2016–2018

Hyeon Ji Lee ¹, Wonjeong Jeong ^{2,3}, Doukyoung Chon ^{1,2}, Jae-Hyun Kim ^{1,4,*} and Jong Youn Moon ^{2,3,*}

- ¹ Institute for Digital Life Convergence, Dankook University, Cheonan 31116, Korea; hyeonji@dankook.ac.kr (H.J.L.); cdytgtg@naver.com (D.C.)
- ² Department of Preventive Medicine, Gachon University College of Medicine, Incheon 21565, Korea; wijeong@gachon.ac.kr
- ³ Artificial Intelligence and Big-Data Convergence Center, Gil Medical Center, Gachon University College of Medicine, Incheon 21565, Korea
- ⁴ Department of Health Administration, Dankook University, Cheonan 31116, Korea
- * Correspondence: jaehyun@dankook.ac.kr (J.-H.K.); moon@gilhospital.com (J.Y.M.); Tel.: +82-41-550-1472 (J.-H.K.)

Abstract: Despite efforts to integrate society, persons with disabilities (PWD) still experience considerable discrimination. Therefore, this study examined the association between experiences of discrimination and stress/depressive symptoms in wage working PWD. This study used data from the Panel Survey of Employment for the Disabled 2016-2018 in South Korea. This study included 1566 wage working PWD aged 15-64. The dependent variable was stress and depressive symptoms, and the independent variable was the experience of discrimination due to disability in daily life (Never, Rarely, Often, and Regularly) and the experience of discrimination at the workplace $(0, 1, 2, \geq 3)$. This study used a generalized estimating equations model to consider the repeated measurement data. Wage working PWD who experienced more discrimination in their daily life due to disability and at workplaces showed a higher odds ratio (OR) of stress and depressive symptoms than those who did not experience discrimination. As a result of the analysis including both discrimination experiences, those who always experienced discrimination due to disability in daily life had the highest OR to stress and depression (OR = 2.64, 95% Confidence Interval (CI): 1.37–5.08; OR = 4.96, 95% CI: 2.58–9.56, respectively). According to the experience at workplaces, wage working PWD who faced discrimination by two factors (OR = 1.66, 95% CI: 1.22-2.25) had the highest OR of stress, and those who experienced three or more factors had the highest OR of depressive symptoms (OR = 1.33, 95% CI: 0.83–2.11). Discrimination due to disability in daily life was more associated with the mental distress of working PWD than discrimination at workplaces. For the mental health of working PWD, not only policies or systems to eliminate discrimination in the workplace, but also overall social integration efforts based on improving awareness, are needed so that they do not experience discrimination in their daily life.

Keywords: disabled persons; social discrimination; workplace; psychological distress; depression

1. Introduction

There are over 1 billion persons with disabilities (PWD) worldwide, and the number is expected to increase in the future as the population ages, chronic disease prevalence rises, and exposure to risks, such as accidents, increases [1]. In the past, from the point of view of the charity model and the medical model, disability was considered as an individual's physical defect that needed to be overcome, and PWD were viewed as being in need of help from others [2–4]. However, disability is an evolving concept, and a new paradigm for disability has emerged [5,6]. The definition of disability is complex



Citation: Lee, H.J.; Jeong, W.; Chon, D.; Kim, J.-H.; Moon, J.Y. The Association between Perceived Discrimination and Mental Health of Wage Workers with Disabilities: Findings from the Panel Survey of Employment for the Disabled 2016–2018. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 8541. https:// 10.3390/ijerph19148541

Academic Editor: Marta Tremolada

Received: 17 May 2022 Accepted: 6 July 2022 Published: 13 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). and difficult to clarify, but based on bio-psycho-social models, disability is defined as the interaction between physical or mental impairment leading to activity limitation in an individual and the environment surrounding them in modern society [1,7]. Disability is not limited to an individual problem but can be defined and perceived differently through the surrounding environment.

The Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) [5] and the enactment of laws to guarantee human rights, including the Disability Discrimination Act (DDA) [8,9], were intended to raise awareness of disabilities and the human right of the PWD and to strive for social integration. In South Korea, like in some other countries, there is a DDA [8] and mandatory employment quota system for the PWD, requiring a minimum percentage of employment for the PWD [10]. However, despite such efforts to integrate the society, discrimination experienced by the disabled remains. According to the results of the 2020 survey on the disabled in South Korea, 63.5% of the respondents said they had experienced discrimination. This decreased from 79.9% in 2017, but it is evident that a significant number of people with disabilities are still experiencing discrimination [11]. In addition, according to the results of the sample of the entire population in South Korea, the experience of discrimination in each situation is at least 2% and up to 11%, which is different from the results of the survey only for the PWD [12].

Discrimination is one of the major obstacles to achieving social integration and can be defined as the unfair or biased treatment of particular individuals or groups [13]. According to previous studies, discrimination can have a negative impact on living a healthy life, because it is psychologically and mentally harmful. Furthermore, most of the previous studies focused on the effects of race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender, and workplace discrimination on mental health rather than disability [14–17]. However, PWD are also experiencing discrimination [6,18], and there is a need for attention and management of their mental health, because they may be more vulnerable to clinical depression as well as other psychological problems compared to persons without disabilities (PW/OD) [19,20]. Notably, as the employment rate of the PWD rises, the working environment of the PWD is also increasingly drawing attention. Several previous studies have studied discrimination experienced by the PWD in the workplace and reported that the PWD experience more perceived discrimination than the PW/OD [21–23]. Even in nationally representative survey results in South Korea, the proportion of PWD who experienced discrimination at work was at least 12% to 19% by reason of discrimination [24], whereas only about 9% of the PW/OD experienced discrimination at work [12,25]. As the employment of PWD increases [26], it is necessary to study discrimination against working PWD and the resulting mental health for their health management.

Therefore, this study aims to examine the perceived discrimination by wage working PWD—discrimination experiences due to disability in daily life and discrimination experiences in the workplace—and to observe the association between the perceived discrimination and stress/depressive symptoms in wage working PWD. Ultimately, based on the hypothesis that experiences of discrimination are associated with poor mental health, by clarifying the association of discrimination in daily lives and workplace with stress and depressive symptoms, it aims to emphasize the importance of developing disability labor policies that can better deal with the mental health of the wage working PWD.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Sample

Data were collected from the second wave of the Panel Survey of Employment for the Disabled (PSED) between 2016 and 2018 (second wave 1st–3rd year). The second wave of the PSED was started in 2016 by selecting new panel survey targets conducted by Korea Employment Agency for the Disabled/Employment Development Institute (KEAD EDI). Among the registered PWD according to the Welfare of Persons with Disabilities Act, 4577 people were selected using two-phase sampling from the working-age range of 15–64 as of 15 May 2016, considering the region, age, disability type, disability grade, economic activity status, etc. The PSED is the nationally representative longitudinal survey of individuals with registered disabilities in South Korea, and nationwide data were collected using a computer-assisted personal interviewing program [27]. The PSED was designed to provide useful data for understanding the economic activities of PWD related to their employment [28]. In the baseline year (2016), only wage working PWD were extracted from all PWD to examine discrimination in their work and lives (n = 1763). Among them, participants were additionally excluded with missing information until 2018. Finally, 1566 wage working PWD were selected as study participants at baseline.

2.2. Independent Variables

The independent variables were the experiences of discrimination of wage working PWD. Experience of discrimination was considered in two different circumstances (i.e., due to disability in daily life or at the workplace). Experience of discrimination due to disability in daily life was measured by the participants' response to "Experience of discrimination due to disability in daily lives". Possible responses were "Never", "Rarely", "Often", and "Regularly". Experience of discrimination at the workplace was measured by the participants' response to different reasons for experiencing discrimination at workplace (i.e., sex, age, disability, education level, region of origin, employment status, work expertise, rank). Participants gained a score of one for responding "Yes" to a reason of discrimination at the workplace, and a score of zero for responding "No". The scores of the eight items were summed to generate four categories: "0", "1", "2", " \geq 3".

2.3. Dependent Variables

The dependent variables were stress and depressive symptoms of wage working PWD. Stress and depressive symptoms were measured by single questions in PSED. Stress was assessed by a question, "amount of stress in daily lives", on a Likert scale. Possible responses were 1: "Not at all", 2: "Not very much", 3: "Insignificant", 4: "Moderate", and 5: "High". Responses 1~3 were categorized as "No", and 4~5 were categorized as "Yes" [29]. Depressive symptom was measured by a question, "Experience of feeling sad or hopeless enough to interfere with daily life for two weeks or more within the past year", possible responses were "Yes" and "No". Participants' responses were used as a depressive symptom variable [30,31].

2.4. Control Variables

Covariates used in the study were age (15–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, >59), residential region (metropolitan, urban, rural), marital status (married, single, divorced, or separated), self-rated health (poor, good), smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, non-smoker), alcohol consumption (drinker, former drinker, non-drinker), year (2016, 2017, 2018), depressive symptom, stress, disability grade, and disability type. Depressive symptom and stress were controlled for depending on the dependent variable (i.e., control for depressive symptom when stress was set as the dependent variable and vice versa). The disability type and disability grade used the responses to the survey according to the related law. According to the law, the types of disabilities are classified into a total of 15 types (disabilities of physical, brain lesion, facial, auditory, speech, kidney, heart, respiratory organs, liver, intellectual, developmental, and psychiatric, intestinal/urinary fistula, and epilepsy) [30,32] and using them, the study participants' disability types were classified into a total of 6 grades in the law, and in this study, it was divided into 2 groups as follows: severe (levels 1 to 3) and moderate (levels 4 to 6) [31].

2.5. Analytical Approach and Statistics

The differences between the characteristics of the respondents were examined using the chi-square test. p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Participants who responded repeatedly three times were included in the study, and all variables (independent,

dependent, and control variables) were measured three times. Therefore, a generalized estimating equation (GEE) model was used to examine the association between perceived discrimination in daily life and the workplace and mental health—stress and depression of the wage working PWD. The GEE model was used to analyze the variation within individuals of repeated measurement variables [29,33]. For the analysis using the GEE model, the SAS procedure "PROC GENMOD" was used, and the best model was selected by checking the working correlation structure [34,35]. Analyses with GEE were expressed as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). All statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software package version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

Table 1 shows the general characteristics of the study participants. Of the 1566 subjects, 56% responded that they had stress, and 10.7% reported depressive symptoms; 54.3% of those who experienced discrimination due to disability in their daily life and 85.6% of those who experienced discrimination in workplaces. For those who experienced discrimination, the more frequently they experienced discrimination, the more likely they reported symptoms of stress and depression. In terms of the experience of discrimination at work, the more factors of perceived discrimination, the more they tended to answer that they had symptoms of stress and depression (Table 1).

Tables 2 and 3 are the results, including covariates (Tables 2 and 3). Table 2 shows the association between experience of discrimination due to disability in their daily life and stress/depressive symptoms. Table 3 shows the association between experiences of discrimination at the workplace and stress/depressive symptoms. Compared to those who did not experience discrimination due to disability in daily life, as more discrimination was experienced, the level of stress tended to increase. The "Regularly" group had the highest OR of stress and was statistically significant (OR = 3.16, 95% CI: 1.65-6.05). Depressive symptoms were also more likely to be experienced as the discriminatory experiences became more frequent, and were all statistically significant (OR = 1.66, 95% CI: 1.30-2.14; OR = 2.34, 95% CI: 1.66-3.31; OR = 6.02 95% CI: 3.18-11.41, respectively). Regarding the experience of discrimination in the workplace, the group with two discriminatory factors had the highest OR of stress (OR = 1.762, 95% CI: 1.306-2.376), followed by the three or more groups (OR = 1.756, 95% CI: 1.276-2.415). The higher the number of discriminatory factors, the higher the OR of depressive symptoms, and it was statistically significant only in the three or more group (OR = 1.87, 95% CI: 1.19-2.92).

Table 4 is the result of the analysis including both discrimination experiences due to disability in daily life and discrimination experiences at workplaces. Compared to the group with no experience of discrimination, the higher the discrimination intensity, the higher the OR of stress and depression. Those who always experienced discrimination due to disability in daily life, had the highest OR of stress and depression (OR = 2.64, 95% CI: 1.37-5.08; OR = 4.96, 95% CI: 2.58-9.56, respectively). According to the discrimination experience at workplaces, wage working PWD who experienced discrimination by two factors (OR = 1.66, 95% CI: 1.22-2.25) had the statistically significantly highest OR of stress. For depressive symptoms, the OR was highest among those who experienced three or more factors, but was not statistically significant (OR = 1.33, 95% CI: 0.83-2.11) (Table 4).

	Total		Stress				Depressive Symptom					
Variables			No Ye		es <i>v</i> alue		No		Yes		a Value	
	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	<i>p</i> -value	N	%	Ν	%	<i>p</i> -value
Experience of discr	riminatio	n due to	disabili	ty in dai	ly life		< 0.0001					< 0.0001
Never	716	45.7	353	49.3	363	50.7		665	92.9	51	7.1	
Rarely	600	38.3	253	42.2	347	57.8		525	87.5	75	12.5	
Often	220	14.1	77	35.0	143	65.0		188	85.5	32	14.6	
Regularly	30	1.9	6	20.0	24	80.0		21	70.0	9	30.0	
Experience of discr	riminatio	n at wor	kplace				< 0.0001					< 0.0001
0	226	14.4	107	47.4	119	52.7		203	89.8	23	10.2	
1	1124	71.8	521	46.4	603	53.7		1031	91.7	93	8.3	
2	119	7.6	32	26.9	87	73.1		94	79.0	25	21.0	
>3	97	6.2	29	29.9	68	70.1		71	73.2	26	26.8	
Gender	,,	0.2	27	27.7	00	70.1	0 4108	71	10.2	20	20.0	0 3366
Male	1182	75 5	527	44.6	655	55.4	0.1100	1061	89.8	121	10.2	0.0000
Female	38/	24.5	162	42.2	222	57.8		338	88.0	46	12.0	
	504	24.0	104	74.4		57.0	0 1 2 0 2	550	00.0	UF	12.0	0 6582
15_90	221	1/1 8	116	50.2	115	10.8	0.1393	205	88 7	26	11 2	0.0002
10-29	492	20.9	211	42 7	272	49.0 E6 2		203	00.7	42	× 0	
30-39 40-40	403 515	22.0	211	45.7	206	50.5 EQ 4		440	91.1	43 50	0.9	
40-49	213	52.9	209	40.0	104	59.4		436	32.0 00.0	39	11.5	
50-59	233	14.9	109	46.8	124	53.2		207	88.8	26	11.2	
>59	104	6.6	44	42.3	60	57.7	0.0005	91	87.5	13	12.5	0.0004
Residential region	227	20.0	4.45	11.0	100		0.3095	202	0 (F		10 5	0.0004
Metropolitan	327	20.9	145	44.3	182	55.7		283	86.5	44	13.5	
Urban	411	26.3	193	47.0	218	53.0		388	94.4	23	5.6	
Rural	828	52.9	351	42.4	477	57.6		728	87.9	100	12.1	
Marital status							0.1184					< 0.0001
Married	862	55.0	362	42.0	500	58.0		789	91.5	73	8.5	
Single	525	33.5	250	47.6	275	52.4		467	89.0	58	11.1	
Divorce,	179	11 4	77	43.0	102	57.0		143	79 9	36	20.1	
separated	17 /	11.1	,,	10.0	102	07.0		110	1).)	00	20.1	
Self-rated health							< 0.0001					< 0.0001
Poor	443	28.3	139	31.4	304	68.6		367	82.8	76	17.2	
Good	1123	71.7	550	49.0	573	51.0		1032	91.3	81	8.1	
Smoking status							0.0958					0.0394
Current smoker	451	28.8	180	39.9	271	60.1		390	86.5	61	13.5	
Former smoker	359	22.9	159	44.3	200	55.7		320	89.1	39	10.9	
Non-smoker	756	48.3	350	46.3	406	53.7		689	91.1	67	8.9	
Alcohol consumpti	ion						0.2759					0.4848
Drinker	905	57.8	384	24.5	521	33.3		807	89.2	98	10.8	
Former drinker	253	16.2	112	44.3	141	55.7		222	87.8	31	12.3	
Non-drinker	407	26.0	192	47.2	215	52.8		369	90.7	38	9.3	
Depressive sympto	m/Stress			17.12	_10	01.0	<0.0001	007	2011	00	2.0	<0.0001
Yes/No	167	, 10.7	28	16.8	139	83.2	(0.0001	661	95 9	28	41	(0.000)
No/Yes	1399	89 3	661	47 3	738	52.8		738	84.2	139	15.9	
Disability grade	1077	07.0	001	17.0	. 50	02.0	0 6209	. 00	01.2	107	10.7	0 1956
Sovero	404	25.8	182	45 1	222	55.0	0.0209	35/	87.6	50	12 /	0.1900
Moderato	1167	20.0 7/ 0	507	13.1 12.6	655	56.4		1045	80.0	117	14.4	
Disability type	1104	/ 4.4	507	+3.0	055	50.4	0.8740	1040	09.9	11/	10.1	0.0450
Physical disability	807	57.0	204	11 2	109	55.9	0.0740	800	007	82	02	0.0400
	072 671	37.0 42.0	374 205	44.Z	470 270	55.8		009 500	90.7 97 E	03 Q1	9.3 10 E	
Outer	0/4	43.0	293	43.8	519	30.2		390	07.3	04	12.3	
Total	1566	100	689	44.0	877	56.0		1399	89.3	167	10.7	

Table 1. General characteristics of study participants included for analysis at baseline	2.
--	----

Variables		Stress		Depressive Symptom			
valiables	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	
Experience of discr	imination due	to disability in daily	v life				
Never	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Rarely	1.04	(0.91 - 1.18)	0.5732	1.66	(1.30 - 2.14)	< 0.0001	
Often	1.46	(1.17 - 1.83)	0.0007	2.34	(1.66 - 3.31)	< 0.0001	
Regularly	3.16	(1.65 - 6.05)	0.0005	6.02	(3.18 - 11.41)	< 0.0001	
Gender							
Male	0.94	(0.80 - 1.12)	0.5026	0.54	(0.40 - 0.74)	0.0001	
Female	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Age							
15–29	1.64	(1.20 - 2.25)	0.0021	1.33	(0.72 - 2.45)	0.3570	
30-39	1.58	(1.22 - 2.05)	0.0005	1.40	(0.84 - 2.34)	0.1995	
40-49	1.59	(1.24 - 2.04)	0.0003	1.47	(0.90 - 2.39)	0.1233	
50-59	1.27	(0.97 - 1.64)	0.0771	1.54	(0.94 - 2.54)	0.0892	
> 59	1.00	_		1.00	_		
Residential region							
Metropolitan	0.99	(0.85 - 1.16)	0.8979	0.77	(0.58 - 1.03)	0.0760	
Urban	1 01	(0.87 - 1.17)	0 9007	0 44	(0.32 - 0.60)	<0.0001	
Rural	1.01	(0.07 1.17)	0.2007	1.00	(0.02 0.00)	(0.0001	
Marital status	1.00			1.00			
Married	1 27	(1 03 - 155)	0.0225	0.44	(0.33 - 0.60)	<0.0001	
Single	0.91	(0.72 - 1.16)	0.4355	0.11	$(0.50 \ 0.00)$ (0.51 - 1.07)	0 1129	
Divorce	0.71	(0.72 1.10)	0.4000	0.74	(0.01 1.07)	0.112)	
separated	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Self-rated health							
Poor	2.03	(1 75 2 35)	<0.0001	2 47	(1.06, 3.12)	~0.0001	
Cood	2.03	(1.75 - 2.55)	<0.0001	2.47	(1.90-5.12)	<0.0001	
Smolving status	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Current smaller	1 10	$(1 \ 0 0 \ 1 \ 4 2)$	0.0401	1.94	(1 22 2 57)	0.0003	
Earman smoker	1.19	(1.00-1.42) (0.82, 1.18)	0.0491	1.04	(1.32 - 2.37)	0.0003	
Non smoker	0.99	(0.05-1.10)	0.0915	1.04	(0.94 - 1.92)	0.1020	
Alashal consumpti	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Duin loon	0N	(0, 00, 1, 27)	0.09/1	1 20	(0, 04, 1, 70)	0 1170	
Drinker	1.16	(0.96 - 1.37)	0.0861	1.29	(0.94 - 1.78)	0.1178	
Former drinker	1.06	(0.86–1.29)	0.3943	1.53	(1.06–2.21)	0.0231	
Non-drinker	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Depressive sympto	m/Stress	(2, 2, 4, 2, 70)	0.0001	0.25	(0.07.0.45)	0.0001	
Yes/No	2.91	(2.24–3.78)	<0.0001	0.35	(0.27-0.45)	< 0.0001	
No/Yes	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Disability grade		<i>(</i> , , , , , , ,)					
Severe	0.87	(0.75 - 1.02)	0.0832	0.91	(0.69 - 1.19)	0.4879	
Moderate	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Disability type							
Physical	1.01	(0.88 - 1.15)	0.9204	0.73	(0.58 - 0.93)	0.0108	
disability	1.01	(0.00 1.10)	0.7201	0.70	(0.00 0.70)	0.0100	
Other	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Year							
2016	0.98	(0.85 - 1.14)	0.8321	1.46	(1.11–1.91)	0.0059	
2017	1.03	(0.89 - 1.19)	0.6663	1.11	(0.83 - 1.47)	0.4810	
2018	1.00	-		1.00	-		

Table 2. Association between experience of discrimination due to disability in their daily life andstress/depressive symptoms.

Variables		Stress		Depressive Symptom			
variables	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	
Experience of discri	mination at w	orkplace					
0	1.00	-		1.00	-		
1	1.03	(0.87 - 1.23)	0.7113	0.75	(0.54 - 1.04)	0.0843	
2	1.76	(1.31 - 2.38)	0.0002	1.55	(1.00-2.42)	0.0506	
>3	1.76	(1.28 - 2.42)	0.0005	1.87	(1.19–2.92)	0.0064	
Gender		(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Male	0.95	(0.80 - 1.13)	0.5690	0.58	(0.42 - 0.78)	0.0004	
Female	1.00			1.00			
Age							
15-29	1.71	(1.24 - 2.34)	0.0010	1.43	(0.78 - 2.62)	0.2503	
30-39	1.61	(1.24 - 2.09)	0.0003	1.48	(0.89 - 2.48)	0.1328	
40-49	1.62	(1.26 - 2.07)	0.0002	1.53	(0.94 - 2.49)	0.0857	
50-59	1.02	(0.98 - 1.66)	0.0660	1.60	(0.97 - 2.63)	0.0661	
> 59	1.00	(0.20 1.00)	0.0000	1.00	(0.97 2.00)	0.0001	
Residential region	1.00			1.00			
Metropolitan	1.01	(0.86_1.18)	0 9293	0.80	(0.60 - 1.05)	0 1091	
Urban	1.01	$(0.88 \ 1.17)$	0.9293	0.00	(0.00-1.00)	<0.0001	
Pural	1.01	(0.00-1.17)	0.0342	1.00	(0.33 - 0.00)	<0.0001	
Nulai Marital status	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Manniad	1 20	(1 04 1 E6)	0.0175	0.42	(0.21, 0.59)	<0.0001	
Circle	1.20	(1.04 - 1.30)	0.0175	0.42	(0.51 - 0.36)	< 0.0001	
Single	0.91	(0./1-1.15)	0.4234	0.73	(0.50 - 1.06)	0.0957	
Divorce,	1.00	_		1.00	-		
separated							
Self-rated health	• • •		0.0001	• • •		0.0001	
Poor	2.04	(1.76 - 2.37)	<0.0001	2.60	(2.06–3.27)	< 0.0001	
Good	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Smoking status							
Current smoker	1.17	(0.98–1.39)	0.0812	1.69	(1.22–2.35)	0.0018	
Former smoker	0.98	(0.82 - 1.17)	0.8395	1.33	(0.93 - 1.89)	0.1148	
Non-smoker	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Alcohol consumption	on						
Drinker	1.13	(0.95 - 1.34)	0.1563	1.22	(0.89 - 1.67)	0.2226	
Former drinker	1.03	(0.84 - 1.25)	0.8047	1.41	(0.98 - 2.02)	0.0657	
Non-drinker	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Depressive sympton	m/Stress						
Yes/No	2.90	(2.23-3.76)	< 0.0001	0.35	(0.27 - 0.45)	< 0.0001	
No/Yes	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Disability grade							
Severe	0.91	(0.78 - 1.06)	0.2069	1.10	(0.84 - 1.44)	0.4826	
Moderate	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Disability type							
Physical	1.00		0.0407	0.70		0.0110	
disability	1.00	(0.88 - 1.15)	0.9486	0.73	(0.58–0.93)	0.0119	
Other	1.00	_		1.00	_		
Year							
2016	1.00	(0.86 - 1.16)	0.9876	1.51	(1.16 - 1.98)	0.0023	
2017	1.03	(0.89_1.19)	0 7083	1 11	(0.83 - 1.47)	0 4778	
2017	1.00		0.7 000	1.00		0.1770	

Table 3. Association between experiences of discrimination at the workplace and stress/ depressive symptoms.

Variables		Stress		Depressive Symptom			
vallables	OR 95% CI		<i>p</i> -Value	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	
Experience of discri	mination due	to disability in daily	life				
Never	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Rarely	0.98	(0.86 - 1.12)	0.7827	1.59	(1.22 - 2.05)	0.0005	
Often	1.31	(1.04 - 1.64)	0.0206	2.10	(1.46 - 3.01)	< 0.0001	
Regularly	2.64	(1.37 - 5.08)	0.0036	4.96	(2.58–9.56)	< 0.0001	
Experience of discri	mination at w	orkplace					
0	1.00	-		1.00	-		
1	1.02	(0.86 - 1.22)	0.7859	0.67	(0.48 - 0.93)	0.0182	
2	1.66	(1.22–2.25)	0.0012	1.15	(0.72 - 1.82)	0.5540	
≥ 3	1.62	(1.17 - 2.24)	0.0040	1.33	(0.83 - 2.11)	0.2379	
Gender	a a -	(2.22.1.12)				0.0001	
Male	0.95	(0.80 - 1.12)	0.5234	0.55	(0.40-0.75)	0.0001	
Female	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Age	1 (0	(1.020.00)	0.0010	1.00		0.000	
15-29	1.69	(1.23 - 2.32)	0.0012	1.38	(0.75 - 2.55)	0.2993	
30-39	1.60	(1.23 - 2.07)	0.0004	1.4/	(0.88 - 2.46)	0.1450	
40-49	1.61	(1.25-2.06)	0.0002	1.50	(0.92 - 2.45)	0.1013	
50-59 > 50	1.28	(0.98–1.66)	0.0689	1.59	(0.96–2.62)	0.0707	
>09 Pasidantial region	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Matramalitan	1.00	(0.95, 1.17)	0.0059	0.79	(0 = 0, 1, 04)	0.0974	
Urban	1.00	(0.03-1.17)	0.9936	0.78	(0.39 - 1.04)	0.0074 <0.0001	
Bural	1.01	(0.00-1.17)	0.0747	1.00	(0.32 - 0.39)	<0.0001	
Nulai Marital status	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Married	1 28	(1.05_1.57)	0.0161	0.44	(0.33_0.60)	~0.0001	
Single	0.91	(1.03-1.07) (0.71-1.15)	0.0101	0.44	(0.55 - 0.00) (0.51 - 1.08)	0.1155	
Divorce	0.71	(0.71-1.15)	0.4245	0.74	(0.51-1.00)	0.1155	
separated	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Self-rated health							
Poor	2.03	(1.75 - 2.35)	<0.0001	2 47	(1.96 - 3.12)	<0.0001	
Good	1.00	(1.70 2.00)	(0.0001	1.00	(1.90 0.12)	(0.0001	
Smoking status							
Current smoker	1.18	(0.99 - 1.41)	0.0600	1.78	(1.28 - 2.49)	0.0007	
Former smoker	0.99	(0.83 - 1.18)	0.9082	1.36	(0.95 - 1.94)	0.0902	
Non-smoker	1.00	_		1.00	_		
Alcohol consumption	on						
Drinker	1.15	(0.97 - 1.36)	0.1119	1.29	(0.93 - 1.78)	0.1235	
Former drinker	1.05	(0.86 - 1.28)	0.6483	1.50	(1.04 - 2.18)	0.0302	
Non-drinker	1.00			1.00	_		
Depressive sympton	m/Stress						
Yes/No	2.81	(2.16 - 3.65)	< 0.0001	0.36	(0.28 - 0.47)	< 0.0001	
No/Yes	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Disability grade							
Severe	0.87	(0.74 - 1.01)	0.0727	0.93	(0.70 - 1.23)	0.6058	
Moderate	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Disability type							
Physical	1.01	(0.88 - 1.15)	0.8878	0.74	(0.58 - 0.95)	0.0163	
disability	1.01	(0.00 1.10)	0.0070	0.7 1	(0.00 0.00)	0.0100	
Other	1.00	-		1.00	-		
Year					1		
2016	0.98	(0.85 - 1.14)	0.7869	1.44	(1.10–1.89)	0.0076	
2017	1.03	(0.89 - 1.19)	0.6734	1.11	(0.83 - 1.47)	0.4743	
2018	1.00	-		1.00	-		

 Table 4. Results of the analysis including both discrimination experiences and stress/ depressive symptoms.

4. Discussion

This study investigated the discrimination experienced by wage working PWD due to their disability in their daily life and the discrimination they experienced at the workplace to examine the association between their experiences of discrimination and stress/depressive symptoms. The purpose of this study was to clarify the association of discrimination in daily lives and the workplace with stress and depressive symptoms to emphasize the importance of developing disability policies that could better address mental health for the PWD. Our findings show that those who perceived more discrimination tended to experience greater levels of stress and depressive symptoms. Especially compared to those who never experienced workplace discrimination, those who experienced workplace discrimination more than three times had higher odds of stress and depressive symptoms. These results could emphasize the importance of managing mental health, especially for working PWD.

In this study, more than half of the respondents reported that they had experienced discrimination due to disability in their daily life or discrimination in their workplace. Furthermore, in another survey of the PWD in South Korea, there were more PWD who answered having experienced discrimination than those who did not [11], showing consistent findings with the current study. These results show that, despite the efforts for social integration through the CRPD [5] or the DDA [8,9], the PWD still experience and are aware of discrimination, and the number is significant. Particularly, in this study, 85.6% of respondents reported experiencing discrimination in the workplace. In addition, some of them experienced discrimination due to multiple factors. These results show that the discrimination experienced by the wage working PWD in the workplace can include not only discrimination due to disability, but also discrimination based on other reasons.

Previous studies have shown that the PWD are more susceptible to discrimination than PW/OD [21–23] and have been associated with unhealthy conditions, including mental health [19,20]. In addition, the ratio of experiencing discrimination in the workplace was higher in PWD than in PW/OD [12,24,25]. Therefore, this study examined the association between discrimination experience and mental health in the wage working PWD. As a result of analyzing the association between the discrimination experienced due to disability in daily life and the symptoms of stress/depression, it was found that the more frequently discrimination was recognized, the more stress/depression symptoms were experienced. In particular, wage working PWD who perceived discrimination regularly were fairly more likely to feel psychological distress.

Furthermore, discrimination based on various factors, regardless of disability, adversely affects overall health [36–38], including mental health [14–17]. Therefore, this study examined the association between various discriminations experienced at workplaces and mental health. According to the current findings, as the PWD perceived discrimination due to more factors at workplaces, more stress/depressive symptoms were reported. These results are similar to those of previous studies of the PWD [39] and PW/OD [17], indicating the beneficial effect of eliminating discrimination due to any cause for health and well-being.

Moreover, the experience of discrimination due to disability in daily life and the experience of discrimination at the workplace were simultaneously put into the analysis to examine the association between the experience of discrimination and mental health in this study. According to the analysis of each discrimination experience, perceiving discrimination was more negatively associated with mental health. Particularly, it seemed that the experience of discrimination due to disability in daily life was more related to the mental distress of the wage working PWD than discrimination in the workplace. Additionally, discrimination experiences due to disability in daily life were more likely to affect depressive symptoms than stress, and discrimination experiences at workplaces tended to affect stress more than depressive symptoms. A previous study has reported a mechanism by which social defeat-induced persistent stress causes transitions to chronic depression [40]. Accordingly, the possible interpretation is that in daily life, discrimination

experiences occur repeatedly and persist for a long time, so they are more likely to affect not only stress, but also depressive symptoms. Moreover, discrimination experiences at work tend to be associated with a higher level of stress, and this could also lead to depression. Therefore, it is a necessity to prevent stress due to discrimination and to manage depression.

This study shows that the working PWD still experience discrimination and supports the results of previous studies that the perceived discrimination of the working PWD have a negative effect on their mental health. Therefore, it suggests that discrimination of all causes that the working PWD perceive and experience needs to be eliminated as much as possible to ensure the optimal mental health and, ultimately, the overall health of the disabled. Additionally, by reporting that discrimination experiences due to disability in daily life are related to the worse mental health of the working PWD rather than discrimination experiences in the workplace, the previous studies on perceptions and mental health of people with disabilities are expanded.

This study has some limitations. First, as the survey was conducted only on registered PWD, unregistered PWD were not considered. In addition, since only the working PWD were extracted and studied to examine the experience of discrimination in daily life and workplaces, there is a limit to expanding the results of this study to the entire PWD. Second, due to the limitation of the survey questionnaire used in the study, the variable using structured tools in the study could not be reflected, and there may be a response bias of the study participants. In particular, the discrimination experience distinguished between experiences due to disability in daily life and experiences at workplaces, but the questionnaire on these two variables was not unified, so the operational definition for the study was made in different ways. Therefore, in future research, it is necessary to conduct research by precisely quantifying the experience of discrimination using standardized indicators. Furthermore, dependent variables (stress/depressive symptoms) used in this study were evaluated using one questionnaire item. However, previous studies have validated the possible benefits of using subjective measures [30,41,42], abating this limitation. Lastly, the research results mean only associations, not causal relationships.

5. Conclusions

This study shows the association between the experience of discrimination of working PWD and their mental distress. For the mental health of working PWD, not only policies or systems to eliminate discrimination in the workplace, but also overall social integration efforts based on improving the awareness of members of the society, including systems, are needed so that they do not experience discrimination in their daily lives.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.Y.M. and J.-H.K.; formal analysis, J.-H.K.; methodology, D.C. and W.J.; supervision, J.Y.M. and J.-H.K.; writing—original draft, H.J.L.; writing—review and editing, J.Y.M., J.-H.K. and D.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the Gachon University research fund of 2021 (GCU-202106260001).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The PSED protocol was approved by Statistics Korea (KO-STAT), approval number 383003. The PSED data is released to the public for research; ethical approval was not needed for this study. This study did not require informed consent from the participants, as their information was fully anonymized and unidentified prior to analysis.

Data Availability Statement: The dataset is available on the PSED website: https://edi.kead.or.kr/ ENG_Index.do (accessed on 30 June 2022).

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to the Employment Development Institute that conducted the PSED, which is the primary source of our study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. World Report on Disability 2011; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2011.
- Andrews, E.E. Disability models. In *Practical Psychology in Medical Rehabilitation*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2017; pp. 77–83.
- 3. Rohwerder, B. Disability Inclusion: Topic Guide; GSDRC, University of Birmingham: Birmingham, UK, 2015.
- 4. Al Ju'beh, K. Disability Inclusive Development Toolkit; CBM: Bensheim, Germany, 2015.
- 5. Schulze, M. Understanding the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. Advocate 2010, 1, 1–4.
- Park, J.Y. Disability discrimination in South Korea: Routine and everyday aggressions toward disabled people. *Disabil. Soc.* 2017, 32, 918–922. [CrossRef]
- 7. World Health Organization. *IFC: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health;* World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2001.
- 8. Kim, K.M.; Fox, M.H. A comparative examination of disability anti-discrimination legislation in the United States and Korea. *Disabil. Soc.* 2011, *26*, 269–283. [CrossRef]
- 9. Bell, D.; Heitmueller, A. The Disability Discrimination Act in the UK: Helping or hindering employment among the disabled? *J. Health Econ.* **2009**, *28*, 465–480. [CrossRef]
- Lee, S.; Lee, S. Comparing employment quota systems for disabled people between Korea and Japan. *Asian J. Hum. Serv.* 2016, 10, 83–92. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ministry of Health and Welfare, Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs. 2020 National Survey on Persons with Disabilities; Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs: Sejong, Korea, 2021.
- 12. Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs. Experiences of Discrimination in Various Situations. Available online: https://kosis.kr/statHtml/statHtml.do?orgId=331&tblId=DT_33109_W002&vw_cd=&list_id=&seqNo=&lang_mode=ko& language=kor&obj_var_id=&itm_id=&conn_path= (accessed on 30 June 2022).
- 13. Thomsen, F.K. Discrimination. In Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2017.
- 14. Goto, J.B.; Couto, P.F.M.; Bastos, J.L. Systematic review of epidemiological studies on interpersonal discrimination and mental health. *Cad. Saude Publica* **2013**, *29*, 445–459. [CrossRef]
- 15. Slopen, N.; Lewis, T.T.; Williams, D.R. Discrimination and sleep: A systematic review. Sleep Med. 2016, 18, 88–95. [CrossRef]
- 16. Gilbert, P.A.; Zemore, S.E. Discrimination and drinking: A systematic review of the evidence. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2016**, *161*, 178–194. [CrossRef]
- Lee, S.H.; Lee, H.S.; Kim, G.H.; Lee, J.-H.; Lee, K.-J.; Kim, J.J. The association between perceived discrimination and depression/anxiety disorders among Korean workers: Analysis of the third Korean Working Conditions Survey. *Ann. Occup. Environ. Med.* 2016, *28*, 32. [CrossRef]
- 18. Temple, J.B.; Kelaher, M.; Williams, R. Discrimination and avoidance due to disability in Australia: Evidence from a National Cross Sectional Survey. *BMC Public Health* **2018**, *18*, 1347. [CrossRef]
- 19. Cree, R.A.; Okoro, C.A.; Zack, M.M.; Carbone, E. Frequent mental distress among adults, by disability status, disability type, and selected characteristics—United States, 2018. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **2020**, *69*, 1238. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Honey, A.; Emerson, E.; Llewellyn, G. The mental health of young people with disabilities: Impact of social conditions. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* 2011, 46, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Jones, A.M.; Finkelstein, R.; Koehoorn, M. Disability and workplace harassment and discrimination among Canadian federal public service employees. *Can. J. Public Health* **2018**, 109, 79–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Snyder, L.A.; Carmichael, J.S.; Blackwell, L.V.; Cleveland, J.N.; Thornton, G.C. Perceptions of discrimination and justice among employees with disabilities. *Empl. Responsib. Rights J.* 2010, 22, 5–19. [CrossRef]
- 23. Gardiner, L.; Gaffney, D. *Retention Deficit: A New Approach to Boosting Employment for People with Health Problems and Disabilities;* Resolution Foundation London: London, UK, 2016.
- Ministry of Health and Welfare. Social Discrimination Experience. Available online: https://kosis.kr/statHtml/statHtml.do? orgId=117&tblId=DT_11732S0122 (accessed on 30 June 2022).
- 25. Im, B. The Relationship between Workplace Discrimination and Mental Health. Master's Thesis, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea, 2018. (Unpublished).
- 26. Ministry of Health and Welfare. The National Survey of Disabled Persons 2020; Ministry of Health and Welfare: Tokyo, Japan, 2020.
- Park, Y.; Seo, D.G.; Park, J.; Bettini, E.; Smith, J. Predictors of job satisfaction among individuals with disabilities: An analysis of South Korea's National Survey of employment for the disabled. *Res. Dev. Disabil.* 2016, 53, 198–212. [CrossRef]
- Choi, J.W.; Kim, J.; Han, E.; Kim, T.H. Association of employment status and income with self-rated health among waged workers with disabilities in South Korea: Population-based panel study. *BMJ Open* 2019, 9, e032174. [CrossRef]
- 29. Moon, J.Y.; Kim, J.H. Association between self-esteem and efficacy and mental health in people with disabilities. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0257943. [CrossRef]
- 30. Jung, S.W.; Yoon, J.-H.; Lee, W. Predictors for depressive symptoms by four types of disability. Sci. Rep. 2021, 11, 19371. [CrossRef]
- 31. Yi, S.J.; Jeong, Y.M.; Kim, J.-H. Relationship between Sleep Duration Trajectories and Self-Rated Depressive Symptoms in South Koreans with Physical Disabilities. *Healthcare* **2021**, *9*, 361. [CrossRef]
- 32. Oh, S.; Kim, S.; Lee, S. A Study on Risk Assurance for the People with Disabilities and the Role of Private Sector; Korea Insurance Research Institute: Seoul, Korea, 2018.

- 33. Ballinger, G.A. Using generalized estimating equations for longitudinal data analysis. *Organ. Res. Methods* **2004**, *7*, 127–150. [CrossRef]
- 34. Cui, J.; Qian, G. Selection of working correlation structure and best model in GEE analyses of longitudinal data. *Commun. Stat. Simul. Comput.* **2007**, *36*, 987–996. [CrossRef]
- 35. Pan, W. Akaike's information criterion in generalized estimating equations. Biometrics 2001, 57, 120–125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Dolezsar, C.M.; McGrath, J.J.; Herzig, A.J.; Miller, S.B. Perceived racial discrimination and hypertension: A comprehensive systematic review. *Health Psychol.* **2014**, *33*, 20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Williams, D.R.; Lawrence, J.A.; Davis, B.A.; Vu, C. Understanding how discrimination can affect health. *Health Serv. Res.* 2019, 54, 1374–1388. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Gayman, M.D.; Brown, R.L.; Cui, M. Depressive symptoms and bodily pain: The role of physical disability and social stress. *Stress Health* **2011**, 27, 52–63. [CrossRef]
- 39. Hackett, R.A.; Steptoe, A.; Lang, R.P.; Jackson, S.E. Disability discrimination and well-being in the United Kingdom: A prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* **2020**, *10*, e035714. [CrossRef]
- Koskinen, M.K.; van Mourik, Y.; Smit, A.B.; Riga, D.; Spijker, S. From stress to depression: Development of extracellular matrix-dependent cognitive impairment following social stress. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 17308. [CrossRef]
- Smith, V.K.; Taylor, D.H.; Sloan, F.A. Longevity expectations and death: Can people predict their own demise? *Am. Econ. Rev.* 2001, 91, 1126–1134. [CrossRef]
- 42. Jylhä, M. What is self-rated health and why does it predict mortality? Towards a unified conceptual model. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2009**, *69*, 307–316. [CrossRef]