



Proof-of-Concept Pilot Study on Comprehensive Spatiotemporal Intra-Patient Heterogeneity for Colorectal Cancer With Liver Metastasis

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Walter Hernán Pavicic, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina

Reviewed by:

David Stephen Guttery, University of Leicester, United Kingdom Beifang Niu, Computer Network Information Center (CAS), China Chen Wang, Mayo Clinic, United States

*Correspondence:

Dimitrios Roukos droukos@uoi.gr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 15 January 2022 Accepted: 21 February 2022 Published: 23 March 2022

Citation:

Kyrochristos ID, Glantzounis GK, Goussia A, Eliades A, Achilleos A, Tsangaras K, Hadjidemetriou I, Elpidorou M, Ioannides M, Koumbaris G, Mitsis M, Patsalis PC and Roukos D (2022) Proof-of-Concept Pilot Study on Comprehensive Spatiotemporal Intra-Patient Heterogeneity for Colorectal Cancer With Liver Metastasis. Front. Oncol. 12:855463. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.855463 Ioannis D. Kyrochristos^{1,2}, Georgios K. Glantzounis³, Anna Goussia⁴, Alexia Eliades⁵, Achilleas Achilleos⁵, Kyriakos Tsangaras⁵, Irene Hadjidemetriou⁵, Marilena Elpidorou⁵, Marios Ioannides⁵, George Koumbaris⁵, Michail Mitsis^{3,6}, Philippos C. Patsalis^{5,7} and Dimitrios Roukos^{1,3,8*}

¹ Centre for Biosystems and Genome Network Medicine, Ioannina University, Ioannina, Greece, ² Department of General, Visceral, and Transplant Surgery, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Munich, Germany, ³ Department of Surgery, Ioannina University Hospital, Ioannina, Greece, ⁴ Department of Pathology, Ioannina University Hospital, Ioannina, Greece, ⁵ NIPD Genetics, Nicosia, Cyprus, ⁶ Cancer Biobank Centre, Ioannina University, Ioannina, Greece, ⁷ Department of Human Genetics, School of Medicine, University of Nicosia Medical School, Nicosia, Cyprus, ⁸ Department of Systems Biology, Biomedical Research Foundation of the Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece

Introduction: The mechanisms underlying high drug resistance and relapse rates after multi-modal treatment in patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) and liver metastasis (LM) remain poorly understood.

Objective: We evaluate the potential translational implications of intra-patient heterogeneity (IPH) comprising primary and matched metastatic intratumor heterogeneity (ITH) coupled with circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) variability.

Methods: A total of 122 multi-regional tumor and perioperative liquid biopsies from 18 patients were analyzed *via* targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS).

Results: The proportion of patients with ITH were 53% and 56% in primary CRC and LM respectively, while 35% of patients harbored *de novo* mutations in LM indicating spatiotemporal tumor evolution and the necessity of multiregional analysis. Among the 56% of patients with alterations in liquid biopsies, *de novo* mutations in cfDNA were identified in 25% of patients, which were undetectable in both CRC and LM. All 17 patients with driver alterations harbored mutations targetable by molecularly targeted drugs, either approved or currently under evaluation.

Conclusion: Our proof-of-concept prospective study provides initial evidence on potential clinical superiority of IPH and warrants the conduction of precision oncology trials to evaluate the clinical utility of I PH-driven matched therapy.

Keywords: actionable mutations, circulating variability, comprehensive intra-patient heterogeneity, intratumor heterogeneity, next-generation sequencing, precision cancer medicine

1

INTRODUCTION

Dynamic evolution of genomic clones underlying cancer cell subpopulations and intratumor heterogeneity (ITH), as well as metastasis originating from tumor cells shed in the circulation and therapeutic resistance, represent the major causes of relapse and cancer-related death (1, 2). The capacity of next generation sequencing (NGS) studies to identify multi-regional ITH and serial circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) or circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) mutations responsible for intrinsic and acquired drug resistance has transformed cancer biology and translational research (3, 4). We have developed and proposed a spatiotemporal concept of comprehensive intra-patient heterogeneity (IPH) with potential translation into Precision Oncology (5). In this pilot study we evaluate the translational efficacy of our IPH-based protocol to characterize and compare, for the first time, the ITH of primary colorectal cancer (CRC) and matched liver metastases (LM), in conjunction with the ctDNA mutational landscape in the perioperative setting. This holistic approach enables the detection of dynamic evolution of cancer genomes in time and space enabling the identification and potential targeting of all actionable mutations at different time points over the disease course.

Despite the widespread establishment of primary prevention, CRC remains the second leading cause of cancer-related death in industrialized western countries (6). In more than 50% of patients with CRC, the cancer metastasizes to the liver over the disease course, with half of the metastases being synchronous and half metachronous (7). To this day, liver resection remains the cornerstone of potentially curative treatment. However, only 15-20% of these patients are candidates for surgery aiming to complete tumor resection at diagnosis (8). Overall, treatment of colon cancer with resectable LM consists of surgery, upfront or after neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and adjuvant chemotherapy, while for rectal cancer, surgery after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy is the standard of care (9). A new addition to the guidelines is the option for neoadjuvant immunotherapy with nivolumab/ipilimumab or pembrolizumab in patients with high microsatellite instability (MSI), albeit based on limited data (9). For resectable metachronous metastases, treatment consists of resection and adjuvant chemotherapy with or without neoadjuvant chemotherapy (9). Notably, no molecularly targeted agent has been approved for use in resectable disease. Nevertheless, recurrence- free survival for patients with resectable CRC-LM at 5 years remains only 30%, even after multimodal treatment (8).

Over the past decade, an explosion in genome sequencing studies has provided accumulating data suggesting that a shift from single tumor biopsy to multi-regional tumor and liquid biopsy analysis could enable accurate genetic diagnosis to improve therapeutic decisions towards Precision Oncology (10, 11). Established dynamic evolution of cancer genomes in time and space before and after treatment is reflected in cancer phenotypes, subclonal ITH and circulating plasma mutational variability (3, 12, 13). Indeed, this rapid progress is being translated into multiple underway clinical trials testing the efficacy of intratumor heterogeneity analysis and serial liquid biopsies to guide more effective individualized treatment (14). Considering the discovery of thousands of drug targets *via* NGS and genome editing technologies (15, 16), IPH-matched therapy could maximize clinical benefit (5, 17). Based on intra-lesion and serial ctDNA variability, comprehensive patient-specific tumor and ctDNA analysis could empower the optimization of decision-making on the selection of targeted drugs (13).

To assess ITH and serial ctDNA mutational heterogeneity in the perioperative setting, we designed a prospective protocol encompassing multiple intra-lesional and matched plasma samples for each individual patient. We enrolled patients with CRC and LM who underwent resection of the primary and metastatic tumors with curative intent, after neo-adjuvant treatment. The comparisons between primary, metastatic and plasma mutational variability can dissect the dynamic evolution of genomic clones in time and space, orchestrating individual cancer phenotypes and drug resistance. Therefore, the concept of IPH proposed in our pilot study could enable the shift from single tumor to multiple tumor and liquid biopsy sampling, potentially improving diagnostic guidelines, and providing translational implications for personalized novel drug combinations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 28 patients diagnosed with metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma were treated in our surgical department in the University Hospital of Ioannina between January 2017 and December 2019 and were enrolled in this study. All patients signed a consent form for analysis of biomaterials provided by our institution's ethics committee. After initial histopathological quality control for adequacy of both primary and metastatic tumor tissue 10 patients were excluded due to inadequate tissue availability from the primary, metastatic or both sites. Thus, 18 patients were included in our final analysis, 10 women and 8 men, with an average age of 63.8 years (range 41 to 84 years). For anonymization purposes, a unique code was assigned to each patient (AA to AR). In our cohort, 13 patients had synchronous and the remaining 5 metachronous liver metastases (LM). All patients were previously subjected to neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, according to the recommendations of our institution's Multidisciplinary Tumor Board. For patients who were subjected to primary tumor resection before the initiation of the study, multi-regional primary tumor (PT) samples were retrospectively collected in our Department of Pathology. In total, 94 FFPE samples were collected from both PTs and LMs. Eighty-six out of 94 FFPE samples passed quality control (QC) requirements (DNA yield, DNA quality) to be further subjected to downstream analysis. For 16 out of 18 patients, plasma was collected at multiple time points during treatment, before and after surgery, to assess the molecular dynamics of the disease using liquid biopsies. A total of 38 plasma samples were collected out of which 36 samples passed QC requirements. Overall, out of 132 samples in total, data were collected and analyzed for 122 samples (92.4% QC success). A flowchart summarizing our study population and sample analysis is delineated in Figure 1.



samples were collected at different time points from diagnosis, before and after surgery and during treatment and monitoring. For two patients FFPE samples were available from different sites of the primary and metastatic site. A total of 122 samples passed QC requirements (92.4%) for NGS analysis.

All samples were subjected to targeted next- generation sequencing (tNGS) using a custom 77-cancer gene panel (**Table 1**).

DNA Preparation

DNA was extracted from FFPE tissue sections using the GeneRead DNA FFPE Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was quantified with a fluorometric based assay for FFPE tissue-derived DNA (Qubit flex fluorometer, Qubit dsDNA high sensitivity assay, Thermo Scientific). ctDNA was extracted from 4ml plasma using the QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Library Preparation, Enrichment, and Sequencing

DNA libraries from FFPE and plasma samples were prepared using established protocols. For FFPE samples a commercially available kit was used for library preparation (Integrated DNA Technologies) according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 60-250ng of DNA were subjected to enzymatic fragmentation, at 32oC for 7 minutes followed by adaptor ligation at 20oC for 20 minutes and clean up using magnetic beads. Next, samples were further subjected to indexing PCR and final beads-based clean up. DNA libraries from plasma were prepared using NEB reagents for dA-tailing, adaptor ligation and indexing PCR (New England Biolabs). Briefly, all the amount of extracted cfDNA (typically around 20-30 ng per 4ml plasma) is subjected to dA-tailing followed by adaptor ligation using a pool of unique adaptors for 15 minutes at 20oC. Following magnetic beads-based clean up, the samples were further subjected to indexing PCR and a final clean up step. Evaluation of library samples was performed using the 4150 Agilent Tapestation system (D1000 ScreenTape, Agilent). DNA enrichment for the genomic regions of interest was carried out using an in solution-hybridization based method using TACS (TArget Capture Sequences) specifically designed to capture selected loci in the genes of interest. TACS were then immobilized on streptavidin-coated magnetic beads for subsequent hybridization with the DNA libraries (18). A custom NIPD Genetics tumor profile gene assay was used for the identification of single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small insertions and deletions (indels), copy number alterations

TABLE 1 | Custom 77-gene panel for targeted next-generation sequencing analysis.

Single Nucleotide Variants (SNVs) / Insertions andDeletions (Indels) (67 genes)	Copy-Number Alterations (17 genes)	Translocations (10 genes)
AKT1, ALK, APC, AR, ARAF, ATM, ATRX, BARD1, BRAF, BRCA1,BRCA2, BRIP1, CDH1, CDKN2A, CHEK2, CIC, CTNNB1,DDR2, DICER1, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, FBXW7, FOXA1, FOXL2, FUBP1, GATA3,GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, H3F3A, IDH1, IDH2, JAK2, KEAP1, KIT, KRAS, MAP2K1, MAP3K1, MET, MLH1, MRE11A, MSH2, MSH6, MTOR, NBN, NF1, NRAS, NTRK1, PALB2, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PMS2, POLE, PTEN, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAF1, RET, RUNX1, SMAD4, SPOP, STK11, TERT, TP53	AR, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ESR1, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, KIT, KRAS, MET, MYC, MYCN, PIK3CA, PTEN, RB1, TP53	ALK, BRAF, FGFR3, NF1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, RET, ROS1, TMPRSS2

*Includes MSI assessment.

(CNAs) and rearrangements and microsatellite instability (MSI) detection (**Table 1**). Eluted samples were amplified using outerbound adaptor primers. Enriched DNA libraries were then normalized and subjected to sequencing on an Illumina sequencing platform.

Bioinformatics Analysis

Tissue Biopsy Analysis Pipeline

Sequencing data were de-multiplexed with bcl2fastq (v.2.16.0) and paired-end DNA sequencing reads were processed to remove adapter sequences and poor-quality reads. The remaining sequences were aligned to the human reference genome build (hg19) using the Burrows-Wheeler alignment algorithm (19). Duplicate read entries were removed (20) and aligned reads files were converted to a binary (BAM) format. For FFPE samples, the average unique read depth was approximately 950x. Variant calling was performed using a versatile somatic variant caller (21). Annotation of variants is performed using VEP (22). Variants with total base read depth less than 20X or variant count less than 4 are removed. All detected variants were filtered, annotated and classified based on well known, publicly available, disease databases [COSMIC(v92) and ClinVar(20201020)]. Benign or likely benign variants were filtered out. Only variants with strong or potential clinical significance according to AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (TierI/TierII) were reported for each tested sample. Gene- level Copy Number Variants (CNVs) were detected using an in-house bioinformatics pipeline that implements a circular binary segmentation method (23). Translocation calling is performed by utilizing discordant pair and split- read alignments following local assembly, realignment and an in-house filtering pipeline to refine the set of candidate events (24-27).

cfDNA Analysis Pipeline

Sequencing data were de-multiplexed with bcl2fastq (v.2.16.0) and paired-end DNA sequencing reads were processed to remove

adapter sequences and poor-quality reads. The remaining sequences were aligned to the human reference genome build (hg19) using the Burrows-Wheeler alignment algorithm. Duplicate reads were identified, grouped by their families and processed to produce consensus reads per family (fgbio). The average unique read depth of plasma samples was approximately 4000X. Allelic count information for all targeted loci was used to calculate the variant allele frequency for each substitution and short insertion/deletion. A statistical error-correction model (at a base-pair resolution) was subsequently applied to refine the set of positive variant calls. The threshold for variant calling was set to 0.1%VAF. Variant annotation and classification was performed as described in the tissue biopsy analysis pipeline. Translocation calling was performed by utilizing discordant pair and split-read alignments following local assembly, realignment and a filtering pipeline to refine the set of candidate events. Transformed read depth information on pre-defined genomic windows spanning the regions of interest were normalized utilizing a, multistep statistical method (applying a within- and between-samples normalization approach). Normalized read depth data were processed to detect copy number changes. Our Bioinformatics analysis pipeline is delineated in Figure 2.

RESULTS

Based on our analysis, mutations were detected in 17/18 (94.4%) of patients in our cohort, and the number of variants per patient ranged from 0 to 18. Overall, mutations in 28 genes were identified, adding up to an average 4.7 variants per patient. In agreement with published literature, the most frequently mutated genes were APC and TP53, followed by PIK3CA and KRAS, while 3 or fewer variants were identified for all other genes. Importantly, the majority of identified variants (19/28, 69%) were rare and detected in only one patient. Moreover,



a significant proportion of variants was identified in potentially clinically relevant genes not routinely tested in day-to-day practice. The majority (60%) of alterations regarded missense mutations, while other alteration types included frameshift (14.1%) and nonsense (11.8%) mutations, amplifications (8.2%) and others. **Figure 3** summarizes our findings on the frequency of mutated genes, mutation types and patient variants.

Intra-Tumor Heterogeneity

Initially, we aimed to detect and characterize the extent of ITH within matched primary and metastatic lesions. Concerning primary tumor analysis, we analyzed 2-4 multiregional PT samples from 15 patients, while regarding liver metastases, we analyzed 2-5 multiregional LM samples in each of 16 patients. For one patient (AG), we analyzed two distinct liver metastases, one synchronous and one metachronous. Due to quality control exclusion, three patients had single PT and/or LM samples. There was no PT sample available for one patient. In our cohort, increased number of multiregional samples did not correlate with the frequency of observed ITH as compared to dual samples in primary or metastatic tumors, although our relatively small cohort sample warrants further large-scale investigation. Quite notably, 53% (8/15) of patients with multiregional primary biopsies featured ITH between spatially distinct geographical regions of the PT at variable degrees, with homogeneity of observed variants between regions ranging from 83% to under 15%. Similarly, variable multi-regional ITH of the LMs was observed in 56% (9/16) of patients between different regions of the same metastasis. These results indicate that analysis of multiple spatially distinct samples is meaningful in more than half of lmCRC patients, with potentially significant clinical implications as discussed below.

Circulating Tumor DNA Variability

Based on the highly compelling potential for a non-invasive blood-based patient monitoring strategy, we additionally analyzed 36 serially collected plasma samples before and after therapy from 16 of 18 patients utilizing NIPD Genetics custom gene assay, to explore the temporal dynamics of ctDNA variability. Plasma ctDNA analysis identified mutations in 60% (9/15) of patients with both pre- and post-operative plasma samples. Tumor mutations were detected in the ctDNA analysis in 8 and 2 of 16 patients pre- and post- operatively respectively. There was a clear tendency for pre-operative tumor mutations in plasma to be undetectable in ctDNA after complete tumor resection (R0 surgery) with curative intention, indicating the well-established association of plasma mutation detection and tumor burden. Persistence of ctDNA mutations post-operatively, as for example in patient AA for whom a staged hepatectomy was planned but not performed, and thus was not subjected to R0 resection, correlated with early relapse (<1 year) and adverse oncological outcome.

Comprehensive Intra-Patient Heterogeneity

The first most ambitious aim of our project was to dissect the comprehensive spatiotemporal genetic IPH for each individual patient, comprising the ITH of primary and metastatic lesions, as well as temporal ctDNA mutational heterogeneity. Most importantly, genetic differences between matched PT and LMs, meaning the presence of mutations in either the primary or metastatic tissue but not in both, were detected in 53% (9/17) of cases with both PT and matched metastatic tumor (MT) samples, with 35% (6/17) of patients harboring *de novo* mutations present only in LMs, indicating dynamic clonal evolution (**Figure 4**). More specifically, 5 of these patients







harbored several mutated genes only in the metastatic samples, including genes such as KRAS, TP53, APC, PIK3CA, RB1. This finding supports the need for multi-regional NGS analysis of metastases to potentially improve therapeutic decisions. Overall, 41% of variants observed in solid tumor samples were ubiquitously shared by all regions between primary and liver lesions. These results suggest that multiple biopsies of both primary and matched metastatic lesions are required to delineate cancer genetic diversity with potentially crucial implications for the clinic. Quite impressively, ctDNA analysis effectively dissected the complete variability of PTs and matched MTs in 25% (4/16) of cases, identifying all intra-tumorally identified mutations in these patients, suggesting the potential use of liquid biopsy for delineating ITH. Lastly, in 4 of 16 patients (patients AA, AD, AG, AJ), ctDNA analysis uncovered mutations, which were not detected in the primary or metastatic tumors and could represent the result of dynamic subclonal evolution, which needs further investigation. Notably, putatively actionable mutations identified exclusively in liquid biopsies, including KRAS, CHEK2, PIK3CA, TP53 and others, could provide important translational therapeutic implications. All of the above support our hypothesis that a holistic approach to the oncological patient requires rigorous combinatorial analysis of spatiotemporally collected primary tumoral, metastatic and plasma samples to improve the accuracy in characterizing the complete tumor genetic diversity.

Intra-Patient Mutational Heterogeneity-Driven Targeted Therapy

The second major aim of our study was to identify potential oncotargets and characterize their clonality within PT and matched LMs, in order to clarify whether a single biopsy could effectively guide therapeutic decision-making. In our cohort, all

patients presented one or multiple therapeutic opportunities specifically for targeted treatment. Of the 18 patients, 9 (50%) were wild-type for KRAS/NRAS and could derive potential benefit from EGFR inhibitors, such as cetuximab and panitumumab. Two patients had BRAF mutations, presenting an opportunity for treatment with BRAF-inhibitors, already approved for use in non- resectable CRC (9). Moreover, 50% (9/18) of participants had variant targets of drugs already approved for other cancer types suggesting a potential benefit through drug repurposing (9). Additionally, 72% (13/18) and 67% (12/18) of patients could be matched to targeted drugs under clinical or pre-clinical evaluation respectively, in CRC or other cancer types (Figure 4). It is worth noting that, out of 19 detected rare variants, 10 were potentially actionable. Collectively, 94% (17/18) of participants in our cohort featured targets of not-yet-approved agents in the clinical or pre-clinical stages of development (Figure 4). Selected examples of known druggable targets are shown in 3D protein structure representation in Supplementary Figure 1.

A notable example is patient AC, a patient with established Lynch syndrome according to the Amsterdam criteria, as well as microsatellite instability previously identified using a panel of five markers ($\beta\alpha\tau$ -25, BAT-26, D5S346, D17S250 & D2S123) through PCR-based testing and confirmed by our assay. Our analysis also confirmed a mutated MSH6 gene in all intratumor samples as well as its presence in plasma. In this patient, more than 10 putatively actionable variants were identified, with the majority being subclonal, suggesting the potential for extensive drug combinations, including immunotherapy, guided by intratumor and circulating DNA heterogeneity.

A crucial parameter hindering the potential therapeutic utilization of genetic findings is the putative spatial and temporal subclonality of actionable mutations, which, if validated, could not be addressed via a single tumor biopsy. Indeed, our study identified an average of 3.2 potentially actionable variants per patient, suggesting the capacity for combinatorial targeted therapy, following large-scale validation. However, based on comparative primary and metastatic tumor analysis, 71% (12/17) of patients with detectable mutations harbored subclonal putative oncotargets not identified in all tumor samples, which could potentially be masked by singlebiopsy analysis (Figure 3) (28, 29). Analysis of ctDNA uncovered potentially druggable variants in all nine patients with detectable plasma mutations, indicating the potential role of ctDNA in guiding therapeutic decisions following validation. Quite notably, 33% and 22% of participants harbored putatively actionable variants only in LM and plasma samples respectively, which would not have been identified by PT analysis alone, although our study is limited in discovering plasma-exclusive variants, as described below. Caution should be taken when interpreting low frequency variants identified exclusively in plasma in genes previously shown to contribute to clonal hematopoiesis of intermediate potential (CHIP). In the absence of lymphocyte sample for testing we could not exclude the possibility of low frequency CHIP-derived mutations in genes such as KRAS, TP53 and PIK3CA. Overall, almost 65% of all oncotargets detected in our cohort featured diverse degrees of intratumor and circulating variability among samples from the same patient. Therefore, our study strongly supports the hypothesis that therapeutic decision-making should not be limited to single PT samples and warrants further evaluation of spatiotemporally collected samples from matched PTs, MTs and plasma in large-scale studies to establish the clinical utility of comprehensive IPH. A summary of our findings on genetic spatiotemporal heterogeneity in tumor and liquid samples is delineated in Figure 3. Moreover, an overview, as well as definitions, on our most crucial findings can be found in Box 1.

DISCUSSION

Our proof-of-concept pilot prospective study on comprehensive intra-patient genetic heterogeneity in patients with resected CRC and LM provides new diagnostic, predictive and therapeutic implications. In the present cohort, 53% and 56% of patients harbored ITH of the primary and metastatic tumors respectively, while 53% had genetic differences between matched primary and metastatic samples. Combining both primary and metastatic ITH with matched plasma cfDNA, 25% of patients had aberrations in plasma but not in tumor specimens which highlights the potential benefit of ctDNA analysis in capturing dynamic tumor heterogeneity which might be missed from analysis of only a few FFPE sections that offer solely a snapshot of the tumor's molecular profile. Almost all patients had cancer targets for approved drugs and/or agents under investigation in ongoing clinical trials (14) or pre-clinical studies. Our data are consistent with multiple genomic and transcriptomic studies on spatial and temporal dynamic evolution of cancer genomes (29, 30), underlying intratumor subclonal cell populations.

Multiple genomic studies have identified ITH as an integral part of cancer evolution in solid tumors (28, 31). This multiregional variability has been strongly correlated with intrinsic and acquired drug resistance and relapse (29, 32, 33). Indeed, consistent with our work, several studies have uncovered extensive ITH of primary CRC as a prognostic factor for metastasis, as well as a predictor of drug resistance (34, 35). With regards to ITH of the liver metastasis, our study is among the very few published reports with a strict protocol, enabling the exact identification of metastatic genetic ITH in 56% of patients. Most available studies have evaluated the variability between matched primary and metastatic lesions through either single or multi-regional samples. Based on a single biopsy, data remain controversial regarding the degree of heterogeneity between

BOX 1 Summary of the most crucial findings in our prospective cohort.			
ITH of the primary tumor	The proportion of patients harbouring variable levels of ITH of the primary	8/15 patients with multi-regional samples from the primary tumor, 53%	
ITH of the liver metastasis	The proportion of patients harbouring variable levels of ITH of the liver metastatic lesion	9/16 patients with multi-regional samples from liver metastases, 56%	
Genetic heterogeneity between primary and matched metastatic	The proportion of patients harbouring mutations detected either in the primary or the matched metastatic tumor but not in both	9/17 patients with matched primary and metastatic tumor samples, 53%	
<i>De novo</i> mutations in liver metastases	The proportion of patients harboring de novo mutations in liver metastases not found in the primary tumor, including potentially actionable variants indicating dynamic clonal evolution	6/17 patients with matched primary and metastatic tumor samples, 35%	
Detection of cfDNA mutations	Proportion of patients in which tumor mutations were detected in cfDNA pre- operatively Proportion of patients in which tumor mutations were detected in cfDNA post- operatively	8/16 patients, 50% 2/16 patients, 12.5%	
Potentially actionable mutations	9/18 (50%) and 17/18 (94%) patients could benefit from repurposing of already approved drugs and agents under clinical or pre-clinical development respectively Overall, an average of 3.2 actionable mutations per patient were identified.	12/17 (71%) patients with detectable mutations harbored potentially actionable alterations not ubiquitously shared by all tumor samples, indicating the need for spatiotemporal sampling to increase therapeutic accuracy	

primary and matched metastatic lesions (36, 37). Similarly, intralesion sampling of both primary CRC and LM has provided contradictory findings. For instance, Siraj et al. demonstrated high genomic concordance between primary CRC and matched LM via whole-exome sequencing of a total of 191 samples (38). By contrast, Hu and colleagues, in a whole-exome sequencing cohort consisting of 118 biopsies from 23 patients, uncovered extensive inter- and intra-lesion heterogeneity (39), in accordance with our prospective cohort. Whether a shift from the current standard of single-tumor biopsies to multi-sampling from matched primary and metastatic lesions can enable more accurate diagnosis and decision-making on novel combinations of molecularly targeted drugs remains at the present unclear. By identifying putatively targetable mutations in nearly all patients, of which approximately 65% featured variable intratumor and ctDNA heterogeneity, our pilot study strongly supports the prospective evaluation of this concept within Precision Oncology trials. Indeed, the proportion of patients with clinically actionable mutations in recent large-scale consortia based on single- biopsy NGS, such as The Cancer Genome Atlas and the Pan-Cancer Analysis of Whole Genomes, ranged between 57% and over 75% (15, 40), highlighting that multisampling could substantially increase the discovery rates of cancer targets.

Targeted NGS, whole-exome sequencing and whole-genome sequencing of cfDNA or ctDNA, as well as analysis of circulating tumor cells, are receiving tremendous attention towards implementation into the clinical setting for early diagnosis, individualized prediction of drug response, patient monitoring to readily detect relapse and drug development (30, 41). Indeed, beyond large innovative projects (42), several comprehensive pan-cancer multi-gene panels, including for CRC, have already been developed and approved by federal regulatory institutions as companion diagnostics for tumor profiling within modern guidelines, highlighting the introduction of Precision Oncology into clinical practice (30). On this basis, the promising findings provided via our custom 77-gene panel could be incorporated into the clinical setting, following large- scale validation. Additionally, completed early-phase clinical trials, such as the TARGET (43) and the I-PREDICT (44) studies have demonstrated potential clinical benefit from liquid biopsyguided drug target detection and molecularly matched therapy.

Based on our recent published work on the comprehensive model of IPH (5), in the present study, we have explored the potential translational implications of IPH, comprised by the ITH of primary and metastatic lesions in combination with plasma DNA mutational landscapes. This integrated framework has highlighted the necessity for multiple sample analysis from both tumor and ctDNA in the perioperative setting. This approach could potentially transform decisionmaking on neoadjuvant or adjuvant treatment following complete tumor resection to overcome the unmet clinical challenge of substantial therapeutic resistance and relapse rates among patients with resectable colorectal cancer with liver metastasis. In fact, 35% of patients in our cohort harbored *de novo* mutations in the metastases, potentially unraveling the capacity to improve therapeutic decisions. Moreover, *de novo* mutations were quite impressively identified in the ctDNA from 25% of patients but not in the multi-regional analysis of primary and metastatic tumors. However, this finding requires further evaluation via DNA analysis of white blood cells to differentiate cancer from germline mutations or potential clonal hematopoiesis (45). Additionally, on the basis of WGS on over 2,500 cancer samples, the PCAWG initiative identified actionable mutations in 60% of tumors (31), while in our integrated analysis of both multi-regional tumor and serial liquid biopsies, all patients with detectable mutations harbored actionable events, supporting the translational framework of detailed tumor and plasma analysis. Nevertheless, as demonstrated by our results, isolated analysis of primary CRC, metastatic tissue or ctDNA is unable to uncover the complete mutational landscape for each individual patient, highlighting the translational importance or our work.

Despite intriguing findings, our study is presented with several limitations. First, the number of enrolled patients and total samples analyzed is relatively small to extract definitive conclusions. Second, our plasma analysis is lacking in serial postoperative samples over the course of disease to evaluate the potential for early relapse detection and potential therapeutic targeting. And third, our analysis focuses on the detection of actionable mutations and matched targeted drugs, while not exploring putative immunotherapeutic implications. It should however be noted that our analysis was a pilot study, which was designed to explore the feasibility and potential clinical applications of the IPH concept, therefore, encouraging further extensive work and large-scale prospective studies and precision clinical trials.

Currently, ongoing projects and underway clinical trials are evaluating the clinical utility of cancer type- specific ITH and plasma DNA mutational heterogeneity, as well as tumor-infiltrating immune and stromal cells of the tumor microenvironment, to establish Precision Oncology and Immunology in the clinical setting (10, 14, 46, 47). Expanding our holistic IPH approach to include intratumor interactions between cancer and environmental immune cells, pioneering single-cell genome sequencing, editing and machine learning technologies, as well as liquid biopsies of peripheral blood for cfDNA, circulating tumor and immune cell analysis raise novel expectations to realize patient-specific optimal precision immuno-oncological treatment (17, 30, 48–51).

CONCLUSIONS

Our IPH-based concept with a pilot-level tNGS analysis of 122 multi-regional tumor and liquid biopsies with a custom 77-gene assay has provided initial evidence on the necessity of multiple spatiotemporal sampling in patients with resectable CRC with LM. This region-to-region NGS analysis of primary and matched metastatic lesions, as well as perioperative plasma samples, has enabled the dissection of primary and metastatic ITH and ctDNA variability. Indeed, there was substantial heterogeneity between primary, metastatic and circulating mutational landscapes, unraveling the dynamic evolution of cancer genomes, underlying tumor progression, metastasis, drug resistance and relapse. Our data uncover the significance of ITH and cfDNA as predictors of response to molecularly targeted drugs. Moreover, the identification of clinically actionable mutations by ctDNA analysis highlights the importance of evaluating tumor dynamics and heterogeneity using liquid biopsy to guide therapy selection and better stratification of patients for clinical trial enrollment and treatment-response evaluation. The holistic approach in our work detected in average 3.2 actionable events per patient, warranting the conduction of precision clinical trials to assess the clinical utility of novel targeted drug combinations in the adjuvant or neo-adjuvant setting. In summary, comprehensive IPH-based translational research and clinical trials are expected to transform current treatment guidelines towards the implementation of Cancer Precision Medicine in the clinical setting, to overcome the unmet challenges of high drug resistance and relapse rates.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval were not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and

REFERENCES

- Klein CA. Selection and Adaptation During Metastatic Cancer Progression. Nature (2013) 501:365–72. doi: 10.1038/nature12628
- Eirew P, Steif A, Khattra J, Ha G, Yap D, Farahani H, et al. Dynamics of Genomic Clones in Breast Cancer Patient Xenografts at Single-Cell Resolution. *Nature* (2015) 518:422–6. doi: 10.1038/nature13952
- Murtaza M, Dawson SJ, Tsui DW, Gale D, Forshew T, Piskorz AM, et al. Non-Invasive Analysis of Acquired Resistance to Cancer Therapy by Sequencing of Plasma DNA. *Nature* (2013) 497:108–12. doi: 10.1038/ nature12065
- Gerlinger M, Horswell S, Larkin J, Rowan AJ, Salm MP, Varela I, et al. Genomic Architecture and Evolution of Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinomas Defined by Multiregion Sequencing. *Nat Genet* (2014) 46:225–33. doi: 10.1038/ng.2891
- Roukos DH. Spatiotemporal Diversification of Intrapatient Genomic Clones and Early Drug Development Concepts Realize the Roadmap of Precision Cancer Medicine. *Drug Discovery Today* (2017) 22:1148–64. doi: 10.1016/ j.drudis.2017.03.014
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, Fedewa SA, Butterly LF, Anderson JC, et al. Colorectal Cancer Statistic. CA Cancer J Clin (2020) 70:145–64. doi: 10.3322/caac.21601
- Zarour LR, Anand S, Billingsley KG, Bisson WH, Cercek A, Clarke MF, et al. Colorectal Cancer Liver Metastasis: Evolving Paradigms and Future Directions. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2017) 3:163–73. doi: 10.1016/ j.jcmgh.2017.01.006
- Nordlinger B, Sorbye H, Glimelius B, Poston GJ, Schlag PM, Rougier P, et al. Perioperative FOLFOX4 Chemotherapy and Surgery Versus Surgery Alone for Resectable Liver Metastases From Colorectal Cancer (EORTC 40983): Long-Term Results of a Randomised, Controlled, Phase 3 Trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2013) 14:1208–15. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70447-9

institutional requirements. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

IK: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, validation, writing original draft, and writing - review and editing. GG: methodology and resources. AG: data curation, investigation, and resources. AE: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, and writing- original draft. AA: software and validation. KT: funding acquisition and methodology. IH: investigation, methodology, and visualization. ME: data curation, methodology, and resources. MI: funding acquisition, resources, and software. GK: validation and visualization. MM: project administration, resources, and supervision. PP: funding acquisition, methodology, resources, software, and validation. DR: conceptualization, methodology, project administration, supervision, validation, writing- original draft, and writing review and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.855463/ full#supplementary-material

- 9. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. (2021). Available at: www. nccn.org.
- Dugger SA, Platt A, Goldstein DB. Drug Development in the Era of Precision Medicine. Nat Rev Drug Discov (2018) 17:183–96. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2017.226
- Chen HZ, Bonneville R, Roychowdhury S. Implementing Precision Cancer Medicine in the Genomic Era. Semin Cancer Biol (2019) 55:16–27. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2018.05.009
- Costanzo M, Kuzmin E, Van Leeuwen J, Mair B, Moffat J, Boone C, et al. Global Genetic Networks and the Genotype-To-Phenotype Relationship. *Cell* (2019) 177:85–100. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.033
- Chakravarty D, Solit DB. Clinical Cancer Genomic Profiling. Nat Rev Genet (2021) 22:483–501. doi: 10.1038/s41576-021-00338-8
- 14. ClinicalTrials.Gov (2021). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/.
- Bailey MH, Tokheim C, Porta-Pardo E, Sengupta S, Bertrand D, Weerasinghe A, et al. Comprehensive Characterization of Cancer Driver Genes and Mutations. *Cell* (2018) 173:371–385 e318. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.060
- The ICGC/TCGA Pan-Cancer Analysis of Whole Genomes Consortium. Pan-Cancer Analysis of Whole Genomes. *Nature* (2020) 578:82–93. doi: 10.1038/ s41586-020-1969-6
- Kyrochristos ID, Roukos DH. Comprehensive Intra-Individual Genomic and Transcriptional Heterogeneity: Evidence-Based Colorectal Cancer Precision Medicine. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2019) 80:101894. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2019.101894
- Koumbaris G, Kypri E, Tsangaras K, Achilleos A, Mina P, Neofytou M, et al. Cell-Free DNA Analysis of Targeted Genomic Regions in Maternal Plasma for Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing of Trisomy 21, Trisomy 18, Trisomy 13, and Fetal Sex. *Clin Chem* (2016) 62:848–85. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2015.252502
- 19. Li H. Aligning Sequence Reads, Clone Sequences and Assembly Contigs With BWA -MEM. arXiv: Genomics (2013).
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map Format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* (2009) 25:2078–9. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

- 21. Lai Z, Markovets A, Ahdesmaki M, Chapman B, Hofmann O, Mcewen R, et al. VarDict: A Novel and Versatile Variant Caller for Next-Generation Sequencing in Cancer Research. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2016) 44:e108. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw227
- Mclaren W, Gil L, Hunt SE, Riat HS, Ritchie GR, Thormann A, et al. The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biol* (2016) 17:122. doi: 10.1186/ s13059-016-0974-4
- 23. Seshan VE, Adam O. DNAcopy: DNA Copy Number Data Analysis. R Package Version 1.66.0. (2021).
- Layer RM, Chiang C, Quinlan AR, Hall IM. LUMPY: A Probabilistic Framework for Structural Variant Discovery. *Genome Biol* (2014) 15:R84. doi: 10.1186/gb-2014-15-6-r84
- Chen X, Schulz-Trieglaff O, Shaw R, Barnes B, Schlesinger F, Kallberg M, et al. Manta: Rapid Detection of Structural Variants and Indels for Germline and Cancer Sequencing Applications. *Bioinformatics* (2016) 32:1220–2. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv710
- Cameron DL, Schroder J, Penington JS, Do H, Molania R, Dobrovic A, et al. GRIDSS: Sensitive and Specific Genomic Rearrangement Detection Using Positional De Bruijn Graph Assembly. *Genome Res* (2017) 27:2050–60. doi: 10.1101/gr.222109.117
- 27. Gawroński AR, Lin YY, Mcconeghy B, Lebihan S, Asghari H, Koçkan C, et al. Structural Variation and Fusion Detection Using Targeted Sequencing Data from Circulating Cell Free DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2019) 47:e38.
- Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, Litchfield K, Swanton C. Intratumor Heterogeneity Reflects Clinical Disease Course. Nat Cancer (2020) 1:3–6. doi: 10.1038/ s43018-019-0002-1
- Black JRM, Mcgranahan N. Genetic and Non-Genetic Clonal Diversity in Cancer Evolution. Nat Rev Cancer (2021) 21:379–92. doi: 10.1038/s41568-021-00336-2
- Ignatiadis M, Sledge GW, Jeffrey SS. Liquid Biopsy Enters the Clinic -Implementation Issues and Future Challenges. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2021) 18:297–312. doi: 10.1038/s41571-020-00457-x
- Dentro SC, Leshchiner I, Haase K, Tarabichi M, Wintersinger J, Deshwar AG, et al. Characterizing Genetic Intra-Tumor Heterogeneity Across 2,658 Human Cancer Genomes. *Cell* (2021) 184:2239–2254 e2239. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.009
- 32. Ziogas DE, Kyrochristos ID, Roukos DH. Discovering Novel Valid Biomarkers and Drugs in Patient-Centric Genomic Trials: The New Epoch of Precision Surgical Oncology. *Drug Discovery Today* (2018) 23:1848–72. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2018.07.008
- 33. Kyrochristos ID, Ziogas DE, Roukos DH. Drug Resistance: Origins, Evolution and Characterization of Genomic Clones and the Tumor Ecosystem to Optimize Precise Individualized Therapy. *Drug Discov Today* (2019) 24:1281–94. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2019.04.008
- 34. Kim TM, Jung SH, An CH, Lee SH, Baek IP, Kim MS, et al. Subclonal Genomic Architectures of Primary and Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Based on Intratumoral Genetic Heterogeneity. *Clin Cancer Res* (2015) 21:4461–72. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-2413
- 35. Uchi R, Takahashi Y, Niida A, Shimamura T, Hirata H, Sugimachi K, et al. Integrated Multiregional Analysis Proposing a New Model of Colorectal Cancer Evolution. *PloS Genet* (2016) 12:e1005778. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pgen.1005778
- 36. Brannon AR, Vakiani E, Sylvester BE, Scott SN, Mcdermott G, Shah RH, et al. Comparative Sequencing Analysis Reveals High Genomic Concordance Between Matched Primary and Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Lesions. *Genome Biol* (2014) 15:454. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0454-7
- Joung JG, Oh BY, Hong HK, Al-Khalidi H, Al-Alem F, Lee HO, et al. Tumor Heterogeneity Predicts Metastatic Potential in Colorectal Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2017) 23:7209–16. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-0306
- Siraj S, Masoodi T, Siraj AK, Azam S, Qadri Z, Ahmed SO, et al. Clonal Evolution and Timing of Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* (2020) 12:2938. doi: 10.3390/cancers12102938

- Hu Z, Ding J, Ma Z, Sun R, Seoane JA, Scott Shaffer J, et al. Quantitative Evidence for Early Metastatic Seeding in Colorectal Cancer. *Nat Genet* (2019) 51:1113–22. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-0423-x
- Sabarinathan R, Pich O, Martincorena I, Rubio-Perez C, Juul M, Wala J, et al. The Whole-Genome Panorama of Cancer Drivers. *bioRxiv* (2017) 190330. doi: 10.1101/190330
- Pal A, Shinde R, Miralles MS, Workman P, De Bono J. Applications of Liquid Biopsy in the Pharmacological Audit Trail for Anticancer Drug Development. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2021) 18:454–67. doi: 10.1038/s41571-021-00489-x
- Cristiano S, Leal A, Phallen J, Fiksel J, Adleff V, Bruhm DC, et al. Genome-Wide Cell-Free DNA Fragmentation In Patients With Cancer. *Nature* (2019) 570:385–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1272-6
- 43. Rothwell DG, Ayub M, Cook N, Thistlethwaite F, Carter L, Dean E, et al. Utility of ctDNA to Support Patient Selection for Early Phase Clinical Trials: The TARGET study. *Nat Med* (2019) 25:738–43. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0380-z
- Sicklick JK, Kato S, Okamura R, Schwaederle M, Hahn ME, Williams CB, et al. Molecular Profiling of Cancer Patients Enables Personalized Combination Therapy: The I-PREDICT Study. *Nat Med* (2019) 25:744–50. doi: 10.1038/ s41591-019-0407-5
- Razavi P, Li BT, Brown DN, Jung B, Hubbell E, Shen R, et al. High-Intensity Sequencing Reveals the Sources of Plasma Circulating Cell-Free DNA Variants. *Nat Med* (2019) 25:1928–37. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0652-7
- Swanton C. Take Lessons From Cancer Evolution to The Clinic. Nature (2020) 581:382–3. doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-01347-z
- Waldman AD, Fritz JM, Lenardo MJ. A Guide to Cancer Immunotherapy: From T Cell Basic Science to Clinical Practice. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2020) 20:651–68. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-0306-5
- Havel JJ, Chowell D, Chan TA.). The Evolving Landscape Of Biomarkers for Checkpoint Inhibitor Immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2019) 19:133–50. doi: 10.1038/s41568-019-0116-x
- Stuart T, Satija R. Integrative Single-Cell Analysis. Nat Rev Genet (2019) 20:257-72. doi: 10.1038/s41576-019-0093-7
- Schumann K, Raju SS, Lauber M, Kolb S, Shifrut E, Cortez JT, et al. Functional CRISPR Dissection of Gene Networks Controlling Human Regulatory T Cell Identity. *Nat Immunol* (2020) 21:1456–66. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-0784-4
- Wu TD, Madireddi S, De Almeida PE, Banchereau R, Chen YJ, Chitre AS, et al. Peripheral T Cell Expansion Predicts Tumour Infiltration and Clinical Response. *Nature* (2020) 579:274–8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2056-8

Conflict of Interest: AE, AA, KT, IH, ME, MI, GK, and PP are all employed by NIPD Genetics.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Kyrochristos, Glantzounis, Goussia, Eliades, Achilleos, Tsangaras, Hadjidemetriou, Elpidorou, Ioannides, Koumbaris, Mitsis, Patsalis and Roukos. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.