Ther Adv Chronic Dis

2020, Vol. 11: 1-9 DOI: 10.1177/ 2040622320944793

© The Author(s), 2020. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Yanbin Pi, Yuelin Hu, Qinwei Guo, Dong Jiang, Xin Xie, Feng Zhao, Linxin Chen, Yingfang Ao and Chen Jiao

impingement: a retrospective study

Calcaneoplasty coupled with an insertional

Achilles tendon reattachment procedure

for the prevention of secondary calcaneal

Abstract

Background: Posterior heel pain may occur after an Achilles insertional rupture reattachment procedure and could be attributed to an impingement between the calcaneal tuberosity and Achilles tendon, which could be observed using postoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Moreover, such impingement, which may be associated with postoperative pain symptoms, could be relieved by calcaneoplasty.

Methods: Postoperative Visual Analog Pain Scale (VAS), American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) score, Foot Function Index (FFI), Ankle Activity Score (AAS), and Tegner score were obtained and compared between 10 patients who underwent calcaneoplasty (calcaneoplasty group) and 11 patients who did not receive calcaneoplasty (non-calcaneoplasty group). Several signs of calcaneal tuberosity impingement identified in MRI were also compared between the two groups, which included retrocalcaneal bursitis, postoperative tendinopathy, tendon calcification, bone marrow edema, increased Achilles tendon diameter, and bony spurs.

Results: The VAS score was 2.00 ± 1.41 and 2.18 ± 1.83 (p = 0.803), the AOFAS score was 90.60 ± 4.22 and 81.82 ± 7.77 (p = 0.005), the FFI was 5.00 ± 2.86 and 17.18 ± 15.92 (p = 0.028), the AAS was 5.50 ± 2.55 and 5.82 ± 2.04 (p = 0.750), and the Tegner score was 4.30 ± 1.49 and 4.45 ± 1.21 (p = 0.797) in the calcaneoplasty and non-calcaneoplasty groups, respectively. The AOFAS score and FFI were significantly different between the groups. MRI findings revealed that the non-calcaneoplasty group had significant signs of calcaneal impingement compared with the calcaneoplasty group.

Conclusions: Secondary calcaneal impingement due to insertional tendon enlargement may occur, and prophylactic calcaneoplasty coupled with an insertional reattachment procedure could achieve promising postoperative outcomes for patients with insertional Achilles tendon rupture.

Keywords: Achilles insertional tendinopathy, Achilles tendon rupture, calcaneoplasty, Haglund's deformity, posterior heel pain

Received: 31 March 2020; revised manuscript accepted: 29 June 2020.

Introduction

Insertional Achilles tendinopathy is a disorder with symptoms of pain and swelling in the Achilles tendon insertion. Its pathological characteristics include degeneration, disorganization of the tendon's fibers, small hemorrhages, calcification, and the occurrence of a bony spur on the Achilles tendon insertion.^{1–3} For its etiology, overuse in sports activity and poor training habits could exert gradual repetitive traction forces that could result in microtrauma and a chronic inflammatory response Institute of Sports Medicine, Beijing Key Laboratory of Sports Injury, Peking University Third Hospital, 49 North Garden Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100191, PR China

yingfangao@163.com Chen Jiao

Institute of Sports Medicine, Beijing Key Laboratory of Sports Injury, Peking University Third Hospital, 49 North Garden Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100191, PR China

jiaoshuj@mail.tsinghua. edu.cn

Yanbin Pi Yuelin Hu Qinwei Guo Dong Jiang Xin Xie Feng Zhao Linxin Chen Institute of Sports Medicine, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, PR China

journals.sagepub.com/home/taj



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Correspondence to: Yingfang Ao

on the Achilles tendon insertion. Moreover, the risk factors include corticosteroid use, diabetes, obesity, and old age. Enlarged calcaneal tuberosity, or Haglund's deformity, is also associated with insertional Achilles tendinopathy.^{4,5}

Haglund's deformity is an abnormality of the posterosuperior calcaneal process in which a bony prominence is found at the attachment of the Achilles tendon.^{4,6} Evidence provided by Schepsis and Leach⁶ revealed that this bony prominence causes impingement on the retrocalcaneal bursa and the insertion of the Achilles tendon, and induces inflammation, degeneration, and even partial or complete rupture of the Achilles tendon insertion.⁷ Several radiological measurement methods were developed to describe the calcaneal prominence and its relationship to the Achilles tendon and bursae: Haglund's deformity height and peak angle, Bohler's angle, Fowler-Philip angle, and parallel pitch sign.8 Other researchers presented reliable objective criteria for the diagnosis of Haglund's deformity, including parallel pitch lines, Chauveaux-Liet angle, ill-defined retrocalcaneal recess, superficial tendo-Achilles bursa, and anteroposterior Achilles tendon diameter of >9mm (approximately 2cm above the insertion).9 However, the morphological measurements of the calcaneal tuberosity in insertional Achilles tendinopathy remain controversial. Bulstra et al.¹⁰ found that the radiographic characteristics of the superior-posterior calcaneal tuberosity are not associated with any clinically relevant symptoms; moreover, no significant differences in the radiographic measurements were noted between the symptomatic Haglund's deformity group and the control group.

A recent literature also questioned the utility of calcaneal tuberosity measurements and suggested that Haglund's deformity is not associated with insertional Achilles tendinopathy.11 Those studies have found no differences in the Fowler-Phillip angle or the Bohler's angle between persons with, and those without, insertional Achilles tendinopathy. Although statistically the Chauveaux-Liet angle differed between persons with insertional Achilles tendinopathy and those without heel pain in one case-control study, the clinical relevance of the difference was unclear because of the high variability in the measurement.8,11,12 Kang et al.8 suggested that Haglund's deformity is not indicative of insertional Achilles tendinopathy, because it could also be found in asymptomatic patients. Moreover, difference in radiological measurements

of Haglund's deformities between patients with, and those without, insertional tendinopathy showed little significance; thus, they suggested that excision of Haglund's deformity may not be necessary in the operative treatment of insertional Achilles tendinopathy. However, relying solely on the radiographic evaluations of the lateral ankle joint may not be recommended because of inconsistent findings and thus data may be insufficient for the decision to perform calcaneoplasty for insertional Achilles tendinopathy.^{8,11,12}

Resection of the superior–posterior prominence of the calcaneal tuberosity using open or miniinvasive procedures has been a routine practice for insertional Achilles tendinopathy, which is always combined with debridement of the Achilles insertion, excision of calcified tissue, and reattachment procedures, depending on the severity of the disorder.^{3,12–14} In a previous study, 16 of 21 patients were found to have excellent or good outcomes after tendon debridement without excision of Haglund's deformity.^{15,16}

We therefore ask the following questions: is it necessary to measure Haglund's deformity before an insertional tendinopathy operation, and is it valuable to perform calcaneoplasty during insertional Achilles tendon reattachment procedure? We hypothesized that a pre-existing calcaneal tuberosity prominence and an enlarged Achilles tendon diameter postoperatively may play an important role in the development of a secondary impingement of the posterosuperior calcaneal tuberosity and may contribute to postoperative pain symptoms.¹⁷ Thus, in this study, we aimed to compare the postoperative clinical outcomes and retrocalcaneal impingement signs based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) between patients with insertional Achilles tendon ruptures who underwent reattachment procedures with calcaneoplasty and those without calcaneoplasty.

Methods

We retrospectively reviewed 21 acute insertional Achilles tendon ruptures (19 males, two females) between January 2015 and April 2018, with a follow up of a minimum of 20 months. Several subjective outcome scales were documented, and MRI scans were performed in all patients in the outpatient department. All patients had no previous history of fracture, infection, surgery, or congenital ankle deformity. The diagnosis of insertional

Achilles tendon rupture was confirmed radiologically and with MRI.18 The diagnosis was further confirmed by intraoperative findings. Standard lateral radiographs of the ankle were obtained, which showed the fragment of the avulsed fracture and the bony spur on the insertion of the calcaneal tuberosity. Several radiological parameters that determine the features of Haglund's deformity were also measured,^{8,13,19} including the pitch line, Haglund's height, Chauveaux-Liet angle, and Fowler-Philip angle. A total of 11 patients with insertional Achilles tendon rupture underwent reattachment procedures without calcaneoplasty (non-calcaneoplasty group) and were followed up from 2015 to 2017, while 10 patients had reattachment procedures with calcaneoplasty (calcaneoplasty group) and were followed up from 2017 to 2018 (Figure 1). All study protocols were approved by the local ethics committee (Peking University Third Hospital Medical Science Research Ethics Committee, M2018040).

Surgical technique and postoperative rehabilitation

The surgical procedure was performed as described previously.¹⁸ A longitudinal lazy-L incision was made in the medial border of the Achilles tendon. The stump of the Achilles tendon was fixed to the insertional site of the calcaneal tuberosity by suture anchors or the bone tunnel technique. In the calcaneoplasty group, the bony prominence at the posterosuperior aspect of the calcaneum was osteotomized prior to Achilles tendon reattachment. Postoperatively, the ankle was immobilized daily for 4 weeks by a short-leg cast at 10° plantarflexion, which was followed by removable ankle brace immobilization with passive range of motion from 20° plantarflexion to 0° dorsiflexion once a day. At 6 weeks after the operation, the patients gradually started weight bearing, which included walking in a walk boot with a heel lift for 4 weeks.

Patient demographics and outcome assessments

Several characteristics of the patients who were followed up, including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), follow-up time, and history of Achilles tendinopathy, were recorded.

Moreover, subjective outcome scores were recorded during follow up, including Visual Analog Pain Scale (VAS-pain), American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) score, Foot Function Index (FFI), Tegner score, and Ankle Activity Score (AAS). All scores were compared between the calcaneoplasty and non-calcaneoplasty groups. All complications, including deep vein thrombosis, sural neuritis, superficial wound problems, re-rupture, foreign-body reaction to the suture anchor, requiring reoperation, and deep infection requiring reoperation, were documented.

MRI measurements for postoperative impingement of Achilles tendon insertion

After the operation, MRI of the Achilles tendons was performed and the scans were analyzed by Centricity RIS-IC software (Centricity RIS-IC, Radiology Information Systems, GE Healthcare, Boston, USA). The following signs of impingement between the calcaneal tuberosity and Achilles tendon were identified in the axial and sagittal views of the T2-weighted images (Figure 2):^{11,20,21} (a) retrocalcaneal exudation or bursitis; (b) degeneration or inflammation of the Achilles tendon opposite to the posterosuperior calcaneal tuberosity;22 heterogeneous intratendinous hyperintensity of tendons was assessed using MRI and classified into five categories according to the Pomranz system²³ [i.e. grade 0, homogeneous hypointensity $(normal) \pm peritendinous fluid;$ grade IA, hypointense signal on T1 that disappears on T2; grade IB, intratendinous isointensity on T1 (<50% tendon) and intratendinous iso- or hyperintensity on T2; grade II, intratendinous isointensity on T1 (>50% tendon), intratendinous hyperintensity on T2, attenuated size; grade III, tendon transection with retraction and peritendinous, hypointensity on T1, and hyperintenbone-marrow sitv on T2]; (c) edema, osteophytosis, or erosion of the posterosuperior calcaneal tuberosity; (d) enlarged anterior-posterior diameter of the Achilles tendon 2 cm above the insertion, which was measured in the horizontal view (Figure 3);^{15,21} and (e) bony spur on the calcaneus insertion.1

Statistical analysis

SPSS 19 software (IBM, New York, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Continuous variables were compared and analyzed using Wilcoxon ranksum test. Fisher's exact chi-square test analysis was used for the comparison of categorical variables. A significant difference was defined as p < 0.05.



Figure 1. Reattachment procedures for insertional Achilles tendon ruptures, without and with calcaneoplasty. Reattachment procedures for insertional Achilles tendon ruptures, without calcaneoplasty (a, b). Yellow solid arrow in (a), impingement of the posterosuperior calcaneal tuberosity on MRI in the sagittal view; reattachment procedures with calcaneoplasty (c, d). MRI in the sagittal view shows no sign of impingment after reattachment procedure(c), Yellow dashed line in (d), osteotomy of the calcaneal tuberosity. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

Results

Demographic characteristics of patients with insertional Achilles tendon rupture

Baseline characteristics, including age, BMI, local corticosteroid administration, history of tendinopathy, and follow-up time, were compared between the calcaneoplasty and noncalcaneoplasty groups (Table 1), and no significant differences were noted between the groups.

Comparison of Haglund's deformity between patients with and those without calcaneoplasty

Haglund's deformity in the calcaneoplasty and noncalcaneoplasty groups was analyzed. The degree of Haglund's deformity was compared between the groups. Haglund's deformity height was significantly different between the groups (Table 2).

Comparison of postoperative outcomes and MRI characteristics between patients with and those without calcaneoplasty

Postoperative outcomes were recorded and compared between the calcaneoplasty and non-calcaneoplasty groups. VAS score was 2.00 ± 1.41 and 2.18 ± 1.83 (p=0.803); the AOFAS score was 90.60 ± 4.22 and 81.82 ± 7.77 (p=0.0005); the FFI was 5.00 ± 2.86 and 17.18 ± 15.92 (p=0.028); the AAS was 5.50 ± 2.55 and 5.82 ± 2.04 (p=0.755); and the Tegner score was 4.30 ± 1.49





Reattachment procedures for insertional Achilles tendon ruptures without calcaneoplasty (a, b) and with calcaneoplasty (c, d). (a) Secondary impingement of the posterosuperior calcaneal tuberosity on MRI in the sagittal view (yellow solid bold arrow, bone marrow edema in the calcaneal tuberosity; yellow solid arrow, retrocalcaneal exudation; yellow solid triangle, insertional tendinopathy); (b) enlarged diameter of the Achilles tendon (double-head arrow); (c) osteotomy of the calcaneal tuberosity (yellow dashed line); (d) enlarged diameter of the Achilles tendon (double-head arrow).

and 4.45 ± 1.21 (p=0.797) in the calcaneoplasty and non-calcaneoplasty groups, respectively. The AOFAS score and FFI were significantly different between the groups (p<0.05). No complications were reported in both groups.

Several signs indicating Haglund's deformity were measured postoperatively. Most of the signs were more commonly observed in the noncalcaneoplasty group than in the calcaneoplasty group. Except for Achilles tendon calcification, which was not observed in both groups, significant differences in the signs indicating Haglund's deformity on MRI were found between the non-calcaneoplasty and calcaneoplasty groups (Figures 4 and 5, Table 3).

Discussion

In our study, acute insertional Achilles tendon ruptures were managed surgically by insertional reattachment procedure. In the follow up, regardless of preoperative history of insertional Achilles tendinopathy, some patients experienced postoperative retrocalcaneal pain and exhibited posterosuperior calcaneal tuberosity



Figure 3. Achilles tendon diameters after the non-insertion rupture operation and insertional rupture operation. Achilles tendon diameters after the non-insertion rupture operation (a, c) and insertional rupture operation (b, d). (a, b) The Achilles tendon diameters were measured 2 cm above the insertional site (yellow double-head arrow). The Achilles tendon diameters (yellow double-head arrow) of the non-insertional rupture (c) and insertional rupture (d) groups.

impingement on the Achilles tendon insertion on MRI. Although the value of Haglund's deformities in these patients was much different compared to that in patients with acute noninsertional Achilles tendon rupture (Supplemental 1, Table 4), the former failed to meet the diagnostic criteria of Haglund's deformities. Moreover, the diameters of the Achilles tendons in the patients with insertional Achilles tendon ruptures were found to be enlarged (Supplemental 1).

To determine whether resecting the enlarged prominence of the calcaneal tuberosity for the insertional tendinopathy reattachment procedure is still necessary, we evaluated 21 patients who had a reattachment procedure for acute insertional Achilles tendon rupture, which was considered the end-stage of insertional tendinopathy.¹⁸ We found that the pitch line, Haglund height, Chauveaux–Liet angle, and Fowler– Philip angle were significantly larger in acute insertional Achilles tendon rupture than in noninsertional Achilles tendon rupture. However, the abnormally enlarged calcaneal tuberosity in insertional Achilles rupture did not meet the diagnostic criteria for Haglund's deformity and had not correlated with preoperative symptoms. Nonetheless, we suspect that such enlargement may potentially be associated with postoperative clinical outcomes. **Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of the calcaneoplasty and non-calcaneoplasty groups.

Baseline index	Calcaneoplasty group (<i>n</i> = 10)	Non-calcaneoplasty group (<i>n</i> = 11)	p value
Age (Year)	45.50 ± 8.30	42.09 ± 9.54	p>0.05
Follow-up time (weeks)	37.60 ± 11.20	37.82 ± 12.89	p>0.05
History of tendinitis (percentage)	50%	72.73%	p>0.05
BMI (kg/m²)	26.16 ± 2.00	27.59 ± 2.76	p>0.05
Preoperative tendinitis (percentage)	50%	73%	p>0.05
<i>p</i> < 0.05 indicates a significant difference. BMI, body mass index.			

 Table 2. Haglund's deformity measurements between the calcaneoplasty and non-calcaneoplasty groups.

Haglund deformity	Calcaneoplasty group (<i>n</i> = 10)	Non-calcaneoplasty group (n=11)	<i>p</i> value	
Pitch line (normal: negative)	60%	27%	p>0.05	
Haglund height (<9 mm)	8.15 ± 1.44 (10.1–6.66) mm	4.89 ± 2.80 (0–6.47) mm	p<0.05	
Chauveaux–Liet angle (<12 °)	11.35±8.78° (0.3–23.4)	$9.16 \pm 7.46^{\circ}$ (-0.6 to 22.3)	p>0.05	
Fowler–Philip angle (<75 °)	74.00 ± 8.92° (56.00-86.7)	72.89 ± 12.48° (48.5–88.70)	p>0.05	
p < 0.05 indicates a significant difference.				

, Bold numerals, normal values,

Table 3. Comparison of MRI signs between the non-calcaneoplasty and calcaneoplasty groups.

MRI signs of Haglund deformity	Calcaneoplasty group (<i>n</i> = 10)	Non-calcaneoplasty group (<i>n</i> = 11)	p value
Retrocalcaneal bursitis (percentage)	10%	82%	p<0.005
Tendinitis (Pomranz classification)	0 (0), IA (5), IB (5), II (0)	0 (1), IA (3), IB (2), II (5)	p<0.005
Calcification (percentage)	0	0	N/A
Bone marrow edema (percentage)	20%	64%	p<0.05
Diameter of tendon (mm)	12.94 ± 1.46	14.88 ± 2.04	p<0.05
Bony spur (percentage)	10%	73%	p<0.05
p < 0.05 indicates a significant difference. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.			

To prove our hypothesis, patients with insertional rupture were divided into two groups: calcaneoplasty and non-calcaneoplasty groups. The groups had similar baseline characteristics. Postoperative outcomes were measured and compared, and we found that the AOFAS score and FFI were significantly different between the groups. The signs of retrocalcaneal impingement on MRI were also significantly different between the groups. Moreover, based on MRI, an **Table 4.** Haglund deformity measurements between insertional and non-insertional rupture of Achillestendons.

	Insertional rupture	Achilles tendon rupture	<i>p</i> value
Number	28	37	
Pitch line (normal: negative)	50% (14)	47.37% (18)	<i>p</i> =0.680
Haglund's height (<9mm)	$7.10\pm1.97\text{mm}$	$3.92\pm1.01\text{mm}$	<i>p</i> =0.000
Fowler–Philip angle (< 75 °)	$75.80\pm4.49^{\circ}$	61.09 ± 4.27°	<i>p</i> =0.010
Chauveaux–Liet angle (<12 °)	8.51±6.41°	3.35±5.21°	<i>p</i> = 0.000

p < 0.05 indicates a significant difference.

Bold nunerals, normal values.



Figure 4. Secondary impingement signs identified by MRI between the non-calcaneoplasty and calcaneoplasty groups. p < 0.05 indicates a significant difference.

increased Achilles tendon diameter may apply pressure against the pre-existing enlarged prominence of the calcaneal tuberosity. Thus, patients without calcaneoplasty may be diagnosed as having Achilles impingement tendinopathy, which in

turn could result in postoperative pain.

Limitations

This study has some limitations, which include its retrospective study design, a small sample size and lack of sample size calculation, and a short follow-up time.

Conclusion

Therefore, regardless of the radiological findings of Haglund's deformity, prophylactic calcaneoplasty





Figure 5. Achilles tendon diameter 2 cm above the insertion was compared between the non-calcaneoplasty and calcaneoplasty groups. p < 0.05 indicates a significant difference.

coupled with an insertional reattachment procedure could achieve promising postoperative outcomes in patients with insertional Achilles tendinopathy.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: This study is funded by Peking University Medicine Seed Fund for Interdisciplinary Research and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities No.BMU2020MX020; Key Clinical Projects of Peking University Third Hospital No. Y72484-02.

ORCID iD

Chen Jiao D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8611 -871X

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Johansson KJ, Sarimo JJ, Lempainen LL, et al. Calcific spurs at the insertion of the Achilles tendon: a clinical and histological study. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J* 2013; 2: 273–277.
- 2. Lee SM, Huh SW, Chung JW, *et al.* Avulsion fracture of the calcaneal tuberosity: classification and its characteristics. *Clin Orthop Surg* 2012; 4: 134–138.
- Sayana MK and Maffulli N. Insertional Achilles tendinopathy. *Foot Ankle Clin* 2005; 10: 309–320.
- Uquillas CA, Guss MS, Ryan DJ, et al. Everything Achilles: knowledge update and current concepts in management: AAOS exhibit selection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2015; 97: 1187–1195.
- Vaishya R, Agarwal A, Azizi AT, et al. Haglund's syndrome: a commonly seen mysterious condition. *Cureus* 2016; 8: e820.
- Schepsis AA and Leach RE. Surgical management of Achilles tendinitis. Am J Sports Med 1987; 15: 308–315.
- Bullock MJ, Mourelatos J and Mar A. Achilles impingement tendinopathy on magnetic resonance imaging. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2017; 56: 555–563.
- Kang S, Thordarson DB and Charlton TP. Insertional Achilles tendinitis and Haglund's deformity. *Foot Ankle Int* 2012; 33: 487–491.
- Sella EJ, Caminear DS and Mclarney EA. Haglund's syndrome. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 1998; 37: 110–114.
- Bulstra GH, Van Rheenen TA and Scholtes VA. Can we measure the heel bump? Radiographic evaluation of Haglund's deformity. *J Foot Ankle* Surg 2015; 54: 338–340.

- Sundararajan PP and Wilde TS. Radiographic, clinical, and magnetic resonance imaging analysis of insertional Achilles tendinopathy. *J Foot Ankle* Surg 2014; 53: 147–151.
- 12. Chimenti RL, Cychosz CC, Hall MM, *et al.* Current concepts review update: insertional Achilles tendinopathy. *Foot Ankle Int* 2017; 38: 1160–1169.
- Awogni D, Chauvette G, Lemieux ML, et al. Button fixation technique for Achilles tendon reinsertion: a biomechanical study. *J Foot Ankle* Surg 2014; 53: 141–146.
- Oshri Y, Palmanovich E, Brin YS, et al. Chronic insertional Achilles tendinopathy: surgical outcomes. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J* 2012; 2: 91–95.
- Maffulli N, Testa V, Capasso G, et al. Calcific insertional Achilles tendinopathy reattachment with bone anchors. Am J Sports Med 2004; 32: 174–182.
- Shakked RJ and Raikin SM. Insertional tendinopathy of the Achilles: debridement, primary repair, and when to augment. *Foot Ankle Clin* 2017; 22: 761–780.
- 17. Lui TH. Retrocalcaneal pain after the repair of acute Achilles tendon rupture. *Foot Ankle Spec* 2013; 6: 323–327.
- Pi Y, Hu Y, Jiao C, *et al.* Optimal outcomes for acute avulsion fracture of the Achilles tendon treated with the insertional reattachment technique: a case series of 31 cases with over 2 years of follow-up. *Am J Sports Med* 2019; 47: 2993–3001.
- Aun C, Lam YM and Collett B. Evaluation of the use of visual analogue scale in Chinese patients. *Pain* 1986; 25: 215–221.
- 20. Pierrejerome C, Moncayo V and Terk MR. MRI of the Achilles tendon: a comprehensive review of the anatomy, biomechanics, and imaging of overuse tendinopathies. *Acta Radiol* 2010; 51: 438–454.
- Singh R, Rohilla R, Siwach RC, et al. Diagnostic significance of radiologic measurements in posterior heel pain. Foot 2008; 18: 91–98.
- Lin HA, Chong HA and Yeo W. Calcaneoplasty and reattachment of the Achilles tendon for insertional tendinopathy. *J Orthop Surg* 2014; 22: 56–59.
- Movin T, Kristoffersenwiberg M, Rolf C, *et al.* MR imaging in chronic Achilles tendon disorder. *Acta Radiol* 1998; 39: 26–132.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/taj

SAGE journals