SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN A mirrorless spinwave resonator

Olivier Pinel*, Jesse L. Everett*, Mahdi Hosseini, Geoff T. Campbell, Ben C. Buchler & Ping Koy Lam

Optical resonance is central to a wide range of optical devices and techniques. In an optical cavity, the round-trip length and mirror reflectivity can be chosen to optimize the circulating optical power, linewidth, and free-spectral range (FSR) for a given application. In this paper we show how an atomic spinwave system, with no physical mirrors, can behave in a manner that is analogous to an optical cavity. We demonstrate this similarity by characterising the build-up and decay of the resonance in the time domain, and measuring the effective optical linewidth and FSR in the frequency domain. Our spinwave is generated in a 20 cm long Rb gas cell, yet it facilitates an effective FSR of 83 kHz, which would require a round-trip path of 3.6 km in a free-space optical cavity. Furthermore, the spinwave coupling is controllable enabling dynamic tuning of the effective cavity parameters.

Optical resonators are an essential technology for photonic systems. For example, they are used for stabilizing optical frequencies¹⁻⁵, filtering optical fields⁶⁻⁸, enabling non-linear optics⁹ and precision measurement 1^{10-12} . There has always been significant interest in developing novel and, in particular, compact optical resonators¹³ due to the innumerable applications they enable. In the last decade, for example, resonator design has been extended to include mapping optical field into metallic plasmon resonances¹⁴⁻¹⁷. The wide range of physical shapes and materials used in the construction of the resonators leads to an equally wide range of optical characteristics, although narrow resonant linewidth remains a common goal regardless of the design. Here, we present a new type of resonator in which optical coherence is stored in an ensemble of atoms. The combined mode of the light and atomic coherence that carries the information during storage is best described as a spinwave-polariton¹⁸⁻²⁰. The behavior of the stored spinwave is highly tunable, allowing real-time control of parameters such as linewidth and free-spectral range (FSR).

The key component of a standard optical resonator is a partially reflecting mirror, or beamsplitter, that couples light into and out of the cavity. In a resonant optical cavity, light incident on the input mirror interferes with the light circulating in the cavity to constructively enhance the cavity mode. Previous modeling²¹ and experimental work^{22,23} has shown how coherent atomic memories can be understood as an atomic beamsplitter that acts to transfer excitation between optical and atomic modes. To build the analogue of a cavity using an atomic beamsplitter, we periodically store pulses of light that are timed to arrive at the memory just as the stored spinwave is being recalled back into an optical field. This allows the memory storage to act as the mirror to a cavity where the round-trip time in the cavity is now the time between the pulses. This is the same idea used in resonant cavity ring-down spectroscopy^{24,25}. This concept is illustrated in Fig. 1C,D for the case where a pulse arrives at the memory on alternate memory recall events. As we will illustrate, in our experiment the equivalent cavity has a round trip length of 3.6 km.

Theory: using an atom-light beamsplitter to build a cavity

As described in refs. (21-23), the storage and recall of light in an atomic spinwave can be described as beamsplitter operation. For an optical depth, β , the fraction of light transmitted through the memory is given by $T = e^{-2\pi\beta}$ and the fraction stored in the memory, analogous to the fraction reflected from a physical beamsplitter, is given by R = 1 - T. Suppose we start with a single mode of light with amplitude A_0 . When injected into the memory this will be split into a transmitted mode, with amplitude

Centre for Quantum Computation and Communication Technology, Department of Quantum Science, Research School of Physics and Engineering, The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.C.B. (email: Ben.Buchler@anu.edu.au) or P.K.L. (email: Ping.Lam@anu.edu.au)

Received: 01 July 2015 Accepted: 03 November 2015 Published: 10 December 2015



Figure 1. (A) Experimental setup showing the laser system. The gradient coils are used to apply opposite sign magnetic field gradients while the DC coils tunes the center frequency of the atomic absorption. (B) The effective three-level atom addressed by the laser system. The detuning Δ is set to around 2 GHz. Comparison of the (C) spinwave resonator to a (D) ring cavity. The dimensional equivalence of our 20 cm resonator is a ring cavity with a single coupling mirror and a round trip optical path of up to 3.6 km. Our experimental scheme is analogous to sending one pulse into such a ring cavity for every two round trips the built-up pulse makes inside the cavity. The red pulses (I_{in}) pump fresh light into the memory (cavity) and if the phase is correct will constructively interfere into the memory (cavity). If the impedance matching is imperfect, some of this light leaks out (I_{transmitted}). The output pulses (I_{out}, blue) are withdrawn every second cycle, in the absence of an input pulse. They probe of how much light is stored in the memory (R_{COUPLING}) may be dynamically controlled.

 $A_1 = \sqrt{T}A_0$, and a spinwave mode, with amplitude $S_1 = \sqrt{R}A_0$, where S is the appropriately normalised collective atomic excitation. By symmetry, if the storage efficiency is given by R, then the recall efficiency is also equal to R, assuming constant optical depth. Similarly, on recall the fraction of spinwave that remains in the atomic medium is given by T.

Supposing now that we recall the spinwave at time τ , while at the same time injecting a second optical mode with amplitude A_0 . The spinwave, S_2 , after this operation will be constructed by interfering the spinwave arising from the injected optical mode and the fraction of the original spinwave that remains after recall. Using the relations above, we may write $S_2 = \sqrt{T} S_1 e^{-\gamma \tau} e^{i\phi} + \sqrt{R} A_0$ where we have included decay of the spinwave mode at a rate γ and a relative phase ϕ . In a mirror based cavity the phase ϕ depends on the round-trip time of the cavity. In our experiment this phase depends on the storage time of our memory, which may be chosen arbitrarily. We may continue to recursively define the amplitude of the spinwave mode, S_n , for n pulses to arrive at an infinite sum as $n \to \infty$. This gives the steady-state value of spinwave amplitude to be:

$$S_{\infty} = \frac{\sqrt{R}A_0}{1 - \sqrt{T}e^{-\gamma\tau}e^{i\phi}} \tag{1}$$

This is a standard result for the steady-state amplitude of the field inside an optical resonator²⁶. The interference behaviour that leads to this result is the same regardless of whether the input light is continuous or pulsed^{24,25}, provided the pulse bandwidth is less than the resonator bandwidth. The square of Eq. (1) gives the familiar Airy-function cavity transmission spectrum as a function of the round-trip phase, ϕ . In this derivation we have not included any details of the memory bandwidth, which as we will show, spectrally limits the range of the resonant peaks.

After cycling the experiment for *n* input pulses, the optical mode recalled from the memory will be given by the interference of the recalled spinwave and the transmitted fraction of the input optical mode to give $A_t = \sqrt{T}A_0 - \sqrt{R}S_n$, noting that the negative sign is required for conservation of energy. The spinwave resonator will be impedance matched if this amplitude is equal to zero. To make a measurement of the spinwave amplitude we can simply recall the memory without injecting a new optical mode, so that recalled optical mode amplitude, A_t , will be proportional to the amplitude of the spinwave, S_n .

Experimental Setup and Method

Our experiment uses a three-level Gradient Echo Memory (GEM) scheme to control the interaction between light and a vapor of Rubidium atoms contained in a warm gas cell. This memory protocol has been investigated extensively in previous work²⁷⁻³³ and has been shown to act as a noise-free, high-efficiency quantum memory. In brief, this system works using a two-photon Raman interaction (see Fig. 1B) involving two hyperfine ground-states (|1>, |2>) and an excited state |3>. Under the influence of a bright control field, detuned by 2 GHz from the $|2> \rightarrow |3>$ transition, a weak probe pulse, detuned similarly from the $|1> \rightarrow |3>$ transition, is absorbed by the atoms. The light pulse is then stored as a coherence of the hyperfine ground states. The bandwidth of the memory is tuned by applying a longitudinal frequency gradient to the atomic ensemble. This is achieved using gradient coils (see Fig. 1A) that induce a linearly varying Zeeman shift along the length of the atomic cell so that the frequency spectrum of the pulse is mapped onto the spatial axis of atomic coherence. Memory recall is achieved by reversing the sign of the gradient, which causes rephasing of the atomic coherences and the generation of a photon echo in the forward direction. The strength of the control field may be used to tune the optical depth experienced by the probe passing through the atomic sample. This tunability is crucial in our experiment as it allows us to program the fraction of light that is coupled into the memory.

The mirror-based analogue to our spinwave system is a ring-cavity as shown in Fig. 1D. By arranging the coupling mirror to reflect into the ring cavity we have correspondence with the notation in Eq. (1), i.e. coupling into the spinwave is equivalent to reflection off the coupling mirror. In Fig. 1D the increasing the transmission of the coupling beamsplitter will increase the finesse of the resonator. Similiarly, increasing the transmission of our memory will increase the finesse of our analogue spinwave resonator. This is a counterintuitive result in the sense that quantum memory experiments generally struggle to increase optical depth and absorption into the memory. Here we must deliberately reduce the optical depth to improve performance.

Results

To show constructive interference into the spinwave, we periodically switch the magnetic field gradient while injecting new pulses into the memory at times when the spinwave is rephased. As shown in Fig. 2A,B, pulses are sent into the memory on every second recall period. In between the injection of fresh pulses, the echoes retrieved show accumulation of energy until equilibrium is reached, where losses balance the incident energy.

The 'ring-down' of a cavity describes what is observed when a resonator is filled with light that is then allowed to leak out. Cavity ring-down measurement has applications to spectroscopy and is an established technique for sensitive absorption measurements^{10,24,25}. In the absence of the input light, we observe ring-down behaviour in our system, as shown in Fig. 2C. This is analogous to a pulse travelling around a ring cavity with a fraction of the light exiting the cavity at each reflection from the coupling mirror. In our system the spinwave also decays due to decoherence effects, such as control-field induced scattering, which is proportional to the control field power³⁰, and atomic diffusion³⁴. Similar ring-down dynamics have been observed previously in a Raman atomic memory²³.

Figure 2D shows light accumulation for several control field powers and in Fig. 2E we see the equilibria reached for various control field powers. The best accumulation occurs at low control field power where the coupling in and out of the memory is weaker and the scattering due to the control field is minimised. As described earlier, this weak coupling condition is analogous to having a ring cavity of the type illustrated in Fig. 1D, with a lower reflectivity coupling mirror giving higher finesse.

The phase of a spinwave depends on the relative phase between the probe and control field at the time of storage. The accumulation of the stored spinwave depends on successive incident pulses contributing to spinwaves of identical phase to give constructive interference. In Fig. 3A, we measure the magnitude of the equilibrium spinwave as we vary the relative phase of successive incident pulses by changing the frequency of the control field. The resonance peaks in Fig. 3A are analogous to those that can be observed in the transmission spectrum of an optical cavity²⁶.

The Free Spectral Range (FSR) of a cavity is determined by the round-trip time for light circulating in the resonator. In our system the effective FSR is determined by the time interval between interference events that couple incident pulses to the spinwave. In Fig. 1, for example, this interval is 12μ s, corresponding to a repetition frequency of 83kHz. This is what determines the spacing between the peaks of Fig. 3A. The condition for resonance is that the phase between successive input spinwaves



Figure 2. Spinwave resonator time domain data. (A) The timing scheme of the input pulses (top) and gradient switching (bottom). A pulse is incident once every full cycle of the gradient, which corresponds to every second recall period. (B) In our experimental data, most of the energy in each input pulse (red) is transmitted through the memory, which saturates the detector. Every second recall period, when no input pulse is incident, we observe successive increases in the recalled light (blue) indicating a growing spinwave in the memory cell. After about 8 recall pulses, equilibrium is established and the recalled pulses remain at a constant energy. (C) With the input pulses switched off, we observe the ring-down of the spinwave-resonator. (D) The echo power, scaled to the initial echo, demonstrating the accumulation of the spinwave for control field irradiance in descending order of final echo power, 0.7 mW.cm⁻², 8.4 mW.cm⁻², 13.1 mW.cm⁻², 16.8 mW.cm⁻², and 25.2 mW.cm⁻². The lines are a guide only. (E) Equilibrium echo intensity relative to initial echo as a function of control field intensity.

evolves through an integral number of cycles in the time between coupling events. By varying the gradient switching period of our experiment, while sending input pulses at a matching period, we find the expected relationship between equivalent Free Spectral Range (FSR) and the interval between gradient switches, as shown in Fig. 3B.

In Fig. 3A, the peaked Airy function (the square of Eq. (1)) is spectrally limited, due to the finite bandwidth of the atomic medium that is determined by the magnetic field gradient. This absorption bandwidth is shown in Fig. 3C. A probe pulse is not entirely stored when some of its frequency components lie outside the absorption bandwidth. We use an incident pulse with a bandwidth approximately equal to the bandwidth of the memory. Consequently, only a small region in the center of Fig. 3A is in a regime where all the frequency components of the pulse will be equally absorbed into the memory.

The photon lifetime in an optical resonator determines the width of the Airy peaks²⁶. Assuming one uses high-quality mirrors to minimize round-trip loss, the only option for decreasing the linewidth is to increase the photon lifetime by building a long cavity, like the 143 m resonator used as mode-cleaner in the VIRGO experiment⁶. The lifetime of photons in a spinwave resonator can be tuned by varying the control field power. Decreasing the control field power lowers the coupling rate of the memory, which is analogous to increasing the cavity finesse. The linewidth of our effective cavity is therefore best in the limit of low control field power, as shown in Fig. 3D. We note that this is an accurate method to determine the limiting lifetime of our memory, which in this case is found to be $87 \pm 4 \mu s$ for low control field powers. The lifetime in this regime has previously been found to be dominated by atomic diffusion³⁴.





Close to the center of Fig. 3A, we can consider the effective cavity that has been formed in our memory in terms of the characteristics normally measured for optical resonators. Firstly the FSR is just $83 \pm 1 \,\text{kHz}$. This is due to the long storage time of the memory and is equivalent to a cavity with a roundtrip length of about 3.6km. For small control fields, the linewidth is $11.5 \pm 0.5 \,\text{kHz}$, giving an equivalent cavity finesse of 7.3 ± 0.3 . Considering the frequency of the probe field and the width of the resonance peaks, we find a Q factor of $3.3 \,(\pm 0.1) \,\text{x} \, 10^{10}$ for storage of the probe field. In terms of the spinwave, the relevant frequency is the 6.8 GHz ground state splitting of rubidium leading to a spinwave Q factor of $5.9 \,(\pm 0.2) \,\text{x} \, 10^5$.

Discussion

The Q-factor of our system is limited by the diffusion in our atomic ensemble. This is not an in-principle problem, since both solid state and cold atomic memories have been shown to have much longer coherence times. Coherence time measurements in cold atomic ensembles³⁵, silicon-28³⁶ and rare-earth systems³⁷ have demonstrated milliseconds, minutes and hours of atomic coherence, respectively. Provided that phase coherence can be maintained, such atomic coherence in combination with the present scheme would lead to effective resonator lengths that are unachievable with standard mirror-based cavities.

Just as a cavity can be used to contain a large resonant optical field, the spinwave resonator can be used to enhance atomic coherences, even in ensembles with low optical depth³⁸. Using the measured decay rate and assuming the memory absorbs about 30% of the incident light we can numerically simulate the spinwave (details can be found in the supplementary material). This shows that repeated constructive interference into the spinwave produces an atomic coherence magnitude about seven times larger than storage of a single pulse. In fact, this atomic coherence is two times larger than would be achieved with perfect absorption of a single pulse.

The analogy between an optical cavity and our spinwave system is instructive for understanding the behavior of the system, but it is the differences between this setup and a real optical cavity that may give rise to a number of applications. Although we demonstrate a resonator in the classical regime, the linear and noiseless storage properties of the GEM scheme³¹ mean that our system is capable of manipulating and interfering single photon states, making applications in the quantum regime particularly interesting.

The demonstration of multiple interferences in a memory represents a step towards the realization of a proposal³⁹ which relies on using interference between atomic spinwaves and modes of light to implement a memory-based linear-optics quantum processor. In this case the memory would be used to implement multiple interferences between single photon states, as is currently achieved using regular beam splitters⁴⁰.

Due to the long roundtrip time and pulsed nature of our device, real-time control of the spinwave from pulse to pulse is easily achievable. During the $12\mu s$ from one pulse to the next, it is straightforward to measure an echo and conditionally tune both the characteristics of the next pulse and the effective cavity parameters. For example, by repeatedly sending single photon pulses into the resonator and monitoring the output, Hong-Ou-Mandel interference could be used to conditionally prepare 2-photon Fock states in the spinwave⁴¹. Higher-order interference between Fock states conditioned on the output of the spinwave, could also be investigated in this way⁴².

Finally we note that while our realization of a spinwave resonator is based on the GEM protocol, this concept could be extended to other coherent memory techniques. Indeed, ring-down measurements by Reim *et al.*²³ indicate that a Raman memory would be suitable.

References

- 1. Schiller, S. et al. Experimental limits for low-frequency space-time fluctuations from ultrastable optical resonators. Phys Rev D 69, 027504 (2004).
- 2. Ludlow, A. D. *et al.* Compact, thermal-noise-limited optical cavity for diode laser stabilization at $1 \times 10-15$. *Opt Lett* **32**, 641 (2007).
- 3. Millo, J. et al. Ultrastable lasers based on vibration insensitive cavities. Phys Rev A 79, 053829 (2009).
- 4. Kessler, T. et al. A sub-40-mHz-linewidth laser based on a silicon single-crystal optical cavity. Nature 6, 687-692 (2012).
- Cole, G. D., Zhang, W., Martin, M. J., Ye, J. & Aspelmeyer, M. Tenfold reduction of Brownian noise in high-reflectivity optical coatings. *Nature Photonics* 7, 644–650 (2013).
- 6. Acernese, F. et al. Measurement of the optical parameters of the Virgo interferometer. Applied Optics 46, 3466-3484 (2007).
- 7. Höckel, D., Martin, E. & Benson, O. Note: An ultranarrow bandpass filter system for single-photon experiments in quantum optics. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 81, 026108 (2010).
- 8. Palittapongarnpim, P., MacRae, A. & Lvovsky, A. I. Note: A monolithic filter cavity for experiments in quantum optics. *Review* of Scientific Instruments 83, 066101 (2012).
- 9. Boyd, R. W. Nonlinear Optics (Academic Press, 2008).
- 10. Berden, G. & Engeln, R. Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy: Techniques and Applications (John Wiley & Sons, 2009).
- 11. Abbott, B. P. et al. LIGO: the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory. Rep Prog Phys 72, 076901 (2009).
- Jones, R. J., Moll, K. D., Thorpe, M. J. & Ye, J. Phase-coherent frequency combs in the vacuum ultraviolet via high-harmonic generation inside a femtosecond enhancement cavity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94 (2005), doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.193201.
- 13. Vahala, K. J. Optical microcavities. Nature 424, 839-846 (2003).
- 14. Ditlbacher, H. et al. Silver Nanowires as Surface Plasmon Resonators. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 257403 (2005).
- 15. Min, B. et al. High-Q surface-plasmon-polariton whispering-gallery microcavity. Nature 457, 455-U3 (2009).
- Bozhevolnyi, S. I., Volkov, V. S., Devaux, E., Laluet, J.-Y. & Ebbesen, T. W. Channel plasmon subwavelength waveguide components including interferometers and ring resonators. *Nature* 440, 508–511 (2006).
- 17. Rottler, A. et al. High-Q Hybrid Plasmon-Photon Modes in a Bottle Resonator Realized with a Silver-Coated Glass Fiber with a Varying Diameter. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 253901 (2013).
- 18. Fleischhauer, M. & Lukin, M. Quantum memory for photons: Dark-state polaritons. Phys Rev A 65, 022314 (2002).
- 19. Fleischhauer, M., Imamoglu, A. & Marangos, J. Electromagnetically induced transparency: Optics in coherent media. *Rev. of Mod. Phys.* 77, 634 (2005).
- Hetet, G., Longdell, J. J., Sellars, M. J., Lam, P. K. & Buchler, B. C. Multimodal properties and dynamics of gradient echo quantum memory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101, 203601 (2008).
- Longdell, J. J., Hetet, G., Lam, P. K. & Sellars, M. J. Analytic treatment of controlled reversible inhomogeneous broadening quantum memories for light using two-level atoms. *Phys Rev A* 78, 032337 (2008).
- 22. Campbell, G., Hosseini, M., Sparkes, B. M., Lam, P. K. & Buchler, B. C. Time- and frequency-domain polariton interference. *New J. Phys.* 14, 033022 (2012).
- Reim, K. F. et al. Multipulse Addressing of a Raman Quantum Memory: Configurable Beam Splitting and Efficient Readout. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 263602 (2012).
- Lehmann, K. K. & Romanini, D. The superposition principle and cavity ring-down spectroscopy. J Chem Phys. 105, 10263 (1996).
 Crosson, E. R. et al. Pulse-stacked cavity ring-down spectroscopy. Review of Scientific Instruments. 70, 4 (1999).
- 26. Siegman, A. E. Lasers (University Science Books, 1986).
- 27. Moiseev, S. A. & Arslanov, N. M. Efficiency and fidelity of photon-echo quantum memory in an atomic system with longitudinal inhomogeneous broadening. *Phys. Rev. A* 78, 023803 (2008).
- 28. Alexander, A., Longdell, J., Sellars, M. & Manson, N. Photon echoes produced by switching electric fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 043602 (2006).
- 29. Hosseini, M. et al. Coherent optical pulse sequencer for quantum applications. Nature 461, 241-245 (2009).
- Hosseini, M., Sparkes, B. M., Campbell, G., Lam, P. K. & Buchler, B. C. High efficiency coherent optical memory with warm rubidium vapour. *Nat Comms* 2, 174 (2011).
- Hosseini, M., Campbell, G., Sparkes, B. M., Lam, P. K. & Buchler, B. C. Unconditional room-temperature quantum memory. *Nat Phys* 7, 794–798 (2011).
- 32. Hosseini, M., Sparkes, B. M., Campbell, G. T., Lam, P. K. & Buchler, B. C. Storage and manipulation of light using a Raman gradient-echo process. J Phys B-At Mol Opt 45, 124004 (2012).
- Hetet, G., Longdell, J. J., Alexander, A. L., Lam, P. K. & Sellars, M. J. Electro-optic quantum memory for light using two-level atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 023601 (2008).
- 34. Higginbottom, D. B. et al. Spatial-mode storage in a gradient-echo memory. Phys Rev A 86, 023801 (2012).
- 35. Zhao, R. et al. Long-lived quantum memory. Nat Phys 5, 100-104 (2009).
- 36. Saeedi, K. *et al.* Room-Temperature Quantum Bit Storage Exceeding 39 Minutes Using Ionized Donors in Silicon-28. *Science* **342**, 830–833 (2013).
- 37. Zhong, M. et al. Optically addressable nuclear spins in a solid with a six-hour coherence time. Nature 517, 177-180 (2015).

- Sabooni, M., Li, Q., Kroll, S. & Rippe, L. Efficient Quantum Memory Using a Weakly Absorbing Sample. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 133604 (2013).
- 39. Campbell, G. T. et al. Configurable unitary transformations and linear logic gates using quantum memories Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 063601 (2014).
- 40. Kok, P. et al. Linear optical quantum computing with photonic qubits. Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 135-174 (2007).
- 41. Xiao, Y. et al. Slow Light Beam Splitter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 043601 (2008).
- 42. Sun, F. et al. Stimulated Emission as a Result of Multiphoton Interference, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 043601 (2007).

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Centre of Excellence scheme (CE110001027). BCB and PKL receive financial support from the ARC Future Fellowship scheme.

Author Contributions

The experiment was designed by O.P. and executed by O.P. and J.L.E. with advice from M.H., B.C.B. and P.K.L. Data was analyzed by J.L.E., O.P., G.T.C. and M.H. All authors contributed significantly through discussions and preparation of the manuscript and figures.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Pinel, O. et al. A mirrorless spinwave resonator. Sci. Rep. 5, 17633; doi: 10.1038/srep17633 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/