Transverse myelitis and myelography

Peter A. Rowe M.R.C.P.

Dept. of Medicine, Southmead General Hospital, Bristol (current appointment: Clinical Research Fellow, Dept. of Renal Medicine, City Hospital, Nottingham NG5 1PB)

W. Peter Gorman M.R.C.P.

Dept. of Medicine, Southmead General Hospital, Bristol (current appointment: Senior Registrar, Dept. of Medicine, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield S10 2JF)

ABSTRACT

A case report of transverse myelitis and optic neuritis is presented in which the latter occurred following a myelogram with iopamidol. The literature relating to neurotoxicity of contrast agents is reviewed, and it is suggested that the optic neuritis may have been a neurotoxic effect of iopamidol.

KEY WORDS

transverse myelitis, optic neuritis, myelography.

INTRODUCTION

In a patient with an acute spinal cord syndrome it may be difficult to distinguish clinically between cord compression and a transverse myelitis (1). To exclude a compressive lesion, myelography, although an important investigation, is not without risk. Adverse effects such as the complications of lumbar puncture are well known but other, less familiar, reactions occur due to neurotoxicity of the contrast agent. We report a case of transverse myelitis in which optic neuritis followed myelography with iopamidol (Niopam 300).

CASE REPORT

A 19 year old woman developed an upper respiratory tract infection and two weeks later presented with progressive weakness and paraesthesiae of the lower limbs and acute retention of urine. She denied back pain, headache and visual symptoms. At the age of 2 years she had suffered from an episode of lead poisoning and at 18 years complained of excessive somnolence and poor concentration; at that time a computed tomographic (CT) brain scan and EEG were normal.

Examination revealed normal speech and higher mental function. There was pyramidal weakness in the legs (grade 4 MRC scale). Reflexes were brisk and symmetrical with flexor plantar responses, and tone normal. Appreciation of pain and light touch was reduced in the T10 to L2 dermatomes. Anal tone was diminished. Bladder catheterisation produced a residual volume of 900 mls.

A structural spinal cord lesion was suspected but myelography of the entire spinal cord, using 8 mls of iopamidol (Niopam 300) via a lumbar approach, showed no abnormalities. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis showed 240 lymphocytes/l with normal glucose and protein. The next day she had back pain and meningism, and developed a paraplegia over the following 2 days. On the fourth day she complained of blurred vision, which pro-

gressed within 12 hours to complete blindness due to papillitis. A further CT brain scan was normal. Dexamethasone was given (4 mg thrice daily). Repeat CSF examination revealed an increased lymphocyte count (650/1), elevated protein (2410 mg/l) and normal glucose. An extensive microbiological screen for possible underlying bacterial, viral or fungal infection was negative. An autoantibody screen was negative (including antinuclear factor), complement levels were normal, and tests for circulating immune complexes gave equivocal results. CSF IgG/total protein ratio was 12% (normal), and CSF cytology unhelpful. Nerve conduction studies were compatible with a mixed upper and lower motor neuropathy. When visual acuity had returned to normal (N5) three weeks after admission, visual evoked responses were poorly formed and significantly delayed (145 msec bilaterally, normal range 88-112 msec). After 18 months a complete flaccid paraplegia remains with extensor plantar responses and a sensory level at T5, and continuing urinary retention is managed by intermittent selfcatheterisation.

COMMENT

Our patient presented with a spinal cord lesion probably due to transverse myelitis. The precise diagnosis is open to debate as there is considerable overlap between the various neuropathological causes of this clinical picture. Transverse myelitis often follows a variety of bacterial, viral and protozoal infections (2), and would best fit the clinical course in this case, but would not explain the optic neuritis. The combination of transverse myelitis and optic neuritis has been called neuromyelitis optica or Devic's disease (3). This is, however, a heterogenous condition which Cloys and Netsky (4) regard as a purely clinical syndrome of varied aetiology. CSF findings are usually of a polymorphonuclear leukocytosis and elevated protein level. Other possible diagnoses include multiple sclerosis - although the time course of a single episode, without disturbance of CSF immunoglobulins, makes this less likely - or an adverse reaction to the radiological contrast agent.

Myelography is associated with a number of neurotoxic effects including meningism, arachnoiditis, myelopathy, seizures, sixth cranial nerve palsies and cognitive disturbance (5). Visual disturbances have been described with oil (6) and water-soluble (7) contrast media but not with iopamidol (Committee on Safety of Medicines – personal communication). Non-ionic water-soluble media with a low osmolality such as iopamidol are reportedly associated with fewer adverse reactions (8). Nevertheless, these agents diffuse into the intracranial CSF compartment even against gravity, and can penetrate the brain parenchyma (9,10). Neurotoxic effects are more common when a large volume of undiluted contrast agent is given, as in this case to visualise the whole spinal cord, and minor adverse effects are more frequent

(continued on page 59)

Correspondence to Dr. Peter A. Rowe, Dept. of Renal Medicine, City Hospital, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB.

A memorable Membership moment

J. L. Burton B.Sc., M.D., F.R.C.P.

Consultant Dermatologist, Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol

Most unpleasant memories fade eventually, but some are so horrendous that one's psyche is branded for life. The clinical and oral examinations for the MRCP and FRCS diplomas seem to provide a rich source of such searing memories. Most hospital consultants have a 'Membership' or a 'Fellowship' story—here's mine.

I knew I'd done fairly well on the papers, and the clinical examination also went well. I was helped along by the fact that my major case, with bizarre neurological symptoms, was undiagnosable even by the consultants at the National Hospital for Nervous Diseases, who'd been investigating him for several weeks. Fortunately I'd just read a review of the hereditary sensory neuropathies, and could explain more or less convincingly why he didn't fit any of them. My luck held too with the minor cases—there was only one really difficult case, and he whispered the answer to me when the examiner was called away to the telephone. The memorable bit occurred when we came to the viva.

As I was ushered into the room I was confronted by a pair of rather peppery old gentlemen, one of whom appeared pained and the other seemed bored. The first looked at me with some disgust. 'Name?' he barked. 'Burton, sir'. 'Tell me about the importance of trace metals in medicine' he said. 'Yes sir', I said, hoping for inspiration, 'which ones?' 'All of them'.

Now it must be admitted that the trace metals had not figured largely in my preparation for the examination, but I had heard of a few of them, and with a certain amount of huffing, puffing, prompting and prodding, I eventually stumbled through them, hoping that the gradient would ease somewhat on the next leg.

The second examiner was a famous Guy's physician. He walked over to a viewing box, and when he put up a chest x-ray showing an obvious mediastinal mass, my

spirits rose. At that time I was working at the Brompton Hospital, where I'd had excellent weekly tuition on radiographic interpretation from the great George Simon, and boy, did I know about mediastinal masses!

Unfortunately what I knew, and what the examiner seemed to be wanting me to tell him, in no way tallied. He grew increasingly exasperated and my suggestions became increasingly wild, as I tried in vain to fathom what the old goat was after. At length his patience was obviously exhausted and he turned away from me and went back towards the desk. As he walked away he started his next question. 'Suppose you're in general practice, and a young man walks into the surgery with a story of feeling unwell and feverish for about two days, and he's come to the surgery now because for the last few hours he can't swallow properly. What's the diagnosis?'

As he reached the end of this question, he turned to face me and immediately there was a great wet red chasm where his right eye had been two minutes ago. He leered and blinked encouragingly at me, so that as the eyelids sucked open, the moist red membranes in the empty socket flashed like a lighthouse in hell. I wondered where on earth he'd put his glass eye, and whether he had a pocket full of them, like enormous gob-stoppers.

'Well'? he said.

'Yes, er...sorry', I stammered. 'I think tonsillitis would be the first thing I should think of'.

'Rubbish', he said. 'Bulbar polio. You'd have missed the diagnosis and the patient would die'.

Editor's Note—Many of our readers will recall such encounters in their examination days, the Editor would be glad to consider them for a 'Memorable Moments' series.

Transverse myelitis and myelography (continued from page 58)

in patients with multiple sclerosis (11), another demyelinating condition.

Although further evolution of the clinical picture following presentation was not unexpected, we suggest that the myelogram may have exacerbated the course of her disease, and speculate that the optic neuritis may have been a neurotoxic effect. We report this interesting case to highlight the difficulty of establishing a precise neuropathological diagnosis, and to remind clinicians of the potential hazards associated with myelography.

REFERENCES

- SCHAFER, ER. (1975) The Spinal Compression Syndrome: A Review of Differential Diagnosis. In: Vinken PJ and Bruyn GW, (eds.) Handbook of Clinical Neurology. Oxford. North Holland Publishing. 19, pp. 347–386.
 BERMAN, M. FELDMAN, S. ALLER, M. ZILBER, N. and
- BERMAN, M. FELDMAN, S. ALLER, M. ZILBER, N. and KAHANA, E. (1981) Acute transverse myelitis: Incidence and etiologic considerations. Neurology (NY). 31, pp. 966–971.

 GAULT, F. (1894) De la neuromyelite optique aigue. Thesis, Lyon.

- CLOYS, DE. and NETSKY, MG. (1982) Neuromyelitis Optica.
 In: Vinken PJ and Bruyn GW, (eds.) Handbook of Clinical Neurology. Oxford. North Holland Publishing. 9, pp. 426– 436
- JUNCK, L. MARSHALL, WH. (1983) Neurotoxicity of radiological contrast agents. Annals of Neurology. 13, pp. 469–484.
- 6 CRISTI, G. SCIALFA G. DI PIERRO, G. and TASSONI, A. (1974) Visual loss: A rare complication following oil myelography. Neuroradiology. 7, pp. 287–290.
- 7 LEAVENGOOD, JM. WILSON, WB. STEARS, J. and POSNER, JB. (1981) Reversible visual deficits following metrizamide (Amipaque) myelography. Neurology (NY). 31, p. 69.
- 8. BASSI, P. CECCHINI, A. DETTORI, P. and SIGNORINI, E. (1982) Myelography with lopamidol, a non-ionic water soluble contrast medium: incidence of complications. Neuroradiology. 24, pp. 85–90.
- SMIRNIOTOPOULOS, JG. MURPHY, FM. SCHELLINGER, D. KURTYKE, JF. and BORTS, FT. (1984) Cortical blindness after metrizamide myelography. Arch. Neurol. 41, pp. 224– 226.
- DRAYER, BP. and ROSENBAUM, AE. (1977) Metrizamide brain penetrance. Acta. Radiol. (suppl) (Stockholm). 355, pp. 280–293.
- KAUFMAN, P. and JEANS, WD. (1976) Reactions to lophendylate in relation to multiple sclerosis. Lancet. 2, pp.1000–1001.