

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Development of Nucleic Acid Based Lateral Flow Assays for SARS-CoV-2 Detection

Dilek Çam Derin, Enes Gültekin, Irmak İçen Taşkın, Yusuf Yakupoğulları

PII: S1389-1723(22)00340-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2022.11.001

Reference: JBIOSC 3150

To appear in: Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering

Received Date: 30 August 2022

Revised Date: 24 October 2022

Accepted Date: 1 November 2022

Please cite this article as: Çam Derin D, Gültekin E, İçen Taşkın I, Yakupoğulları Y, Development of Nucleic Acid Based Lateral Flow Assays for SARS-CoV-2 Detection, *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2022.11.001.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022, The Society for Biotechnology, Japan. All rights reserved.



		D		n	\mathbf{a}	
U	uman			p.	U	

1	
h	

Development of Nucleic Acid Based Lateral Flow Assays for SARS-CoV-2 Detection

- Dilek Çam Derin^{a*}, Enes Gültekin^b, Irmak İçen Taşkın^c, Yusuf Yakupoğulları^d
- 3

4 ^{a,b,c} Inonu University, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, 44280, Malatya, Turkey

⁵ ^d Inonu University, Department of Medical Microbiology, 44280, Malatya, Turkey

6 *Corresponding author: <u>cam.dilek@gmail.com</u>, Phone number: +90 422 377 30 00

7

8 Short title: Molecular detection of 2019-nCoV N3

9

10 ABSTRACT

SARS-CoV-2 is still threat for humanity and its detection is crucial. Although real time reverse 11 transcriptase polymerase chain reaction is the most reliable method for detection of N protein 12 genes, alternative methods for molecular detection is still needed. Thus, lateral flow assay 13 models for 2019-nCoV N3 were developed for molecular detection. Briefly, gold 14 nanoparticles were used as label and three sandwich models (1A, 1B, 1.2) were designed. Prob 15 concentrations on gold nanoparticles, types of sandwich model and membrane, limit of 16 detection of target gene and buffer efficiency were studied. Model 1B has shown the best results 17 with M170 membrane. Lower limit of detection was achieved by model 1.2 as 5pM. All 18 parameters have significant role for molecular detection of SARS-CoV-2 by lateral flow assays, 19 and these results will be useful for nucleic acid based lateral flow assays for viral detection or 20 multiple detection of mutated forms in various detection systems. 21

22

23 Keywords

rapid test, SARS-CoV-2, sandwich assay, 2019-nCoV_N, detection

25

26 INTRODUCTION

Wuhan Viral Pneumonia seen in the late 2019 was called as SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 27 caused by SARS-CoV-2 was named as disease by World Health Organization (WHO). SARS-28 CoV-2 is an RNA virus belonging to the β and it has at least four structural proteins including 29 Spike (S) protein, envelope (E) protein, membrane (M) protein and nucleocapsid (N) protein. 30 S protein is widely used for diagnosis because of antigenicity and commonly chosen as target 31 for neutralizing antibodies. However, N protein become attractive for molecular diagnosis of 32 COVID-19 as highly protected protein sequences and high immunogenicity (1). Additionally, 33 N protein is abundantly expressed protein during the infection and causes to protective immune 34 response for SARS CoV and COVID-19 (2). The sequence similarity of protein coding region 35 of COVID-19 was found as 89.74%, 48.59% and 35.62% with SARS CoV, MERS-CoV and 36 HCoV-OC43, respectively (3) and 96% with bat coronavirus in the whole genom level (1). 37 Comprehensive domain structure of N proteins among the four coronaviruses (SARS-CoV-2, 38 SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and HCoV-OC43) and complete genome of SARS-CoV-2 were 39 reported in the literature respectively (3). To say that the characteristics of the surface 40 electrostatic potential of N terminal domain of SARS-CoV-2 N protein is different even if it is 41 similar to the other coronaviruses. 42

43

N region of SARS-CoV-2 was determined as target sequence for SARS-CoV-2 specific gene. 44 WHO proposed a few primer sets for N gene and reported that 2019-nCoV N3 (USA) and 45 NIID 2019-nCOV N (Japan) primers are the most sensitive for real time reverse transcription 46 polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR) respectively (4). Therefore, among the specific regions 47 N gene regions are widely accepted for diagnosis as the high similarity between SARS-CoV-2 48 and SARS-CoV causes to mistake in molecular diagnosis. SARS-CoV-2 RNA may be obtained 49 from bronchoalveolar lavage, nasal/pharingeal swab (53.6% - 73.3%) (5), salivary/sputum 50 (74.4%-88.9%) (6), feces/urine, blood samples and anal/oral swabs (7-9). Additionally, it is 51

known that virus may be alive at suitable environmental conditions after leaving from human body and join to waste water. Thus, SARS-CoV-2 and newly developed coronaviruses will always threaten the public health since the development of antiviral drugs or therapeutics takes long time. In this reason, early molecular viral detection is crucial to get under control the epidemy/pandemia.

57

Serology is a standart method for viral detection and based on the testing of antibody response 58 coming from the immune system and antigen presence. However, it cannot be used for early 59 detection since it is based on the measurement of antibody after infection, and could not be 60 efficient for patients who are in risk groups. For instance, antibodies against to COVID-19 are 61 developed in early stage (4-10 days for IgM) and late stage (11-24 days for IgM-IgG). Besides, 62 cross antibody reactions may give a false positive result and producing of polyclonal antibodies 63 may change from batch to batch. Nanoparticle based viral diagnosis (10) is another way and it 64 was used for the detection of SARS specific sequence (11). However, there is lack of nano-65 based diagnosis systems for SARS-CoV-2 sequences even if antigen based detections are 66 reported (12-17). rRT-PCR is the most reliable method for molecular detection of SARS-CoV-67 68 2 in the world and the first quantitative rRT-PCR was designed after the definition of virus by WHO in January 2020 (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus). 69 70 Although these assays are reliable, complex and expensive test protocols, need of educated personnel and diagnosis laboratories, taking time for sending the samples into reference labs 71 are disadvantages. Similarly, conventional PCR needs to agarose gel loading and high copy 72 number of target genes. For this reasons, rapid and naked eye molecular detection of SARS-73 74 CoV-2 is always be needed. In this regard, lateral flow assays (LFAs) or point of care tests could be an alternative to the molecular detection of SARS-CoV-2 as a rapid, cheap and simple way 75 without advanced devices in a short time. 76

LFAs are portable, ready to use immunochromatographic diagnostic assays developed by 77 antibodies, enzymes or nucleic acids (18) for various fields. They can also be helpful for 78 epidemy/pandemia by sensitive detection of nucleic acids (19) and could make 8 times sensitive 79 and rapid detection compared to the electrophoresis (20). While a number of LFAs were 80 developed for COVID-19 they are mostly based on the antibody (IgG/IgM) detection of patients 81 (21) and there is lack of nucleic acid detection of SARS-CoV-2 by LFAs. LFAs can be used 82 with amplification systems producing any RNA (22). Although LFA for molecular detection of 83 SARS-CoV-2 is reported in the literature it is based on CRISPR Cas12a dependent nucleic acid 84 detection (23) and needs the complex experimental steps. Similarly, Broughton et al., developed 85 the LFAs for SARS-CoV-2 using the RNA extracts related with respiratory swab. It is based on 86 the CRISPR-Cas12 for the detection of E and N gene (24). However, extra labelling with 87 Fluorescein amidites and sensitive steps including enzymatic restriction are needed, and assay 88 was only developed for one region of N gene announced by US Centers for Disease Control 89 Prevention (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/rt-pcr-detectionand 90 instructions.html) which are accepted regions for rRT-PCR. LFAs are encouraged to be 91 developed for nucleic acid detection of SARS-CoV-2 based on PCR. Therefore, nucleic acid 92 based LFAs are always become crucial and they can be adopted the new sequences occurred by 93 94 mutations in the virus.

95

In this research, molecular detection of RNA region (2019-nCoV_N3) specific to SARS-CoV2 by gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) based LFAs in 5-7 minutes was aimed. Test principle is based
on the hybridization of oligonucleotides without complex enzymatic reactions and naked eye
analysis.

101 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The chemicals used were all analytical grades. HAuCl₄. 3H₂O, trisodium citrate dihydrate were 102 purchased from Alfa Aesar (Kandel, Germany). Ultra-low range DNA ladder were from 103 Thermo Scientific. Tris-HCl was purchased from Applichem, KCl and NaCl were purchased 104 from Merck, MgCl2, CaCl2, nuclease free water and SSC buffer were purchased from Multicell. 105 Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. 106 Synthetic oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, 107 USA). Ultrapure water was used for the preparation of all solutions during the study. The 108 nitrocellulose membrane cards were purchased from Whatman, Germany. Absorbent pad, 109 sample pad and conjugate pad were purchased from Millipore, USA. Scanning transmission 110 electron microscop (STEM-TESCAN), HORIBA Dynamic Laser Particle Size/Zeta Potential 111 Analyzer and EPOCH2 Plate Reader/Spectrophotometer were used for analysis of synthesized 112 AuNPs and AuNPs/prob concentration. As a probe 1A model: GNP probe 1A: 5' cca atg tga tct 113 ttt ggt gta aaa aaa-3 -SH'; test line for 1A: 5'bio aaa aaa a gca ttg tta gca gga ttg c 3'and 114 control line for 1A: 5' tac acc aaa aga tca cat tgg ttt 3'bio were used. As a probe 1B model: GNP 115 probe 1B: 5' ttt ggt gta ttc aag gct ccc aaa aaa aaa-3 -SH'; test line for 1B: 5'bio aaa aaa tg cgg 116 gtg cca atg tga tct 3' and control line for 1B: 5' ggg agc ctt gaa tac acc aaa ttt 3'bio were used. 117 As a probe 1.2 model: GNP probe 1.2: 5't gcc aat gtg atc ttt tgg tg aaa aaa aaa-3 -SH'; test line 118 for 1.2: 5'bio aaa aaa gc agc att gtt agc agg att 3' and control line for 1.2: 5' ca cca aaa gat cac 119 att ggc a ttt 3'bio were used. 72 base long target N gene region 1 (2019-nCoV N3), 5'-ggg agc 120 ctt gaa tac acc aaa aga tca cat tgg cac ccg caa tcc tgc taa caa tgc tgc aat cgt gct aca-3', and 50 121 base long target N gene region 1.2 (2019-nCoV N3), 5' aat aca cca aaa gat cac att ggc acc cgc 122 aat cct gct aac aat gct gc -3', were experienced. 5'ggg agc ctt gaa tac acc aaa a 3' and 5'tgt agc 123 acg att gca gca ttg 3' primers were used as forward and reverse, respectively for PCR reaction. 124 125

126 Synthesis of Gold Nanoparticles and Conjugation with oligonucleotide probes

AuNPs were synthesized by reducing the HAuCl₄. 3H₂O with sodium citrate (25), in conical 127 flask. All the glass materials used were cleaned with acid solution and rinsed with distilled water. 128 Briefly, 100 mL of 1mM HAuCl₄.3H₂O solution was boiled by stirring continuously. Then 1% 129 sodium citrate was added and the color changed from black to red in 2-3 minutes. Boiling was 130 continued about 10 minutes and colloidal solution was allowed to cool. Synthesized AuNPs 131 were filtered by 0.45µm cellulose acetate and concentrated by centrifugation at four times (4X 132 AuNPs) before the conjugation with oligonucleotides and stored at 4°C. To make a conjugate 133 with oligonucleotide probes, thiol modified probes were initially activated by TCEP for one 134 hour at room temperature. In this purpose, three probe concentrations (2µM, 4µM, 8µM) were 135 used for conjugation in order to see the effect of probe concentration on assay efficiency. Then 136 solution was added into 1 ml of 4X AuNPs solution and incubated for overnight at room 137 temperature. After that 0.01M phosphate buffer saline (PBS) was added as final concentration 138 139 for salt aging and incubated for overnight. Then, the solution was centrifuged at 12000 rpm and pellet was resuspended in resuspension buffer (20mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 5% 140 BSA, 0.25 % Tween 20 and sucrose). Conjugate was washed with the resuspension buffer as 141 twice and stored at 4°C after resuspending in the same buffer. 142

143

144 **Preparation of LFAs**

The components of the LFAs are sample pad, conjugate pad, nitrocellulose membrane and absorbent pad. The design of strip assay was manually performed according to our previous study (26). Two different cellulose membranes having different flow rates were used in this study (M170-M120). In short, sample pads were treated with two different buffers called as buffer 4 (0.05M Tris-HCI, 0.25% Triton X 100, 0.15M NaCI, pH 8.0) and buffer 5 (PBS, 0.1mM NaCI, 0.2 % Tween 20), separately and dried at 37°C or room temperature. Conjugate

pads were soaked with AuNPs/Probe conjugate and dried at 37°C for 1 hour. Buffer 14 (20mM 151 Tris, 50mM NaCI, 5mM KCI, 5mM MgCI2, 2mM CaCI2, 0.1mM BSA, 1.7% Triton X 100, 152 pH 8.0), PBS and saline-sodium citrate (SSC) was used as running buffer for optimizing the 153 assay. Test and control lines are prepared by the principle of streptavidin-biotin interaction. 154 Briefly, biotinylated oligonucleotides were conjugated to streptavidin and then immobilized on 155 the cellulose membrane using micropipette, manually. For the assay development three 156 sandwich models (1A, 1B, 1.2) were prepared for hybridization on LFA and experienced, 157 separately. Two of them (1A, 1B) were for 72 base long 2019-nCoV N3 and the last one (1.2) 158 was for 50 base long which was obtained from shortening the 2019-nCoV N3 region which is 159 still specific for SARS-CoV-2. 160

161

162 Polimerase Chain Reaction for 2019-nCoV N3

In order to see the application potential of developed LFAs for real samples, PCR was performed by plasmid DNA including N gene of SARS-CoV-2 and specific primers for 2019nCoV_N3. After the reaction was completed, PCR product was run on agarose gel electrophoresis along with the Ultra-low DNA ladder at 90V for 1 hour to be sure that correct gene region was obtained before applying to the LFA. The PCR reaction was performed as 34 cycle for each tube and finally extended as 72°C for 4 minute. PCR products were heated for denaturation and then applied to the strip assays.

170

171 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

172 Synthesis of Gold Nanoparticles and Conjugation with oligonucleotide probes

Synthesized AuNPs were analyzed by STEM, UV-Vis spectroscopy and Dynamic Laser Particle
Size Analyzer. According to the analysis, homogenously distributed spherical colloidal AuNPs

175 were measured as about 13 nm and λ_{max} was 521 nm as expected (Fig. S1). Additionally, the

measurement of STEM analysis showed that Value: 1 [nm], Obj. count: 85, Summation: 1071.01,

177 Min. value: 9.44, Max. value: 20.26, Mean value: 12.60 and Std. dev. :2.21. The concentration

178 of synthesized AuNPs was also calculated as 0.4nM according to the extinction coefficient of

179 13 nm at 521 nm wavelength (27).

180

After the conjugation of AuNPs with thiol modified probes their max absorption peaks were shifted from 521 nm to 526 nm as expected (Fig. S2). Because coating of AuNPs by probes (4 μ M, 8 μ M) for three sandwich models caused the changing of surface charges of AuNPs and resulted with max absorption peak shift. While the concentration of probes on AuNPs was enough for 4 μ M and 8 μ M for three models, 2 μ M probe was not enough for sustaining the stability of AuNPs since it caused the aggregation of AuNPs (data not shown). Therefore, 4 μ M and 8 μ M coated AuNPs were used for further studies for three LFA models.

188

189 **Preparation of LFA models**

The components of LFAs were manually prepared and three sandwich models (1A, 1B, 1.2)
(Fig. S3) were applied to assay, separately. These models were designed to make comparison
between the models and find the best one for molecular recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by LFAs.

194 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of 2019-nCoV_N3 PCR

PCR was performed by using plasmid DNA including N gene and primers specific for 2019nCoV_N3 were used for amplification of 72bp target. This was made for verification of presenting the target gene in our sample and mimicking the real PCR samples coming from the patients, which will be applied to the LFAs for further studies. PCR products for 2019nCoV N3 (72 bp) was shown in Fig. S4.

201 Application of targets to the LFAs models

Here probe concentration on AuNPs, sandwich models, membrane types, limit of detection 202 (LOD) of target gene and buffer efficiency for molecular detection of 2019-nCoV N3 on 203 designed LFAs were studied. LFAs were prepared by four membranes and target was used as 204 synthetic oligonucleotide sequence of 2019-nCoV N3. Initially, buffer optimizations were 205 experienced. For this purpose, sample pads were soaked with two buffers, buffer 4 and buffer 206 5, and three different buffers, buffer 14, PBS and SSC were used as running buffer. In these 207 conditions the control lines of LFAs should always be seen as red and in order to say that test 208 is positive both the test and control line should be seen as red. Results verified that membrane 209 type and designed models have significant differences when they are used with different buffers 210 and temperatures (data not shown). For instance, model 1B has shown the best results with 211 M170 membrane compared to the M120 membrane for using 4µM and 8µM probe 212 concentrations at 37°C drying (Fig. 1, B1-B10; D1-D4), while the model 1A has weak test lines 213 with M170 and M120 membranes at the same temperature (Fig. 1, A1-A10; C1-C4). Although 214 there are no significant differences between buffer 4 and 5 for assay results, SSC buffer was 215 used for further studies as it has clear red color intensity on both the test and control lines and 216 showed no nonspecific bindings on LFAs for all models using 4µM and 8µM probe 217 concentrations. This finding is meaningful as SSC buffer has commonly positive effect on 218 oligonucleotide hybridizations. To highlight, all developed strips have selectively detected the 219 target and showed no nonspecific binding to the Mers CoV N2, Mers CoV N3 and RdRp/Orf1 220 221 sequences of SARS CoV 2, which are specific for Mers CoV and SARS-CoV-2, respectively. This means that designed LFA strips are suitable and usable for the molecular detection of 222 SARS-CoV-2, reliably. Additionally, all strip assays worked truely since all the control lines are 223 visible even if two of them are weak in positive assay (Fig. 1, A1,C1). This is probably caused 224 by the weak interaction between the capture and detection reagent for prob 1A. 225

226 Application of PCR products to LFAs designed by three models

PCR products were applied to the developed LFAs using three models and two type of 227 membranes. To make sure that for selective detection of target, random oligonucleotide 228 sequences such as Se20 60 bio, Crn2SH and Mers CoV N2, Mers CoV N3 and RdRp/Orf1 of 229 SARS-CoV-2, SSC running buffer were used as negative controls. Findings showed that all 230 models recognized the target sequence, selectively without any nonspecific bindings of negative 231 controls (Fig. 2). Although there is no significant differences between the strip assays, model 232 1B on both membranes might be considered as the best for the detection of 2019-nCoV N3 in 233 PCR sample by two probe concentrations (Fig. 2 strip 6, 8, 11, 16). Although the test line 234 intensity on model 1.2 (Fig. 2, A1) and model 1A (Fig. 2, B14-B15) is weak compared to model 235 1B they could make selective detection without any nonspecific binding to the negative controls 236 (Fig. 2, A2-A5, Fig. 1, A, C). 237

238

Briefly, all these results mean that designed assay models could be good candidate for naked eye analysis of SARS-CoV-2 without needed advanced rRT-PCR devices and agarose gel electrophoresis. Additionally, as very low amount of PCR product, 5 μ L, is loaded on developed LFAs it seems advantageous and sensitive compared to the traditional agarose gel electrophoresis. Because agarose gel electrophoresis needs to the high amount of PCR product and long time for analysis. To highlight, there is no significant difference between two probe concentrations (4 μ M-8 μ M) for detection of PCR products.

246

247 Limit of Detection of LFAs designed by three models

LOD experiments were performed by using synthetic target with developed LFAs by three sandwich models and both membrane types. It is clearly seen that model 1A works efficiently since the control lines of all strips are seen and results showed that 0.1µM target, 72 base long,

was sensitively recognized by model 1A using both membrane types (Fig. 3, B3 and D1, D3). Interestingly, there is significant difference in terms of the detection of this amount by M120 membrane. The line intensities of 0.5μ M target (Fig. 3, C) are weak compared to the 0.1μ M target (Fig. 3, D).

255

It might be said that the sensitivity of LFAs based on hybridization is significantly affected by 256 the amount of target and membrane type. It means that there is an optimum concentration 257 between the capture and detection oligonucleotides for effective hybridization and it is not 258 directly related with high amount of target for this model designed with this membrane. 259 However, the line intensities on M170 membrane were gradually become weak when the 260 concentration of target was decreased for two probe concentrations (Fig. 3, A-B). While the 261 LOD is 0.1µM target by using 8µM probe (Fig. 3, B3), it was 0.5µM using 4µM probe on this 262 membrane. These findings suggested that probe concentrations on AuNPs has significant role 263 for sensitive detection along with the membrane type. This can also be verified by comparing 264 the strips developed by 4µM probes with M170 (Fig. 3, B1) and M120 membrane (Fig. 3, D1) 265 for the same LOD. 266

267

LOD experiments were experienced by model 1B using both membranes and two probe concentrations (Fig. 4). According to the results 0.1μ M target, 72 base long, was detected by M170 membrane using both probe concentrations (Fig. 4, B) while it was 0.005μ M by M120 membrane using 8μ M probe (Fig. 4, F). These results verified that membrane types and probe concentrations used in LFAs have significant role for sensitive detection. Here, used sandwich model, another important point for sensitive detection, seems better than model 1A since the all strips have clear line intensities and lower detection limit.

LOD was also experienced by model 1.2 using both membranes and two probe concentrations

(Fig. 5). According to the results the minimum amount of target, 50 base long, was detected as 5pM by M170 membrane using 8μ M probe (Fig. 5, C1) while it was 100pM by M120 membrane using 8μ M probe concentration (Fig. 5, E3) without any nonspecific bindings. This amount is either lower than the reported nucleic acid based LFAs (28,29) or similar with the amount of SARS CoV_2 N protein detection (30). Thus, to make sensitive recognition 8μ M probe could be used for this model. Lastly, model 1.2 allowed effective hybridization on both lines and this was resulted by clear line intensity and the lowest detection amount of target.

284

276

When compared to all models in terms of the LOD, the length of the target has crucial role for 285 LFA efficiency. It could be inferred from these results 50 base long target sequence could be 286 sensitively recognized compared to the 72 base long as the base length of target sequence 287 become shorter, LOD was observed as lower. This may be caused by the high probability of the 288 hairpin structures in long bases, which can interfere the hybridization on the assay. Therefore, 289 sensitive detection is closely related with the length of target sequence and hybridization models 290 between the target and capture reagents for LFA. Along with this, it should be highlighted that 291 the main gene length, 72 base long, is also clearly detected by developed strip assay models and 292 could be used for the detection of 2019-nCoV N3. 293

294

Lastly, LFAs were also prepared by different times in order to see the stability of conjugates and LFAs efficiency. Therefore, assays were applied by 6 months awaited conjugates. It was found that conjugates are still stable and works efficiently in terms of the hybridization on the LFAs and both lines on the strips were observed, clearly (data not shown). Since the stability of the conjugates is also highly related with the red color of suspension they still have their original color (data not shown). Therefore, designed LFAs with these models have potential for

long shelf life if they are fabricated. Because the developed method is also consistent in terms
of the reproducibility and there was no difference in batch to batch production or preparation
of all LFA strips.

304

As a conclusion, the detection of SARS-CoV-2 by targeting the 2019-nCoV N3 gene region 305 was succeeded by designed LFAs models as a first study according to the best of our knowledge. 306 Although the detection of virus by LFAs is commonly based on the immunoglobulins of patients 307 or antigens these models are for the molecular detection of SARS-CoV-2 since it is the most 308 reliable method in the world. To highlight that designed LFAs are also cost effective as they are 309 naked eye analysis assays and need to conventional PCR products instead of the expensive 310 devices and reagents for analysis such as rRT-PCR. We believe that findings will be valuable 311 for various molecular detection methods for SARS-CoV-2 and its mutants. Because assay is 312 based on the hybridization and can be rapidly designed for specific sequences of mutant viruses. 313 Thus, the detection of either mutated or conserved regions could be possible by these type of 314 assay models. Since the PCR products were recognized and parameters were optimized in 315 designed LFAs they might also be a candidate for point of care diagnosis in terms of the 316 molecular detection of SARS-CoV-2 for further fabrication. In this perspective, applying the 317 developed LFAs to the real samples coming from the patients will be planned for the future 318 work. 319

320

321 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Inonu University Scientific Research Project (BAP; TOA-20202238).

324

325 **REFERENCES**

326	1. Zhou, P., Yang, X.L., Wang, X.G., Hu, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., Si, H.R., Zhu, Y., Li,
327	B., Huang, C.L., Chen, H.D., Chen, J., and other 17 authors: A pneumonia outbreak
328	associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin, Nature, 579,270–273 (2020).
329	2. Ahmed, S.F., Quadeer, A.A., McKay, M.R.: Preliminary identification of potential
330	vaccine targets for the COVID-19 coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) based on SARS-CoV
331	immunological studies, Viruses, 12, 254 (2020)
332	3. Kang, S., Yang, M., Hong, Z., Zhang, L., Huang, Z., Chen, X., He, S., Zhou, Z., Zhou,
333	Z., Chen, Q., Yan, Y., Zhan, C., and other 2 authors: Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2
334	nucleocapsid protein RNA binding domain reveals potential unique drug targeting sites,
335	Acta Pharm Sin B., 10,1228–1238 (2020).
336	4. Jung, Y., Park, G.S., Moon, J.H., Ku, K., Beak, S.H., Lee, C.S., Kim, S., Park, E.C., Park,
337	D., Lee, J.H., Byeon, C.W., Lee, J.J., and other 6 authors: Comparative analysis of primer-
338	probe sets for RT-qPCR of COVID-19 causative virus (SARS-CoV-2), ACS Infect. Dis.,
339	6,2513-2523 (2020).
340	5. Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, G., Xu, J., Gu, Xi.,
341	Cheng, Z., Yu, T., and other 17 authors: Clinical features of patients infected with 2019
342	novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China, Lancet, 395,497–506 (2020).
343	6. Yang, Y., Yang, M., Yuan, J., Wang, F., Wang, Z., Li, J., Zhang, M., Xing, L., Wei, J.,
344	Peng, L., Wong, G., Zheng, H., and other 9 authors: Comparative sensitivity of different
345	respiratory specimen types for molecular diagnosis and monitoring of SARS-CoV-2
346	shedding in COVID-19 patients, Innovation, 1:3 (2020).
347	7. Zhang, W., Du, R.H., Li, B., Zheng, X.S., Yang, X.L., Hu, B., Wang, Y.Y., Xiao, G.Fu.,

348 Yan, B., Shi, Z.L., Zhou, P.: Molecular and serological investigation of 2019-nCoV infected

349 patients: implication of multiple shedding routes, Emerg Microbes Infect., 9,386–389

350	(2020).
	· · · · ·

351	8. Holshue, M.L., DeBolt, C., Lindquist, S., Lofy, K.H., Wiesman, J., Bruce, H., Spitters,
352	C., Ericson, K., Wilkerson, S., Tural, A., Diaz, G., Cohn, A., and other 12 authors: First
353	Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in the United States, N Engl J Med., 382, 929–936 (2020).
354	9. Pan, Y., Zhang, D., Yang, P., Poon, L.L.M., Wang, Q.: Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in
355	clinical samples, Lancet Infect Dis., 20, 411–412 (2020).
356	10. Wang, X., Liu, L.H., Ramstroem, O., Yan, M.: Engineering Nanomaterial Surfaces for
357	Biomedical Applications, Exp Biol Med., (Maywood) 234, 1128–1139 (2009).
358	11. Martínez-Paredes, G., González-García, M.B., Costa-García, A.: Genosensor for SARS
359	virus detection based on gold nanostructured screen-printed carbon electrodes,
360	Electroanalysis, 21,379-385 (2009).
361	12. Mahari, S., Roberts, A., Shahdeo, D., Gandhi, S.: eCovSens-Ultrasensitive Novel In-
362	House Built Printed Circuit Board Based Electrochemical Device for Rapid Detection of
363	nCovid-19 antigen, a spike protein domain 1 of SARS-CoV-2, BioRxiv., (2020). doi:
364	https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.24.059204 (available online 19 October 2022)
365	13. Roberts A., Mahari, S., Shahdeo, D., Gandhi.: Label-free detection of SARS-CoV-2
366	Spike S1 antigen triggered by electroactive gold nanoparticles on antibody coated fluorine-
367	doped tin oxide (FTO) electrode, Analytica Chimica Acta., 1188, 339207 (2021).
368	14. Shahdeoa, D., Robertsa, A., Archana G.J., Shrikrishna, S.N., Mahari, S., Nagamani, K.,
369	Gandhi, S.: Label free detection of SARS CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain (RBD) protein
370	by fabrication of gold nanorods deposited on electrochemical immunosensor, Biosensors
371	and Bioelectronics, 212, 114406 (2022).

15. Shahdeo, D., Chauhan, N., Majumdar, A., Ghosh, A., Gandhi, S.: Graphene-Based

373	Field-Effect Transistor for Ultrasensitive Immunosensing of SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1
374	Antigen, ACS Appl. Bio Mater., 5:7, 3563–3572 (2022).
375	16. Ligieroa, C.B.P., Fernandes, T.S., D'Amato, D.L., Gaspar, F.V., Duarte, P.S., Strauch,
376	M.A., Fonseca, J.G., Meirelles, L.G.R., Silva, P.B., Azevedo, R.B., Martins, G.A.S.,
377	Archanjo, B.S., and other 4 authors: Influence of particle size on the SARS-CoV-2 spike
378	protein detection using IgG-capped gold nanoparticles and dynamic light scattering, Mater.
379	Today Chem., 25, 100924 (2022).
380	17. DaSilva, P.B., DaSilva, J.R., Rodrigues, M.C., Vieira, J.A., Andrade, I.A., Nagata, T.,
381	Santos, A.S.: Detection of SARS-CoV-2 virus via dynamic light scattering using antibody-
382	gold nanoparticle bioconjugates against viral spike protein, Talanta, 243, 123355 (2022).
383	18. Ang, G.Y., Yu, C.Y., Yean, C.:Y. Ambient temperature detection of PCR amplicons with
384	a novel sequence-specific nucleic acid lateral flow biosensor, Biosensors & bioelectronics.,
385	38,151–156 (2012).
386	19. Reboud, J., Xu, G., Garrett ,A., Adriko, M., Yang, Z., Tukahebwa, E.M., Rowell, C.,
387	Cooper, J.M.: Paper-based microfluidics for DNA diagnostics of malaria in low resource
388	underserved rural communities, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA., 116,4834–4842 (2019).
389	20. Glynou, K., Ioannou, P.C., Christopoulos, T.K., Syriopoulou, V.: Oligonucleotide-
390	Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles as Probes in a Dry-Reagent Strip Biosensor for DNA
391	Analysis by Hybridization, Anal Chem., 75, 4155–4160 (2003).
392	21. Li, Z., Yi, Y., Luo, X., Xiong, N., Liu, Y., Li, S., Sun, R., Wang, Y., Hu, B., Chen, W.,
393	Zhang, Y., Wang, J., and other 12 authors: Development and Clinical Application of A
394	Rapid IgM-IgG Combined Antibody Test for SARS-CoV-2 Infection Diagnosis, J Med
395	Virol., 92, 1518–1524 (2020).

396	22. Dimov, I.K., Garcia-Cordero, J.L., O'Grady, J., Poulsen, C.R., Viguier, C., Kent, L.,
397	Daly, P., Lincoln, B., Maher, M., O'Kennedy, R., Smith, T.J., Ricco, A.J., and other 1 author:
398	Integrated microfluidic tmRNA purification and real-time NASBA device for molecular
399	diagnostics., Lab on a chip, 8,2071–2078 (2008).
400	23. Lucia, C., Federico, P.B., Alejandra, G.C.: An ultrasensitive, rapid, and portable
401	coronavirus 2 SARS-CoV-2 sequence detection method based on CRISPR-Cas12, BioRxiv
402	(2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.29.971127 (available online 19 October 2022)
403	24. Broughton, J.P., Deng, X., Yu, G., Fasching, C.L., Servellita, V., Singh, J., Miao, X.,
404	Streithorst, J.A., Granados, A., Gonzalez, A.S., Zorn, K., Gopez, A., and other 8 authors:
405	CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2, Nat Biotechnol., 38,870-874 (2020).
406	25. Grabar, K.C., Freeman, R.G., Hommer, M.B., Natan, M.J.: Preparation and
407	characterization of Au colloid monolayers, Anal Chem., 67,735-743 (1995).
408	26. Cam, D., Oktem, H.A.: Development of rapid dipstick assay for food pathogens,
409	Salmonella, by optimized parameters, J Food Sci Technol., 56,140–148 (2019).
410	27. Zhao, W., Brook, M.A., Li, Y.: Design of gold nanoparticle-based colorimetric
411	biosensing assays, Chembiochem., 9:15, 2363-71 (2008).
412	28. Jauset-Rubio, M., Svobodová, M., Mairal, T., McNeil, C., Keegan, N., Saeed, A., Abbas,
413	M.N., El-Shahawi, M.S., Bashammakh, A.S., Alyoubi, A.O., O'Sullivan, C.K.,:
414	Ultrasensitive, rapid and inexpensive detection of DNA using paper based lateral flow assay,
415	Sci Rep., 6, 37732 (2016).
416	29. Baeumner, A.J., Pretz, J., and Fang, S.: A Universal Nucleic Acid Sequence Biosensor
417	with Nanomolar Detection Limits, Anal. Chem., 76: 4, 888–894 (2004).
418	30. Grant, B.D., Anderson, C.E., Williford, J.R., Alonzo, L.F., Glukhova, V.A., Boyle, D.S.,

Weigl, B.H., Nichols, K.P.: SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Antigen-Detecting
Half-Strip Lateral Flow Assay Toward the Development of Point of Care Tests Using
Commercially Available Reagents, Analytical Chemistry, 92:16, 11305-11309 (2020).

422 Figures Legends

Figure 1. LFAs developed by M170 (A, B) and M120 (C, D) membrane using model 1A (A, C)
and model 1B (B,D) at 37°C drying. Strips A1-A5; B1-B5; C1-C2; D1-D2 were prepared by
4μM probe and strips A6-A10; B6-B10; C3-C4; D3-D4 were prepared by 8μM probe. target:

426 2019-nCoV_N3 (72 bp), SSC: running buffer. Arrows show the test and control lines.

427

Figure 2. Application of PCR products to LFAs developed by two types of membranes and three
models. Strips 1-8 were prepared by 4μM and strips 9-16 were prepared by 8μM. Strips 1-15
were prepared by M170 and strip 16 was prepared by M120 membrane. Strips 1-5: model 1.2,
Strips 6-13,16: model 1B, strips 14-15: model 1A. Non heated: Non heated PCR product.
Se20_60 bio, Crn2SH, Mers CoV_N2, Mers CoV_N3 and RdRp/Orf1 and SSC are negative
controls. Arrows show the test lines.

434

Figure 3. LOD of target by model 1A using M170 (A-B) and M120 (C-E). A) 0.5μ M, B) 0.1μ M, C) 0.5μ M, D) 0.1μ M, E) 0.005μ M target. Strips 1-2 were prepared by 4μ M probe and strips 3-4 were by 8μ M. Strip E1 was prepared by 4μ M and E2 was by 8μ M, and then target was applied to both. All 1-3 strips were applied by target and strips 2-4 were by buffer as a negative control. Arrows show the test and control lines.

440

441 Figure 4. LOD of target by model 1B using M170 (A-C) and M120 (D-G). A) 0.5μ M, B) 0.1μ M,

442 C) 100pM, D) 0.5µM, E) 0.1µM, F) 0.005µM, G) 100Pm target. Strips 1-2 were prepared by

443 4μ M probe and strips 3-4 were by 8μ M. Strips F1-F2 were prepared by 8μ M. All 1-3 strips

444	were applied by target and strips 2-4 were by buffer as a negative control. Arrows show the test
445	lines.

- 446
- Figure 5. LOD of target by model 1.2 using M170 (A-C) and M120 (D-F). A) 0.005μM, B)
 50pM, C) 5pM, D) 0.005μM, E) 100pM, F) 50pM target. Strips 1-2 were prepared by 4μM
 prob and strips 3-4 were by 8μM. Strips C1-C2 were prepared by 8μM. All 1-3 strips were
 applied by target and strips 2-4 were by buffer as a negative control. Arrows show the test lines.
- 451

452 Supplementary Figures

453 Fig. S1. UV-Vis Spectroscopy (A), Dynamic Laser Particle Size Analyzer (B) and STEM
454 analysis (C) of synthesized AuNPs

455

Fig. S2. The UV-Vis spectra of AuNPs after the surface modifications with probes. A) Prob 1A
prepared by 4μM probe B) Prob 1A prepared by 8μM probe C) Prob 1B prepared by 4μM probe
D) Prob 1B prepared by 8μM probe E) Prob 1.2 prepared by 4μM probe F) Prob 1.2 prepared
by 8μM probe

460

Fig. S3. LFA sandwich models for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 N gene region. 1A and 1B is
designed for 72 base long N gene (2019-nCoV_N3) and 1.2 is for 50 base long N gene (2019nCoV_N3). COVID-19 N gene: 2019-nCoV_N3

464

465 Fig. S4. Agarose gel electrophoresis of 2019-nCoV_N3. 1) Ultra low DNA ladder
466 (Thermoscientific), 2-9) PCR products of 2019-nCoV_N3 (72 bp).



ournal Prest









Highlights

- 2019-nCoV_N3 was detected by developed LFA, rapidly •
- This is the first sandwich assay shows the hybridization models of PCR products for the • detection of 2019-nCoV_N by LFA
- Developed LFAs can be an alternative method to the conventional and real time PCR ٠
- Designed LFAs can be adoptable to the molecular detection of SARS-CoV-2 mutants ٠

.ci