



# Article The Toxicity of Eichhornia crassipes Fractionated Extracts against Aphis craccivora and Its Safety in Albino Rats

Sara Taha Abdelkhalek <sup>1,2</sup>, Sherein Saied Abdelgayed <sup>3</sup>, Hong Jiang <sup>4</sup> and Man-Qun Wang <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Hubei Insect Resources Utilization and Sustainable Pest Management Key Laboratory, College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China; staha2015@yahoo.com
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Entomology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo 11566, Egypt
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza 12211, Egypt; sherein.abdelgayed@vet.cu.edu.eg
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Chemistry, College of Science, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China; jianghong0066@126.com
- \* Correspondence: mqwang@mail.hzau.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-136-2712-6839

Abstract: Eichhornia crassipes were evaluated in order to investigate the insecticidal activity towards Aphis craccivora adults. The  $LC_{50}$  values were promising and reflected the bio-efficacy of the tested extracts (39 and 42 mg/L), respectively, and reduced the fecundity markedly. Using GC/MS analysis, the major components were n-hexadecanoic, linolenic, hexadecenoic, myristic, stearic acids, linolelaidic acid, methyl ester and some terpenoids, alkaloids, and hydrocarbons. A safety assessment of non-target organisms is essential for the development of new pesticides. In order to guide the rational use of the most potential insecticidal extracts AcF and EtF, the effect of these extracts on body weight, hematological indices, biochemical indicators, and histopathology of some relevant organs of albino rats (as a model for mammals) was investigated. The research outcomes revealed that the LC<sub>50</sub> of AcF and EtF extracts had gradually raised body weight for 14 days (p > 0.05). Similarly, there were no remarkable alternations in the complete blood count (CBC); only a slight decrease in the monocytes count (612  $\pm$  159.80  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup>  $\mu$ L) in the EtF-treated group. There was a notable increase in alanine transferase (ALT) activity ( $36.73 \pm 1.44 \text{ IU/L}$ ) in the AcF-treated group. No destructive changes were noted with the remaining biochemical parameters. Cholesterol and triglycerides non-significantly increased in the EtF group, whereas, cholesterol levels decreased significantly in the AcF group. In addition, histopathological examination reflected minor changes in AcF and EtF groups in the form of mild inflammation in the lungs and mild vacuolar degeneration in the kidneys, while no lesions were detected in the heart and liver in the same groups. Thus, the present research suggested that AcF and EtF extracts of *E. crassipes* are safe green insecticides for insect control strategies.

**Keywords:** acetone extract of *E. crassipes*; ethanol extract of *E. crassipes*; GC/MS analysis; bean aphid; bioassay; hematological indices; biochemical assessment; histopathology

**Key Contribution:** (1) The major biologically active components in *E. crassipes* fractionated extracts are *n*-hexadecanoic, linolenic, hexadecenoic, myristic, stearic acids, linolelaidic acid, methyl ester, and some terpenoids, alkaloids, and a group of hydrocarbons. (2) The extracts exhibited high insecticidal potency against adults of *Aphis craccivora* with  $LC_{50}$  values (39 and 42 mg/L) after 72 h of treatment. (3) No deleterious effects were noted during the safety assessment of AcF and EtF extracts in albino rats through a series of experiments (hematology, biochemistry, and histopathology).

# 1. Introduction

*Aphis craccivora* Koch. (the bean aphid) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) is considered one of the most deleterious insects globally [1]. The bean aphid infests many parts of the bean plant (leaves, stems, twigs, pods, and flowers) [2]. In addition, these insect species cause serious economic losses in the yields (up to 100%) of a wide range of family Leguminosae [3].



Citation: Abdelkhalek, S.T.; Abdelgayed, S.S.; Jiang, H.; Wang, M.-Q. The Toxicity of *Eichhornia crassipes* Fractionated Extracts against *Aphis craccivora* and Its Safety in Albino Rats. *Toxins* **2022**, *14*, 327. https://doi.org/10.3390/ toxins14050327

Received: 13 March 2022 Accepted: 23 April 2022 Published: 4 May 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Moreover, A. craccivora can transmit about twenty plant viruses worldwide, including the bean leaf roll virus [4]. The traditional control method for A. craccivora is chemical control using insecticides, but the unwise use of such chemicals resulted in secondary pests outbreaks and the resistance build-up to insecticides [5,6]. Synthetic pesticides are one of the most crucial environmental pollutants used intensively in the agricultural sector for controlling or protecting against pests and diseases. In addition, more than four million tons of pesticides are applied annually, but only 1% of these doses reach their targets [7]. Moreover, the indiscriminate usage of pesticides becomes a threat to the ecosystem. They caused direct and indirect effects on non-target organisms and have toxic residues in soils and plants, etc., which leads to environmental sustainability imbalance [8–10]. Botanical extracts are one of the most tested / effective natural products against different pest species. They can act by disrupting some physiological and biological processes in the pest [11]. Botanical products service to be insect growth regulators, insecticides, repellents, and antifeedants for a large scale of insect pests due to their attribution to some active secondary metabolites, such as alkaloids, flavonoids, and terpenoids [12,13]. The extraction of such toxic bioactive phytochemicals opens the vista for further assessment as insecticidal agents, as these phytochemical compounds are considered a large reservoir of different chemical structures with a variety of biological activities [14].

*Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart) Solms (water hyacinth) (Family: Pontederiaceae) is one of the most invasive freshwater herbs globally. It has extended over many continents, especially North America, Africa, Southeast Asia, and Central America [15]. Water hyacinth grows as dense monocultures that endanger the variety of indigenous plant species in the area [16]. Furthermore, these dense mates alter the physico-chemical properties of the aquatic system. Thus, leading to alternations in the function and structure of the surrounded ecosystem through the disruption of food chains and nutrient cycle. Dense monocultures can deplete the dissolved oxygen in aquatic systems, resulting in a reduction in fish productivity [17]. Additionally, the extracts of water hyacinth reflected an insecticidal activity against *Achaea janata* and *Spodoptera litura* [18]. The root extract had 100% mortality when subjected to *Chironomus ramosus* larvae [19]. Moreover, acetone and ethanol fractions had a promising toxic effect on *Agrotis ipsilon* larvae [13]. Hexane and methanolic extracts had an insecticidal activity on all *Culex quinquefaciatus* stages [20].

The use of plant extracts as pesticides is widespread. The chemical composition of these plants should be detected and identified. However, in some situations, there is a lack of toxicological evaluations, especially toward non-target organisms (including mammals) [21,22]. Thus, some studies should be carried out on laboratory animals to maintain human safety. In this regard, the current research aims to identify the chemical composition of acetone and ethanol fractions extracts of *E. crassipes*. Moreover, we investigated their insecticidal effect on bean aphid adults, hematological, biochemical, and histopathological indicators of an experimental model (albino rats).

#### 2. Results

#### 2.1. Chemical Composition of AcF and EtF Extracts of E. crassipes

According to the GC/MS analysis data of AcF and EtF extracts, the major compounds of chemical composition of the acetone fraction extract were *n*-hexadecanoic acid (16.72%), linolenic acid (11.13%), hexadecanoic acid (9.35%), hexahydrofarnesyl acetone (9.03%), phytol (3.79%), 2-phenylundecane (2.83%), 2-phenyldodecane (2.75%), hexa-hydro-farnesol (2.60%), neophytadiene (2.39%), 2-phenyltridecane (2.24%), 12,15-octadecadiynoic acid, methyl ester (2.21%), 8-octadecenoic acid, methyl ester (2.16%), 6-phenyldodecane (2.08%), phthalic acid, and bis (2-ethylhexyl) ester (2.04%) (Table 1). The major AcF extract compounds represent about 93.72% of the total components, while the minor compounds represent about 6.28% and are listed in Table S1.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	RT (min.)	Compound Name RP Area (%		MWt.	MF
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Acetone Fraction	n Extract		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27.01	12,15-Octadecadiynoic acid,	2.21	200	СНО
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27.01	methyl ester	2.21	290	$C_{19}\Pi_{30}O_2$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27.64	6-phenylundecane	1.08	232	$C_{17}H_{28}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27.73	5-phenylundecane	1.14	232	$C_{17}H_{28}$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	27.97	4-phenylundecane	1.13	232	$C_{17}H_{28}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28.48	3-phenylundecane	1.55	232	$C_{17}H_{28}$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	29.37	2-phenylundecane	2.83	232	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>28</sub>
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	29.85	6-Phenyldodecane	2.08	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	29.95	5-Phenyldodecane	1.51	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30.23	4-Phenyldodecane	1.40	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30.73	3-Phenyldodecane	1.86	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	31.23	Myristic acid	1.24	228	$C_{14}H_{28}O_2$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31.60	2-Phenyldodecane	2.75	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31.96	6-phenyltridecane	1.93	260	$C_{19}H_{32}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32.10	5-phenyltridecane	1.18	260	$C_{19}H_{32}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32.15	Neophytadiene	2.39	278	$C_{20}H_{38}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32.39	Hexahydrofarnesyl acetone	9.03	268	$C_{18}H_{36}O$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	32.66	3,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2	1.00	296	$C_{20}H_{40}O$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22.00	nexadecen-1-01	1.00	260	СЧ
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32.90	2 Dhanaltri da san a	1.82	260	$C_{19} H_{32}$
33.92Hexa-hydro-farmesol2.60228 $C_{15}H_{32}O$ Cyclopropanenonanoic34.82acid,2-[(2-butylcyclopropyl)1.18322 $C_{21}H_{38}O_2$ methyl]-, methyl estermethyl]-, methyl ester256 $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$ 35.62n-Hexadecanoic acid16.72256 $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$ 37.28Linolelaidic acid, methyl ester1.34294 $C_{19}H_{36}O_2$ 37.65Phytol3.79296 $C_{20}H_{40}O$ 38.69Linolenic acid11.13278 $C_{18}H_{30}O_2$ 38.95Octadecanoic acid1.89284 $C_{18}H_{36}O_2$ 44.71Phthalic acid, bis2.04390 $C_{24}H_{38}O_4$ 48.45Phthalic acid, dinonyl ester1.12418 $C_{26}H_{42}O_4$ Ethanol Fraction Extract26.99Lauric acid1.17200 $C_{12}H_{24}O_2$ 27.62Undecane, 6-phenyl-1.06232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 27.71Undecane, 5-phenyl-1.05232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 27.96Undecane, 3-phenyl1.59232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 29.36Undecane, 2-phenyl-3.37232 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 29.85Dodecane, 6-phenyl-3.01246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 29.95Dodecane, 6-phenyl-2.54246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 30.23Dodecane, 5-phenyl-2.54246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 30.74Dodecane, 5-phenyl-3.49246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ <	33.72	2-Phenyitridecane	2.24	260	$C_{19}\Pi_{32}$
Cyclopropan="1">Cyclopropan=Cyclopropan="1">Cyclopropan=Cyclopropan="1">Cyclopropan=Cyclopropan=Cyclopropan="1">Cyclopropan=Cyclopropan=Cyclopropan="1">Cyclopropan=Cyclopropan=Cyclopropan="1">Cyclopropan=Cyclo	33.92	Hexa-nydro-farnesol	2.60	228	$C_{15}H_{32}O$
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 34.82 & \operatorname{acld}_{2^{-1}(2^{-1}\operatorname{butylcyclopropyl})} & 1.18 & 322 & \operatorname{C_{21}H_{38}O_2} \\ & \operatorname{methyll}_{2^{-1}, \operatorname{methyl}} \operatorname{ester} \\ 35.41 & \operatorname{Hexadecanoic} \operatorname{acid} & 9.35 & 256 & \operatorname{C_{16}H_{32}O_2} \\ 35.62 & n-\operatorname{Hexadecanoic} \operatorname{acid} & 16.72 & 256 & \operatorname{C_{16}H_{32}O_2} \\ 37.28 & \operatorname{Linolelaidic} \operatorname{acid}, \operatorname{methyl} \operatorname{ester} & 1.34 & 294 & \operatorname{C_{19}H_{36}O_2} \\ 37.40 & 8-\operatorname{Octadecenoic} \operatorname{acid} & \operatorname{methyl} \operatorname{ester} & 2.16 & 296 & \operatorname{C_{20}H_{40}O} \\ 38.69 & \operatorname{Linolenic} \operatorname{acid} & 11.13 & 278 & \operatorname{C_{18}H_{30}O2} \\ 38.95 & \operatorname{Octadecanoic} \operatorname{acid} & 1.89 & 284 & \operatorname{C_{18}H_{30}O2} \\ 44.71 & \operatorname{Phthalic} \operatorname{acid}, \operatorname{bis} & 2.04 & 390 & \operatorname{C_{24}H_{38}O_4} \\ 48.45 & \operatorname{Phthalic} \operatorname{acid}, \operatorname{dinonyl} \operatorname{ester} & 1.12 & 418 & \operatorname{C_{26}H_{42}O_4} \\ \hline & & & &$	24.92	Cyclopropanenonanoic	1 10	200	
35.41Hexadecanoic acid9.35256 $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$ 35.62n-Hexadecanoic acid16.72256 $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$ 37.28Linolelaidic acid, methyl ester1.34294 $C_{19}H_{34}O_2$ 37.408-Octadecenoic acid, methyl ester2.16296 $C_{19}H_{36}O_2$ 37.65Phytol3.79296 $C_{20}H_{40}O$ 38.69Linolenic acid11.13278 $C_{18}H_{30}O2$ 38.95Octadecanoic acid1.89284 $C_{18}H_{36}O2$ 44.71Phthalic acid, bis (2 ethylhexyl) ester2.04390 $C_{24}H_{38}O_4$ Ethanol Fraction ExtractZ6.99Lauric acid1.17200 $C_{12}H_{24}O_2$ Z7.62Undecane, 6-phenyl-1.06232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 27.71Undecane, 5-phenyl-1.05232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 27.96Undecane, 4-phenyl-1.26232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 29.36Undecane, 3-phenyl1.59232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 29.36Undecane, 2-phenyl-3.01246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 29.95Dodecane, 6-phenyl-3.01246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 29.95Dodecane, 5-phenyl-2.59246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 30.74Dodecane, 3-phenyl-2.59246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 31.25Tetradecanoic acid1.15228 $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$	34.82	acid,2-[(2-butylcyclopropyl) methyll- methyl ester	1.18	322	$C_{21}H_{38}O_2$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	35 41	Hexadecanoic acid	9 35	256	$C_{1}$ $H_{22}O_{2}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 37.28 & Linolelaidic acid, methyl ester 1.34 & 294 & C_{19}H_{34}O_2 \\ 37.40 & 8-Octadecenoic acid, methyl ester 2.16 & 296 & C_{19}H_{36}O_2 \\ 37.65 & Phytol & 3.79 & 296 & C_{20}H_{40}O \\ 38.69 & Linolenic acid & 11.13 & 278 & C_{18}H_{30}O2 \\ 38.95 & Octadecanoic acid & 1.89 & 284 & C_{18}H_{36}O2 \\ 44.71 & Phthalic acid, bis & 2.04 & 390 & C_{24}H_{38}O_4 \\ \hline \\ $	35.62	<i>n</i> -Hexadecanoic acid	16 72	256	$C_{16}H_{32}O_2$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37.28	Linolelaidic acid methyl ester	1 34	294	$C_{10}H_{24}O_{2}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37.20	8-Octadecenoic acid methyl ester	2.16	294	$C_{19}H_{34}O_2$
38.69Linolenic acid11.13278 $C_{20}P_{40}C_{20}$ 38.95Octadecanoic acid1.89284 $C_{18}H_{30}O2$ 44.71Phthalic acid, bis2.04390 $C_{24}H_{38}O4$ 48.45Phthalic acid, dinonyl ester1.12418 $C_{26}H_{42}O_4$ Ethanol Fraction Extract26.99Lauric acid1.17200 $C_{12}H_{24}O_2$ 27.62Undecane, 6-phenyl-1.06232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 27.71Undecane, 5-phenyl-1.05232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 27.96Undecane, 4-phenyl-1.26232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 28.47Undecane, 3-phenyl1.59232 $C_{17}H_{28}$ 29.36Undecane, 2-phenyl-3.01246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 29.95Dodecane, 6-phenyl-3.01246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 30.23Dodecane, 5-phenyl-2.54246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 30.74Dodecane, 3-phenyl-3.49246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 31.25Tetradecanoic acid1.15228 $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$	37.65	Phytol	3 79	296	$C_{19} H_{36} O_2$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38.69	Lipolenic acid	11 13	278	$C_{10}H_{20}O^2$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38.95	Octadecanoic acid	1 89	284	$C_{18}H_{30}O_{2}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50.75	Phthalic acid bis	1.09	204	018113602
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	44.71	(2 ethylhexyl) ester	2.04	390	$C_{24}H_{38}O_4$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	48.45	Phthalic acid, dinonyl ester	1.12	418	$C_{26}H_{42}O_4$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ethanol Fraction		Extract		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26.99	Lauric acid	1.17	200	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27.62	Undecane, 6-phenyl-	1.06	232	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>28</sub>
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27.71	Undecane, 5-phenyl-	1.05	232	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>28</sub>
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27.96	Undecane, 4-phenyl-	1.26	232	$C_{17}H_{28}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28.47	Undecane, 3-phenyl	1.59	232	$C_{17}H_{28}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29.36	Undecane, 2-phenyl-	3.37	232	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>28</sub>
29.95Dodecane, 5-phenyl-2.54246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 30.23Dodecane, 4-phenyl-2.59246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 30.74Dodecane, 3-phenyl-3.49246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 31.25Tetradecanoic acid1.15228 $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$	29.85	Dodecane, 6-phenyl-	3.01	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
30.23Dodecane, 4-phenyl-2.59246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 30.74Dodecane, 3-phenyl-3.49246 $C_{18}H_{30}$ 31.25Tetradecanoic acid1.15228 $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$	29.95	Dodecane, 5-phenyl-	2.54	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
30.74Dodecane, 3-phenyl- $3.49$ $246$ $C_{18}H_{30}$ 31.25Tetradecanoic acid $1.15$ $228$ $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$	30.23	Dodecane, 4-phenyl-	2.59	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
31.25 Tetradecanoic acid 1.15 228 $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$	30.74	Dodecane, 3-phenyl-	3.49	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
-14-20-2	31.25	Tetradecanoic acid	1.15	228	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>28</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
31.62 2-Phenyldodecane 6.22 246 C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>30</sub>	31.62	2-Phenyldodecane	6.22	246	$C_{18}H_{30}$
31.99 6-Phenyltridecane 4.99 260 C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub>	31.99	6-Phenyltridecane	4.99	260	$C_{19}H_{32}$
32.13 5-Phenyltridecane 3.66 260 C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub>	32.13	5-Phenyltridecane	3.66	260	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>32</sub>
32.40 4-Phenyltridecane 3.56 260 C10H22	32.40	4-Phenyltridecane	3.56	260	C19H32
$32.91$ $3$ -Phenyltridecane $4.47$ $260$ $C_{10}H_{22}$	32.91	3-Phenyltridecane	4.47	260	$C_{19}H_{32}$
33.76 Tridecane, 2-phenyl- 6.30 260 C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub>	33.76	Tridecane. 2-phenyl-	6.30	260	$C_{19}H_{32}$
34.05 Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester $3.04$ $270$ $C_{17}H_{24}O_2$	34.05	Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester	3.04	270	$C_{17}H_{24}O_{2}$
35.38 Hexadecanoic acid, ethyl ester 7.86 $284$ $C_{10}H_{24}O_2$	35.38	Hexadecanoic acid, ethyl ester	7.86	284	$C_{18}H_{36}O_{2}$
35.56 Hexadecanoic acid $5.51$ $256$ $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$	35.56	Hexadecanoic acid	5.51	256	$C_{16}H_{32}O_2$

**Table 1.** Chemical composition of acetone and ethanol fraction extracts of *Eichhronia crassipes*.

RT (min.)	Compound Name	RP Area (%)	MWt.	MF
37.40	9-Octadecenoic acid (Z)-, methyl ester	2.76	296	$C_{19}H_{36}O_2$
38.46	Ethyl linoleate	1.27	308	$C_{20}H_{36}O_2$
38.57	Oleic acid	3.39	282	$C_{18}H_{34}O_2$
44.72	Diisooctyl phthalate	2.48	390	$C_{24}H_{38}O_4$
47.07	11-Hydroxyascididemin	1.17	299	$C_{18}H_9N_3O_2$
47.19	Dodecyl cis-9,10-epoxyoctadecanoate	1.09	466	$C_{30}H_{58}O_3$
47.46	1,2-Cyclohexanedicarboxylicacid, nonyl 4-octyl ester	1.39	410	$C_{25}H_{46}O_4$
47.54	1,2-Cyclohexane dicarboxylic acid diisononyl ester	1.19	424	$C_{26}H_{48}O_4$
47.78	1,2-Cyclohexanedicarboxylicacid, cyclohexylmethyl nonylester	1.05	394	$C_{24}H_{42}O_4$
47.90	1,2-Cyclohexanedicarboxylicacid, nonyl 4-octyl ester	1.41	410	$C_{25}H_{46}O_4$

Table 1. Cont.

RT: Retention time; RP area: Relative peak area; MWt: Molecular weight; MF: Molecular formula.

The GC/MS results of ethanol fraction extract reported thirty-one compounds that were identified. The main constituents formed about 85.12% as hexadecanoic acid, ethyl ester (7.86%), tridecane, 2-phenyl- (6.30%), 2-phenyldodecane (6.22%), hexadecanoic acid (5.51%), 6-phenyltridecane (4.99%), 3-phenyltridecane (4.47%), 5-phenyltridecane (3.66%), 4-phenyltridecane (3.56%), dodecane, 3-phenyl- (3.49%), oleic acid (3.39%), undecane, 2-phenyl- (3.37%), hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester (3.04%), dodecane, 6-phenyl- (3.01%), 9-octadecenoic acid (z)-,methyl ester (2.76%), dodecane, 4-phenyl- (2.59%), dodecane, 5-phenyl- (2.54%), diisooctyl phthalate (2.48%) (Table 1). While there were some small percentage components (14.88%), which are presented in Table S2.

#### 2.2. Insecticidal Activity of AcF and EtF Extracts against Aphis craccivora

The insecticidal activity of acetone and ethanol fractionated extracts were tested against *Aphis craccivora* adults using different concentrations for each.

The toxicological activity of AcF extract was tested at different concentrations (60, 50, 40, 30, and 20 mg/L). The mortality percentages increased gradually according to concentration and time gradients as follows: 47.37%, 63.9%, and 80.56% during 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively, for 60 mg/L. For 50 mg/L, the mortality was 42.11%, 52.78%, and 69.44% during 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively. At 40 mg/L, the results were 28.95%, 36.11%, and 50% for 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively. Moreover, 30 mg/L recorded 18.42%, 22.22%, and 41.67%. Finally, 20 mg/L showed 10.53%, 11.11%, and 25% for 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively (Figure 1). All the data were compared with the control group for all concentrations, which had no changes during the experiment.

The insecticidal effect of EtF extract reflected that the percentage of mortality was directly proportioned with concentration and time. After 24 h, mortality was 36.8%, 31.58%, 23.7%, 13.16%, and 5.3% for concentrations 100, 50, 40, 30, and 20 mg/L, respectively compared with zero percent in the control. There was a gradual increase in mortality after 48 h (52.78%, 47.22%, 44.44%, 22.22%, and 13.9%) for previously mentioned concentrations, respectively. In addition, after 72 h, the mortality percentage reached the maximum, especially with the higher concentrations (100, 50, and 40 mg/L) as 77.78%, 63.9%, and 55.56% and 44.44% and 30.56% with 30 and 20 mg/L as presented in Figure 2.



Figure 1. Mortality percentages of AcF extract of E. crassipes against A. craccivora.



Figure 2. Mortality percentages of EtF extract of E. crassipes against A. craccivora.

## 2.3. Efficacy of AcF and EtF Extracts against Aphis craccivora

The results of the bioassay of acetone and ethanol fraction extracts of *E. crassipes* extract against aphid adults indicated that acetone fraction extract (AcF) was more effective against *A. craccivora* during the experiment (24, 48, and 72 h) with the lowest  $LC_{50}$  values as 64, 52, and 39 mg/L, respectively. Conversely, ethanol fraction extract (EtF) had higher  $LC_{50}$  values of 140, 86, and 42 mg/L (Table 2).

Treatment	LC <sub>50</sub> (mg/L)	Upper Limit	Lower Limit	$\mathbf{Slope} \pm \mathbf{SE}$
AcF (24 h)	64	76	55	$3.14\pm0.28$
AcF (48 h)	52	57	48	$4.67\pm0.50$
AcF (72 h)	39	42	36	$3.66\pm0.21$
EtF (24 h)	140	210	94	$1.86\pm0.21$
EtF (48 h)	86	110	66	$1.88\pm0.10$
EtF (72 h)	42	48	36	$2.03\pm0.09$

Table 2. Efficacy of AcF and EtF extracts against Aphis craccivora.

LC<sub>50</sub>; upper limit; lower limit their confidence limits at 95%.

#### 2.4. Effect of AcF and EtF Extracts on the Number of Offspring of Aphis craccivora

AcF extract reduced the fecundity of aphid adults more than EtF extract (Figure 3). AcF recorded the highest effect at 60 mg/L (number of offspring was 8) and 11, 13, 19, and 42 offspring for 50, 40, 30, and 20 mg/L, respectively, compared with 92 offspring in the



control (Figure 3a). On the other hand, EtF extract at the highest concentration (100 mg/L) reduced the fecundity to 5 offspring then 7, 8, 17, and 25 offspring for 50, 40, 30, and 20 mg/L, respectively, compared with 95 offspring in the control (Figure 3b).

Figure 3. Effect of (a) acetone and (b) ethanol fractions on the fecundity of A. craccivora adults.

# 2.5. Effects of Exposure to LC<sub>50</sub> of AcF and EtF Extracts of E. crassipes on Body Weight

Overall body weight increased with time in all groups, but there was no difference between the control and treatment groups (AcF and EtF) at any time point (14 days) (p > 0.05) (Figure 4). On day 1, weights were recorded as 120, 121.67 ± 1.67, and 125 g, respectively. On day 7, weights were 131.67 ± 1.67, 133.33 ± 1.67, and 135 ± 2.89 g, respectively. Whereas, on day 14, weights elevated to 143.33 ± 1.67, 143.33 ± 1.67, and 145 ± 2.89 g, respectively (p < 0.05).



**Figure 4.** Effect of acetone and ethanol fractions of *E. crassipes* extracts in albino rat's body weight (g) (values are means for  $n = 5 \pm SE$ ). The columns that have the same letter do not show a significant difference (p > 0.05) compared to the control.

2.6. Effects of Exposure to  $LC_{50}$  of AcF and EtF Extracts of E. crassipes on Hematological Indices 2.6.1. Effect of  $LC_{50}$  of AcF and EtF Extracts on Differential WBC Count

After 14 days of treatment with the most potent insecticidal extracts (AcF and EtF), there was a non-significant (p > 0.05) effect on the values of WBC, lymphocytes, monocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, and segmented cells with AcF-treated samples compared to the control (Table 3). Conversely, EtF-treated samples reflected a significant reduction only in the monocytes ( $612 \pm 159.80 \times 10^3 / \mu$ L) compared with the control ( $1006 \pm 255.60 \times 10^3 / \mu$ L) (p < 0.05) (Tables 3 and 4).

**Table 3.** Effect of  $LC_{50}$  concentration of acetone and ethanol fractions extracts of *E. crassipes* on differential WBC count in albino rats.

<b>Blood Parameters</b>	Control	Treatment Samples Acetone Fraction	Ethanol Fraction
WBC ( $\times 10^3 / \mu L$ )	$12.83 \pm 2.02$ a	$14\pm2$ a	$11.17\pm1.9$ a
Lymphocytes ( $\times 10^3/\mu$ L)	$7453 \pm 1348.51 \ ^{\rm a}$	$9360\pm1440~^{a}$	$6555 \pm 1262.71$ <sup>a</sup>
Monocytes ( $\times 10^3/\mu$ L)	$1006\pm255.60~^{\rm a}$	$1260\pm180$ $^{\rm a}$	$612\pm159.80^{\text{ b}}$
Neutrophils ( $\times 10^3/\mu$ L)	$3989\pm369.75~^{\rm a}$	$3000\pm301.10$ $^{\rm a}$	$3577.7 \pm 536.17 \ ^{\rm a}$
Eosinophils (×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL)	$385\pm60.56~^{\rm a}$	$380\pm87.18$ $^{\rm a}$	$422\pm67.30~^{\rm a}$
Segmented ( $\times 10^3/\mu$ L)	$3860.7 \pm 349.62 \ ^{\rm a}$	$2860\pm282.13~^{\text{a}}$	$3466\pm517.03~^{\rm a}$

The values are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 5). Means that have the same letter do not show a significant difference (p > 0.05) compared to the control.

**Table 4.** Effect of  $LC_{50}$  concentration of acetone and ethanol fractions extracts of *E. crassipes* on differential RBC count in albino rats.

Blood Parameters		Treatment Samples	
21004 1 4141100010	Control	Acetone Fraction	Ethanol Fraction
RBC ( $\times 10^6/\mu$ L)	$5.80\pm0.47$ a	$5.57\pm0.35$ a	$5.40\pm0.35~^{\rm a}$
Hemoglobin (g/Dl)	$13.87\pm0.49$ <sup>a</sup>	$14.13\pm0.52$ a	$13.43\pm0.24$ <sup>a</sup>
Hematocrit (%)	$41\pm1~^{a}$	$41.90\pm1.63$ a	$40\pm1~^{a}$
MCV (fL)	$71.60\pm5.80$ $^{\rm a}$	$75.60\pm3.19$ <sup>a</sup>	$74.80\pm5.86~^{\rm a}$
MCH (pg)	$24.29\pm2.42~^{a}$	$25.52\pm1.34$ <sup>a</sup>	$25.1\pm1.76$ <sup>a</sup>
MCHC (g/dL)	$33.83 \pm 0.69$ <sup>a</sup>	$33.73\pm0.37$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$	$33.60\pm0.29$ <sup>a</sup>
Platelets ( $\times 10^3/\mu$ L)	$246.67\pm4.81~^{\rm a}$	$298\pm4.58$ <sup>a</sup>	$296.67\pm6.36~^{a}$

The values are means  $\pm$  SE (*n*= 5). Means that have the same letter do not show a significant difference (*p* > 0.05) compared to the control.

#### 2.6.2. Effect of LC<sub>50</sub> of AcF and EtF Extracts on RBC Count and Indices

Treated groups (with the  $LC_{50}$  concentration of AcF and EtF extracts) reflected nonsignificant (p > 0.05) alternations in total red blood cell count (RBC), hemoglobin, hematocrit, mean corpuscular cell volume (MCV), MCH concentration (MCHC), mean cell hemoglobin (MCH), and platelets count compared with the control group (Table 4).

# 2.7. Effects of Exposure to $LC_{50}$ of AcF and EtF Extracts of E. crassipes on Biochemical Indices 2.7.1. Effect of $LC_{50}$ of AcF and EtF Extracts on Liver and Kidneys Functions

The results of the liver function (acid phosphatase, alkaline phosphatase, and alanine transaminase activities) and kidneys function (urea and creatinine) showed that no considerable significance was detected in the activity of acid phosphatase (ACP) with AcF and EtF extracts ( $3.18 \pm 0.52$  and  $2.65 \pm 0.56$  U/L, respectively) when compared with the control ( $2.25 \pm 0.29$  U/L) (p > 0.05). Similarly, the activity of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) had no notable alternations compared with the control ( $342.67 \pm 4.63$ ,  $329 \pm 2.08$ , and  $329.33 \pm 2.40$  IU/L, respectively) (p > 0.05). Whereas, a significant elevation (p < 0.05) was detected in alanine transaminase (ALT) activity in the group treated with AcF as  $36.73 \pm 1.44$  IU/L compared with the control at  $25.04 \pm 1.99$  IU/L (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Effect of  $LC_{50}$  concentration of acetone and ethanol fractions extracts of *E. crassipes* on liver and kidney functions in albino rats.

Treatment Samples	ACP (U/L)	ALP (IU/L)	ALT (IU/L)	Urea (g/dL)	Creatinine (mg/dL)
Control	$2.25\pm0.29$ $^{a}$	$329.33\pm2.40\ ^{a}$	$25.04\pm1.99~^{a}$	$30.9\pm0.53~^{a}$	$27.37\pm0.40~^{a}$
Acetone fraction	$3.18\pm0.52~^{a}$	$342.67\pm4.63~^a$	$36.73\pm1.44^{\text{ b}}$	$33.4\pm1.07~^{a}$	$29.05\pm0.52$ $^{a}$
Ethanol fraction	$2.65\pm0.56~^a$	$329\pm2.08~^{a}$	$35.13\pm4.19~^{a}$	$34.10\pm1.31~^{a}$	$28.67\pm1.91~^{\rm a}$

The values are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 5). Means that have the same letter do not show a significant difference (p > 0.05) compared to the control.

Urea concentration showed a non-significant effect (p > 0.05) in groups treated with AcF and EtF (33.4 ± 1.07 and 34.10 ± 1.31 g/dL), respectively, compared with the control (30.9 ± 0.53). Probably, creatinine had non-significant changes in AcF and EtF groups (29.05 ± 0.52 and 28.67 ± 1.91 mg/dL), respectively, compared with the control (27.37 ± 0.40 mg/dL) (p > 0.05) (Table 5).

#### 2.7.2. Effect of LC<sub>50</sub> of AcF and EtF Extracts on Total Cholesterol and Triglycerides

The quantitative analysis of total cholesterol showed a significant decrease with the AcF-treated group (67.33  $\pm$  3.84 mg/dL) (p < 0.05) while a significant elevation was seen with the EtF group (100.67  $\pm$  12.67 mg/dL) when compared with the control (76  $\pm$  3.06 mg/dL). Whereas, the triglycerides content was 77.33  $\pm$  9.94 mg/dL in the control and had minor changes after exposure to AcF (65.67  $\pm$  5.49 mg/dL). Similarly, the EtF treated group reflected a significant elevation in triglycerides (134.67  $\pm$  41.91 mg/dL) (p < 0.05) (Figure 5).

#### 2.8. Histopathological Findings and Lesion Scoring

Heart from the control group showed normal myocardial muscles bundles with normal striations and normal nucleation with no histopathological alterations (Figure 6a); heart from the AcF and EtF groups revealed normal histology as the control group (Figure 6b,c). Lungs from the control group revealed normal bronchiole, alveoli, and interstitial tissues with no signs of inflammation (Figure 6d), while lungs from the AcF and EtF groups showed slight inflammatory signs in the form of a mildly congested blood vessel with thick muscle wall and slight peri-bronchial and interstitial tissue mononuclear cells infiltrations (Figure 6e,f). Liver from all experimental groups reported normal hepatic parenchyma with organized hepatic cords, normal blood sinusoids, and central vein (Figure 6g), and portal

tract (Figure 6h,i). Kidneys from the control animals showed normal renal glomeruli, renal tubules, and interstitial tissues with no signs of degeneration (Figure 6j), while kidneys from the AcF and EtF groups showed vacuolar degeneration in some renal tubules (Figure 6k,l). Moreover, all the recorded lesions in the heart, lungs, liver, and kidneys were scored, as shown in Table 6.



**Figure 5.** Effect of acetone and ethanol fractions of *E. crassipes* extracts on total cholesterol and triglycerides (values are means for  $n = 5 \pm SE$ ) in albino rats. The columns that have the same letter do not show a significant difference (p > 0.05) compared to the control.



**Figure 6.** Photomicrographs of heart, lungs, liver, and kidneys from different experimental groups stained with hematoxylin and eosin. (**a**) Heart from control group (Gp I) (0). (**b**) Heart from AcF group

(Gp II) (0). (c) Heart from EtF group (Gp III) (0). (d) Lung from control group (Gp I) (0). (e) Lung from AcF group (Gp II) (+). (f) Lung from EtF group (Gp III) (+). (g) Liver from control group (Gp I) (0). (h) Liver from AcF group (Gp II) (0). (i) Liver from EtF group (Gp III) (0). (j) Kidneys from the control group (Gp I) (0). (k) Kidneys from AcF group (+). (l) Kidneys from EtF group (Gp III) (+).

**Table 6.** Grading of histopathological lesions in heart, lungs, liver, and kidneys in all experimental groups.

Organs		Grades of the Lesions			
		0 (Negative)	+ (Mild)	++ (Moderate)	+++ (Sever)
Control group	Heart Lungs Liver Kidneys	$\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$			
AcFgroup	Heart Lungs Liver Kidneys				
EtF group	Heart Lungs Liver Kidneys	$\checkmark$ $\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		

#### 3. Discussion

The chemical composition of water hyacinth showed the existence of some important phytochemicals, including fatty acids, alkaloids, terpenoids, glycosides, flavonoids, polyphenols, proteins, and some other metabolites [16,23,24]. The GC-mass analysis of E. crassipes fractionated extracts (AcF and EtF) indicated that there are many biologically active components. Among these phytochemicals large amounts of fatty acids, such as *n*-hexadecanoic acid (palmitic acid ester), linolenic acid, hexadecenoic acid (palmitic acid), myristic acid, octadecanoic acid (stearic acid), linolelaidic acid, methyl ester (polyenoic fatty acid), 8-octadecanoic acid, methyl ester (fatty acid methyl ester), 12,15-Octadecadiynoic acid, methyl ester (polyenoic fatty acid), oleic acid, ethyl linoleate (linoleic acid ethyl ester), 9-octadecanoic acid, methyl ester (polyenoic fatty acid), and lauric acid. These fatty acids can act as pesticides, anti-fungal, anti-bacterial, nematicide, insect repellent [25,26], alpha-reductase inhibitors, anti-arthritic, antioxidant, hypocholesterolemic, ant-acne [27], flavors, anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, hepatoprotective, anti-coronary, and anti-androgenic [28,29]. Moreover, diisooctyl phthalate, 1,2-cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid diisononyl ester, phthalic acid, bis (2 ethylhexyl) ester, and phthalic acid, dinonyl ester are plasticizer compounds that can act as anti-fouling and antibacterial [30,31]. In addition, the results include some terpenoid compounds, such as hexahydrofarnesyl acetone (sesquiterpenoid) and hexahydrofarnesol which were found in moderate percentages in the AcF extract; they have a potential antimicrobial activity [32], insecticidal activity [33], and allopathic potentiality [34]. Phytol (diterpene) and neophytadiene both have antimicrobial activity [35], especially phytol, which has a noticeable bactericidal effect on Staphylococcus aureus [36,37]. Furthermore, 3,7,11,15-tetramethyl-2 hexadecen-1-ol is one of the terpenoids compounds detected in the AcF extract (in our research) and previously detected in the *E. crassipes* ethanolic extract [38]. Another group of phytochemicals detected in our research, alkaloids, including 11-hydroxy-ascididemin (pentacyclic alkaloid) (its existence in water hyacinth extracts was not mentioned in the literature) has antimicrobial potential against Micrococcus luteus [32]. Besides the above mentioned biologically active components, there are a wide variety of hydrocarbons in moderate percentages, especially in the EtF extract rather than the AcF extract, such as tridecane, 2-phenyl, 2-phenyldodecane, 6-phenyltridecane, 3-phenyltridecane, 5-phenyltridecane, 4-phenyltridecane, dodecane, 3-phenyl-, undecane, 2-phenyl-, dodecane, 6-phenyl, dodecane, 5-phenyl, and undecane, 3-phenyl (in EtF extract). Whereas, 2-phenyldodecane, 3-phenyldodecane, 4-phenyldodecane, 6-phenyltridecane, 3phenyltridecane, and 5-phenyltridecane were in the AcF extract. According to our background, this is the first report for such phenylated hydrocarbons in *E. crassipes*. Similarly, there are some new compounds identified in *E. crassipes*, such as dodecyl cis-9,10-epoxyoctadecanoate, 1,2-Cyclohexanedicarboxylicacid, nonyl 4-octyl ester, 1,2-Cyclohexanedicarboxylicacid, cyclohexylmethyl nonylester, and 1,2-Cyclohexanedicarboxylicacid, nonyl 4-octyl ester. Generally, several factors influence the total amount and types of extracted phytochemicals, including the method of extraction, solvent used, the harvesting time, and the geographical origin of the plant [2].

Recently, various plant materials are being used as pesticides (insecticides). Mackled et al. [39] found that the essential oils of Mentha piperita, Pinus roxburghii, and Rosa spp. were highly effective against some stored product pests. Moreover, the results of Zhou et al. [40] revealed that plant derivatives of Dracocephalum integrifolium had a potential value against Aphis pomi. Extracts and fractions of Trillium govanianum indicate a promising insecticidal activity towards *Plutella xylostella* and *Aphis craccivora* larvae [41]. According to our data, the toxicity of AcF and EtF at different concentrations (60, 50, 40, 30, and 20 mg/L) AcF, and (100, 50, 40, 30, and 20 mg/L) for EtF for 24, 48, and 72 h, reflected that the lowest  $LC_{50}$ value (39 mg/L) was for AcF after 72 h, and 42 mg/L for EtF. These results showed that the AcF extract is more potent than EtF extract, which is in an agreement with Abdelkhalek et al. [13], who found that the acetone fraction of water hyacinth was the most effective against Agrotis ipsilon. Similarly, Jayanthi et al. [20] found that water hyacinth extracts have insecticidal activity against *Culex quinquefasciatus* and the mortality increased by increasing the concentrations. Conversely, Reddy et al. [42] illustrated that parthenium hysterophorus extract was less toxic to A. craccivora due to its  $LC_{50}$  value (947.87 mg/L) compared with parthenin insecticide, which had an  $LC_{50}$  value (839 mg/L). Furthermore, there was a reduction in the number of aphid progeny in samples treated with both tested extracts (AcF and EtF). These results are in an agreement with Thakshila et al. [43], who stated that the aqueous solution of Calotropis gigantea and Croton laccifera leaf extracts decreased the fecundity of A. craccivora significantly. Moreover, Soliman et al. [44] evaluated that the extracts of Nerium oleander and Yucca glauca (with different solvents) reduced the number of aphid's offspring remarkably.

According to Komalamisra et al. [45], if the  $LC_{50}$  value was less than 50 mg/L, the plant extract was considered a promising insecticide, while the extracts with  $LC_{50}$  values ranged between 100–500 mg/L were to have moderate insecticidal potency. Moreover, the presence of some fatty acids in the insecticidal formulation improves its toxicological efficacy against targeted pests. Such fatty acids are environmentally safe for vertebrates, have low persistence, and do not have resistance build-up in pests [46]. For example, Yousef et al. [47] investigated the toxicological potency of linoleic acid against different larval instars of *Spodoptera littoralis* and it showed a highly significant insecticidal effect with  $LC_{50}$  values of 4.7 and 9.11 g/100 mL for 2nd and 4th larval instars, respectively. In addition, Mostafa et al. [48] found the effectiveness of three fatty acids (stearic, oleic, and linoleic acids) against the 4th larval instar of *Earias insulana*. Their results revealed that the stearic acid had the highest effect, followed by oleic and linoleic acids, and all of them had deleterious effects on the biological and biochemical parameters.

However, these criteria are dependent on the duration of exposure to the plant material and the susceptibility of the insect stage, which may change the values of  $LC_{50}$  of examined phytochemicals [49]. Thus, the presence of fatty acids (*n*-hexadecanoic acid, linolenic acid, hexadecanoic acid), phytol, and hexahydrofarnesyl acetone in AcF and EtF extracts—and according to the revealed results—makes them very promising to complete for further insecticidal formulations and field application as green insecticidal agents.

In order to confirm the eligibility of a pesticide and its introduction to the field application, the pesticide must go through a series of animal studies to determine the hazardous effects that may be expected after acute and chronic exposure [50]. Furthermore, it is mandatory to follow sets of international guidelines and maintain the national legislation [51]. The safety evaluation of the most toxic plant extracts of *E. crassipes* (acetone (AcF) and ethanol fractions (EtF) was conducted by using the lethal median concentration (LC<sub>50</sub>), which kills 50% of the insect population in albino rats as a mammalian model (non-target organism). There was no mortality observed in animals treated with the LC<sub>50</sub> (240 and 370 mg/L for AcF and EtF, respectively), indicating the safety of the used extract. These results agree with Huma et al. [52] and Lalitha et al. [16], who found that the ethyl acetate and methanolic extracts of water hyacinth are safe with doses of 500–2000 mg/Kg body

As body weight is considered one of the animal health indicators, our findings reflected a normal gradual increase in body weight, which indicated the safety of used extracts. These outcomes were in concurrence with that shown in some studies [53,54].

weight, which is greater than the doses used in the current research.

The analysis of hematological and biochemical indices indicated crucial illustrations of the changes in the physiology of the blood due to diseases or xenobiotics exposure in animal models [55]. The results showed total WBC count, lymphocyte, neutrophils, eosinophils, segmented cells, RBC count, hemoglobin, hematocrit, MCV, MCH, MCHC, and platelet counts of treated animals with AcF and EtF of water hyacinth did not reveal any remarkable alternations compared to the control group. This is in agreement with Mirza and Panchal [53], who investigated non-significant increases with most of the hematological parameters when treated with vanillic acid, and even the significant increases and reductions were in the normal range. However, our data indicated a significant decrease in monocytes numbers with the EtF extract-treated group; it cannot be considered a sign of inflammation because of their number in the reference range. Moreover, our results had a disagreement with Akintimehin et al. [56], who found that the ethanol leaf extract of Justicia *carnea* caused an increase in RBC, PVC, hemoglobin, and platelet and a significant decrease in WBC, lymphocytes, and granulocytes levels. Another controversial study indicated that a highly significant decrease in WBC, lymphocytes, and monocytes percentages occurred when animals were treated with leaves extract of *Eruca sativa* and indicated that the leaves extract had some adverse effects on the blood indices [57]. Therefore, the hematological indices indicated the safety of *E. crassipes* AcF and EtF extracts.

Among the biochemical indices evaluated in the current study were ACP, ALP, ALT, urea, creatinine, total cholesterol, and triglycerides. ACP is located in the cellular lysosome and catalyzes the peroxidation of the lysosomal membrane. Furthermore, the elevation in ACP activity in the liver may be an indicator of lysosomal membrane damage and enzymes liberation [58]. The resulting data indicated no significant changes in ACP activity. This is in disagreement with the results of Sharma et al. [58]. ALP is an enzymatic marker for the destruction of the plasma membrane and is used for testing its integrity [59]. The outcomes cleared a non-remarkable modification in the activity of ALP are consistent with Ahmad et al. [54]. Moreover, Nwosu et al. [60] had an opposite reflection as they showed that the activity of ALP was reduced in treated rats with the botanical extract. ALT is a critical marker for detecting the organ's disruption, particularly liver and kidney. It is frequently produced in the serum when the hepatic membrane is damaged as a result of chemical exposure [61]. The presented outcomes showed a remarkable rising in the activity of ALT in animals treated with AcF compared with the control group. Nevertheless, this rising is considered to be within the normal ALT range of up to 45 IU/L as reported by Derbalah et al. [62]. This shows an agreement with other studies [54,63].

Blood urea and serum creatinine are critical indicators utilized for diagnosing kidney implementation [64]. Urea is the main nitrogen-containing protein breakdown metabolic byproduct [65]. Furthermore, creatinine is also the muscles' metabolic byproduct. Therefore, their accumulation in blood with high levels indicates physiological implementations and kidney injury [66]. Our results revealed normal concentrations of both urea and creatinine. These findings disagree with Kanu et al. [67], who found that levels of urea and creatinine were elevated significantly throughout the exposure period to chemically synthesized pesticides reflecting its deleterious effects on the renal functions. Moreover, the data are in concordance with Thangavelu et al. [68], who showed that the *Acacia catechu* seed extract was non-toxic to kidney.

Highly accumulative levels of lipids are one of the most crucial factors in cardiovascular disorders [69]. The most serious lipids associated with these cases are total cholesterol and triglycerides [70]. In the current study, the treatment with AcF extract reflected a significant depletion in cholesterol and a non-significant reduction in triglycerides. Whereas, EtF-treated groups had a non-remarkable elevation in both cholesterol and triglycerides levels since the elevated concentrations of triglycerides are associated with a high risk of heart and blood vessels diseases. Thus, there was no cholesterol accumulation in the blood vessels of treated animals, and the levels of triglycerides were still in the normal range, so no cardiovascular dysfunction occurred. This shows an agreement with the results obtained by Arwora et al. [69] and Hadi et al. [57].

Histopathological examination of treated groups' liver showed normal hepatic architecture without any alternations, even in the number of Kupffer cells (which activated and increased to eliminate the xenobiotics from hepatic cells). This supports the obtained biochemical results of ACP, ALP, and ALT. There was no damage observed in heart histology in AcF and EtF treated groups compared to the control group. However, the lungs had a mild inflammatory effect in groups treated with AcF and EtF extracts, the inflammation in pulmonary tissues did not indicate the toxicity of the extracts but may be a result of the existence of some active phytochemicals in the extracts. In addition, the rats did not have any respiratory discomfort during the experimental period. Kidneys tissues reflected a slight vacuolar degeneration; such few changes in the histology of AcF and EtF groups may be due to the daily intake of tested extracts [56]. Moreover, the urea and creatinine results supported normal kidney functionality. Our data were in conformity with many studies [56,60,71]. Conversely, our results disagreed with Ugagu et al. [72] and Maxwell et al. [73].

According to the aforementioned results and illustrations about the chemical composition of tested extracts, toxicological activities against *Aphis craccivora*, and the activities of hematological, biochemical parameters, and histopathological examination of different experimental animal groups, the use of AcF and EtF extracts of *E. crassipes* is considered safe as insecticidal agents in integrated pest management programs until further safety investigations are conducted.

#### 4. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Based on the obtained data, acetone and ethanol fractions of *E. crassipes* and their main elaborated components, *n*-hexadecanoic, linolenic, hexadecenoic, myristic, stearic acids, and linolelaidic acid, methyl ester and some terpenoids, alkaloids, and hydrocarbons displayed an insecticidal potency against *A. craccivora* adults and had a significant effect on aphids fecundity. The exposure of albino rats to the most potent insecticidal water hyacinth extracts (AcF and EtF) revealed normal changes in body weight, hematopoietic system, liver and kidney functions, cholesterol, and triglycerides levels. In addition, the histopathological examination showed mild effects on lungs and kidneys, which rank the extracts in the safe range for mammals. Therefore, this research study recommended that the AcF and EtF extract of water hyacinth should be considered as environmentally green insecticides and can be involved in integrated pest management programs. Furthermore, there is a need for further experiments on a field scale, some beneficial insects, and female rats' hormones and the histopathological alterations of their reproductive system to detect more safety investigations.

#### 5. Materials and Methods

#### 5.1. Plant Sample and Extraction

The plants of *E. crassipes* (fresh and mature) were collected from Zhanjiang city, Guangdong province, China. The plant identification was carried out at the Botany laboratory, College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China. Then, plants were thoroughly rinsed using distilled water and then dried at room temperature ~26 °C. Thereafter, an electrical blender was used for grinding the dried plants. The preparation and modifications of fractionated extracts (acetone and ethanol fractions) were prepared according to our previously published work [13].  $LC_{50}$  concentrations of AcF and EtF (240 and 370 mg/L, respectively) extracts were used in this study. The  $LC_{50}$  concentrations used in the current research were determined according to the previous bioassay test for *Agrotis ipsilon* [13]. Since it is stronger than *Aphis craccivora*, we used their  $LC_{50}$  to be more accurate in the safety assessment tests.

#### 5.2. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Analysis

The chemical composition of samples was performed using a Trace GC1310-ISQ mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Austin, TX, USA) with a direct capillary column TG–5MS (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm film thickness). The column oven temperature was initially held at 50 °C and then increased by 5 °C/min to 230 °C held for 2 min and increased to the final temperature of 290 °C by 30 °C min and held for 2 min. The injector and MS transfer line temperatures were kept at 250 and 260 °C, respectively; helium was used as a carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1 mL/min. The solvent delay was 3 min and diluted samples of 1 µL were injected automatically using an Autosampler AS1300 coupled with GC in the split mode. EI mass spectra were collected at 70 eV ionization voltages over the range of m/z 40–1000 in full scan mode. The ion source temperature was set at 200 °C. The components were identified by comparison of their retention times and mass spectra with those of WILEY 09 and NIST 11 mass spectral databases.

#### 5.3. Aphis craccivora Rearing

Parthenogenetic aphids were reared on previously planted broad beans plants (*Vicia faba* L.) on clay soil in plastic pots (7 cm diameter and 10 cm depth) under controlled laboratory conditions with a temperature of  $22 \pm 2$  °C, relative humidity 75%, and photoperiod of 16:8 (Light: Dark) according to Soliman et al. [44] The newly ecdyced adults were selected for the experiments.

#### 5.4. Efficacy/Bioassay of AcF and EtF Extracts of E. crassipes against A. craccivora

Five concentrations of each extract were prepared as 60, 50, 40, 30, and 20 mg/L for AcF and 100, 50, 40, 30, and 20 mg/L for EtF. Distilled water was used for the control groups. The insecticidal evaluation of the extracts was done using the leaf-dipping technique. Groups of ten wingless aphids were placed in Petri-dishes (9 cm diameter and 1.5 cm depth) having broad bean leaves dipped in the desired concentration for 10 s. Then, all dishes were placed in an adjusted plant growth room at  $22 \pm 2$  °C, 75% RH, and 16:8 L:D. Five replicates were prepared for each concentration (one dish for each replicate) and each replicate had ten individuals. Accordingly, each concentration has 50 aphids. After 24, 48, and 72 h the mortality of aphid was determined by gently probing using a fine-haired brush [44]. Numbers of aphid progeny (offspring) were also assessed in order to determine the effects of tested concentrations on the fecundity of aphids. Aphids were counted by a magnifying glass.

The bioassay against *A. craccivora* was conducted at low doses and safety assessment experiments were done at higher doses (240 and 370 mg/L) based on our previous research [13].

#### 5.5. Animals

This research included 15 female albino rats weighing 125–135 g. They were randomly categorized into three groups with five animals in each. Group 1: was treated with the  $LC_{50}$  AcF extract (240 mg/L). Group 2: was treated with the  $LC_{50}$  of EtF extract (370 mg/L), and Group 3 was the control group with only distilled water. Rats were housed under the optimum climatic conditions (relative humidity 25–75%, temperature 25 ± 5 °C, and photoperiod 12/12 light and dark cycles for 14 days). They were given the required doses (1 mL/mg) of body weight orally by gavage according to the  $LC_{50}$  of the insecticidal extracts of *E. crassipes*. All experimental procedures were approved and performed by the

Research Ethics Committee (REC) for Animal Subject Research affiliated with Ain Shams University, Egypt (Ethics approval number: 1,8467, 2015), according to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for animal care [51].

#### 5.6. Body Weight and Blood Sampling

The body weight of each animal was recorded weekly during the three weeks experimental period. At the end of the experiment (14th day), rats had the last dose and starved overnight. Ultimately, they were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and the blood samples were collected through the orbital plexus into test tubes, some of them with EDTA as an anticoagulant for hematological parameters determination. The remaining tubes were without anticoagulant for the biochemical analysis.

#### 5.7. Hematological and Biochemical Indices Determination

Hematological parameters of collected blood samples were analyzed using an automated hematology analyzer ABX Micros 60 (HORIBA Ltd., Montpellier, France). The blood indices were performed and included the total count of white blood cells (WBC), lymphocytes, monocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, segmented cells, total red blood cell count (RBC), hemoglobin, hematocrit, mean cell hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular cell volume (MCV), MCH concentration (MCHC), and platelets count.

Biochemical indices for liver and kidney function, such as acid phosphatase (ACP), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine transaminase (ALT), urea, creatinine, total cholesterol, and triglycerides were determined using the serum of collected samples. They measured according to the protocols provided in the used commercial laboratory kits, BIODIAGNOS-TIC (BIODIAGNOSTIC, Giza, Egypt) for ACP, ALP, ALT, urea, and creatinine. Cholesterol and triglycerides levels were measured with the SPINREACT kit (Ctra. Santa Coloma, 7 E-17176 SANT ESTEVE DE BAS (Barcelona, Spain).

#### 5.8. Histopathological Examination

By the end of the experiment (14th day), the heart, lungs, liver, and kidneys were collected from different experimental groups and routinely processed. The paraffin-embedded blocks were sectioned at a 5-micron thickness and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin [74] for histopathological examination by a light microscope (Olympus BX50, Tokyo, Japan).

#### 5.9. Grading of Histopathological Alterations

Histopathological alterations of the heart, lungs, liver, and kidneys were graded as: (0) indicated no changes, (+), (++), and (+++) revealed mild, moderate, and severe changes, respectively [75].

#### 5.10. Statistical Analysis

The mortality percentages were corrected in regard to the control using Abbott's formula [76]. The values of lethal concentrations (LC<sub>50</sub>) and slope were calculated according to Finney [77], using probit analysis software. All provided data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error (SE) of the mean. Differences between the experimental groups were obtained by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test through the SPSS software version 22.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) which was used for data analysis. Significant results were observed at a p < 0.05 level.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/toxins14050327/s1, Table S1: Minor phytochemicals of acetone fraction extract of *Eichhornia crassipes*; Table S2: Minor phytochemicals of ethanol fraction extract of *Eichhornia crassipes*.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.T.A. and M.-Q.W.; methodology, S.T.A. and S.S.A.; validation, S.T.A., S.S.A. and M.-Q.W.; formal analysis, S.T.A., S.S.A. and H.J.; investigation, S.T.A., H.J. and M.-Q.W.; resources, S.T.A. and S.S.A.; writing—original draft preparation, S.T.A. and S.S.A.;

writing—review and editing, S.S.A., H.J. and M.-Q.W.; supervision, M.-Q.W.; funding acquisition, M.-Q.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China, grant number is 2017YFD0200400.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC) for their support.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- Togola, A.; Boukar, O.; Belko, N.; Chamarthi, S.K.; Fatokun, C.; Tamo, M.; Oigiangbe, N. Host plant resistance to insect pests of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.): Achievements and future prospects. *Euphytica* 2017, 213, 239. [CrossRef]
- Sayed, S.M.; Alotaibi, S.S.; Gaber, N.; Elarrnaouty, S.-A. Evaluation of five medicinal plant extracts on Aphis craccivora (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and its predator, Chrysoperla Carnea (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) under laboratory conditions. *Insects* 2020, 11, 398. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Das, B.C. Ecology and diversity of agricultural crop infesting aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae) in Bangladesh. J. Aphidol. 2002, 16, 51–57.
- 4. Van Emden, H.F.; Harrington, R. Aphids as Crop Pests; Cabi: Wallingford, UK; Boston, MA, USA, 2017; ISBN 1780647093.
- 5. Li, F.; Han, Z. Mutations in acetylcholinesterase associated with insecticide resistance in the cotton aphid, Aphis gossypii Glover. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 2004, *34*, 397–405. [CrossRef]
- 6. Isman, M.B. Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **2006**, *51*, 45–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Gavrilescu, M. Fate of pesticides in the environment and its bioremediation. Eng. Life Sci. 2005, 5, 497–526. [CrossRef]
- 8. Rosell, G.; Quero, C.; Coll, J.; Guerrero, A. Biorational insecticides in pest management. J. Pestic. Sci. 2008, 33, 103–121. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lefrancq, M.; Imfeld, G.; Payraudeau, S.; Millet, M. Kresoxim methyl deposition, drift and runoff in a vineyard catchment. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2013**, 442, 503–508. [CrossRef]
- 10. Alengebawy, A.; Abdelkhalek, S.T.; Qureshi, S.R.; Wang, M.-Q. Heavy metals and pesticides toxicity in agricultural soil and plants: Ecological risks and human health implications. *Toxics* **2021**, *9*, 42. [CrossRef]
- 11. Isikber, A.A.; Alma, M.H.; Kanat, M.; Karci, A. Fumigant toxicity of essential oils from *Laurus nobilis* and *Rosmarinus officinalis* against all life stages of *Tribolium confusum*. *Phytoparasitica* **2006**, *34*, 167–177. [CrossRef]
- 12. Okonkwo, C.O.; Ohaeri, O.C.; Atangwho, I.J. Haematological changes in rats exposed to insecticidal oils from the leaves of *Cassia* occidentalis and *Euphorbia milii*. *Heliyon* **2019**, *5*, e01746. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abdelkhalek, S.T.; Mostafa, Z.K.; Hassan, H.A.E.-W.; Wang, M.-Q.; El-Bar, A.; Mohammad, M. The potential use of *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms against *Agrotis ipsilon* (Hufn.) (Lepidotera: Noctuidae), its efficacy as an insecticide on vital biological activities and assessment on its safety. *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 2022, 42, 741–753. [CrossRef]
- 14. Okonkwo, C.O.; Onyeji, C.M. Insecticidal potentials and chemical composition of essential oils from the leaves of *Phyllanthus amarus* and *Stachytarpheta cayennensis* in Nigeria. *Int. J. Biochem. Res. Rev.* **2018**, 22, 1–16. [CrossRef]
- 15. Villamagna, A.M.; Murphy, B.R. Ecological and socio-economic impacts of invasive water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*): A review. *Freshw. Biol.* **2010**, *55*, 282–298. [CrossRef]
- Lalitha, P.; Sripathi, S.K.; Jayanthi, P. Acute toxicity study of extracts of *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms. *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* 2012, 5, 59–61.
- 17. Shanab, S.M.M.; Shalaby, E.A.; Lightfoot, D.A.; El-Shemy, H.A. Allelopathic effects of water hyacinth [*Eichhornia crassipes*]. *PLoS* ONE 2010, 5, e13200. [CrossRef]
- 18. Devanand, P.; Rani, P.U. Biological potency of certain plant extracts in management of two lepidopteran pests of *Ricinus communis* L. *J. Biopestic.* **2008**, *1*, 170–176.
- Thorat, L.J.; Nath, B.B. Effects of water hyacinth *Eichhornia crassipes* root extracts on midge Chironomus ramosus larvae: A preliminary note. *Physiol. Entomol.* 2010, *35*, 391–393. [CrossRef]
- Jayanthi, P.; Lalitha, P.; Aarthi, N. Larvicidal and pupicidal activity of extracts and fractionates of *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms against the filarial vector *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. *Parasitol. Res.* 2012, 111, 2129–2135. [CrossRef]
- Boas, G.R.V.; de Araújo, F.H.S.; Marcelino, J.M.; Castro, L.H.A.; da Silveira, A.P.S.; Nacer, R.S.; de Souza, F.R.; Cardoso, C.A.L.; de Lacerda, R.B.; da Rosa Guterres, Z. Preclinical safety evaluation of the ethanolic extract from *Campomanesia pubescens* (Mart. ex DC.) O. BERG (guavira) fruits: Analysis of genotoxicity and clastogenic effects. *Food Funct.* 2018, *9*, 3707–3717. [CrossRef]

- 22. da Costa Araldi, I.C.; de Souza, T.P.; de Souza Vencato, M.; de Andrade Fortes, T.; Mello, C.B.E.; de Oliveira, J.S.; Dornelles, G.L.; de Andrade, C.M.; Maciel, R.M.; Danesi, C.C. Preclinical safety assessment of the crude extract from *Sida rhombifolia* L. aerial parts in experimental models of acute and repeated-dose 28 days toxicity in rats. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2021, 124, 104974. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Tulika, T.; Mala, A. Pharmaceutical potential of aquatic plant *Pistia stratiotes* (L.) and *Eichhornia crassipes*. *J. Plant Sci. Spec. Issue Med. Plants* **2015**, *3*, 10–18.
- 24. Tyagi, T.; Agarwal, M. Phytochemical screening and GC-MS analysis of bioactive constituents in the ethanolic extract of *Pistia* stratiotes L. and *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) solms. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.* **2017**, *6*, 195–206.
- 25. Jegadeeswari, P.; Nishanthini, A.; Muthukumarasamy, S.; Mohan, V.R. GC-MS analysis of bioactive components of aristolochia bracteata retz (aristolochiaceae). J. Curr. Chem. Pharm. Sci. Pharm. Sci. 2012, 2, 226–236.
- 26. Upgade, A.; Bhaskar, A. Characterization and medicinal importance of phytoconstituents of *C. papaya* from down south Indian region using gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy. *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* **2013**, *6*, 101–106.
- 27. Dr, Duke's Phytochemical and Ethnobotanical Databases. Artemisia Annu. 2005. [CrossRef]
- 28. Wu, L.-Y.; Gao, H.-Z.; Wang, X.-L.; Ye, J.-H.; Lu, J.-L.; Liang, Y.-R. Analysis of chemical composition of *Chrysanthemum indicum* flowers by GC/MS and HPLC. *J. Med. Plants Res.* **2010**, *4*, 421–426.
- 29. Vohra, A.; Kaur, H. Chemical investigation of medicinal plant Ajuga bracteosa. J. Nat. Prod. Plant Resour. 2011, 1, 37-45.
- 30. Sangeetha, J.; Vijayalakshmi, K. Determination of bioactive components of ethyl acetate fraction of *Punica granatum* rind extract. *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Drug Res.* **2011**, *3*, 116–122.
- 31. US National Library of Medicine. Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | C24H38O4; PubChem: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2019.
- Bontemps, N.; Bry, D.; López-Legentil, S.; Simon-Levert, A.; Long, C.; Banaigs, B. Structures and antimicrobial activities of pyridoacridine alkaloids isolated from different chromotypes of the ascidian *Cystodytes dellechiajei*. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 1044–1048. [CrossRef]
- 33. Mohamed, M.A.; Quisenberry, S.S.; Moellenbeck, D.J. 6, 10, 14-Trimethylpentadecan-2-one: A Bermuda grass phagostimulant to fall armyworm (lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Chem. Ecol.* **1992**, *18*, 673–682. [CrossRef]
- Razavi, S.M.; Nejad-Ebrahimi, S. Phytochemical analysis and allelopathic activity of essential oils of *Ecballium elaterium* A. Richard growing in Iran. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 2010, 24, 1704–1709. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Inoue, Y.; Hada, T.; Shiraishi, A.; Hirose, K.; Hamashima, H.; Kobayashi, S. Biphasic effects of geranylgeraniol, teprenone, and phytol on the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2005**, *49*, 1770–1774. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- de Felício, R.; de Albuquerque, S.; Young, M.C.M.; Yokoya, N.S.; Debonsi, H.M. Trypanocidal, leishmanicidal and antifungal potential from marine red alga *Bostrychia tenella* J. Agardh (Rhodomelaceae, Ceramiales). *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 2010, 52, 763–769. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, B.-C.; Wang, R.-L.; Yang, L.-N.; Lai, P.-X.; Xing, X. Chemical Composition and Biological Activity of the Essential Oil of Viola diffusa. Chem. Nat. Compd. 2020, 56, 1151–1153. [CrossRef]
- 38. Muthunarayanan, V.; Santhiya, M.; Swabna, V.; Geetha, A. Phytodegradation of textile dyes by water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) from aqueous dye solutions. *Int. J. Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *1*, 1702–1717.
- 39. Mackled, M.I.; EL-Hefny, M.; Bin-Jumah, M.; Wahba, T.F.; Allam, A.A. Assessment of the toxicity of natural oils from *Mentha piperita*, *Pinus roxburghii*, and *Rosa* spp. against three stored product insects. *Processes* **2019**, *7*, 861. [CrossRef]
- 40. Zhou, S.; Wei, C.; Zhang, C.; Han, C.; Kuchkarova, N.; Shao, H. Chemical composition, phytotoxic, antimicrobial and insecticidal activity of the essential oils of *Dracocephalum integrifolium*. *Toxins* **2019**, *11*, 598. [CrossRef]
- 41. Dolma, S.K.; Suresh, P.S.; Singh, P.P.; Sharma, U.; Reddy, S.G.E. Insecticidal activity of the extract, fractions, and pure steroidal saponins of *Trillium govanianum* W all. ex D. D on for the control of diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella* L.) and aphid (*Aphis craccivora* K och). *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2021, 77, 956–962. [CrossRef]
- 42. Reddy, S.G.E.; Dolma, S.K.; Verma, P.K.; Singh, B. Insecticidal activities of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. extract and parthenin against diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) and aphid, *Aphis craccivora* Koch. *Toxin Rev.* **2018**, *37*, 161–165. [CrossRef]
- 43. Thakshila, W.; Dammini Premachandra, W.T.S.; Borgemeister, C. Potential toxic effects of aqueous leaf extracts of *Calotropis* gigantea and *Croton laccifera* against *Aphis craccivora. Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* **2022**, *42*, 1165–1173. [CrossRef]
- Soliman, M.H.A.; El Assar, M.R.; Samia, M.A. The Toxicological Effects of Nerium oleander and *Yucca glauca* as Crude Leaf Extracts by Different Methods against Cowpea Aphid, Aphis craccivora (Koch) and Their Chemical Components. *Egypt. Acad. J. Biol. Sci. A Entomol.* 2015, *8*, 165–174.
- 45. Komalamisra, N.; Trongtokit, Y.; Rongsriyam, Y.; Apiwathnasorn, C. Screening for larvicidal activity in some Thai plants against four mosquito vector species. *Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health* **2005**, *36*, 1412. [PubMed]
- Imai, T.; Tsuchiya, S.; Morita, K.; Fujimori, T. Fatty Acid Insecticide and Insecticidal Method Using the Same. European Patent Application EP0663147-A1, 2 February 1995.
- Yousef, H.; EL-lakwah, S.F.; EL Sayed, Y.A. Insecticidal activity of linoleic acid against *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.). *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.* 2013, *91*, 573–580. [CrossRef]
- Moustafa, H.Z.; Yousef, H.; EL-lakwah, S.F. Toxicological and biochemical activities of fatty acids against earias insulana (boisd.) (lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.* 2018, *96*, 503–515. [CrossRef]

- Dias, C.N.; Alves, L.P.L.; Rodrigues, K.A.d.F.; Brito, M.C.A.; Rosa, C.d.S.; do Amaral, F.M.M.; Monteiro, O.d.S.; Andrade, E.H.d.A.; Maia, J.G.S.; Moraes, D.F.C. Chemical composition and larvicidal activity of essential oils extracted from Brazilian Legal Amazon Plants against *Aedes aegypti* L.(Diptera: Culicidae). *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2015, 2015, 490765. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Al Zarog, A. Oral Administrated Pesticides Residual Activity on Rat Kidney Function. J. Sebha Univ. Appl. Sci. 2008, 7, 5–13.
- Association, A.P. Revision of Ethical Standard 3.04 of the "Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct" (2002, as amended 2010). *Am. Psychol.* 2016, 71, 900. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Huma, A.; Meha, P.; Ganesh, N.; Janak, A. The world's worst aquatic plant as a safe cancer medicine antitumor activity on melanoma induced mouse by Eichornia crassipes: In vivo studies. *J. Pharm. Res.* **2009**, *2*, 1365–1366.
- 53. Mirza, A.C.; Panchal, S.S. Safety assessment of Vanillic acid: Subacute Oral toxicity studies in Wistar rats. *Turk. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2020, 17, 432–439. [CrossRef]
- 54. Ahmad, M.A.A.A.S.; Al-Salmi, A.A.; Kamel, F.O.; Khan, L.M. Evaluation of the Toxicological Profile of *Commiphora opobalsamum* in Wister Rats for Its Safety and Rational Use. *Evaluation* **2021**, *33*, 31–42. [CrossRef]
- 55. Mukinda, J.T.; Syce, J.A. Acute and chronic toxicity of the aqueous extract of Artemisia afra in rodents. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2007, 112, 138–144. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Akintimehin, E.S.; Karigidi, K.O.; Omogunwa, T.S.; Adetuyi, F.O. Safety assessment of oral administration of ethanol extract of Justicia carnea leaf in healthy wistar rats: Hematology, antioxidative and histology studies. *Clin. Phytosci.* **2021**, *7*, 2. [CrossRef]
- 57. Hadi, M.A.; Almamoori, A.M.J.; Al-Hassnawi, A.T.S.; Hameedi, E.H. Oxidative response associated with treatment of male Albino rats with *Eruca sativa* Mill leaves extract and correlations with complete blood picture. *J. Pharm. Sci. Res.* **2017**, *9*, 2278–2285.
- 58. Sharma, A.; Sharma, M.K.; Kumar, M. Protective effect of Mentha piperita against arsenic-induced toxicity in liver of Swiss Albino mice. *Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **2007**, 100, 249–257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Adeyemi, O.T.; Osilesi, O.; Adebawo, O.O.; Onajobi, F.D.; Oyedemi, S.O.; Afolayan, A.J. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) Activities in selected tissues of rats fed on processed atlantic horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*). *Adv. Biosci. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *6*, 139–152. [CrossRef]
- 60. Nwosu, L.C.; Adedire, C.O.; Ogunwolu, E.O.; Ashamo, M.O. Toxicological and histopathological effects of *Dennettia tripetala* seed used as grain protectant, food, and medicine. *Food Qual. Saf.* **2017**, *1*, 211–220. [CrossRef]
- 61. Saki, N.; Saki, G.; Rahim, F.; Nikakhlagh, S. Modulating effect of soy protein on serum cardiac enzymes in cholesterol-fed rats. *Int. J. Med. Med. Sci.* **2011**, *3*, 390–395.
- 62. Derbalah, A.S.; Hamza, A.M.; Gazzy, A.A. Efficacy and safety of some plant extracts as alternatives for *Sitophilus oryzae* control in rice grains. *J. Entomol.* **2012**, *9*, 57–67. [CrossRef]
- 63. Rao, R.M.; Khan, Z.A.; Shah, A.H. Toxicity studies in mice of *Commiphora molmol* oleo–gum–resin. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2001, 76, 151–154. [CrossRef]
- 64. Edelstein, C.L. Biomarkers in acute kidney injury. Biomark. Kidney Dis. 2017, 241–315. [CrossRef]
- 65. Odeyemi, O.O.; Masika, P.; Afolayan, A.J. A review of the use of phytochemicals for insect pest control. *Afr. Plant Prot.* **2008**, 14, 1–7.
- 66. Adebo, C.T.; Adeyemi, J.A.; Adedire, C.O. Biochemical and histopathological effects of a bioinsecticide, *Anchomanes difformis* (Blume) Engler rhizome powder on Wistar rats. *Comp. Clin. Path.* **2018**, *27*, 1545–1550. [CrossRef]
- 67. Kanu, K.C.; Ijioma, S.N.; Atiata, O. Haematological, biochemical and antioxidant changes in Wistar rats exposed to dichlorvos based insecticide formulation used in Southeast Nigeria. *Toxics* **2016**, *4*, 28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Thangavelu, L.; Balusamy, S.R.; Shanmugam, R.; Sivanesan, S.; Devaraj, E.; Rajagopalan, V.; Veeraiyan, D.N.; Chellappan, D.K.; Dua, K.; Kim, Y.-J. Evaluation of the sub-acute toxicity of *Acacia catechu* Willd seed extract in a Wistar albino rat model. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2020, 113, 104640. [CrossRef]
- 69. Arowora, K.A.; Imo, C.; Ezeonu, C.S.; Muhammad, Z.I. Effects of ethanolic extracts of Datura metel on blood lipid profile of male albino rats. *Int. J.* 2016, *2*, 248. [CrossRef]
- Shao, H.; Xiao, M.; Zha, Z.; Olatunji, O.J. UHPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS2 analysis of *Acacia pennata* extract and its effects on glycemic indices, lipid profile, pancreatic and hepatorenal alterations in nicotinamide/streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2022, *10*, 1058–1069. [CrossRef]
- Ileke, K.D.; Odeyemi, O.O.; Ashamo, M.O.; Oboh, G. Toxicological and histopathological effects of cheese wood, alstonia boonei de wild stem bark powder used as cowpea protectant against cowpea bruchid, callosobruchus maculatu (fab.) [coleoptera: Chrysomelidae] on albino rats. *Ife J. Sci.* 2014, *16*, 23–33.
- 72. Ugagu, G.M.; Nwoke, B.E.B.; Iwuala, M.O.E.; MU, C.; Ajero, A.A.A.; Opara, N.K.; Onwubuche, B.C.; Isiaka, P.I.; Nwosu, L.C.; Okereke, V.C. Monitoring mortality, seed viability tolerance, toxicological and histopathological effects of powder of *Syzygium aromaticum* dried flower bud used for postharvest control of *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky infestation of maize grains. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* 2021, 9, 86–92. [CrossRef]
- 73. Maxwell, L.P.; John, C.; Noel, N.; Steven, S. The histopathologic effects of *Securidaca longepedunculata* on heart, liver, kidney and lungs of rats. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 2007, *6*, 591–595.
- Bancroft, J.D.; Gamble, M. Theory and Practice of Histological Techniques; Elsevier Health Sciences: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008; ISBN 0443102791.
- 75. Arsad, S.S.; Esa, N.M.; Hamzah, H. Histopathologic changes in liver and kidney tissues from male Sprague Dawley rats treated with *Rhaphidophora decursiva* (Roxb.) Schott extract. *J. Cytol. Histol. S* **2014**, *4*, 1–6. [CrossRef]

76. Abbott, W.S. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 1925, *18*, 265–267. [CrossRef]
77. Finney, D.J. *Probit Analysis*, 3rd ed.; Cambridge University Press: London, UK, 1971.