CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be
a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.
Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.
In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS,
or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).
Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):
Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group
CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and Mobile Health Interventions
J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126

URL: http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/
doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923
PMID: 22209829

Your name *

First Last

Katell Le Du

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Confluent Private Hospital

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

katell.ledu@gmail.com

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

Comparison of electronic surveillance with routine monitoring for patients with lymphoma at high risk of relapse: results of the Sentinel Lymphoma randomized trial

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

MOOVCARE PRO SYSTEM

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

versions 1.7 and 1.8

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

French

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

https://www.moovcare.com/fr/

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Votre réponse

Accessibility *

Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- ○ access is free and open
- ◉ access only for special usergroups, not open
- ○ access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- ○ app/intervention no longer accessible
- ○ Autre :

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *

e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Relapse monitoring/Lung Cancer

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

The primary outcome was to demonstrate that

Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Secondary outcomes were overall and progression-free survival at two years, relapse rate at two years, and quality of life for patients in both arms, and compliance and satisfaction for the experimental arm

Recommended "Dose" *

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- ○ Approximately Daily
- ◉ Approximately Weekly
- ○ Approximately Monthly
- ○ Approximately Yearly
- ○ "as needed"
- ○ Autre :

Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- ○ unknown / not evaluated
- ○ 0-10%
- ○ 11-20%
- ○ 21-30%
- ○ 31-40%
- ○ 41-50%
- ○ 51-60%
- ○ 61-70%
- ○ 71%-80%
- ○ 81-90%
- ◉ 91-100%
- ○ Autre :

Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- ○ yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ○ partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ◉ no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- ○ potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- ○ inconclusive: more research is needed
- ○ Autre :

Article Preparation Status/Stage *

At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- ○ not submitted yet - in early draft status
- ○ not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- ○ submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- ○ submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- ◉ submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- ○ published
- ○ Autre :

Journal *

If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- ○ not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- ○ Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- ○ JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- ○ JMIR Serious Games
- ○ JMIR Mental Health
- ○ JMIR Public Health
- ○ JMIR Formative Research
- ○ Other JMIR sister journal
- ◉ Autre : JMIR Caner

Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- ○ Pilot/feasibility
- ◉ Fully powered

Manuscript tracking number *

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

- ○ no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
- ◉ Autre : 65960

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

- (●) yes
- ( ) Autre :

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use “web-based” and/or “mobile” and/or “electronic game” in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like “online”, “virtual”, “interactive”. Use “Internet-based” only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use “computer-based” or “electronic” only if offline products are used. Use “virtual” only in the context of “virtual reality” (3-D worlds). Use “online” only in the context of “online support groups”. Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as “mobile” or “smart phone” instead of “iphone”), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	( )	( )	( )	( )	(●)	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Comparison of electronic surveillance with routine monitoring for patients with lymphoma

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., “with telephone support”).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"routine monitoring for patients with lymphoma"

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., “for children with Type I Diabetes”) Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"monitoring for patients with lymphoma at high risk of relapse"

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We conducted a prospective, randomized phase 3 trial comparing the impact of web-based follow-up (experimental arm) with a standard follow-up (control arm)"

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like “fully automated” vs. “therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted” (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"An email alert was sent to the medical team based on a predefined algorithm"

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicated the level of blinding instead of “open”, as “open” in web-based trials usually refers to “open access” (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants were recruited from clinics by hematologists at the end of their treatment

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Fifty-two patients were included between July 12, 2017, and April 7, 2020, at 11 centers in France, with 27 in the experimental arm and 25 in the control arm"

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The primary objective was not reached; however, PRO measures remain essential for detecting adverse events in cancer patients, and the electronic monitoring method needs to demonstrate its effectiveness and comply with international safety guidelines"

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in “Methods” under 5)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Relapse or progression is a major event in the management of lymphoma. Early detection of relapse correlates with survival. In most cases, relapse is detected by the appearance of symptoms, clinical signs, or biological abnormalities"."Electronic measurements of patient-reported outcomes (ePROMs) based on the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) have emerged as a method of early detection". "Such evidence is lacking for patients with lymphoma. In this study, we compare the effect of online follow-up with that of standard follow-up"

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropiate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

“The reimbursed indication of the MOOVCARE Lung device is the early detection of recurrences or complications for patients over the age of 16 with non-progressive lung cancer after the last medical treatment, regardless of the histological type of the tumor”

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The primary outcome was to demonstrate that follow-up via a web application could detect more significant events (including relapses) occurring between two routine follow-up consultations with the specialist in lymphoma patients who were at high risk of relapse compared with standard follow-up. "

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is an open-label, longitudinal, prospective and randomized study."Randomization was planned through minimization once patients were enrolled in the study and programmed using ENNOV Clinical® data management software (ENNOV; Cenon; France). Patients were randomly assigned 1:1 to a routine follow-up (control arm) or web-mediated follow-up (experimental arm). "

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with a high IPI score could be included from the first line (amendment made after the start of inclusions).

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other “unexpected events” that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The Moovcare® PRO system is a class 1 medical device registered by Sivan Innovation, Ltd., with CE marking obtained in July 2017. Versions 1.7 (from July 2017 to October 2019) and 1.8 (from November 2019 to April 2020) were used in the present study. "

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Patients with lymphoma who were 18 years of age or older and a high risk of relapse were considered eligible for this trial. They could have T-cell lymphoma in the first partial or complete response, Hodgkin lymphoma in the second partial or complete response, or diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the first partial or complete response with a revised high International Prognostic Index (IPI) score (≥3) or in the second partial or complete response."

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit “de facto” eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

All patients with an Internet connection could be included; patients in the group with the web application received training after randomization.

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patients were recruited after their treatment had been completed by the doctors. "Patients were randomly assigned 1:1 to a routine follow-up (control arm) or web-mediated follow-up (experimental arm). "

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patients were recruited in each center by their referring hematologist and received an information document about the study. They all gave their consent.

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"One electronic case report form (e-CRF) (ENNOV Clinical®, Cenon, France) was created for each patient. The information required by the protocol was collated into the e-CRF, which included the data necessary to confirm compliance with the protocol and detect any major deviations, as well as the data necessary required for statistical analysis. The information was collected without mentioning the surname and first name in the e-CRF with an identification number for the center and a patient number. Only the first letters of the patient's surname and first were visible. This code was the only information that appeared in the case report form (e-CRF), which would make it possible to link the e-CRF to the patient with hindsight."

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"One electronic case report form (e-CRF) (ENNOV Clinical®, Cenon, France) was created for each patient. The information required by the protocol was collated into the e-CRF, which included the data necessary to confirm compliance with the protocol and detect any major deviations, as well as the data necessary required for statistical analysis. The information was collected without mentioning the surname and first name in the e-CRF with an identification number for the center and a patient number. Only the first letters of the patient's surname and first were visible. This code was the only information that appeared in the case report form (e-CRF), which would make it possible to link the e-CRF to the patient with hindsight."

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention.(Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There was no bias in recruitment according to the centers.

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a “Conflict of interest” section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Moovcare PRO system: Sivan Innovation, Ltd (founder: Daniel Israël); Sponsor: TAKEDA

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

""“The reimbursed indication of the MOOVCARE Lung device is the early detection of recurrences or complications for patients over the age of 16 with non-progressive lung cancer after the last medical treatment, regardless of the histological type of the tumor” . The indication was validated by the data of the Sentinel Lung study (published in 2019), which demonstrated a survival benefit of 9 months for patients monitored by the application compared to standard monitoring (P = .005) ."

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was “frozen” during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Versions 1.7 (from July 2017 to October 2019) and 1.8 (from November 2019 to April 2020) were used in the present study"

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Previous studies into lung cancer had validated the relevance of the application (Denis et al, Supportive care cancer 2014)

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

A screenshot has been provided as multimedia appendix

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

https://www.moovcare.com/fr/

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained “access to the platform and Internet” [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a “backdoor” login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Patients were asked to complete a 16-question self-assessment every 14 days for 24 months after being randomized to the experimental arm (smartphone or e-mail). "

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1]," whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

For patients in the web application group, they received a weekly 16-item questionnaire, a consultation and a blood test were performed every 3 months for 24 months after the end of their treatment. For patients in the control group, the frequency of consultations and blood tests was identical, and a CT scan was also performed systematically every 6 months for 24 months.

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended “doses” and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Patients were asked to complete a 16-question self-assessment every 14 days for 24 months after being randomized to the experimental arm (smartphone or e-mail). "

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as “type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered”. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Patients included in the experimental arm, were assigned a score based on their symptoms as follows: no problem = 0, mild problem = 1, moderate problem = 2, and severe problem = 3. An alert was triggered in the event of weight loss greater than 2 kg over 1 month, in the event of symptoms rated 3, the presence of fever or night sweats on two consecutive occasions, or elevation of serum LDH above >2-fold normal, or anemia indicated by hemoglobin levels of <10 g/dL.
In the event of an alert triggered by the application, an e-mail was sent to the care team, with a reminder every 24 h if there was no response. Patients could also report an event by writing a free text. " For patients in the control group, the frequency of consultations and blood tests was identical, and a CT scan was also performed systematically every 6 months for 24 months.

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In the event of an alert triggered by the application, an e-mail was sent to the care team, with a reminder every 24 h if there was no response "

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

All patients underwent a medical consultation and blood test every 3 months for 24 months.

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The primary outcome was to demonstrate that follow-up via a web application could detect more significant events (including relapses) occurring between two routine follow-up consultations with the specialist in lymphoma patients who were at high risk of relapse compared with standard follow-up. Secondary outcomes were overall and progression-free survival at two years, relapse rate at two years, and quality of life for patients in both arms (QLQC-30 and PHQ9 questionnaires), and compliance and satisfaction for the experimental arm."

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

The electronic questionnaires were validated for onlise use and apply CHERRIS items.

6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

The number of completed questionnaires was evaluated each week by the study coordinators.

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

"Patient satisfaction with web-monitoring and the use of the web-application was assessed using a self-questionnaire at their 6-month follow-up visit."

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes were made during the study period.

7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The trial was based on a two-step triangular test and was designed to have a power of 90% to detect a 30% improvement in the detection of significant events outside of routine consultations during the six months of follow-up with the web application. This is compared with a 60% rate of detection for significant events outside routine consultations among patients randomly assigned to conventional follow-up, with a significance of 5%. This sequential method made it possible to evaluate the application's effectiveness while controlling the power and Type I error (the risk of falsely rejecting our null hypothesis). Forty evaluable patients were to be included per arm, and an interim analysis was to be performed when 20 evaluable patients per arm had six months of follow-up. Inclusion was not suspended before the six-month follow-up. "

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

If the interim analysis did not confirm the initial hypothesis upon inclusion of the 40th patient, the study was stopped.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Randomization was planned through minimization once patients were enrolled in the study and programmed using ENNOV Clinical® data management software. Patients were randomly assigned 1:1 to a routine follow-up (control arm) or web-mediated follow-up (experimental arm). Stratification was conducted at inclusion according to the center, performance status, ASCT history, relapse, and lymphoma subtype."

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Patients were randomly assigned 1:1 to a routine follow-up (control arm) or web-mediated follow-up (experimental arm)."

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patients were assigned a number when they were included in the study, and this number was distributed sequentially regardless of the center.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The random allocation sequence was generated by the ENNOV software (biostatistics department), the participants were registered by doctors and the study coordinators communicated the result of the randomization.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how
NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There was no double-blind randomization in this study.

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator"

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator".

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The information and consent document for the study clearly specified the 2 arms of randomization.

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Medical consultations and blood tests were carried out in the same way in both groups.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"This is compared with a 60% rate of detection for significant events outside routine consultations among patients randomly assigned to conventional follow-up, with a significance of 5%. This sequential method made it possible to evaluate the application's effectiveness while controlling the power and Type I error (the risk of falsely rejecting our null hypothesis). Forty evaluable patients were to be included per arm, and an interim analysis was to be performed when 20 evaluable patients per arm had six months of follow-up. Inclusion was not suspended before the six-month follow-up. "

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patient loss was clearly described at each stage and the statistical analyses only concerned patients who were still active.
"In the experimental arm, 25/26 patients (96.1%) were still included in the study at the 6-month follow-up, 20/26 (76.9%) at 12 months, and 9/26 (34.6%) at 24 months. In the control arm, 22/24 (91.6%) were still being followed at 6 months, 21/24 (87.5%) at 12 months, and 12/24 (50%) at 24 months. The primary reasons for loss to follow-up were the planned end of the protocol for 20 patients and premature termination of the study by the sponsor (19 patients)."

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The sensitivity of the application to detect a relapse and/or significant complications was calculated. The quality of life scores were calculated according to the EORTC recommendations for the QLQ-C30. Quality of life is described for each measurement time, compared at inclusion, and then studied longitudinally using mixed analysis of variance models for repeated measures. PHQ9 scores were calculated based on the recommendations described at each measurement time and compared at inclusion. Classes proposed in the literature (≤4; 5–14; >14) were used to describe the patients' state of depression. Analyses were performed using SAS® 9.3 software (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA). "

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"This study was conducted according to the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, revised in 2008, and the guidelines of the International Conference on Harmonization of Good Clinical Practice in Biomedical Research. The Ouest II national ethics committee in Angers approved the study on November 8, 2016, and the Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament approved it on November 22, 2016 (approval number: 2021–A01670–41). "

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"All of the patients provided written informed consent, which included the points of analysis, the method of data collection, and the primary and potential secondary statistical analyses."

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"All patient data were anonymized and no financial compensation was provided."Training was provided for all patients included in the arm with the electronic application; technical support was provided for any questions.

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Fifty-three patients were included between July 12, 2017 and April 7, 2020." "Twenty-seven patients were randomized to the experimental arm and 25 to the control arm. "

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Twenty-six patients were evaluable at the primary endpoint in the experimental arm and 24 in the control arm". "In the experimental arm, 25/26 patients (96.1%) were still included in the study at the 6-month follow-up, 20/26 (76.9%) at 12 months, and 9/26 (34.6%) at 24 months. In the control arm, 22/24 (91.6%) were still being followed at 6 months, 21/24 (87.5%) at 12 months, and 12/24 (50%) at 24 months (Fig. 3).
The primary reasons for loss to follow-up were the planned end of the protocol for 20 patients and premature termination of the study by the sponsor (19 patients)."

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Attrition diagram has been provided as a multimedia appendix.

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"patients were included between July 12, 2017 and April 7, 2020"."The median follow-up time was 21.3 months "

14a-i) Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period

Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or “changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources”

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no secular events during the course of the study.

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"An interim analysis was performed based on the protocol when the first 40 patients reached 6 months of follow-up. The results of this analysis did not reject the null hypothesis, which stated that there was no difference in the diagnosis of events between the two arms. "

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

A table with patient characteristics has been provided.

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The age and sex of the patients were described, but not their socio-cultural level.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions

Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N’s (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The number of events has been described for each group (26 patients in the experimental arm and 24 in the control arm). Overall survival and progression-free survival have been described for each group (20 patients in the experimental arm, 21 in the control arm).

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

These analysis criteria have been respected.

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"During the study period, 119 events were reported (Table 3). Most occurred in the experimental arm (83/119, 69.7%) versus 36/119 (30.2%) in the control arm, with a mean number of events per patient of 3.5 [range, (1–8)] in the experimental arm and, 1.8 [range, (1–6)] in the control arm (P =.004). In the experimental arm and, 47/83 events (56.6%) were reported directly by the medical team after a scheduled consultation, whereas 36/83 (43.3%) were reported through the web application." "Overall survival at 12 months was 87.1% in the experimental arm, 95%CI [65%; 95.7%] and 95.2% in the control arm, 95%CI [70.7%; 99.3%] (P =.32) (Fig. 4). Progression-free survival at 12 months was 83.2% in the experimental arm [95%CI (61%;93.3%)] and 68.5% in the control arm [95%CI [44.9%; 83.6%)] (P =.27). "

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as “average session length”. These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a “session” is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The connection times were not provided, nor was the compliance with the web application for patients in the experimental arm by the developer.

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There was no presentation of results of this type in this study.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The patients were asked to complete the QLCQ-30 questionnaire every 3 months for 1 year. Forty-four patients completed at least two quality of life questionnaires during the study; 22 patients per arm [i.e., 84.6% (22/26) in the experimental arm and 91.6% (22/24) in the control arm]. The higher the score, the poorer the quality of life (maximum score 114). The median scores did not differ between the two groups at 12 months with it being; 45 in the experimental arm [39–61] and 44 in the control arm [30–69] (P =.94). Regarding depression, 42 patients completed the questionnaire (21 per arm) [i.e., 80.7% (21/26) in the experimental arm and 87.5% (21/24) in the control arm]. The score was 1.0 [range, (0–15)] in the experimental arm versus 1.5 [range, (0–13)] in the control arm (P =.73)."

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Twenty patients in the experimental arm completed the satisfaction questionnaire (20/25, 80%). Ninety-five percent (19/20) of patients who responded to the satisfaction questionnaire were satisfied and reassured by the application, whereas 90% (18/20) felt better informe" (self-selected sample).

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group

(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Three alerts were not handled by the care team within the required timeframe and were subsequently classified as minor (grade 1).
The automatic sending of questionnaires was stopped for 9 patients (9/26, 35%). For 3 patients, the questionnaires were sent in paper form. For the other 6, no solution could be found despite repeated interventions by the electronic application's technical department. One of these patients suffered two major events: myocardial infarction and relapse. These two events were not reported in the electronic application. Because of these technical problems, compliance could not be assessed." No unexpected adverse effects occurred in the patients in the control arm.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical “harm” to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. “Unintended effects” also includes unintended positive effects [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"technical problems with the web application occurred (electronic questionnaires not received, with major biases in event reporting). The incidents were not expected because of the experience of the software developer (Moovcare® by Sivan); however, there was a change in the technical team between this publication in lung cancer and the start of our study [11–13]. The blocking of automatic questionnaires required 42 direct interventions by clinical study investigators with calls to the patient (firewalls, spam). IT support did not correct these recurring anomalies, despite the changes to the application in November 2019 (five patients were included in the experimental arm after this date). These operational problems resulted in 15 meetings without resolution of the problems, with an average response time of 4.6 months from technical support (frequent changes to contact persons). As a result, the events in the experimental arm were not reported correctly, leading to study bias. The final report has been sent to the Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament on June 29, 2021."

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Satisfaction was high for all patients. An unexpected number of meetings were held to try to fix the bugs in the application.

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In the present study, there is no difference in the occurrence of significant events between the two arms (median number per patient of 3.5 in the experimental arm and 1.8 in the control arm, P =.004). Progression, infection, and pain were the most frequently reported events. Patient satisfaction was very high and the patients felt reassured to have electronic monitoring. The patients included in the experimental arm underwent fewer scans compared with those in the control arm, without impacting overall survival, despite a short follow-up (P <.001)."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Proposals for the future include improving the study design by limiting the patient population to a single type of lymphoma, defining the objective to demonstrate an improvement in morbidity and possibly reduce cost, and guaranteeing the reliability of the electronic application. Patient-related outcome measures require standardization of analysis for comparative purposes. Therefore, it is necessary to regulate the use of healthcare applications to avoid malfunction and abuse."

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"First, the primary outcome of a 30% superiority of reporting significant events in the experimental arm have been overly optimistic. Thus, reducing the endpoint would have led to a substantial increase in the number of included patients. The number of events was probably not the best criterion for evaluating the effectiveness of remote monitoring. An improvement in quality of life or a reduction in the risk of relapse would likely have been more relevant. Second, technical problems with the web application occurred (electronic questionnaires not received, with major biases in event reporting). The incidents were not expected because of the experience of the software developer (Moovcare® by Sivan); however, there was a change in the technical team between this publication in lung cancer and the start of our study. The blocking of automatic questionnaires required 42 direct interventions by clinical study investigators with calls to the patient (firewalls, spam). IT support did not correct these recurring anomalies, despite the changes to the application in November 2019 (five patients were included in the experimental arm after this date). These operational problems resulted in 15 meetings without resolution of the problems, with an average response time of 4.6 months from technical support (frequent changes to contact persons). As a result, the events in the experimental arm were not reported correctly, leading to study bias. The final report has been sent to the Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament on June 29, 2021. Finally, only 43% of the events were declared by the application in the experimental arm, which raises the issue question of patient training."

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Generalizability to other populations is possible but the application must be completely secure.

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There would not have been a big difference with the practice outside of the trial apart from the absence of study coordinators to answer all the questions (technical bugs).

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03154710; SENTINEL LYMPHOMA STUDY

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03154710 (synopsis). The full protocol is available on request from the national coordinator (Dr Katell Le Du).

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This study was funded by Takeda.

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Effacer la sélection

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Dr. Le Dû has received consulting fees from AstraZeneca, Abbvie, Incyte Janssen-Cilag and Gilead."

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

- ○ yes, major changes
- ◉ yes, minor changes
- ○ no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

Title and primary outcomes

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *

60 minutes but 3 tries (data cannot be saved in PDF)

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

- (●) yes
- ( ) no
- ( ) Autre :

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

- ( ) yes
- (●) no
- ( ) Autre :

Effacer la sélection

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

Votre réponse

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit

To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit !

Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

Envoyer Effacer le formulaire