Review Article



Bacterial Infections in Acute-on-chronic Liver Failure: Epidemiology, Diagnosis, Pathogenesis, and Management



Zhaoyu Xu¹, Xiuding Zhang², Jiyang Chen², Yu Shi^{2*} and Shangwei Ji^{3*}

¹China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China; ²State Key Laboratory for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, National Clinical Research Center for Infectious Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China; ³Department of Infectious Diseases, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China

Received: April 15, 2024 | Revised: May 05, 2024 | Accepted: May 27, 2024 | Published online: June 20, 2024

Abstract

Acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) is a distinct condition characterized by the abrupt exacerbation of pre-existing chronic liver disease, often leading to multi-organ failures and significant short-term mortalities. Bacterial infection is one of the most frequent triggers for ACLF and a common complication following its onset. The impact of bacterial infections on the clinical course and outcome of ACLF underscores their critical role in the pathogenesis of systemic inflammation and organ failures. In addition, the evolving epidemiology and increasing prevalence of multidrug-resistant bacteria in cirrhosis and ACLF highlight the importance of appropriate empirical antibiotic use, as well as accurate and prompt microbiological diagnosis. This review provided an update on recent advances in the epidemiology, diagnosis, pathogenesis, and management of bacterial infections in ACLF.

Citation of this article: Xu Z, Zhang X, Chen J, Shi Y, Ji S. Bacterial Infections in Acute-on-chronic Liver Failure: Epidemiology, Diagnosis, Pathogenesis, and Management. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2024;12(7):667–676. doi: 10.14218/JCTH.2024.00137.

Introduction

Acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) is a critical syndrome occurring in chronic liver diseases, often associated with heightened short-term mortality.¹ Patients with ACLF are particularly vulnerable to bacterial infections (BIs). Recently, the European Foundation for the Study of Chronic Liver Failure (EASL-CLIF) revealed that 33% of patients experiencing acute decompensation or ACLF presented with BIs, with 46% developing the complication during follow-up.^{1,2} Bacte-

rial infections rank as the primary trigger for ACLF globally, although there are geographic differences.^{2,3} Notably, ACLF triggered by BIs demonstrates higher mortality rates compared to other precipitating events.^{4–6} Patients without BIs as a trigger exhibit a 30-day survival rate of 71.6%, contrasting sharply with the 33.8% survival rate among those with bacterial infection-triggered ACLF.⁵ Furthermore, beyond serving as a precipitant, BIs are a common complication during the clinical course of ACLF, leading to delayed hospitalization, disease progression, and increased mortality.^{7–9} Of significant concern is the rising prevalence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria among cirrhosis patients globally. Given the critical role of BIs in ACLF, this review aimed to consolidate recent insights into the epidemiology, microbiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment.

Epidemiology

Prevalence

The overall prevalence of BIs in ACLF patients is exceptionally high, ranging from 55.7% to 81.2% (as shown in Table 1).^{1,6,9–11} Variations are observed across different time fram es,^{2,5,6,10,12,13} with the incidence of BIs upon admission and during follow-up or hospitalization ranging from 10.8% to 41% and 45.9% to 75.5%, respectively. Notably, the prevalence of BIs tends to increase with the severity of ACLF. In patients with grade 2 and 3 ACLF, the incidence of BIs escalates to 88.2% and 90%, respectively.

It's crucial to emphasize that the prevalence of BIs varies worldwide. This variation may stem from the diverse definitions of ACLF employed by different organizations. Patients with ACLF defined by varying diagnostic criteria exhibit differential disease severity, which may influence their susceptibility to bacterial infections. Furthermore, even with the same EASL-CLIF ACLF criteria, a multicenter international study reported that the incidence of BIs-triggered ACLF was 39% in Europe and 59% in Asia, with a notable 75% in the Indian subcontinent, suggesting that other factors, such as local epidemiological conditions, may also play crucial roles.

The majority of infections are nosocomial,^{4,6,11,14} most likely occurring within the initial three to five days post-admission.^{10,11} Approximately 21.6% to 34% of patients experience a second infection during hospitalization,^{4,6,11} with 26.6% developing second infections during their hospital

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s). This article has been published under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0), which permits noncommercial unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the following statement is provided. "This article has been published in *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology* at https://doi.org/10.14218/JCTH.2024.00137 and can also be viewed on the Journal's website at http://www.jcthnet.com".

Keywords: Acute-on-chronic liver failure; Bacterial infection; Multidrug-resistant bacteria; Spontaneoous bacterial peritonitis; systemic inflammation; Antibiotic; Albumin.

^{*}Correspondence to: Yu Shi, State Key Laboratory for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310003, China. ORCID: https://orcid. org/0000-0002-9392-7176. Tel: +86-571-87236489, Fax: +86-571-87236490, E-mail: zjushiyu@zju.edu.cn; Shangwei Ji, Department of Infectious Diseases, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin 130033, China. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7954-4454. Tel: +86-13644301409, Fax: +86-431-84641026, E-mail: jisw@jiu.edu.cn

on

Chudy	Veer	Region/	Donulation		Prevalence of infection				
Study	rear	countries	Population	Overall	On admission	During follow-up or hospitalizati			
Moreau ²	2013	Europe	ACLF		32.6%	57%			
Cai ¹¹	2017	China	HBV-ACLF	81.2%					
Fernandez ⁶	2018	Europe	ACLF	66.1%	37.3%	45.9%			
Mücke ⁵	2018	Germany	ACLF		41%				
Shalimar ¹⁰	2018	India	ACLF	76.7%	10.8%	73.9%			
Zhang ¹³	2018	China	HBV-ACLF			75.5%			
Zhai ⁹	2020	China	HBV-ACLF	64%					
Liu ¹	2021	China	HBV-ACLF	55.7%					
Medhat ¹²	2021	Egypt	ACLF		73.1%				

Table 1. Prevalence of bacterial infections among ACLF patients in different countries or regions

HBV, hepatitis B virus; ACLF, acute-on-chronic-liver failure.

stay. Prolonged hospital stay was associated with the increased occurrence of a second infection.⁴ Patients developing a second infection have a 90-day mortality rate of 67.9%, contrasting with 46.6% among those who do not experience a second infection.¹¹

Regarding the types of BIs, a global study revealed that spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) was the most common in ACLF patients, followed by urinary tract infections (UTIs), pneumonia, and skin and soft tissue infections (SS-TIs).¹⁴ Moreover, types of BIs in ACLF vary across different regions, with specific characteristics observed globally: SBP and pneumonia are more prevalent in Asia, whereas UTIs are more frequent in Europe and America (as shown in Table 2).^{1,2,4–6,9–11,13–15} Additionally, the sites of patient admission impact infection types, with UTIs and SSTIs more common in regular wards, while pneumonia is more prevalent in intensive care units than in general wards.⁶ There exists a discrepancy regarding the predominant type of bacterial infectiontriggering ACLF. Wong et al. and the CANONIC study found that SBP and pneumonia were more frequent triggers.^{2,6,14} In contrast, Bajaj et al. demonstrated that infections other than SBP serve as independent predictors for developing infection-related ACLF.4

Microbiology

Microbiological characteristics of BIs vary significantly across different regions and time periods. Globally, gram-negative bacteria remain dominant pathogens in North Europe, South America, and Asia (26% to 47%), whereas in North America, gram-positive bacteria are more common (39%).¹⁴ It is also noteworthy that a significant proportion of patients in this study were culture-negative, with rates ranging from 23% to 69%.¹⁴ Enterococcus faecalis/faecium and Staphylococcus aureus were the most commonly isolated gram-positive cocci, whereas Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae were the most commonly identified gram-negative bacilli (as shown in Table 2).⁶ Notably, Klebsiella pneumoniae was much more frequently isolated from ACLF patients than those with cirrhosis and without ACLF.

With nearly a 10% increase over eight years, the incidence of extensively drug-resistant (XDR) and MDR bacteria among patients with cirrhosis and ACLF poses a serious health risk.¹⁶ Independent predictors of MDR bacterial infection included nosocomial infection, ICU admission, and recent hospitalization.¹⁶ Additionally, a poorer prognosis and a reduced resolution rate were linked to the isolation of MDR bacteria.^{5,6,17}

Frequently isolated MDR bacteria included extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) producing Enterobacteriaceae , methicillin-resistant S aureus, vancomycin-resistant enterococci, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Acinetobacter baumannii. Furthermore, the majority of bacteria in MDR organisms produced ESBLs. Enterobacteriaceae that produce carbapenem, P aeruginosa, and A baumannii were the most prevalent XDR bacteria. 16,18

Substantial variations exist between different regions, with MDR rates ranging from 19% to 70% and XDR rates from 2% to 28% (as shown in Table 3).^{5,6,10,11,13-16} For instance, MDR and XDR bacteria prevalence was extraordinarily high in the Indian subcontinent.¹⁴ Notably, MDR/XDR strains exhibit significant heterogeneity across regions and even within hospitals within the same region, underscoring the importance for local hospitals to be cognizant of prevalent strains to guide antibiotic usage.¹⁸

Impact of BIs on ACLF outcome

Individuals with ACLF have been identified as having worse short-term outcomes when complicated with BIs (35.5-45.5% in 28-day, 50.7-56.9% in 90-day), and the mortality increased with the escalation of ACLF grades.^{1,5-9,11,14,15} In ACLF-1 and ACLF-2, BIs were thought to be independent predictors of survival, either at the time of ACLF diagnosis or during follow-up, and it remained ambiguous if the bacterial infection can significantly impair the survival in patients with ACLF-3.^{1,6,9} However, as reported in the CANONIC study and PREDICT study, the precipitating events were not associated with mortality, suggesting bacterial infection as a precipitating event did not increase mortality compared to other precipitating events.^{2,17} As for the impact of specific types of bacterial infections on outcome, SBP and bacteremia have been demonstrated to be linked to a greater grade of ACLF and higher death rates,19 followed by pneumonia, UTI, and SSTIs/musculoskeletal infections.6,8,19 Bacterial ascites showed significantly lower 28-day transplant-free mortality compared with culture-positive SBP (41.3% vs 65.5%).²⁰ Patients with ACLF and nosocomial bloodstream infections tended to be complicated by other types of infection.²¹ Multiple site infection was also common in ACLF patients (9.4% to 37.8%) and patients with multiple site infections had an increased incidence of sepsis, septic shock, ACLF-3, and 28-day mortality.^{1,9-11,13}

Infections caused by MDR bacteria are more likely to contribute to the development of ACLF compared to infections caused by susceptible strains.²² Although colonization by

Table 2. Site o	finfectio	ns and pathogens	in patient	s with ACLF and bacterial/fungal infections	
Study	Year	Region	lation	Site of infections	Pathogens
Moreau* ²	2013	Europe	ACLF	SBP 10.6%; Pneumonia 6.1%; UTI 6.1%; Skin infections 2.4%; Unproved 6.1%; Other 5.2%	
Bajaj ⁴	2014	North America	ACLF	UTI 28.5%; SBP 22.5%; Spontaneous bacteremia 13.2%; SSTI 12.2%; Respiratory 9.9%; Other 9.6%; Clostridium difficile 4.1%	Gram-positive 32.9%; Gram-negative 26.8%; No organism 22.7%; Fungi 17.6%
Cai ¹¹	2017	China	HBV- ACLF	Respiratory tract infection 49.4%; SBP 37.3%; UTI 13.3%; Infectious diarrhea 11.7%	Gram-positive 59.8%; Staphylococcus; Enterococcus; Streptococcus; Cryptococcus; Gram-negative 55.3%; E. coli; Klebsiella; Acinetobacter baumannii; Pseudomonas aeruginosa; Fungi 15.9%; Candida-related; Trichosporon pullulans
Fernandez* ⁶	2018	Europe	ACLF	SBP 9.8%; Pneumonia 7.7%; Urinary infections 6.0%; Unproven infections 3.8%; SSTI 2.9%; Secondary peritonitis 2.6%; Bacteriaemia 2.2%; Other 1.4%	Gram-positive; Staphylococcus aureus; Enterococcus faecalis; Enterococcus faecium; Gram-negative; E. coli; Klebsiella pneumoniae; Enterobacter spp.
Mücke ⁵	2018	Germany	ACLF	SBP 32.4%; Pneumonia 25.4%	Gram-positive 52.1%; Enterococcus spp. 24.2%; Staphylococcus aureus 15.2%; Coagulase negative staphylococci 10.9%; Gram- negative 47.9%; E. coli 26.7%; Other Enterobacteriaceae 9.1%; Pseudomonas spp. 4.2%; Klebsiella spp. 3.6%
Shalimar ¹⁰	2018	India	ACLF	Respiratory tract infections 45%; SBP 21.1%; UTI 15.2%; Cellulitis 5.2%; Spontaneous bacteremia 3.9%	Gram-negative 91.6%
Zhang ¹⁵	2018	China	AILD- ACLF	Respiratory tract 42.5%; Peritoneum 22.5%; Bloodstream 7.5%; Biliary tract 7.5%; Urinary tract 5.0%; Intestinal tract 5.0%; SSTI 2.5%; Undetermined 7.5%	Gram-positive; Enterococcus faecalis; Staphylococcus aureus; Gram-negative; E. coli; Klebsiella pneumoniae
Zhai ⁹	2020	China	HBV- ACLF	Pneumonia 55.7%; SBP 47.6%; Bacteremia 20.0%; UTI 11.4%; Spontaneous bacterial empyema 7.0%	Gram-positive 25.2%; Enterococcus faecium 9.0%; Staphylococcus aureus 3.3%; Staphylococcus epidermidis 2.9%; Gram-negative 49.5%; E. coli 18.6%; Klebsiella pneumoniae 11.4%; Acinetobacter baumannii 5.7%; Fungi 25.2%; Aspergillus fumigatus 11%; Candida albicans 8.6%
Liu ¹	2021	China	HBV- ACLF	Bacterial peritonitis 36.1%; Pneumonia 23.7%; Unproven 10.3%; Bloodstream infection 3.1%; Biliary tract infection 3.1%; Other infection 1.0%	Gram-positive 31.6%; Gram-negative 68.4%
Wong ¹⁴	2021	South Europe	ACLF	UTI 22%; SBP 19%; Pneumonia 14%; Bacteriaemia 11%; SSTI 11%	
		North Europe	ACLF	SBP 49%; UTI 23%; Pneumonia 17%; Bacteriaemia 2%; SSTI 4%	
		South America	ACLF	SBP 33%; UTI 28%; Pneumonia 12%; SSTI 12%; Bacteriaemia 7%	
		North America	ACLF	UTI 32%; SBP 14%; Pneumonia 14%; Bacteriaemia 11%; SSTI 4%	
		Indian subcontinent	ACLF	SBP 43%; Pneumonia 30%; UTI 11%; Bacteriaemia 2%; SSTI 6%	
		Other Asian areas	ACLF	SBP 35%; Pneumonia 26%; UTI 17%; Bacteriaemia 7%; SSTI 5%	
Zhang ¹³	2022	China	HBV- ACLF	SBP 31.54%; UTI 26.53%; Pulmonary infection 12.99%; Cholecystitis 6.49%; Other infections 1.30%	Gram-positive 23.76%; Enterococcus faecium 12.37%; Enterococcus faecalis 8.91%; Gram-negative 62.87%; E. coli 36.14%; Klebsiella pneumoniae 12.87%; Acinetobacter baumannii 4.95%; Fungi 13.37%
In studies marke with infections. S	d with *, t 3BP, sponte	the prevalence of a c aneous bacterial per	tertain type: ritonitis: UT	of infection was calculated in all included patients whether they $T_{\rm c}$ urinary tract infection: $E_{\rm c}$ coll: Escherichia coll: HBV, henatitis	were infected or not, and in other studies, the prevalence was calculated solely in patients B virus: ACI F. acute-on-chronic-liver failure.

Table 3. Prevalence and strain of MDROs

Study	Year	Region	Prevalence of MDR	MDR/XDR
Cai ¹¹	2017	China	46.8%	ESBL resistant strain; MRSA; VRE
Fernandez ⁶	2018	Europe	15.8% at diagnosis of ACLF; 18.8% during follow-up	
Mücke ⁵	2018	Germany	23.8%	MRGN 7.5%; VRE 12.5%; MRSA 5%
Shalimar ¹⁰	2018	India	29.2%	
Zhang ¹⁵	2018	China	3/7 in culture-positive samples	ESBL E. coli; MRSA
Fernandez ¹⁶	2019	Europe (in decompensated cirrhosis and ACLF)	29.2% in CANONIC series; 38% in second series	ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae; VSE; MRSA
Wong ¹⁴	2021	Global	MDR 39%; XDR 13%	
		South Europe	MDR 28%; XDR 5%	
		North Europe	MDR 29%; XDR 5%	
		South America	MDR 27%; XDR 4%	
		North America	MDR 19%; XDR 2%	
		Indian subcontinent	MDR 70%; XDR 28%	
		Other Asian areas	MDR 31%; XDR 4%	
Zhang ¹³	2022	China	MDR 52.97%; XDR 4.95%	

ESBL, extended spectrum beta-lactamase; MRSA, methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus; VRE, vancomycin-resistant enterococcus; VSE, vancomycin-susceptible enterococcus faecium; MRGN, multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria; MDR, multidrug-resistant; XDR, extensively drug-resistant; CANONIC, EASL-CLIF Acuteon-Chronic Liver Failure in Cirrhosis.

MDR bacteria does not correlate with increased mortality, infections from these bacteria result in a lower resolution rate and a higher incidence of septic shock. Consequently, this leads to increased short-term mortality due to the failure of empirical antimicrobial treatment.^{5,6,16,22}

Diagnosis

Diagnostic criteria

Table 4 outlines the diagnostic criteria for different types of BIs in patients with cirrhosis and ACLF. Though there is high-

Table 4. Diagnostic criteria for bacterial infections according to the infection sites

Infections sites	Diagnostic criteria
SBP	Polymorphonuclear cell count in ascitic fluid >250/mm3 with/without a positive fluid culture
UTI	abnormal urinary sediment (>10 leukocytes/high power field) and positive urinary culture or uncountable leukocytes per field if negative cultures
Spontaneous bacteremia	positive blood cultures and no cause of bacteremia
Secondary bacteremia	(1) catheter-related infection (positive blood and catheter cultures); (2) bacteremia occurring within 24 h after an invasive procedure
Pneumonia	radiologic evidence of a new pulmonary infiltrate, or progression of a previous one, consolidation or cavitation, plus at least one of the following criteria (fever \geq 38°C, leucocyte count of >12,000/mm ³ or 20 breaths per minute, rales or bronchial breath sounds or worsening of gas exchange) and/or organisms cultured from blood, pleural fluid or a specimen obtained by transtracheal, aspirate, bronchoalveolar lavage, or biopsy
Bronchitis	clinical features of infection, no radiographic infiltrates and positive sputum culture
SSTI	clinical signs of infection associated with swelling, erythema, heat and tenderness in the skin
Cholangitis	cholestasis, right upper quadrant pain and/or jaundice and radiological data of biliary obstruction
Spontaneous bacterial empyema	PMN count in pleural fluid $\geq 250/mm^3$
Secondary peritonitis	PMN count in ascitic fluid \geq 250/mm ³ and evidence (abdominal CT/ surgery) of an intra-abdominal source of infection
CDI	Diarrhea with a positive C. difficile assay
Bacterial enterocolitis	Diarrhea or dysentery with a positive stool culture for Salmonella, Shigella, Yersinia, Campylobacter, or pathogenic E. coli
Unproven	Presence of fever and leukocytosis requiring antibiotic therapy without any identifiable source

SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; UTI, urinary tract infection; SSTI, skin and soft tissue infections; CDI, Clostridium difficile infection.

Xu Z. et al: Bacterial infections in ACLF

Table 5.	New biomarkers and	scores for the	diagnosis of	bacterial	infections o	r sepsis
----------	--------------------	----------------	--------------	-----------	--------------	----------

Biomarkers/Model	Cut-off value	Sensitivity	Specificity	AUROC
AFLAC	242 ng/mL (SBP) ²⁴	95.5%	97%	0.98
	51.4 ng/mL (SBP) ²⁵	95.8%	74.4%	0.898
	26	95.4%	89.0%	0.958
Ascitic fluid calprotectin	26	94.2%	86.7%	0.91
In situ hybridization	28	91%	100%	
droplet digital polymerase chain reaction	28	80.5%	95.3%	
leukocyte esterase reagent strips (ascites)	"trace" ²⁷	100%	91.1%	
PCT	1.01ng/ml (BI) ³³	42.68%	78.85%	0.633
PCT	1.01ng/ml (BI) ³¹	42.6%	78.8%	0.637
PCT	0.765ng/ml (sepsis) ²⁹	55.3%	81.6%	0.690
PCT	0.9ng/ml (BI) ³⁰	80.3%	86.6%	0.909
CRP	17.5mg/L (BI) ³³	64.02%	66.35%	0.685
CRP	17.5mg/L (BI) ³¹	63.91%	67.28%	0.692
CRP	5.3mg/dl (BI) ³⁰	54.9%	69.6%	0.648
CRP	13.5mg/L (sepsis) ²⁹	68.5%	57.7%	0.654
Presepsin	404.5pg/ml (sepsis) ²⁹	96.8%	59.2%	0.790
Presepsin	2,300 pg/ml (BI) ³⁰	81.7%	92.7%	0.959
Presepsin+CLIF-SOFA score	29			0.913
sTREM-1	607.94pg/ml (sepsis) ²⁹	62.8%	81.6%	0.752
sTREM-1+CLIF-SOFA score	29			0.876
Novel score	4 points (BI) ³¹	78.05%	55.29%	0.740
Serum PGE2	141pg/ml (BI) ³²	78.4%	81.5%	0.83

BI, bacterial infection; AFLAC, ascitic fluid lactoferrin; SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; PCT, procalcitonin; CRP, C-reactive protein; sTREM-1, soluble triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cell-1; CLIF-SOFA, Chronic liver failure sequential organ failure assessment; PGE2, prostaglandin E2.

er diagnostic agreement in patients with ACLF than in those without ACLF, challenges persist in assessing the presence of bacterial infections. Firstly, specific types of BIs, such as SBP, exhibit unsatisfactory interobserver agreement. Secondly, distinguishing between colonization and infection remains problematic, particularly in assessing respiratory infections. Thirdly, a significant proportion of patients with suspected BIs cannot be definitively classified as a particular type and are thus labeled as having unproven infections.²³ Consequently, there is a pressing need for new biomarkers and technologies to enhance the diagnosis of bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis and ACLF.

The emerging technologies and biomarkers

There is a growing interest in exploring new diagnostic biomarkers or technologies (as shown in Table 5).²⁴⁻³³ For the diagnosis of SBP, manual measurement of polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN) count may yield false negatives due to PMN lysis, potentially causing delays in diagnosis and empirical antibiotic use.²⁴ Ascitic fluid lactoferrin has emerged as a promising alternative for diagnosing SBP, as it remains stable at room temperature, eliminating the need for manual measurement.²⁴⁻²⁶ Nonetheless, the absence of a standardized cutoff value poses a limitation, attributed to discrepancies in processing techniques among enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit manufacturers. Point-of-care testing for lactoferrin may offer a solution to overcome these drawbacks.²⁶ Additionally, ascitic fluid calprotectin has shown comparable performance to ascitic fluid lactoferrin in identifying SBP, and leukocyte esterase reagent strips in ascitic fluid present a potential approach.27

In situ hybridization utilizing a global bacteria probe has

proven to be a rapid and sensitive method for diagnosing SBP, particularly in patients with low ascitic PMN counts, although it does not provide information on bacterial drug susceptibility.²⁸ Improved droplet digital PCR for evaluating bacterial DNA and diagnosing SBP has also been identified as a useful method, providing microbiological insights.³⁴

Although C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT) are commonly utilized biomarkers for diagnosing BIs in the general population, their diagnostic utility in patients with cirrhosis is limited.^{29,35} CRP synthesis is impaired in advanced liver diseases, and elevated levels may be observed in uninfected patients with cirrhosis or ACLF due to systemic inflammation.³⁵ Similarly, PCT levels may be relatively elevated in ACLF patients with renal failure, as PCT can be filtrated through the glomerular basal membrane.³⁰ A novel scoring system combining neutrophil percent, PCT, and CRP has demonstrated greater accuracy in diagnosing BIs in ACLF compared to using these parameters individually.³¹

Presepsin has shown promise in diagnosing BIs and sepsis in decompensated cirrhosis and ACLF patients.³⁵ For ACLF patients in sepsis, soluble triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cell-1 has also shown strong diagnostic utility.^{29,36} Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that combining presepsin or soluble triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cell-1 with the Chronic Liver Failure-Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score can even more accurately diagnose sepsis in ACLF patients.²⁹ Additionally, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) may be able to identify HBV-ACLF infection, which is associated with immunosuppression in ACLF.³² However, standardization of testing methods is crucial to overcome the heterogeneity of cutoff values and facilitate the clinical implementation of these biomarkers.

Pathogenesis

Susceptibility to BIs

It is worth noting that despite the pronounced systemic inflammation observed in ACLF patients, they paradoxically exhibit an increased susceptibility to BIs. This susceptibility may partly stem from the concurrent development of compensatory anti-inflammatory responses alongside systemic inflammation.^{37,38} During this stage, the innate immune system becomes overactivated while the adaptive immune system is suppressed or exhausted. Leukocytosis, neutrophilia, a rise in circulating M0-like monocytes, and a decrease in memory lymphocyte numbers, including B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and natural killer cells, are some of the main symptoms.^{39,40} Although exhibiting heightened activation, the bacterial killing function of innate immune cells is defective to varying degrees. For instance, neutrophils in ACLF patients display impaired antimicrobial superoxide anion production and phagocytosis capacity.³⁹ Additionally, neutrophils demonstrate an upregulation of genes associated with glycolysis, leading to aerobic glycolysis and lactate production.³⁹ Immunosuppressive MerTk+ Monocytes and myeloid-derived suppressor cells are expanded in ACLF patients and correlate with secondary infections 41-44

BIs-induced immunopathology and organ failures

Hepatocytes typically activate NF-kB-dependent anti-apoptotic pathways to prevent TNF-a-induced apoptosis.⁴⁵ Since patients with ACLF have reduced utilization of this mechanism, LPS binding to Toll-like receptor 4 causes direct tissue injury.⁴⁶ Pathogen-associated molecular patterns, circulating bacterial products, are recognized by pattern-recognition receptors in ACLF patients with bacterial infection. These pattern-recognition receptors, usually members of the Toll-like receptors and NOD-like receptor families, bind to pro-inflammatory genes, upregulating transcription of specific cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-a).47 However, an excessive inflammatory response may lead to indirect tissue damage.48 Effector responses, including T helper 17 cells, recruited neutrophils, cytotoxic lymphocytes, and IFNy-activated macrophages, are associated with elevated immune-mediated tissue damage and an increased risk of organ failure.^{47,49} Furthermore, bacteria and their byproducts can cause indirect tissue damage by triggering systemic inflammatory responses that affect circulatory function, potentially resulting in organ damage due to oxidative stress, reduced organ perfusion, and endothelial dysfunction.47

Prophylaxis

Antibiotic prophylaxis

Prophylactic antibiotics not only reduce the incidence of bacterial infection but also decrease the risk of decompensation events, including hepatorenal syndrome, recurrent variceal bleeding, and even death, thus improving patient outcomes.¹ Quinolones, such as norfloxacin, for instance, are recommended as a prophylactic measure against SBP in patients with decompensated cirrhosis due to their ability to maintain a high concentration within the intestinal lumen and effectively eliminate gram-negative bacteria. Studies have shown that norfloxacin is associated with lower endotoxin levels, a lower incidence of hepatic encephalopathy, and better evolution of ACLF grades.⁵⁰ However, the incidence of Clostridium difficile infection was greater in individuals using norfloxacin for secondary prevention of SBP, particularly in those with alcoholic Child-Pugh C class liver cirrhosis.⁵¹ Other quinolones such as ciprofloxacin and rufloxacin were effective alternatives to norfloxacin.⁵² Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole was also an alternative for the prevention of infection in cirrhosis or liver failure, although its efficacy remained ambiguous.^{52–54}

Rifaximin has also been found to be useful for the primary and secondary prophylaxis of SBP.^{52,53,55-57} Rifaximin suppresses the translocation of certain bacteria and promotes gut barrier repair, thus preventing the translocation of bacteria from the intestinal lumen to the circulatory system.⁵⁸ In contrast to norfloxacin, long-term use of rifaximin was associated with a decreased incidence of Clostridium difficile infection.⁵⁹ A recent pilot study showed that the addition of rifaximin (1,200 mg/day for 90 days) reduced the incidence of BIs and ACLF in severe alcoholic hepatitis compared to standard treatment alone.⁵⁶ Large-scale randomized controlled trials are necessary to confirm rifaximin's effectiveness in preventing bacterial infections in ACLF.

Although these prophylactic antibiotics have been proven effective, their use is associated with a higher prevalence of MDR bacteria. The emergence of quinolone-resistant bacteria and MDR gram-negative bacteria has challenged the role of prophylactic antibiotics, and their efficacy has decreased over time.^{1,55,60-63} Furthermore, several studies have reported that the use of prophylactic antibiotics was not associated with better outcomes.^{64,65} Nevertheless, a multicenter global study revealed that norfloxacin prophylaxis did not correlate with an increased frequency of MDR bacteria and that continued medication with norfloxacin for an extended period of time was safe.^{18,66} Due to the potential risk, prophylactic antibiotics should be initiated with caution. It is recommended to administer prophylactic antibiotics in patients with low ascites fluid levels (lower than 15g/L) or upper gastrointestinal bleeding, as well as in those who have recovered from an episode of SBP.^{50,61,67} It has also been reported that antibiotics showed limited effects in patients with Child-Pugh A/B cirrhosis and upper gastrointestinal bleeding simultaneously, and thus the use of antibiotics in these patients may be unnecessary.68

Non-antibiotic prophylaxis

In patients with ACLF, diminished levels of albumin and impaired binding function result in impaired plasma PGE2 binding capacity. Infusion of 20% human serum albumin can counteract the immunosuppressive effects of PGE2.69,70 Besides, Non-selective beta-blockers (NSBBs) have been associated with a reduced risk of BIs, potentially due to their ability to alleviate blood vessel congestion, thereby restoring intestinal blood supply and structural integrity of the barrier.⁷¹ However, this hypothesis cannot explain why the same effect was observed in the non-portal hypertension population. Notably, NSBBs may increase the risk of hepatorenal syndrome,⁷² and the suitable type and appropriate dosage of NSBBs require further investigation. While probiotics have demonstrated limited efficacy, fecal microbiota transplant has shown promise in rectifying antibiotic-induced dysbiosis and reducing the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant genes in recipients.73,74 Additionally, certain nutrients such as 25-hydroxyvitamin D and zinc may confer prophylactic benefits against bacterial infections, although their efficacy necessi-tates further evaluation.^{75,76}

The implementation of infection prevention and control programs, such as minimizing the frequency of invasive procedures and ensuring appropriate environmental hygiene, has been shown to effectively mitigate the incidence of noso-comial infections, reduce the prevalence of MDR, and lower the failure rate of empirical antibiotic treatments.⁷⁷ Notably, Martínez et al. observed that despite antibiotic prophylaxis,

Xu Z. et al: Bacterial infections in ACLF

bacterial infections developed in 20% of patients with acute variceal bleeding, with the respiratory tract being the most frequently affected site. 62

Hence, it is advisable to limit orotracheal intubation and the use of nasogastric aspiration to minimize the risk of infection.⁶² Additionally, replacing balloon tamponade with an expandable esophageal stent has been recommended, as it proves to be more effective and carries a lower risk of aspiration pneumonia.⁶²

Treatment

Prompt initiation of broad-spectrum, empirical antibiotic therapy is imperative upon the diagnosis or suspicion of infection. Tailoring antibiotic therapy should take into account the type and severity of BIs, local epidemiology of microbiology and antibiotic resistance, and the clinical setting (community-acquired, healthcare-associated, or nosocomial). The timely and prudent use of antibiotics has been linked to improved clinical outcomes, a shorter duration of treatment, and decreased mortality rates after 28 and 90 days.⁶ Patients with ACLF who are receiving broad-spectrum antibiotics were advised to de-escalate empirical medications early (within 24–72 h) based on drug sensitivity and MDR bacterial colonization data. This approach aimed to minimize the possibility of antibiotic resistance.^{22,78,79}

Treatment for SBP

SBP was the most frequent type of BIs encountered in ACLF, necessitating tailored empirical treatment strategies. For community-acquired SBP, initial antibiotic therapy must encompass a spectrum effective against gram-negative bacilli, gram-positive cocci, and anaerobic bacteria.80 Tigecycline (TGC) constitutes the first-line agent, although its efficacy has waned in light of escalating MDR bacterial strains and should be judiciously reserved for regions where MDR bacteria are not prevalent.67,78,79 For severe communityacquired SBP or in regions with a high prevalence of MDR bacteria, piperacillin/tazobactam, and carbapenem are recommended.^{67,79,80} Carbapenems are the first choice of empirical antibiotic treatment in nosocomial SBP. Notably, a higher meropenem loading dose is required in ACLF patients undergoing continuous veno-venous hemodialysis due to an expanded volume of distribution.^{80,81} In areas where MDR bacteria are not as common, piperacillin/tazobactam can be used in nosocomial SBP. In areas where MDR bacteria are more common, especially in septic patients, carbapenem plus daptomycin, vancomycin, or linezolid is advised.67,82 ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae led to the widespread use of carbapenems, which in turn accelerated the establishment of carbapenem-resistant species, necessitating alternative strategies such as TGC or high-dose TGC in conjunction with carbapenem in continuous infusion for XDR bacteria. 67,74 Notably, severe infections caused by carbapenem and quinolone-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa require therapeutic approaches involving amikacin/ tobramycin or colistin in combination with carbapenems or ceftazidime; BIs caused by vancomycin-sensitive Enterobacteriaceae or methicillin-resistant S aureus necessitate glycopeptide therapy, while linezolid, daptomycin, and TGC are options for vancomycin-resistant enterococci.67,78 Despite its utility, linezolid administration in ACLF patients carries a risk of thrombocytopenia, necessitating close platelet monitoring.83 In addition to antibiotics, intravenous administration of albumin is recommended in SBP since it can prevent the occurrence of type-1 hepatorenal syndrome, acute kidney injury, and reduce mortality, especially in patients with baseline bilirubin >68 $\mu mol/L$ or serum creatinine >88 $\mu mol/L.^{67,79,84}$

Treatment for non-SBP infections

There is still uncertainty about the use of human albumin in individuals with cirrhosis and non-SBP infections. A recent meta-analysis did not demonstrate a survival benefit of intravenous albumin in cases of non-SBP infection.^{83,85} Nevertheless, a recent study revealed that despite minimal improvement in survival, the concurrent administration of antibiotics and albumin in cirrhotic patients with non-SBP infections improved renal and circulatory functions, decreased the incidence of nosocomial BIs, and notably, augmented the resolution rate of ACLF. Of course, more randomized controlled studies are required to validate this finding.

Novel treatment for BIs in ACLF

Monocytes from ACLF patients demonstrate a preference for glutamine as a substrate for fueling the tricarboxylic acid cycle.⁴¹ Notably, the enzymatic action of glutamine synthetase (GLUL), facilitating glutamine anabolism, serves to impede this catabolic process, thereby precipitating an energy deficit within monocytes.⁴¹ Methionine sulfoximine, an inhibitor of GLUL, restored the bactericidal capabilities of monocytes derived from ACLF patients, thus representing a novel therapeutic target for infection management.⁴¹ Experimental evidence suggests that interleukin-22Fc exerts hepatoprotective effects in ACLF murine models by promoting liver regeneration directly and indirectly suppressing bacterial infection.86 Besides, a randomized controlled trial revealed that omega-3 fatty acids could attenuate systemic inflammation, endotoxemia, and sepsis in patients with ACLF.87 Additionally, an ex vivo investigation has highlighted the potential of Qingdu Decoction, a traditional Chinese medicinal preparation, to ameliorate endotoxemia in ACLF rats.88

Conclusion

In ACLF patients, BIs pose a significant life-threatening risk, manifesting either as a precipitating factor or a consequential complication, thereby exerting a detrimental influence on prognosis. Current studies partially explain the pathogenesis of infection-triggered ACLF and the susceptibility of BIs of ACLF patients, thereby furnishing novel insights pertinent to infection management strategies. Noteworthy among these are immunomodulatory agents such as IL-22Fc, GLUL inhibitors, and human albumin, which have shown promise in treating BIs in ACLF; however, their efficacy and safety necessitate further validation. Owing to the evolving pattern of isolated strains and the emergence of MDR and XDR bacteria, current empirical antibiotic treatment is greatly challenged, and new antibiotics are urgently required. Additionally, several new biomarkers have been considered effective in the early diagnosis of infection and sepsis, although the cutoff value still needs to be standardized and their efficacy requires further validation. Further understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms of infection in ACLF patients is needed to develop therapeutic or preventive therapies.

Funding

The work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2022YFC2304501 and No. 2021YFC2301800), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2023QZJH50), Medical Health Science and Technology Project of Zhejiang Provincial Health Commission (No.2022RC141) and the Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (No. 81870425).

Conflict of interest

YS has been an Editorial Board Member of Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology since 2022. The other authors have no conflict of interests related to this publication

Author contributions

ZX wrote the manuscript; XZ, JC and YS reviewed and revised the manuscript; SJ reviewed the manuscript. All authors have read the approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Liu XQ, Zhang XY, Ying Y, Zheng JM, Sun J, Zhang WH, et al. The role of prophylactic antibiotics in hepatitis B virus-related acute-on-chronic liver failure patients at risk of bacterial infection: a retrospective study. Infect Dis Poverty 2021;10(1):44. doi:10.1186/s40249-021-00830-7, PMID: 33789759.
- Moreau R, Jalan R, Gines P, Pavesi M, Angeli P, Cordoba J, et al. Acute-on-chronic liver failure is a distinct syndrome that develops in patients with
- child like intervention of cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2013;144(7):1426– 1437.e9. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2013.02.042, PMID:23474284. Shi Y, Yang Y, Hu Y, Wu W, Yang Q, Zheng M, *et al.* Acute-on-chronic liv-er failure precipitated by hepatic injury is distinct from that precipitated by extrahepatic insults. Hepatology 2015;62(1):232–242. doi:10.1002/ hep.27795, PMID:25800029.
- [4] Bajaj JS, O'Leary JG, Reddy KR, Wong F, Biggins SW, Patton H, et al. Survival in infection-related acute-on-chronic liver failure is defined by ex-trahepatic organ failures. Hepatology 2014;60(1):250–256. doi:10.1002/ hep.27077, PMID:24677131.
- Mücke MM, Rumyantseva T, Mücke VT, Schwarzkopf K, Joshi S, Kempf VAJ, [5] et al. Bacterial infection-triggered acute-on-chronic liver failure is associated with increased mortality. Liver Int 2018;38(4):645–653. doi:10.1111/ liv.13568, PMID:28853199. Fernández J, Acevedo J, Wiest R, Gustot T, Amoros A, Deulofeu C, *et al*.
- [6] Bacterial and fungal infections in acute-on-chronic liver failure: prevalence, characteristics and impact on prognosis. Gut 2018;67(10):1870–1880
- Characteristics and impact on prognosis. Gut 2018;67(10):1870–1880. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314240, PMID:28847867. Kim HY, Chang Y, Park JY, Ahn H, Cho H, Han SJ, et al. Characterization of acute-on-chronic liver failure and prediction of mortality in Asian patients with active alcoholism. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;31(2):427–433. doi:10.1111/jgh.13084, PMID:26260091. Cao 2J, Liu YH, Zhu CW, Yin S, Wang WJ, Tang WL, et al. Bacterial infec-tion triggers and complicates acute-on-chronic liver failure in patients with hepatitis B virus-decompensated cirrhosis: A retrospective cohort study. World J. Cestropoterol 2020;26(2):646. Effect doi:10.2748/wirus.u26.i6.647 [7]
- [8] World J Gastroenterol 2020;26(6):645-656. doi:10.3748/wjg.v26.i6.645 PMID:32103873
- Zhai XR, Tong JJ, Wang HM, Xu X, Mu XY, Chen J, et al. Infection deteriorat-ing hepatitis B virus related acute-on-chronic liver failure: a retrospective
- [9] Zhai XA, King JJ, Wang Hai, Xu X, Hu Xi, Chen J, Chen J, et al. Inflection determination of the partitis B virus related acute-on-chronic liver failure: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Gastroenterol 2020;20(1):320. doi:10.1186/s12876-020-01473-y, PMID:32993547.
 [10] Shalimar, Rout G, Jadaun SS, Ranjan G, Kedia S, Gunjan D, *et al.* Prevalence, predictors and impact of bacterial infection in acute on chronic liver failure patients. Dig Liver Dis 2018;50(11):1225-1231. doi:10.1016/j. dld.2018.05.013, PMID:29910108.
 [11] Cai J, Zhang M, Han T, Jiang HQ. Characteristics of infection and its impact on short-term outcome in patients with acute-on-chronic liver er failure. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96(37):e8057. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000008057, PMID:28906399.
 [12] Medhat MA, Gushken F, Khaled T, Hassan E, El-Rehim AA, Kamel S. Acute-on-chronic liver failure in Egypt: an underestimated complication of liver cirrhosis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;33(1S Suppl 1):e458-e463. doi:10.1097/MEG.0000000002132, PMID:33741801.
 [13] Zhang Q, Shi B, Wu L. Characteristics and risk factors of infections in patients with HBV-related acute-on-chronic liver a retrospective
- patients with HBV-related acute-on-chronic liver failure: a retrospective study. PeerJ 2022;10:e13519. doi:10.7717/peerj.13519, PMID:35811816.
- [14] Wong F, Piano S, Singh V, Bartoletti M, Maiwall R, Alessandria C, et al. Clinical features and evolution of bacterial infection-related acute-on-chronic liver failure. J Hepatol 2021;74(2):330–339. doi:10.1016/j.jhep. 2020.07.046, PMID:32781201.
- 2020.07.046, PMID:32/81201.
 [15] Zhang X, Chen P, Gao H, Hao S, Yang M, Zhao H, et al. Bacterial Infection and Predictors of Mortality in Patients with Autoimmune Liver Disease-Associated Acute-On-Chronic Liver Failure. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;2018:5108781. doi:10.1155/2018/5108781, PMID:29623264.
 [16] Fernández J, Prado V, Trebicka J, Amoros A, Gusto T, Wiest R, et al. Multidrug-resistant bacterial infections in patients with decompensat-ed cirrhosis and with acute-on-chronic liver failure in Europe. J Hepatol 2019;27(3):289-411. doi:10.1016/j.iben2.018.10.027. PMID:30321380.
- 2019;70(3):398–411. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2018.10.027, PMID:30391380. [17] Trebicka J, Fernandez J, Papp M, Caraceni P, Laleman W, Gambino C, *et al.* PREDICT identifies precipitating events associated with the clinical course

of acutely decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2021;74(5):1097-1108. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2020.11.019, PMID:33227350.
 [18] Piano S, Singh V, Caraceni P, Maiwall R, Alessandria C, Fernandez J, *et al.*

- Epidemiology and Effects of Bacterial Infections in Patients With Cirrhosis
- Bacteria Intections in Patients where characteria intections in Patients in Patie
- [20] Li B, Gao Y, Wang X, Qian Z, Meng Z, Huang Y, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of bacterascites in cirrhotic patients: A retrospective, mul-ticentre study. Liver Int 2020;40(6):1447–1456. doi:10.1111/liv.14418, PMID:32128975.
- [21] Su H, Tong J, Liu X, Li C, Chen J, Xu X, et al. Characteristics and outcome of nosocomial bloodstream infection in patients with acute-on-chronic liv-er failure. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;33(1):83–88. doi:10.1097/ 10.1097/10.1097.
- MEG.00000000001699, PMID:32118849.
 [22] European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on acute-on-chronic liver failure. J Hepatol 2023;79(2):461– 491. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2023.04.021, PMID:37364789.
 [23] Ren H, Yao J, Zhao R, Gong K, Sun S, Yu X, et al. Interobserver Agreement for Classifying Infections in Patients with Decompensated Cirrhosis Based
- on Classifying Infections in Patients with Decompensated clinicity based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Criteria. Infect Dis Immun 2022;2(1):21–28. doi:10.1097/ID9.000000000000038.
 [24] Parsi MA, Saadeh SN, Zein NN, Davis GL, Lopez R, Boone J, *et al.* Ascitic fluid lactoferrin for diagnosis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Gas-
- PMID:18590731.
- [25] Lee SS, Min HJ, Choi JY, Cho HC, Kim JJ, Lee JM, et al. Usefulness of ascitic fluid lactoferrin levels in patients with liver cirrhosis. BMC Gastroenterol 2016;16(1):132. doi:10.1186/s12876-016-0546-9, PMID:27733127.
- [26] Patel KP, Korbitz PM, Gallagher JP, Schmidt C, Ingviya T, Manatsathit W. Ascitic calprotectin and lactoferrin for detection of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022;7:37. doi:10.21037/tgh-20-323, PMID:36300150.
 [27] Li C, Su HB, Hu JH. The periscreen strip is an accurate and rapid method to diagness constrained bacterial paritonitis approxe nationate with acuta.
- [27] E.G., Oarne, J.H.G., Hind Perturbative and the first anong patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;33(1S Suppl 1):e206-e213. doi:10.1097/MEG.000000000002007, PMID:35048646.
 [28] Enomoto H, Inoue S, Matsuhisa A, Aizawa N, Imanishi H, Saito M, et al. De-
- velopment of a new in situ hybridization method for the detection of global bacterial DNA to provide early evidence of a bacterial infection in sponta-
- bacterial Diva to provide early evidence of a bacterial infection in Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. J Hepatol 2012;56(1):85–94. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2011.06.025, PMID:21835139.
 [29] Chen J, Huang ZB, Li H, Zheng X, Chen JJ, Wang XB, et al. Early Diagnostic Biomarkers of Sepsis for Patients with Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure: A Multicenter Study. Infect Dis Ther 2021;10(1):281–290. doi:10.1007/ ad0121.020.0256.xr. DMUD:231462021;10(1):281–290. doi:10.1007/ s40121-020-00362-x, PMID:33146854. [30] Igna R, Gîrleanu I, Cojocariu C, Huiban L, Muzîca C, Sîngeap AM, *et al*. The
- Role of Presepsin and Procalcitonin in Early Diagnosis of Bacterial Infec-tions in Cirrhotic Patients with Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure. J Clin Med
- tions in Cirrhotic Patients with Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure. J Clin Med 2022;11(18):5410. doi:10.3390/jcm11185410, PMID:36143057.
 [31] Lin S, Yan YY, Wu YL, Wang MF, Zhu YY, Wang XZ. Development of a novel score for the diagnosis of bacterial infection in patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure. World J Gastroenterol 2020;26(32):4857-4865. doi:10.3748/wig.v26.i32.4857, PMID:32921962.
 [32] Huang XP, Wang Y, Chen L, Sun W, Huang Y, Xu Y, et al. Elevated serum prostaglandin E2 predicts the risk of infection in hepatitis B virus-related acute-on-chronic failure failure astionts. A clian Pac. J Tron Med 2012:10(0):016-
- taglandin E2 predicts the risk of infection in hepatitis B virus-related acute-on-chronic liver failure patients. Asian Pac J Trop Med 2017;10(9):916– 920. doi:10.1016/j.apjtm.2017.08.008, PMID:29080622.
 [33] Lin S, Yan Y, Wu Y, Van Poucke S, Wang M, Zhu Y, *et al.* Procalcitonin as a biomarker for diagnose of bacterial infection in patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2020;44(2):e32–e34. doi:10.1016/j.clinre.2019.06.011, PMID:31303532.
 [34] Wu HX, Hou W, Zhang W, Wang Z, Guo S, Chen DX, *et al.* Clinical evalua-tion of bacterial DNA using an improved droplet digital PCR for spontaneous bacterial peritonitis diagnosis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2022;12:876495. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.876495. PMID:36061877.
- doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.876495, PMID:36061877.
 [35] Fischer P, Grigoras C, Bugariu A, Nicoara-Farcau O, Stefanescu H, Benea A, et al. Are presepsin and resistin better markers for bacterial infection in patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis? Dig Liver Dis 2019;51(12):1685– 1691. doi:10.1016/j.dld.2019.05.025, PMID:31221548. [36] Yadav P, Trehanpati N, Maiwall R, Sehgal R, Singh R, Islam M, *et al*. Soluble
- factors and suppressive monocytes can predict early development of sepsis in acute-on-chronic liver failure. Hepatol Commun 2022;6(8):2105–2120.
- doi:10.1002/hep4.1949, PMID:35502507.
 [37] Arroyo V, Moreau R, Kamath PS, Jalan R, Ginès P, Nevens F, *et al.* Acute-on-chronic liver failure in cirrhosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016;2:16041. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2016.41, PMID:27277335. [38] Hernaez R, Solà E, Moreau R, Ginès P. Acute-on-chronic liver failure: an
- pdate. Gut 2017;66(3):541–553. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312670, PMID:28053053.
- [39] Weiss E, de la Grange P, Defaye M, Lozano JJ, Aguilar F, Hegde P, et al. Characterization of Blood Immune Cells in Patients With Decompensated Cirrhosis Including ACLF. Front Immunol 2020;11:619039. doi:10.3389/ fimmu.2020.619039, PMID:33613548.
 [40] Li J, Liang X, Jiang J, Yang L, Xin J, Shi D, et al. PBMC transcriptom-
- ics identifies immune-metabolism disorder during the development of HBV-ACLF. Gut 2022;71(1):163-175. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2020-323395, PMID: 33431576.

Xu Z. et al: Bacterial infections in ACLE

- [41] Korf H, du Plessis J, van Pelt J, De Groote S, Cassiman D, Verbeke L, et al. Inhibition of glutamine synthetase in monocytes from patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure resuscitates their antibacterial and inflammatory capacity. Gut 2019;68(10):1872-1883. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316888, PMID: 30580251.
- [42] Bernsmeier C, Pop OT, Singanayagam A, Triantafyllou E, Patel VC, Weston CI, et al. Patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure have increased num-bers of regulatory immune cells expressing the receptor tyrosine kinase MERTK. Gastroenterology 2015;148(3):603–615.e14. doi:10.1053/j.gas-tro.2014.11.045, PMID:25479139.
- [43] Bernsmeier C, Triantafyllou E, Brenig R, Lebosse FJ, Singanayagam A, Patel VC, et al. CD14(+) CD15(-) HLA-DR(-) myeloid-derived suppressor cells impair antimicrobial responses in patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure. Gut 2018;67(6):1155–1167. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314184, DMD-205624 PMID:28592438.
- [44] Zeng Y, Li Y, Xu Z, Gan W, Lu L, Huang X, et al. Myeloid-derived sup-pressor cells expansion is closely associated with disease severity and progression in HBV-related acute-on-chronic liver failure. J Med Virol 2019;91(8):1510–1518. doi:10.1002/jmv.25466, PMID:30905084
- [45] Liu ZG, Hsu H, Goeddel DV, Karin M. Dissection of TNF receptor 1 effector functions: JNK activation is not linked to apoptosis while NF-kappaB activation prevents cell death. Cell 1996;87(3):565-576. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81375-6, PMID:8898208.
- 8674(00)81375-6, PMID:8898208.
 [46] Tazi KA, Bièche I, Paradis V, Guichard C, Laurendeau I, Dargère D, et al. In vivo altered unfolded protein response and apoptosis in livers from lipopolysaccharide-challenged cirrhotic rats. J Hepatol 2007;46(6):1075-1088. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2007.01.034, PMID:17399843.
 [47] Engelmann C, Berg T. Management of Infectious Complications Associated with Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure. Visc Med 2018;34(4):261-268. doi:10.1159/000491107, PMID:30345283.
 [48] Margu P. The Pathogenetic of CLE: The Inflammatory Response and Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure.
- [48] Moreau R. The Pathogenesis of ACLF: The Inflammatory Response and Immune Function. Semin Liver Dis 2016;36(2):133–140. doi:10.1055 /s-0036-1583199, PMID:27172355.
 [49] Iwasaki A, Medzhitov R. Control of adaptive immunity by the innate im-mune system. Nat Immunol 2015;16(4):343–353. doi:10.1038/ni.3123, PMID:25789684.
- [50] Kulkarni AV, Tirumalle S, Premkumar M, Kumar K, Fatima S, Rapole B, et al. Primary Norfloxacin Prophylaxis for APASL-Defined Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure: A Placebo-Controlled Double-Blind Randomized Trial. Am J Gastroenterol 2022;117(4):607-616. doi:10.14309/ajg.000000000001611, MID:35041634.
- [51] Girleanu I, Trifan A, Huiban L, Muzica C, Nemteanu R, Teodorescu A, et al. The Risk of Clostridioides difficile Infection in Cirrhotic Patients Receiving Norfloxacin for Secondary Prophylaxis of Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis A Real Life Cohort. Medicina (Kaunas) 2021;57(9):964. doi:10.3390/me-
- A Real Life Cohort. Medicina (Kaunas) 2021;57(9):964. doi:10.3390/me-dicina57090964, PMID:34577887.
 [52] Song S, Yang Y, Geng C, Tang Z, Wang C, Li X. Norfloxacin versus al-ternative antibiotics for prophylaxis of spontaneous bacteria peritoni-tis in cirrhosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect DIs 2023;23(1):557. doi:10.1186/s12879-023-08557-6, PMID:37641014.
 [53] Soni H, Kumar-M P, Sharma V, Bellam BL, Mishra S, Mahendru D, *et al*. An-tibiotics for prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: systematic re-view & Bayceian petwork meta-analysis.
- view & Bayesian network meta-analysis. Hepatol Int 2020;14(3):399-413. doi:10.1007/s12072-020-10025-1, PMID:32266675.
- [54] Dong Y, Sun D, Wang Y, Du Q, Zhang Y, Han R, et al. Evaluation of the current guidelines for antibacterial therapy strategies in patients with cirrhosis or liver failure. BMC Infect Dis 2022;22(1):23. doi:10.1186/s12879-021-07018-2, PMID:34983426.
- [55] Mücke MM, Mücke VT, Graf C, Schwarzkopf KM, Ferstl PG, Fernandez J, et al. Efficacy of Norfloxacin Prophylaxis to Prevent Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 2020;11(8):e00223. doi:10.14309/ctg.000000000000223, PMID:32955202.
- [56] Jiménez C, Ventura-Cots M, Sala M, Calafat M, Garcia-Retortillo M, Cir-era I, et al. Effect of rifaximin on infections, acute-on-chronic liver failure and mortality in alcoholic hepatitis: A pilot study (RIFA-AH). Liver Int 2022;42(5):1109–1120. doi:10.1111/liv.15207, PMID:35220659. Praharaj DL, Premkumar M, Roy A, Verma N, Taneja S, Duseja A, *et al*.
- Rifaximi VS. Norfloxacin for Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis Prophylaxis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Clin Exp Hepatol 2022;12(2):336–342. doi:10.1016/j.jceh.2021.08.010, PMID:35535057.
 [58] Patel VC, Lee S, McPhail MJW, Da Silva K, Guilly S, Zamalloa A, et al.
- Rifaximin-o reduces gut-derived inflammation and mucin degradation in cir-rhosis and encephalopathy: RIFSYS randomised controlled trial. J Hepatol
- 2022;76(2):322–342. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2021.09.010, PMID:34571050.
 [59] Feuerstadt P, Hong SJ, Brandt LJ. Chronic Rifaximin Use in Cirrhotic Patients Is Associated with Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Dig Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Dig Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Dig Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Dig Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Dig Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Dig Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Dig Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Dig Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Dig Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infection. Disters and the Decreased Rate of C. difficile Infective Action Action Action Action Action Sci 2020;65(2):632-638. doi:10.1007/s10620-019-05804-2, PMID:314
- [60] Mücke MM, Mayer A, Kessel J, Mücke VT, Bon D, Schwarzkopf K, et al. Qui-nolone and Multidrug Resistance Predicts Failure of Antibiotic Prophylaxis of Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis. Clin Infect Dis 2020;70(9):1916-1924. doi:10.1093/cid/ciz540, PMID:31228250.
- [61] Facciorusso A, Antonino M, Orsitto E, Sacco R. Primary and secondary prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: current state of the art. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;13(8):751–759. doi:10.1080/174 74124.2019.1644167, PMID:31304804.
- [62] Martínez J, Hernández-Gea V, Rodríguez-de-Santiago E, Téllez L, Procopet B, Giráldez Á, et al. Bacterial infections in patients with acute variceal bleeding in the era of antibiotic prophylaxis. J Hepatol 2021;75(2):342-350. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2021.03.026.

- [63] Badal BD, Silvey S, Dragilev L, O'Leary JG, Morgan TR, Cheung R, et al. Primary prophylaxis for spontaneous bacterial peritonitis is linked to antibiotic resistance in the Veterans Health Administration. Hepatology 2023;77(6):2030–2040. doi:10.1097/HEP.0000000000000184, PMID:366 45215.
- 45215.
 [64] Ueno M, Fujiwara T, Tokumasu H, Mano T, Kayahara T, Takabatake H, et al. Real-world efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis for upper gastrointestinal bleeding in cirrhotic patients in Japan. J Gastroenterol 2023;58(8):766–777. doi:10.1007/s00535-023-02000-y, PMID:37171554.
 [65] Kutmutia R, Tittanegro T, China L, Forrest E, Kallis Y, Ryder SD, et al. Evaluating the Role of Antibiotics in Patients Admitted to Hospital With Decompensated Cirrhosis: Lessons From the ATTIRE Trial. Am J Gastroenterol 2023;18(4):105–113.
- 2023;118(1):105-113. doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000001937, PMID:359 70815.
- [66] Moreau R, Elkrief L, Bureau C, Perarnau JM, Thévenot T, Saliba F, et al. Effects of Long-term Norfloxacin Therapy in Patients With Advanced Cir-rhosis. Gastroenterology 2018;155(6):1816–1827.e9. doi:10.1053/j.gas-tro.2018.08.026, PMID:30144431.
- [67] Angeli P, Bernardi M, Villanueva C, Francoz C, Mookerjee RP, Trebicka J, et al. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2018;69(2):406–460. doi:10.1016/j.
- decompensated cirrmosis. J Hepatol 2018;69(2):406–460. doi:10.1016/j. jhep.2018.03.024, PMID:29653741.
 [68] Chang TS, Tsai YH, Lin YH, Chen CH, Lu CK, Huang WS, et al. Limited effects of antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with Child-Pugh class A/B cirrhosis and upper gastrointestinal bleeding. PLoS One 2020;15(2):e0229101. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0229101, PMID:32084186.
 [69] China L, Maini A, Skene SS, Shabir Z, Sylvestre Y, Colas RA, et al. Albumin Counteracts Immune-Suppressive Effects of Lipid Mediators in Patients With Advanced Liver Disease. Clin Gastronetre J Apple 12:018:16(5):738-
- With Advanced Liver Disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;16(5):738-747.e7. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2017.08.027, PMID:28859868.
- [70] China L, Skene SS, Shabir Z, Maini A, Sylvestre Y, Bennett K, et al. Admin-istration of Albumin Solution Increases Serum Levels of Albumin in Patients With Chronic Liver Failure in a Single-Arm Feasibility Trial. Clin Gastro-enterol Hepatol 2018;16(5):748–755.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2017.09.012, PMID:28911947.
- [71] Sasso R, Rockey DC. Non-selective beta-blocker use in cirrhotic patients is associated with a reduced likelihood of hospitalisation for infection. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2021;53(3):418–425. doi:10.1111/apt.16156, Description. PMID:33314175.
- [72] Mookerjee RP, Pavesi M, Thomsen KL, Mehta G, Macnaughtan J, Bendtsen F, et al. Treatment with non-selective beta blockers is associated with reduced severity of systemic inflammation and improved survival of pa-tients with acute-on-chronic liver failure. J Hepatol 2016;64(3):574–582.

- CIFTIOSIS. N Engl J Med 2021;384(24):2317-2330. doi:10.1056/NEJM-ra2021808, PMID:34133861.
 [75] Li X, Wang L, He N, Zhang Y, Pang J, Wang H, *et al.* The relationship between zinc deficiency and infectious complications in patients with hepatitis B virus-related acute-on-chronic liver failure. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) 2022;10:goac066. doi:10.1093/gastro/goac066, PMID:36381223.
- [76] Anty R, Tonohouan M, Ferrari-Panaia P, Piche T, Pariente A, Anstee QM, et al. Low Levels of 25-Hydroxy Vitamin D are Independently Associated with
- a). Low Levels of 25-Hydroxy Vitamin D are Independently Associated with the Risk of Bacterial Infection in Cirrhotic Patients. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 2014;5(5):e56. doi:10.1038/ctg.2014.6, PMID:24871371.
 [77] Di Cola S, Gazda J, Lapenna L, Ceccarelli G, Merli M. Infection prevention and control programme and COVID-19 measures: Effects on hospitalacquired infections in patients with cirrhosis. JHEP Rep 2023;5(5):100703. doi:10.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100703, PMID:36844944.
 [78] Fernández J, Bert F, Nicolas-Chanoine MH. The challenges of multi-drug-reprint and the patients with 2016;6(Ev1042-1064, doi:10.1016/j.
- sistance in hepatology. J Hepatol 2016;65(5):1043-1054. doi:10.1016/j. jhep.2016.08.006, PMID:27544545.
- [79] Biggins SW, Angeli P, Garcia-Tsao G, Ginès P, Ling SC, Nadim MK, et al. Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Management of Ascites, Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis and Hepatorenal Syndrome: 2021 Practice Guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology Depation of the Study of Liver Diseases. 2021;74(2):1014-1048. doi:10.1002/hep.31884.
- [80] Xu X, Duan Z, Ding H, Li W, Jia J, Wei L, et al. Chinese guidelines on the management of ascites and its related complications in cirrhosis. Hepatol Int 2019;13(1):1-21. doi:10.1007/s12072-018-09923-2, PMID:306 56520.
- [81] Grensemann J, Busse D, König C, Roedl K, Jäger W, Jarczak D, et al. Acute-on-chronic liver failure alters meropenem pharmacokinetics in criti-cally ill patients with continuous hemodialysis: an observational study. Ann Intensive Care 2020;10(1):48. doi:10.1186/s13613-020-00666-8, PMID:32323030.
- [82] Wieser A, Li H, Zhang J, Liss I, Markwardt D, Hornung R, et al. Evaluating the best empirical antibiotic therapy in patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Dig Liver Dis 2019;51(9):1300–1307. doi:10.1016/j.dld.2019.02.015, PMID:30944073.
- [83] Zhang YM, Yu W, Zhou N, Li JZ, Xu LC, Xie ZY, et al. High frequency of thrombocytopenia in patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure treat-ed with linezolid. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2015;14(3):287–292. doi:10.1016/s1499-3872(15)60379-4, PMID:26063030.
- [84] Sarin SK, Choudhury A, Sharma MK, Maiwall R, Al Mahtab M, Rahman S, et al. Acute-on-chronic liver failure: consensus recommendations of the Asian Pacific association for the study of the liver (APASL): an update. Hepatol Int 2019;13(4):353–390. doi:10.1007/s12072-019-09946-3, PMID:311

72417.

- 72417.
 [85] Chaisson ML, Brooling JT, Ladiges W, Tsai S, Fausto N. Hepatocyte-specific inhibition of NF-kappaB leads to apoptosis after TNF treatment, but not af-ter partial hepatectomy. J Clin Invest 2002;110(2):193–202. doi:10.1172/ JCI15295, PMID:12122111.
 [86] Xiang X, Feng D, Hwang S, Ren T, Wang X, Trojnar E, *et al.* Interleu-kin-22 ameliorates acute-on-chronic liver failure by reprogramming im-paired regeneration pathways in mice. J Hepatol 2020;72(4):736–745. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2019.11.013, PMID:31786256.

- Xu Z. et al: Bacterial infections in ACLF
- [87] Kulkarni AV, Anand L, Vyas AK, Premkumar M, Choudhury AK, Trehanpati [87] Kulkarni AV, Anand L, Vyas AK, Premkumar M, Choudhury AK, Trehanpati N, et al. Omega-3 fatty acid lipid emulsions are safe and effective in reduc-ing endotoxemia and sepsis in acute-on-chronic liver failure: An open-label randomized controlled trial. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;36(7):1953– 1961. doi:10.1111/jgh.15400, PMID:33450081.
 [88] Gou W, Zhang D, Gao L. Qingdu decoction can reduce LPS induced ACLF endotoxemia by regulating microRNA-34c/MAZ/TJs and microRNA-122a/ Zonulin/EGFR signal pathway. J Ethnopharmacol 2023;302(Pt B):115922. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2022.115922, PMID:36414212.