



# Article What Factors Would Make Single-Vehicle Motorcycle Crashes Fatal? Empirical Evidence from Pakistan

Amjad Pervez <sup>1</sup>, Jaeyoung Lee <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Helai Huang <sup>1</sup> and Xiaoqi Zhai <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Traffic and Transportation Engineering, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China; amjadpervez04@csu.edu.cn (A.P.); huanghelai@csu.edu.cn (H.H.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Civil, Environmental and Construction Engineering, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA
- <sup>3</sup> School of Civil Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China; xiaoqizhai@zzu.edu.cn
- Correspondence: jaeyoung@knights.ucf.edu

Abstract: The existing research on motorcycle safety has shown that single-vehicle motorcycle crashes (SVMC) account for a higher fatality rate than other types of crashes. Also, motorcycle safety has become one of the critical traffic safety issues in many developing countries, such as Pakistan, due to the growing number of motorcycles and lack of sufficient relevant infrastructure. However, the available literature on SVMC and motorcycle safety in developing countries is limited. Therefore, the present study attempted to investigate the factors that contribute to the injury severity of SVMC in a developing country, Pakistan. For this purpose, a random parameter logit model with heterogeneity in means and variances is developed using two years of data extracted from the road traffic injury research project in Karachi city, Pakistan. The study's findings show that the presence of pillion passengers and young motorcyclists indicators result in random parameters with heterogeneity in their means and variances. The study's results also reveal that the summer, morning time, weekends, older motorcyclists, collisions with fixed objects, speeding, and overtaking are positively, while younger motorcyclists and the presence of pillion passengers are negatively associated with fatal crashes. More importantly, in the particular Pakistan's context, female pillion passenger clothes trapped in the wheel, riding under the influence, intersections, U-turns, and collisions due to loss of control are also found to significantly influence the injury severity of SVMC. Based on these research findings, multiple appropriate countermeasures are recommended to enhance motorcycle safety in Pakistan and other developing countries with similar problems.

**Keywords:** motorcycle safety; injury severity; single-vehicle crashes; unobserved heterogeneity; random parameter model; heterogeneity in means and variances

# 1. Introduction

Numerous research studies on road safety have been carried out in developed countries, using large datasets and rigorous methodologies to better understand the crash mechanism and provide appropriate countermeasures in terms of road design, education, and outreach. In contrast, developing countries lack resources that limit their ability to do such research. Thus, mitigation initiatives typically rely on qualitative techniques, such as expert views, which may be affordable but ineffective since biased results might exacerbate safety concerns. However, as the world moves toward big data availability, new datasets are becoming available in developing countries, and modern approaches may greatly assist safety research and enhance our understanding of traffic safety worldwide.

Globally, in road traffic crashes, around 1.35 million people die every year. Developing countries account for approximately 93% of these road fatalities while having just 60% of the total registered vehicles on the roads [1]. The burden of traffic crashes in developing economies is three times higher than that of developed economies. Pakistan is a lower-middle-income country, with approximately 25,781 traffic fatalities annually [1]. Likewise,



Citation: Pervez, A.; Lee, J.; Huang, H.; Zhai, X. What Factors Would Make Single-Vehicle Motorcycle Crashes Fatal? Empirical Evidence from Pakistan. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 5813. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph19105813

Academic Editor: Julie Brown

Received: 10 March 2022 Accepted: 29 April 2022 Published: 10 May 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). the estimated death rate due to traffic crashes per 100,000 population in the country is 14.3 [1]. Moreover, traffic crashes and injuries result in economic losses of more than 100 billion rupees (equivalent to ~1.3 billion US dollars) [2]. Therefore, there is an immense need to explore different traffic safety aspects in Pakistan to improve safety, which will, in turn, reduce the economic burden.

Like other developing countries, in Pakistan, the common mode of transportation includes cars, busses, mini-busses, auto-rickshaws, and motorcycles. However, in the last decade, the ownership and use of motorcycles have substantially increased in the country. For instance, the registered number of motorcycles has surged from 4.3 million to 21.9 million between 2010 and 2019, accounting for 75.5% of all motorized vehicles in 2019 [3]. Such a rapid increase in motorcycles is associated with road and safety issues, including traffic congestion, parking problems, and road crashes. Moreover, in Pakistan, due to the lack of specific motorcycle lanes, motorcyclists share the same infrastructure with other motor vehicle users, making them more prone to be seriously injured compared to other countries [4,5]. For instance, motorcyclists are involved in more than 45% of all traffic crashes in Pakistan's largest city, Karachi [6].

Despite the serious safety concerns raised by the motorcycles, there exists limited literature on motorcycle safety in Pakistan. Most of the existing studies in the country have concentrated on the investigation of motor vehicle crashes [7–9] and road safety issues [10–12], while only a few recent studies [4,5] have attempted to investigate the motorcyclists' injury severities. However, to the authors' best knowledge, after a thorough literature review, no study on single-vehicle motorcycle crashes (SVMC) in Pakistan has been published to date. Although studies [13–19] have been conducted in developed countries to investigate SVMC, their findings may not be directly applicable in the Pakistan context due to the differences in the drivers' behavior, roadway environment, and safety policies. Also, in Pakistan, due to the lack of sufficient relevant infrastructure and substantial increase in the number of motorcycles, there are significant chances that the issues surrounding motorcyclists might increase in the future. Moreover, further research focusing on the severity of motorcycle crashes in various geographical locations is required to average the regional bias associated with motorcycle crash severity.

The objective of this research is to gain a clearer understanding of the potential risk factors that contribute to SVMC. Based on a comprehensive dataset from Karachi city, containing 16,179 SVMC, a random parameter model with heterogeneity in means and variances is developed to identify the factors influencing the injury severity of SVMC. In addition, based on the current study's findings, numerous safety-specific countermeasures for enhancing motorcycle safety in Pakistan and other developing countries with similar problems are recommended.

## 2. Literature Review

The existing literature [1,20,21] suggests that motorcycle crashes are generally more severe than car crashes. This is primarily because motorcyclists lack protection in a crash event [21,22]; also, they are more likely to take risks, resulting in an increase in the number of motorcycle-related fatalities [20].

Among the motorcycle crashes, studies [16,23,24] have concluded that single-vehicle crashes have a higher fatality rate compared to other types of crashes. However, only a few studies [13–19] have attempted to examine the pattern, mechanism, and risk factors of SVMC. These studies have concluded that a number of variables could affect the severity of SVMC. The significant variables can be classified into five categories: motorcyclist (a person who operates the motorcycle), vehicle, crash, roadway, and environmental characteristics. Motorcyclist characteristics, including age, gender, riding under the influence of alcohol, and helmet use, were found to influence the severity of SVMC [13–17]. Vehicle characteristics, such as motorcycle size, type, and production year, were likely to contribute to the severity of SVMC [15]. Also, it has been concluded that the crash characteristics, such as exceeding speed limits, rollover crashes, and collisions with fixed objects and animals,

could impact the severity of SVMC [13,15,16,19]. Finally, the contributory factors related to roadway and environmental characteristics include curvature, roadway segment, road surface type, lighting, visibility conditions, day of the week, and season [13–16,19].

Methodologically, the motorcyclists' injury severities have been investigated through various modeling approaches, including the binary logit model [25–27], ordered probit/logit model [24,28,29], and multinomial logit model [30,31]. However, the conventional models overlook the unobserved heterogeneity arising from the unavailability of certain key attributes in the crash data, leading to biased parameter estimation and erroneous conclusions [32]. Therefore, random parameter models are introduced to solve the issue of unobserved heterogeneity in the motorcyclists' injury severity analysis [4,19,33,34]. These models can capture the heterogeneous effect of the explanatory variables by allowing them to vary across individual outcomes. To allow further flexibility to the random parameter models to account for the unobserved heterogeneity resulting from the factors affecting the random parameters' means and variances, studies have developed random parameter models with heterogeneity in means and variances to assess motorcyclists' injury severity [5,17,18].

Since limited research efforts have been conducted to understand the mechanism and risk factors related to SVMC, particularly in developing countries. Therefore, investigating the factors affecting SVMC in a developing country (Pakistan) appears to be beneficial by providing useful evidence for resolving motorcyclists' safety issues. Moreover, to capture the complex layers of unobserved heterogeneity, a random parameter model with heterogeneity in means and variances can be employed to provide clear insight into the factors influencing the injury severity of SVMC, which will help in devising effective countermeasures to enhance motorcycle safety in a developing country such as Pakistan.

## 3. Data Description

The study area for this research is Karachi, the most populous and largest city in Pakistan [3]. Motorcycle is one of the primary transportation modes in Karachi city, constituting over two-thirds of all the registered vehicles [6]. The crash information was extracted from the road traffic injury research project. The project was set up in the emergency of five hospitals (refer to Figure 1), namely, Abbasi Shaheed Hospital, Civil Hospital Karachi, Aga Khan University Hospital, Jinnah Post-Graduate Medical Centre, and Liaquat National Hospital. These hospitals were selected as they cover and attend almost half to three-quarters of all the traffic crashes in Karachi [6,35].

In this study, only the SVMC in Karachi city between 1 January 2014 and 31 December 2015 were considered for analysis. Overall, 16,179 crashes were recorded in the final dataset. Only 354 of the selected crashes had fatal outcomes (i.e., immediate or subsequent death from injuries within seven days after a crash), while the remainder resulted in non-fatal injuries. As a result, the injury severity was divided into fatal and non-fatal injury outcomes. The dataset also contains detailed information on the environmental, motorcyclist, motorcycle, and roadway characteristics. The variables for the model analysis were chosen using two criteria: including characteristics that have been studied previously in the literature and using variables based on the local environment to establish other factors that could potentially impact the motorcyclists' injury severity [22]. Finally, 14 explanatory variables were retained and divided into four groups, namely, temporal, motorcyclist, roadway, and crash characteristics. The explanatory factors that were found statistically significant in the models assessing the motorcyclists' injury severity in SVMC are summarized in Table 1.



Figure 1. Location of the study area.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of	the significant ex	xplanatory variables
------------------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Variable Description		Percentage
Non-fatal	15,825	97.81
Fatal	354	2.19
Temporal Characteristics		
Summer season indicator (If a crash happens in summer = 1, otherwise = 0)	4759	29.41
Weekend indicator (If a crash happens on the weekend = $1$ , otherwise = $0$ )	5052	31.23
Morning indicator (If a crash happens between $06:00-08:59 = 1$ , otherwise = 0)	818	5.06
Motorcyclist Characteristics		
Younger motorcyclist indicator (If the age of a motorcyclist is less than 25 years = 1, otherwise = 0)	8460	52.29
Older motorcyclist indicator (If the age of a motorcyclist is equal/more than 55 years = 1, $a = 0$ )	1072	6.62
Pillion passenger indicator (If a pillion passenger is present = 1, otherwise = 0)	2297	14.20
Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If the clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0)	802	4.96
Riding under the influence indicator (If a motorcyclist is riding under the influence of drugs or alcohol =1, otherwise = 0)	1232	7.61
Roadway Characteristics		
Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection = 1, otherwise = $0$ )	2836	17.53
Crash Characteristics		
Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)	1957	12.10
Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtake = 1, otherwise = 0)	1363	8.42
U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0)	1470	9.09
Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = $0$ )	2289	14.15
Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = $0$ )	2118	13.09

#### 4. Methodology

## 4.1. Model Estimation

Researchers have attempted to employ various models with accurate and unbiased inferences for motorcycle injury severity, including random parameter multinomial logit model [36–38], latent-class random parameter model [39,40], random parameter model with heterogeneity in means [41], and random parameter model with heterogeneity in means and variances [5,18]. In the current study, the injury severities of the SVMC were investigated using two distinct injury severity outcomes (i.e., fatal versus non-fatal); therefore, a random parameter binary logit model, with heterogeneity in means and variances, was developed to assess the factors affecting the injury severities of SVMC. Also, a random parameter model was developed for model comparison.

The standard binary logit model can be represented as follows [42]:

24

$$Y_i \sim Binomial(P_i, N)$$
  
 $logit(P_i) = log\left(\frac{P_i}{1 - P_i}\right) = \beta + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k X_{ik}$ 

where  $P_i$  denotes the probability of  $Y_i = 1$  (fatal outcome) and  $1 - P_i$  is the probability of  $Y_i = 0$  (non-fatal outcome).  $X_{ik}$  is the *k*th independent variable (k = 1, 2, ..., K).  $\beta$  is the model constant and  $\beta_k$  are the parameter estimates corresponding to  $X_{ik}$ .

The abovementioned basic model has the disadvantage of assuming the parameters to be invariable, implying that the influence of each factor on injury severity is constant across observations. Consequently, the model ignores the influence of unobserved heterogeneity. Thus, in order to examine the impact of unobserved heterogeneity, the parameters are allowed to vary across individual outcomes. In addition, unobserved heterogeneities in the random parameters' means and variances are accounted for by allowing the parameters to be a vector of the estimable parameters. Accordingly, the parameters' coefficients can be formulated as follows [5,43]:

$$\beta_i = \beta + \theta_i \mathbf{Z}_i + \sigma_i EXP(\omega_i \mathbf{W}_i)_{v_i}$$

where  $\beta$  indicates the mean estimated parameter across crashes, and  $Z_i$  denotes the explanatory variables' vector capturing heterogeneities in the mean with parameter vector  $\theta_i$ .  $W_i$  represents the explanatory variables' vector capturing heterogeneities in the standard deviation  $\sigma_i$  with parameter vector  $\omega_i$  and  $v_i$  is an error term.

The statistical package NLOGIT5 was utilized to estimate the model via 1000 Halton draws [44]. Several random parameter distributions, including uniform, triangular, lognormal, and normal, were explored in this study. Finally, the normal distribution was chosen to fit the final model as it produces statistically superior results [45,46].

## 4.2. Model Comparison

For the comparison and model adjustment between different models, the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and McFadden's  $\rho^2$  were utilized. The AIC represents a measure of the balance between the model bias and variance by comparing the parameters' number (*K*) and model likelihood (*L*), as follows:

$$AIC = -2\ln(L) + 2K$$

McFadden's  $\rho^2$  compares the likelihood estimated for the intercept-only model {*LL*(0)} to that of the proposed model  $\{LL(\beta)\}$ , as follows:

$$\rho^2 = 1 - \frac{LL(\beta)}{LL(0)}$$

## 4.3. Marginal Effects

The marginal effects were calculated to better quantify the effect of the significant explanatory variables (X) on the outcome probability of injury severity ( $P_i$ ). The marginal effects represent the influence of a one-unit change in any explanatory variable ( $X_{ik}$ ) on the probability to result in the injury severity ( $P_i$ ). More specifically, a marginal effect for an indicator variable ( $X_{ik}$ ) is the probability difference when the indicator variable changes from zero to one [42,47].

$$ME_{X_{ik}}^{P_i} = P_i[X_{ik} = 1] - P_i[X_{ik} = 0]$$

# 5. Results

First, to prevent multicollinearity problems, variance inflation factors were computed. For the age groups <25 and 25–40, the variance inflation factor has a maximum value of 2.17, suggesting no substantial multicollinearity among the explanatory variables. Second, to ensure that every added variable substantially improves the model's efficiency, the likelihood ratio test was used. In addition, to concentrate on the statistically significant variables, only those with a significance level of 10% or below were retained in the final model. Third, if the parameters resulted in statistically significant standard deviations, they were regarded as random parameters. On the other hand, the parameters remained fixed. Finally, after estimating the random parameter binary logit model, heterogeneities in the random parameters' means and variances were further explored. All the significant variables were tested to explore whether they had any significant effect on the random parameters' means and variances.

Table 2 summarizes the estimated models' overall goodness-of-fit. As shown in the table, the random parameter model with heterogeneity in means and variances has a higher McFadden's  $\rho^2$  value than the random parameter model; thus, it fits the data well [48]. Also, it has a lower AIC value (2794.12) than the random parameter model (2800.57). Moreover, the random parameter model with heterogeneity in means and variances is statistically better (p = 0.006) at the 95% confidence level based on the likelihood ratio test. Therefore, the results and discussions presented in Table 3 and subsequent sections are based on this model. The marginal effects for each explanatory variable are also provided in Table 3. In this study, the effect of factors, including morning, younger motorcyclists, pillion passengers, collisions due to loss of control, and fixed objects, can be best quantified when considered as random variables, and the corresponding normal distributions are illustrated in Figure 2.

Model	RPL		RPL_HMV
Observations	16,179		16,179
Parameters	20		24
LL(0)	-1548.74		-1548.74
$LL(\beta)$	-1380.28		-1373.06
AIC	2800.57		2794.12
McFadden's pseudo $( ho^2)$	0.11		0.12
$\chi^2 = -2 \left[ LL(RPL) - LL(RPL\_HMV) \right]$		14.46	
Degree of freedom		4	
<i>p</i> -value		0.006	

Table 2. Comparison of the estimated models' goodness-of-fit.

Constant $-3.06$ $< 0.001$ $-$ Temporal CharacteristicsSummer season indicator (If a crash happens in summer = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.18$ $0.040$ $0.04$ Morring indicator (If a crash happens on the weekend = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.69$ $< 0.001$ $0.03$ Stil. dec. Morring indicator $0.69$ $< 0.001$ $0.03$ Motorcyclist indicator (If age of the motorcyclist is less than 25 years = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.36$ $< 0.001$ $-0.19$ Stil. dec. Young motorcyclist indicator $0.79$ $0.0350$ $-$ Older motorcyclist indicator (If age of the motorcyclist is egual/more than 55 years = 1, tother wise = 0) $-2.63$ $< 0.001$ $-0.16$ Stil. dec. Young motorcyclist indicator $2.19$ $< 0.001$ $-0.16$ Stid. dec. Pullion passenger indicator $2.19$ $< 0.001$ $-0.16$ Stid. dec. Pullion passenger indicator $2.19$ $< 0.001$ $-0.16$ Stid. dec. Pullion passenger indicator (If the clother so fa female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $-2.63$ $< 0.001$ $-0.16$ Roddy colspan="2">Stid. dec. Stillion passenger indicator (If the clother so fa female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $-2.63$ $< 0.001$ $-0.02$ Roddy colspan="2">Carsh happens at intersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)<	Variable	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -Value	Marginal Effects
Temporal CharacteristicsSummer = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.21$ $0.023$ $0.06$ Weekend indicator (If a crash happens on the weekend = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.18$ $0.040$ $0.04$ Morning indicator (If a crash happens between $0600-0859$ and = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.69$ $<0.001$ $0.03$ Std. dev. Morning indicator $0.56$ $0.004$ -Motorcyclist indicator $0.56$ $0.004$ -Motorcyclist indicator $0.56$ $0.001$ $-0.19$ Std. dev. Norming indicator (If age of the motorcyclist indicator (If age of the motorcyclist indicator (If age of the motorcyclist indicator (If a pllion passenger is present = 1, otherwise = 0) $-2.63$ $<0.001$ $-0.16$ Pillion passenger indicator (If a pllion passenger is present = 1, otherwise = 0) $-2.63$ $<0.001$ $-0.16$ Std. dev. Yulino passenger indicator2.19 $<0.001$ $ -$ Permale pillion passenger is thetweise o) $-2.63$ $<0.001$ $-0.16$ Std. dev. Pillion passenger is or alcohol = 1, otherwise = 0) $2.19$ $<0.001$ $-$ Present = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.017$ $0.03$ $-$ Rodawy Characteristics Theresection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.41$ $<0.001$ $0.05$ Norog overtakeng indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is motorcyclist is to any overtakeng indicator (If a motorcyclist is to any overtakeng indicator (If a crash happens at intersection = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$	Constant	-3.06	< 0.001	-
Summer season indicator (If a crash happens in summer = 1, otherwise = 0)0.210.0230.06Weekend indicator (If a crash happens on the weekend = 1, otherwise = 0)0.180.0400.04Morning indicator (If a crash happens between 06:00-08:59 am = 1, otherwise = 0)0.69<0.001	Temporal Characteristics			
summer = 1, otherwise = 0) 0.21 0.22 0.00 Weekend indicator (If a crash happens on the weekend = 1, otherwise = 0) 0.69 0.00 Morning indicator (If a crash happens between 0.69 0.000 Sid. dex. Morning indicator 0.56 0.004 - Motorcyclist is less than 25 years = 1, otherwise = 0) 0.79 0.0350 - Motorcyclist is equal/more than 55 years = 1, 0therwise = 0) Sid. dex. Young motorcyclist indicator 0.79 0.0350 - Older motorcyclist indicator (If age of the motorcyclist is equal/more than 55 years = 1, 1.16 0.001 0.04 otherwise = 0) Pillion passenger indicator (If age of the motorcyclist is equal/more than 55 years = 1, 1.16 0.001 0.04 otherwise = 0) Sid. dex. Young motorcyclist indicator (If the pillion passenger is present = 1, otherwise = 0) Sid. dex. Pullion passenger indicator (If a far cluster = 0) Pillion passenger indicator (If a motor (If a motorcyclist is right under the influence of drugs 0.56 0.022 0.02 or alcohol = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Rodway Characteristics</b> Intersection = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Rodway Characteristics</b> Intersection = 1, otherwise = 0) Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Rodway Characteristics</b> Diversiting under the influence of drugs 0.63 0.001 0.03 Fixed objects indicator (If a crash happens at intersection = 1, otherwise = 0) Wrong overtaking indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Rodway Characteristics</b> Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a crash listing a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Rodway Characteristics</b> Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's cluster section indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Sid. dex. Ess of control indicator</b> Fixed objects indicator (If a crash listing a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Sid. dex. Loss of control indicator</b> Fixed objects indicator (If a crash listing a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Sid. dex. Loss of control indicator</b> Fixed objects indicator (If a crash listing a <b>U</b> -turn = 1, otherwise = 0) <b></b>	Summer season indicator (If a crash happens in	0.21	0.023	0.06
Weekend indicator (If a crash happens on the weekend = 1, otherwise = 0)0.180.0400.04Morning indicator (If a crash happens between 06:00-08:59 am = 1, otherwise = 0)0.69<0.001	summer = 1, otherwise = 0)	0.21	0.023	0.00
veckend = 1, otherwise = 0)0.000.000.04Morning indicator (If a crash happens between 0.00-08.59 am = 1, otherwise = 0)0.69<0.001	Weekend indicator (If a crash happens on the	0.18	0.040	0.04
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	weekend = 1, otherwise = $0$ )	0.10	0.040	0.04
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Morning indicator (If a crash happens between	0.69	<0.001	0.03
Sld. dev. Morning indicator0.560.004-Motorcyclist Characteristics-0.36<0.001	06:00-08:59  am = 1, otherwise = 0)	0.05		0.00
Motoryclist CharacteristicsYounger motoryclist indicator (If age of the motoryclist indicator (If a ge of the motoryclist indicator (If a ge of the motoryclist indicator (If a pillion passenger is present = 1, otherwise = 0)-0.36<0.001	Std. dev. Morning indicator	0.56	0.004	-
Younger motorcyclist indicator (If age of the motorcyclist is less than 25 years = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.36$ $<0.001$ $-0.19$ Std. dev. Young motorcyclist indicator0.790.0350-Older motorcyclist indicator (If age of the motorcyclist is equal/more than 55 years = 1, otherwise = 0)1.16 $<0.001$ 0.04Pillion passenger indicator0.790.0310Female pillion passenger indicator2.19 $<0.001$ -Female pillion passenger indicator1.46Coltes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in motorcyclist is riding under the influence indicator (If a motorcyclist is riding under the influence indicator (If a motorcyclist is riding under the influence of drugs or alcohol = 1, otherwise = 0)0.280.0020.02Rodaway Characteristics0.41 $<0.001$ 0.050.050.05Speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)0.41 $<0.001$ 0.050.03Vrong overtaken 1, otherwise = 0)0.450.0290.010.03U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a tay ong overtaken 1, otherwise = 0)0.450.0290.01U-turn 1, otherwise = 0)2.560.0120.030.3Fixed objects indicator5.26 $<0.001$ U-turn 1, otherwise = 0)0 $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Exed objects indicator1.110.031Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means1.35 $0.005$ -Std. dev. Loss of control indicator1.26	Motorcyclist Characteristics			
motoryclist is less than 25 years = 1, otherwise = 0) Sid. dev. Young motoryclist indicator Pillion passenger indicator (If age of the motoryclist is equal/more than 55 years = 1, Pillion passenger indicator (If a pillion passenger is present = 1, otherwise = 0) Sid. dev. Pillion passenger indicator Female pillion passenger is clothes indicator (If the clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) Riding under the influence indicator (If a motoryclist is riding under the influence of drugs or alcohol = 1, otherwise = 0) Rodaway Characteristics Intersection = 1, otherwise = 0) Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motoryclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Virum = 1, otherwise = 0) Virum = 1, otherwise = 0) Sid. dev. Pillion (If a motoryclist is making a U-turn indicator (If a motoryclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) Sid. dev. Fixed objects indicator (If a motoryclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) Sid. dev. Fixed objects indicator Sid. dev. Fixed objects indicator Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's Clothes indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) Sid. dev. Fixed objects indicator Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If a crash loss of control passenger systuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motoryclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, thereogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motoryclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, thereogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motoryclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, thereogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motoryclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, thereogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motoryclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, therwise	Younger motorcyclist indicator (If age of the	-0.36	< 0.001	-0.19
Stat aer. toung motorcyclist indicator0.790.0350-Clder motorcyclist is equal/more than 55 years = 1,1.16<0.001	motorcyclist is less than 25 years = 1, otherwise = 0)	a <b>T</b> a	0.0250	
Older motorcyclist indicator (If age of the motorcyclist is equal/more than 55 years = 1, otherwise = 0)1.16<0.0010.04Pillion passenger indicator (If a pillion passenger is present = 1, otherwise = 0) $-2.63$ <0.001	Std. dev. Young motorcyclist indicator	0.79	0.0350	-
motorcyclist is equal/ more than 35 years = 1, 1.16 <0.001 0.04 otherwise = 0) 1.16 <0.001 -0.16 Pillion passenger indicator (If a pillion passenger is present = 1, otherwise = 0) 2.19 <0.001 - Female pillion passenger so totkes indicator (If the clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in 0.51 0.017 0.03 the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) Riding under the influence indicator (If a motorcyclist is riding under the influence of drugs 0.56 0.022 0.02 or alcohol = 1, otherwise = 0) Roadway Characteristics Intersection indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) 0.41 <0.001 0.05 Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) 0.41 <0.001 0.05 Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtake=1, otherwise = 0) 0.41 <0.001 0.03 Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) 0.63 <0.001 0.03 Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) 0.526 <0.001 - Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) - Stid. dev. Exad objects indicator type = 1, otherwise = 0 Stid. dev. Exad objects indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) - Stid. dev. Exad objects indicator Fillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If dues of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, 0.005 - Wrong motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 0.48 0.007 - Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 1.48 0.007 - otherwise = 0)	Older motorcyclist indicator (If age of the	1.1.6	0.001	0.04
Otherwise = 0)-2.63<0.001-0.16Pillion passenger indicator (If a pillion passenger indicator (If the clothes of a female pillion passenger s clothes indicator (If the clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0)-2.63<0.001-Rodawy Characteristics0.510.0170.030.030.030.05Rodawy Characteristics0.560.0220.020.020.05Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)0.41<0.001	motorcyclist is equal/more than 55 years = 1, $(1 + 1)^{1/2}$	1.16	<0.001	0.04
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	otherwise = 0) Billion research and instant (If a million research and in			
present = 1, otherwise = 0 Std. der. Pillion passenger indicator (If the clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) Riding under the influence indicator (If a motorcyclist is riding under the influence of drugs or alcohol = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Roadway Characteristics</b> Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) <b>Crash Characteristics</b> Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtake=1, otherwise = 0) U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) Std. dev. Exed objects indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) Std. dev. Lixed objects indicator Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is	r mon passenger mulcator (if a pinion passenger is $propert = 1$ otherwise = 0)	-2.63	< 0.001	-0.16
Sub. Rev. Finite problem (1100)Stat. Rev. Finite Problem (1100)2.19 $(2.19)$ $(2.19)$ Female pillion passenger (s) clothes indicator (If the clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.51$ $0.017$ $0.03$ Roadway Characteristics Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.28$ $0.005$ $0.05$ Crash Characteristics Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.41$ $<0.001$ $0.05$ Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$ $0.03$ Fixed objects indicator (If a crash loss of control itype = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Exact objects indicator type = 1, otherwise = 0) $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger's clother indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger's clother indicator (If a termale pillion passenger's clother is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.02$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.03$ $-0.02$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $-0.03$ $-0.02$ <	Std. day. Dillion nacconcer indicator	2 10	<0.001	
Terminal pulsic passenger is clotters initiated if the clothes of a female pulsic passenger got stuck in $0.51$ $0.017$ $0.03$ the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) Rodrey Chain = 1, otherwise = 0) Roadway Characteristics Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection = 1, otherwise = 0) Crash Characteristics Speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) 0.41 <0.001 $0.05Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)0.41$ <0.001 $0.05Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is a constrained in a motorcyclist is making a 0.63 <0.001 0.03Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0)Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator in the random parameters' meansPillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator in the wheel/chain = 1, 0.005 -0.025Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist = 1, 1.35 0.005 -0.003 -0.025Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist = 1, 1.93 0.015 -0.003 -0.0025Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist = 1, 1.93 0.015 -0.003 -0.005 -0.005 -0.0003 -0.0005 -0.00000000000000000000000000000000000$	Fomale pillion passenger indicator (If the	2.19	<0.001	-
Control indicator (If a motorcyclist is riding under the influence of drugs or alcohol = 1, otherwise = 0)ControlRoadway CharacteristicsControlControlControlIntersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)ControlControlCrash CharacteristicsControlControlControlControlSpeeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)ControlControlControlWrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtaking indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0)ControlControlControlU-turn indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0)ControlControlControlStd. dev. Fixed objects indicatorSoldControlControlControlCype = 1, otherwise = 0)ControlControlControlControlStd. dev. Fixed objects indicatorSoldControlControlControlCype = 1, otherwise = 0)ControlControlControlControlStd. dev. Case of control indicator (If a crash loss of controlControlControlControlStd. dev. Set of control indicatorSoldControlControlControlStd. dev. Set of control indicatorSoldControlControlControlStd. dev. Set of control indicatorSoldSoldControlControlStd. dev. Set of control indicatorSoldSoldSold<	clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in	0.51	0.017	0.03
The match the match of the c = 0 and the c	the wheel /chain $= 1$ otherwise $= 0$	0.01	0.017	0.05
Nature motorcyclist is riding under the influence of drugs motorcyclist is riding under the influence of drugs or alcohol = 1, otherwise = 0)0.560.0220.02Roadway Characteristics Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection = 1, otherwise = 0)0.280.0050.05Crash Characteristics Speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)0.41<0.0010.05Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtakies = 0)0.450.0290.01U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0)0.63<0.0010.03Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator type = 1, otherwise = 0)2.560.0120.03Std. dev. Loss of control indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0)1.110.031-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.350.005-Output therwise = 0)1.350.005-Output therwise = 0)1.350.005-Output therwise = 0)1.930.015-Output therwise = 0)1.930.015-Output type = 1, 1, 1.930.015-Output type = 1, 01.111.360.007Output type = 1, 01.111.350.005-Output type = 1, 01.111.350.015-Output type = 1	Riding under the influence indicator (If a			
Interview <td>motorcyclist is riding under the influence of drugs</td> <td>0.56</td> <td>0.022</td> <td>0.02</td>	motorcyclist is riding under the influence of drugs	0.56	0.022	0.02
Roadway Characteristics0.280.0050.05Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection = 1, otherwise = 0)0.41<0.001	or alcohol = 1 otherwise = $0$	0.00	0.022	0.02
Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at intersection = 1, otherwise = 0) Crash Characteristics Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtake=1, otherwise = 0) U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator type = 1, otherwise = 0) Std. dev. Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) Std. dev. Loss of control indicator Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, therwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, therwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, therwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, therwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, therwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, therwise = 0)	Roadway Characteristics			
Intersection = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.28$ $0.005$ $0.05$ <b>Crash Characteristics</b> Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.41$ $<0.001$ $0.05$ Wrong overtake 1, otherwise = 0) $0.45$ $0.029$ $0.01$ U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$ $0.03$ Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $2.56$ $0.012$ $0.03$ Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means $-0.88$ $<0.005$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ Young motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Young motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $  -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $ -$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $-$ <td>Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Intersection indicator (If a crash happens at			
Crash CharacteristicsSpeeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.41$ $<0.001$ $0.05$ Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtake=1, otherwise = 0) $0.45$ $0.029$ $0.01$ U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$ $0.03$ Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $2.56$ $0.012$ $0.03$ Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means $ -$ Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clother wise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.26$ $0.003$ $-$ Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $1.48$ $0.007$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.48$ $0.007$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $1.48$ $0.007$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, oth	intersection = 1, otherwise = $0$ )	0.28	0.005	0.05
Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.41$ $<0.001$ $0.05$ Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtake=1, otherwise = 0) $0.45$ $0.029$ $0.01$ U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$ $0.03$ Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $2.56$ $0.012$ $0.03$ Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.48$ $0.007$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $  -$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $  -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limi	Crash Characteristics			
speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.41$ $<0.001$ $0.05$ Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtake=1, otherwise = 0) $0.45$ $0.029$ $0.01$ U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$ $0.03$ Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $2.56$ $0.012$ $0.03$ Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.26$ $0.003$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.26$ $0.003$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $  -$ Heterogeneity in the ra	Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding	0.41	0.001	0.05
Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is taking wrong overtake=1, otherwise = 0) $0.45$ $0.029$ $0.01$ U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$ $0.03$ Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $2.56$ $0.012$ $0.03$ Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.48$ $0.007$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' 	speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)	0.41	<0.001	0.05
taking wrong overtake=1, otherwise = 0) $0.43$ $0.029$ $0.01$ U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$ $0.03$ Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $2.56$ $0.012$ $0.03$ Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means $-0.88$ $<0.005$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ Voung motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.26$ $0.003$ $-$ Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $  -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.48$ $0.007$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $  -$ </td <td>Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>0.020</td> <td>0.01</td>	Wrong overtaking indicator (If a motorcyclist is	0.45	0.020	0.01
U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.63$ $<0.001$ $0.03$ Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $2.56$ $0.012$ $0.03$ Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.48$ $0.007$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $  -$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $  -$ <	taking wrong overtake=1, otherwise = 0)	0.45	0.029	0.01
U-turn = 1, otherwise = 0) $0.03$ $0.03$ $0.03$ Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0) $2.56$ $0.012$ $0.03$ Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.48$ $0.007$ $-$	U-turn indicator (If a motorcyclist is making a	0.62	<0.001	0.02
Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object type = 1, otherwise = 0)2.560.0120.03Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist: Speeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $  -$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $  -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $          -$ <td< td=""><td>U-turn = 1, otherwise = <math>0</math>)</td><td>0.03</td><td>&lt;0.001</td><td>0.03</td></td<>	U-turn = 1, otherwise = $0$ )	0.03	<0.001	0.03
type = 1, otherwise = 0)2.000.0120.005Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ < $0.001$ -Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ < $0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ -Heterogeneity in the random parameters' meansPillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.35$ $0.005$ -Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.26$ $0.003$ -Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.93$ $0.015$ -Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $-1.48$ $0.007$ -Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.48$ $0.007$ -	Fixed objects indicator (If a crash is fixed object	2 56	0.012	0.03
Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator $5.26$ $<0.001$ $-$ Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ type = 1, otherwise = 0) $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator $1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means $ 1.11$ $0.031$ $-$ Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's $0.005$ $ -$ clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion $1.35$ $0.005$ $-$ passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, $0.005$ $ -$ otherwise = 0) $   -$ Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist: Speeding speed limit = 1, $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ otherwise = 0) $    -$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $  -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, $1.93$ $0.015$ $-$ otherwise = 0) $    -$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, $  -$ otherwise = 0) $    -$ Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $   -$ Otherwise = 0) $  -$ <td>type = 1, otherwise = <math>0</math>)</td> <td>2.00</td> <td>0.012</td> <td>0.00</td>	type = 1, otherwise = $0$ )	2.00	0.012	0.00
Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control type = 1, otherwise = 0) $-0.88$ $<0.001$ $-0.02$ Std. dev. Loss of control indicator1.110.031-Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0)1.35 $0.005$ -Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.26 $0.003$ -Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.93 $0.015$ -Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variancesPillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.93 $0.015$ -Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances $1.48$ $0.007$ -Otherwise = 0) $1.48$ $0.007$ -	Std. dev. Fixed objects indicator	5.26	< 0.001	-
type = 1, otherwise = 0)totototototoStd. dev. Loss of control indicator1.110.031-Heterogeneity in the random parameters' meansPillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's1.350.005-clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion1.350.005-passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0)1.350.003-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.260.003-Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.930.015-Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variancesPillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.480.007-	Loss of control indicator (If a crash loss of control	-0.88	< 0.001	-0.02
Std. dev. Loss of control indicator1.110.031-Heterogeneity in the random parameters' meansPillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0)1.350.005-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.260.003-Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.930.015-Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances1.480.007-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.480.007-	type = 1, otherwise = $0$ )	0.00		0.02
Heterogeneity in the random parameters' meansPillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0)1.350.005-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.260.003-Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.930.015-Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances1.930.015-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.480.007-	Std. dev. Loss of control indicator	1.11	0.031	-
Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's clothes indicator (If clothes of a female pillion passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0)1.350.005-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.260.003-Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.930.015-Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances1.480.007-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.480.007-	Heterogeneity in the random parameters' means			
clothes indicator (if clothes of a female plillon1.350.005-passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, otherwise = 0)1.350.003-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.260.003-Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.930.015-Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances1.480.007-Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.480.007-	Pillion passengers: Female pillion passenger's			
passenger got stuck in the wheel/ chain = 1, otherwise = 0) Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 1.26 0.003 - otherwise = 0) Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 1.93 0.015 - otherwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 1.48 0.007 - otherwise = 0)	clothes indicator (if clothes of a female pillion	1.35	0.005	-
Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.260.003-Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.930.015-Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variancesPillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)1.480.007-	passenger got stuck in the wheel/chain = 1, $athorneous$			
rinion passengers: Speeding indicator (if a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)       1.26       0.003       -         Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)       1.93       0.015       -         Heterogeneity in the random parameters'       -       -       -       -         Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, otherwise = 0)       1.48       0.007       -	Otherwise = 0) Dillion passon core: Encoding indicator (If a			
initial constraints are speed minit = 1,       1.20       0.005       -         otherwise = 0)       Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1,       1.93       0.015       -         otherwise = 0)       Heterogeneity in the random parameters'       variances       -       -       -         Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1,       1.48       0.007       -         otherwise = 0)       -       -       -       -       -	rinion passengers: Speeding indicator (if a	1 26	0.002	
Young motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 1.93 0.015 - otherwise = 0) Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 1.48 0.007 - otherwise = 0)	f(x) = 0	1.20	0.003	-
notify filtered (if a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, therefore (if a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, the	Voung motorcyclist: Speeding indicator (If a			
initial speed limit = 1,       1.55       0.015         otherwise = 0)       Heterogeneity in the random parameters'         variances       Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1,       1.48       0.007         otherwise = 0)       -       -       -	motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit – 1	1 93	0.015	-
Heterogeneity in the random parameters' variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 1.48 0.007 - otherwise = 0)	otherwise = 0)	1.95	0.015	
variances Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 1.48 0.007 - otherwise = 0)	Heterogeneity in the random parameters'			
Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1,1.480.007-otherwise = 0)	variances			
motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = 1, 1.48 0.007 - otherwise = 0)	Pillion passengers: Speeding indicator (If a			
otherwise = 0)	motorcyclist is exceeding speed limit = $1$ .	1.48	0.007	-
	otherwise = 0)			





0.00 -18

-6

-12

0

Coefficient of collision with fixed object

6 12

18 24

# 6. Discussions

## 6.1. Heterogeneity in Means and Variances

Considering the heterogeneities in the means and variances, the present study found that the indicator of the pillion passengers results in random parameters with heterogeneity in means and variances, while the indicator of young motorcyclists (age < 25) produces random parameters with heterogeneity in means only. As shown in Table 3, the means of the pillion passengers indicator increases if the clothes of the female pillion passengers are trapped in the wheel of the motorcycle, suggesting an increase in fatal injuries. In the context of Pakistan, this finding is intuitive as females usually wear long clothes, and when the pillion passenger is female, their long clothes can easily trap in the chain or rear wheel of the motorcycle, thus increasing the risk of fatal injury crashes. Another variable, "speeding", also increased the mean of the indicator parameter "pillion passengers". It is because speeding results in higher fatal crashes involving a motorcycle [49], and when there is a pillion passenger, the probability of fatalities increases due to a greater number of people. Similarly, speeding also increases the mean of the indicator of the young motorcyclists (age < 25). It could be attributed to the fact that young motorcyclists lack experience and also like to take risky behaviors, including speeding, which increases the risk of fatal injury crashes involving young motorcyclists exceeding speed limits. Concerning the explanatory variables that can influence the random parameters' variances, speeding tended to influence the variance of the indicator of the pillion passengers only.

#### 6.2. Temporal Characteristics

In terms of the season variable, SVMC that occurred during the summer season has a 6% higher likelihood of resulting in fatal crashes. This could be because, in Karachi city, the summer season is associated with relatively high temperature and humid climate, leading to substantial visual impairment. In addition, due to the thermal discomfort, motorcyclists are less likely to use helmets, increasing the probability of fatal injury outcomes [15,46,50]. Moreover, in summer, the monsoon season reaches its peak in the study area [51], resulting in poor road surface conditions (i.e., wet road surfaces and drainage problems) and visibility, all of which significantly increase the likelihood of fatal crashes [52–54].

Concerning the day of the week, SVMC that occurred on weekends were found to cause more fatal crashes. This might be because on weekends, owing to the holidays, the motorcyclists like to hang around on the roads, and the traffic volume is relatively lower. As a result, the motorcyclists engage in various risk-taking behaviors, including drifting, reckless driving, and speeding, increasing the chance of fatal injuries. The result is in line with prior studies from other lower- and middle-income countries [31,55,56].

In terms of the time of day, morning time (06:00–08:59) is associated with an increased likelihood of fatal injuries in SVMC, with a probability of about 3%. It might be because, during the early morning hours, motorcyclists prefer to ride faster in order to reach their workplace or school on time, and higher speeds are related to fatal injury outcomes. The finding is supported by previous literature [57,58]. The coefficient corresponding to the morning variable follows a normal distribution (mean = 0.69, standard deviation = 0.56), implying that the early morning hours increase the chances of fatal crashes by 89.27%.

## 6.3. Motorcyclist Characteristics

The study found that SVMC that involve younger motorcyclists (aged < 25) are less likely to be fatally injured. The likelihood of fatal injuries decreases by 2% whenever a young motorcyclist is involved in a single-vehicle motorcycle crash. This might stem from young motorcyclists' faster reactions because of their physical flexibility [59,60]. The result is also consistent with existing literature [13–16] on SVMC. For the variable "young age", the normal distribution is supported by its mean value of -0.36 and standard deviation of 0.79. These results indicate that in 67.51% of the crash observations, young motorcyclists are less likely to sustain fatal injuries (see Figure 2). The findings of the study also revealed that when older motorcyclists (age  $\geq$  55) are involved in a single-vehicle motorcycle crash, they have a 4% higher chance of being fatally injured. This finding is in line with the existing research [15,30], as older motorcyclists take longer to respond to hazardous situations, and their physiological condition is deteriorating.

When it comes to the presence of pillion passengers, the study discovered that SVMC involving pillion passengers result in 16% lower fatal injury outcomes. One probable explanation is that in the presence of pillion passengers, motorcyclists are more cautious since the mass of the motorcycle increases. Also, in Pakistan, motorcycle is one of the primary transportation modes, and the pillion passenger is usually a family member or friend; thus, motorcyclists tend to drive more cautiously when they are present. Several prior investigations [15,61,62] have also found that crashes involving a pillion passenger reduce the risk of fatal injuries. The variable "pillion passengers" also yields a coefficient with a normal distribution having a mean of -2.63 and a standard deviation of 2.19, suggesting that 88.46% of the pillion passengers will have a lower likelihood of fatal injury outcomes.

The study's results indicated that SVMC that involve female pillion passengers whose clothes are trapped in the wheel have a higher risk of fatalities (by 3%). This is an interesting finding as the variable "female pillion passengers' clothes trapped in the wheel" is unique in Pakistan's context. The increased likelihood of this kind of crash is due to the fact that females in Pakistan typically wear loose garments such as long, flowing overcoats (abayas/burqas) or long shirts (kameez) over trousers (shalwar). Also, they sit on the motorcycle in a side-way manner. Therefore, their clothes can easily get trapped in the chain or rear wheel of the motorcycle [63], leaving both the motorcyclist and passenger more vulnerable to fatal injuries [64].

Crashes involving motorcyclists under the influence of drugs or alcohol result in 2% higher fatal injuries. Drugs or alcohol impair the motorcyclist's ability to assess road conditions and prevent them from fully controlling the motorcycle, increasing the chances of risky maneuvers [65]. The result is consistent with earlier research [13,14,16] reporting that riding under the influence increases the risk of fatal injuries in SVMC.

## 6.4. Roadway Characteristics

Regarding the roadway segment, the intersection significantly increases the probability of fatal injuries by 5% in SVMC. The finding is not in accord with the existing research [14–16] on SVMC, which interpreted that crashes at intersections are less likely to cause fatal injuries since motorcyclists generally reduce their speeds near the intersection. This might be because, in Pakistan, due to the unavailability of adequate signals and cameras at intersections [66], motorcyclists are usually involved in various unsafe behaviors such as aggressive driving, abrupt lane changes, and sudden braking, which, in turn, result in a higher probability of fatal crashes [67,68].

#### 6.5. Crash Characteristics

Regarding the crash causes, SVMC related to speeding and overtaking result in increased fatal injury outcomes with probabilities of approximately 5% and 1%, respectively. In the case of speeding, the finding is not surprising since when the motorcyclists are involved in speeding, they have less control over the motorcycle and minimal time to react in a hazardous situation. Similarly, for overtaking, the higher fatality rate could be attributed to the fact that since roads in Pakistan do not have separate motorcycle lanes, motorcyclists use the same lane as heavy vehicles [5]. Thus, during overtaking, even a slight motorcycle-to-vehicle contact may result in serious injuries to the motorcyclists. The finding is consistent with previous research on SVMC [13–15]. Finally, the U-turn indicator also increases the risk of fatal injury outcomes by 3% in SVMC. It could be attributed to the fact that, in Pakistan, motorcyclists make U-turns at unspecified locations. Consequently, they are more exposed to various dangerous interactions and are likely to be involved in more side-impact collisions with approaching vehicles, leading to fatal injury motorcycle crashes [69].

In terms of the collision types, collisions with fixed objects result in a 3% higher probability of fatal injuries. As expected, during a collision, fixed objects are unlikely to absorb much energy, thus resulting in higher fatalities among motorcyclists. Prior investigations [14,15] have also concluded that collisions with fixed objects cause more serious injuries to the motorcyclists. The variable "collisions with fixed objects" has a normally distributed coefficient (mean = 2.56 and standard deviation = 5.26). Given the distributional values, it can be interpreted that 68.68% of the fixed object collisions tend to increase fatal injuries to the motorcyclists. The study also discovered that collisions due to loss of control decrease the risk of fatal injury outcomes by 2%. Similar finding has been concluded by a previous study [70] on SVMC. The loss of control variable yielded a normally distributed parameter (mean = -0.88, standard deviation = 1.11), indicating that loss of control crashes has a 78.90% lower risk of fatal collisions.

# 7. Conclusions

Motorcycle safety has become one of the pressing traffic safety issues in many developing countries such as Pakistan due to the growing number of motorcycles in recent years. Therefore, this research was conducted to investigate the influence of various factors on the fatality of SVMC in Pakistan. A random parameter model with heterogeneity in means and variances was estimated to evaluate the SVMC in Karachi city, Pakistan. The factors included the motorcyclists' socio-demographics, environmental characteristics, crash characteristics, and roadway features.

According to the findings of this study, taking into account the heterogeneity in the random parameters' means and variances enhances the overall model fitness and provides new insights into the injury severity of SVMC. It was found that the presence of pillion passengers and young motorcyclist indicators have a varying effect on the SVMC. The heterogeneity in the random parameters' means and variances regarding the pillion passengers and young motorcyclists indicators were found to be related to female pillion passengers whose clothes were stuck in the wheel and speeding.

Apart from the above, the study's findings revealed that the summer season has a higher risk of fatal crashes. The likelihood of fatal crash occurrence is relatively higher during the early morning hours. The probability of fatal injury increases when crashes occur on weekends. Crashes involving young motorcyclists tend to have a lower, while those involving older motorcyclists have a higher risk of fatal injuries. The presence of pillion passengers causes a lower chance of fatal crashes. SVMC that involve a female pillion passenger whose clothes got trapped in the motorcycle or motorcyclist under the influence result in fatal injuries. The research results reveal that crashes that occurred at intersections result in higher fatal injury outcomes. It has been found that motorcyclist violations, including speeding, overtaking, and U-turns, increase the fatal injury outcomes in SVMC. Finally, collisions with fixed objects result in higher while loss of control in lower fatal crashes.

According to the current research findings, countermeasures to decrease SVMC in Pakistan are suggested. The conventional 4Es approach, i.e., enforcement, engineering, education, and emergency response, is adopted to develop the safety-specific measures. Enforcement measures, including strict speed enforcement (particularly at the intersections) and riding under the influence policies, to reduce the speeding and riding under the influence crashes, respectively, are suggested. Engineering measures, such as periodic maintenance of roads and drainage systems to minimize the crashes during the summer season (monsoon season), and provision of exclusive motorcycle lanes to lower the overtaking crashes are recommended. Similarly, providing wider shoulders to reduce motorcyclefixed-object crashes and designing appropriate coverings for the motorcycle's back wheel and chains to prevent female clothes from being trapped in the motorcycle are advised. Education measures, including arranging formal motorcyclists, awareness regarding injuries caused by loose clothes, and public information campaigns about motorcycle safety, are suggested. Regarding the emergency measures, provision and improvement in the emergency medical services (e.g., rescue 1122) and emergency management systems can help increase the survivability of the injured motorcyclists.

The current study's contribution is to provide insight into the risk patterns of SVMC in a developing country. In addition, the present study's findings are expected to raise concerns and discussions about motorcycle safety in Pakistan. Meanwhile, the authorities could utilize the research findings and suggested countermeasures to enhance motorcycle safety in the country. However, it should also be noted that the available data constrain the findings of the present study. For instance, the road traffic injury surveillance system does not contain important variables such as underreporting of crashes and weather information. Thus, more comprehensive data should be used to confirm and extend the findings of the present study in the future.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.P. and J.L.; methodology, A.P. and J.L.; formal analysis, A.P. and X.Z.; investigation, A.P., J.L. and X.Z.; resources, J.L. and H.H.; data curation, A.P. and X.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, A.P. and J.L.; writing—review and editing, A.P., J.L. and H.H.; visualization, A.P. and J.L.; supervision, J.L.; project administration, A.P., J.L. and H.H.; funding acquisition, J.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The study was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China [No. 2020YFB1600400] and Innovation-Driven Project of Central South University [No. 2020CX013].

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the management of the road traffic injury research project under the road traffic injuries surveillance system for their assistance in data collection.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- WHO. Global Status Report on Road Safety 2018: Supporting a Decade of Action; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2018.
- 2. Ahmed, A. *Road Safety in Pakistan*; National Road Safety Secretariat, Ministry of Communications, Government of Pakistan: Islamabad, Pakistan, 2007.
- 3. Pakistan Bureau of Statistics. *Pakistan Statistical Yearbook Pakistan Bureau of Statistics*; Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Planning Development and Special Initiatives, Government of Pakistan: Islamabad, Pakistan, 2019.
- 4. Pervez, A.; Lee, J.; Huang, H. Identifying Factors Contributing to the Motorcycle Crash Severity in Pakistan. J. Adv. Transp. 2021, 2021, 6636130. [CrossRef]
- 5. Waseem, M.; Ahmed, A.; Saeed, T.U. Factors affecting motorcyclists' injury severities: An empirical assessment using random parameters logit model with heterogeneity in means and variances. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **2019**, 123, 12–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shamim, M.S.; Razzak, J.A.; Jooma, R.; Khan, U.R. Initial results of Pakistan's first road traffic injury surveillance project. *Int. J. Inj. Control Saf. Promot.* 2011, 18, 213–217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hyder, A.A.; Ghaffar, A.; Masood, T.I. Motor vehicle crashes in Pakistan: The emerging epidemic. *Inj. Prev.* 2000, *6*, 199–202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Azam, K.; Shakoor, A.; Shah, R.A.; Khan, A.; Shah, S.A.; Khalil, M.S. Comparison of fatigue related road traffic crashes on the national highways and motorways in Pakistan. *J. Eng. Appl. Sci.* **2014**, *33*, 47–54.
- Hammad, H.M.; Ashraf, M.; Abbas, F.; Bakhat, H.F.; Qaisrani, S.A.; Mubeen, M.; Fahad, S.; Awais, M. Environmental factors affecting the frequency of road traffic accidents: A case study of sub-urban area of Pakistan. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2019, 26, 11674–11685. [CrossRef]
- Ullah, H.; Farooq, A.; Shah, A.A. An Empirical Assessment of Factors Influencing Injury Severities of Motor Vehicle Crashes on National Highways of Pakistan. J. Adv. Transp. 2021, 2021, 6358321. [CrossRef]
- 11. Rashid, H.M.; Ahmed, A.; Wali, B.; Qureshi, N.A. An analysis of highway work zone safety practices in Pakistan. *Int. J. Inj. Control Saf. Promot.* 2018, 26, 37–44. [CrossRef]
- 12. Batool, Z.; Carsten, O. Attitudinal segmentaion of drivers in Pakistan: The potential for effective road safety campaigns. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **2018**, *114*, 48–54. [CrossRef]
- Shankar, V.; Mannering, F. An exploratory multinomial logit analysis of single-vehicle motorcycle accident severity. J. Saf. Res. 1996, 27, 183–194. [CrossRef]

- Shaheed, M.S.; Gkritza, K. A latent class analysis of single-vehicle motorcycle crash severity outcomes. *Anal. Methods Accid. Res.* 2014, 2, 30–38. [CrossRef]
- 15. Savolainen, P.; Mannering, F. Probabilistic models of motorcyclists' injury severities in single- and multi-vehicle crashes. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **2007**, *39*, 955–963. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Farid, A.; Ksaibati, K. Modeling severities of motorcycle crashes using random parameters. J. Traffic Transp. Eng. (Engl. Ed.) 2021, 8, 225–236. [CrossRef]
- 17. Islam, M. The effect of motorcyclists' age on injury severities in single-motorcycle crashes with unobserved heterogeneity. *J. Saf. Res.* **2021**, 77, 125–138. [CrossRef]
- Alnawmasi, N.; Mannering, F. A statistical assessment of temporal instability in the factors determining motorcyclist injury severities. *Anal. Methods Accid. Res.* 2019, 22, 100090. [CrossRef]
- 19. Xin, C.; Wang, Z.; Lee, C.; Lin, P.-S. Modeling Safety Effects of Horizontal Curve Design on Injury Severity of Single-Motorcycle Crashes with Mixed-Effects Logistic Model. *Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board* **2017**, 2637, 38–46. [CrossRef]
- Chen, C.-F. Personality, safety attitudes and risky driving behaviors—Evidence from young Taiwanese motorcyclists. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 2009, 41, 963–968. [CrossRef]
- 21. Lin, M.-R.; Kraus, J.F. A review of risk factors and patterns of motorcycle injuries. Accid. Anal. Prev. 2009, 41, 710–722. [CrossRef]
- 22. Rifaat, S.M.; Tay, R.; de Barros, A. Severity of motorcycle crashes in Calgary. Accid. Anal. Prev. 2012, 49, 44–49. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, M.; Chin, H.C. Factors affecting the injury severity of out-of-control single-vehicle crashes in Singapore. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 2019, 124, 104–112. [CrossRef]
- 24. Pai, C.-W.; Saleh, W. An analysis of motorcyclist injury severity under various traffic control measures at three-legged junctions in the UK. *Saf. Sci.* 2007, *45*, 832–847. [CrossRef]
- Haque, M.M.; Chin, H.C.; Huang, H. Modeling fault among motorcyclists involved in crashes. Accid. Anal. Prev. 2009, 41, 327–335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pai, C.-W. Motorcyclist injury severity in angle crashes at T-junctions: Identifying significant factors and analysing what made motorists fail to yield to motorcycles. Saf. Sci. 2009, 47, 1097–1106. [CrossRef]
- Naqvi, H.M.; Tiwari, G. Factors contributing to motorcycle fatal crashes on National Highways in India. Int. J. Inj. Control Saf. Promot. 2017, 25, 319–328. [CrossRef]
- 28. Pai, C.-W.; Saleh, W. Modelling motorcyclist injury severity by various crash types at T-junctions in the UK. *Saf. Sci.* **2008**, *46*, 1234–1247. [CrossRef]
- 29. Chung, Y.; Song, T.-J.; Yoon, B.-J. Injury severity in delivery-motorcycle to vehicle crashes in the Seoul metropolitan area. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **2014**, *62*, 79–86. [CrossRef]
- Geedipally, S.R.; Turner, P.A.; Patil, S. Analysis of Motorcycle Crashes in Texas with Multinomial Logit Model. *Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board* 2011, 2265, 62–69. [CrossRef]
- Wahab, L.; Jiang, H. A multinomial logit analysis of factors associated with severity of motorcycle crashes in Ghana. *Traffic Inj.* Prev. 2019, 20, 521–527. [CrossRef]
- 32. Mannering, F.L.; Bhat, C.R. Analytic methods in accident research: Methodological frontier and future directions. *Anal. Methods Accid. Res.* 2014, *1*, 1–22. [CrossRef]
- 33. Chang, F.; Li, M.; Xu, P.; Zhou, H.; Haque, M.M.; Huang, H. Injury Severity of Motorcycle Riders Involved in Traffic Crashes in Hunan, China: A Mixed Ordered Logit Approach. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2016**, *13*, 714. [CrossRef]
- 34. Cunto, F.J.C.; Ferreira, S. An analysis of the injury severity of motorcycle crashes in Brazil using mixed ordered response models. *J. Transp. Saf. Secur.* **2016**, *9*, 33–46. [CrossRef]
- 35. Lateef, M.U. Estimation of Fatalities Due to Road Traffic Crashes in Karachi, Pakistan, Using Capture-Recapture Method. *Asia Pac. J. Public Health* **2010**, *22*, 332–341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Behnood, A.; Mannering, F.L. An empirical assessment of the effects of economic recessions on pedestrian-injury crashes using mixed and latent-class models. *Anal. Methods Accid. Res.* 2016, 12, 1–17. [CrossRef]
- Cerwick, D.M.; Gkritza, K.; Shaheed, M.S.; Hans, Z. A comparison of the mixed logit and latent class methods for crash severity analysis. *Anal. Methods Accid. Res.* 2014, 3, 11–27. [CrossRef]
- 38. Ahmadi, A.; Jahangiri, A.; Berardi, V.; Machiani, S.G. Crash severity analysis of rear-end crashes in California using statistical and machine learning classification methods. *J. Transp. Saf. Secur.* **2020**, *12*, 522–546. [CrossRef]
- 39. Xiong, Y.; Mannering, F.L. The heterogeneous effects of guardian supervision on adolescent driver-injury severities: A finitemixture random-parameters approach. *Transp. Res. Part B Methodol.* **2013**, *49*, 39–54. [CrossRef]
- Chang, F.; Xu, P.; Zhou, H.; Chan, A.H.S.; Huang, H. Investigating injury severities of motorcycle riders: A two-step method integrating latent class cluster analysis and random parameters logit model. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 2019, 131, 316–326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Behnood, A.; Mannering, F. The effect of passengers on driver-injury severities in single-vehicle crashes: A random parameters heterogeneity-in-means approach. *Anal. Methods Accid. Res.* **2017**, *14*, 41–53. [CrossRef]
- 42. Washington, S.; Karlaftis, M.; Mannering, F.; Anastasopoulos, P. Statistical and Econometric Methods for Transportation Data Analysis; Taylor and Francis Group: New York, NY, USA, 2020.

- Seraneeprakarn, P.; Huang, S.; Shankar, V.; Mannering, F.; Venkataraman, N.; Milton, J. Occupant injury severities in hybridvehicle involved crashes: A random parameters approach with heterogeneity in means and variances. *Anal. Methods Accid. Res.* 2017, 15, 41–55. [CrossRef]
- 44. Train, K. *Halton Sequences for Mixed Logit;* Working Paper, No. E00-278; Department of Economics, University of California: Berkeley, CA, USA, 2000.
- 45. Pervez, A.; Huang, H.; Lee, J.; Han, C.; Li, Y.; Zhai, X. Factors Affecting Injury Severity of Crashes in Freeway Tunnel Groups: A Random Parameter Approach. J. Transp. Eng. Part A Syst. 2022, 148, 04022006. [CrossRef]
- 46. Shaheed, M.S.B.; Gkritza, K.; Zhang, W.; Hans, Z. A mixed logit analysis of two-vehicle crash severities involving a motorcycle. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **2013**, *61*, 119–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Greene, W.H. Econometric Analysis; Pearson Education: New York, NY, USA, 2012.
- 48. Washington, S.P.; Karlaftis, M.G.; Mannering, F.L. *Statistical and Econometric Methods for Transportation Data Analysis*, 2nd ed.; Chapman and Hall/CRC: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2010; ISBN 9781420082869.
- 49. Rezapour, M.; Mehrara Molan, A.; Ksaibati, K. Analyzing injury severity of motorcycle at-fault crashes using machine learning techniques, decision tree and logistic regression models. *Int. J. Transp. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *9*, 89–99. [CrossRef]
- 50. Lee, J.; Abdel-Aty, M.; Wang, J.-H.; Lee, C. Long-Term Effect of Universal Helmet Law Changes on Motorcyclist Fatal Crashes: Comparison Group and Empirical Bayes Approaches. *Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board* **2017**, 2637, 27–37. [CrossRef]
- Ali, S.; Khalid, B.; Kiani, R.S.; Babar, R.; Nasir, S.; Rehman, N.; Adnan, M.; Goheer, M.A. Spatio-Temporal Variability of Summer Monsoon Onset over Pakistan. Asia-Pac. J. Atmos. Sci. 2019, 56, 147–172. [CrossRef]
- Gumasing, M.J.J.; Magbitang, R.V. Risk Assessment Model Affecting the Severity of Motorcycle Accidents in Metro Manila. In Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE 7th International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Applications (ICIEA), Bangkok, Thailand, 16–21 April 2020; pp. 1093–1099. [CrossRef]
- 53. Sari, Y.; Yudhistira, M.H. Bad light, bad road, or bad luck? The associations of road lighting and road surface quality on road crash severities in Indonesia. *Case Stud. Transp. Policy* **2021**, *9*, 1407–1417. [CrossRef]
- 54. Theofilatos, A.; Yannis, G. A review of powered-two-wheeler behaviour and safety. *Int. J. Inj. Control Saf. Promot.* 2015, 22, 284–307. [CrossRef]
- 55. Jung, S.; Xiao, Q.; Yoon, Y. Evaluation of motorcycle safety strategies using the severity of injuries. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 2013, *59*, 357–364. [CrossRef]
- 56. Aidoo, E.N.; Amoh-Gyimah, R. Modelling the risk factors for injury severity in motorcycle users in Ghana. *J. Public Health* **2019**, 28, 199–209. [CrossRef]
- 57. Vajari, M.A.; Aghabayk, K.; Sadeghian, M.; Shiwakoti, N. A multinomial logit model of motorcycle crash severity at Australian intersections. *J. Saf. Res.* 2020, *73*, 17–24. [CrossRef]
- Manan, M.M.A.; Várhelyi, A.; Çelik, A.K.; Hashim, H.H. Road characteristics and environment factors associated with motorcycle fatal crashes in Malaysia. *IATSS Res.* 2018, 42, 207–220. [CrossRef]
- 59. Castro, M.; Paleti, R.; Bhat, C.R. A spatial generalized ordered response model to examine highway crash injury severity. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **2013**, *52*, 188–203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Xie, Y.; Zhao, K.; Huynh, N. Analysis of driver injury severity in rural single-vehicle crashes. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **2012**, 47, 36–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jou, R.-C.; Yeh, T.-H.; Chen, R.-S. Risk Factors in Motorcyclist Fatalities in Taiwan. *Traffic Inj. Prev.* 2012, 13, 155–162. [CrossRef]
   [PubMed]
- Moskal, A.; Martin, J.-L.; Laumon, B. Risk factors for injury accidents among moped and motorcycle riders. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 2012, 49, 5–11. [CrossRef]
- 63. Khan, U.; Zia, N.; Awan, S.; Khan, A. Perception of Pakistani women pillion riders about helmet use: A qualitative study. *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* **2011**, *65*, 63. [CrossRef]
- 64. Siddiqui, A.A.; Shamim, M.S.; Jooma, R.; Enam, S.A. Long scarf injuries. J. Coll. Physicians Surg. Pak. 2006, 16, 152–153.
- 65. Schneider, W.H.; Savolainen, P.T. Comparison of Severity of Motorcyclist Injury by Crash Types. *Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board* 2011, 2265, 70–80. [CrossRef]
- 66. Syed, W.H.; Yasar, A.; Janssens, D.; Wets, G. Analyzing the Real Time Factors: Which Causing the Traffic Congestions and Proposing the Solution for Pakistani City. *Procedia Comput. Sci.* **2014**, *32*, 413–420. [CrossRef]
- Haque, M.M.; Chin, H.C.; Debnath, A.K. An investigation on multi-vehicle motorcycle crashes using log-linear models. *Saf. Sci.* 2012, 50, 352–362. [CrossRef]
- Pawar, D.S.; Patil, G.R. Minor-Street Vehicle Dilemma While Maneuvering at Unsignalized Intersections. J. Transp. Eng. Part A Syst. 2017, 143, 04017039. [CrossRef]
- 69. Se, C.; Champahom, T.; Jomnonkwao, S.; Chaimuang, P.; Ratanavaraha, V. Empirical comparison of the effects of urban and rural crashes on motorcyclist injury severities: A correlated random parameters ordered probit approach with heterogeneity in means. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **2021**, *161*, 106352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Budd, L.; Allen, T.; Newstead, S. *Current Trends in Motorcycle-Related Crash and Injury Risk in Australia by Motorcycle Type and Attributes*; Monash University: Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 2018.