

openheart Beating heart minimally invasive mitral valve surgery in patients with previous sternotomy: the operative technique and early outcomes

Robert B Xu, Mohammad Rahnavardi, Mart Nadal, Fabiano Viana, Robert G Stuklis, Michael Worthington, James Edwards

To cite: Xu RB, Rahnavardi M, Nadal M, *et al.* Beating heart minimally invasive mitral valve surgery in patients with previous sternotomy: the operative technique and early outcomes. *Open Heart* 2018;5:e000749. doi:10.1136/openhrt-2017-000749

Received 13 November 2017
Revised 14 December 2017
Accepted 20 December 2017

ABSTRACT

Objective Reoperative mitral valve surgery is increasingly required and can be associated with significant morbidity and mortality. The beating heart minimally invasive mitral valve surgery has a proposed benefit in avoiding the risks of repeat sternotomy, with reducing the need for adhesiolysis and cardioplegia reperfusion injury. We describe our experience with such a technique in patients with previous sternotomy.

Methods A retrospective study was performed and all patients undergoing surgery of mitral valve through a right limited thoracotomy without application of an aortic cross-clamp (beating heart) as a redo cardiac surgery between January 2006 and January 2015 were included (n=25). Perioperative data as well as the operative technique are presented.

Results Six patients (24%) had two previous sternotomies and one (4%) had three previous sternotomies. Mitral valve repair was performed in 11 patients (44%). No patient required conversion to median sternotomy. Inotropic support beyond 4 hours after operation was required in seven patients (28%). Ventilation time was less than 12 hours in 14 patients (56%) with another six patients (24%) extubated within 24 hours after surgery. Postoperative course was complicated with cerebrovascular accident in two patients (8%). In-hospital mortality was 4% (n=1). There was no 30-day mortality after discharge.

Conclusions Reoperative mitral valve surgery can be safely performed through a limited right thoracotomy approach on a beating heart while on full cardiopulmonary bypass. The technique can be associated with potentially shorter operation, shorter cardiopulmonary bypass and a less complicated recovery.

INTRODUCTION

Reoperative cardiac surgery is increasingly being performed as the population ages. Seven per cent of cardiac surgeries performed in Australia between 2010 and 2011 were redo surgeries.¹ Re-entry median sternotomy is associated with significant potential morbidity and mortality, especially if patent coronary artery grafts are present.²

Key questions

What is already known about this subject?

- ▶ Multiple previous groups have previously presented data showing good outcomes with performing mitral valve surgery on the beating heart, through a right thoracotomy.

What does your study add?

- ▶ Our case series confirms that this surgical approach is safe in a single-centre Australian setting. In particular, we report a low rate of stroke (4%).

How might this impact on clinical practice?

- ▶ This would reinforce the feasibility and safety of this surgical approach, for surgeons that are considering undertaking this procedure.

Hazards during reoperative surgery include sternal re-entry with attendant risks of damage to the right ventricle, aorta, innominate vein and patent coronary grafts. Dissection of adhesions can be time-consuming and technically challenging, especially if the aorta must be exposed for cannulation or cross-clamping. Adequate exposure of the mitral valve is also a concern with adhesions potentially limiting the ability to manipulate the heart into a position to facilitate optimum exposure. In addition, in patients with poor ventricular function, as is often seen in long-standing valvular disease or in those with a history of coronary artery disease, myocardial protection becomes a concern and cardioplegic cardiac arrest will place the patient at risk of ischaemia-reperfusion injury and post-operative low cardiac output.³

The beating heart approach to mitral valve surgery was first described by Praeger and colleagues in 1989.⁴ Since then, several groups have reported good outcomes with performing mitral valve surgery on the



D'Arcy Sutherland
Cardiothoracic Surgical Unit,
Royal Adelaide Hospital,
Adelaide, Australia

Correspondence to
Dr Robert B Xu; robert.b.xu@gmail.com

beating heart, through a right thoracotomy.^{5–10} The proposed benefit of this approach is reduction in the risks of redo sternotomy, release of adhesions and cardioplegia reperfusion injury.

We describe our experience with redo surgery for mitral valve intervention performed through a right anterolateral thoracotomy incision done on a beating heart.

METHODS

A retrospective study was performed and all patients undergoing surgery of mitral valve through a right anterolateral thoracotomy without application of an aortic cross-clamp (beating heart) as a redo cardiac surgery between January 2006 and January 2015, in our institute, were included (n=25). Patients with previous sternotomy that merely required mitral valve intervention with no contraindication for a right thoracotomy were considered for this approach. Exclusion criteria included patients who had previously undergone a right-sided thoracotomy and more than mild aortic regurgitation. Preoperative patient factors, perioperative outcomes, as well as complication rates were identified through retrospective database and case note review. Data were reported as mean and SD, median and IQR or frequency, as appropriate. Given the retrospective nature of the study, no specific local ethics committee approval was required.

Operative technique

All patients were intubated with a single lumen endotracheal tube. They were placed in a semi-supine position with the right chest slightly raised. External defibrillation pads were placed in all cases. Normothermic cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) was established via femoral artery using a EOPA Arterial Cannula (Medtronic, Minnesota, USA) and femoral vein using a Multi-Stage Femoral Cannula (Medtronic) with vacuum assist. A limited right anterolateral thoracotomy was then performed through the fourth or fifth intercostal space. A PeriVue soft tissue retractor (Edwards Lifescience, Irvine, California, USA), a rib spreader (Geister Medizintechnik, Tuttlingen, Germany) and malleable copper blade retractors were used to aid exposure. A 10mm thoracoscopic camera was placed through a separate port placed in the third intercostal space. The pleural space was insufflated with carbon dioxide at a rate of 5 L/min to reduce intracardiac air. The aorta was not particularly dissected free, cannulated or clamped and no cardioplegia was used.

The interatrial groove was dissected to expose the left atrium, and the left atrium was directly entered after ensuring full CPB is achieved and the heart is well drained. The mitral valve was then inspected, and then replaced or repaired as appropriate based on the pathology. After the mitral valve repair or replacement has been performed, a pump sucker was kept inside the left ventricle and an agitator kept the mitral valve incompetent. Deairing was achieved by means of directly venting the left ventricle, while the heart was filled and the atriotomy closed. Air

removal was confirmed with transoesophageal echocardiography. A pleural drain was then placed. The patient was then weaned off CPB and decannulated. An intercostal catheter for local anaesthetic infusion was inserted and the wounds were closed in a routine fashion.

RESULTS

The most common prior cardiac surgery was coronary artery bypass grafting (n=12, 48%, table 1). Three patients (12%) had prior mitral valve replacement. Six patients (24%) had two previous sternotomies and one (4%) had three previous sternotomies. Mitral valve repair was performed in 11 patients (44%, table 2). Annuloplasty rings were used in all patients that had mitral valve repair. Concomitant atrial fibrillation surgery was performed in three (12%) patients.

No patient required conversion to median sternotomy. Weaning from CPB was successful in all patients without requiring intra-aortic balloon pump, with or without inotropic support. Inotropic support beyond 4 hours after operation was required in seven patients (28%). Ventilation time was less than 12 hours in 14 patients (56%) with another six patients (24%) extubated within 24 hours after surgery. Postoperative course was complicated with stroke in one patient (4%, table 3) and the patient made near complete recovery with minimal deficit. Early (in-hospital) mortality was 4% (n=1). There was no mortality within the first month after discharge.

DISCUSSION

Reoperative mitral valve surgery is increasingly required and carries a high burden of associated potential morbidity and mortality.⁸ Alternatives for repeat mitral surgery include redo median sternotomy and cardioplegic arrest, or hypothermic ventricular fibrillatory arrest. The technique used in the current series is similar to what has been described before by other groups.^{4–10} A number of advantages are described: it avoids the need for a repeat sternotomy and its associated risk of injury to cardiac structures, potential catastrophic cardiac injury and sternal wound infection¹¹; by limiting the degree of adhesiolysis required, through entry via a preserved right pleural space and avoiding the need for dissection around the aorta for cross-clamping, there is a potential reduction in the operative and CPB times as well as the risk of perioperative bleeding.¹² If the right pleura has not previously been entered, there are rarely any significant pleuropericardial adhesions and access to the mitral valve requires only minimal adhesiolysis. In addition, maintaining normothermia can potentially decrease the risk of coagulopathy after a complex repeat mitral surgery. The transfusion rate in this series was 40% and patients (8%) required return to theatre for bleeding, both managed through reopening the right thoracotomy wound.

The alternative to the beating heart technique is a ventricular fibrillation arrest. However, ventricular

Table 1 Patient demographics and preoperative data

Characteristics	Values
Gender (male), n (%)	15 (60)
Age, years (SD)	67.8 (10.4)
Hypertension, n (%)	14 (56)
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	17 (68)
Pulmonary hypertension, n (%)	11 (44)
Baseline creatinine, $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (SD)	96 (44)
Chronic pulmonary disease, n (%)	4 (16)
Cerebrovascular accident, n (%)	2 (8)
Previous cardiac surgery, n (%)	
CABG	12 (48)
MV replacement	3 (12)
Open mitral commissurotomy	3 (12)
CABG and MV surgery	2 (8)
Congenital repair	2 (8)
MV repair	1 (4)
AVR	1 (4)
Aortic root replacement	1 (4)
Number of previous sternotomies, n (%)	
1	18 (72)
2	6 (24)
3	1 (4)
Mitral valve disease, n (%)	
Regurgitation	22 (88)
Stenosis	1 (4)
Mixed	2 (8)
Mitral valve pathology, n (%)	
Rheumatic	5 (20)
Myxomatous	10 (40)
Ischaemic/functional	4 (16)
Annuloplasty ring/valve dehiscence	4 (16)
Failed mitral prosthesis	1 (4)
Failed previous repair	1 (4)
NYHA class, n (%)	
NYHA 1	3 (12)
NYHA 2	6 (24)
NYHA 3	9 (36)
NYHA 4	7 (28)
Left ventricular systolic function	
Preserved	17 (68)
Moderate dysfunction	8 (32)
Severe dysfunction	0 (0)

AVR, aortic valve replacement; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; MV, mitral valve; NYHA, New York heart association.

fibrillation arrest is known to reduce oxygen delivery to the subendocardium and thus provides suboptimal myocardial protection.⁸ Continuous myocardial perfusion in a

Table 2 Operative and postoperative data

	Values
Type of mitral valve surgery	
Mitral valve repair	11 (44)
Mitral valve replacement	14 (56)
Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min (SD)	105 (41)
Ventilation time, hours, median (IQR)	11 (14)
Intensive care unit stay, days (SD), median (IQR)	2.0 (3.8)
Length of stay, median (IQR)	10 (9)
Drain output in the first 4 hour, mL (SD)	494 (488)
Conversion to median sternotomy, n (%)	0 (0)

beating heart technique is ideal as it provides optimal myocardial protection through maintenance of coronary circulation throughout the operation.^{13 14}

One potential disadvantage to this technique is its perceived technical difficulty. In our experience, physiological assessment of valve repair was more easily performed with the heart beating. Indeed, the current series comprised 11 (44%) mitral valve repairs, including more complex valvuloplasties with concomitant quadrangular resection and cleft closure in addition to an annuloplasty ring. Comparable repair success rates have been previously reported.^{8 9}

A particular concern in mitral valve surgery is air embolism,⁵ especially in this case where the aorta is not clamped and no aortic vent is placed. In our experience as well as other groups' experience,⁶ with full CPB flow and vacuum-assisted venous drainage, the aortic valve hardly opens even in the systolic phase, as confirmed by the intraoperative transoesophageal echocardiogram. Furthermore, the blood will be preferentially expelled across the mitral valve, since atmospheric pressure is

Table 3 Postoperative morbidity and mortality

	n (%)
Stroke	1 (4)
Transient ischaemic attack	1 (4)
Myocardial infarction	0 (0)
Return to theatre for bleeding	2 (8)
Pleural effusion requiring drainage	3 (12)
Pneumothorax	3 (12)
Pneumonia	4 (16)
Permanent pacemaker insertion	3 (12)
Postoperative transfusion	10 (40)
Wound infections	0 (0)
Intra-aortic balloon pump insertion	0 (0)
Readmission within 30 days of discharge	1 (4)
In-hospital mortality	1 (4)
Death within 30 days of discharge	0 (0)

much less than the aortic root pressure.⁹ After the mitral valve repair or replacement has been performed, a pump sucker is kept inside the left ventricle and an agitator keeps the mitral valve incompetent. The left atrium is allowed to fill completely with backflow of blood prior to closing the atriotomy line. Carbon dioxide insufflation is also used to displace intracardiac air. By avoiding aortic manipulation by a cross-clamp, the risk of systemic embolisation is potentially avoided. In this series, two patients had postoperative adverse neurological events; both were felt to be embolic in aetiology.

Another potential disadvantage is a higher rate of pulmonary complications with right thoracotomy as compared with repeat median sternotomy. Indeed, our series did present a relatively high rate of pneumonia (16%). Comparable to other reports,⁸ 80% of patients of this series were extubated within 24 hours of surgery with a median mechanical ventilation time of 11 hours.

Although the data for all patients were collected prospectively as part of a national database, this study was limited by its retrospective design and its relative small sample size.

Reoperative mitral valve surgery can be safely performed through a right limited thoracotomy approach on a beating heart while on full CPB. The technique can potentially be associated with a shorter operation, a shorter CPB and a less complicated recovery.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the other staff who provided support and assistance in the creation of this article.

Funding This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement The full datasheet is available upon request to the corresponding author.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which

permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

1. CGUQa SB. *Cardiac surgery: Surgical performance improvement*. Australia: Victorian Government Health Information.
2. Murzi M, Kallushi E, Tiwari KK, *et al*. Minimally invasive mitral valve surgery through right thoracotomy in patients with patent coronary artery bypass grafts. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2009;9:29–32.
3. Turer AT, Hill JA. Pathogenesis of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury and rationale for therapy. *Am J Cardiol* 2010;106:360–8.
4. Praeger PI, Pooley RW, Moggio RA, *et al*. Simplified method for reoperation on the mitral valve. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1989;48:835–7.
5. Botta L, Cannata A, Fratto P, *et al*. The role of the minimally invasive beating heart technique in reoperative valve surgery. *J Card Surg* 2012;27:24–8.
6. Kitamura T, Stuklis RG, Edwards J. Redo mitral valve operation via right minithoracotomy – “no touch” technique. *Int Heart J* 2011;52:107–9.
7. Nakamura T, Izutani H, Sekiya N, *et al*. Beating heart mitral valve repair for a patient with previous coronary bypass: a case report and review of the literature. *J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;8:187.
8. Romano MA, Haft JW, Pagani FD, *et al*. Beating heart surgery via right thoracotomy for reoperative mitral valve surgery: a safe and effective operative alternative. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2012;144:334–9.
9. Thompson MJ, Behranwala A, Campanella C, *et al*. Immediate and long-term results of mitral prosthetic replacement using a right thoracotomy beating heart technique. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2003;24:47–51.
10. Wani ML, Ahangar AG, Singh S, *et al*. Efficacy and safety of beating heart mitral valve replacement. *Int Cardiovasc Res J* 2014;8:61–5.
11. Macmanus Q, Okies JE, Phillips SJ, *et al*. Surgical considerations in patients undergoing repeat median sternotomy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1975;69:138–43.
12. Braxton JH, Higgins RS, Schwann TA, *et al*. Reoperative mitral valve surgery via right thoracotomy: decreased blood loss and improved hemodynamics. *J Heart Valve Dis* 1996;5:169–73.
13. Kaplon RJ, Pham SM, Salerno TA. Beating-heart valvular surgery: a possible alternative for patients with severely compromised ventricular function. *J Card Surg* 2002;17:170–2.
14. Matsumoto Y, Watanabe G, Endo M, *et al*. Efficacy and safety of on-pump beating heart surgery for valvular disease. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;74:678–83.