Early changes of platelet-lymphocyte ratio correlate with neoadjuvant chemotherapy response and predict pathological complete response in breast cancer

JIAQIANG DAN^{1*}, JINGYA TAN^{2*}, JUNHUA HUANG¹, ZHIYING YUAN¹ and YAO GUO^1

¹Geriatric Diseases Institute of Chengdu/Cancer Prevention and Treatment Institute of Chengdu, Department of Breast Surgery, Chengdu Fifth People's Hospital (The Second Clinical Medical College, Affiliated Fifth People's Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine); ²Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Wenjiang District People's Hospital of Chengdu City, Chengdu, Sichuan 611137, P.R. China

Received August 2, 2023; Accepted September 11, 2023

DOI: 10.3892/mco.2023.2686

Abstract. Markers with inflammatory properties, such as the ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes and the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), have been documented as potential indicators for predicting pathologic complete response (pCR) following neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) in cases of breast cancer. However, whether early changes of PLR (Δ PLR) during NACT can predict pCR has not been reported. A total of 257 breast cancer patients who underwent NACT were retrospectively analyzed. PLR was calculated by evaluating the complete blood cell counts prior to NACT and following two cycles of NACT. The analysis focused on the association between changes in PLR and the response to chemotherapy, as well as the association with pCR. Patients who stayed in or changed to the low PLR level subgroup after two cycles of NACT exhibited a superior response to chemotherapy, in contrast to those who stayed in or changed to the high PLR level subgroup. Of the 257 patients, 75 (29.1%) achieved a pCR after NACT. In the multivariate analysis, there was a significant association between ΔPLR and pCR, whereas pre-treatment and post-treatment PLR did not show any significant association. In multivariate analysis, patients who had a $\Delta PLR < 0$ had a notably higher rate of pCR compared with patients with a $\Delta PLR \ge 0$. It was concluded that ΔPLR ,

E-mail: 20614234@qq.com

*Contributed equally

Key words: breast cancer, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, pathologic complete response

rather than pre-treatment or post-treatment PLR, is associated with pCR. This suggested that the early changes of PLR after two cycles of NACT might serve as a more accurate predictor for chemotherapy response and pCR in breast cancer.

Introduction

Breast cancer is currently the most frequently detected form of cancer and the primary contributor to cancer-related fatalities among women worldwide (1). Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) is extensively employed to enable surgery in locally advanced breast cancer or to reduce the size of the tumor, making breast-conserving surgery more achievable (2-4). NACT is further linked to the *in vivo* reaction of the tumor to chemotherapy, which can be directly assessed through clinical response (2).

It has been proposed that pathologic complete response (pCR) following NACT could be a good surrogate marker of disease free survival and overall survival, particularly in patients with more aggressive subtypes, such as triple-negative (TN) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancer (5-8). In addition, multiple studies have indicated that inflammatory markers, such as the ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes (NLR) and the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) are also possibly associated of pCR in breast cancer following NACT (9-12). However, these studies mainly focused on baseline status of inflammatory markers before treatment and the clinical significance of the changes of inflammatory markers during or after treatment, which may reflect treatment response, is rarely studied.

Hence, the objective of the present study was to assess the potential of early changes of PLR (Δ PLR) observed prior to and following two cycles of NACT as predictive indicators for neoadjuvant chemotherapy response and pCR in breast cancer patients.

Materials and methods

Patients. This retrospective study analyzed the information of 257 individuals diagnosed with initial breast cancer at the

Correspondence to: Professor Yao Guo, Geriatric Diseases Institute of Chengdu/Cancer Prevention and Treatment Institute of Chengdu, Department of Breast Surgery, Chengdu Fifth People's Hospital (The Second Clinical Medical College, Affiliated Fifth People's Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine), 33 Mashi Street, Wenjiang, Chengdu, Sichuan 611137, P.R. China

Chengdu Fifth People's Hospital (Sichuan, China) between June 2012 and July 2017. Baseline characteristics are given in Table I. Tumor staging, both clinically and pathologically, was determined based on the 8th edition of the Cancer Staging Manual by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (13). The project was approved by the Ethics Committee of Chengdu Fifth People's Hospital on January 13, 2022 (reference K2021-053-01). Due to the retrospective nature of this study and the utilization of solely anonymized clinicopathologic data, informed consent was not acquired.

To be eligible for the study, participants had to meet the following requirements: i) women aged 18-70 years; ii) clinical stage II or III; iii) diagnosed with primary breast cancer through core needle biopsy; iv) and having completed a minimum of six cycles of NACT. Exclusion criteria included patients who had been diagnosed with systemic inflammatory or chronic conditions, such as systemic lupus erythematous, liver cirrhosis, or end-stage renal disease prior to the surgery. Exclusion criteria also included patients who lacked data on pathological or laboratory findings, as well as those diagnosed with inflammatory breast carcinoma. In addition, neoadjuvant Trastuzumab was only administered to a small percentage of patients whose tumors were HER2-positive, because its high cost was not covered by medical insurance at that time. Consequently, those individuals were not included in our investigation.

NACT and response assessment. All patients in our facility at the Chengdu Fifth People's Hospital (Sichuan, China) were administered conventional chemotherapy treatments. Taxanes, anthracycline, and cyclophosphamide were the regimens most frequently used. Additional treatment plans consisted of EC (epirubicin and cyclophosphamide), FEC (fluorouracil, epirubicin and cyclophosphamide), CMF (fluorouracil, methotrexate and cyclophosphamide), and various combinations involving platinum compounds.

The clinical responses were evaluated every two cycles during the NACT treatment. Chemotherapeutic efficacy was assessed by categorizing tumor response into partial response (PR) and non-PR using the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (14). Following NACT, a pCR was determined by examining resected specimens under a microscope to confirm the absence of invasive tumor in both the breast and nodes. Patients with remaining ductal carcinoma *in situ* were also included in the pCR category (15).

Blood samples and definition. PLR is calculated by dividing the total number of platelets by the total number of lymphocytes. Pre-treatment PLR refers to the immediate performance of a routine blood test on patients diagnosed with breast cancer using peripheral vein blood. An additional blood test was conducted ~2 weeks following the completion of the second cycle of NACT. Therefore, the calculation of PLR alteration between prior to NACT and following two cycles of NACT was possible. The Δ PLR was determined by subtracting the pre-treatment PLR from the post-treatment PLR. In contrast to other studies, the present study employed PLR as an indicator of inflammation rather than NLR. Most patients received prophylactic granulocyte colony-stimulating factor during the NACT period, which will affect the growth and viability of neutrophils. Therefore, the study did not include NLR. Table I. Baseline characteristics of 257 patients.

Baseline characteristic	n=257
Median age, years (range)	50 (34-70)
Age group, n (%)	
<50 years	123 (47.9)
≥50 years	134 (52.1)
Menopausal status, n (%)	
Pre-menopausal	101 (39.3)
Post-menopausal	156 (60.7)
Histologic type, n (%)	
Invasive ductal carcinoma	234 (91.1)
Others	23 (8.9)
T Stage, n (%)	
cT 1-2	106 (41.2)
cT3-4	151 (58.8)
Nodal status, n (%)	
Positive	155 (60.3)
Negative	102 (39.7)
Grade, n (%)	
G1	116 (45.1)
G2	73 (28.4)
G3	68 (26.5)
Hormone receptor, n (%)	
Positive	153 (59.5)
Negative	104 (40.5)
HER2, n (%)	
Positive	60 (23.3)
Negative	197 (76.7)
Molecular subtype, n (%)	
Luminal A	113 (44.1)
Luminal B	24 (9.3)
Triple negative	60 (23.3)
HER2 enriched	60 (23.3)
Ki-67, n (%)	
<14%	160 (62.3)
≥14%	97 (37.7)
Chemotherapy regimen, n (%)	
AC	34 (13.2)
TC	61 (23.7)
TAC	135 (52.6)
Others	27 (10.5)

HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; AC, anthracycline and cyclophosphamide; TC, taxanes and cyclophosphamide; TAC, taxanes, anthracycline and cyclophosphamide.

In addition, there was no use of thrombocytopoiesis agents during the first two cycles of NACT.

Statistical analysis. The present study assessed the association between PLR and response to chemotherapy and pCR by

Pre-chemotherapy	Post-chemotherapy	PR (n=155)	Non-PR (n=102)	χ2	P-value
Low (132)	Low (68)	51	17	6.88	0.009
	High (64)	34	30		
High (125)	Low (60)	41	19	7.12	0.008
	High (65)	29	36		

Table

employing the χ^2 test. For both univariate and multivariate analysis, it employed the logistic regression model. All data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 24.0 (IBM Corp.). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Patient and tumor baseline characteristics. The present study included a total of 257 patients who underwent pre-treatment and post-treatment complete blood count, as shown in Table I. The average (median) age at diagnosis was 50 years, with a range of 34-70. At the time of diagnosis, T stage was cT3-4 in most instances (58.8%), and the prevailing histology was invasive ductal carcinoma (91.1%). In 37.7% of cases, there was a high expression of Ki-67 (≥14%) and 45.1% of tumors were classified as G1, indicating good differentiation. Of the patients, 23.3% had a HER2-positive subtype, 23.3% TN subtype, 44.1% Luminal subtype and 9.3% Luminal B subtype. Every patient underwent a minimum of six cycles of NACT. Following NACT, modified mastectomy was performed on 181 individuals (70.4%), whereas the remaining 76 patients (29.6%) opted for breast-conserving surgery.

Relationship between changes of PLR and chemotherapeutic efficacy. In order to establish the association between PLR variation and the effectiveness of chemotherapy, blood samples, magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound assessments were conducted concurrently prior to the third cycle of NACT. In the present study, the cutoff value of high or low for pre-treatment or post-treatment PLR were the mean of the study population. After two cycles of chemotherapy, 68 (51.5%) patients in the PLR group with low pre-treatment levels maintained their low levels, while 64 (48.5%) patients transitioned to the high level group. In the meantime, among the high pre-treatment PLR group, 65 (52.0%) patients maintained a high level after two cycles of chemotherapy, while 60 (48.0%) patients shifted to the low level group (Table II). Patients who stayed in or moved to the low PLR category following two cycles of NACT demonstrated enhanced effectiveness of chemotherapy, in contrast to those who stayed in or moved to the high PLR category.

Association between PLR and pCR. A total of 75 patients (29.2%) obtained a pCR following NACT. In univariate analysis (Table III), classical indicators of poor prognosis in breast cancer, such as molecular subtype, tumor grade, and Ki-67, were found to be associated with pCR.

In univariate analysis, it was found that patients with a low PLR before treatment did not show a significant association with pCR. However, a significantly higher rate of pCR was observed in patients with a low PLR following treatment (P=0.075 and P=0.012, respectively). This finding suggested that post-treatment PLR may have a stronger effect on pCR compared with pre-treatment PLR. In univariate analysis (Table III), patients with $\Delta PLR<0$ had higher rates of pCR compared with those with $\Delta PLR \ge 0$ (P=0.008) when combined.

In the analysis of multiple variables, excluding molecular subtypes and tumor grade, the significance of Δ PLR persisted (Table IV). Patients with $\Delta PLR < 0$ had a greater likelihood of achieving pCR compared with those with $\Delta PLR \ge 0$ (OR 2.07, 95% CI 1.13-3.80, P=0.018).

Discussion

Earlier studies have shown that markers of inflammation, like NLR and PLR, could potentially serve as predictive factors for pCR following NACT in breast cancer (10-12,16-18). Nevertheless, while these studies primarily examined the initial or pre-treatment condition of inflammatory markers, only a limited number of studies assessed the changes of inflammatory markers throughout or following the treatment. To the best of the authors' knowledge, the present study is the first to uncover that the early changes of PLR following two cycles of NACT are associated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy response and predict pCR in breast cancer.

For the current study, the analysis focused on three inflammatory markers and two different time points, namely pre-treatment PLR, post-treatment PLR, and Δ PLR before NACT and after two cycles of NACT, in order to assess chemotherapy response and pCR. In the multivariate analysis, only Δ PLR emerged as the sole independent predictive factor. The interpretation of this outcome suggests that Δ PLR was a more significant predictor for pCR compared with the absolute values of pre-treatment PLR or post-treatment PLR. Patients with $\Delta PLR < 0$ exhibited higher rates of pCR compared with those with $\Delta PLR \ge 0$, as demonstrated.

Until now, the underlying mechanism responsible for ΔPLR and chemotherapy response and pCR in breast cancer remained poorly understood. Some biological mechanisms could contribute to the relationship.

The inflammation status and immune response in the tumor microenvironment affect tumor development, progression and metastasis in individuals with cancer (19-21). In the

Table III Association of	natient/tumor characteristics	to pCR in univariate analysis
	patient tamor enaracteristics	to pert in an variate analysis.

	Achieved pCR,		
Variable	Yes	No	P-value
Patients	75 (29.1)	182 (70.9)	
Age group			0.603
<50 years	34 (45.3)	89 (48.9)	
≥50 years	41 (54.7)	93 (51.1)	
Menopausal status			0.679
Pre-menopausal	28 (37.3)	73 (40.1)	
Post-menopausal	47 (62.7)	109 (59.9)	
Histologic type			0.074
Invasive ductal carcinoma	72 (96.0)	162 (89.0)	
Others	3 (4.0)	20 (11.0)	
T Stage			0.158
cT 1-2	36 (48.0)	70 (38.5)	
cT3-4	39 (52.0)	112 (61.5)	
Nodal status			0.531
Positive	43 (57.3)	112 (61.5)	
Negative	32 (42.7)	70 (38.5)	
Grade			0.047
G1	25 (33.3)	91 (50.0)	
G2	27 (36.0)	46 (25.3)	
G3	23 (30.7)	45 (24.7)	
Hormone receptor			<0.001
Positive	32 (42.7)	121 (66.5)	
Negative	43 (57.3)	61 (33.5)	
HER2			<0.001
Positive	33 (44.0)	27 (14.8)	
Negative	42 (56.0)	155 (85.2)	
Molecular subtype			<0.001
Luminal A	24 (32.0)	89 (48,9)	
Luminal B	2 (2.7)	22 (12.1)	
Triple Negative	16 (21.3)	44 (24.2)	
HER2 enriched	33 (44.0)	27 (14.8)	
Ki-67			0.006
<14%	37 (49.3)	123 (67.6)	
≥14%	38 (50.7)	59 (32.4)	
Chemotherapy regimen			0.078
AC	8 (10.7)	26 (14.3)	
TC	12 (16.0)	40 (22.0)	
TAC	54 (72.0)	103 (56.6)	
Others	1 (1.3)	13 (7.1)	
Surgery			0.584
Breast-conserving surgery	24 (32.0)	52 (28.6)	0.001
Modified mastectomy	51 (68.0)	130 (71.4)	
APLR	(/		0.008
<0	47 (62.7)	81 (44.5)	0.000
≥0	28 (37.3)	101 (55.5)	
Variable	Achieved pCR, n (mean)	P-value	Variable
Pre-treatment PLR			0.075
High	30 (40.0)	95 (52.2)	
Low	45 (60.0)	87 (47.8)	

Table III. Continued.

Variable	Achieved pCR, n (mean)	P-value	Variable
Post-treatment PLR			0.012
High	25 (33.3)	92 (50.5)	
Low	50 (66.7)	90 (49.5)	

Figures in bold represent significant P-values. pCR, pathologic complete response; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; AC, anthracycline and cyclophosphamide; TC, taxanes and cyclophosphamide; TAC, taxanes, anthracycline and cyclophosphamide; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio.

Table IV. Association of patient/tumor characteristics to pCR in multivariate analysis.

OR	95% CI	P-value
2.34	1.25-4.37	0.008
2.66	1.36-5.22	0.004
1.58	0.80-3.13	0.190
1.54	0.78-3.04	0.210
2.07	1.13-3.80	0.018
	OR 2.34 2.66 1.58 1.54 2.07	OR 95% CI 2.34 1.25-4.37 2.66 1.36-5.22 1.58 0.80-3.13 1.54 0.78-3.04 2.07 1.13-3.80

pCR, pathologic complete response; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio.

PLR, 'P' is regarded as a pro-tumor element, which has been demonstrated to release various cellular growth factors, such as transforming growth factor beta, platelet-derived growth factor, and vascular endothelial growth factor. These growth factors have the potential to promote tumor growth and the formation of new blood vessels angiogenesis (22-24). On the other hand, 'L' is regarded as an anti-cancer element that has a crucial function in monitoring the immune system against tumors. It can effectively inhibit tumor growth through its cytotoxic properties and ability to induce apoptosis (25,26). Increased lymphocyte infiltration has been correlated with higher pCR rate and an improved prognosis in breast cancer patients who received NACT (27,28). Therefore, when taken together, PLR could act as a marker that reflects the balance between host inflammatory response and immune response. When there is an elevated number of platelets and/or a decreased number of lymphocytes, an increased PLR can lead to an unfavorable outcome for various types of cancer (29,30).

Previous studies have mainly focused on baseline inflammatory status, before the treatment has started. The value of an inflammatory marker at this time may only reflect the status of the disease and not yet reflect the response to treatment. However, the changes of inflammatory marker during the treatment may reflect the response of the tumor to treatment and can improve the prediction of the subsequent outcome of the tumor. Therefore, Δ PLR was expected to be a more predictive factor compared with pre-treatment or post-treatment PLR. The changes of PLR indicate the fluctuation in the host's inflammatory and immune responses during treatment, offering potential for early assessment of treatment effectiveness. If the Δ PLR is <0 following the treatment, it indicates that the balance was tipped in favor of anti-tumor immune response. Otherwise, if Δ PLR is ≥ 0 following treatment, it indicated that the balance was tipped in favor of pro-tumor inflammatory response. The present study is consistent with this hypothesis.

Prior research has concentrated on various types of tumors, each exhibiting distinct levels of inflammation (31). These studies focus on different tumors, which tend to have different inflammatory status. Even in breast cancer alone, different age, ethnicity, stage and subtypes correspond with different immune response and therefore different inflammatory levels (30,32,33). Thus, there was no clinically recognized cut-off value for PLR. Unlike those, the present study focused on the changes in the level of blood inflammatory markers. It is not an absolute cut-off value, but a change variable, which is less affected by chemotherapy and other factors than pre-treatment or post-treatment PLR. In previous studies, certain researchers have demonstrated that changes in NLR or PLR following chemotherapy are associated with the response to chemotherapy or the prediction of prognosis in individuals diagnosed with gastric cancer (34), esophageal cancer (35), oesophago-gastric adenocarcinoma (36), and colon cancer (37). The present study revealed that the early changes of PLR serve a crucial role in predicting the response to NACT in breast cancer.

According to guidelines for neoadjuvant treatment of breast cancer, the clinical response needs to be evaluated every two cycles during the NACT treatment (38). Therefore, the present study chose the Δ PLR during the first two courses of NACT in order to early assess the NACT response. Moreover, Δ PLR in the following courses of NACT could also be monitored and analyzed to assess NACT response. However, if pCR can be predicted in an earlier course, it seems more helpful for clinician to predict the biological behavior of breast cancer accurately and make the treatment programs individualized for the patients, such as changing chemotherapy regimens or deciding for surgery as early as possible.

Although the present study is a longitudinal study, which can minimize sample heterogeneity, it has certain restrictions. Due to the retrospective nature of this study, its limitations are dependent on the inherent quality of data recording and collection. Ideally, it would be preferable to evaluate inflammatory markers within the tumor together analyzing cells in the peripheral blood. However, those samples had not been obtained from these patients during NACT. Moreover, this study analyzed the PLR values before and after two cycles of NACT, but a further time point is needed to determine whether the prognosis varies along the chemotherapy period. For example, PLR values could be monitored every cycle to assess NACT response.

According to the data of the present study, Δ PLR may serve as more accurate predictor for chemotherapy response and pCR in breast cancer compared with the PLR values before or after treatment. With this marker, a clinician could predict early the biological behavior of breast cancer and make treatment programs individualized for the patients.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

The present study received financial support from the Chengdu High-level Key Clinical Specialty Construction Project.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

YG and JD confirm the authenticity of all the raw data. YG, JD and JT conceived and designed the experiments; ZY and JT analyzed the data; JH contributed materials and analysis tools; JD and JT wrote the paper; JT and YG reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This research was a retrospective study without other diagnostic or therapeutic measures; therefore, informed consent was waived. The project was approved by the Ethics Committee of Chengdu Fifth People's Hospital on January 13, 2022 (reference K2021-053-01).

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A and Bray F: Global cancer statistics 2020: Globocan estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 71: 209-249, 2021.
- 2. Untch M, Konecny GE, Paepke S and von Minckwitz G: Current and future role of neoadjuvant therapy for breast cancer. Breast 23: 526-537, 2014.
- Santa-Maria CA, Camp M, Cimino-Mathews A, Harvey S, Wright J and Stearns V: Neoadjuvant therapy for early-stage breast cancer: Current practice, controversies, and future directions. Oncology (Williston Park) 29: 828-838, 2015.
- 4. Kaufmann M, von Minckwitz G, Bear HD, Buzdar A, McGale P, Bonnefoi H, Colleoni M, Denkert C, Eiermann W, Jackesz R, *et al*: Recommendations from an international expert panel on the use of neoadjuvant (primary) systemic treatment of operable breast cancer: New perspectives 2006. Ann Oncol 18: 1927-1934, 2007.
- Li X, Dai D, Chen B, Tang H and Wei W: Oncological outcome of complete response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast conserving surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. World J Surg Oncol 15: 210, 2017.
- Wang-Lopez Q, Chalabi N, Abrial C, Radosevic-Robin N, Durando X, Mouret-Reynier MA, Benmammar KE, Kullab S, Bahadoor M, Chollet P, *et al*: Can pathologic complete response (pCR) be used as a surrogate marker of survival after neoadjuvant therapy for breast cancer? Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 95: 88-104, 2015.
- Boughey JC, Ballman KV, McCall LM, Mittendorf EA, Symmans WF, Julian TB, Byrd D and Hunt KK: Tumor biology and response to chemotherapy impact breast cancer-specific survival in node-positive breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Long-Term follow-up from ACOSOG Z1071 (Alliance). Ann Surg 266: 667-676, 2017.
 Bossuyt V, Provenzano E, Symmans WF, Boughey JC, Coles C,
- Bossuyt V, Provenzano E, Symmans WF, Boughey JC, Coles C, Curigliano G, Dixon JM, Esserman LJ, Fastner G, Kuehn T, *et al*: Recommendations for standardized pathological characterization of residual disease for neoadjuvant clinical trials of breast cancer by the BIG-NABCG collaboration. Ann Oncol 26: 1280-1291, 2015.
- 9. Templeton AJ, Ace O, McNamara MG, Al-Mubarak M, Vera-Badillo FE, Hermanns T, Seruga B, Ocaña A, Tannock IF and Amir E: Prognostic role of platelet to lymphocyte ratio in solid tumors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 23: 1204-1212, 2014.
- 10. Asano Y, Kashiwagi S, Onoda N, Noda S, Kawajiri H, Takashima T, Ohsawa M, Kitagawa S and Hirakawa K: Platelet-Lymphocyte ratio as a useful predictor of the therapeutic effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. PLoS One 11: e153459, 2016.
- 11. Graziano V, Grassadonia A, Iezzi L, Vici P, Pizzuti L, Barba M, Quinzii A, Camplese A, Di Marino P, Peri M, *et al*: Combination of peripheral neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio is predictive of pathological complete response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. Breast 44: 33-38, 2019.
- 12. Asano Y, Kashiwagi S, Onoda N, Noda S, Kawajiri H, Takashima T, Ohsawa M, Kitagawa S and Hirakawa K: Predictive value of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio for efficacy of preoperative chemotherapy in triple-negative breast cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 23: 1104-1110, 2016.
- Cserni G, Chmielik E, Cserni B and Tot T: The new tnm-based staging of breast cancer. Virchows Arch 472: 697-703, 2018.
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, Dancey J, Arbuck S, Gwyther S, Mooney M, *et al*: New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 45: 228-247, 2009.
- Pennisi A, Kieber-Émmons T, Makhoul I and Hutchins L: Relevance of pathological complete response after neoadjuvant therapy for breast cancer. Breast Cancer (Auckl) 10: 103-106, 2016.
- 16. Yang G, Liu P, Zheng L and Zeng J: Novel peripheral blood parameters as predictors of neoadjuvant chemotherapy response in breast cancer. Front Surg 9: 1004687, 2022.
- 17. Lou C, Jin F, Zhao Q and Qi H: Correlation of Serum NLR, PLR and HALP with efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and prognosis of triple-negative breast cancer. Am J Transl Res 14: 3240-3246, 2022.

- Jin X, Wang K, Shao X and Huang J: Prognostic implications of the peripheral platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in predicting pathologic complete response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. Gland Surg 11: 1057-1066, 2022.
- 19. Coussens LM and Werb Z: Inflammation and cancer. Nature 420: 860-867, 2002.
- 20. Hanahan D and Weinberg RA: Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. Cell 144: 646-674, 2011.
- DeNardo DG and Coussens LM: Inflammation and breast cancer. Balancing immune response: Crosstalk between adaptive and innate immune cells during breast cancer progression. Breast Cancer Res 9: 212, 2007.
- 22. Jenne CN and Kubes P: Platelets in Inflammation and Infection. Platelets 26: 286-292, 2015.
- Carestia A, Godin LC and Jenne CN: Step up to the platelet: Role of platelets in inflammation and infection. Thromb Res: Oct 14, 2022 (Epub ahead of print).
- Klinger MH and Jelkmann W: Role of blood platelets in infection and inflammation. J Interferon Cytokine Res 22: 913-922, 2002.
- Dunn GP, Old LJ and Schreiber RD: The Immunobiology of cancer immunosurveillance and immunoediting. Immunity 21: 137-148, 2004.
- 26. Seo AN, Lee HJ, Kim EJ, Kim HJ, Jang MH, Lee HE, Kim YJ, Kim JH and Park SY: Tumour-Infiltrating Cd8+ lymphocytes as an independent predictive factor for pathological complete response to primary systemic therapy in breast cancer. Br J Cancer 109: 2705-2713, 2013.
- 27. Ibrahim EM, Al-Foheidi ME, Al-Mansour MM and Kazkaz GA: The prognostic value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in triple-negative breast cancer: A meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 148: 467-476, 2014.
- Denkert C, von Minckwitz G, Darb-Esfahani S, Lederer B, Heppner BI, Weber KE, Budczies J, Huober J, Klauschen F, Furlanetto J, *et al*: Tumour-Infiltrating lymphocytes and prognosis in different subtypes of breast cancer: A pooled analysis of 3771 patients treated with neoadjuvant therapy. Lancet Oncol 19: 40-50, 2018.
- Paramanathan A, Saxena A and Morris DL: A systematic review and meta-analysis on the impact of pre-operative neutrophil lymphocyte ratio on long term outcomes after curative intent resection of solid tumours. Surg Oncol 23: 31-39, 2014.
 Zhang M, Huang XZ, Song YX, Gao P, Sun JX and Wang ZN:
- 30. Zhang M, Huang XZ, Song YX, Gao P, Sun JX and Wang ZN: High platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts poor prognosis and clinicopathological characteristics in patients with breast cancer: A meta-analysis. Biomed Res Int 2017: 9503025, 2017.

- 31. Templeton AJ, McNamara MG, Seruga B, Vera-Badillo FE, Aneja P, Ocaña A, Leibowitz-Amit R, Sonpavde G, Knox JJ, Tran B, *et al*: Prognostic role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in solid tumors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst 106: dju 124, 2014.
- 32. Ethier JL, Desautels D, Templeton A, Shah PS and Amir E: Prognostic role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res 19: 2, 2017.
- 33. Wei B, Yao M, Xing C, Wang W, Yao J, Hong Y, Liu Y and Fu P: The neutrophil lymphocyte ratio is associated with breast cancer prognosis: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Onco Targets Ther 9: 5567-5575, 2016.
- 34. Wang F, Liu ZY, Xia YY, Zhou C, Shen XM, Li XL, Han SG, Zheng Y, Mao ZQ, Gong FR, *et al*: Changes in neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratios after chemotherapy correlate with chemotherapy response and prediction of prognosis in patients with unresectable gastric cancer. Oncol Lett 10: 3411-3418, 2015.
- 35. Hyder J, Boggs DH, Hanna A, Suntharalingam M and Chuong MD: Changes in neutrophil-to-lymphocyte and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios during chemoradiation predict for survival and pathologic complete response in trimodality esophageal cancer patients. J Gastrointest Oncol 7: 189-195, 2016.
- 36. Tankel J, Calderone A, Garcia-Luna J, Mueller CL, Najmeh S, Spicer J, Mulder D, Ferri L and Cools-Lartigue J: Changes in perioperative platelet lymphocyte ratio predict survival in oesophago-gastric adenocarcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol: Apr 4, 2022 (Epub ahead of print).
- 37. Li Z, Zhao R, Cui Y, Zhou Y and Wu X: The dynamic change of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio can predict clinical outcome in stage I-III colon cancer. Sci Rep 8: 9453, 2018.
- 38. Kaufmann M, Hortobagyi GN, Goldhirsch A, Scholl S, Makris A, Valagussa P, Blohmer JU, Eiermann W, Jackesz R, Jonat W, *et al*: Recommendations from an international expert panel on the use of neoadjuvant (primary) systemic treatment of operable breast cancer: An update. J Clin Oncol 24: 1940-1949, 2006.



Copyright © 2023 Dan et al. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.