#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Rohan Sharma, Mayo Clinic Florida, United States

#### REVIEWED BY

Harshad Ladha, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, United States Levi Howard, Mayo Clinic Florida, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Nora Bruns nora.bruns@uk-essen.de

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Neurocritical and Neurohospitalist Care, a section of the journal

Frontiers in Neurology

RECEIVED 24 May 2022 ACCEPTED 03 August 2022 PUBLISHED 25 August 2022

#### CITATION

Greve S, Löffelhardt VT, Della Marina A, Felderhoff-Müser U, Dohna-Schwake C and Bruns N (2022) The impact of age and electrode position on amplitude-integrated EEGs in children from 1 month to 17 years of age. *Front. Neurol.* 13:952193. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.952193

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Greve, Löffelhardt, Della Marina, Felderhoff-Müser, Dohna-Schwake and Bruns. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The impact of age and electrode position on amplitude-integrated EEGs in children from 1 month to 17 years of age

Sandra Greve<sup>1</sup>, Verena Tamara Löffelhardt<sup>1</sup>, Adela Della Marina<sup>1,2</sup>, Ursula Felderhoff-Müser<sup>1,2</sup>, Christian Dohna-Schwake<sup>1</sup> and Nora Bruns<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics I, Neonatology, Pediatric Intensive Care Medicine, and Pediatric Neurology, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany, <sup>2</sup>C-TNBS, Centre for Translational Neuro- and Behavioral Sciences, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

**Aim:** Amplitude-integrated electroencephalography (aEEG) is used to monitor electrocortical activity in critically ill children but age-specific reference values are lacking. We aimed to assess the impact of age and electrode position on aEEG amplitudes and derive normal values for pediatric aEEGs from neurologically healthy children.

**Methods:** Normal EEGs from awake children aged 1 month to 17 years (213 female, 237 male) without neurological disease or neuroactive medication were retrospectively converted into aEEGs. Two observers manually measured the upper and lower amplitude borders of the C3 – P3, C4 – P4, C3 – C4, P3 – P4, and Fp1 – Fp2 channels of the 10–20 system. Percentiles (10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th) were calculated for each age group (<1 year, 1 year, 2–5 years, 6–9 years, 10–13 years, 14–17 years).

**Results:** Amplitude heights and curves differed between channels without sex-specific differences. During the first 2 years of life, upper and lower amplitudes of all but the Fp1–Fp2 channel increased and then declined until 17 years. The decline of the upper Fp1–Fp2 amplitude began at 4 years, while the lower amplitude declined from the 1st year of life.

**Conclusions:** aEEG interpretation must account for age and electrode positions but not for sex in infants and children.

#### KEYWORDS

amplitude-integrated EEG, neuromonitoring, pediatric intensive care, electrode position, channel, percentiles, normal values, pediatric aEEG

# Introduction

In recent years, the use of amplitude-integrated EEG (aEEG) has expanded from neonatology into pediatric intensive care, driven by the need for continuous neurophysiological monitoring and the advantages of aEEG as an affordable, broadly available, and easy-to-interpret bedside technique (1). Continuous full channel EEG, the gold standard to monitor electrocortical acitivity in critically ill children, is a scarce resource with high barriers for implementation (2). As an alternative, aEEG has proven useful for the assessment of seizures and guiding antiepileptic treatment in critically ill children, making it the preferred tool by pediatric intensive care givers (1, 3-7). There is incipient but growing evidence that physiological and pathological conditions induce changes in the aEEG background pattern, e.g., sleep states, sedation, cardiac arrest, central nervous system infections, and inflammation (4, 5, 8-13). Normalization of background patterns according to a neonatal classification (14) is associated with outcome in neonates, children, and adults after hypoxic events (9, 15–17).

Neonatal aEEG classifications found that the physiological aEEG changes with gestational and postnatal age (18–21). Despite these findings and increasing aEEG use in older infants and children, reference values have not been defined for infants and children above 3.5 months of age. Specific diseases and interventions in pediatric intensive care can require adaptations to the standard electrode positions used in neonatology, making the establishment of reference values more complex than in neonates.

To address the growing need for reference values (22), we calculated aEEGs from normal EEGs recorded in awake children without cerebral disease who were not critically ill and did not receive sedatives, antiepileptic drugs or any other type of neuroactive medication. The aim was to assess whether age and electrode positions affect aEEG amplitudes and provide normal values for bedside assessment of aEEG in the PICU. We measured the upper and lower margins of five aEEG channels that are used in pediatric intensive care and calculated age-specific percentiles.

## **Methods**

EEGs without pathologies from awake children between 1 month and 17.9 years of age and without central nervous system disease or neuroactive medication were eligible for the study. EEGs were classified into 1-year age groups during the selection process. To avoid bias from repeated recordings in the same patient, only one EEG per one-year age group was selected from each patient.

## **Ethics** approval

The study was approved by the local ethics committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Duisburg-Essen (20-9444-BO). Informed consent was not necessary according to local legislation because retrospective anonymized data were used.

# Indication for EEG recording and verification of eligibility

Most EEGs were conducted as part of the clinical routine in several conditions before the initiation of therapy, but never in critically ill children (Table 1). Our center has a large pediatric oncology department. All children undergoing chemotherapy receive an EEG before therapy in order to have a baseline finding in case of neurological complications. Another specialty of our center are solid organ transplants and bone marrow transplants (oncological and non-oncological). The same pretherapy diagnostics are applied to these patients. Some other indications were diagnostic work-ups in suspected neurologic disease. No neurologic disease was allowed to be diagnosed at any timepoint in the patient history before or after the EEG recording until inclusion into the study. For acquired brain injury, only recordings before the insult were eligible. In oncological patients, affection of the CNS was ruled out by imaging and/or lumbal puncture as appropriate according to the underlying malignancy.

Patient histories were checked for neuroactive substances and patients excluded if they received any type of neuroactive medication at the time of recording.

TABLE 1 Eligibility criteria.

| Inclusion criteria (all<br>must be met) | Exclusion criteria (one is sufficient) |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| • Age < 18 years                        | • Acquired or inborn cerebral          |  |  |  |
|   | disease or damage                      |  |  |  |
| • EEG normal according routine          | • Antiepileptic drug use or other      |  |  |  |
| assessment                              | neuroactive medication                 |  |  |  |
| Awake throughout recording              | • Former preterm infant before 24      |  |  |  |
|   | months corrected age                   |  |  |  |
|   | • Fallen asleep during recording       |  |  |  |
|   | • Developmental delay                  |  |  |  |
|   | Photostimulation                       |  |  |  |
|   | during recording <sup>*</sup>          |  |  |  |

In case of more than one recording within the same year of age: only one random EEG used to avoid bias from repeated measurements in the same subject.

\*Hyperventilation was not an exclusion criterion because all EEGs were annotated. Amplitudes were only assessed until the beginning of hyperventilation.



# **EEG** recording

Full-channel EEG was applied according to the international 10–20 system after skin preparation with OneStep EEG Gel Abrasiv plus<sup>(R)</sup>. An impedance check was performed, and skin preparation was repeated until impedances  $<5 \text{ k}\Omega$  were achieved for all electrodes, according to the requirements for standard EEG recording by the German Society for Clinical Neurophysiology and Functional Imaging. All EEGs were recorded using Neurofax EEG devices and polaris.one software v4.0.4.0 (Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan).

## **EEG** interpretation

The EEG reader was a board-certified pediatric neurologist (ADM) with additional certificates in EEG and epileptology by the German Society for Epileptology (DGfE). Because all EEGs were interpreted by ADM or, on rare occasions, by a substitute who holds the same qualifications, no additional independent read was performed before inclusion of EEGs into the study.

## **EEG** conversion

aEEGs were calculated using Polaris EEG software (Polaris Trend Software QP-160AK, Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan). Channels C3–C4, P3–P4, C3–P3, C4–P4, and Fp1–Fp2 of the 10–20 system were converted (Figure 1A). All channels except for the Fp1–Fp2 channel are standard for aEEG conduction in neonates. The Fp1–Fp2 channel was additionally included

because in patients with head injury or after neurosurgery, the frontal positions can be the only available locations for electrode placement.

## aEEG analysis

SG and NB measured the upper and lower amplitude borders manually with the integrated tool for amplitude measurement as previously described (13) (Figure 1B).

An image of the measured tracing was saved as a PDF file for documentation purposes and quality control. The measured values were transferred manually into an Excel spreadsheet.

## Data analysis

Categorical variables are summarized as counts and relative frequencies. aEEG amplitudes are presented as age-specific percentiles (10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th). Other continuous variables are presented as means and 95% confidence intervals (CI) or standard deviation (SD) if normally distributed and as median and interquartile range (IQR) if non-normally distributed.

## Calculation of amplitudes

For each aEEG channel, we calculated the mean of the values measured by the two raters for the upper and lower amplitudes.



## Definition of age groups

The raw data were visualized to assess the height and evolution of amplitudes with age (Figure 1C). Next, we defined age groups to calculate percentiles (<1 year, 1 year, 2–5 years, 6–9 years, 1–13 years, and 14–17 years). The aim was to depict the rapid amplitude changes during the first years of life, provide sufficient detail on amplitude differences at older ages, and avoid an excessive number of subgroups. The C3–P3 and C4–P4 channels were collapsed for percentile calculations because they represent the contralateral positions during 2-channel recordings. To assess sex-specific differences, we calculated means and 95 % CIs for males and females for each channel and age group.

#### Interrater reliability

Bland–Altman plots (23) were created for the upper and lower amplitudes of each channel for each year of age to rule out systematic differences in measurements between the two raters. Mean differences and SD of the differences between the two raters were calculated for the upper and lower borders. Intraclass correlation coefficients for the upper and lower borders were calculated using a two-way mixed model for individual ratings (ICC 3,1) (24).

## Software

SAS Enterprise Guide 8.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used to perform statistical analyses and produce figures.

TABLE 2 Included patients and indications for EEG.

|                                   | n (%)      | Mean $\pm$ SD |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| Male                              | 237 (52.7) |               |
| Female                            | 213 (47.3) |               |
| Age group                         |            |               |
| <1 year                           | 44 (9.8)   | $0.5\pm0.3$   |
| 1 year                            | 30 (6.7)   | $1.5\pm0.3$   |
| 2–5 years                         | 96 (21.3)  | $3.6\pm1.2$   |
| 6–9 years                         | 79 (17.6)  | $7.8\pm1.2$   |
| 10-13 years                       | 86 (19.1)  | $12.2\pm1.2$  |
| 14-17 years                       | 115 (25.6) | $16.1\pm1.2$  |
| Indications                       |            |               |
| Routine diagnostics*              | 273 (60.7) |               |
| Diagnostic work-up in patients    | 177 (39.3) |               |
| with suspected inborn or acquired |            |               |
| neurologic disease**              |            |               |

SD, standard deviation; \*Before the initiation of therapy or during aftercare, e.g., before or after solid organ transplant, bone marrow transplant, or chemotherapy; \*\*No neurologic disease diagnosed after completion of diagnostics at any timepoint in the patient history (inborn) or before the recording (acquired).

## Results

Out of 11,543 EEGs recorded between January 2014 and February 2021 in the Children's Hospital of the University Hospital Essen, 450 unremarkable EEGs were included (Figure 2). The median (IQR) duration was 17 (15–18) min. Males accounted for 52.7 % of the measurements and 60.7 % of EEGs were conducted as routine diagnostics (Table 2). The remaining 39.3 % of EEGs were conducted in suspected neurologic disease, which was ruled out during the diagnostic work-up. None of the patients received a neurologic diagnose later in the history until inclusion into the study.

The intraclass correlation coefficient was 0.83 for the upper and 0.87 for the lower amplitude. The mean interrater difference for the upper amplitude was 0.9  $\mu$ V (SD 6.3  $\mu$ V) and 0.3  $\mu$ V (2.2  $\mu$ V) for the lower amplitude.

In the C3–P3 and C4 P4 channels, the amplitudes rose from 0 to 1 year of age and showed a continuous decline until the age of 17 years (Figure 3A). A similar trend was observed in all channels, but there were differences in amplitude values and curves between the channels (Table 3, Figures 3B–D). We found statistically nonsignificant differences in mean amplitudes between males and females (Supplementary Table 1).

To facilitate bedside interpretation of aEEG, we created a pocket card with a map of the channels according to the 10–20 system, graphical percentiles for each channel, and summary tables with numerical percentile values (Supplementary material).



FIGURE 3

Percentile curves by age. UB, upper border; LB, lower border. (A) C3-P3/C4-P4 channel. (B) C3-C4 channel. (C) P3-P4 channel. (D) Fp1-Fp2 channel.

| Channel      | Age         | Amplitude | Percentile [µV] |      |      |      |      |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|
|              |             |           | 10th            | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th |
| C3-P3/ C4-P4 | <1 year     | Lower     | 11              | 13   | 16   | 21   | 25   |
|              |             | Upper     | 34              | 42   | 51   | 62   | 97   |
|              | 1 year      | Lower     | 15              | 18   | 20   | 23   | 25   |
|              |             | Upper     | 40              | 48   | 56   | 62   | 77   |
|              | 2-5 years   | Lower     | 12              | 15   | 18   | 20   | 25   |
|              |             | Upper     | 30              | 39   | 46   | 56   | 67   |
|              | 6-9 years   | Lower     | 11              | 12   | 15   | 19   | 24   |
|              |             | Upper     | 28              | 35   | 42   | 53   | 60   |
|              | 10-13 years | Lower     | 9               | 10   | 14   | 19   | 23   |
|              |             | Upper     | 24              | 29   | 38   | 52   | 61   |
|              | 14-17 years | Lower     | 7               | 9    | 11   | 15   | 19   |
|              |             | Upper     | 17              | 23   | 31   | 40   | 52   |
| <br>C3-C4    | <1 year     | Lower     | 14              | 16   | 19   | 24   | 27   |
|              | ,           | Upper     | 43              | 50   | 56   | 68   | 82   |
|              | 1 vear      | Lower     | 20              | 22   | 24   | 27   | 28   |
|              | - /         | Upper     | 51              |      | 65   | 77   | 85   |
|              | 2-5 years   | Lower     | 16              | 19   | 23   | 26   | 31   |
|              | 2 0 years   | Unner     | 41              | 47   | 56   | 70   | 81   |
|              | 6-9 years   | Lower     | 14              | 15   | 19   | 23   | 28   |
|              | 0-9 years   | Upper     | 34              | 41   | 53   | 63   | 72   |
|              | 10 13 years | Lower     | 12              | 41   | 17   | 22   | 26   |
|              | 10-15 years | Lower     | 20              | 27   | 17   | 57   | 20   |
|              | 14 17       | Upper     | 30              | 37   | 49   | 17   | 74   |
|              | 14-17 years | Lower     | 9               | 11   | 14   | 17   | 21   |
|              | .1          | Upper     | 23              | 29   | 37   | 49   |      |
| P3-P4        | <1 year     | Lower     | 13              | 14   | 18   | 22   | 2/   |
|              |             | Upper     | 39              | 4/   | 61   | 72   | 106  |
|              | 1 year      | Lower     | 17              | 19   | 23   | 25   | 29   |
|              |             | Upper     | 48              | 53   | 60   | 77   | 85   |
|              | 2–5 years   | Lower     | 16              | 19   | 22   | 26   | 32   |
|              |             | Upper     | 42              | 49   | 57   | 70   | 86   |
|              | 6–9 years   | Lower     | 15              | 17   | 21   | 27   | 33   |
|              |             | Upper     | 39              | 48   | 61   | 74   | 93   |
|              | 10-13 years | Lower     | 14              | 16   | 21   | 26   | 31   |
|              |             | Upper     | 35              | 43   | 53   | 73   | 90   |
|              | 14-17 years | Lower     | 9               | 12   | 15   | 20   | 26   |
|              |             | Upper     | 26              | 31   | 43   | 59   | 71   |
| Fp1-Fp2      | <1 year     | Lower     | 11              | 14   | 16   | 23   | 25   |
|              |             | Upper     | 36              | 59   | 72   | 96   | 106  |
|              | 1 year      | Lower     | 14              | 16   | 19   | 23   | 27   |
|              |             | Upper     | 49              | 62   | 72   | 86   | 129  |
|              | 2-5 years   | Lower     | 14              | 16   | 19   | 23   | 25   |
|              |             | Upper     | 47              | 55   | 71   | 88   | 113  |
|              | 6-9 years   | Lower     | 11              | 13   | 15   | 16   | 20   |
|              |             | Upper     | 33              | 38   | 44   | 56   | 75   |
|              | 10-13 years | Lower     | 9               | 10   | 12   | 14   | 17   |
|              |             | Upper     | 22              | 28   | 34   | 45   | 53   |
|              | 14-17 years | Lower     | 8               | 9    | 10   | 12   | 14   |
|              |             | Upper     | 21              | 24   | 29   | 37   | 45   |
|              |             |           |                 |      |      |      |      |

### TABLE 3 Percentiles by channels and age groups.

# Discussion

This is the first study to describe the impact of age and electrode position on aEEG amplitudes and derive agespecific normal values in awake children without underlying or acquired cerebral disease. aEEG amplitudes increased during the 1st years of life, followed by a continuous decline until the age of 17 years. Different amplitude values and curves, but no sex-specific differences were observed between the channels.

The lower margin at all ages and for all channels had a 10th percentile above 5  $\mu$ V, corresponding to a continuous normal voltage background according to Hellström-Westas et al. (14). This neonatal classification is used up to adult age due to the lack of age-specific reference values (5, 7, 9, 17, 22, 25, 26). According to our data, a normal pediatric aEEG amplitude from any of the analyzed channels fulfills the continuous normal voltage criteria by Hellström-Westas. Therefore, defining "normal" based on this classification in the pediatric aEEG studies mentioned above seems justified. The different amplitudes we found between channels show the impact of electrode positions on the aEEG background. This should be considered when comparing study findings and designing future investigations.

The effect of pathologies and sedatives on the aEEG background remains largely uninvestigated. In conventional EEG, abnormal oscillatory patterns are induced by anesthetic and sedative drugs (27). These patterns reflect a patient's current level of anesthesia, making it possible to track the brain states of a patient (27). Intracranial injuries, a missing skullcap after decompressive craniectomy, modified electrode positions due to head dressings, and external injuries to the head can impact the raw EEG pattern and consecutively the aEEG amplitudes obtained. This calls for caution when applying aEEG normal values in patients with intracranial injury. With respect to background pattern changes over time, the percentiles may help to recognize deterioration or normalization.

The main limitation of our study is the fact that all measurements were performed in awake children. Many children undergoing aEEG monitoring in the PICU receive sedatives, suffer from intracranial pathologies or fall asleep during part of the recording. We previously showed that amplitudes differ between deep and light sleep in healthy children (13, 28), making the establishment of normal values during sleep substantially more difficult. For this reason, we focused on awake aEEGs as a first step toward a systematic aEEG assessment. Intracranial injuries such as large subdural hematoma or trapped air after neurosurgery make the expected aEEG amplitudes unpredictable. This must be considered when using the percentiles for interpretation, as well as the influence of sedatives and other neuroactive

medication. In the frontal channels, muscle activity affects the amplitudes (29). This must be considered in patients without muscle activity. aEEG conversion algorithms differ between manufacturers and are not openly accessible (30, 31). Therefore, the same aEEG tracing may have slightly different amplitudes depending on the software used. Further, the manual amplitude measurement may yield different results compared to an automated electronic assessment, limiting the comparability of results between assessment techniques. A disadvantage of automated assessment is the poor performance of software in artifact recognition and removal (32). In contrast, intensive care providers can easily ignore sections containing artifacts during visual bedside assessment.

The aEEG itself has limitations compared to full-channel EEG, as a reduced montage decreases the sensitivity for the detection of seizures (33). Further, aEEG contains no information on waveform morphology and is restricted to a narrow bandwidth with no information on the relative frequency content. For this reason, aEEG should be considered as a complementary or bridging technique until full-channel EEG is available. The findings of this study point out the need to account for age and electrode positions when interpreting aEEGs in infants and children. Further research must determine if amplitude deviations from the here-defined percentiles are associated with pathologies, medication, cerebral dysfunction or outcomes.

With respect to older patients, the results of this study may give some guidance about physiological aEEG amplitudes in young adults, given that there is not a large expanse of differences between baseline EEGs in adolescents versus young adults. However, the ongoing amplitude changes we observed until adolescent age call for systematic investigation of adult baseline aEEG to assess age-dependent physiological variations.

## Conclusion

This study shows that age and electrode position substantially impact aEEG amplitudes. It further provides normal values for bedside assessment derived from awake children without cerebral pathology. The percentiles provided can contribute to the identification of normal and patterns and deviations. Patient age and electrode position, along with medications and pathologies that may affect electrocortical activity, must be considered upon interpretation.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Duisburg-Essen. Written informed consent from the participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

NB conceptualized the study, acquired funding, collected and analyzed the data, drafted the initial manuscript, and revised the manuscript. SG and VL collected and interpreted data and participated in the drafting of the initial manuscript. AD interpreted the original EEG. CD-S and UF-M helped to conceptualize analyses and interpret data. All authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content, approved the final manuscript as submitted, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

## Funding

The study received funding from the Medical Faculty of the University of Duisburg-Essen (Corona Care program) and from the Stiftung Universitätsmedizin Essen. NB received funding from the Medical Faculty of the University of Duisburg-Essen (IFORES program) and from the Stiftung

## References

1. Butler E, Mills NJP, Alix J, Hart AR. Knowledge and attitudes of critical care providers towards neurophysiological monitoring, seizure diagnosis, and treatment. *Develop Med Child Neurol.* (2021) 63:976–83. papers3://publication doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14907

2. Ghossein J, Alnaji F, Webster RJ, Bulusu S, Pohl D. Continuous eeg in a pediatric intensive care unit: adherence to monitoring criteria and barriers to adequate implementation. *Neurocrit Care.* (2021) 34:519–28. doi: 10.1007/s12028-020-01053-8

3. Du Pont-Thibodeau G, Sanchez SM, Jawad AF, Nadkarni VM, Berg RA, Abend NS, et al. Seizure detection by critical care providers using amplitude-integrated electroencephalography and color density spectral array in pediatric cardiac arrest patients. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* (2017) 18:363–9. doi: 10.1097/PCC.00000000001099

4. Schettler K, Heineking B, Fernandez-Rodriguez S, Pilger A, Haas N. Guiding antiepileptic therapy in a pediatric patient with severe meningoencephalitis and decompressive cranicctomy with the use of amplitude-integrated electroencephalography. *J Pediatric Intens Care.* (2017) 06:136–41. doi: 10.1055/s-0036-1587328

5. Bruns N, Sanchez-Albisua I, Weiss C, Tschiedel E, Dohna-Schwake C, Felderhoff-Müser U, et al. Amplitude-integrated eeg for neurological assessment and seizure detection in a german pediatric intensive care unit. *Front Pediat*. (2019) 7:358. doi: 10.3389/fped.2019.00358

Universitätsmedizin Essen. The funder did not participate in the work.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the EEG technical assistants of the Department of Pediatric Neurology of the University Hospital Essen for their support.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fneur.2022.952193/full#supplementary-material

 Rowberry T, Kanthimathinathan HK, George F, Notghi L, Gupta R, Bill P, et al. Implementation and early evaluation of a quantitative electroencephalography program for seizure detection in the Picu. *Pediatr Crit Care Me*. (2020) 21:543– 9. doi: 10.1097/PCC.00000000002278

7. Bourgoin P, Barrault V, Loron G, Roger A, Bataille E, Leclair Visonneau L, et al. Interrater agreement between critical care providers for background classification and seizure detection after implementation of amplitude-integrated electroencephalography in neonates, infants, and children. *J Clin Neurophysiol:* Off Pub Am Electroencephal Soc. (2019) 1:634. doi: 10.1097/WNP.00000000000 00634

8. Chenouard A, Bourgoin P. Amplitude-integrated electroencephalography after pediatric extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a pragmatic illustration of its relevance at the bedside for intensivists. *Artif Organs.* (2021) 45:318–9. doi: 10.1111/aor.13831

 Bourgoin P, Barrault V, Joram N, Leclair Visonneau L, Toulgoat F, Anthoine E, et al. The prognostic value of early amplitude-integrated electroencephalography monitoring after pediatric cardiac arrest. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* (2020) 21:248– 55. doi: 10.1097/PCC.00000000002171

10. Drohan CM, Cardi AI, Rittenberger JC, Popescu A, Callaway CW, Baldwin ME, et al. Effect of sedation on quantitative electroencephalography after cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. (2018) 124:132–7. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2017.11.068

11. Jiang N, Guan H, Lu Q, Ren H, Peng B. Features and prognostic value of quantitative electroencephalogram changes in critically ill and noncritically ill anti-nmdar encephalitis patients: a pilot study. *Front Neurol.* (2018) 9:833. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00833

12. Bruns N, Felderhoff-Müser U, Dohna-Schwake C. Aeeg as a useful tool for neuromonitoring in critically ill children - current evidence and knowledge gaps. *Acta paediatrica*. (2021) 110(4):1132-40. doi: 10.1111/apa.15676

13. Löffelhardt VT, Della Marina A, Greve S, Müller H, Felderhoff-Müser U, Dohna-Schwake C, et al. Characterization of Aeeg during sleep and wakefulness in healthy children. *Front Pediat*. (2022) 9:3188. doi: 10.3389/fped.2021.773188

14. Hellström-Westas L, Rosén I. Continuous brain-function monitoring: state of the art in clinical practice. *Sem Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2006) 11:503–11. doi: 10.1016/j.siny.2006.07.011

15. Goeral K, Urlesberger B, Giordano V, Kasprian G, Wagner M, Schmidt L, et al. Prediction of outcome in neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy ii: role of amplitude-integrated electroencephalography and cerebral oxygen saturation measured by near-infrared spectroscopy. *Neonatology*. (2017) 112:193–202. doi: 10.1159/000468976

16. Sugiyama K, Kashiura M, Akashi A, Tanabe T, Hamabe Y. Prognostic value of the recovery time of continuous normal voltage in amplitudeintegrated electroencephalography in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients treated with therapeutic hypothermia: a retrospective study. *J Intens Care.* (2016) 4:25. doi: 10.1186/s40560-016-0152-5

17. Sugiyama K, Miyazaki K, Ishida T, Tanabe T, Hamabe Y. Categorization of post-cardiac arrest patients according to the pattern of amplitude-integrated electroencephalography after return of spontaneous circulation. *Crit Care.* (2018) 22:226. doi: 10.1186/s13054-018-2138-2

18. Sisman J, Campbell DE, Brion LP. Amplitude-integrated eeg in preterm infants: maturation of background pattern and amplitude voltage with postmenstrual age and gestational age. *J Perinatol J Calif Perin Assoc.* (2005) 25:391–6. doi: 10.1038/sj.jp.7211291

19. Olischar M, Klebermass K, Kuhle S, Hulek M, Kohlhauser C, Rücklinger E, et al. Reference values for amplitude-integrated electroencephalographic activity in preterm infants younger than 30 weeks' gestational age. *Pediatrics.* (2004) 113:e61–6. doi: 10.1542/peds.113.1.e61

20. Burdjalov VF, Baumgart S, Spitzer AR. Cerebral function monitoring: a new scoring system for the evaluation of brain maturation in neonates. *Pediatrics*. (2003) 112:855–61. doi: 10.1542/peds.112.4.855

21. Hellström-Westas L. Continuous electroencephalography monitoring of the preterm infant. *Clin Perinatol.* (2006) 33:633-47. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2006.06.003

22. Bruns N, Felderhoff-Müser U, Dohna-Schwake C, Woelfle J, Müller H. Aeeg use in pediatric critical care-an online survey. *Front Pediat.* (2020) 8:3. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.00003

23. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet.* (1986) 1:307–10.

24. Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychol Bull.* (1979) 86:420–8. doi: 10.1037//0033-2909.86.2.420

25. Rundgren M, Rosén I, Friberg H. Amplitude-integrated eeg (Aeeg) predicts outcome after cardiac arrest and induced hypothermia. *Intensive Care Med.* (2006) 32:836–42. doi: 10.1007/s00134-006-0178-6

26. Oh SH, Park KN, Kim YM, Kim HJ, Youn CS, Kim SH, et al. The prognostic value of continuous amplitude-integrated electroencephalogram applied immediately after return of spontaneous circulation in therapeutic hypothermia-treated cardiac arrest patients. *Resuscitation.* (2013) 84:200–5. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2012.09.031

27. Brandt SP, Walsh EC, Cornelissen L, Lee JM, Berde C, Shank ES, et al. Case studies using the electroencephalogram to monitor anesthesia-induced brain states in children. *Anesth Analg.* (2020) 131:1043–56. doi: 10.1213/ane.000000000004817

28. Löffelhardt VT, Della-Marina A, Greve S, Müller H, Felderhoff-Müser U, Dohna-Schwake C, et al. Characterization of Aeeg during sleep and wakefulness in healthy children. *Front Pediat*. (2021).

29. Marics G, Cseko A, Vásárhelyi B, Zakariás D, Schuster G, Szabó M. Prevalence and etiology of false normal aeeg recordings in neonatal hypoxicischaemic encephalopathy. *BMC Pediatr.* (2013) 13:194. doi: 10.1186/1471-243 1-13-194

30. Werther T, Olischar M, Naulaers G, Deindl P, Klebermass-Schrehof K, Stevenson N. Are all amplitude-integrated electroencephalogram systems equal? *Neonatology*. (2017) 112:394–401. doi: 10.1159/000480008

31. Chen C, Sun C, Leonhardt S, Andriessen P, Niemarkt H, Chen W. Amplitude-integrated electroencephalography applications and algorithms in neonates: a systematic review. *IEEE Access.* (2019) 7:141766–81. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2944531

32. Jiang X, Bian GB, Tian Z. Removal of artifacts from eeg signals: a review. *Sensors*. (2019) 19:987. doi: 10.3390/s19050987

33. Tacke M, Janson K, Vill K, Heinen F, Gerstl L, Reiter K, et al. Effects of a reduction of the number of electrodes in the eeg montage on the number of identified seizure patterns. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:4621. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-08628-9