

GASTROENTEROLOGY

1) Department of Internal Medicine, Emergency County Hospital, Craiova, Romania

2) Doctoral School, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Romania

3) Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Romania

4) Department of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Romania

5) Department Laboratory of Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Romania

6) Department of Internal Medicine, Filantropia Clinic Hospital, Craiova, Romania

7) Department of Pathophysiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Romania

DOI: 10.15386/mpr-2628

Manuscript received: 10.03.2023 Received in revised form: 05.09.2023 Accepted: 24.10.2023

Address for correspondence: Viorel Biciușcă biciuscaviorel@gmail.com

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/

Future therapeutic perspectives in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a focus on nuclear receptors, a promising therapeutic target

Sorina Ionelia Stan^{1,2}, Viorel Biciușcă³, Diana Clenciu⁴, Adina Mitrea⁴, Mihai-Virgil Boldeanu⁵, Patricia Durand^{2,6}, Suzana Dănoiu⁷

Abstract

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a major public health problem worldwide, with an increasing incidence, secondary to the increasing incidence of obesity and diabetes, from a very young age. It is associated with metabolic and cardiovascular disorders, as components of the metabolic syndrome (MS). NAFLD is the hepatic manifestation of MS. The pathogenesis of the disease is multifactorial and complex, involving genetic, metabolic, but also environmental factors. Currently, nuclear receptors (NRs) represent a promising therapeutic target in the treatment of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). Of these, the most studied receptor was the liver X receptor (LXR), which would have great potential in the treatment of metabolic diseases, namely hypercholesterolemia, atherosclerosis, and NAFLD. However, the therapeutic use of NRs is restricted in medical practice for two reasons: limited knowledge of the structure of the receptor and its inability to modulate certain actions in the target organs and genes. One problem is the understanding of the function and structure of the N-terminal domain which has a major transcriptional activation function (AF1).

Keywords: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, nuclear receptors, liver X receptor, treatment

Introduction

NAFLD is the main cause of chronic liver disease worldwide with a current incidence of 47 cases per 1,000 inhabitants, the incidence being much higher among men. Over time, the prevalence of the disease has increased, currently the global prevalence is 32%, higher among men (40%) compared to the prevalence in women (26%). In the future, it is estimated that the prevalence of NAFLD will increase significantly in certain regions until the year 2030, along with the increase in the prevalence of obesity and diabetes [1]. Currently, NASH is the main worldwide cause of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [2,3] and in the United States of America it is the main

indication for liver transplantation [4]. Primary steatosis and steatohepatitis, in the absence of other etiologies such as chronic alcohol consumption, hepatitis C virus infection, various drugs (glucocorticoids, amiodarone, methotrexate, etc.), endocrine disorders, are part of the NAFLD spectrum [5]. Simple or "mild" hepatic steatosis (HS) is considered a benign form of the disease due to extremely low progression to cirrhosis, while NASH is a severe form of the disease, characterized by simple steatosis, inflammatory infiltrate, hepatocellular lesions, and fibrosis, which may eventually progress to cirrhosis [6-8]. The term NASH was first described in 1980 to describe HS associated with inflammation in patients without a history

of chronic alcohol consumption, with moderate obesity, and having histopathological characteristics similar to alcoholic steatohepatitis [9]. NAFLD associated with cardiovascular and metabolic disorders, such as high blood pressure, obesity, insulin resistance (IR), type 2 diabetes (T2DM), and dyslipidemia are components of MS. NAFLD is known as the hepatic manifestation of MS [10], being the most common liver disease worldwide [11-13].

The pathogenesis of the disease is multifactorial. together Genetic factors. with metabolic and environmental factors, stimulate the accumulation of lipids in the hepatocyte. The first theory proposed in the last decade of the twentieth century was the "twohit" theory. The "first hit" of this theory is represented by the appearance of IR that favors the deposition of hepatic triglycerides, triggering the appearance of HS. The "second hit" is characterized by the appearance of oxidative stress, depletion of ATP reserves and endotoxin activity, which acts on a field already affected, making it more susceptible, ultimately causing inflammation and fibrosis that can develop up to the appearance of hepatocellular carcinoma. Nowadays, the two-hit theory has been replaced by the "multiple hit" theory. This theory suggests that pathogenic factors act in parallel or sequentially and somewhat synergistically in a subject with a genetic predisposition to NAFLD [14]. Some patients will develop steatosis and consequently NASH, while other subjects may develop inflammation and fibrosis in the first stage, most likely due to the influence of epigenetic and genetic factors [15]. One-third of patients diagnosed with NAFLD will develop inflammation and fibrosis as a result of NASH, increasing the risk of cancer [15-17]. The role of NR in the pathogenesis of NASH has been analyzed by numerous authors. Willett and Mellor together with collaborators [18,19], as well as Evans [20], studied the role of other organs in the pathogenesis of NASH, such as the gut-liver axis, which is involved in both the pathogenesis and progression of liver disease. Recently, Yang's study [21] suggests that the receptors through their multiple roles in the appearance of the disease have a particular impact on the treatment of fatty liver disease, both in preventing and treating the disease. However, the mechanisms underlying NRs signaling in the onset and development of the disease are not fully known, and further studies are needed to demonstrate the role of receptors in the development and progression of liver disease. The incidence of a continuous increase of NAFLD and the lack of adequate treatment determines further research in the field to obtain a treatment for NASH that stops the evolution of the disease.

Considering that currently no treatment for NAFLD is approved, this review aims to briefly present the role of NRs in NAFLD. Among the NRs, the most promising is LXR with great therapeutic potential in numerous metabolic diseases, including NASH. In carrying out the review, we used the most relevant scientific works published in databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Wiley Online Library.

Nuclear receptors

NRs are ligand-activated transcription factors involved in both physiology and liver pathophysiology. In humans, the NR superfamily contains 48 members, of which at least a quarter participate in liver functions. [22]. Most NRs can be activated or deactivated by numerous molecules, quickly becoming important therapeutic targets in various pathologies. Understanding the mechanisms of receptors has been intensively studied since 1980 with the cloning of the first receptors. During the last 20 years, research has focused on understanding hormonal signaling, which has contributed to the inclusion of NRs in the standard of care of various endocrine pathologies, breast and prostate cancer [23].



Figure 1. Transcriptional regulation and nuclear receptor protein structure.

AF-1: N-terminal activation function 1, DBD: DNA binding domain, LBD: ligand binding domain, AF-1: C-terminal activation function 2, NR: nuclear receptor.

Proteins in the structure of NRs bind to specific deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequences and act as an on-off switch in the transcription process, being called ligand-activated proteins. These proteins control the process of development and differentiation of bones, skin, and nerve centers in the brain, and intervene in the process of continuous regulation of reproductive tissues. Nuclear receptor proteins consist of several domains with different roles [24]: a DNA binding domain (DBD), a variable N-terminal domain (NTD), a major region (hinge), a ligand binding domain (LBD), and a variable C-terminal domain (Figure 1). The most important domains in the structure of receptors are DBD and LBD. In the DBD structure, there are two zinc bonds, which act as a hook that allows its binding to the chromatin in the nucleus [5]. The affinity and specificity of LBD differ depending on the ligands [25,26], because not all receptors are activated by ligands; orphan receptors are without ligands. The ligands of each class of receptors have similar structures, the activation taking place when the ligand binds to LBD [26,27].

The family of NRs consists of over 500 members, which in turn are subclassified into four classes according to key characteristics, such as the dimerization process, the specificity of the DNA binding domain, and the ligand binding. The four classes of receptors are steroid receptors (class I), retinoid X receptor heterodimers (class II), orphan homodimeric receptors (class III), and orphan monomeric receptors (class IV) [26].

Liver X receptor

LXR has been described as an "orphan" member of the nuclear receptor transcription factor family because its ligands were unknown [28]. It was first described in 1990 [29] and has been extensively studied to date, with great potential in the treatment of metabolic diseases such as atherosclerosis, hypercholesterolemia, and NAFLD. Numerous clinical trials are also underway that include LXR in the treatment of other diseases, such as diarrhea, cancer, and atopic dermatitis [30].

LXR structure

Two subunits of the LXR receptor are known, namely LXR- α and LXR- β . The gene encoding the LXR-a subunit is located on chromosome 11p11.2, and the gene encoding LXR- β on chromosome 19q13.3 [31,32]. LXR-α (NR1H3, also known as RLD-1) was first described in 1995 by Willy as being well expressed in the liver, intestine, adipose tissue, and kidney [29] and LXR- β (NR1H2, also known as NER1) being well expressed in all tissues was described in 1995 by Thebes [33]. LXR is a ligand-activated receptor that binds both endogenous and exogenous ligands [34]. Major endogenous receptor ligands are cholesterol derivatives, such as oxysterols [35], biosynthetic cholesterol intermediates called desmosterols [34,36], and polyunsaturated fatty acids [37]. The LXR consists of 4 functional domains: the N-terminal AF-1 activation domain, the DNA binding domain, a ligandbearing domain, but also an AF-2 C-terminal domain that interacts with the coactivator acting as regulation of transcriptional activity [38].

The main role of these receptors is the transcriptional one, which regulates the activity of enzymes but also of other proteins involved in maintaining the homeostasis of energy metabolism [39].

LXR in NAFLD

The progression of liver disease towards NASH, fibrosis and later cirrhosis is a consequence of the

metabolic changes that occur concomitantly at the level of liver cells and the various tissues involved. A growing body of evidence claims that LXR is directly involved in disease progression as a result of its significant roles in lipid metabolism and inflammatory signaling [40]. LXR is directly involved in cholesterol metabolism, especially LXR- α which is well expressed in the liver [41,42]. Once activated, LXR induces the expression of a group of genes involved in lipid metabolism, with a role in the absorption, transport, efflux, and excretion of cholesterol [43-45]. In addition to this metabolic role, LXR also modulates antiinflammatory and immune responses in macrophages [46].

Also, the receptor is involved in both lipid and bile acid metabolism [41,47]. In lipid metabolism, LXR acts by regulating a group of genes that participate in the transport of excess cholesterol in the form of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) from peripheral tissues in the liver, a process called reverse cholesterol transport. In "vivo", activation of the receptor by a high-affinity synthetic ligand increases both HDL levels and cholesterol secretion [48].

LXR is also involved in regulating the activity of several enzymes of lipoprotein metabolism, such as lipoprotein lipase (LPL), cholesterol ester transport protein, and phospholipid transfer protein [49]. Also, in lipid metabolism, LXR regulates the activity of the enzyme involved in the synthesis of bile acid, CYP7A1. In animals, this enzyme increases LXR levels in response to excess cholesterol in the diet. Thus, enzymatic activation as well as the conversion of cholesterol into bile acids is a key mechanism in treating excess dietary cholesterol [50-52]. Besides its ability to modulate lipid metabolism and bile acid metabolism, LXR is also a key regulator of hepatic lipogenesis. Its lipogenic activity is secondary to the regulation of the main element of hepatic lipogenesis, sterol regulatory element binding protein-1c (SREBP-1c), which increases the level of intrahepatic lipids, being an etiological agent involved in the pathogenesis of NAFLD [53,54].

Furthermore, LXR can activate the protein that binds the carbohydrate response element (ChREBP) [55]. At the same time, ChREBP may be a target of LXR and a glucose-sensitive transcription factor involved in the hepatic conversion of carbohydrates to lipids. The LXRmediated hypertriglyceridemic effect involves several proteins, the most specific being angiopoietin-specific protein 3 (Angplt3) [56], a protein secreted by the liver that causes increased plasma triglyceride levels secondary to inhibition of LPL activity in various tissues and secondary free fatty acids activation of lipolysis in adipocytes or apolipoprotein AV (ApoAV). Secondary LXR activation increases Angplt3 expression while ApoAV expression decreases [57].

The second important stage in NAFLD is the

appearance of proinflammatory molecules, whose expression is accelerated by LXR. These include cyclooxygenase 2, interleukin-6 (II-6), interleukin-1b (IL-1b), chemokine monocyte-3 chemotactic protein, and monocyte-1 chemokine chemoattractant protein [58]. Activation of these pathways by LXR plays a central role in lipid metabolism and the whole body, so further investigation into synthetic LXR antagonists and/or specific agonists may represent therapeutic options for patients with NAFLD [59].

The role of LXR in NASH

NRs possesses numerous functions in terms of metabolism and the inflammatory process; in recent years, scientific efforts have focused on the role of NRs in the progression of steatosis to NASH [60]. The receptors are expressed in immune cells such as macrophages, which allows them to intervene in the inflammatory process. Taking into account this function of NRs, their pharmacological targeting could positively influence the evolution of NASH by modulating one or more pathways of disease progression, especially by reducing the metabolic stress at the level of hepatocytes [61]. In NAFLD, LXR signaling influences energy storage by activating the synthesis of fatty acids and triglycerides. Also, LXR stimulates cholesterol efflux, reducing its synthesis and absorption. Thus, LXR seems to have two opposite roles: LXR expression increases with the severity of steatohepatitis, but LXR is able to suppress inflammation and improve hypercholesterolemia. Therefore, the role of LXR in steatohepatitis remains ambiguous [61,62].

LXR-a activation has an important role in hepatic lipogenesis and the development of NAFLD, its role in the occurrence of HS being well known. However, the role of LXR- α is not very clear in the occurrence of inflammation and intrahepatic fibrosis. LXR-a stimulates intrahepatic lipid accumulation but also has anti-inflammatory properties in various tissues and cells. Wouters et al. [63] found that despite the occurrence of steatosis, pharmacological activation of this receptor decreases hepatic inflammation in parallel with intrahepatic cholesterol levels. Moreover, the study by Liu and colleagues [64] demonstrated that LXR- α activation can decrease the inflammatory lesions caused by lipopolysaccharides in NAFLD, in addition to the inhibition of pro-inflammatory macrophages. The role of LXR in endotoxemia was demonstrated by Wang [65] who states that receptor activation protects against liver damage as a result of secondary inhibition of Kupffer cell activity. All these studies from the specialized literature support the important role of LXR in the development and progression of the disease as well as the need to introduce therapy based on LXR that can influence the evolution of the disease.

Deposition of intrahepatic lipids is the first stage involved in inflammation and the process of fibrosis, however, intrahepatic triglycerides, which are the main component of liver lipids, do not influence inflammation and intrahepatic fibrosis [66]. The accumulation of intrahepatic triglycerides itself is a relatively benign and reversible condition, the consequences being secondary to the inflammation process. This is why clinicians are more interested in treating NASH than treating simple steatosis.

Apart from its role in lipid metabolism, LXR inhibits numerous pro-inflammatory signaling pathways both in various bacterial infections and during exposure to numerous cytokines in macrophages. Both LXR isoforms have pro-inflammatory effects, effects supported by numerous studies that show the inhibition of a subset of genes with an inflammatory role such as inducible nitric oxide synthesis, metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) by LXR ligands in derived macrophages from wild mice. Also, there is reciprocal onregulation between microbial ligands, LXR-dependent cholesterol metabolism and Toll-like receptor 3/4 (TLR3/4) signaling. All these evidences underline the regulatory functions of LXR between metabolism, inflammation and immunity [40]. Currently, the role of LXR- α in the development of intrahepatic inflammation and fibrosis is not clearly understood, as there are insufficient studies on the effects of LXR- α activation in humans. In this regard, Ahn et al. [67] evaluated the clinical and paraclinical characteristics of patients with NAFLD compared to control patients and demonstrated that LXR- α expression was correlated both with intrahepatic lipid deposition and the degree of liver inflammation and fibrosis in these patients as well. The authors also suggested that LXR is a potential therapeutic target for the treatment and reduction of liver inflammation and fibrosis.

Recently, Li's study [68] based on the update of the information known so far, concluded that some NR agonists had insufficient effects due to either low potency or reduced specificity at the target organ level. Further studies are needed to demonstrate the benefits of activation NR in the treatment of NAFLD.

Modulators of LXR receptors

Liver X receptors (LXRs) serve as critical regulators of lipid and cholesterol metabolism, providing potent antiinflammatory properties [69]. In pathology, NRs serve as a vital link between metabolism, inflammation and regeneration in the liver. NAFLD/NASH is characterized by important problems such as obesity, abnormal hepatic lipid metabolism, increased inflammation and IR [61]. These NRs are essential in understanding liver diseases such as NAFLD, which is associated with altered function of NRs and disturbances along the gut-liver axis [70].

Numerous studies have highlighted the critical roles of NRs such as PPAR (α , β/δ , γ), FXR and LXR in maintaining nutritional and energy balance by influencing the gut-liver-adipose axis [71]. Modulation of NRs functions has been shown to be effective in reducing

NAFLD-related problems, including HS, inflammation, IR, fibrosis, and obesity. Understanding the significance of NRs in liver diseases is essential for the development of effective therapeutic approaches [72]. Modulation of these receptors presents an attractive strategy for addressing the multifaceted challenges presented by NAFLD and related conditions. In essence, NRs offer a promising avenue of intervention, potentially offering amelioration of a range of metabolic disorders related to disruption of nutrient and energy homeostasis [73].

To date, three classes of LXR receptor modulators have been developed. The primary categories of LXR modulators include agonists and antagonists. Agonists promote LXR activation, which results in the recruitment of coactivator proteins and increased expression of downstream target genes. Initially, selective LXR-B agonists were developed to avoid unwanted effects on hepatic lipogenesis, but the challenge lies in selectively modulating LXR- β activity over LXR- α due to their high sequence homology. Efforts have been made to develop tissue-selective LXR agonists to enhance their efficacy and safety. For example, gut-selective LXR agonists such as GW6340 have shown promise by increasing cholesterol efflux without affecting hepatic lipogenesis [34]. However, some pharmaceutical interventions have faced challenges, including unexpected adverse effects, leading to discontinuation of clinical trials [74-79].

Starting from the observations that LXR activation, on the one hand, can suppress inflammation and improve atherosclerosis, and on the other hand can promote the development of obesity and HS, the idea appeared that LXR antagonism leads to the attenuation of steatosis and liver fibrosis. So, specific LXR antagonists might be effective for NAFLD. LXR activation tends to reduce inflammation, because activated LXR can inhibit nuclear factor- κ B (NF- κ B) activity [80]. Cholesterol levels in the plasma membrane affect the function of Tolllike receptors (TLRs) and higher ABCA1 expression following LXR activation, which causes a reduction in membrane cholesterol content and a reduction in the sensitivity of TLRs. It has been observed that an important anti-inflammatory mechanism can occur following the expression of the ABCA1 gene and cholesterol depletion. Thus, an animal study showed a considerable reduction of steatosis, inflammation and hepatic collagen disposition following the use of an LXR antagonist, SR9238, in mice with NAFLD induced by a high-fat diet [81]. In contrast, LXR antagonists block agonist binding but have yet to demonstrate therapeutic efficacy [34].

A third class of modulators, called LXR inverse agonists, has been developed with the aim of favoring the recruitment of corepressor proteins by LXR, consequently suppressing the expression of LXR target genes, especially those related to de novo lipogenesis. A notable example is the development of two LXR inverse agonists, SR 9238 and SR 9243, which have shown potent activity for both LXR-α and LXR-β and efficiently recruit corepressor proteins. SR9238 demonstrated the ability to reduce the basal transcriptional activity of LXR- α and LXR- β and to reduce the expression of genes involved in de novo lipogenesis. Moreover, it has liver-specific effects due to its rapid metabolism [82]. In animal models, SR9238 showed impressive results. Administered to diet-induced obese (DIO) mice, it reduced HS, inflammation and hepatocellular injury while decreasing plasma LDL-cholesterol levels [81]. These benefits extended to models of NASH, where SR9238 decreased liver fibrosis. Furthermore, in the context of chronic ethanol-induced liver disease, SR9238 attenuated fat accumulation, inflammation, and fibrosis [83]. Furthermore, SR9238 revealed an interesting reduction in LDL-C levels by suppressing the expression of sterol O-acyltransferase 2 (Soat2), suggesting its potential for treating hypercholesterolemia [84,85].

The development of LXR inverse agonists sparked interest, and concurrently additional compounds with similar pharmacological profiles emerged, including cholestenoic acid analogs, fluorinated oxysterol agonists, and nonsteroidal LXR inverse agonists [86-89]. Oxysterols, derivatives of oxidized cholesterol, which can bind to LXRs are involved in various metabolic processes by binding to NRs, including LXRs [90-93]. Emerging evidence suggests that liver and serum levels of certain oxysterols are increased in NAFLD patients, but their precise roles in NAFLD pathogenesis remain unclear [94,95]. Fluorinated oxysterol agonists, developed as LXR inverse agonists, have a relatively low potency on LXR activation. Chen et al. [86] identified several fluorinated oxysterol agonists, known as nonsteroidal LXR inverse agonists, that exhibited a significant degree of LXR- β selectivity, approximately 3.5 times less potent than SR9238. Starting from this component, Phenex Pharmaceuticals developed additional LXR inverse agonists, known as TLC-2716, which is currently in phase I clinical trials for treatment of severe dyslipidemia [96]. Some oxysterols have conflicting effects on lipid accumulation, indicating the need for further investigation [97-99].

Recent studies have explored the potential benefits of combining LXR with other agents such as glucocorticoids [100]. Optimizing the development of tissue-selective LXR agonists holds promise for achieving potent, safe, and specific therapeutic efficacy without unintended side effects [40].

Although LXRs have historically been targeted for agonist development to promote reverse cholesterol transport (RCT), recent focus has shifted to LXR inverse agonists due to their ability to suppress LXR target genes involved in lipogenesis de novo. These inverse agonists have shown promising results in animal models, offering potential treatments for NASH, hypercholesterolemia, and cancer. Several LXR inverse agonist chemicals have been identified, with some progressing to clinical trials, expanding the therapeutic landscape for metabolic disorders [82]. NRs are involved in both the prevention and treatment of NAFLD. However, the underlying mechanisms of NR signaling at onset as well as during disease progression remain unclear.

Conclusions

NAFLD is a major public health problem worldwide, for which there is currently no approved treatment. Currently, NR are one of the potential therapeutic targets for the treatment of steatohepatitis. LXR is the most studied receptor, due to its metabolic role and its ability to modulate anti-inflammatory and immune responses.

References

- 1. Teng ML, Ng CH, Huang DQ, Chan KE, Tan DJ, Lim WH, et al. Global incidence and prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Clin Mol Hepatol. 2023;29(Suppl):S32-S42.
- Tan DJH, Setiawan VW, Ng CH, Lim WH, Muthiah MD, Tan EX, et al. Global burden of liver cancer in males and females: Changing etiological basis and the growing contribution of NASH. Hepatology. 2023;77:1150–1163.
- Huang DQ, Singal AG, Kono Y, Tan DJH, El-Serag HB, Loomba R. Changing global epidemiology of liver cancer from 2010 to 2019: NASH is the fastest growing cause of liver cancer. Cell Metab. 2022;34:969-977.e2.
- Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Ong J, Trimble G, AlQahtani S, Younossi I, et al. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Is the Most Rapidly Increasing Indication for Liver Transplantation in the United States. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2021;19:580-589.e5.
- Lonardo A, Ballestri S, Marchesini G, Angulo P, Loria P. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a precursor of the metabolic syndrome. Dig Liver Dis. 2015;47:181–190.
- Ekstedt M, Franzen LE, Mathiesen UL, Thorelius L, Holmqvist M, Bodemar G, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with NAFLD and elevated liver enzymes. Hepatology. 2006;44:865–873.
- Teli MR, James OF, Burt AD, Bennett MK, Day CP. The natural history of nonalcoholic fatty liver: a follow-up study. Hepatology. 1995;22:1714–1719.
- Roulot D, Costes JL, Buyck JF, Warzocha U, Gambier N, Czernichow S, et al. Transient elastography as a screening tool for liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in a community-based population aged over 45 years. Gut. 2011;60:977–984.
- 9. Ludwig J, Viggiano TR, McGill DB, Oh BJ. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: Mayo Clinic experiences with a hitherto unnamed disease. Mayo Clin Proc. 1980;55: 434–438.
- 10. Buzzetti E, Pinzani M, Tsochatziz EA. The multiple-hit pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD).

Metabolism. 2016;65:1038-1048.

- Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. Hepatology. 2016;64:73–84.
- Leoni S, Tovoli F, Napoli L, Serio I, Ferri S, Bolondi L. Current guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review with comparative analysis. World J Gastroenterol. 2018;24:3361–3373.
- Marjot T, Moolla A, Cobbold JF, Hodson L, Tomlinson JW. Nonalcoholic Fatty Disease in Adults: Current Concepts in Etiology, Outcomes, and Management. Endocr Rev. 2020;41:bnz009.
- Lonardo A, Nascimbeni F, Maurantonio M, Marrazzo A, Rinaldi L, Adinolfi LE. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Evolving paradigms. World J Gastroenterol. 2017;23:6571– 6592.
- Italian Association for the Study of the Liver (AISF). AISF position paper on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): Updates and future directions. Dig Liver Dis. 2017;49:471– 483.
- 16. Williams CD, Stengel J, Asike MI, Torres DM, Shaw J, Contreras M, et al. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis among a largely middle-aged population utilizing ultrasound and liver biopsy: a prospective study. Gastroenterology. 2011;140:124–131.
- Farrell GC, Larter CZ. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: from steatosis to cirrhosis. Hepatology. 2006;43(2 Suppl 1):S99– S112.
- Willett C, Caverly Rae J, Goyak KO, Landesmann B, Minsavage G, Westmoreland C. Pathway-based toxicity: history, current approaches and liver fibrosis and steatosis as prototypes. ALTEX. 2014;31:407–421.
- Mellor CL, Steinmetz FP, Cronin MT. The identification of nuclear receptors associated with hepatic steatosis to develop and extend adverse outcome pathways. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2016;46:138-152.
- 20. Evans RM, Mangelsdorf DJ, Nuclear Receptors, RXR, and the Big Bang. Cell. 2014;157:255–266.
- Yang X, Gonzalez FJ, Huang M, Bi H. Nuclear receptors and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: An update. Liver Research. 2020;4:88–93.
- 22. Arrese M, Karpen SJ. Nuclear receptors, inflammation, and liver disease: insights for cholestatic and fatty liver diseases. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2010;87:473-478.
- Frigo DE, Bondesson M, Williams C. Nuclear receptors: from molecular mechanisms to therapeutics. Essays Biochem. 2021;65:847–856.
- Kosztin D, Bishop TC, Schulten K. Binding of the estrogen receptor to DNA. The role of waters. Biophys J. 1997;73:557–570.
- Umesono K, Evans RM. Determinants of target gene specificity for steroid/thyroid hormone receptors. Cell. 1989;57:1139–1146.
- 26. Mangelsdorf DJ, Thummel C, Beato M, Herrlich P, Schütz G, Umesono K, et al. The nuclear receptor superfamily: the

second decade. Cell. 1995;83:835-839.

- Mangelsdorf DJ, Evans RM. The RXR heterodimers and orphan receptors. Cell. 1995;83:841–850.
- Repa JJ, Liang G, Ou J, Bashmakov Y, Lobaccaro JM, Shimomura I, et al. Regulation of mouse sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1c gene (SREBP-1c) by oxysterol receptors, LXR alpha and LXR beta. Genes Dev. 2000;14:2819–2830.
- Willy PJ, Umesono K, Ong ES, Evans RM, Heyman RA, Mangelsdorf DJ. LXR, a nuclear receptor that defines a distinct retinoid response pathway. Genes Dev. 1995;9:1033– 1045.
- Ni M, Zhang B, Zhao J, Feng Q, Peng J, Hu Y, et al. Biological mechanisms and related natural modulators of liver X receptor in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Biomed Pharmacother. 2019;113:108778.
- Lu TT, Repa JJ, Mangelsdorf DJ. Orphan nuclear receptors as eLiXiRs and FiXeRs of sterol metabolism. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:37735–37738.
- 32. Im SS, Osborne TF. Liver x receptors in atherosclerosis and inflammation. Circ Res. 2011;108:996-1001.
- 33. Teboul M, Enmark E, Li Q, Wikstrom AC, Pelto-Huikko M, Gustafsson JA. OR-1, a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily that interacts with the 9-cis-retinoic acid receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:2096–2100.
- 34. Fessler MB. The challenges and promise of targeting the Liver X Receptors for treatment of inflammatory disease. Pharmacol Ther. 2018;181:1–12.
- Janowski BA, Willy PJ, Devi TR, Falck JR, Mangelsdorf DJ. An oxysterol signalling pathway mediated by the muclear receptor LXR alpha. Nature. 1996;383:728–731.
- Magida JA, Evans RM. Rational application of macrophagespecific LXR agonists avoids the pitfalls of SREBP-induced lipogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115:5051–5053.
- Schuster S, Cabrera D, Arrese M, Feldstein AE. Triggering and resolution of inflammation in NASH. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;15:349-364.
- 38. Färnegardh M, Bonn T, Sun S, Ljunggren J, Ahola H, Wilhelmsson A, Gustafsson JA, Carlquist M. The three-dimensional structure of the liver X receptor beta reveals a flexible ligand-binding pocket that can accommodate fundamentally different ligands. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:38821–38828.
- Karpen SJ. Nuclear receptor regulation of hepatic function. J Hepatol. 2002;36:832–850.
- Kim H, Park C, Kim TH. Targeting Liver X Receptors for the Treatment of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Cells. 2023;12:1292.
- 41. Schulman IG. Liver X receptors link lipid metabolism and inflammation. FEBS Lett. 2017;591:2978-2991.
- 42. Patel MB, Oza NA, Anand IS, Deshpande SS, Patel CN. Liver x receptor: a novel therapeutic target. Indian J Pharm Sci. 2008;70:135-144.
- 43. Joseph SB, Laffitte BA, Patel PH, Watson MA, Matsukuma KE, Walczak R, et al. Direct and indirect mechanisms for regulation of fatty acid synthase gene expression by liver X

receptors. J Biol Chem. 2002;277:11019-11025.

- 44. Horton JD, Goldstein JL, Brown MS. SREBPs: activators of the complete program of cholesterol and fatty acid synthesis in the liver. J Clin Invest. 2002;109:1125–1131.
- 45. Naik SU, Wang X, Da Silva JS, Jaye M, Macphee CH, Reilly MP, et al. Pharmacological activation of liver X receptors promotes reverse cholesterol transport in vivo. Circulation. 20063;113:90-97.
- 46. Castrillo A, Tontonoz P. Nuclear receptors in macrophage biology: at the crossroads of lipid metabolism and inflammation. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2004;20:455–480.
- 47. Edwards PA, Kast HR, Anisfeld AM. BAREing it all: the adoption of LXR and FXR and their roles in lipid homeostasis. J Lipid Res. 2002;43:2–12.
- Lewis GF, Rader DJ. New insights into the regulation of HDL metabolism and reverse cholesterol transport. Circ Res. 2005;96:1221–1232.
- 49. Zhang Y, Repa JJ, Gauthier K, Mangelsdorf DJ. Regulation of lipoprotein lipase by the oxysterol receptors, LXRalpha and LXRbeta. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:43018–43024.
- Repa JJ, Turley SD, Lobaccaro JA, Medina J, Li L, Lustig K, et al. Regulation of absorption and ABC1-mediated efflux of cholesterol by RXR heterodimers. Science. 2000;289:1524– 1529.
- Chiang JY, Kimmel R, Stroup D. Regulation of cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase gene (CYP7A1) transcription by the liver orphan receptor (LXRα). Gene. 2001;262:257–265.
- 52. Lu TT, Makishima M, Repa JJ, Schoonjans K, Kerr TA, Auwerx J et al. Molecular basis for feedback regulation of bile acid synthesis by nuclear receptos. Mol Cell. 2000;6:507–515.
- 53. Laffitte BA, Repa JJ, Joseph SB, Wilpitz DC, Kast HR, Mangelsdorf DJ, et al. LXRs control lipid-inducible expression of the apolipoprotein E gene in macrophages and adipocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98:507–512.
- 54. Luo Y, Tall AR. Sterol upregulation of human CETP expression in vitro and in transgenic mice by an LXR element. J Clin Invest. 2000;105:513–520.
- Cha JY, Repa JJ. The liver X receptor (LXR) and hepatic lipogenesis. The carbohydrate-response element-binding protein is a target gene of LXR. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:743– 751.
- 56. Inaba T, Matsuda M, Shimamura M, Takei N, Terasaka N, Ando Y, et al. Angiopoietin-like protein 3 mediates hypertriglyceridemia induced by the liver X receptor. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:21344–21351.
- Jakel H, Nowak M, Moitrot E, Dehondt H, Hum DW, Pennacchio LA, et al. The liver X receptor ligand T0901317 down-regulates APOA5 gene expression through activation of SREBP-1c. J Biol Chem. 2004;279:45462–45469.
- Joseph SB, Castrillo A, Laffitte BA, Mangelsdorf DJ, Tontonoz P. Reciprocal regulation of inflammation and lipid metabolism by liver X receptors. Nat Med. 2003;9:213–219.
- Dandona P, Aljada A, Bandyopadhyay A. Inflammation: the link between insulin resistance, obesity and diabetes. Trends Immunol. 2004;25:4–7.

- 60. Xiao Y, Kim M, Lazar MA. Nuclear receptors and transcriptional regulation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Mol Metab. 2021;50:101119.
- Puengel T, Liu H, Guillot A, Heymann F, Tacke F, Peiseler M. Nuclear Receptors Linking Metabolism, Inflammation, and Fibrosis in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Int J Mol Sci.2022;23:2668.
- Cariello M, Piccinin E, Moschetta A. Transcriptional Regulation of Metabolic Pathways via Lipid-Sensing Nuclear Receptors PPARs, FXR, and LXR in NASH. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2021;11:1519–1539.
- Wouters K, van Bilsen M, van Gorp PJ, Bieghs V, Lütjohann D, Kerksiek A, et al. Intrahepatic cholesterol influences progression, inhibition and reversal of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in hyperlipidemic mice. FEBS Lett. 2010;584:1001–1005.
- 64. Liu Y, Han X, Bian Z, Peng Y, You Z, Wang Q, et al. Activation of liver X receptors attenuates endotoxin-induced liver injury in mice with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Dig Dis Sci. 2012;57:390–398.
- 65. Wang YY, Dahle MK, Agren J, Myhre AE, Reinholt FP, Foster SJ, et al. Activation of the liver X receptor protects against hepatic injury in endotoxemia by suppressing Kupffer cell activation. Shock. 2006;25:141–146.
- Yamaguchi K, Yang L, McCall S, Huang J, Yu XX, Pandey SK, et al. Inhibiting triglyceride synthesis improves hepatic steatosis but exacerbates liver damage and fibrosis in obese mice with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology. 2007;45:1366–1374.
- Ahn SB, Jang K, Jun DW, Lee BH, Shin KJ. Expression of liver X receptor correlates with intrahepatic inflammation and fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Dig Dis Sci. 2014;59:2975–2982.
- Li X, Wang H. Multiple organs involved in the pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Cell Biosci. 2020;10:140.
- Bilotta MT, Petillo S, Santoni A, Cippitelli M. Liver X Receptors: Regulators of Cholesterol Metabolism, Inflammation, Autoimmunity, and Cancer. Front Immunol. 2020;11:584303.
- Cave MC, Clair HB, Hardesty JE, Falkner KC, Feng W, Clark BJ, et al. Nuclear receptors and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2016;1859:1083-1099.
- 71. Tanaka N, Aoyama T, Kimura S, Gonzalez FJ. Targeting nuclear receptors for the treatment of fatty liver disease. Pharmacol Ther. 2017;179:142-157.
- 72. Rudraiah S, Zhang X, Wang L. Nuclear Receptors as Therapeutic Targets in Liver Disease: Are We There Yet? Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2016;56:605-626.
- Tran M, Liu Y, Huang W, Wang L. Nuclear receptors and liver disease: Summary of the 2017 basic research symposium. Hepatol Commun. 2018;2:765-777.
- Wang B, Tontonoz P. Liver X receptors in lipid signalling and membrane homeostasis. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2018;14:452– 463.
- 75. Kirchgessner TG, Sleph P, Ostrowski J, Lupisella J, Ryan CS, Liu X, et al. Beneficial and Adverse Effects of an LXR Agonist on Human Lipid and Lipoprotein Metabolism and

Circulating Neutrophils. Cell Metab.2016;24:223-233.

- 76. Muse ED, Yu S, Edillor CR, Tao J, Spann NJ, Troutman TD, et al. Cell-specific discrimination of desmosterol and desmosterol mimetics confers selective regulation of LXR and SREBP in macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115:E4680–E4689.
- 77. Placebo-Controlled, Ascending, Multiple-Dose Study to Evaluate the Safety, Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of BMS-779788 in Healthy Subjects. National Library of Medicine, Clinical Trials, 2011 Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00836602
- 78. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Multiple Ascending Dose Study To Assess The Safety, Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics Of CS-8080 In Healthy Volunteers. . National Library of Medicine, Clinical Trials, 2018. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00796575
- Quinet EM, Basso MD, Halpern AR, Yates DW, Steffan RJ, Clerin V, et al. LXR ligand lowers LDL cholesterol in primates, is lipid neutral in hamster, and reduces atherosclerosis in mouse. J Lipid Res. 2009;50:2358–2370.
- She J, Gu T, Pang X, Liu Y, Tang L, Zhou X. Natural Products Targeting Liver X Receptors or Farnesoid X Receptor. Front Pharmacol. 2022;12:772435.
- Griffett K, Welch RD, Flaveny CA, Kolar GR, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Burris TP. The LXR inverse agonist SR9238 suppresses fibrosis in a model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Mol Metab. 2015;4:353–357.
- Griffett K, Burris TP. Development of LXR inverse agonists to treat MAFLD, NASH, and other metabolic diseases. Front Med (Lausanne). 2023;2;10:1102469.
- Tacke F, Weiskirchen R. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)/non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)-related liver fibrosis: mechanisms, treatment and prevention. Ann Transl Med. 2021;9:729.
- Griffett K, Hayes M, Bedia-Diaz G, Appourchaux K, Sanders R, Boeckman M, et al. Antihyperlipidemic Activity of Gut-Restricted LXR Inverse Agonists. ACS Chem Biol. 2022;17:1143–1154.
- Kobayashi K, Ohshiro T, Tomoda H, Yin F, Cui H, Chouthaiwale P, et al. Discovery of SOAT2 inhibitors from synthetic small molecules. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2016;26:5899–5901.
- 86. Álvarez LD, Dansey MV, Grinman DY, Navalesi D, Samaja GA, Del Fueyo M, et al. Destabilization of the torsioned conformation of a ligand side chain inverts the LXRβ activity. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015;1851:1577–1586.
- Alvarez LD, Dansey MV, Ogara MF, Peña CI, Houtman R, Veleiro AS, et al. Cholestenoic acid analogues as inverse agonists of the liver X receptors. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2020;199:105585.
- Rodriguez CR, Alvarez LD, Dansey MV, Paolo LS, Veleiro AS, Pecci A, et al. Fluorinated oxysterol analogues: Synthesis, molecular modelling and LXRβ activity. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2017;165(Pt B):268–276.
- Chen Z, Chen H, Zhang Z, Ding P, Yan X, Li Y, et al. Discovery of novel liver X receptor inverse agonists as lipogenesis inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem. 2020;206:112793.

- Schroepfer GJ Jr. Oxysterols: modulators of cholesterol metabolism and other processes. Physiol Rev. 2000;80:361-554.
- Sugden MC, Holness MJ. Role of nuclear receptors in the modulation of insulin secretion in lipid-induced insulin resistance. Biochem Soc Trans. 2008;36(Pt 5):891–900.
- 92. Choi C, Finlay DK. Diverse Immunoregulatory Roles of Oxysterols-The Oxidized Cholesterol Metabolites. Metabolites. 2020;10:384.
- Beaven SW, Tontonoz P. Nuclear receptors in lipid metabolism: targeting the heart of dyslipidemia. Annu Rev Med. 2006;57:313–329.
- Raselli T, Hearn T, Wyss A, Atrott K, Peter A, Frey-Wagner I, et al. Elevated oxysterol levels in human and mouse livers reflect nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. J Lipid Res. 2019;60:1270–1283.
- 95. Ikegami T, Hyogo H, Honda A, Miyazaki T, Tokushige K, Hashimoto E, et al. Increased serum liver X receptor ligand oxysterols in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J Gastroenterol. 2012;47:1257–1266.

- Gege C, Kinzel O, Hambruch E, Birkel M, Kremoser C, Deuschle U. Novel LXR Modulators With Bicyclic Core Moiety. Patent WO2020002611A1, 2021.
- Adams CM, Reitz J, De Brabander JK, Feramisco JD, Li L, Brown MS, et al. Cholesterol and 25-hydroxycholesterol inhibit activation of SREBPs by different mechanisms, both involving SCAP and Insigs. J Biol Chem. 2004;279:52772– 52780.
- Wang Y, Chen L, Pandak WM, Heuman D, Hylemon PB, Ren S. High Glucose Induces Lipid Accumulation via 25-Hydroxycholesterol DNA-CpG Methylation. iScience. 2020;23:101102.
- Wang Y, Li X, Ren S. Cholesterol Metabolites 25-Hydroxycholesterol and 25-Hydroxycholesterol 3-Sulfate Are Potent Paired Regulators: From Discovery to Clinical Usage. Metabolites. 2020;11:9.
- 100. Li JX, Cummins CL. Fresh insights into glucocorticoidinduced diabetes mellitus and new therapeutic directions. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2022;18:540–557.