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#### Abstract

Selective inhibition of exclusively transcription-regulating PTEFb/CDK9 is a promising new approach in cancer therapy. Starting from lead compound BAY-958, lead optimization efforts strictly focusing on kinase selectivity, physicochemical and DMPK properties finally led to the identification of the orally available clinical candidate atuveciclib (BAY 1143572).


Structurally characterized by an unusual benzyl sulfoximine group, BAY 1143572 exhibited the best overall profile in vitro and in vivo, including high efficacy and good tolerability in xenograft models in mice and rats. BAY 1143572 is the first potent and highly selective PTEFb/CDK9 inhibitor to enter clinical trials for the treatment of cancer.

## Introduction

The cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) family consists of members that are key regulators of the cell-division cycle (cell-cycle CDKs), involved in regulation of gene transcription (transcriptional CDKs), and of members with other functions (e.g., CDK5). Whereas cellcycle CDKs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 are required for the correct timing and order of events of the cell-division cycle, transcriptional CDK7 and CDK9 regulate the activity of RNA polymerase II via phosphorylation of the carboxy-terminal domain (CTD). Since their discovery, CDKs have been considered strong prospective targets for a new generation of anticancer drugs. ${ }^{[1]}$ Although numerous pharmaceutical companies have initiated drug discoverd 1c,2]

[^0]Positive transcription elongation factor b (PTEFb) is a heterodimer of CDK9 and one of four cyclin partners, cyclin T1, cyclin K, cyclin T2a or cyclin T2b. Whereas CDK9 is exclusively involved in transcriptional regulation, CDK7 in addition participates in cell-cycle regulation as a CDK-activating kinase. Transcription of genes by RNA polymerase II is initiated by assembly of the preinitiation complex at the promoter region and phosphorylation of Ser5 and Ser7 of the CTD by CDK7/cyclin H. For most genes, RNA polymerase II stops mRNA transcription after it has moved 20-40 nucleotides along the DNA template. This promoter-proximal pausing of RNA polymerase II is mediated by negative elongation factors and is recognized as a major control mechanism to regulate expression of rapidly induced genes in response to a variety of stimuli. ${ }^{[3]}$ PTEFb is crucially involved in overcoming promoter-proximal pausing of RNA polymerase II and transition into a productive elongation state by phosphorylation of Ser2 of the CTD, as well as by phosphorylation and inactivation of negative elongation factors. Deregulated kinase activity of CDK9 of the PTEFb heterodimer is associated with a variety of human pathological conditions such as hyperproliferative diseases (e.g., cancer), virally induced infectious diseases and cardiovascular diseases. ${ }^{[4]}$ PTEFb-mediated transcription of short-lived, antiapoptotic survival proteins, such as Mcl-1, and oncogenes, such as c-MYC, plays a critical role in cancer cell growth and survival. In addition, these proteins exhibit important functions in the development of resistance to chemotherapy. Inhibition of PTEFb/CDK9 results in the rapid depletion of short-lived mRNA transcripts of these important survival proteins and oncogenes. Thus, selective, transient inhibition of exclusively transcription-regulat-
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Figure 1. Structures of lead compound BAY-958 and clinical candidate atuveciclib (BAY 1143572).
ing PTEFb/CDK9 is a promising new approach in cancer therapy. ${ }^{[5]}$
We now report the identification of atuveciclib (BAY 1143572, Figure 1), the first potent and highly selective PTEFb/CDK9 inhibitor that entered clinical trials. Starting from lead compound BAY-958, which displayed potent PTEFb-inhibitory activity and high kinase selectivity in vitro, lead optimization by a collaborative effort involving medicinal chemistry, pharmacology, DMPK, structural biology and computational chemistry led to the identification of the orally available clinical candidate BAY 1143572. Structurally characterized by an unusual benzyl sulfoximine group, BAY 1143572 exhibited the most promising overall profile with respect to potency, kinase selectivity, physicochemical and DMPK properties, and antitumour efficacy in animal models.

## Results and Discussion

## Search for low-molecular-weight PTEFb/CDK9 inhibitors

Attracted by the encouraging biological rationale, we set course to identify a potent, highly selective and orally applicable PTEFb/CDK9 inhibitor exclusively targeting oncogenic transcription for the treatment of cancer. Special attention was paid to the aspect of high selectivity with respect to kinases in general as well as within the CDK family, in particular high CDK9 selectivity. Such CDK9 selectivity was anticipated as being crucial for the differentiation of a potential candidate from cell-cycle-addressing selective CDK inhibitors (like the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib ${ }^{[6]}$ ) and from pan-CDK inhibitors (like dinaciclib ${ }^{[7]}$ or roniciclib ${ }^{[8]}$ ), because a differentiated activity and tolerability profile can be expected. Furthermore, favorable physicochemical and DMPK properties, which have been key hurdles in many kinase inhibitor projects, ${ }^{[9]}$ were of high importance.
In the search for a suitable lead structure, triazine BAY-958 (LDC 526, Figure 1) attracted our attention, as this compound proved to be a potent PTEFb/CDK9 inhibitor that also displayed very high kinase selectivity, even within the CDK family (in-house kinase panel: $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ CDK9/CycT1: 11 nm , selectivity vs. CDK2: 98, see Table 3; Millipore panel: $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ CDK9/CycT1: 5 nm , selectivity vs. other CDKs: $>90$, see Table 4). BAY-958 also exhibited good antiproliferative activity in vitro, for example against HeLa cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ : 1000 nm ) and MOLM-13 cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ : 280 nm ). In vitro pharmacokinetic studies with BAY-958 demonstrated high metabolic stability in rat hepatocytes (ratHep) and liver microsomes (ratLM), resulting in a low predicted blood clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ of $0.33 \mathrm{Lh}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ and $0.48 \mathrm{Lh}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$, respectively (see Table 3).

On the other hand, BAY-958 has a rather low aqueous solubility of $11 \mathrm{mgL}^{-1}$ at pH 6.5 . Moreover, we recorded a very moderate permeability coefficient ( $P_{\text {app }} \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ ) of $22 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a high efflux ratio of 15 in Caco-2 cells, as well as a blood/ plasma partitioning in rats of about 3:1 (see Table 3). Pharmacokinetic studies in rats in vivo revealed a low blood clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{b}}: 0.5 \mathrm{Lh}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}\right)$, a high volume of distribution ( $V_{\mathrm{ss}}$ : $1.4 \mathrm{Lkg}^{-1}$ ) and a short half-life ( $t_{1 / 2}: 0.7 \mathrm{~h}$ ) of BAY-958. After oral administration, a low bioavailability of only $10 \%$ was observed.
Due to the slow absorption and low bioavailability of BAY958 observed in the rat pharmacokinetic studies, the hydrochloride of BAY-958 was used in a subsequent mouse xenograft study to analyze the in vivo efficacy. Daily oral administration of BAY-958 hydrochloride at 30 or $40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ resulted in a marked inhibition of tumor growth with treatment-to-control (T/C) ratios of 0.16 and 0.12 , respectively, at the end of the experiment (Figure 2). Additionally, there was excellent tolerability upon treatment with BAY-958 hydrochloride, with a body weight change of less than $10 \%$ and no fatal toxicities. Nevertheless, due to the unfavorable physicochemical and DMPK properties of BAY-958, we elected to search for a compound with an improved overall profile.


Figure 2. Antitumor efficacy of BAY-958 hydrochloride in an MOLM-13 human AML model in mice. Treatments were started three days after tumor cell inoculation. A) Tumor growth. Asterisks indicate statistical significance relative to the vehicle control, calculated using the mean tumor areas at the time point when the vehicle group was sacrificed ( ${ }^{*} p<0.047$, ${ }^{* * *} p<0.001$ ). B) Body weight change expressed as a percentage of the starting weight.

## Structural variation of lead compound BAY-958

Lead compound BAY-958 and structurally related derivatives were easily accessible by straightforward chemistry (Scheme 1 ).


Scheme 1. General synthesis of triazine-based PTEFb inhibitors. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, DIPEA, THF/iPrOH (1:1), $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OR})_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, dioxane/water ( $10: 1$ ), $140-145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, microwave oven or $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OR})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}), 1,2$-dimethoxyethane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In the first step, commercial 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine (1) was reacted with a suitable aniline under basic conditions to give the corresponding $N$-aryl-4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine 2 . In the second step, the crude coupling product 2 was reacted with a boronic acid derivative to give the desired product 3 .

The binding mode of lead compound BAY-958 with CDK9 was investigated by docking experiments (Figure 3). According to these modeling studies, binding to the hinge region of CDK9 is mediated by the triazine core and the aniline NH. The benzyl sulfonamide moiety is directed toward the exit of the ATP binding pocket, whereas the methoxyphenyl substituent points toward the ribose pocket. Favorable interactions include two hydrogen bonds to the hinge region and two hydrogen bonds formed by the amino part of the sulfonamide group, as well as a $\pi$-stacking interaction of the methoxyphenyl moiety with the Phe103 gatekeeper residue. In addition, a weak hydrogen bond between the para-fluoro substituent and the catalytic Lys48 may be postulated. ${ }^{[10]}$ However, the high CDK9 selectivity of BAY-958, versus other CDKs, could not be rationalized.


Figure 3. Docking mode of BAY-958 in complex with CDK9. The compound was docked into a published X-ray complex of CDK9/CycT1 (PDB ID: 3MY1) using the Glide docking program. The sulfonamide moiety forms two hydrogen bonds, one to Glu107 (main chain) and the other to Asp109 (side chain). For the substituted phenyl ring attached to the triazine, a $\pi$-stacking interaction with Phe103 and a weak hydrogen bond to Lys48 may be postulated.

Analyzing the chemical structure of lead compound BAY958, the chemistry team was well-disposed toward inclusion of the central triazine core. The benzyl sulfonamide group, however, raised concerns: due to its polarity, high number of heteroatoms and multiple hydrogen-bonding properties, it was suspected of contributing significantly to the low aqueous solubility of BAY-958, the rather moderate Caco-2 permeability and the high efflux ratio. Furthermore, the acidity properties and potential instability of the benzyl sulfonamide group were considered and ultimately triggered the evaluation of potential structural alternatives at this position (Table 1).

Sulfones aroused our attention, because analogue 4 exhibited a similar CDK9-inhibitory activity as BAY-958 in our biochemical assay in vitro $\left[\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ CDK9/CycT1 (in-house), 4: 24 nm vs. BAY-958: 11 nm , see Table 1], even though the sulfone group cannot form similar hydrogen bonds as the amino part of the sulfonamide group (Figure 3). Sulfone 4 also exhibited similar selectivity against cell-cycle kinase CDK2 (ratio of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values CDK2/CDK9, 4: 67 vs. BAY-958: 98) and antiproliferative activity against HeLa cells $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 4: 1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right.$ vs. BAY-958: $\left.1.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right)$. To address the initial concerns regarding the stability and acidity of a polar functional group at the benzylic position, analogues 5 and 6 were synthesized; however, in this sulfone series, the removal of the methylene group from 4 (compound 5) or the introduction of an additional methylene group to 4 (compound 6) resulted in both decreased PTEFb/CDK9 activity and significantly reduced selectivity against cell-cycle kinase CDK2 (Table 1). This finding was quite surprising, as the sulfone group is directed toward the exit of the ATP binding pocket. Furthermore, the introduction of methyl or even fluoro substituent(s) at the benzylic position was not well-tolerated with regard to activity against CDK9 (compounds 10, 11, 13), as well as selectivity against CDK2 (compounds 10-13). Inclusion of an annulated ring system (compounds 7,8 ) also resulted in poor CDK9 selectivity.

Extensive structural variation on the eastern side of lead compound BAY-958 also revealed a rather steep SAR with respect to selectivity against CDK2 and potency (Table 2).

The para-fluoro substituent on the phenyl ring of BAY-958 proved to be beneficial for antiproliferative activity in vitro: analogues $14(p-\mathrm{H})$ and $15(p-\mathrm{Cl})$ both displayed decreased $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values against HeLa cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ 14: $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 15: 3.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ vs. BAY958: $1.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Pyridyl analogue 16 exhibited significantly reduced activity against CDK9 in the biochemical assay ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ 16: 1800 nм). Moreover, an ortho-alkoxy substituent was crucial for the desired CDK9 selectivity, as well as in vitro potency; various functional groups were evaluated as potential structural alternatives, but all such analogues displayed significantly reduced selectivity against CDK2 and inhibitory activity against CDK9 (see Table 2 for selected examples; e.g., 17-22). Introduction of a sterically larger alkoxy substituent, especially a benzyloxy group, resulted in significantly improved potency; however, most of these compounds suffered from very low metabolic stability in vitro. For instance, whilst benzyl analogue 23 proved to be a highly potent CDK9 inhibitor, it exhibited low metabolic stability in liver microsome preparations of human, mouse and rat origin, with recovery of the parent compound

Table 1. Selected examples of lead optimization efforts on the western side and corresponding key in vitro properties.
Compound
after incubation for 60 minutes of $0 \%, 0.2 \%$ and $0 \%$, respectively.

## Identification of BAY 1143572

Since the late discovery of the sulfoximine group in 1949, ${ }^{[11]}$ sulfoximine chemistry ${ }^{[12]}$ has been rather a niche discipline. Applications have mainly centered around the use of sulfoximines as either chiral auxiliaries ${ }^{[13]}$ or ligands in asymmetric catalysis. ${ }^{[14]}$ Until very recently, the sulfoximine group has rarely been used in life science approaches, even though it offers a unique combination of interesting properties, namely high stability, favorable physicochemical properties, hydrogen-bond acceptor/ donor functionalities and structural diversity. ${ }^{[15]}$ Lately, however, there has been a rapidly increasing interest in sulfoximines as pharmacophores in the life sciences. ${ }^{[15,16]}$ Our prior, long-standing interest in sulfoximines in medicinal chemistry had been exclusively focused on aryl sulfoximines; ${ }^{[17]}$ nevertheless, we then decided to evaluate a benzyl sulfoximine analogue of BAY-958.

In the corresponding synthesis (Scheme 2), thioether 25, prepared from the commercial benzyl chloride 24, was oxidized and the resulting sulfoxide 26 was converted into sulfoximine 27 using the rhodium-catalyzed method of Okamura and Bolm. ${ }^{[18]}$ Next, a protecting group was introduced at the sulfoximine nitrogen to provide 28 . The nitro group of $\mathbf{2 8}$ was reduced and the resulting aniline 29 reacted with 2,4 -dichloro-1,3,5-triazine (1) under basic conditions. The crude coupling product 30 was used in a subsequent Suzuki reaction to yield compound 31. Finally, deprotection and chiral HPLC separation provided the ( $R$ )-sulfoximine BAY 1143572 and its enantiomer 32. ${ }^{[19]}$ The stereochemistry at the sulfur of the sulfoximine group was determined by X-ray crystallography of the corresponding $N$-acetyl derivative 33 (Figure 4).

In comparison with BAY-958 and benzyl sulfone 4, benzyl sulfoximine BAY 1143572 clearly exhibited the best overall profile in vitro and in vivo (Table 3). BAY 1143572 is a potent and highly selective CDK9 inhibitor (IC $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ CDK9/CycT1: 13 nm , ratio of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values CDK2/CDK9: 100). The selectivity of BAY 1143572 within the CDK family is even higher than that of lead com-

Table 2. Selected examples of lead optimization efforts on the eastern side and corresponding key in vitro properties.
Compound
pound BAY-958 (Table 4). Outside the CDK family, submicromolar inhibitory activity was only recorded against GSK3 kinase (IC ${ }_{50}$ GSK3a: 45 nм, GSK3 $\beta: 87$ nм) (see the Supporting Information). BAY 1143572 also demonstrated similar antiproliferative activity as lead compound BAY-958, for example against HeLa cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ BAY 1143572: 920 nm, BAY-958: 1000 nm ) and MOLM-13 cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ BAY 1143572: 310 nм, BAY-958: 280 nm ). In comparison with BAY 1143572, the (S)-enantiomer 32 revealed very similar in vitro properties, well within the limits of measurement accuracy; however, with multiple batches of enantiomer 32 there was a trend toward a slightly lower activity against CDK9 in the biochemical assay ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ CDK9/CycT1: 16 nm ) and antiproliferative activity against HeLa cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ :

1100 nm ). Surprisingly, BAY 1143572 has a high aqueous solubility of $479 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, whereas both BAY-958 and benzyl sulfone 4 have a low aqueous solubility of $11 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ and $4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, respectively. Nevertheless, BAY 1143572 demonstrated improved Caco-2 permeability and a decreased efflux ratio ( $P_{\text {app }} \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ : $35 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{ER}: 6$ ) relative to lead compound BAY-958 ( $P_{\text {app }} A \rightarrow$ B: $22 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, ER: 15).
In an in vivo pharmacokinetic study in rats, BAY 1143572 showed low blood clearance ( $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{b}} 1.1 \mathrm{Lh}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ ), whereas benzyl sulfone 4 exhibited a significantly higher blood clearance ( $2.7 \mathrm{Lh}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ ) (Table 3). The volumes of distribution ( $V_{\mathrm{ss}}$ ) of BAY-958 ( $1.4 \mathrm{Lkg}^{-1}$ ), benzyl sulfone $4\left(1.5 \mathrm{Lkg}^{-1}\right)$ and BAY 1143572 ( $1.0 \mathrm{Lkg}^{-1}$ ) proved to be rather similar, but, in


Scheme 2. Synthesis of BAY 1143572. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{NaSMe}, \mathrm{EtOH},-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{RT}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; b) $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{IO}_{6}, \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{RT}, 90 \mathrm{~min}, 70 \%$ (2 steps); c) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Phl}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2}, \mathrm{MgO}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{RT}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{RT}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$ (2 steps); e) $\mathrm{ClC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OEt}$, pyridine, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT, 24 h ; f) TiCl ${ }_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{RT}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, $94 \%$ (2 steps); g) 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine (1), DIPEA, THF/iPrOH (1:1), $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; h) 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}), 1,2-$ dimethoxyethane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \mathrm{~min}, 36 \%$; i) NaOEt , $\mathrm{EtOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; j) preparative chiral HPLC ; k) $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{TEA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{RT}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 57 \%$.


Figure 4. X-ray structure of N -acetyl derivative 33.
contrast to lead compound BAY-958, benzyl sulfone 4 and BAY 1143572 showed significantly improved oral bioavailability (4: 53\%, BAY 1143572: 54\%). Furthermore, benzyl sulfone 4 and BAY 1143572 exhibited blood/plasma ratios of about 1. Relative to BAY 1143572, its enantiomer 32 revealed very similar rat PK properties in vivo $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{b}}: 1.2 \mathrm{Lh}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}, V_{\mathrm{ss}}: 1.2 \mathrm{Lkg}^{-1}, t_{1 /}\right.$ ${ }_{2}$ : 0.6 h, F: $53 \%$. All three key CDK9 inhibitors, BAY-958, benzyl sulfone 4 and BAY 1143572, did not show significant inhibition of cytochrome P450 activity, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $>20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; however, the switch from benzyl sulfonamide BAY-958 to benzyl sulfone 4 and benzyl sulfoximine BAY 1143572 removed a potential CYP1A2 in vitro induction liability. Induction of CYP3A4 in vitro was not observed.

For the subsequent in vivo studies, two xenograft models of human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) were selected, as it is well known from the preclinical literature ${ }^{[21]}$ that this indication is dependent on oncogenic transcription and also the only indication where nonselective CDK inhibitors with CDK9 inhibito-
ry activity have reached randomized phase 2 clinical trials (NCT01349972, NCT00634244, NCT00795002). Of note, BAY 1143572 did not show relevant activity against Flt3 in the Merck Millipore KinaseProfiler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ panel (see the Supporting Information); therefore, the possibility that in vivo activity of the compound might be partially mediated by Flt3 inhibition in Flt3-alterated MOLM-13 and MV4-11 cells can be excluded.

In in vivo efficacy studies in the MOLM-13 xenograft model in mice, BAY 1143572 demonstrated greater potency and higher antitumor efficacy than benzyl sulfone 4 (Figure 5A and Table 5). Daily treatment with benzyl sulfone 4 at the maximum tolerated dose of $20 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ revealed no efficacy (T/C ratio of $0.73, p=0.073$ ). In contrast, daily administration of BAY 1143572 at 6.25 or $12.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ resulted in a dose-dependent antitumor efficacy with a T/C ratio of 0.64 and 0.49 , respectively ( $p<0.001$ ). In a separate experiment with a higher daily dose of 20 or $25 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ BAY 1143572, antitumor efficacy with a T/C ratio of 0.41 and 0.31 , respectively, was observed ( $p<0.001$ ). The $25 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ once daily dose is the maximum tolerated dose in nude mice. Furthermore, BAY 1143572 administered at 25 or $35 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$, three days on / two days off, resulted in a T/C ratio of 0.33 and 0.20 , respectively ( $p<0.001$ ), suggesting a possible flexibility for the selection of a clinical dosing regimen (Figure 5B and Table 5). Treatment with BAY 1143572 was well-tolerated, as demonstrated by less than $10 \%$ mean body weight reduction throughout the study.

To further substantiate the antitumor efficacy, BAY 1143572 was evaluated in an MV4-11 human acute myeloid leukemia model in nude rats. Of note, the antiproliferative activity of BAY 1143572 against MV4-11 cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 890 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and HeLa cells $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 920 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ in vitro is similar, and is slightly improved against MOLM-13 cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ : 310 nm ). Daily oral administration of BAY 1143572 at $12 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ for 14 days (maximum tolerated

Table 3. Properties of PTEFb inhibitors BAY-958, benzyl sulfone 4, and BAY 1143572.

|  | BAY-958 | 4 | BAY 1143572 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDK9/CycT1 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [nм ] | 11 | 24 | 13 |
| Selectivity vs. CDK2, ratio of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values | 98 | 67 | 100 |
| HeLa IC ${ }_{50}[\mathrm{~nm}]^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | 1000 | 1500 | 920 |
| MOLM-13 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{~nm}]^{[\text {a] }}$ | 280 | 130 | 310 |
| TPSA ${ }^{[b]}$ | 120.0 | 94.1 | 100.9 |
| $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{pH} 6.5\left[\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right]^{[c]}$ | 11 | 4 | 479 |
| $P_{\text {app }} \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\left[\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right]$ | 22 | 143 | 35 |
| Efflux ratio | 15 | 1.2 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{b}}$, ratHep $\left[\mathrm{Lh}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.17 |
| $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{b}}$, ratLM $\left[\mathrm{Lh}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.15 |
| $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{b}}$, rat in vivo, i.v. $\left[\mathrm{Lh}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.50 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| $V_{\text {ss }}$, rat in vivo, i.v. [ $\mathrm{Lkg}^{-1}$ ] | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| $t_{1 / 2}$, rat in vivo, i.v. [h] | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| AUC, ${ }^{[d]}$ rat in vivo, p.o. [ $\left.\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{h}^{-1} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.28 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}{ }^{[d]]}$ rat in vivo, p.o. [mg L-1] | 0.029 | 0.059 | 0.058 |
| $F$, rat in vivo, p.o. [\%] | 10 | 53 | 54 |
| Blood/plasma ratio (rat) | 3.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| CYP inhibition [ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ] | $>20$ | $>20$ | $>20$ |
| CYP1A2 induction | NOEL ${ }^{\text {[e] }} \leq 5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ | no (up to $370 \mu \mathrm{gL}{ }^{-1}$ ) | no (up to $370 \mu \mathrm{gL} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) |

[a] Cells were treated with test compounds for 96 h . [b] Topological polar surface area. ${ }^{[20]}$ [c] The solid state of the test compounds was not characterized. [d] Normalized to $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$. [e] No-observed-effect-level.

Table 4. CDK-inhibitory activity of lead compound BAY-958 and clinical candidate BAY 1143572 in the Merck Millipore KinaseProfiler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ panel.

| $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [nm] | BAY-958 | BAY 1143572 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| CDK9/CycT1(h) | 5 | 6 |
| CDK1/CycB(h) | 690 | 1100 |
| CDK2/CycE(h) | 470 | 1000 |
| CDK3/CycE(h) | 570 | 890 |
| CDK5/p35(h) | 800 | 1600 |
| CDK6/CycD3(h) | 4400 | $>10000$ |
| CDK7/CycH/MAT1(h) | $>10000$ | $>10000$ |

dose) resulted in almost complete tumor remission, followed by delayed regrowth after cessation of the treatment (Figure 5 C). At the conclusion of the experiment ( 57 days after ini-
tial inoculation), there was no tumor regrowth in nine of the 12 test animals.
Due to the promising overall profile in vitro and in vivo, benzyl sulfoximine BAY 1143572 was selected as the development candidate and entered phase 1 clinical trials in patients with advanced cancer and leukemia (NCTO1938638, NCT02345382). ${ }^{[22]}$

## Conclusions

The benzyl sulfoximine atuveciclib (BAY 1143572) is a potent and highly selective, oral PTEFb/CDK9 inhibitor. During lead optimization, a surprisingly steep SAR with regard to the key optimization parameters kinase selectivity and potency was recorded. BAY 1143572 clearly exhibited the most promising

Table 5. Antitumor efficacy of compound 4 and BAY 1143572 in human AML models in female NMRI nu/nu mice (MOLM-13) and athymic rats (MV4-11).

| Animal model | Treatment | Dose $\left[\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}\right.$ ] | Schedule | T/ $\mathrm{Carea}^{\text {a] }}$ | Fatal toxicity | Max BWC [\%] ${ }^{[b]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOLM-13 model in mice | Vehicle | 0 | QD | 1.00 | 0/10 | +13 |
|  | Compound 4 | 10 | QD | 0.91 | 0/10 | +11 |
|  |  | 20 | QD | 0.73 | 0/10 | +11 |
|  | BAY 1143572 | 6.25 | QD | 0.64* | 0/10 | $+10$ |
|  |  | 12.5 | QD | 0.49* | 1/10 | $+10$ |
| MOLM-13 model in mice | Vehicle | 0 | QD | 1.00 | 1/13 | 0 |
|  | BAY 1143572 | 20 | QD | 0.41* | 0/13 | -1 |
|  |  | 25 | QD | 0.31* | 0/13 | -3 |
|  |  | 25 | 3 on/2 off | 0.33* | 0/13 | -2 |
|  |  | 35 | $3 \mathrm{on} / 2$ off | 0.20* | 0/13 | -4 |
| MV4-11 model in rats | Vehicle | 0 | QD | 1.00 | 1/12 | -1 |
|  | BAY 1143572 | 12 | QD $\times 14$ | 0.05* | 0/12 | -1 |

[a] Treatment-to-control (T/C) ratios and statistical significances were calculated using the mean tumor areas at the time point when the vehicle group was sacrificed. Asterisks indicate statistical significance ( $p<0.001$ ) relative to the vehicle control. [b] The maximum body weight change (BWC) expressed as a percentage of the starting weight, for duration of the treatment.


Figure 5. Antitumor efficacy in two AML models in mice and rats. A, B: Antitumor efficacy of BAY 1143572 in an MOLM-13 human AML model in mice. A) Tumor growth in mice treated with compound 4 or BAY 1143572. Treatments were started three days after tumor cell inoculation. B) Tumor growth in mice treated with BAY 1143572 once daily (QD) or with an intermittent 3 days on $/ 2$ days off dosing schedule. Treatments were started 4 days after tumor cell inoculation. C) Antitumor efficacy of BAY 1143572 in an MV4-11 human AML model in rats. Tumor growth in rats treated with vehicle or BAY 1143572. Treatments were started 13 days after tumor cell inoculation and were continued for 14 days, until the vehicle group was sacrificed. Asterisks indicate statistical significance relative to the vehicle control, calculated using the mean tumor areas at the time point when the vehicle group was sacrificed ${ }^{* * *} p<0.001$, ns $=$ not significant).
overall profile with respect to potency, selectivity, physicochemical properties, and in vivo PK as well as in vivo potency. Notably, in contrast to lead compound BAY-958 and benzyl sulfone 4, BAY 1143572 combines high aqueous solubility with moderate Caco- 2 permeability and moderate efflux, as well as
low blood clearance and moderate bioavailability in rats in vivo. Surprisingly, the switch from the benzyl sulfonamide BAY-958 to the benzyl sulfoximine BAY 1143572 removed an in vitro CYP1A2 induction liability. BAY 1143572 is efficacious in human xenograft tumor models of acute myeloid leukemia in both mice and rats. The compound is active upon once-daily dosing, as well as upon intermittent dosing schedules, providing valuable options to optimize human dosing schedules with respect to efficacy and tolerability. BAY 1143572 is the first selective PTEFb/CDK9 inhibitor that entered clinical evaluation. The introduction of the uncommon benzyl sulfoximine group was crucial for overcoming hurdles in this project, which underlines the constant need for novel chemical functionalities and methodologies as a means to solve biological problems.

## Experimental Section

## Kinase assays

CDK9/CycT1: Recombinant full-length His-tagged human CDK9 and $\mathrm{CycT1}$, expressed in insect cells and purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography, were purchased from Invitrogen (Cat. no. PV4131). As substrate for the kinase reaction, the biotinylated peptide biotin-Ttds-YISPLKSPYKISEG (C-terminus in amide form) was used, which is commercially available (e.g., from JERINI Peptide Technologies, Berlin, Germany). For assays, 50 nL of a 100 -fold concentrated solution of the test compound in DMSO was pipetted into a black, low-volume, 384 -well microtiter plate (Greiner BioOne, Frickenhausen, Germany); $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a solution of CDK9/CycT1 in aqueous assay buffer [ 50 mm Tris. $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0,10 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ dithiothreitol, 0.1 mm sodium orthovanadate, $0.01 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Nonidet P-40 (Sigma)] was added, and the mixture was incubated for 15 min at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to allow pre-binding of the test compound to the enzyme before the start of the kinase reaction. Then, the kinase reaction was started by the addition of $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a solution of adenosine triphosphate (ATP, $16.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; final concn in the $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ assay volume: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and substrate ( $1.67 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; final concn in the $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ assay volume: $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) in assay buffer, and the resulting mixture was incubated for 25 min at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The concentration of CDK9/CycT1 was adjusted depending on the activity of the enzyme lot and was chosen appropriate to have the assay in the linear range: typical concentrations were in the order of $1 \mu \mathrm{gLL}^{-1}$. The reaction was stopped by the addition of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a solution of TR-FRET detection reagents $[0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ streptavidin-XL665 (Cisbio Bioassays, Codolet, France), 1 nM anti-RB(pSer807/pSer811) antibody (BD Pharmingen, Cat. no. 558389) and 1.2 nм LANCE EU-W1024 labeled anti-mouse lgG antibody (PerkinElmer, product no. AD0077)] in an aqueous EDTA solution [ 100 mm EDTA, $0.2 \%(w / v)$ bovine serum albumin (BSA) in $100 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{HEPES} / \mathrm{NaOH} \mathrm{pH} 7.0$ ]. The resulting mixture was incubated for 1 h at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to allow the formation of a complex between the phosphorylated biotinylated peptide and the detection reagents. Subsequently, the amount of phosphorylated substrate was evaluated by measurement of the resonance energy transfer from the europium chelate to the streptavidin-XL. Therefore, the fluorescence emissions at 620 nm and 665 nm , after excitation at 350 nm , were measured with a TR-FRET reader [e.g., PHERAstar (BMG Labtechnologies, Offenburg, Germany) or ViewLux (PerkinElmer)]. The ratio of the emissions at 665 nm and 620 nm was taken as the measure of the amount of phosphorylated substrate. The data were normalized (enzyme reaction without inhibitor $=0 \%$ inhibition, all other assay components but no enzyme $=100 \%$ inhibition). Usually the test compound was tested on the same mi-
crotiter plate at 11 different concentrations in the range of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $0.1 \mathrm{~nm}(20 \mu \mathrm{M}, 5.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.51 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0.15 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 44 \mathrm{~nm}, 13 \mathrm{~nm}$, $3.8 \mathrm{~nm}, 1.1 \mathrm{nм}, 0.33 \mathrm{~nm}$ and 0.1 nm ; the dilution series was prepared separately before the assay on the 100-fold concentrated solution in DMSO by serial 1:3.4 dilutions) in duplicate for each concentration. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated using a four-parameter fit.

CDK2/CycE: Recombinant fusion proteins of GST and human CDK2 and of GST and human CycE, expressed in insect cells (Sf9) and purified by Glutathione-Sepharose affinity chromatography, were purchased from ProQinase GmbH (Freiburg, Germany). As substrate for the kinase reaction, the biotinylated peptide biotin-Ttds-YISPLKSPYKISEG (C-terminus in amide form) was used, which is commercially available (e.g., from JERINI Peptide Technologies, Berlin, Germany). For assays, 50 nL of a 100-fold concentrated solution of the test compound in DMSO was pipetted into a black, low volume, 384-well microtiter plate (Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen, Germany); $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a solution of CDK2/CycE in aqueous assay buffer [ 50 mm Tris. $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0,10 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ dithiothreitol, 0.1 mm sodium orthovanadate, $0.01 \%(v / v)$ Nonidet P-40 (Sigma)] was added, and the mixture was incubated for 15 min at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to allow pre-binding of the test compound to the enzyme before the start of the kinase reaction. Then, the kinase reaction was started by the addition of $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a solution of ATP ( $16.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$; final concn in the $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ assay volume: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and substrate ( $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; final concn in the $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ assay volume: $0.75 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in assay buffer, and the resulting mixture was incubated for 25 min at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The concentration of CDK2/CycE was adjusted depending on the activity of the enzyme lot and was chosen appropriate to have the assay in the linear range; typical concentrations were in the order of $130 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$. The reaction was stopped by the addition of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a solution of TR-FRET detection reagents $[0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ streptavidin-XL665 (Cisbio Bioassays, Codolet, France), 1 nm anti-RB(pSer807/pSer811) antibody (BD Pharmingen, Cat. no. 558389) and 1.2 nм LANCE EUW1024 labeled anti-mouse IgG antibody (PerkinElmer, product no. AD0077)] in an aqueous EDTA solution [100 mm EDTA, $0.2 \%(w / v)$ BSA in 100 mm HEPES/ NaOH pH 7.0 ]. The resulting mixture was incubated for 1 h at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to allow the formation of a complex between the phosphorylated biotinylated peptide and the detection reagents. Subsequently, the amount of phosphorylated substrate was evaluated by measurement of the resonance energy transfer from the europium chelate to the streptavidin-XL. Therefore, the fluorescence emissions at 620 nm and 665 nm , after excitation at 350 nm , were measured with a TR-FRET reader [e.g., PHERAstar (BMG Labtechnologies, Offenburg, Germany) or ViewLux (PerkinElmer)]. The ratio of the emissions at 665 nm and 620 nm was taken as the measure of the amount of phosphorylated substrate. The data were normalized (enzyme reaction without inhibitor $=0 \%$ inhibition, all other assay components but no enzyme $=100 \%$ inhibition). Usually the test compound was tested on the same microtiter plate at 11 different concentrations in the range of $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $0.1 \mathrm{nм}(20 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 5.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0.51 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0.15 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 44 \mathrm{~nm}, 13 \mathrm{~nm}$, $3.8 \mathrm{~nm}, 1.1 \mathrm{nм}, 0.33 \mathrm{~nm}$ and 0.1 nm ; the dilution series was prepared separately before the assay on the 100-fold concentrated solution in DMSO by serial 1:3.4 dilutions) in duplicate for each concentration. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated using a four-parameter fit.

Merck Millipore CDK assays: Assays were performed according to the Merck Millipore KinaseProfiler ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ standard protocols, with an ATP concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Proliferation assay

HeLa human cervical tumor cells (CCL-2) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, USA) and MOLM-13 human acute myeloid leukemia cells (ACC 554) were obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (Braunschweig, Germany). Authentication of cell lines was conducted at the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures via PCR-based DNA profiling of polymorphic short tandem repeats. Cells were propagated under the suggested growth conditions in a humidified $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubator. Proliferation assays were conducted in 96-well plates at densities of 3000 (HeLa) and 5000 (MOLM-13) cells per well in the growth medium containing $10 \%$ fetal calf serum (FCS). Cells were treated in quadruplicate with serial dilutions of test compounds for 96 h . Relative cell numbers were quantified by crystal violet staining (HeLa) ${ }^{[23]}$ or CellTitre-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) (MOLM-13). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (inhibitory concentration at $50 \%$ of maximal effect) were determined by means of a four-parameter fit on measurement data which were normalized to vehicle (DMSO) treated cells ( $=100 \%$ ) and measurement readings taken immediately before compound exposure ( $=$ $0 \%$ ).

## Equilibrium shake flask solubility assay

The thermodynamic solubility of compounds in water was determined by an equilibrium shake flask method. ${ }^{[24]}$ A saturated solution of the test compound was prepared and the solution was mixed for 24 h to ensure that equilibrium was reached. The solution was centrifuged to remove the insoluble fraction and the concentration of the compound in solution was determined using a standard calibration curve. To prepare the test sample, solid compound ( 2 mg ) was weighed in a 4-mL glass vial. Phosphate buffer pH 6.5 ( 1 mL ) was added and the suspension was stirred for 24 h at RT. Then, the solution was centrifuged. To prepare the sample for the standard calibration, solid sample ( 2 mg ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. After sonication, the solution was diluted with water to 50 mL . Sample and standard were quantified by HPLC with UV detection. For each test sample, two injection volumes (5 and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in triplicate were made. Three injection volumes ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were made for the standard. HPLC conditions: column: Xterra MS $C_{18} 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$; injection volume: sample: $3 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and $3 \times 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, standard: $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; flow: $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; mobile phase: acidic gradient: eluent A : water/ $0.01 \%$ TFA, eluent B: MeCN/0.01\% TFA, $0 \min 95 \%$ A $5 \%$ B; $0-$ $3 \min 35 \%$ A $65 \%$ B linear gradient, $3-5 \min 35 \%$ A $65 \%$ B isocratic, $5-6 \mathrm{~min} 95 \%$ A $5 \%$ B isocratic; UV detection: wavelength near the absorption maximum (between 200 and 400 nm ). The areas of sample and standard injections, as well as the calculation of the solubility values (in $\mathrm{mgL}^{-1}$ ), were determined using Waters Empower 2 FR software.

## Pharmacokinetic studies

For the metabolic stability assay in rat hepatocytes, liver cells were distributed in Williams' Medium E containing 5\% FCS to glass vials at a density of $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ vital cells $\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$. The test compound was added at a final concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. During incubation, the hepatocyte suspensions were continuously shaken at 580 rpm and aliquots were removed at $2,8,16,30,45$ and 90 min , to which an equal volume of cold MeCN was immediately added. Samples were frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, then centrifuged for 15 min at 3000 rpm . The supernatants were analyzed by liquid chromatogra-
phy-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) using an Agilent 1200 HPLC system with an Ascentis Express column and water/MeCN (isocratic) as eluent. The half-life of a test compound was determined from the concentration-time plot and the intrinsic clearances were calculated. Together with the additional parameters liver blood flow and amount of liver cells in vivo and in vitro, and application of the "well-stirred" liver model, ${ }^{[25]}$ the hepatic in vivo blood clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and the maximal oral bioavailability $\left(F_{\max }\right)$ were calculated.

The inhibitory potency of the test compounds toward cytochrome P450 dependent metabolic pathways was determined in human liver microsomes by applying individual CYP isoform-selective standard probes (CYP1A2, phenacetin; CYP2C8, amodiaquine; CYP2C9, diclofenac; CYP2D6, dextromethorphan; CYP3A4, midazolam). Reference inhibitors were included as positive controls. Incubation conditions (protein and substrate concentration, incubation time) were optimized with regard to linearity of metabolite formation. Assays were processed in 96 -well plates at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by using a Genesis Workstation (Tecan, Crailsheim, Germany). After protein precipitation, the metabolite formation was quantified by LC-MS/MS analysis followed by inhibition evaluation and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ calculation.

To evaluate the CYP induction potential in vitro, cultured human hepatocytes from three separate livers were treated once daily for three consecutive days with vehicle control, one of eight concentrations of test compound and known human CYP inducers (e.g., omeprazole, phenobarbital, rifampin). After treatment, the cells were incubated in situ with the appropriate marker substrates for the analysis of CYP3A4 and CYP1A2 activity by LC-MS/MS.

Caco-2 cells (purchased from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany) were seeded at a density of $4.5 \times 10^{4}$ cells per well on 24 -well insert plates, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore size, and grown for 15 days in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ FCS, $1 \%$ GlutaMAX (100x, GIBCO), $100 \mathrm{UmL}^{-1}$ penicillin, $100 \mu \mathrm{gmL}^{-1}$ streptomycin (GIBCO) and $1 \%$ nonessential amino acids $(100 \times)$. Cells were maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere. The medium was changed every $2-3 \mathrm{~d}$. Before running the permeability assay, the culture medium was replaced with an FCS-free HEPES/carbonate transport buffer pH 7.2. For assessment of monolayer integrity, the transepithelial electrical resistance was measured. Test compounds were predissolved in DMSO and added either to the apical or basolateral compartment at a final concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Before and after incubation for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, samples were taken from both compartments. LC-MS/MS analysis of compound content was undertaken after precipitation with MeOH . Permeability ( $P_{\text {app }}$ ) was calculated in the apical to basolateral $(A \rightarrow B)$ and basolateral to apical $(B \rightarrow A)$ directions. The efflux ratio basolateral (B) to apical (A) was calculated by dividing $P_{\text {app }}$ $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ by $P_{\text {app }} \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$.

For rat PK studies, test compounds were administered to male Wistar rats intravenously at low doses of 0.3 to $0.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ and intragastrically at doses of 0.6 to $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ formulated as solutions using solubilizers such as PEG 400 in well-tolerated amounts. Animals were catheterized at the jugular vein and samples were taken via the catheter. Plasma samples were collected after 2 min (only intravenously), $8 \mathrm{~min}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 45 \mathrm{~min}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ and 24 h post-application, and precipitated with ice-cold MeCN (1:5). Supernatants were analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Pharmacokinetic parameters were based on the plasma concentration-time data and calculated (e.g., using the linear-log trapezoidal rule for AUC estimation) with KinEx, an Excel-based program.

MOLM-13 and MV4-11 human AML tumor models in mice and rats

All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Law and approved by local authorities. For the acute myeloid leukemia (AML) mouse model, $2 \times 10^{6}$ MOLM-13 human AML cells were suspended in $100 \%$ Matrigel $^{\text {TM }}$ Basement Membrane Matrix (BD Biosciences) and inoculated subcutaneously to the left flank of female NMRI nu/nu mice (18-21 g, 5-6 weeks, Taconic M\&B). For the AML model in rats, $2 \times 10^{6}$ MV4-11 human AML cells were suspended in $100 \%$ Matrigel $^{\text {TM }}$ and inoculated subcutaneously to the left flank of female athymic nude rats (160200 g, 5-6 weeks, Harlan). Animals were stratified into treatment and control groups ( $n=8-13 /$ group for mice, $n=12 /$ group for rats) based on primary tumor size. Treatments were started 3-13 days after tumor cell inoculation when the average tumor sizes were $23-38 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ and $43 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ for mice and rats, respectively.

BAY 1143572 was administered either using a once daily (QD) or an intermittent 3 days on $/ 2$ days off treatment schedule. BAY-958 hydrochloride and compound 4 were administered QD. PEG 400/ water 80:10 was used as the vehicle control. Unless otherwise indicated, all treatments were administered orally (p.o.) and were continued until the end of the experiment. Body weight and tumor areas (longest diameter multiplied by its perpendicular) measured by caliper were determined at least twice weekly. Treatment-tocontrol (T/C) ratios were calculated by dividing the mean tumor area of the treatment group by the mean tumor area of the vehicle group at the time point when the vehicle group was sacrificed.
All statistical analyses were performed using statistical software $R$ (version 3.3.2) by comparing the treatment groups to the vehicle group at the time point when the vehicle group was sacrificed. Statistical analysis of the log-transformed tumor area data was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's contrasts or Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's test with Holm-Bonferroni correction. In the MV4-11 model with only two groups, the analysis was performed using Student's $t$ test. In all cases, $p<0.05$ was considered as being statistically significant.

## Docking

Docking calculations were performed using Glide ${ }^{[26]}$ in standard precision mode and default settings. The X-ray complex of CDK9/ CycT1 with a benzimidazole inhibitor (PDB ID: 3MY1) was used. ${ }^{[27]}$ Prior to the docking procedure, all water molecules and ligands in the protein-ligand complex were removed. Ligands were pre-processed using LigPrep. ${ }^{[28]}$ Docking poses were selected based on Glide scoring.

## Synthetic procedures

General methods and materials: Commercially available reagents and anhydrous solvents were used as supplied, without further purification. All air- and moisture-sensitive reactions were carried out in oven-dried (at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) glassware under an inert atmosphere of argon. A Biotage ${ }^{\circledR}$ Initiator Classic microwave reactor was used for reactions conducted in a microwave oven. Reactions were monitored by TLC and UPLC analysis with a Waters Acquity UPLC MS Single Quad system; column: Acquity UPLC BEH C $181.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 50 \times$ 2.1 mm ; basic conditions: eluent $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.2$ vol\% aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(32 \%)$, eluent B: MeCN; gradient: 0-1.6 min 1-99\% B, 1.6-2.0 min 99\% B; flow: $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; acidic conditions: eluent $A: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1$ vol $\%$ formic acid (99\%), eluent B: MeCN; gradient: 0-1.6 min 1-99\% B,
$1.6-2.0 \mathrm{~min} 99 \% \mathrm{~B}$; flow: $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; temperature: $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; DAD scan: 210-400 nm. Analytical TLC was carried out on aluminumbacked plates coated with Merck Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$, with visualization under UV light at 254 nm . Flash chromatography was carried out using a Biotage ${ }^{\circledR}$ Isolera ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ One system with $200-400 \mathrm{~nm}$ variable detector. Preparative HPLC was carried out with a Waters AutoPurification MS Single Quad system; column: Waters XBridge $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 100 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$; basic conditions: eluent A: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.2 \mathrm{vol} \%$ aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ( $32 \%$ ), eluent B: MeCN; gradient: 0-0.5 min 5\% B, flow: $25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1} ; 0.51-5.50 \mathrm{~min} 10-100 \%$ B, flow: $70 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; $5.51-$ $6.5 \mathrm{~min} 100 \% \mathrm{~B}$, flow: $70 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; acidic conditions: eluent A : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1 \mathrm{vol} \%$ formic acid ( $99 \%$ ), eluent B: MeCN; gradient: $0-$ $0.5 \mathrm{~min} 5 \%$ B, flow: $25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; 0.51-5.50 min 10-100\% B, flow: $70 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; 5.51-6.5 $\mathrm{min} 100 \%$ B, flow: $70 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; temperature: $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; DAD scan: $210-400 \mathrm{~nm}$. NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature $\left(22 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, unless otherwise noted, on Bruker Avance III HD spectrometers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained at $300,400,500$ or 600 MHz , and referenced to the residual solvent signal ( 7.26 ppm for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2.50 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained at 125 MHz and also referenced to the residual solvent signal ( 39.52 ppm for $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta$ ) in ppm, multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{br}=\mathrm{broad}, \mathrm{m}=$ multiplet) and integration. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Xevo ${ }^{\circledR}$ G2-XS Tof (Waters) instrument. Low-resolution mass spectra (electrospray ionization) were obtained via HPLC-MS (ESI) using a Waters Acquity UPLC system equipped with an SQ 3100 Mass Detector; column: Acquity UPLC BEH $\mathrm{C}_{18} 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 50 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$; eluent A: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.05 \%$ formic acid ( $99 \%$ ), eluent $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{MeCN}+0.05 \%$ formic acid (99\%); gradient: $0-0.5 \mathrm{~min} 5 \% \mathrm{~B}, 0.5-2.5 \mathrm{~min} 5-100 \% \mathrm{~B}, 2.5-$ $4.5 \mathrm{~min} 100 \% \mathrm{~B}$; total run time: 5 min ; flow: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Melting points were determined with a Büchi B-540 melting point apparatus. Optical rotations were recorded on a JASCO P-2000 polarimeter. The purity of all target compounds was $>97 \%$, as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

## 3-[(4-Chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfona-

mide: To a solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine (1; 900 mg , 6.0 mmol ) in anhyd. $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{iPrOH}(1: 1,8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere was added a cooled solution $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of (3-aminophenyl)methanesulfonamide ( $1117 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( 2.07 mL , 12 mmol ) in anhyd. $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{iPrOH}(1: 1,8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product was dried in vacuo for 15 h . The white solid was used in the next step without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.75$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.83 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI) m/z: $300[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

1-(3-\{[4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino\}phenyl)methanesulfonamide (BAY-958): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide ( 1767 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid (1504 mg, $8.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( $2505 \mathrm{mg}, 11.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane/water $(10: 1,66 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with a stream of nitrogen for 15 min . $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $489 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 90 min at $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, 100:0 to 5:1). Finally, precipitation from EtOAc yielded BAY-958 as a white solid ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): mp: $234^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
10.32 ppm (s, 1 H ); ESI-HRMS: $m / z[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : 390.1036, found: 390.1039.

1-(3-\{[4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino\}phenyl)methanesulfonamide hydrochloride (BAY-958.HCI): BAY-958 ( $914 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in aq. $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(2.35 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at RT, then concentrated under reduced pressure to give the desired hydrochloride as a white solid ( $980 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 98 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}: 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \quad(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, [D. ${ }_{6}$ DMSO): $\delta=3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.83 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESIHRMS: $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 390.1036$, found: 390.1038.

1-[(Methylsulfanyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene: Sodium methanethiolate ( $13.5 \mathrm{~g}, 192 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in two portions to a stirred solution of 1-(chloromethyl)-3-nitrobenzene ( $30.0 \mathrm{~g}, 175 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH $(360 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cold bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at RT for 3 h . Then, it was diluted with brine and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times)$. The combined organic phases were washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated to give the desired product ( 32.2 g ) that was used without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

1-[(Methylsulfonyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene: 3-Chloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $77 \% ; 26.9 \mathrm{~g}, 120 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 1-[(methylsulfanyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene (10.0 g) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(1305 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then at RT for 2.5 h . Then, it was diluted with water ( 300 mL ), and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(11.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(2 \times)$. The combined organic phases were filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}, 95: 5\right)$ and finally recrystallized from EtOAc to give the desired product $(6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 28.9 \mathrm{mmol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, [D ${ }_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

3-[(Methylsulfonyl)methyl]aniline: $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}$ solution (ca. $15 \%$ in $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, 162 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of 1-[(methyl-sulfonyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $5.1 \mathrm{~g}, 23.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 250 mL ) at RT, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The pH was increased to 10 by the addition of 1 N NaOH , then the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times)$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated to give the desired product ( 4.5 g ) that was used without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.23 (s, 2H), 5.13 (br, 2H), 6.51 (m, 3H), 6.97 ppm (m, 1H).

4-Chloro- $N$-\{3-[(methylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2amine: DIPEA ( $3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine ( $1 ; 1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{iPrOH}$ $(1: 1,20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a suspension of 3 -[(methylsulfonyl)methyl]aniline ( $1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/iPrOH ( $1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 3 h to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product ( 5.2 g ) that was used without further purification.

## 4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-N-\{3-[(methylsulfonyl)methyl]-

phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (4): A mixture of crude 4-chloro- N -\{3-[(methylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (1000 mg), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( $569 \mathrm{mg}, 3.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) $\quad\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} ; 580 \mathrm{mg}\right.$, 0.50 mmol ] in 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 10.3 mL ) and aq. $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(3.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under
argon for 90 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ to $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}, 95: 5\right)$ to give 4 as a white solid ( $402 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ $2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96$ (brs, 1 H$), 8.82 \mathrm{ppm}$ (s, 1 H ); ESI-HRMS: $m / z[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 389.1084$, found: 389.1080.

## 4-Chloro- $N$-[3-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl]-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine:

DIPEA ( $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine ( $1 ; 138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/iPrOH (1:1, 1.8 mL ) at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a suspension of 3 -(methylsulfonyl)aniline $(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{iPrOH}(1: 1,0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 90 min to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product ( 450 mg ) that was used without further purification.
4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)- $N$-[3-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl]-
1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (5): A mixture of crude 4-chloro- N -[3-(methyl-sulfonyl)phenyl]-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine ( 450 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid $(403 \mathrm{mg}, 2.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(274 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.24 mmol ) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 7.3 mL ) and aq. $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under argon for 90 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 5 as a white solid $(152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=3.20(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ (brs, 3 H$), 6.91$ (td, 1 H$), 7.12$ (dd, 1 H$), 7.58-7.68$ (m, 2 H$)$, 7.91 (brs, 1 H ), 8.24 (brs, 1 H$), 8.42$ (s, 1 H$), 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.68 \mathrm{ppm}$ (brs, 1 H ); ESI-HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : 375.0927, found: 375.0924.

## 4-Chloro- $N$-\{3-[2-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-

amine: DIPEA ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine ( $1 ; 150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{iPrOH}$ $(1: 1,2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a solution of 3-[2-(methylsulfonyl)ethyI]aniline ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/iPrOH (1:1, 1 mL ) was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 2 h to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product ( 458 mg ) that was used without further purification.

4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-N-\{3-[2-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]-phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (6): A mixture of crude 4-chloro- $N$ -\{3-[2-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (150 mg), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aq. $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under argon for 90 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 6 as a light beige solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ $2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 6.74-6.83 (m, 2H), 7.00 (brd, 1H), 7.29-7.39 (m, 1H), 7.44-7.60 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95$ (brs, 1 H ), $8.82 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: m/z $[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : 403.1240, found: 403.1244 .

4-Chloro- $N$-(1,1-dioxido-2,3-dihydro-1-benzothien-6-yl)-1,3,5-tria-zin-2-amine: DIPEA ( $0.88 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine ( $1 ; 400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{PrOH}(1: 1,5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, 2,3-dihydro-1-benzothio-
phen-6-amine 1,1-dioxide ( $464 \mathrm{mg}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 2 h to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product ( 1432 mg ) that was used without further purification.
$N$-(1,1-Dioxido-2,3-dihydro-1-benzothien-6-yl)-4-(4-fluoro-2-me-thoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (7): A mixture of crude 4-chloro- $N$-(1,1-dioxido-2,3-dihydro-1-benzothien-6-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2amine ( 200 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid $(115 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 2.1 mL ) and aq. $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under argon for 2 h at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 7 as a white solid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=3.32-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01$ (brs, 1 H ), 8.57 (brs, 1 H ), $8.84 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : 387.0927, found: 387.0929.

4-Chloro- N -(2,2-dioxido-1,3-dihydro-2-benzothien-5-yl)-1,3,5-tria-zin-2-amine: DIPEA ( $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine (1; $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{PrOH}(1: 1,2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, 1,3-dihydro-2-benzothio-phen-5-amine 2,2-dioxide ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 2 h to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product ( 462 mg ) that was used without further purification.
$N$-(2,2-Dioxido-1,3-dihydro-2-benzothien-5-yl)-4-(4-fluoro-2-me-thoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (8): A mixture of crude 4-chloro- $N$-(2,2-dioxido-1,3-dihydro-2-benzothien-5-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2amine ( 75 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( 64 mg , $0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane/water ( $20: 1$, 2.5 mL ) was degassed with a stream of argon for 15 min . $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 60 min at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with dioxane, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 8 as a white solid ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{brd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.83 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : 387.0927, found: 387.0926.

N-\{3-[(tertButylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2amine: DIPEA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine (1; $198 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/iPrOH $(1: 1,2.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, $3-[(t e r t-$ butylsulfonyl)methyl]aniline ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 2 h to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product $(654 \mathrm{mg})$ that was used without further purification.

## $N$-\{3-[(tertButylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-4-(4-fluoro-2-methoxy- <br> phenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (9): A mixture of crude $N$-\{3-[(tert-butylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine

( 150 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( 75 mg , $0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 1.4 mL ) and aq. $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under argon for 90 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and THF, and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 9 as a light beige solid $(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.93$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.59$ (m, 2H), 7.72 (s, 1 H ), 7.82 (brs, 1 H ), 7.99 (brs, 1 H ), 8.81 ppm (brs, 1 H ); ESI-HRMS: $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 431.1553$, found: 431.1552.

1-[2-(Methylsulfonyl)propan-2-yl]-3-nitrobenzene and (rac)-1-[1-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]-3-nitrobenzene: Under argon, 1 m sodium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $4.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 1-[(methylsulfonyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at this temperature before iodomethane ( $725 \mathrm{mg}, 5.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the cold bath was removed and the mixture was slowly warmed to RT. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times)$. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane to hexane/EtOAc, 1:1) to give 1-[2-(methylsulfonyl)propan-2-yl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $139 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.47 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and (rac)-1-[1-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.87$ (d, 3H), $2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-$ $8.32 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
(rac)-3-[1-(Methylsulfonyl)ethyl]aniline: $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}$ solution (ca. $15 \%$ in $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, 2.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of (rac)-1-[1-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(3.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at RT , and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The pH was increased to 10 by the addition of 2 N NaOH , then the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times)$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated to give the crude product ( 88 mg ) that was used without further purification.
(rac)-4-Chloro-N-\{3-[1-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine: DIPEA ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine ( $1 ; 65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/ $i \operatorname{PrOH}(1: 1,0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a solution of crude (rac)-3-[1(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]aniline ( 86 mg ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{iPrOH}(1: 1,0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 2 h to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product ( 233 mg ) that was used without further purification.
(rac)-4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-N-\{3-[1-(methylsulfonyl)e-
thyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (10): A mixture of crude (rac)-4-chloro- $N$-\{3-[1-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine ( 233 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid (127 mg, $0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(129 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 2.3 mL ) and aq. $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under argon for 90 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and THF, and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 10 as a light beige solid ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.82$ (d, 3 H ), $2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{brd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (brs, 1 H$), 8.82 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$,
$1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 403.1240$, found: 403.1245.

3-[2-(Methylsulfonyl)propan-2-yl]aniline: $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}$ solution (ca. $15 \%$ in $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, 3.8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 1-[2-(meth-ylsulfonyl)propan-2-yl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(5.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at RT , and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The pH was increased to 10 by the addition of 2 N NaOH , then the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times)$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated to give the crude product ( 148 mg ) that was used without further purification.

4-Chloro-N-\{3-[2-(methylsulfonyl)propan-2-yl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-tria-zin-2-amine: DIPEA ( $0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine (1; $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{iPrOH}(1: 1,1.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a solution of crude $3-[2-$ (methylsulfonyl)propan-2-yl]aniline ( 146 mg ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{PrOH}$ (1:1, 0.6 mL ) was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 2 h to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product ( 354 mg ) that was used without further purification.

4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-N-\{3-[2-(methylsulfonyl)propan-2-yl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (11): A mixture of crude 4-chloro-$N$-\{3-[2-(methylsulfonyl)propan-2-yl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine
( 125 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( 65 mg , $0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 1.2 mL ) and aq. $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under argon for 90 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and THF, and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 11 as a light beige solid $(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.56$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.95 (brs, 2H), $8.82 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : 417.1397, found: 417.1396.
1-[Difluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene and (rac)-1-[fluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene: Under argon, 1 м sodium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $10.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 1-[(methylsulfonyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $1000 \mathrm{mg}, 4.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at this temperature before $N$-fluorobenzenesulfonimide ( $3662 \mathrm{mg}, 11.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the cold bath was removed. At RT, the mixture was extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times)$. The combined organic phases were washed with aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 1-[difluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 15 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{dd}$, 1 H ), $8.56 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and (rac)-1-[fluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]-3nitrobenzene ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.07(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37-8.41$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) , 8.43-8.45 ppm (m, 1 H ).
(rac)-3-[Fluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]aniline: $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}$ solution (ca. $15 \%$ in $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, 0.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of (rac)-1-[fluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene (14 mg, $0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 0.6 mL ) at RT, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The pH was increased to $9-10$ by the addition of an aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, then the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc/THF (1:1, $3 \times$ ). The combined organic phases were washed
with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated to give the crude product ( 20 mg ) that was used without further purification.
(rac)-4-Chloro- $N$-\{3-[fluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine: DIPEA ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine ( $1 ; 12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/iPrOH ( $1: 1,0.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a solution of crude (rac)-3-[fluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]aniline (16 mg) in THF/iPrOH $(1: 1,0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 90 min to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product ( 38 mg ) that was used without further purification.
(rac)-4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-N-\{3-[fluoro(methylsulfonyl)-methyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (12): A mixture of crude (rac)-4-chloro- N -\{3-[fluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-tria-zin-2-amine (38 mg), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 0.4 mL ) and aq. $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under argon for 90 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and THF, and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 12 as a light beige solid ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42-7.58 (m, 2H), 7.85 (brs, 1 H ), 7.94 (s, 2H), $8.83 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 407.0989$, found: 407.0990.

3-[Difluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]aniline: $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}$ solution (ca. $15 \%$ in $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, 0.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 1 -[difluor-o(methylsulfonyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 0.7 mL ) at RT, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The pH was increased to $9-10$ by the addition of an aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, then the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc/THF (1:1, $3 \times$ ). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated to give the crude product ( 18 mg ) that was used without further purification.

4-Chloro- N -\{3-[difluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-tria-zin-2-amine: DIPEA ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine ( $1 ; 12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/ $i \operatorname{PrOH}(1: 1,0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a solution of crude 3-[difluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]aniline ( 17 mg ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{PrOH}(1: 1,0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 90 min to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product $(40 \mathrm{mg})$ that was used without further purification.
$N$-\{3-[Difluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-4-(4-fluoro-2-me-thoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (13): A mixture of crude 4-chloro- $N$-\{3-[difluoro(methylsulfonyl)methyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2amine ( 40 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( 20 mg , $0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 0.4 mL ) and aq. $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under argon for 90 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and THF, and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 13 as a white solid ( 6 mg , $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 6.74-6.81 (m, 2H), 7.43-7.56 (m, 3H), 7.95-8.09 (m, 3H), 8.84 ppm (s, 1 H ); ESI-HRMS: $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : 425.0895, found: 425.0899.

1-(3-\{[4-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino\}phenyl)methanesulfonamide (14): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-tria-zin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide ( 103 mg ), 2-methoxyphenylboronic acid $(68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(218 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.03 mmol ) in dioxane/water ( $10: 1,8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was degassed with a stream of nitrogen for 15 min . $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \quad(25 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.03 mmol ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 90 min at $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 14 as a light beige solid ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=$ 3.87 (s, 3 H ), $4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ (brs, 2H), 7.06-7.13 (m, 2H), 7.21 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.52 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI) m/z: $372[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

1-(3-\{[4-(4-Chloro-2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino\}phenyl)methanesulfonamide (15): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide (174 mg), 4-chloro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(217 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane/water ( $10: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was degassed with a stream of nitrogen for 15 min . $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 90 min at $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 15 as a light beige solid ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, [D ${ }_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI) m/z: $406[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

1-(3-\{[4-(3-Methoxypyridin-4-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino\}phenyl)methanesulfonamide (16): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide (141 mg), 3-me-thoxypyridine-4-boronic acid (104 mg, 0.68 mmol ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ $(213 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane/water $(10: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with a stream of nitrogen for $15 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(38 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.05 mmol ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 90 min at $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 16 as a white solid ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=3.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.52 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI) m/z: $373[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

1-(3-\{[4-(4-Fluoro-2-hydroxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino\}phenyl)methanesulfonamide (17): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide ( 300 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-hydroxyphenylboronic acid $(234 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(425 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane/water $(20: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with a stream of argon for 15 min . $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 1 h at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with an aq. NaCl solution and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (basic conditions) to give 17 as a white solid ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (dd, 1 H$)$, 6.75-6.82 (m, 1H), 7.18 (d, 1 H$), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ (s, 1 H ) , 8.41 (dd, 1 H$), 8.78$ (s, 1 H$), 10.41$ (brs, 1 H$)$, 12.95$13.35 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} \quad[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 376.0880$, found: 376.0883 .

1-(3-\{[4-(2,4-Difluorophenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino\}phenyl)methanesulfonamide (18): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-tria-zin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide ( 300 mg ), 2,4-difluorophenylboronic acid ( $237 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(425 \mathrm{mg}$, 2.00 mmol ) in dioxane/water ( $20: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was degassed with a stream of argon for $15 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 1 h at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with an aq. NaCl solution and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (basic conditions) to give 18 as a light pink solid ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=$ $4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ (brs, 2 H ), 8.30 (brs, 1 H ), 8.86 (s, 1 H ), $10.47 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: m/z $[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 378.0836$, found: 378.0834 .

1-[3-(\{4-[2-(Trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl\}amino)phenyl]methanesulfonamide (19): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide ( 300 mg ), 2(trifluoromethoxy)phenylboronic acid ( $309 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(425 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane/water ( $20: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was degassed with a stream of argon for 15 min . $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 1 h at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with an aq. NaCl solution and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (basic conditions) to give $19(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (td, 2 H$), 7.81$ (brs, 1 H$), 8.06$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.51 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 426.0848$, found: 426.0844 .

1-[3-(\{4-[2-(Methoxymethyl)phenyl]-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl\}amino)phenyl]methanesulfonamide (20): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide ( 400 mg ), 2(methoxymethyl)phenylboronic acid ( $221 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(377 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane/water $(50: 1,9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with a stream of nitrogen for $15 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 15 h at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube. The mixture was poured onto ice and extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative $\mathrm{TLC}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 29: 1\right)$ yielding 20 as a white solid ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.32$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27$ (brs, 2H), $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ (t, 1 H ), $7.52(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ (brs, 1 H$), 8.67 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$, 1 H ); MS (ESI) m/z: $386[M+H]^{+}$.
$N$-[5-Fluoro-2-(4-\{[3-(sulfamoylmethyl)phenyl]amino\}-1,3,5-tria-zin-2-yl)phenyl]acetamide (21): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide ( 75 mg ), 2-(acetylamino)-4-fluorophenylboronic acid ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane/water $(20: 1,2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with a stream of argon for 15 min . $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 60 min at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with dioxane, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 21 as a white solid ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta=2.08(\mathrm{brs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ (ddd, 1 H$)$, $7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{brd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.56 (dd, 1 H ), 8.87 (s, 1 H ), 10.30 (s, 1 H$), 12.33 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-

HRMS: $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 417.1145$, found: 417.1146.

1-[3-(\{4-[4-Fluoro-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl\}amino)phenyl]methanesulfonamide (22): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide ( 100 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenylboronic acid (104 mg, $0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane/water ( $20: 1$, 3.3 mL ) was degassed with a stream of argon for 15 min . $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 60 min at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with dioxane, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 22 as a white solid ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , [D ${ }_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=4.23$ (brs, 2H), 6.88 (s, 2H), 7.10 (d, 1 H), 7.28-7.38 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.81$ (dd, 1 H$), 7.91(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.52 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 428.0804$, found: 428.0813.

1-[3-(\{4-[2-(Benzyloxy)-4-fluorophenyl]-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl\}amino)phenyl]methanesulfonamide (23): A mixture of crude 3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzenemethanesulfonamide ( 300 mg ), 2-(benzyloxy)-4-fluorophenylboronic acid ( $369 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(425 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane/water $(20: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with a stream of argon for 15 min . $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated for 1 h at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave oven. The mixture was diluted with an aq. NaCl solution and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc, 2:1 to EtOAc) to give 23 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=4.21$ (brs, 2 H ), 5.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.82-6.95 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ (brs, 1 H$), 7.14$ (dd, 1 H$), 7.22-7.49$ (m, 6H), 7.69 (brs, 1 H$)$, 7.84 (brs, 2 H ), 8.84 (s, 1H), $10.36 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: m/z [M+ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : 466.1349, found: 466.1351.
(rac)-1-[(Methylsulfinyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene (26): $\quad \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 1-[(methylsulfanyl)-methyl]-3-nitrobenzene ( $25 ; 21.6 \mathrm{~g}, 117.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeCN ( 280 mL ), and the mixture was stirred at RT for 10 min . Periodic acid ( 28.8 g , 126.1 mmol ) was added under stirring in one portion and the temperature was kept below $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by cooling. The mixture was stirred at RT for 90 min , then added to a stirred solution of sodium thiosulfate pentahydrate ( $163 \mathrm{~g}, 660 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ice-water ( 1500 mL ). This mixture was saturated with solid NaCl and extracted with THF $(2 \times)$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}, 95: 5\right)$ to give $26(16.6 \mathrm{~g}$, $83.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97$ $(d, 1 H), 4.10(d, 1 H), 7.58(m, 1 H), 7.67(m, 1 H), 8.17(m, 1 H)$, 8.21 ppm (m, 1 H ).
(rac)-2,2,2-Trifluoro- $N$-[methyl(3-nitrobenzyl)oxido- $\lambda^{6}$-sulfanylidene]acetamide: To a suspension of (rac)-26 ( $16.6 \mathrm{~g}, 83.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), trifluoroacetamide ( $18.8 \mathrm{~g}, 166.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{MgO}(13.4 \mathrm{~g}, 332.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and rhodium(II) acetate dimer ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2290 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added iodobenzene diacetate ( $40.1 \mathrm{~g}, 124.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at RT. The mixture was stirred for 16 h at RT, then filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}\right.$, 97:3) to give the desired product ( 25.6 g ), still containing minor impurities: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.91 (d, 1 H$), 7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}$, 1 H ).
(rac)-1-[(S-Methylsulfonimidoyl)methyl]-3-nitrobenzene (27): $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(56.9 \mathrm{~g}, 411.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $(\mathrm{rac})-2,2,2-$
trifluoro- $N$-[methyl(3-nitrobenzyl)oxido- $\lambda^{6}$-sulfanylidene]acetamide $(25.6 \mathrm{~g}, \sim 82.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1768 \mathrm{~mL})$ at RT. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at RT, then diluted with EtOAc and brine, and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times)$. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated to give (rac)-27 (13.9 g, $65.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.66$ (br, 1 H$), 2.99$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.29 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
(rac)-Ethyl [methyl(3-nitrobenzyl)oxido- $\lambda^{6}$-sulfanylidene]carbamate (28): Ethyl chlorocarbonate ( $8.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 84.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of (rac)-27 (13.9 g, 65.1 mmol$)$ in pyridine ( 615 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was slowly warmed to RT. After 24 h , the mixture was concentrated and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated to give (rac)-28 (19.7 g) that was used without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.31(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88$ (d, 1 H$), 7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
(rac)-Ethyl [(3-aminobenzyl)(methyl)oxido- $\lambda^{6}$-sulfanylidene]carbamate (29): $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}$ solution (ca. $15 \%$ in $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, 118 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of (rac)-28 (5.0 g, $\sim 17.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(220 \mathrm{~mL})$ at RT, and the mixture was stirred for 18 h . The solution was brought to pH 8 by the addition of 2 N NaOH to the reaction mixture, which was cooled with an ice bath. The mixture was saturated with solid NaCl and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times)$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated to give (rac)-29 ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ ) that was used without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.13(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18$ (br, 2H), 6.53 (m, 3H), $7.00 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(rac)-Ethyl [\{3-[(4-chloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)amino]benzyl\}(methyl)-oxido- $\lambda^{6}$-sulfanylidene]carbamate (30): DIPEA ( $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine (1; $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{PPOH}(1: 1,18 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a solution of (rac)-29 ( $2.29 \mathrm{~g}, \sim 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{iPrOH}(1: 1,9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at this temperature. Under stirring, the temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised over 3 h to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated to give ( rac ) $-30(4.9 \mathrm{~g})$ that was used without further purification.
(rac)-Ethyl [(3-\{[4-(4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]-amino\}benzyl)(methyl)oxido- $\lambda^{6}$-sulfanylidene]carbamate (31): A mixture of crude (rac)-30 ( 400 mg ), 4-fluoro-2-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( $276 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 5.0 mL ) and aq. $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed using argon. The mixture was stirred under argon for 80 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with brine. The organic phase was filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}, 95: 5\right)$ to give (rac)-31 (178 mg, $0.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.30(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(rac)-4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)- $N$-\{3-[(S-methylsulfonimi-doyl)methyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (32 and BAY 1143572): Freshly prepared 1.5 m sodium ethanolate in EtOH $(2.9 \mathrm{~mL}$, 4.35 mmol ) was added under argon to a solution of (rac)-31 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(18.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Further 1.5 m sodium ethanolate in EtOH ( 2.9 mL , 4.35 mmol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 5 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with
brine and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times)$. The combined organic phases were filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}, 9: 1\right)$ to give the desired racemic product as a white solid ( 378 mg , $0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96$ (s, 3H), $3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.80 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : 388.1243, found: 388.1239. The racemate was separated into the single enantiomers by preparative HPLC [system: Dionex P580 pump, Gilson 215 Liquid Handler, Knauer K-2501 UV detector; column: Chiralpak IC $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 250 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$; eluent: hexane/EtOH (6:4) $+0.1 \%$ diethylamine; flow: $40 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; solution: $2600 \mathrm{mg} /$ 44 mL EtOH/DMSO (2:1); injection: $55 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~mL}$; temperature: RT; detection: UV 254 nm$]: t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{min}):$ 13.4-15.6 (enantiomer 1: compound 32; ESI-HRMS: m/z $[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : 388.1243, found: 388.1241), 15.6-18.5 (enantiomer 2: BAY 1143572).
(R)-4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-N-\{3-[(S-methylsulfonimidoyl)-methyl]phenyl\}-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (BAY 1143572): Mp: $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{589}^{20}=-14.0 \pm 0.40\left(c=1\right.$ in DMSO); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right):$ $\delta=2.81$ (brs, 3H), 3.57 (s, 1H), 3.88 (brs, 3H), 4.29-4.40 (m, 2H), 6.90 (td, 1 H$), 7.09$ (dd, 1 H$), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-8.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 8.75-8.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.34 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , [ $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=40.9,56.2,62.3,100.4,106.7,120.2,122.8,122.9$, 125.8, 128.4, 130.9, 133.2, 138.5, 160.0, 163.1, 164.5, 166.2, $171.1 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}): \tilde{v}=3325-3246,3013,2960-2918,1616-1403$, 1284-1103 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ESI-HRMS: $m / z[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : 388.1243, found: 388.1246.
(R)- $N$-[(3-\{[4-(4-Fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]ami-no\}benzyl)(methyl)oxido- $\lambda^{6}$-sulfanylidene]acetamide (33): Acetyl chloride ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of BAY 1143572 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in TEA ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ice bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at RT for 3 h . Then, the mixture was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times)$. The combined organic phases were filtered using a Whatman filter and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (acidic conditions) to give 33 as a white solid ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , [D ${ }_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{brs}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (brs, 1 H ), 8.03 (brs, 1 H ), $8.83 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-HRMS: m/z $[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 430.1349$, found: 430.1346 .

## X-ray structure determination of 33

Single crystals of 33 were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethyl acetate solution of 33 at RT. A single crystal was mounted on a CryoLoop using inert oil. Data collection was carried out using a Bruker diffractometer equipped with an APEX II CCD area detector, an $I \mu \mathrm{~S}$ microsource with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, mirrors as monochromator and a Kryoflex low temperature device ( $T=110 \mathrm{~K}$ ). The program APEX II v.2011.8-0 was used for data collection and reduction. ${ }^{[29]}$ Absorption correction and scaling was performed using SADABS. ${ }^{[30]}$ The crystal structure solution was achieved using direct methods as implemented in SHELXTL version $6.14^{[31]}$ and visualized using the XP program. ${ }^{[31]}$ Missing atoms were subsequently located from difference Fourier synthesis and added to the atom list. Leastsquares refinement on $F^{2}$ using all measured intensities was carried out using the program SHELXTL version 6.14. ${ }^{[31]}$ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined including anisotropic displacement parameters. Final data collection and structure refinement parameters: $\lambda=$
$1.54178 \AA, \quad T=110 \mathrm{~K}$, space $\operatorname{group}=P 2(1), a=10.3041(8) \AA, \quad b=$ 7.9030(9) $\AA, c=12.8716(11) \AA, \beta=108.990(5)^{\circ}, Z=2$, reflections collected $=6794$, independent reflections $=1261$ ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0848$ ), completeness $=98.2 \%$, data-to-parameter ratio $=11.5, \quad R_{1} \quad(I>2 \sigma)=$ 0.061, $w R_{2}$ (all data) $=0.1420, \mathrm{GOF}=0.988$, Flack parameter $=$ 0.05(4), largest difference peak and hole $=0.297 /-0.262$ e $\AA^{-3}$. CCDC 1565910 (33) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
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