

Magnesium efflux from Drosophila Kenyon cells is critical for normal and diet-enhanced long-term memory

Yanying Wu¹, Yosuke Funato², Eleonora Meschi¹, Kristijan D Jovanoski¹, Hiroaki Miki², Scott Waddell¹*

¹Centre for Neural Circuits and Behaviour, The University of Oxford, Tinsley Building, Oxford, United Kingdom; ²Department of Cellular Regulation, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, Suita, Japan

Abstract Dietary magnesium (Mg²⁺) supplementation can enhance memory in young and aged rats. Memory-enhancing capacity was largely ascribed to increases in hippocampal synaptic density and elevated expression of the NR2B subunit of the NMDA-type glutamate receptor. Here we show that Mg²⁺ feeding also enhances long-term memory in *Drosophila*. Normal and Mg²⁺-enhanced fly memory appears independent of NMDA receptors in the mushroom body and instead requires expression of a conserved CNNM-type Mg²⁺-efflux transporter encoded by the *unextended (uex)* gene. UEX contains a putative cyclic nucleotide-binding homology domain and its mutation separates a vital role for *uex* from a function in memory. Moreover, UEX localization in mushroom body Kenyon cells (KCs) is altered in memory-defective flies harboring mutations in cAMP-related genes. Functional imaging suggests that UEX-dependent efflux is required for slow rhythmic maintenance of KC Mg²⁺. We propose that regulated neuronal Mg²⁺ efflux is critical for normal and Mg²⁺-enhanced memory.

Introduction

Magnesium (Mg²⁺) plays a critical role in cellular metabolism and is considered to be an essential cofactor for more than 350 enzymes (*Romani and Scarpa, 2000*; *Vink and Nechifor, 2011*). As a result, alterations of Mg²⁺ homeostasis are associated with a broad range of clinical conditions, including those affecting the nervous system, such as glaucoma (*DeToma et al., 2014*), Parkinson's disease (*Hermosura et al., 2005*; *Hermosura and Garruto, 2007*; *Lin et al., 2014*; *Shindo et al., 2016*), Alzheimer's disease (*Andrási et al., 2000*; *Andrási et al., 2005*; *Cilliler et al., 2007*; *Durlach et al., 1997*; *Glick, 1990*; *Lemke, 1995*; *Chui et al., 2011*; *Vural et al., 2010*), anxiety (*Sartori et al., 2012*), depression (*Whittle et al., 2011*; *Murck, 2002*; *Murck, 2013*; *Rasmussen et al., 1990*; *Ghafari et al., 2015*), and intellectual disability (*Arjona et al., 2014*).

Perhaps surprisingly, increasing brain Mg²⁺ through diet can enhance neuronal plasticity and memory performance of young and aged rodents, measured in a variety of behavioral tasks (*Slutsky et al., 2010; Landfield and Morgan, 1984; Mickley et al., 2013; Abumaria et al., 2013*). In addition, elevated Mg²⁺ reduced cognitive deficits in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease (*Li et al., 2013*) and enhanced the extinction of fear memories (*Abumaria et al., 2011*). These apparently beneficial effects have led to the proposal that dietary Mg²⁺ may have therapeutic value for patients with a variety of memory-related problems (*Billard, 2011*).

Despite the large number of potential sites of Mg²⁺ action in the brain, the memory-enhancing property in rodents has largely been attributed to increases in hippocampal synaptic density and the activity of N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptors (NMDARs). Extracellular Mg²⁺ blocks the channel pore of the NMDAR and thereby inhibits the passage of other ions (*Mayer et al., 1984*;

*For correspondence: scott.waddell@cncb.ox.ac.uk

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 35

Received: 22 July 2020 Accepted: 25 November 2020 Published: 26 November 2020

Reviewing editor: K VijayRaghavan, National Centre for Biological Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, India

© Copyright Wu et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

eLife digest The proverbial saying 'you are what you eat' perfectly summarizes the concept that our diet can influence both our mental and physical health. We know that foods that are good for the heart, such as nuts, oily fish and berries, are also good for the brain. We know too that vitamins and minerals are essential for overall good health. But is there any evidence that increasing your intake of specific vitamins or minerals could help boost your brain power?

While it might sound almost too good to be true, there is some evidence that this is the case for at least one mineral, magnesium. Studies in rodents have shown that adding magnesium supplements to food improves how well the animals perform on memory tasks. Both young and old animals benefit from additional magnesium. Even elderly rodents with a condition similar to Alzheimer's disease show less memory loss when given magnesium supplements. But what about other species?

Wu et al. now show that magnesium supplements also boost memory performance in fruit flies. One group of flies was fed with standard cornmeal for several days, while the other group received cornmeal supplemented with magnesium. Both groups were then trained to associate an odor with a food reward. Flies that had received the extra magnesium showed better memory for the odor when tested 24 hours after training.

Wu et al. show that magnesium improves memory in the flies via a different mechanism to that reported previously for rodents. In rodents, magnesium increased levels of a receptor protein for a brain chemical called glutamate. In fruit flies, by contrast, the memory boost depended on a protein that transports magnesium out of neurons. Mutant flies that lacked this transporter showed memory impairments. Unlike normal flies, those without the transporter showed no memory improvement after eating magnesium-enriched food. The results suggest that the transporter may help adjust magnesium levels inside brain cells in response to neural activity.

Humans produce four variants of this magnesium transporter, each encoded by a different gene. One of these transporters has already been implicated in brain development. The findings of Wu et al. suggest that the transporters may also act in the adult brain to influence cognition. Further studies are needed to test whether targeting the magnesium transporter could ultimately hold promise for treating memory impairments.

Bekkers and Stevens, 1993; Jahr and Stevens, 1990; Nowak et al., 1984). Importantly, prior neuronal depolarization, driven by other transmitter receptors, is required to release the Mg^{2+} block on the NMDAR and permit glutamate-gated Ca²⁺ influx. The NMDAR therefore plays an important role in neuronal plasticity as a potential Hebbian coincidence detector. Acute elevation of extracellular Mg^{2+} concentration ($[Mg^{2+}]_{e}$) within the physiological range (0.8–1.2 mM) can antagonize induction of NMDAR-dependent long-term potentiation (Dunwiddie and Lynch, 1979; Malenka et al., 1992; Malenka and Nicoll, 1993; Slutsky et al., 2004). In contrast, increasing [Mg²⁺]e for several hours in neuronal cultures leads to enhancement of NMDAR mediated currents and facilitation of the expression of LTP (Slutsky et al., 2004). The enhancing effects of increased [Mg²⁺], were also observed in vivo in the brain of rats fed with Mg²⁺-L-threonate (*Slutsky et al., 2010*). Hippocampal neuronal circuits undergo homeostatic plasticity (*Turrigiano, 2008*) to accommodate the increased $[Mg^{2+}]_{e}$ by upregulating expression of NR2B subunit containing NMDARs (Slutsky et al., 2004; Slutsky et al., 2010). The higher density of hippocampal synapses with NR2B containing NMDARs are believed to compensate for the chronic increase in [Mg²⁺]_e by enhancing NMDAR currents during burst firing. In support of this model, mice that are genetically engineered to overexpress NR2B exhibit enhanced hippocampal LTP and behavioral memory (Tang et al., 1999).

Olfactory memory in *Drosophila* involves a heterosynaptic mechanism driven by reinforcing dopaminergic neurons, which results in presynaptic depression of cholinergic connections between odoractivated mushroom body (MB) Kenyon cells (KCs) and downstream mushroom body output neurons (MBONs) (*Schwaerzel et al., 2003*; Aso et al., 2010; Aso et al., 2012; Claridge-Chang et al., 2009; Burke et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Plaçais et al., 2013; Owald et al., 2015; Hige et al., 2015; Barnstedt et al., 2016; Perisse et al., 2016; Aso et al., 2014; Owald and Waddell, 2015). In addition, olfactory information is conveyed to KCs by cholinergic transmission from olfactory projection neurons (**Yasuyama et al., 2002**; **Leiss et al., 2009**). Although it is conceivable that glutamate is delivered to the MB network via an as yet to be identified route, there is currently no obvious location for NMDAR-dependent plasticity in the known architecture of the cholinergic input or output layers (**Barnstedt et al., 2016**). The fly therefore provides a potential model to investigate other mechanisms through which dietary Mg²⁺ might enhance memory.

The reinforcing effects of dopamine depend on the Dop1R D1-type dopamine receptor (*Kim et al., 2007; Qin et al., 2012; Handler et al., 2019*), which is positively coupled with cAMP production (*Tomchik and Davis, 2009; Boto et al., 2014*). Moreover, early studies in *Drosophila* identified the *dunce* and *rutabaga* encoded cAMP phosphodiesterase and type I Ca²⁺⁻stimulated adenylate cyclase, respectively, to be essential for olfactory memory (*Dudai et al., 1976; Byers et al., 1981; Dudai and Zvi, 1984; Chen et al., 1986; Livingstone et al., 1984; Levin et al., 1992*). Studies in mammalian cells have shown that hormones or agents that increase cellular cAMP level often elicit a significant Na⁺-dependent extrusion of Mg²⁺ into the extracellular space (*Romani and Scarpa, 1990b; Romani and Scarpa, 1990a; Romani and Scarpa, 2000; Vink and Nechifor, 2011; Vormann and Günther, 1987*). However, it is unclear whether Mg²⁺ extrusion plays any role in memory processing.

Here we demonstrate that *Drosophila* long-term memory (LTM) can be enhanced with dietary Mg²⁺ supplementation. We find that the *unextended* (*uex*) (*Maeda, 1984; Coulthard et al., 2010*) gene, which encodes a functional fly ortholog of the mammalian Cyclin M2 Mg²⁺-efflux transporter (CNNM) proteins, is critical for the memory enhancing property of Mg²⁺. UEX function in MB KCs is required for LTM and functional restoration of *uex* reveals the MB to be the key site of Mg²⁺-dependent memory enhancement. Chronically changing cAMP metabolism by introducing mutations in the *dnc* or *rut* genes alters the cellular localization of UEX. Moreover, mutating the conserved cyclic nucleotide-binding homology (CNBH) domain in UEX uncouples an essential role for *uex* from its function in memory. UEX-driven Mg²⁺ efflux is required for slow rhythmic maintenance of KC Mg²⁺ levels suggesting a potential role for Mg²⁺ flux in memory processing.

Results

Mg²⁺ feeding enhances LTM of wild-type flies

Prior studies reported that feeding rats with food containing a high concentration of Mg²⁺-enhanced their learning and memory capability (*Slutsky et al., 2010; Landfield and Morgan, 1984; Abumaria et al., 2011; Mickley et al., 2013; Abumaria et al., 2013*). We therefore tested whether similar effects exist in flies by feeding them with food containing a high concentration of Mg²⁺ before training. Surprisingly, wild-type flies fed for 4 days before training with food supplemented with additional magnesium chloride (MgCl₂) exhibited significantly enhanced 24 hr memory performance. Memory enhancement depends on concentration and was maximal when food was supplemented with 80 mM MgCl₂ (*Figure 1A*). Immediate memory performance was not obviously enhanced (*Figure 1B*). The enhancing effect of MgCl₂ was also observed in flies fed with magnesium sulfate (MgSO₄) but not calcium chloride (CaCl₂) (*Figure 1C*). In addition, feeding flies for 4 days with food containing between 5 and 80 mM strontium chloride (SrCl₂) resulted in high levels of mortality and flies that survived 5 mM SrCl₂ feeding did not show enhanced immediate or 24 hr memory performance (data not shown). The memory enhancing effects can therefore be specifically attributed to dietary supplementation of divalent Mg²⁺.

Mg²⁺-enhanced memory is independent of NMDAR in the mushroom bodies

Since magnesium-L-threonate enhanced memory in rats was correlated with an upregulation of hippocampal NR2B subunit-containing NMDARs (*Slutsky et al., 2010*), we tested for changes in glutamate receptor expression in flies fed with MgCl₂. RT-qPCR analyses did not reveal a significant difference in the abundance of mRNAs for the putative NMDA (*Nmdar1, Nmdar2*), AMPA (*GluRIA*), or kainate-type (*GluRIIA*) receptors in heads taken from flies fed for 4 days with 80 mM MgCl₂ versus those fed with 1 mM MgCl₂ (*Figure 1D*).

We next directly tested whether Mg²⁺-enhanced memory required NMDAR function, by knocking down expression of the *Nmdar1* or *Nmdar2* genes using transgenic UAS-driven RNA interference

eLife Research article



Figure 1. Dietary Mg^{2+} supplementation enhances *Drosophila* long-term memory. (A) Wild-type flies were trained and tested for 24 hr appetitive memory after 1–5 days of ad libitum feeding on food supplemented with Mg^{2+} . Memory was significantly enhanced in flies fed for 4 days with 80 mM MgCl₂, as compared to those fed with 1 mM. 80 mM MgCl₂ produced marginally higher performance than 50 mM or 100 mM and so was considered optimal (asterisks denote p<0.05, t-test between 1 mM and 80 mM groups for each time point, n = 6–8). (B) 4 days of 80 mM MgCl₂ food did not enhance immediate memory. (C) Appetitive 24 hr memory was enhanced by feeding wild-type flies for 4 days with MgCl₂ and MgSO₄, but not CaCl₂. Asterisks denote significant differences (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 6) between Mg²⁺ fed and plain groups. (D) RT-qPCR showed no significant differences in glutamate receptor mRNA expression between 1 mM and 80 mM fed flies (t-test, n = 5). (E) c739-GAL4; UAS-*MagFRET-1* flies were fed for 4 days on food supplemented with Mg²⁺. Brains were dissected and fixed and a fluorescence emission ratio measurement (Citrine/Cerulean) was taken as an indicator of [Mg²⁺]. The MagFRET signal was significantly greater in the $\alpha\beta$ lobes of flies fed with 80 mM MgCl₂ than those fed with 1 mM MgCl₂ (p<0.05, t-test, n = 52–60). Unless otherwise noted, all data are mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). Asterisks denote significant differences (p<0.05), individual data points displayed as open circles.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Knockdown of N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptor (NMDAR) in mushroom bodies does not impair Mg²⁺-enhanced memory.

(RNAi) constructs (**Dietzl et al., 2007**; **Perkins et al., 2015**). Of the two independent UAS-*Nmdar1*^{R-NAi} and four UAS-*Nmdar2*^{RNAi} lines we tested, only one *Nmdar1*^{RNAi} (BDSC 25941) line, when driven in all neurons by *neuronal Synaptobrevin* (*nSyb*)-GAL4, exhibited significantly decreased 24 hr memory performance, as compared to that of heterozygous control flies (*Figure 1—figure supplement* **1A**). In contrast, more selective expression of this UAS-*Nmdar1*^{RNAi} in LTM-relevant $\alpha\beta$ KCs using c739-GAL4 did not significantly impair 24 hr memory performance (*Figure 1—figure supplement* **1B**). Moreover, flies expressing *Nmdar1*^{RNAi} in $\alpha\beta$ neurons retained robust Mg²⁺-enhanced memory (*Figure 1—figure supplement* **1C**). These results suggest that Mg²⁺-enhanced memory does not alter expression of glutamate receptors, or require NMDAR function in $\alpha\beta$ KCs.

Mg^{2+} concentration in $\alpha\beta$ neurons is elevated in flies fed high Mg^{2+}

We used MagFRET, the first genetically encoded fluorescent Mg²⁺ sensor (*Lindenburg et al., 2013*), to test whether Mg²⁺ feeding altered the intracellular Mg²⁺ concentration ($[Mg^{2+}]_i$). We constructed flies harboring a UAS-*MagFRET-1* transgene and combined it with c739-GAL4 to express MagFRET-1 in $\alpha\beta$ KCs. We compared the FRET signals in fixed brains from c739; UAS-*MagFRET-1* flies fed with either 1 mM or 80 mM MgCl₂ food for 4 days. The MagFRET signal was significantly higher in both the α and β collaterals of $\alpha\beta$ KCs of flies fed with 80 mM, than in those fed with 1 mM (*Figure 1E*). This result indicates that Mg feeding elevates neuronal [Mg²⁺]_i. Given the affinity of MagFRET-1 (Kd = 148 μ M) and the ~50% increase in FRET signal upon Mg²⁺ binding (*Lindenburg et al., 2013*), we estimate that the ~8% enhancement of the MagFRET signal measured in flies fed 80 mM MgCl₂ corresponds approximately to a 50 μ M increase of $\alpha\beta$ KC [Mg²⁺]_i on average.

The *unextended* encoded CNNM-type Mg²⁺ transporter has a role in memory

We identified *unextended* (*uex*; *Maeda*, *1984*; *Coulthard et al.*, *2010*) as a gene altering appetitive olfactory LTM, reinforced with sucrose reward. Flies with the *uex*^{MI01943} MiMIC insertion (*Venken et al.*, *2011*) showed a strong defect in 24 hr memory, but their performance immediately after training was indistinguishable from that of wild-type controls. More detailed analysis of *uex*^{MI01943} flies revealed a steady decay of memory that first became significantly different to that of wild-type flies 12 hr after training (*Figure 2A*). No memory defect was evident in heterozygous *uex*^{MI01943}/+ flies, demonstrating that this putative *uex* allele is recessive.

uex piqued our attention because it is the single fly ortholog of the four human *CNNM* genes that encode Mg²⁺ transporters (*Ishii et al., 2016*), and it also contains a putative CNBH domain that is structurally related to those in cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (*Zagotta et al., 2003; Flynn et al., 2007; Kesters et al., 2015*). Alignment of the 834 amino acid UEX sequence with CNNM1-4 reveals particularly high sequence conservation with CNNM2 and CNNM4 in the DUF21, CBS pair, and CNBH domains (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1A–C*). We therefore hypothesized that UEX had potential to link the memory-enhancing effects of dietary Mg²⁺ with cAMP-dependent neuronal plasticity.

Although *uex*^{MI01943} is assigned to the *uex* gene, the MiMIC element is annotated to lie 17 kb downstream of the *uex* coding region (*Venken et al., 2011; Figure 2B*). *RYa* (*Yoon et al., 2016*) is the next nearest gene to *uex*^{MI01943} but is >230 kb further away. We first confirmed the MiMIC location by inverse PCR (*Attrill et al., 2016*). Importantly, no additional MiMIC insertion was detected in these flies. We next tested whether *uex*^{MI01943} was responsible for the memory defect by precisely removing the MiMIC element by Minos transposase-mediated excision (*Arcà et al., 1997; Figure 2—figure supplement 2A and B*). MiMIC removal in *uex*^{MI01943.ex1} and *uex*^{MI01943.ex2} flies restored normal 24 hr memory performance, demonstrating that the MiMIC insertion is required for the *uex*^{MI01943} memory defect (*Figure 2C*).

Both qRT-PCR of mRNA and western blot analysis of protein extracts from fly heads failed to reveal a significant difference in *uex*/UEX expression in *uex*^{MI01943} flies. We therefore used CRISPR to introduce a stop codon into the fifth coding exon of the *uex* locus (*Figure 2B* and *Figure 2—figure supplement 2C*). Flies homozygous for the resulting *uex* Δ mutation were not viable as adults, dying at the larval stage. In contrast, heterozygous *uex*^{MI01943}/*uex* Δ flies were viable, but their 24 hr appetitive memory was significantly impaired (*Figure 2D*). These data demonstrate that *uex* is an essential gene and that *uex*^{MI01943} is a viable hypomorphic allele of *uex*.

We also tested the aversive memory performance of *uex*^{MI01943} mutant flies. Homozygous *uex*^{MI01943} flies exhibited immediate memory that was indistinguishable from that of heterozygous and wild-type controls (*Figure 2E*). However, their 24 hr memory, formed following either five trials of aversive spaced training (*Tully et al., 1994; Jacob and Waddell, 2020*), or one trial of fasting facilitated training (*Hirano et al., 2013*), was significantly impaired (*Figure 2E*). These experiments suggest that *uex*^{MI01943} flies are more generally compromised in their ability to form LTM. Unless otherwise specified, all subsequent analyses of memory in this study use appetitive sugar-rewarded conditioning.



Figure 2. $uex^{M101943}$ mutant flies have defective long-term memory (LTM). (A) Appetitive memory retention was tested at various times after training. Flies homozygous for $uex^{M101943}$ showed a significant defect in memory from 12 hr after training, as compared to the performance of heterozygous $uex^{M101943}$ /+ and wild-type control flies (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 6–10). (B) The uex locus lies on chromosome 2R between 3,900,285 and 3,949,425 (light blue bar). The four alternate uex transcripts, uex-RE, uex-RG, uex-RH, and uex-RF, all encode the same protein. The $uex^{M101943}$ MiMIC (blue triangle) resides ~17 kb downstream of the uex coding region. The CRISPR/Cas9 edited $uex\Delta$ allele replaces a 3047 bp fragment, including Exon 7 of uex with a STOP signal (termination codon in all three reading frames) and a GFP cassette, truncating the uex reading frame (dark blue bar). (C) Precise excision of the $uex^{M101943}$ MiMIC restores normal 24 hr memory to $uex^{M101943.ex1}$ and $uex^{M101943.ex2}$ flies (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 8–11). (D) $uex\Delta$ fails to complement the 24 hr memory defect of $uex^{M101943}$ (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 6–8). (E) Flies homozygous for $uex^{M101943}$ showed a significant defect in aversive LTM, as *Figure 2 continued on next page*



Figure 2 continued

compared to the performance of heterozygous $uex^{MI01943}$ /+ and wild-type control flies (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 8–12). An LTM defect was also observed following five cycles of aversive spaced training and a 16 hr fasting facilitated one-cycle training protocol. Immediate aversive memory was unaffected in $uex^{MI01943}$ homozygous mutant flies.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

Source data 1. Table of sugar and olfactory sensory acuity controls for all behavioral experiments in this manuscript.

Figure supplement 1. Conservation of UEX with its orthologs.

Figure supplement 2. Construction schemes for uex Minos excision and creation of the uex Δ allele.

A role for *uex* in the mushroom bodies

To localize *uex* in the brain we first took advantage of VT23256-GAL4 transgenic flies, in which GAL4 is driven by an 853 bp sequence from the first intron of *uex* (*Kvon et al., 2014*). VT23256-driven UAS-*EGFP* revealed restricted expression in $\alpha\beta$ KCs with particularly strong label in $\alpha\beta$ core ($\alpha\beta_c$) neurons (*Figure 3A*). We also used CRISPR to insert a C-terminal HA-epitope tag into the *uex* open reading frame (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1A*). These flies were viable as homozygotes indicating that the resulting UEX::HA fusion protein retains function. Immunostaining flies harboring this *uex::* HA locus with an anti-HA antibody revealed prominent labeling of all the major KC classes in the MB, in addition to lower expression throughout the brain (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1B*; Croset et al., 2018; Davie et al., 2018). Given the established role for $\alpha\beta$ KCs in olfactory LTM (*Pascual and Préat, 2001; Yu et al., 2006; Krashes et al., 2007; Krashes and Waddell, 2008*), we reasoned that a mnemonic role for UEX may involve expression in KCs.

We next used GAL4-directed expression of RNAi to test whether 24 hr memory performance required uex in the MB. Flies expressing uex^{RNAi} (Perkins et al., 2015) in all $\alpha\beta$ KCs (c739-GAL4; Yang et al., 1995; Perisse et al., 2013) or only in $\alpha\beta_c$ KCs (NP7175-GAL4; Tanaka et al., 2008) showed normal immediate memory but significantly impaired 24 hr memory (Figure 3C). In contrast, uex^{RNAi} expression in $\alpha\beta$ surface ($\alpha\beta_s$, 0770-GAL4; Perisse et al., 2013) or $\alpha'\beta'$ KCs (c305a-GAL4; Krashes et al., 2007) did not significantly alter immediate or LTM performance. Normal 24 hr appetitive memory performance is therefore particularly sensitive to uex expression in $\alpha\beta_c$ neurons. To reduce the likelihood that the uex^{RNAi} associated memory defect results from a developmental consequence, we also restricted UAS-uex^{RNAi} expression to adulthood using GAL80^{ts}-mediated temporal control (McGuire et al., 2003). At permissive 18°C, GAL80^{ts} binds to GAL4 and suppresses its transcriptional activator function. At restrictive 30°C, GAL80^{ts} can no longer bind to GAL4, which frees GAL4 to direct expression of the UAS-uex^{RNAi} transgene. Flies were raised through development at 18°C and moved to 30°C after eclosion. Restricting UAS- uex^{RNAi} expression to $\alpha\beta$ KCs in adult flies using c739-GAL4 with GAL80^{ts} produced a similar 24 hr specific memory defect to that observed when UAS-uex^{RNAi} was expressed without temporal control (Figure 3D-F). We assessed the efficacy of the UAS-uex^{RNAi} knockdown using our tagged uex::HA locus. Brains from heterozygous uex::HA flies expressing uex^{RNAi} in the $\alpha\beta$ and γ KCs with MB247-GAL4 (Zars et al., 2000) were immunostained using anti-HA antibody. Comparing the intensity of immunolabeling in brains from uex::HA; MB247-GAL4/uex^{RNAi} flies with that from uex::HA; MB247-GAL4/+ flies showed that uex^{RNAi} expression significantly reduced anti-HA signal in the $\alpha\beta$ and γ KCs (Figure 3G and H). This result demonstrates the efficiency of the uex^{RNAi} transgene and the utility of the CRISPR/Cas9 edited uex::HA locus.

We next tested whether expression in specific KCs of an UAS-uex transgene could restore 24 hr memory capacity to $uex^{MI01943}$ flies. Memory performance of $uex^{MI01943}$ flies expressing UAS-uex in $\alpha\beta$ and γ KCs (MB247-GAL4; **Zars et al., 2000**) or only the $\alpha\beta$ KCs (c739-GAL4) was significantly improved over that of $uex^{MI01943}$ flies, and was statistically indistinguishable from that of controls with an intact uex locus (**Figure 4A**). In contrast, UAS-uex expression in $\alpha'\beta'$, $\alpha\beta_c$, or $\alpha\beta_s$ KCs did not restore memory performance to $uex^{MI01943}$ flies and overexpressing uex in $\alpha\beta$ KCs of wild-type flies did not augment 24 hr memory (**Figure 4A and B**). Normal 24 hr memory performance could also be restored to $uex^{MI01943}$ flies if UAS-uex expression was confined to c739-GAL4 neurons (all $\alpha\beta$ KCs) in adulthood using GAL80^{ts}-mediated temporal control (**Figure 4C and D**). Together, these loss-of-function RNAi and restoration experiments establish that UEX plays an important role in adult

eLife Research article

Neuroscience



Figure 3. Knocking down uex expression in $\alpha\beta$ Kenyon cells (KCs) impairs LTM. (A) A uex promoter fragment-GAL4 directs GFP expression in $\alpha\beta_c$ KCs. Anti-GFP immunostained uex-GAL4 (VT23256); UAS-EGFP line. (B) Anti-HA immunostaining of brains harboring the CRISPR/Cas9-edited uex::HA locus shows strong labeling of UEX in all the major subdivisions of the mushroom body (MB). Scale bars 20 µm. (C) RNAi knockdown of uex in all $\alpha\beta$ (c739-GAL4) or just $\alpha\beta_c$ (NP7175-GAL4) KCs specifically impaired 24 hr memory. $\alpha\beta_s$ (0770-GAL4) or $\alpha'\beta'$ (c305a-GAL4) KC expression had no effect (p<0.05, Figure 3 continued on next page



ANOVA, n = 6–10 for immediate and n = 8–14 for 24 hr memory). (D) Defective LTM was observed if uex^{RNAi} expression was confined to $\alpha\beta$ KCs of adult flies using GAL80^{ts-}mediated temporal control. (E) LTM performance was unaffected if the uex^{RNAi} was kept suppressed throughout and (F) LTM performance was restored to normal levels if expression of uex^{RNAi} was re-suppressed for 3 days (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 6 for immediate and n = 8 for 24 hr memory). (G) Immunostaining shows the effectiveness of uex^{RNAi} . Fluorescence intensity in the $\alpha\beta$ and γ lobes of uex::HA flies decreased significantly when UAS- uex^{RNAi} was expressed with MB247-GAL4. Scale bars 20 μ m. (H) Quantification of fluorescence intensity in G (p<0.05, t-test, n = 6–8). The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. Construction scheme for the *uex::HA* line and tSNE plots of *uex* expression.

 $\alpha\beta$ KCs. Finding that $\alpha\beta_c$ RNAi knockdown of *uex* produces a memory defect (*Figure 3C*) but UAS*uex* expression in $\alpha\beta_c$ does not rescue the *uex*^{MI01943} mutant defect (*Figure 4A*) suggests that UEX function in $\alpha\beta_c$ KCs is essential for appetitive LTM, whereas both the $\alpha\beta_c$ and $\alpha\beta_s$ KCs need to have functional UEX to support LTM. In addition, the ability of UAS-*uex* to restore performance to uex^{MI0194} flies provides further support that *uex* is responsible for the memory impairment in $uex^{MI01943}$ flies.

uex expression in the MB supports Mg²⁺-enhanced memory

We next investigated whether Mg^{2^+} feeding (4 days with 80 mM MgCl₂) could improve memory performance in flies with compromised *uex* function. Flies carrying the *uex*^{MI01943} allele (*Figure 4F*) or those expressing UAS-*uex*^{RNAi} in the $\alpha\beta$ KCs with c739-GAL4 (*Figure 4E*) did not show enhanced memory when fed with 80 mM MgCl₂, as compared to flies fed with 1 mM MgCl₂. Moreover, the Mg²⁺-enhanced memory was recovered in *uex*^{MI01943} mutant flies when *uex* expression was restored to the $\alpha\beta$ KCs (*Figure 4F*). All control flies (c739-GAL4, UAS-*uex*^{RNAi}, and UAS-*uex*) with unperturbed *uex* expression exhibited significantly enhanced memory when fed with 80 mM as compared to 1 mM MgCl₂. Overexpressing UAS-*uex* in $\alpha\beta$ KCs with c739-GAL4 in flies with a wild-type genetic background neither enhanced regular 24 hr memory (*Figure 4B*), or that in flies fed for 4 days with 40 or 80 mM MgCl₂ (*Figure 4G*). We also tested whether 4 days of 80 mM MgCl₂ supplementation enhanced 24 hr memory performance following aversive spaced training. Again, memory of wildtype, but not *uex*^{MI01943} mutant flies showed enhancement (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1*). Together these results indicate that optimal memory enhancement with Mg²⁺ feeding requires, and can be fully supported by, UEX function in $\alpha\beta$ KCs.

UEX is a functionally conserved magnesium transporter

Given the strong sequence conservation of UEX with mammalian CNNM2/4 we tested whether CNNM2 could functionally substitute for UEX and restore the LTM defect of $uex^{MI01943}$ flies. Several point mutations in CNNM2 have been identified in human patients with hypomagnesemia, which is associated with brain malformation and intellectual disability (*Arjona et al., 2014*). Introduction of the equivalent mutations into mouse CNNM2 (CNNM2^{E357K}, CNNM2^{T568I}, CNNM2^{S269W}, and CNNM2^{E122K}) showed that these patient-derived lesions impair magnesium transport (*Arjona et al., 2014*). We constructed flies carrying wild-type and these mutant variant UAS-CNNM2 transgenes (*Figure 5A*). Staining for an associated C-terminal HA-tag revealed clear expression of all UAS-CNNM2::HA variants in $\alpha\beta$ neurons when driven with c739-GAL4 (*Figure 5—figure supplement 1*). However, only expression of wild-type CNNM2, and not point-mutant forms, in $\alpha\beta$ KCs of $uex^{MI01943}$ mutant flies restored 24 hr memory performance (*Figure 5B*).

We also tested whether UEX can mediate Mg²⁺ extrusion. UEX expressed in HEK293 cells localized to the plasma membrane and cells loaded with Mg²⁺ and the Mg²⁺ indicator Magnesium Green showed rapid Mg²⁺ efflux (*Figure 5—figure supplement 2* and *Video 1*), as compared to cells transfected with empty vector. Mg²⁺ extrusion driven by UEX was noticeably less efficient than in cells expressing Human CNNM4 (*Figure 5—figure supplement 2*), which is known to have similar efficiency to CNNM2 (*Hirata et al., 2014*). However, we do not know if UEX and CNNM4 expression is equivalent. Nevertheless, demonstration of cross-species complementation and Mg²⁺ efflux activity defines UEX as a functional homolog of mammalian CNNM2/4.



Figure 4. Rescue of the LTM defect in $uex^{MI01943}$ flies. Restoring expression of UAS-uex in $\alpha\beta$ and γ (MB247-GAL4) or $\alpha\beta$ Kenyon cells (KCs) rescued 24 hr memory performance of $uex^{MI01943}$ flies, whereas expression in $\alpha\beta_c$, $\alpha\beta_s$ or $\alpha'\beta'$ KCs did not (p<0.05, ANOVA and t-test, n = 8–12). (B) Overexpression of UAS-uex in $\alpha\beta$ KCs did not enhance 24 hr memory performance in wild-type flies (ANOVA, n = 8–12). (C) Defective LTM was rescued if UAS-uex expression was confined to $\alpha\beta$ KCs of adult flies using GAL80^{ts} mediated temporal control (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 6 for immediate and n = 8 *Figure 4 continued on next page*



Figure 4 continued

for 24 hr memory) but (**D**) remained defective if UAS-uex expression was not released. (**E**) Memory enhancement with dietary Mg^{2+} is supported by UEX in $\alpha\beta$ KCs. Memory of flies expressing UAS-uex^{RNAi} in the $\alpha\beta$ KCs cannot be enhanced with Mg^{2+} feeding (t-test, n = 8). (**F**) Memory of $uex^{MI01943}$ mutant flies cannot be enhanced with Mg^{2+} feeding, but enhancement was restored by expressing UAS-uex in $\alpha\beta$ KCs (p<0.05, t-test, n = 8–12). (**G**) Memory of wild-type flies was not sensitized to Mg^{2+} enhancement by overexpressing UAS-uex in $\alpha\beta$ KCs. Memory was enhanced if the flies were fed with 80 mM MgCl₂, but not with suboptimal 40 mM MgCl₂ (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 8).

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Mg²⁺ feeding enhanced LTM after aversive spaced training in wild-type but not uex^{MI01943} mutant flies.

An intact CNBH domain is required for memory

Given the established role for cAMP signaling in memory-relevant plasticity in invertebrates and mammals (*Kandel, 2012*), we tested the importance of the CNBH domain in UEX. We constructed flies carrying a point-mutated CNBH UAS- uex^{R622K} transgene (*Figure 6A*). The equivalent R622K amino acid substitution abolishes cAMP binding in the regulatory subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase, PKA (*Bubis et al., 1988*). Expressing UAS- uex^{R622K} in $\alpha\beta$ neurons with c739-GAL4 did not restore 24 hr memory performance, or alter the immediate memory performance, of $uex^{MI01943}$ mutant flies (*Figure 6B*).

We also used CRISPR to attempt to introduce the R622K mutation into the CNBH of the native uex locus (**Bassett et al., 2013**; **Gratz et al., 2013**; **Yu et al., 2013**). Unexpectedly, this approach did not introduce the R622K substitution but instead replaced T626 in the CNBH with NRR. Fortuitously, flies homozygous for this uex^{T626NRR} allele were viable as adults, unlike those homozygous for uex Δ , suggesting that the uex^{T626NRR} encoded UEX retains function. However, flies homozygous for uex^{T626NRR} or heterozygous uex^{T626NRR}/ uex^{MI01943} flies exhibited a strong 24 hr memory defect (*Figure 6C*). Immediate memory was also impaired in homozygous uex^{T626NRR} flies, unlike flies carrying all other combinations of uex alleles. In addition, memory of uex^{T626NRR} flies could not be enhanced with Mg²⁺ feeding (*Figure 6D*). The uex^{T626NRR} mutation therefore uncouples the essential



Figure 5. *uex* encodes an evolutionarily conserved Mg²⁺ transporter. (A) Model of CNNM2 protein structure showing clinically relevant point mutations. Adapted and modified from *Arjona et al., 2014*. (B) Overexpression of wild-type, but not mutant, CNNM2 in $\alpha\beta$ Kenyon cells rescues the memory defect of *uex*^{MI01943} mutant flies (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 6–8 for immediate and n = 8–12 for 24 hr memory). The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 5:

Figure supplement 1. Transgenic expression of mutant variants of CNNM2. **Figure supplement 2.** UEX-dependent Mg²⁺ efflux in HEK293 cells.



Video 1. UEX promotes Mg²⁺-efflux from HEK293 cells. Representative movies showing Mg²⁺-efflux from HEK293 cells transfected with different expression vectors. Imaging protocol is described in **Yamazaki et al., 2013**. The cells indicated with asterisks in the first frame of each movie are the cells expressing the anti-FLAG immunostained CNNM4 or UEX, which were identified after each live-imaging experiment. Empty vector control is shown in the upper left. The fluorescence signal of CNNM4-FLAG and UEX-FLAG expressing cells decreases rapidly when extracellular Mg²⁺ is depleted, which was performed between the third and fourth frames in each movie. https://elifesciences.org/articles/61339#video1 Neuroscience

role for uex from a function in memory and suggests that cyclic nucleotide regulated activity is critical for UEX to support normal and Mg^{2+} enhanced memory. Although we confirmed using western blotting that a full-length protein is expressed in $uex^{T622NRR}$ flies (*Figure 6E*), our antibody did not permit us to verify that the UEX^{T626NRR} protein localizes appropriately in the brain. Further work is therefore required to characterize the cellular localization, cAMP binding, and Mg²⁺ transport function of the protein encoded by this serendipitous $uex^{T626NRR}$ allele.

Chronic cAMP manipulation alters UEX localization in KCs

We tested whether cAMP could acutely alter UEX activity by applying forskolin to UEXexpressing HEK293 cells. However, no obvious change in the UEX-dependent Mg^{2+} efflux dynamic was observed (data not shown). We therefore tested whether KC expression of UEX:: HA was altered in flies with chronic alterations of cAMP metabolism, by introducing learning-relevant mutations in the *rutabaga*-encoded Ca²⁺stimulated adenylate cyclase, or the *dunce*encoded cAMP-specific phosphodiesterase.

Anti-HA immunostaining of brains from rut^{2080} ; uex::HA and dnc^1 ; uex::HA flies revealed a striking change in UEX localization (*Figure 7A and B* and *Videos 2–4*). Whereas UEX::HA is usually detected in the lobes of all KCs at a roughly equivalent level in wild-type flies, labeling was lower in the MB γ lobe and more pronounced in the $\alpha\beta_c$ KCs in rut^{2080} and dnc^1 mutant backgrounds (*Figure 7C*), although the overall MB expression of UEX::HA is similar between wild-type and mutant flies (*Figure 7D*). In addition, western blot analyses of protein extracted from heads of these flies did not reveal a significant difference in overall UEX::HA expression levels (data not shown). These data are therefore consistent with cAMP regulating UEX function and perhaps its cellular localization in KCs.

UEX is required to maintain a fluctuating $[Mg^{2+}]_i$ in $\alpha\beta$ KCs

Although MagFRET can report [Mg²⁺] it does not respond quickly enough to record stimulus-evoked signals. We therefore constructed flies harboring UAS-transgenes for two newer genetically encoded Mg²⁺ sensors, MagIC (non-FRET based; *Koldenkova et al., 2015*) and MARIO (FRET based; *Maeshima et al., 2018*). We were unable to detect UAS-MARIO expression in the fly brain and therefore could only use UAS-MagIC. MagIC was reported to respond most strongly to Mg²⁺ but also to a lesser extent to Ca²⁺ (*Koldenkova et al., 2015*). We therefore first verified the specificity of MagIC responses in a cell-permeabilized ex vivo fly brain preparation. Brains were removed from flies expressing UAS-MagIC in $\alpha\beta$ KCs with c739-GAL4 (*Figure 8A*), incubated in a dish with saline (*Barnstedt et al., 2016*) and changes in fluorescence were monitored before and after bath application of chemicals. Whereas application of MgCl₂ evoked a dose-dependent increase in the MagIC response, chelation of Mg²⁺ with EDTA produced a dose-dependent decrease (*Figure 8B* and *Videos 5* and 6). In comparison, CaCl₂ only registered a slight increase at the highest concentrations whereas the more Ca²⁺-selective chelator EGTA had little effect (*Figure 8B*). These results demonstrate that UAS-MagIC can monitor [Mg²⁺]_i in the $\alpha\beta$ KCs in the fly brain.

Increasing intracellular cAMP has been shown to elicit Mg^{2+} flux from mammalian cells (Romani and Scarpa, 2000; Vormann and Günther, 1987; Jakob et al., 1989; Romani and Scarpa, 1990b; Romani and Scarpa, 1990a; Vormann and Günther, 1987; Günther et al., 1990; Howarth et al., 1994). Since our experiments also indicated that cAMP might regulate UEX, we next tested whether stimulating cAMP synthesis with forskolin (FSK) might alter MagIC signals in $\alpha\beta$



Neuroscience



Figure 6. The cyclic nucleotide-binding homology (CNBH) domain of UEX is required for memory. (A) Schematic showing sequence detail of the CNBH domain in UEX, and the amino acid changes made in uex^{R622K} and $uex^{T626NRR}$. (B) Expressing a UAS- uex^{R622K} transgene in $\alpha\beta$ Kenyon cells did not rescue the LTM defect of $uex^{M101943}$ mutant flies (p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 8). Immediate memory was also unaffected. (C) Flies homozygous for $uex^{T626NRR}$ have defective short- and long-term memory, while trans-heterozygous $uex^{T626NRR}/uex^{M101943}$ flies only exhibit impaired LTM (*p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 8). Figure 6 continued on next page

Figure 6 continued

(D) Dietary Mg^{2+} did not enhance memory of homozygous $uex^{T626NRR}/uex^{T626NRR}$ flies (p<0.05, t-test, n = 8). (E) Western blot analysis of UEX protein expression in fly head extracts. Genotype from left to right: wild-type, $uex^{T626NRR}/uex^{T626NRR}$, $uex^{T626NRR}/uex^{T626NRR}/uex^{Mi01943}$, $uex^{Mi01943}/uex^{Mi0194}/uex^{Mi0194}/uex^{Mi01943}/$

KCs. For these experiments we again used an ex vivo brain preparation but this time the cells were not permeabilized. 30 μ M FSK has been shown to evoke a peak increase in cAMP in KCs that approximates that observed following appetitive conditioning (*Louis et al., 2018*). Applying 30 μ M FSK to c739-GAL4; UAS-MagIC brains evoked a consistent dynamic in MagIC fluorescence. After a sharp initial rise, responses slowly decayed back toward baseline before again rising slowly to a point at which the signal started to fluctuate. (*Figure 8C and D* and *Video 7*). The key signatures of this response were only recorded in the Mg²⁺-sensitive Venus signal (*Figure 8D*). In contrast mCherry fluorescence did not fluctuate but steadily decreased across the time course of the recording (likely a result of photo-bleaching), demonstrating that the fluctuation in the Venus signal is not a movement artifact (*Figure 8E*). Importantly, FSK induced MagIC responses were greater than those following application of saline (*Figure 8—figure supplement 1A*). However, a fluctuating response also developed after saline applications (*Figure 8—figure supplement 1B*) suggesting that the rhythmic MagIC signal may be a general response to an increase in [Mg²⁺]; that follows cellular perturbation.

The Drosophila MB has previously been reported to exhibit a slow (0.004 Hz) Ca²⁺ oscillation in ex vivo brains whereas a much faster 20 Hz oscillation is evoked by odors in the locust MB (Laurent and Naraghi, 1994; Rosay et al., 2001). Although our initial characterization of MagIC in the fly brain indicated a preferential response to Mg^{2+} (Figure 8B), we nevertheless explicitly tested whether FSK induced fluctuation of the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ of $\alpha\beta$ KCs, using expression of UAS-GCaMP6f (Chen et al., 2013). FSK induced a delayed increase in the GCaMP response but no clear oscillatory activity was observed (Figure 8—figure supplement 1C-E).

Lastly, we tested whether the observed MagIC responses were sensitive to the status of the *uex* gene. We generated *uex*^{MI01943} flies that also harbored c379-GAL4 and UAS-MagIC and compared their FSK- and saline-induced MagIC responses to those of flies with a wild-type *uex* locus. The *uex*^{MI01943} mutant flies showed an increased FSK response to that of wild-type flies, whereas saline-evoked responses were indistinguishable (*Figure 8F and G*). Responses evoked by the inactive FSK analogue, ddFSK, were also insensitive to the status of *uex* (*Figure 8—figure supplement 1F*). Mutation of *uex* therefore selectively increases mean FSK-evoked MagIC responses.

We also noticed that MagIC traces from *uex* mutant flies did not exhibit a fluctuating signal (*Figure 8H* and *Figure 8—figure supplement 1G*). To quantify this difference we calculated the mean power spectral density (PSD) of traces from *uex*^{MI01943} and wild-type flies treated with FSK or saline. In both conditions the mean PSD was significantly left-shifted toward lower frequencies in the *uex*^{MI01943} mutants compared to the wild-type controls (*Figure 8I*). Wild-type fly brains had significantly more oscillatory activity centered around 0.015 Hz than those from *uex*^{MI01943} mutants. These data therefore suggest that UEX is required for slow rhythmic maintenance of KC [Mg²⁺]_i. Importantly, finding that MagIC signals are elevated and altered in *uex* mutants confirms that the observed MagIC responses are Mg²⁺-dependent. Moreover, they suggest that the KC expressed UEX limits Mg²⁺ accumulation, consistent with a role in extrusion.

Discussion

We observed an enhancement of olfactory LTM performance when flies were fed for 4 days before training with food supplemented with 80 mM [Mg²⁺]. This result resembles that reported in rats, although longer periods of feeding were required to raise brain [Mg²⁺] to memory-enhancing levels (*Slutsky et al., 2010*). A difference in optimal feeding time may reflect the size of the animal and perhaps the greater bioavailability of dietary Mg²⁺ in *Drosophila*. Whereas Mg²⁺-L-threonate (MgT) was a more effective means of delivering Mg²⁺ than magnesium chloride in rats (*Slutsky et al., 2010*), we observed a similar enhancement of memory performance when flies were fed with magnesium chloride, magnesium sulfate, or MgT (data not shown).



Figure 7. Kenyon cell (KC) uex expression is altered in *rutabaga* and *dunce* mutant flies. (A) Anti-HA stained brains reveal UEX::HA protein localization is altered in rut^{2080} ; uex::HA and dnc^1 ; uex::HA flies, becoming more prominent in $\alpha\beta_c$ KCs (arrows). Scale bars 20 μ m. (B) Enlarged images of the mushroom bodies (MBs) highlighting $\alpha\beta_c$ KC expression in rut^{2080} and dnc^1 mutant flies, as compared with wild-type uex::HA flies. Scale bars 20 μ m. (C) Quantification of fluorescence intensity. Left, micrograph with a measurement line through the α lobe tip and rectangular ROIs for the γ lobe and a control area. Middle, relative fluorescence intensity profiles across the α lobe tip show significantly higher signal in rut^{2080} and dnc^1 mutant flies in the center region occupied by the $\alpha\beta$ core KCs (*p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 6–10). Right, the relative intensity in the γ lobe was significantly lower in rut^{2080} and dnc^1 mutant flies, as compared to wild-type controls (*p<0.05, ANOVA, n = 6–10). Scale bars 10 μ m. (D) Left, micrograph showing circular ROIs. Right, *Figure 7 continued on next page*

Figure 7 continued

quantification. Total intensity over all six ROIs on the MBs was not significantly different between the rut^{2080} , dnc^1 and wild-type brains (p>0.13; ANOVA, n = 6–10).

Elevating [Mg²⁺]_e in the rat brain leads to a compensatory upregulation of expression of the NR2B subunit of the NMDAR and therefore an increase in the proportion of postsynaptic NR2B-containing NMDARs. This class of NMDARs have a longer opening time (*Chen et al., 1999*; *Erreger et al., 2005*) suggesting that this switch in subunit composition represents a homeostatic plasticity mechanism (*Turrigiano, 2008*) to accommodate for the increased NMDAR block imposed by increasing [Mg²⁺]_e. Moreover, overexpression of NR2B in the mouse forebrain can enhance synaptic facilitation and learning and memory performance (*Tang et al., 1999*), supporting an increase in NR2B being an important factor in Mg²⁺-enhanced memory. However, even in the original in vitro study of Mg²⁺-enhanced synaptic plasticity (*Slutsky et al., 2004*), it was noted that NMDAR currents were insufficient to fully explain the observed changes.

Our NMDAR subunit loss-of-function studies in the Drosophila KCs did not impair regular or Mg²⁺-enhanced memory. Furthermore, we did not detect an obvious change in the levels of brainwide expression of glutamate receptor subunits in Mg²⁺-fed flies. Although NMDAR activity has previously been implicated in Drosophila olfactory memory, the effects were mostly ascribed to function outside the MB (Xia et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2007). In addition, overexpressing Nmdar1 in all neurons, or specifically in all KCs, did not alter STM or LTM. Ectopic overexpression in the MB of an NMDAR^{N631Q} version, which cannot be blocked by Mg²⁺, impaired LTM (*Miyashita et al., 2012*). However, this mutation permits ligand-gated Ca²⁺ entry, without the need for correlated neuronal depolarization, which may perturb KC function in unexpected ways. It is perhaps most noteworthy that learning-relevant synaptic depression in the MB can be driven by dopaminergic teaching signals delivered to cholinergic output synapses from odor-responsive KCs to specific MBONs (Claridge-Chang et al., 2009; Aso et al., 2012; Burke et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Owald et al., 2015; Hige et al., 2015; Barnstedt et al., 2016; Perisse et al., 2016; Aso et al., 2014; Owald and Waddell, 2015; Handler et al., 2019). It is conceivable that KCs receive glutamate, from a source yet to be identified, but there is currently no obvious place in the MB network for NMDAR-dependent plasticity. Evidence therefore suggests that normal and Mg²⁺-enhanced Drosophila LTM is independent of NMDAR signaling in KCs. In addition, our MagFRET measurements indicate that Mg²⁺ feeding also increases the $[Mg^{2+}]_i$ of $\alpha\beta$ KCs by approximately 50 µM.

We identified a role for uex, the single fly ortholog of the evolutionarily conserved family of CNNM-type Mg²⁺ efflux transporters (Ishii et al., 2016). There are four distinct CNNM genes in mice and humans, five in C. elegans, and two in zebrafish (Ishii et al., 2016; Arjona et al., 2013). The uex locus produces four alternatively spliced mRNA transcripts, but all encode the same 834 aa protein. The precise role of CNNM proteins in Mg²⁺ transport is somewhat contentious (Funato et al., 2018a; Arjona and de Baaij, 2018; Funato et al., 2018b; Giménez-Mascarell et al., 2019). Some propose that CNNM proteins are direct Mg²⁺ transporters, whereas others favor that they function as sensors of intracellular Mg²⁺ concentration [Mg²⁺]; and/or regulators of other Mg²⁺ transporters. We found that ectopic expression of Drosophila UEX enhances Mg²⁺ efflux in HEK293 cells and that endogenous UEX limits $[Mg^{2+}]_i$ in $\alpha\beta$ KCs in the fly brain. Therefore, if UEX is not itself a Mg²⁺ transporter, it must be



Video 2. Expression of UEX in a wild-type *Drosophila* brain. Confocal Z-stack of a *uex::HA* fly brain stained with anti-HA antibody. https://elifesciences.org/articles/61339#video2

Wu et al. eLife 2020;9:e61339. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.61339



Video 3. Expression of UEX in a rut^{2080} Drosophila brain. Confocal Z-stack of a brain from a rut^{2080} ; uex:: HA fly stained with anti-HA antibody. The $\alpha\beta_c$ Kenyon cells label more prominently than in the wild-type uex:: HA brain in **Video 2**. https://elifesciences.org/articles/61339#video3



Video 4. Expression of UEX in a $dnc^1Drosophila$ brain. Confocal Z-stack of a brain from a dnc^1 ; uex::HA fly stained with anti-HA antibody. The $\alpha\beta_c$ Kenyon cells label more prominently than in the wild-type uex::HAbrain in **Video 2**. https://elifesciences.org/articles/61339#video4

able to interact effectively with human Mg²⁺ efflux transporters and to influence Mg²⁺ extrusion in *Drosophila*. Since UEX is the only CNNM protein in the fly, it may serve all the roles of the four individual mammalian CNNMs. However, the ability of mouse CNNM2 to restore memory capacity to *uex* mutant flies suggests that the memory-relevant UEX function can be substituted by that of CNNM2.

Interestingly, none of the disease-relevant variants of CNNM2 were able to complement the memory defect of *uex* mutant flies. The CNNM2 T568I variant substitutes a single amino acid in the second CBS domain (*Arjona et al., 2014*). The oncogenic protein tyrosine phosphatases of the PRL (phosphatase of regenerating liver) family bind to the CBS domains of CNNM2 and CNNM3 and can inhibit their Mg²⁺ transport function (*Hardy et al., 2015; Giménez-Mascarell et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017*). It will therefore be of interest to test the role of the UEX CBS domains and whether fly PRL-1 regulates UEX activity.

RNA-seq analysis reveals that *uex* is strongly expressed in the larval and adult fly digestive tract and nervous systems, as well as the ovaries (*Gelbart and Emmert, 2010*; *Croset et al., 2018*; *Davie et al., 2018*) suggesting that many *uex* mutations will be pleiotropic. Our *uex*∆ allele, which deletes 272 amino acids (including part of the second CBS and the entire CNBH domain) from the UEX C-terminus, results in developmental lethality when homozygous, demonstrating that *uex* is an essential gene. Mammalian CNNM4 is localized to the basolateral membrane of intestinal epithelial cells (*Yamazaki et al., 2013*). There it is believed to function in transcellular Mg²⁺ transport by exchanging intracellular Mg²⁺ for extracellular Na⁺ following apical entry through TRPM7 channels. Lethality in *Drosophila* could therefore arise from an inability to absorb sufficient Mg²⁺ through the larval gut. However, neuronally restricted expression of *uex*^{RNAi}with *elav*-GAL4 also results in larval lethality (data not shown), suggesting UEX has an additional role in early development of the nervous system, like CNNM2 in humans and zebrafish (*Arjona et al., 2014*; *Accogli et al., 2019*). Perhaps surprisingly, flies carrying homozygous or *trans*-heterozygous combinations of several hypomorphic *uex* alleles have defective appetitive and aversive memory performance, yet they seem otherwise unaffected.

Genetically engineering the *uex* locus to add a C-terminal HA tag to the UEX protein allowed us to localize its expression in the brain. Labeling is particularly prominent in all major classes of KCs. Restricting knockdown of *uex* expression to all $\alpha\beta$ KCs of adult flies, or even just the $\alpha\beta_c$ subset reproduced the LTM defect. The LTM impairment was evident if *uex*^{RNAi} expression in $\alpha\beta$ neurons

eLife Research article

Neuroscience



Figure 8. UEX limits a rise in $[Mg^{2+}]_i$ and supports a slow oscillation in $\alpha\beta$ Kenyon cells (KCs). (A) Explant fly brain expressing UAS-MagIC driven by c739-GAL4. Upper panel, wide-field phase contrast view; middle panels, fluorescence views of Venus and mCherry channels; lower panel, confocal section at the level of the KC somata showing Venus and mCherry channels. Scale bars 20 µm. (B) MagIC selectively responds to changes in $[Mg^{2+}]_i$ in KCs. Traces of MagIC ratio following bath application of 10, 20, or 40 mM MgCl₂ or CaCl₂; 5, 10, or 20 mM EDTA or EGTA. (C) Representative trace of *Figure 8 continued on next page*

Figure 8 continued

MagIC ratio following application of FSK shows an initial wave followed by a gradual rise and the development of a slow oscillation. (**D**) The primary responses result from changes in the Mg²⁺⁻sensitive Venus signal. (**E**) The mCherry signal exhibits a steady decay. (**F**) FSK-evoked MagIC responses are greater in *uex* mutant flies. Averaged MagIC responses show that FSK induced a significantly greater increase in *uex*^{MI01943} mutant than in wild-type flies. (**G**). Averaged saline-evoked MagIC responses were not significantly altered in *uex* mutant flies. (**H**) Individual Venus (green) and mCherry (red) channel traces showing that the slow oscillation is only evident in the Venus channel of wild-type, but not *uex* mutant, flies. (**I**) Power spectral density (PSD) analysis of the time series from 200 to 900 s of all data shows that traces from wild-type flies have significantly more oscillatory activity, centered around 0.015 Hz, than those from *uex* mutant flies.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 8:

Figure supplement 1. Individual traces for MagIC and GCaMP imaging.

was restricted to adult flies, suggesting UEX has a more sustained role in neuronal physiology. In contrast, knocking down *uex* expression in either the $\alpha\beta_s$ or $\alpha'\beta'$ neurons did not impair LTM. Activity of $\alpha'\beta'$ neurons is required after training to consolidate appetitive LTM (*Krashes and Waddell, 2008*), whereas $\alpha\beta_c$ and $\alpha\beta_s$ KC output, together and separately, is required for its expression (*Krashes and Waddell, 2008*; *Perisse et al., 2013*). Therefore, observing normal LTM performance in flies with *uex* loss-of-function in $\alpha\beta_s$ and $\alpha'\beta'$ neurons argues against a general deficiency of $\alpha\beta$ neuronal function when manipulating *uex*.

Dietary Mg^{2+} could not enhance the defective LTM performance of flies that were constitutively uex mutant, or harbored $\alpha\beta$ KC-restricted uex loss-of-function. However, expressing uex in the $\alpha\beta$ KCs of uex mutant flies restored the ability of Mg^{2+} to enhance performance. Therefore, the $\alpha\beta$ KCs are the cellular locus for Mg^{2+} -enhanced memory in the fly.

It perhaps seems counterintuitive that UEX-directed magnesium efflux is required in KCs to support the memory-enhancing effects of Mg^{2+} feeding, when dietary Mg^{2+} elevates KC $[Mg^{2+}]_i$. At this stage, we can only speculate as to why this is the case. We assume that the brain and $\alpha\beta$ KCs, in particular, have to adapt in a balanced way to the higher levels of intracellular and extracellular Mg^{2+} that result from dietary supplementation. Our live-imaging of KC $[Mg^{2+}]_i$ in wild-type and *uex* mutant brains suggests that UEX-directed efflux is likely to be an essential factor in the active, and perhaps stimulus-evoked, homeostatic maintenance of these elevated levels.

A number of mammalian cell-types extrude Mg²⁺ in a cAMP-dependent manner, a few minutes after being exposed to β-adrenergic stimulation (*Romani and Scarpa, 2000; Vormann and Günther, 1987; Jakob et al., 1989; Romani and Scarpa, 1990b; Romani and Scarpa, 1990a; Vormann and Günther, 1987; Günther et al., 1990; Howarth et al., 1994).* The presence of a CNBH domain suggests that UEX and CNNMs could be directly regulated by cAMP. We tested the importance of the CNBH by introducing an R622K amino acid substitution that should block cAMP binding in the UEX CNBH. This subtle mutation abolished the ability of the *uex*^{R622K} transgene to restore LTM performance to *uex* mutant flies. We also used CRISPR to mutate the CNBH in the

native uex locus. Although deleting the CNBH from CNNM4 abolished Mg²⁺ efflux activity (Chen et al., 2018), flies homozygous for the uex^{T626NRR} lesion were viable, demonstrating that they retain a sufficient level of UEX function. However, these flies exhibited impaired immediate and long-term memory. In addition, the performance of $uex^{T626NRR}$ flies could not be enhanced by Mg²⁺ feeding. These data demonstrate that an intact CNBH is a critical element of memory-relevant UEX function. Binding of clathrin adaptor proteins to the CNNM4 CNBH has been implicated in basolateral targeting (Hirata et al., 2014), suggesting that UEX^{T626NRR} might be inappropriately localized in KCs. Furthermore, KC expression of the CNNM2 E122K mutant variant, which retains residual function



Video 5. KC-expressed MagIC responds to Mg²⁺ application. Confocal time-series recording from a c739/+; UAS-MagIC/+ fly brain shows an increase in Venus, but not mCherry, fluorescence signal in response to 20 mM MgCl₂ application. https://elifesciences.org/articles/61339#video5

Neuroscience



Video 6. KC-expressed MagIC responds to Mg²⁺ chelation. Confocal time-series recording from a c739/ +; UAS-MagIC/+ fly brain shows a strong decrease in Venus and a weak decrease in mCherry fluorescence signal in response to 10 mM EDTA application. https://elifesciences.org/articles/61339#video6





but has a trafficking defect (Arjona et al., 2014), did not restore the uex LTM defect.

Although it has been questioned whether the CNNM2/3 CNBH domains bind cyclic nucleotides (Chen et al., 2018), we found that FSK evoked an increase in $\alpha\beta$ KC [Mg²⁺]_i that was sensitive to uex mutation, and that UEX::HA was mislocalized in rut²⁰⁸⁰ adenylate cyclase (Han et al., 1992) and dnc¹ phosphodiesterase (Dudai et al., 1976) learning defective mutant flies. Whereas UEX::HA label was evenly distributed in γ , $\alpha\beta_c$, and $\alpha\beta_s$ KCs in wild-type flies, UEX::HA label was diminished in the γ and $\alpha\beta_s$ KCs and was stronger in $\alpha\beta_c$ neurons in rut^{2080} and dnc^1 mutants. The chronic manipulations of cAMP in the mutants are therefore consistent with cAMP impacting UEX localization, perhaps by interacting with the CNBH. In addition, altered UEX localization may contribute to the memory defects of rut^{2080} and dnc^1 flies.

Our physiological data using Magnesium Green in mammalian cell culture and the genetically encoded MagIC reporter in $\alpha\beta$ KCs demonstrate that fly UEX facilitates Mg²⁺efflux. Stimulating the fly brain with FSK evoked a greater increase in $\alpha\beta$ KC [Mg²⁺]; in *uex* mutant brains than in wild-type controls which provides the first evidence that UEX limits a rise in $[Mg^{2+}]_i$ in Drosophila KCs. Our MagIC recordings also revealed a slow oscillation (centered around 0.015 Hz, approximately once a minute) of $\alpha\beta$ KC [Mg²⁺]; that was dependent on UEX. We do not yet understand the physiological function of this [Mg²⁺]; fluctuation although it likely reflects a homeostatic systems-level property of the cells. Biochemical oscillatory activity plays a crucial role in many aspects of cellular physiology (Novák and Tyson, 2008). Most notably, circadian timed fluctuation of [Mg²⁺], links dynamic cellular energy metabolism to clock-controlled translation through the Mg²⁺ sensitive mTOR (mechanistic target of rapamycin) pathway (Feeney et al., 2016). It is therefore possible that slow Mg^{2+} oscillations could unite roles for cAMP, UEX, energy flux (Placais et al., 2017), and mTOR-dependent translation underlying LTM-relevant synaptic plasticity (Casadio et al., 1999; Huber et al., 2000; Beaumont et al., 2001; Hou and Klann, 2004; Hoeffer et al., 2008).

Materials and methods

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)	Canton-S	Originally from W.G.Quinn lab	Canton-S	Waddell Lab stock
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-EGFP	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_5431	
Continued on payt page		Stock Center		

Key resources table

Continued

Reagent type

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	c739-GAL4	McGuire et al., 2001	c739-GAL4	Lab stock	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	c305a-GAL4	Krashes et al., 2007	c305a-GAL4	Lab stock	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	NP7175-GAL4	Tanaka et al., 2004	NP7175-GAL4	Lab stock	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	0770-GAL4	Gohl et al., 2011	0770-GAL4	Lab stock	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	MB247-GAL4	Zars et al., 2000	MB247-GAL4	Lab stock	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nSyb-GAL4	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_51635	Gift from J. Simpson	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	elav-GAL4	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_8765		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	tubPGAL80 ^{ts}	McGuire et al., 2003	tubP-GAL80 ^{ts}	Lab stock	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-Nmdar1 ^{RNAi}	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_25941		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nos-Cas9.P	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_54591		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nos-Cas9(X)	Fly Stocks of National Institute of Genetics	CAS0002		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	lig4 KO vasa-Cas9	Zimmer et al., 2016	lig4 KO vasa-Cas9	Gift from C. Zimmer	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	PhsILMiT	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_24613		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	rut ²⁰⁸⁰	Han et al., 1992	rut ²⁰⁸⁰	Lab stock	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	dnc ¹	Dudai et al., 1976	dnc ¹	Lab stock	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	uex ^{MI01943}	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_32805		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	uex ^{NC1}	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_7176		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS- <i>uex^{RNAi}</i>	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center Perkins et al., 2015	RRID:BDSC_36116		

Continued

Reagent type

Genetic magent Dr. mellenogester) ues/GAL4 Werna Drosphia Resource Center V12255 D. mellenogester) UAS-GCaMM6f Biosmignor Drosphia Stock Center RRID:BDSC.42747 D. mellenogester) UAS-GCaMM6f Biosmignor Drosphia Stock Center RRID:BDSC.42747 Genetic reagent (D. mellenogester) ues/M01MBaue1 This study ues/M01MBaue1 See Methods and Figure 2A-diggree supplement 2A and 8 Genetic reagent (D. mellenogester) ues/A This study ues/M01MBaue2 See Methods and Figure 3A-diggree anguplement 2C Genetic reagent (D. mellenogester) uesc:HA This study uesc:HA See Methods and Figure 3A-diggree anguplement 2C Genetic reagent (D. mellenogester) uesc:HA This study uesc:HA See Methods and Figure 3A-diggree and Figure 3A Genetic reagent (D. mellenogester) UAS-uex This study UAS-uex See Methods and Figure 3A Genetic reagent (D. mellenogester) UAS-uex This study UAS-UNM2 ^{VEXM} See Methods and Figure 3A Genetic reagent (D. mellenogester) UAS-UNM2 ^{VEXM} See Methods Methods and Figure 3A Genetic reagent (D. mellenogester) UAS-UNM2 ^{VEXM} <	(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic respont (D. melanogaster) UAS-GCAMP8F Bloomington Drosophila Stock Centur RBIDsEDSC_02747 Company (D. melanogaster) uex ^{M07943 ard} This study uex ^{M07943 ard} See Methods and Figure 2figure supplement 2A and B Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster) uex ^{M07943 ard} This study uex ^{M07943 ard} See Methods and Figure 2figure supplement 2A and B Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster) uex1 This study uex1 See Methods and Figure 2figure supplement 2A and B Cenetic reagent (D. melanogaster) uex1A This study uex1+A See Methods and Figure 2 figure 3 figure 3 figure 3 figure 3 figure 3 figure 3 figure 3 figure 4. Cenetic reagent (D. melanogaster) uex1 ⁵⁷²⁴⁰⁴⁸ This study uex1 ⁵⁷²⁴⁰⁴⁸ See Methods and Figure 4.A Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster) UAS-uex This study UAS-uex See Methods and Figure 5.A Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster) UAS-uex ^{M0774} This study UAS-UNM2 ^{V11} See Methods and Figure 5.A Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster) UAS-UNM2 ^{V21} This study UAS-CNNM2 ^{V11} See Methods and Figure 5.A Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster) UAS-CNNM2 ^{K122}	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	uex-GAL4	Vienna Drosophila Resource Center	VT23256	
Genetic resgent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) uex ^{M00963 and} This study uex ^{M00963 mell See Methods and Figure 2figure supplement 2A and B Cenetic resgent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) uex^{M01963 mell This study uex^{M01963 mell See Methods and Figure 2figure supplement 2A and B Cenetic reagent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) uexA This study uexA See Methods and Figure 2figure supplement 2C Genetic reagent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) uex:HA This study uexi(MA See Methods and Figure 2figure supplement 2C Genetic reagent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) uexi(HA This study uexi(MA See Methods and Figure 2figure supplement 1 Genetic reagent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) uexi(MA This study uexi(MA See Methods and Figure 3-A Genetic reagent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) UAS-uex This study UAS-uex See Methods and Figure 5A Genetic reagent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) UAS-CNINM2⁶⁷³⁰⁷ This study UAS-CNINM2⁶⁷³⁰⁷ See Methods and Figure 5A Genetic reagent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) UAS-CNINM2⁶⁷³⁰⁷ This study UAS-CNINM2⁶⁷³⁰⁷ See Methods Genetic reagent (<i>D. melanogasteri</i>) UAS-CNINM2⁶⁷³⁰⁷ This study}}}	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-GCaMP6f	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID:BDSC_42747	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)uex/METWAS and uex/METWAS and uex/AThis studyuex/ASee Methods and Figure 2figure supplement 2A and 8Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)uex/AThis studyuex/HASee Methods and Figure 3figure 3 figure 3 <b< td=""><td>Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)</td><td>uex^{MI01943.ex1}</td><td>This study</td><td>uex^{MI01943.ex1}</td><td>See Methods and Figure 2—figure supplement 2A and B</td></b<>	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	uex ^{MI01943.ex1}	This study	uex ^{MI01943.ex1}	See Methods and Figure 2—figure supplement 2A and B
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)uexASee Methods and Figure 2- Figure 3- figure 3- figure 3- figure 3- figure 3- figure 3- figure 3- 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	uex ^{MI01943.ex2}	This study	uex ^{MI01943.ex2}	See Methods and Figure 2—figure supplement 2A and B
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)uex:HAThis studyuex:TAASee Methods and Figure supplement 1Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-uexThis studyUAS-uexSee Methods and Figure 6AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-uexThis studyUAS-uexSee Methods and Figure 6AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-uexThis studyUAS-uexSee Methods and Figure 6AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNMZ ^{ENT} See Methods 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	uexΔ	This study	uexΔ	See Methods and Figure 2—figure supplement 2C
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)uex1502NIRThis studyuex1502NIRSee Methods and Figure 6A(D. melanogaster)UAS-uexThis studyUAS-uexSee Methods and Figure 6A(D. melanogaster)UAS-uex1502KThis studyUAS-uex1502KSee Methods and Figure 6A(D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{VT} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{VT} See Methods and Figure 6A(D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{VT} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{VT} See Methods and Figure 6AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{E337K} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{E357K} See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{F3681} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{F3681} See Methods 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	uex::HA	This study	uex::HA	See Methods and Figure 3— figure supplement 1
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-uexSee MethodsGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-uex^Met2XThis studyUAS-uex^Met2XSee Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{MT} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{MT} See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{EX57K} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{EX57K} See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{EX57K} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{EX57K} See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	uex ^{T626NRR}	This study	uex ^{T626NRR}	See Methods and Figure 6A
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-uexMS2CK MS2CKThis studyUAS-uexSee Methods and Figure 6AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{WT} MS-CNNM2 ^{ESS/K} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{ESS/K} and Figure 5ASee Methods 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-uex	This study	UAS-uex	See Methods
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{ENT} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{E337K} See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{E337K} See Methods 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-uex ^{R622K}	This study	UAS-uex ^{R622K}	See Methods and Figure 6A
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{E357K} UAS-CNNM2 ^{TS-681} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{E357K} UAS-CNNM2 ^{TS-681} See Methods and Figure SAGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{TS-681} UAS-CNNM2 ^{S2507W} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{S2507W} 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-CNNM2 ^{WT}	This study	UAS-CNNM2 ^{WT}	See Methods and Figure 5A
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ⁵⁵⁶⁸¹ This studyUAS-CNNM2 ⁵⁵⁶⁸¹ See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{62269W} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{5269W} See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{6122K} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{6122K} See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-CNNM2 ^{E357K}	This study	UAS-CNNM2 ^{E357K}	See Methods and Figure 5A
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM25269WThis studyUAS-CNNM25128WSee Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2 ^{E122K} This studyUAS-CNNM2 ^{E122K} See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagFRET-1This studyUAS-MagFRET-1See Methods and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagFRET-1This studyUAS-MagFRET-1See MethodsGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagICThis studyUAS-MagICSee MethodsGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagICThis studyUAS-MagICSee MethodsGenetic reagent 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-CNNM2 ^{T568I}	This study	UAS-CNNM2 ^{T568I}	See Methods and Figure 5A
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-CNNM2E ^{E122K} UAS-MagFRET-1This studyUAS-CNNM2E ^{E122K} and Figure 5AGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagFRET-1 UAS-MARIOThis studyUAS-MagFRET-1 UAS-MARIOSee MethodsGenetic reagent 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-CNNM2 ^{S269W}	This study	UAS-CNNM2 ^{S269W}	See Methods and Figure 5A
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagFRET-1This studyUAS-MagFRET-1See MethodsGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MARIOThis studyUAS-MARIOSee MethodsGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagICThis studyUAS-MagICSee MethodsGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagICThis studyUAS-MagICSee MethodsAntibodyAnti-GFP (Rabbit polyclonal)InvitrogenCat# A-11122, RRID:AB_221569IF (1:250)AntibodyAnti-HA (Rabbit 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-CNNM2 ^{E122K}	This study	UAS-CNNM2 ^{E122K}	See Methods and Figure 5A
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MARIOThis studyUAS-MARIOSee MethodsGenetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagICThis studyUAS-MagICSee MethodsAntibodyAnti-GFP (Rabbit polyclonal)InvitrogenCat# A-11122, RRID:AB_221569IF (1:250)AntibodyAnti-HA (Rabbit monoclonal)New England BiolabsCat# 3724TIF (1:250)AntibodyAnti-FLAG 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-MagFRET-1	This study	UAS-MagFRET-1	See Methods
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)UAS-MagICThis studyUAS-MagICSee MethodsAntibodyAnti-GFP (Rabbit polyclonal)InvitrogenCat# A-11122, RRID:AB_221569IF (1:250)AntibodyAnti-HA (Rabbit 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-MARIO	This study	UAS-MARIO	See Methods
AntibodyAnti-GFP (Rabbit polyclonal)InvitrogenCat# A-11122, RRID:AB_221569IF (1:250)AntibodyAnti-HA (Rabbit monoclonal)New England 	Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-MagIC	This study	UAS-MagIC	See Methods
AntibodyAnti-HA (Rabbit monoclonal)New England BiolabsCat# 3724TIF (1:250)AntibodyAnti-FLAG (Rabbit 	Antibody	Anti-GFP (Rabbit polyclonal)	Invitrogen	Cat# A-11122, RRID:AB_221569	IF (1:250)
AntibodyAnti-FLAG (Rabbit polyclonal)Sigma-AldrichCat# F-7425, RRID:AB_439687IF (1:250)AntibodyAnti-UEX (Rabbit polyclonal)EurogentecCat# ZGB-15047WB (1:2000)AntibodyAnti-Tubulin (Mouse monoclonal)Sigma-Aldrich Sigma-AldrichCat# T-6199, 	Antibody	Anti-HA (Rabbit monoclonal)	New England Biolabs	Cat# 3724T	IF (1:250)
AntibodyAnti-UEX (Rabbit polyclonal)EurogentecCat# ZGB-15047WB (1:2000)AntibodyAnti-Tubulin (Mouse monoclonal)Sigma-Aldrich RID:AB_477583Cat# T-6199, RRID:AB_477583WB (1:2000)AntibodyAnti-rabbit IgG (Alexa 488 goat polyclonal)Invitrogen 	Antibody	Anti-FLAG (Rabbit polyclonal)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# F-7425, RRID:AB_439687	IF (1:250)
AntibodyAnti-Tubulin (Mouse monoclonal)Sigma-AldrichCat# T-6199, RRID:AB_477583WB (1:2000)AntibodyAnti-rabbit IgG (Alexa 488 goat polyclonal)InvitrogenCat# A-11034, RRID:AB_2576217IF (1:250)	Antibody	Anti-UEX (Rabbit polyclonal)	Eurogentec	Cat# ZGB-15047	WB (1:2000)
Antibody Anti-rabbit IgG Invitrogen Cat# A-11034, IF (1:250) (Alexa 488 goat RRID:AB_2576217 polyclonal)	Antibody	Anti-Tubulin (Mouse monoclonal)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# T-6199, RRID:AB_477583	WB (1:2000)
	Antibody	Anti-rabbit IgG (Alexa 488 goat polyclonal)	Invitrogen	Cat# A-11034, RRID:AB_2576217	IF (1:250)

Continued

Reagent type

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Antibody	Anti-rabbit IgG (HRP-conjugated goat polyclonal)	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 32260, RRID:AB_1965959	WB (1:5000)
Antibody	Anti-mouse IgG (HRP-conjugated goat polyclonal)	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 32230, RRID:AB_1965958	WB (1:5000)
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST- <i>uex</i> (plasmid)	This study		pUAST vector containing <i>uex</i> cDNA
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST- <i>uex^{R622K}</i> (plasmid)	This study		pUAST vector containing <i>uex^{R622K}</i> cDNA
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST- <i>CNNM2^{WT}</i> (plasmid)	This study		pUAST vector containing mouse <i>CNNM2^{WT} c</i> DNA
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST- <i>CNNM2^{E122K}</i> (plasmid)	This study		pUAST vector containing mouse <i>CNNM2^{E122K}</i> cDNA
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST- <i>CNNM2^{E357K}</i> (plasmid)	This study		pUAST vector containing mouse <i>CNNM2^{E357K}</i> cDNA
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST- <i>CNNM2^{5269W}</i> (plasmid)	This study		pUAST vector containing mouse <i>CNNM2^{s269W}</i> cDNA
Recombinant DNA reagent	pUAST- <i>CNNM2</i> ^{T5681} (plasmid)	This study		pUAST vector containing mouse <i>CNNM2^{T568I} c</i> DNA
Recombinant DNA reagent	pJFRC-MUH- MagFRET-1 (plasmid)	This paper		pJFRC-MUH vector containing MagFRET-1 CDS
Recombinant DNA reagent	pTW-MARIO (plasmid)	This paper		pTW vector containing MARIO CDS
Recombinant DNA reagent	pTW-MagIC (plasmid)	This paper		pTW vector containing MagIC CDS
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCFD3- dU6:3gRNA vector	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_49410	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCMVMagFRET-1	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_50742	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pScarlessHD- 2xHA-DsRed	Addgene	80822	Gift to Addgene from Kate O'Connor-Giles
Recombinant DNA reagent	gRNA constructs for $uex\Delta$	GenetiVision	Y17.C253.Q002	Generated by GenetiVision for this study
Recombinant DNA reagent	Donor construct for <i>uex</i> ∆	GenetiVision	Y17.C253.Q002	Generated by GenetiVision for this study
Recombinant DNA reagent	gRNA construct for <i>uex::HA</i>	WellGenetics	WG-16107 gRNA	Generated by WellGenetics for this study
Recombinant DNA reagent	Donor construct for <i>uex::HA</i>	WellGenetics	PWG1521 pUC57-Kan- 16107 donor	Generated by WellGenetics for this study
Recombinant DNA reagent	gRNA construct for <i>uex</i> ^{T626NRR}	This study		

Reagent type (species)

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Sequence- based reagent	Gipc1_F	This study	PCR primers	GGGAAAGGAC AAAAGGAACCC
Sequence- based reagent	uex CDS, Forward	This study	PCR primers	ATCGCCGCGGAT GAACACATATTT CATATCATTTATTAC
Sequence- based reagent	uex CDS, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	ATCGCTCGAGTTA GGGCTTACTTT GCTTGCTC
Sequence- based reagent	<i>uex^{R622K}</i> , fragment 1, Forward	This study	PCR primers	ATGAACACATATTT CATATCATTTAT TACAATAATTA
Sequence- based reagent	<i>uex^{R622K}</i> , fragment 1, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	GTGACTTCTACT TTACCCTCCAAAATAAG
Sequence- based reagent	uex ^{R622K} , fragment 2, Forward	This study	PCR primers	GTACTTATTTTGGA GGGTAAAGTAGA AGTCACAATTGGC
Sequence- based reagent	<i>uex^{R622K}, fragment</i> 2, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	TTAGGGCTTACTT TGCTTGCTCTCGAATTTG
Sequence- based reagent	<i>CNNM2</i> cDNA, Forward	This study	PCR primers	ATCGCTCGAGATGA TTGGCTGTGGCGCTTGTG
Sequence- based reagent	<i>CNNM2</i> cDNA, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	ATCGTCTAGACTAT GCGTAGTCTGGCACGTCG
Sequence- based reagent	MagFRET-1 CDS, Forward	This study	PCR primers	ATCGCTCGAGGCCA CCATGGGCCATATGGTGAGC
Sequence- based reagent	MagFRET-1 CDS, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	ATCGTCTAGATTACTTG TACAGCTCGTCCATGCCGAG
Sequence- based reagent	MagIC CDS, Forward	This study	PCR primers	CACCAGGATGGCCAT CATCAAGGAGTTCATG
Sequence- based reagent	MagIC CDS, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CCGTTACTCGATG TTGTGGCGGATCTTGAA
Sequence- based reagent	MARIO CDS, Forward	This study	PCR primers	CACCAGGGCTTGG TACCGAGCTCGGAT
Sequence- based reagent	MARIO CDS, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CCGCCACTGTGCTG GATATCTGCAGAATTCTTA
Sequence- based reagent	Inverse PCR of <i>uex^{MI01943}</i> , Set 1, Forward	This study	PCR primers	ATGATAGTAAA TCACATTACG3
Sequence- based reagent	Inverse PCR of <i>uex^{MI01943}</i> , Set 1, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CAATAATTTAAT TAATTTCCC3
Sequence- based reagent	Inverse PCR of <i>uex^{MI01943}</i> , Set 2, Forward	This study	PCR primers	CAAAAGCAACT AATGTAACGG
Sequence- based reagent	Inverse PCR of <i>uex^{MI01943}</i> , Set 2, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	TTGCTCTTCTTG AGATTAAGGTA
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Nmdar1,</i> Forward	This study	PCR primers	ATCCCTCGACG TACAACATTGG
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Nmdar1,</i> Reverse	This study	PCR primers	GAGGTGCTTTA TTGTGGTGCTAA
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Nmdar2,</i> Forward	This study	PCR primers	ACTGCTGGG CAACCTGAG
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Nmdar2,</i> Reverse	This study	PCR primers	GATTTCCGTCT TGTACGACCA
Continued on next pa	age			

	~
TACAGETEGTECATGEEGA	J

Wu et al. eLife 2020;9:e61339. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.61339

Continued

Reagent type

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>GluRIA</i> , Forward	This study	PCR primers	TTTTCTGGCC GGAATTTAGTT
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>GluRIA,</i> Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CCTGTTCGAAG ATTGCACCT
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>GluRIIA,</i> Forward	This study	PCR primers	AACCACCAGAT GTCCATCAATG
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>GluRIIA</i> , Reverse	This study	PCR primers	GAAGGTGCGC CACTCATAGT
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Gapdh</i> , Forward	This study	PCR primers	CTTCTTCAGCG ACACCCATT
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Gapdh</i> , Reverse	This study	PCR primers	ACCGAACTCG TTGTCGTACC
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Tbp</i> , Forward	This study	PCR primers	ACAGGGGCAA AGAGTGAGG
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Tbp,</i> Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CTTAAAGTCGAGG AACTTTGCAG
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Ef1α100E</i> , Forward	This study	PCR primers	GCGTGGGTTT GTGATCAGTT
Sequence- based reagent	qPCR of <i>Ef1α100E</i> , Reverse	This study	PCR primers	GATCTTCTCCT TGCCCATCC
Sequence- based reagent	uex ^{MI01943} Minos excision, Forward	This study	PCR primers	GTGCCAGACCA CTGCACCATC
Sequence- based reagent	uex ^{MI01943} Minos excision, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CCGTACCTATGTC GATTCCCACCTC
Sequence- based reagent	uex Δ lesion	This study	CRISPR gRNA1	ACTTTCCAGTAC CTTAGCAC [TGG]
Sequence- based reagent	uex Δ lesion	This study	CRISPR gRNA2	GTCACTCCTCGC GGTACCAC [TGG]
Sequence- based reagent	Verification of uex∆, set 1, Forward	This study	PCR primers	AAGACATGG ATTGGCGATTG
Sequence- based reagent	Verification of uex∆, set 1, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	AAGTCGCCATG TTGGATCG
Sequence- based reagent	Verification of uex∆, set 2, Forward	This study	PCR primers	CTGGGCATGG ATGAGCTGTA
Sequence- based reagent	Verification of $uex\Delta$, set 2, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CTGGAGCGC AACAATTCTCT
Sequence- based reagent	uex ^{T626NRR} lesion	This study	CRISPR gRNA	GGTCGTGTAGAA GTCACAAT [TGG]
Sequence- based reagent	uex ^{T626NRR} lesion	This study	ssODN	GTCTTTATATTTTCA CTCAAGGAAAAGCTG TCGACTTTTTGTA CTTATTTTGGAGGG TAAAGTAGAAGTCAC AATTGCCAAGGAAGCG CTTATGTTTGAAAGCG GGCCCTTTACTTATT
Sequence- based reagent	Screen for uex ^{T626NRR} , set 1, Forward	This study	PCR primers	GGTTATTCTCGTAT TCCAGTGTACGATGG
Sequence- based reagent	Screen for uex ^{T626NRR} , set 1, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	GAGATTCAGCATCT AGAGACAAAGACGCAG
Continued on next page				

Continued

Reagent type

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Sequence- based reagent	Screen for <i>uex^{T626NRR},</i> set 2, Forward	This study	PCR primers	CGGTCGGGTTAGT TACTCTGGAAGATG
Sequence- based reagent	Screen for <i>uex^{T626NRR},</i> set 2, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CGCGTAAGCATTCA CACTAGCTGAGTAAC
Sequence- based reagent	Screen for <i>uex^{T626NRR},</i> set 3, Forward	This study	PCR primers	GGCTACTTTCCAGT ACCTTAGCACTGG
Sequence- based reagent	Screen for <i>uex^{T626NRR},</i> set 3, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CGCGTAAGCATTCAC ACTAGCTGAGTAAC
Sequence- based reagent	Screen for <i>uex^{T626NRR},</i> set 4, Forward	This study	PCR primers	CGGAGGTTACTCAA TCAAGACGTGTTTC
Sequence- based reagent	Screen for uex ^{T626NRR} , set 4, Reverse	This study	PCR primers	CGCGTAAGCATTCAC ACTAGCTGAGTAAC
Commercial assay or kit	Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep	Cambridge Bioscience	R2050	
Commercial assay or kit	SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix	Invitrogen	18080400	
Commercial assay or kit	LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master	Roche	04707516001	
Commercial assay or kit	pENTR/D-TOPO cloning kit	Invitrogen	K240020	
Commercial assay or kit	Gateway LR ClonaseTM II Enzyme mix	Invitrogen	11791020	
Commercial assay or kit	NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix	New England Biolabs	E2621S	
Commercial assay or kit	ExoSAP-IT PCR Product Cleanup Reagent	Thermo Fisher	78201	
Chemical compound, drug	MgCl ₂	Sigma-Aldrich	M1028	
Chemical compound, drug	MgSO ₄	Sigma-Aldrich	M3409	
Chemical compound, drug	CaCl ₂	Sigma-Aldrich	21115	
Chemical compound, drug	KCI	Sigma-Aldrich	60142	
Chemical compound, drug	EDTA	Sigma-Aldrich	324504	
Chemical compound, drug	Forskolin	Sigma-Aldrich	F6886	
Continued on next page				

Continued

Reagent type

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Chemical compound, drug	1,9- Dideoxyforskolin	Sigma-Aldrich	D3658	
Chemical compound, drug	Magnesium Green	Invitrogen	M3733	
Chemical compound, drug	Sucrose	Sigma-Aldrich	S0389	
Chemical compound, drug	Mineral oil	Sigma-Aldrich	M5904	
Chemical compound, drug	3-Octanol	Sigma-Aldrich	218405	
Chemical compound, drug	4-Methyl- Cyclohexanol	Sigma-Aldrich	66360	
Chemical compound, drug	Paraformaldehyde	Fisher Scientific	15713	
Chemical compound, drug	Phosphate buffered saline tablets	Fisher Scientific	1282–1680	
Chemical compound, drug	Triton X-100	Sigma-Aldrich	T9284	
Chemical compound, drug	Vectashield antifade mounting medium	Vector Laboratories	H1000	
Chemical compound, drug	TRIzol RNA isolation reagents	Thermo Fisher	15596018	
Software, algorithm	Prism 6.0	GraphPad	RRID:SCR_002798	https://www. graphpad.com
Software, algorithm	SnapGene Viewer 4.1	SnapGene	RRID:SCR_015052	https://www. snapgene.com
Software, algorithm	Geneious R10.2	Geneious	RRID:SCR_010519	https://www. geneious.com
Software, algorithm	Fiji/ImageJ 1.4	NIH	RRID:SCR_002285	https://imagej. nih.gov
Software, algorithm	MATLAB R2017b	Mathworks	RRID:SCR_013499	https://www. mathworks.com
Software, algorithm	Python 3.7	Python Software Foundation	RRID:SCR_008394	https://www. python.org
Software, algorithm	Visual Studio Code 1.42	Microsoft		https://code. visualstudio.com
Software, algorithm	Adobe Illustrator CC	Adobe Systems	RRID:SCR_010279	https://www. adobe.com
Software, algorithm	InterPro	EMBL-EBI	RRID:SCR_005829	https://www.ebi. ac.uk/interpro
Software, algorithm	Phyre ²	Genome3D		http://www.sbg. bio.ic.ac.uk/~phyre2
0 1 1				

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information	
Software, algorithm	TM-align	Zhang Lab		https://zhanglab. ccmb.med.umich. edu/TM-align/	
Software, algorithm	Chimera 1.11	UCSF	RRID:SCR_004097	https://www.cgl. ucsf.edu/chimera/	

Contact for reagent and resource sharing

A full list of reagents can be viewed in the Key Resources Table.

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Scott Waddell (scott.waddell@cncb.ox.ac.uk).

Experimental model and subject details

Fly strains

Unless stated otherwise, flies were raised on standard cornmeal food under a 12 hr light–dark cycle at 60% humidity and 25°C. Test and control flies for GAL80^{ts} experiments were raised at 18°C. Mixed sex flies 1–7-days-old were used in experiments.

Canton-S was the wild-type strain. The GAL4 driver lines used in this study are c739-GAL4 (McGuire et al., 2001), c305a-GAL4 (Krashes et al., 2007), NP7175-GAL4 (Tanaka et al., 2004), 0770-GAL4 (Gohl et al., 2011), MB247-GAL4 (Zars et al., 2000), nSyb-GAL4 (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Centre, BDSC 51635), elav-GAL4 (BDSC, 8765), and uex-GAL4 (Kvon et al., 2014); Vienna Drosophila Resource Center, VDRC, VT23256-GAL4). The UAS lines obtained from the stock center are UAS-CD8::GFP (BDSC, 5136), UAS-Nmdar^{RNAi} (BDSC, 25941), and UAS-uex^{RNAi} (BDSC, 36116). The various mutant and transgenic lines are described, uex^{MI01943} (Venken et al., 2011; BDSC, 32805), uex^{NC1} (BDSC, 7167), rut²⁰⁸⁰ (Han et al., 1992), and dnc¹ (Dudai et al., 1976), tubP-GAL80^{ts} (McGuire et al., 2003) and PhslLMiT (BDSC, 24613). The uex^{MI01943.ex1} and uex^{MI01943.ex2} Minos excision lines were generated using the procedure described in Arcà et al., 1997. The detailed mating scheme is shown in Figure 2-figure supplement 2A. Potential excision lines were established from individual flies exhibiting the yellow body color phenotype. Genomic DNA was extracted from six such lines and DNA flanking the uex^{MI01943} MiMIC was amplified by PCR and sequenced. The uex^{MI01943.ex1} and uex^{MI01943.ex2} lines were identified to harbor precise excisions, having restored the wild-type genomic sequence. See Resource Table for PCR and sequencing primer sequences. Schematic of the sequence detail of the uex^{MI01943} MiMIC insertion and in the excisions is shown in Figure 2-figure supplement 2B. To construct UAS-uex transgenic flies a fulllength uex coding sequence (CDS) was cloned by RT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated from wild-type flies using TRIZOL (Thermo Fisher, 15596018) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using SuperScript III first-strand synthesis system (Invitrogen, 18080400). This total cDNA mix was used as a template to amplify the uex CDS. See Resource Table for primer sequences. The PCR product was digested with SacII and XhoI and then ligated into the complementary sites of pUAST (Brand and Perrimon, 1993). The pUAST cloned uex CDS was fully sequenced and verified to represent the 2505 bp of the wild-type uex cDNA reading frame (note, all four possible uex mRNA isoforms, FlyBase Release 6, encode the same 834 amino acid protein). UAS-uex transgenic flies were generated commercially (Bestgene) by transformation with the pUAST-uex vector. We mapped the UAS-uex chromosome insertion of 10 independent transgenic lines and behaviorally tested three lines, denoted UASuex^{3M}, UAS-uex^{5M} and UAS-uex^{8M}, with an insert on the third chromosome. UAS-uex^{3M} flies were those used throughout the study and referred to as UAS-uex in the manuscript.

UAS-*uex*^{R622K} transgenic flies were generated similar to UAS-*uex* flies. A missense mutation was introduced at codon 622 of UEX within the CNBH domain, mimicking that previously engineered in the cAMP-binding domain of the regulatory subunit of protein kinase A (*Bubis et al., 1988*). The mutation changes the CGT codon encoding Arg into AAA encoding Lys. The mutation was introduced into the wild-type *uex* CDS using Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs, E2621S) as described in 'Improved methods for site-directed mutagenesis using Gibson Assembly

Master Mix' (NEB Application Note). The primer sets used are detailed in the Resource Table. The product of Gibson assembly was further amplified by PCR and the resulting product was cloned into the pUAST vector and sequenced. Transgene insertions were mapped as for UAS-uex and one of two insertions mapped to the third chromosome was used in behavior experiments.

UAS-CNNM2, UAS-CNNM2^{E122K}, UAS-CNNM2^{E357K}, UAS-CNNM2^{S269W}, and UAS-CNNM2^{T568I} transgenic fly lines were generated by transformation with pUAST constructs containing wild-type or point mutated versions of a mouse CNNM2 cDNA tagged with HA (mCNNM2::HA), described in **Arjona et al., 2014**. Wild-type or mutated versions of CNNM2 were amplified from original mCNNM2::HA clones in pCiNEO_IRES_GFP plasmids (**Arjona et al., 2014**). Primers are detailed in the Resource Table. PCR products were digested with Xhol and Xbal and ligated into the complementary sites in pUAST. Insertions of each construct on the third chromosome were identified by mapping as described above and were used in the behavior experiments. Note that all CNNM2 encoding constructs used in the study are HA tagged, although the notation is often omitted for brevity.

UAS-MagFRET-1 transgenic fly lines were generated by transformation with pJFRC-MUH constructs containing MagFRET-1 CDS, which was sub-cloned from the pCMVMagFRET-1 plasmid, described in **Lindenburg et al., 2013**. Primers are detailed in the Resource Table. PCR products were digested with *Xhol* and *Xbal* and ligated into the complementary sites in pJFRC-MUH. Insertion of the construct was mediated by the site-specific transgenesis system and the landing site is attP2 (on the third chromosome).

UAS-MagIC and UAS-MARIO transgenic fly lines were generated by transformation with pTW constructs containing the MagIC/MARIO CDS, which were sub-cloned from the plasmids MagIC/pcDNA3 and MARIO/pcDNA3, kindly provided by T. Nagai: (Maeshima et al., 2018 and Koldenkova et al., 2015). MagIC/MARIO CDS were first PCR amplified from MARIO/pcDNA3 and MagIC/pcDNA3 respectively and were cloned into the pENTR/D-TOPO vector. Primers are detailed in the Resource Table. Note that the MARIO sense primer was designed to overlap with the sequence of pcDNA3 at the insertion site of MARIO. MagIC/MARIO CDS were further cloned into the Gateway destination vector pTW (Drosophila Gateway Vector Collection).

The CRISPR/Cas9 edited uex∆ locus was generated commercially by GenetiVision. The editing scheme is shown in Figure 2-figure supplement 2C. The uex locus sits in reverse orientation on chromosome 2R, spanning a 49,141 bp region between position 3,900,285 and 3,949,425 (FlyBase, Release 6). The following description relates to these coordinates within the uex locus. To generate uex∆, two gRNA plasmids and one double strand DNA donor (dsDNA) plasmid were constructed and injected into nos-Cas9 embryos (BDSC, 54591). As indicated in Figure 2-figure supplement 2C and detailed in the Resource Table, the upstream gRNA1 lies in Exon 6 and targets sequence 30,930.30,952. The corresponding downstream gRNA2 lies between Exon 7 and Exon 8 between 33,988 and 34,010. Both gRNAs were individually cloned into pCFD3-dU63gRNA (Addgene, 49410). The cut site of gRNA1 should be between 30,946 and 30,947 while gRNA2 should lead to a cut between 33,993 and 33,994. A 795 bp upstream homology arm (30,152.30,946) and 977 bp downstream homology arm (33,994.34,970) were cloned into the donor DNA plasmid. A termination codon (STOP, in all three reading frames) was inserted between the two homology arms and followed by a GFP cassette driven by a 3xP3 promoter. The donor DNA backbone was engineered by GenetiVision and the complete donor sequence for the $uex\Delta$ line is available upon request. Successful editing was identified by expression of GFP in the fly eyes and confirmed by genomic PCR and sequencing. In the uex∆ flies, a 3047 bp fragment from 30,947 to 33,993 was replaced by the sequence between the two homology arms in the donor plasmid, mainly the STOP signal and GFP cassette. The uex Δ allele truncates the uex ORF. Primers used for genomic PCR verification are detailed in the Resources Table. The nos-Cas9 transgene (on X chromosome) was removed by crossing.

CRISPR/Cas9-edited uex::HA flies were generated by WellGenetics using the ScarlessDsRed system developed by Kate O'Connor-Giles' lab (unpublished, original plasmid donated to Addgene, #80822). A 6XHA tag was fused in frame to the carboxy-terminus of UEX by inserting the 6XHA-coding sequence immediately prior to the native STOP codon in the uex locus (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1A*). The process involved two main steps. In step 1, a 6XHA tag together with a pBAC transposon containing a DsRed cassette were inserted in frame with the STOP codon of uex using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing by homology-directed repair (HDR) using 1 gRNA and one

dsDNA plasmid donor. The gRNA lies -50 bp from the uex STOP codon and should direct a cut between 48,587 and 48,588. The gRNA was cloned into a pCFD3-dU63gRNA plasmid. A 1,200 bp upstream arm (47,438.48,637) and 1,033 bp downstream arm (48,641.49,673) were cloned into the donor DNA plasmid with the pUC57-Kan (2579 bp) backbone. See Resource Table for gRNA and primer sequences. A Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM) mutation (TCC to TCG, 48,581.48,583) was introduced in the donor to promote HDR. A 6XHA tag, followed by a pBAC transposon containing a 3XP3 promoter-driven DsRed cassette, was inserted between the two homology arms. A pBAC recognition motif TTAA is embedded in the STOP codon of 6XHA. The complete donor sequence is available upon request. Donor and gRNA plasmids were injected into nos-Cas9 embryos (NIG-FLY, CAS0002). Successful editing was identified by expression of DsRed in the fly eyes and confirmed by genomic PCR and sequencing. Six independent positive lines were identified and four passed PCR validation. Of these four lines, one further passed sequencing validation and is the intermediate line represented in Figure 3-figure supplement 1A. Four isogenized and balanced stocks were established from this line. In step 2, the DsRed selection marker was excised by PiggyBac (PBac) transposition with the helper line Tub-PBac (BDSC, 8285). Five homozygous viable lines with successful excision were validated by genomic PCR and sequencing. One designated uex::HA was used in experiments in the manuscript.

To construct the CRISPR/Cas9-edited uex^{T626NRR} flies, we designed and cloned a gRNA and designed and ordered (Sigma) a single-stranded oligo-deoxynucleotide (ssODN). gRNA and ssODN sequences are detailed in the Resource Table. As we planned to make a single amino acid substitution R622K in the UEX CNBH domain, the 120 bp ssODN donor was centered on codon R622 and carries the codon change CGT to AAA (at 31,179.31,181) corresponding to R622K. The expected cut site of the gRNA (between 31,192 and 31,193) is only 11 bp away from the expected mutation point. To enhance the likelihood of HDR, which is reportedly low using ssODN as donor, we commercially (GenetiVision) injected editing material into 250 lig4 KO vasa-Cas embryos (Zimmer et al., 2016). We obtained 37 viable G0 flies from the injected embryos. A total of 224 G1 flies were subjected to single fly genomic PCR and sequencing to screen for the expected mutation. Primers detailed in Resource Table. We identified 59 putative edited lines from first-round screening, and of these 12 were confirmed. Despite using lig4 KO vasa-Cas9, we detected only non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) events instead of HDR-mediated point mutations. Of the 12 edited lines, six were homozygous lethal and the other six were viable. In four of the homozygous viable lines, we found a replacement of G with T at position 31,192 together with a 6 bp in frame insertion of ATCTTC between 31,192 and 31,193. This NHEJ editing corresponds to the T626 \rightarrow NRR change in the protein sequence of UEX (Figure 5A). The X chromosome vasa-Cas9 was removed from these lines by crossing and one line referred to as uex^{T626NRR} was used in the behavior experiments in the manuscript.

Method details

Behavioral experiments

For behavioral T-maze experiments, 1–7-day-old mixed sex flies were used. Odors were 4-methylcyclohexanol (MCH) and 3-octanol (OCT), diluted ~1:10³ (specifically, 9 μ l MCH or 7 μ l OCT in 8 ml mineral oil). All experiments were performed at 23°C and 55–65% relative humidity.

Appetitive immediate and later memory experiments were performed essentially as described (*Krashes and Waddell, 2008; Perisse et al., 2013*). Batches of 100–120 flies were starved for 21–23 hr before training in 35 ml starvation vials containing ~2 ml 1% agar (as a water source) and a 2 cm \times 4 cm filter paper. Sugar papers (5 cm \times 7.5 cm) for training were prepared by soaking with 4 ml of 2 M sucrose and drying overnight. Water papers of same size were soaked with water and left overnight. For appetitive training, flies were transferred from a starvation tube to a training tube with a dry 'water' paper, and immediately attached to the training arm of the T-maze and exposed to the CS– odor for 2 min, followed by 30 s of clean air. Flies were then transferred to another training tube with dry sugar paper, attached to the T-maze and exposed to the CS+ odor for 2 min. Immediate memory was tested by transporting flies to the T-choice point and allowing them 2 min to choose between the two odor streams. To assay 24 hr memory, flies were removed from the training tube and transferred to standard cornmeal food vials for 1 hr, then transferred back into starvation vials for 23 hr until testing. Performance Index was calculated as the number of flies in the CS+

arm minus the number in the CS- arm, divided by the total number of flies. MCH and OCT were alternately used as CS+ or CS- and a single sample, or n, represents the average Performance Index from two reciprocally trained groups.

For behavior tests after Mg^{2+} feeding, 1–2-day-old flies were housed in vials with Mg^{2+} supplemented food for 1–5 days before being starved for appetitive training and testing, as described above. To make 80 mM [Mg^{2+}] food, 40 ml of 1 M $MgCl_2$ solution was added to 460 ml of normal liquid fly food; 1 mM [Mg^{2+}] food was made by diluting 0.5 ml 1 M $MgCl_2$ in 39.5 ml MilliQ water and adding it to 460 ml liquid food. Food was aliquoted and cooled to solidify. $MgSO_4$ and $CaCl_2$ supplemented food was prepared the same way.

Aversive immediate and 24 hr memory experiments were conducted as previously described (*Hirano et al., 2013; Perisse et al., 2016; Tully and Quinn, 1985*). Groups of 100–120 flies were trained with either one cycle of aversive training, or five cycles spaced by 15 min inter-trial intervals (spaced training). For aversive immediate memory, flies were tested after one-cycle training. Aversive 24 hr memory was tested using two different protocols. In the fasting-facilitated protocol, flies were starved for 16 hr before one-cycle training (*Hirano et al., 2013*). For spaced training, flies were not starved before training. Flies were fed on normal fly food for 24 hr after fasting-facilitated and spaced training, before being tested for memory performance. During each aversive training cycle, flies were exposed for 1 min to a first odor (CS+) paired with twelve 90 V electric shocks at 5 s intervals. Following 45 s of clean air, a second odor (CS-) was presented for 1 min without shock. Performance Index was calculated as the number of flies in the CS- arm minus the number in the CS+ arm, divided by the total number of flies. MCH and OCT were alternately used as CS+ or CS- and a single sample, or n, represents the average Performance Index from two reciprocally trained groups.

Sensory acuity tests (*Figure 2—source data 1*) were performed as described (*Keene et al., 2004; Keene et al., 2006; Schwaerzel et al., 2003*) with modifications. To test olfactory acuity, untrained flies were given 2 min to choose between a diluted odor as used in conditioning and air bubbled through mineral oil in the T maze. An Avoidance Index was calculated as the number of flies in the air arm minus the number in the odor arm, divided by the total number of flies. Electric shock avoidance was performed and calculated similarly. Untrained flies chose for 1 min between two tubes containing electric grids, but only one was connected to the power source. An avoidance index was calculated as the number of flies in the non-electrified arm minus the number in the electrified arm, divided by the total number of flies. To assess sugar acuity, starved flies were given 2 min to choose between an arm of the T-maze containing a dried sugar paper and the other containing a dried 'water' filter paper. Both papers were prepared as in the appetitive memory assays. A Preference Index was calculated as the number of flies in the sugar arm minus that in the other arm, divided by the total number of flies. We found that keeping the light on in the behavioral room and having air flow running through the testing tubes greatly enhanced the Preference Index in wild-type flies and therefore applied those conditions for all sugar preference testing.

Anti-UEX antibody and western blot

A polyclonal UEX antibody was developed commercially by Eurogentec. Two peptides were synthesized as antigens: Peptide 1 H-CLPKLDDKFESKQSKP-OH (16aa) and Peptide 2 H-CVDNRTK TRRNRYKKA-NH2 (16aa) and injected into rabbits. Only Peptide 2 induced a robust immune response and was processed further. The final serum was purified against Peptide 2 and used for western blot analysis as a 1:2000 dilution.

For each sample in western blot, proteins were extracted from 20 fly heads by homogenizing thoroughly in 120 μ l of protein sample buffer containing a mixture of 30 μ l 2-mercaptoethanol (Bio-Rad), 270 μ l 4× Laemmli sample buffer (BioRad), and 900 μ l Nuclease Free Water (Invitrogen). Samples were then boiled on a 100°C heat block for 3 min and centrifuged for 10 min before loading. A sample volume equivalent to four heads was loaded into each SDS-PAGE gel lane. Proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane and blocked in 5% skim milk for 1 hr at 25°C with 35 rpm agitation. Membrane was then incubated in anti-UEX solution (1:2000 rabbit anti-UEX in 5% skim milk) overnight at 4°C with 35 rpm agitation. Membrane was washed quickly three times followed by 3 × 10 min washes in TBST solution (100 ml of TBS 10× solution, BioRad, diluted in 900 ml of MilliQ water, with 0.1% Tween 20) and then incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody solution (1:5000 of goat anti-rabbit in 5% skim milk) for 1–2 hr at 25°C with 35 rpm agitation. The membrane was again washed quickly for three times followed by 3 × 10 min washes in TBST. Protein bands were

visualized using Pierce ECL western blotting substrate (Life technologies, 32134). Membrane was then stripped using Millipore ReBlot Plus Mild solution (Merck, 2502), blocked again in 5% skim milk, and probed with mouse anti-Tubulin primary antibody (1:2000, Sigma, T6199) and corresponding HRP conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:5000) following the protocol detailed above.

Immunostaining

Immunostaining was performed as described (Wu and Luo, 2006). Brains from 1- to 5-day-old adult flies were dissected in PBS and fixed for 20 min in PBS with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature. They were then washed twice briefly in 0.5% PBT (2.5 ml Triton-X100 in 497.5 ml PBS) and three 20 min washes. Brains were then blocked for 30 min at room temperature in PBT containing 5% normal goat serum and then incubated with primary and secondary antibodies with mild rotation (35 rpm) at 4°C for 1 or 2 days. Primary antibodies were rabbit anti-GFP (1:250; Invitrogen A11122) and rabbit anti-HA (1:250, NEB 3724T). Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:250; Invitrogen, A11034) was the secondary antibody. Before and after the secondary antibody incubation, brains were subjected to two quick washes followed by three 20 min washes in 0.5% PBT. Stained brains were mounted on glass slides in Vectashield (Vector Labs H1000) and imaged using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope at 40× magnification (HCX PL APO 40×, 1.3 CS oil immersion objective, Leica). Image stacks were collected at 1024 \times 1024 resolution with 1 μ m steps and processed using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). For quantification in Figure 3G and H, rectangular ROIs of approximately $40 \times 25 \,\mu$ m for the for γ lobe, or round ROIs with diameter of 15 μ m for $\alpha\beta$, $\alpha'\beta'$, and EB were manually drawn on a single section of a z-stack scan of the fly brain. Corresponding ROIs were also drawn on the superior medial protocerebrum (SMP) as a background control region, and the mean fluorescence was calculated using ImageJ. ROI intensity of the MB lobes and the EB was normalized to that of the respective SMP intensity. An average between left and right brains was used for a single data point. For quantification in Figure 7C and D, ROIs are indicated in the figures and ROI intensity was calculated similar to results in Figure 3H. In Figure 7C, a line was drawn through the widest part of the tip of the α lobe. The intensity profile of this line was obtained through ImageJ. Thirty data points in the middle of such a profile spanning about a 15 µm line were extracted for each line profile. The profile was further normalized to the mean value of the first five data points (F_0) and calculated as $(F-F_0)/F_0$. Mean values of these normalized profiles from different brains were plotted (Figure 7C, middle panel). Left and right profiles of brains were calculated and are separately displayed. In Figure 7D, the relative intensities from different ROIs representing different regions are added together to generate a total intensity measure for the MB.

The human CNNM4 cDNA expression construct used to investigate Mg²⁺ efflux in cell culture is that described previously (**Yamazaki et al., 2013**). A construct expressing *Drosophila uex* was generated by inserting a FLAG tag in front of the STOP codon of the *uex* CDS. FLAG-tagged CNNM4 and *uex* cDNAs were subsequently inserted into pCMV tag-4A (Agilent) for expression in HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (Nissui) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and antibiotics. Expression plasmids were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

For immunostaining, cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS for 20 min and then permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, both at room temperature. They were next blocked with PBS containing 3% FBS and 10% bovine serum albumin (blocking buffer) for 1 hr at room temperature. Cells were then incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-FLAG antibody (F7425, Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in blocking buffer, washed 3× with PBS, and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature with Alexa 488-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen) and rhodamine-phalloidin (for F-actin visualization, Invitrogen) diluted in blocking buffer. After three washes with PBS, coverslips were mounted on slides and imaged with a confocal microscope (FluoView FV1000; Olympus).

 Mg^{2+} -imaging with Magnesium Green was performed as described (**Yamazaki et al., 2013**), with slight modifications. To avoid potentially decreasing $[Mg^{2+}]_i$ with the expressed proteins, transfected HEK293 cells were cultured in growth media supplemented with 40 mM MgCl₂ until imaging. Cells were then incubated with Mg^{2+} -loading buffer (78.1 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 40 mM MgCl₂, 5.5 mM glucose, and 5.5 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.4]), including 2 μ M Magnesium Green-AM (Invitrogen), for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were then rinsed once with loading buffer and viewed with an Olympus IX81 microscope equipped with an ORCA-Flash 4.0 CMOS camera (Hamamatsu) and a

SHI-1300L mercury lamp (Olympus). Fluorescence was measured every 20 s (excitation at 470–490 nm and emission at 505–545 nm) under the control of Metamorph software (Molecular Devices). Buffer was then changed to Mg^{2+} free buffer (MgCl₂ in the loading buffer was replaced with 60 mM NaCl). Data are presented as line plots (mean of 10 cells). After imaging, cells were fixed with PBS containing 3.7% formaldehyde and subjected to immunofluorescence microscopy to confirm protein expression.

FRET-based Mg²⁺ concentration measurements in fixed fly brains

One- to two-day-old flies with genotype c739; UAS-MagFRET-1 were housed in vials with 1 mM or 80 mM [Mg²⁺] food for 4 days before being collected. Fly brains were dissected in PBS and fixed for 20 min in PBS with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature. They were then washed twice briefly in 0.5% PBT (2.5 ml Triton-X100 in 497.5 ml PBS) and three 10 min washes. Brains were then mounted on glass slides in Vectashield (Vector Labs H1000) and imaged using a wide-field Scientifica Slicescope with a $40 \times$, 0.8 NA water-immersion objective and an Andor Zyla sCMOS camera with Andor Solis software (v4.27). In order to get the FRET ratio that indicates the Mg^{2+} concentration of the $\alpha\beta$ neuron, time series were acquired alternatively between the cerulean channel and the citrine channel at 3 Hz with 512 imes 512 pixels and 16 bit. The excitation wavelength for both channels is 436 nm, while the emission filter for cerulean is 460-500 nm and that for citrine is 520-550 nm. Series acquisition starts from the cerulean channel and lasts for 5 s, then switches to the citrine channel and last for another 5 s, and this cycle is repeated for two more times. A total of 30 s (90 frames) image stack was therefore acquired for each brain. Image stacks were subsequently analyzed using ImageJ and custom-written Matlab scripts. In brief, rectangle ROIs (Figure 1E, left panel) were manually drawn on the $\alpha\beta$ lobes (one on α lobe and one on β lobe for each hemisphere), and outside the $\alpha\beta$ lobes (one for each hemisphere) as background control. Fluorescence intensity from the cerulean channel was calculated by dividing each vertical or horizontal lobe ROI by the background ROI, and averaged between the two hemispheres for each lobe, and averaged over the 15 frames for each cycle. That from the citrine channel was obtained similarly. A FRET ratio was obtained from the above intensities, further averaged among the three cycles of acquisition, depicted as one data point in Figure 1E (right panel).

Confocal Mg²⁺ imaging in explant fly brain

Explant brains expressing *c739*-GAL4 driven UAS-*MagIC* were placed at the bottom of a 35 mm glass bottom microwell dish (Part No. P35G-1.5–14 C, MatTek Corporation), beneath extracellular saline buffer solution (103 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 5 mM N-Tris, 10 mM trehalose, 10 mM glucose, 7 mM sucrose, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1.5 mM CaCl₂, 4 mM MgCl₂, osmolarity 275 mOsm [pH 7.3]) following dissection in calcium-free buffer (*Barnstedt et al., 2016*). To determine the Mg²⁺ sensitivity of UAS-*MagIC* as well as the response of UAS-*MagIC* to other chemicals such as EDTA, EGTA, and CaCl₂ (*Figure 8B*), brains were incubated in the saline buffer solution with 20 µg/ml digitonin for 6 min before imaging (*Koldenkova et al., 2015*). To investigate the Mg²⁺ fluctuation in response to Forskolin (FSK) application (*Figure 8C–I*), brains were put in the saline buffer solution without digitonin or incubation. In both situations, saline refers to the buffer (either with or without digitonin) in which the brain is submerged.

Imaging was carried out in a LSM780 confocal microscope (Zeiss) with a 20× air objective using the ZEN 2011 software. The Venus part of MagIC was excited with a 488 nm laser and its emission was collected in the 520–560 nm range. mCherry was excited with a 561 nm laser and its emission was collected in the 600–640 nm range. Time series were acquired at 0.5 Hz with 512 × 512 pixels and 16 bit. Following 60 s of baseline Venus/mCherry measurement, 2–20 μ l of saline or other relevant chemical solution was added via a micropipette to the dish with constant image capture. The effects of applied agents on Venus/mCherry emission were then recorded for 15–20 min.

Image stacks were subsequently analyzed using ImageJ and custom-written Python scripts. In brief, rectangle ROIs were manually drawn on the $\alpha\beta$ neurons (one for each hemisphere, *Figure 8A*), and another ROI of the same size was drawn in the middle but outside the MBs as background control. Fluorescence intensity from the Venus (or mCherry) channel was calculated by subtracting the background ROI from the calyx ROIs, respectively, and averaged between the two hemispheres. This is referred as 'Rel. Intensity (a.u.)' in *Figure 8D and E*. The ratio between Venus and mCherry

intensity was calculated as 'MagIC Ratio' in *Figure 8B and C* and *Figure 8F and G*. For *Figure 8H*, the intensity for the two channels was calculated separately. In this case, 'Rel. Intensity (Δ F/F₀)' refers to the relative fluorescence intensity normalized to the mean intensity from the baseline period F₀, calculated as (F-F₀)/F₀. The relative intensity Δ F/F₀ of Venus was used to calculate the PSD (*Figure 8I*) through python function psd (under matplotlib.pyplot), which adopted a Welch's average periodogram method (*Bendat et al., 2000*).

Reverse transcription and quantitative real-time PCR

For each sample, 120 flies were frozen in liquid nitrogen and their heads were homogenized completely in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Total RNA was extracted using Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep (R2050) kit following the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript III First-Strand synthesis System (Invitrogen). Five independent samples were prepared for each different treatment or genotype. Quantitative PCR was performed in triplicate for each cDNA sample on a LightCycler 480 Instrument (Roche) using SYBR Green I Master Mix (Roche). Melting curves were analyzed after amplification, and amplicons were visualized by agarose gel electrophoresis to confirm primer specificity. Relative transcript levels were calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (*Livak and Schmittgen, 2001*), and the geometric mean of the C_t values of three reference genes (*Gapdh*, *Tbp*, and *Ef1* α 100*E*) was used for normalization. Primers are detailed in the Resource Table.

Inverse PCR

Inverse PCR was used to map the MiMIC insertion position in $uex^{MI01943}$ flies. Genomic DNA was prepared from 15 adult flies. DNA equivalent to two flies was then digested in a 25 µl restriction reaction with *Mbo I* and 10 µl of the product was ligated overnight at 4°C overnight to circularize the fragments; 5 µl of the ligation product was used for inverse PCR. PCR product was purified using Exo/SAP reaction (Thermo Fisher, 78201) before being sequenced. Sequence was compared to the *D. melanogaster* genome (FlyBase, Release 6) by BLAST and matched uniformly to the region 3,882,886.3,882,641 on 2R, consistent with the reported $uex^{MI01943}$ insertion on FlyBase. Primers detailed in the Resource Table.

Protein domain prediction and alignment

Protein sequence alignment was carried out using Geneious R10.2.2. Protein domain prediction was performed with InterPro (*Finn et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2014*) and Phyre² (*Kelley et al., 2015*). Protein domain and structure alignment was performed using TM-align (*Zhang and Skolnick, 2005*). Protein structure visualization was rendered in Chimera 1.11.2 (*Pettersen et al., 2004*).

Quantification and statistical analyses

Behavior data were analyzed using Excel and Prism 6. Imaging data were analyzed using ImageJ and custom-written MATLAB or Python scripts. Unpaired two-tailed t-tests were used for comparing two groups, and one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey's post-hoc test was used for comparing multiple groups. Threshold of statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Acknowledgements

We thank F J Arjona and J G J Hoenderop for the murine CNNM2 clones and comments on the manuscript. We are grateful to T Nagai for clones of MagIC and MARIO and to the Bloomington Stock Center and VDRC for flies. We thank P Cognigni, Y Huang, R Brain, R Szoke-Kovacs, and M Goodwin for technical support and other members of the Waddell group for discussion. We acknowledge N Halidi, C Monico, and the Micron Advanced Bioimaging Unit (supported by Well-come Strategic Awards 091911/B/10/Z and 107457/Z/15/Z) for their support and assistance in this work. E M was funded by an EMBO Long-term fellowship (ALTF 184-2109). K D J acknowledges support from the Rhodes Trust. S W was funded by a Wellcome Principal Research Fellowship (200846/Z/16/Z) and an ERC Advanced Grant (789274).

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Wellcome	200846/Z/16/Z	Scott Waddell
European Commission	789274	Scott Waddell
EMBO	ALTF 184-2019	Eleonora Meschi

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Yanying Wu, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Yosuke Funato, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology; Eleonora Meschi, Formal analysis, Investigation; Kristijan D Jovanoski, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology; Hiroaki Miki, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Methodology; Scott Waddell, Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing - review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Eleonora Meschi D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2401-7969 Scott Waddell D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4503-6229

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.61339.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.61339.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Transparent reporting form

Data availability

Behaviour data from T-maze assays are deposited in Dryad Digital Repository (https://doi.org/10. 5061/dryad.q2bvq83hs). All other data generated or analysed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files.

The following datasets were generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Wu Y, Funato Y, Meschi E, Jovanos- ki KD, Miki H, Waddell S	2020	Behavior data from T-maze assay	http://dx.doi.org/10. 5061/dryad.q2bvq83hs	Dryad Digital Repository, 10.5061/ dryad.q2bvq83hs
Wu Y, Funato Y, Meschi E, Jovanos- ki KD, Miki H, Waddell S	2020	Imaging data from ex-vivo MagIC assay Part II	http://dx.doi.org/10. 5061/dryad.zpc866t7d	Dryad Digital Repository, 10.5061/ dryad.zpc866t7d
Wu Y, Funato Y, Meschi E, Jovanos- ki KD, Miki H, Waddell S	2020	MagFRET signal from fixed brain	http://dx.doi.org/10. 5061/dryad.dv41ns1wp	Dryad Digital Repository, 10.5061/ dryad.dv41ns1wp
Wu Y, Funato Y, Meschi E, Jovanos- ki KD, Miki H,	2020	Imaging data from ex-vivo MagIC assay Part I	http://dx.doi.org/10. 5061/dryad.k0p2ngf6z	Dryad Digital Repository, 10.5061/ dryad.k0p2ngf6z

Waddell S

Wu Y, Funato Y, 2020 Immuno-Fluorescence data from Meschi E, Jovanos- confocal scanning ki KD, Miki H, Waddell S	http://dx.doi.org/10. 5061/dryad.80gb5mkpx	Dryad Digital Repository, 10.5061/ dryad.80gb5mkpx
---	---	--

References

- Abumaria N, Yin B, Zhang L, Li XY, Chen T, Descalzi G, Zhao L, Ahn M, Luo L, Ran C, Zhuo M, Liu G. 2011. Effects of elevation of brain magnesium on fear conditioning, fear extinction, and synaptic plasticity in the infralimbic prefrontal cortex and lateral amygdala. *Journal of Neuroscience* **31**:14871–14881. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3782-11.2011, PMID: 22016520
- Abumaria N, Luo L, Ahn M, Liu G. 2013. Magnesium supplement enhances spatial-context pattern separation and prevents fear overgeneralization. *Behavioural Pharmacology* 24:255–263. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/ FBP.0b013e32836357c7, PMID: 23764903
- Accogli A, Scala M, Calcagno A, Napoli F, Di lorgi N, Arrigo S, Mancardi MM, Prato G, Pisciotta L, Nagel M, Severino M, Capra V. 2019. CNNM2 homozygous mutations cause severe refractory hypomagnesemia, epileptic encephalopathy and brain malformations. *European Journal of Medical Genetics* 62:198–203. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2018.07.014, PMID: 30026055
- Andrási E, Igaz S, Molnár Z, Makó S. 2000. Disturbances of magnesium concentrations in various brain Areas in Alzheimer's disease. *Magnesium Research* **13**:189–196. PMID: 11008926
- Andrási E, Páli N, Molnár Z, Kösel S. 2005. Brain aluminum, magnesium and phosphorus contents of control and Alzheimer-diseased patients. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* 7:273–284. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2005-7402, PMID: 16131728
- Arcà B, Zabalou S, Loukeris TG, Savakis C. 1997. Mobilization of a Minos transposon in Drosophila melanogaster chromosomes and chromatid repair by heteroduplex formation. Genetics 145:267–279. PMID: 9071583
- Arjona FJ, Chen Y-X, Flik G, Bindels RJ, Hoenderop JG. 2013. Tissue-specific expression and in vivo regulation of zebrafish orthologues of mammalian genes related to symptomatic hypomagnesemia. *Pflügers Archiv -European Journal of Physiology* 465:1409–1421. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-013-1275-3
- Arjona FJ, de Baaij JH, Schlingmann KP, Lameris AL, van Wijk E, Flik G, Regele S, Korenke GC, Neophytou B, Rust S, Reintjes N, Konrad M, Bindels RJ, Hoenderop JG. 2014. CNNM2 mutations cause impaired brain development and seizures in patients with hypomagnesemia. *PLOS Genetics* **10**:e1004267. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004267, PMID: 24699222
- Arjona FJ, de Baaij JHF. 2018. CrossTalk opposing view: cnnm proteins are not Na⁺/Mg²⁺ exchangers but Mg²⁺ transport regulators playing a central role in transepithelial Mg²⁺ (re)absorption. The Journal of Physiology 596: 747–750. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/JP275249, PMID: 29383729
- Aso Y, Siwanowicz I, Bräcker L, Ito K, Kitamoto T, Tanimoto H. 2010. Specific dopaminergic neurons for the formation of labile aversive memory. *Current Biology* **20**:1445–1451. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010. 06.048, PMID: 20637624
- Aso Y, Herb A, Ogueta M, Siwanowicz I, Templier T, Friedrich AB, Ito K, Scholz H, Tanimoto H. 2012. Three dopamine pathways induce aversive odor memories with different stability. *PLOS Genetics* **8**:e1002768. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002768, PMID: 22807684
- Aso Y, Hattori D, Yu Y, Johnston RM, Iyer NA, Ngo TT, Dionne H, Abbott LF, Axel R, Tanimoto H, Rubin GM. 2014. The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body provides a logic for associative learning. *eLife* **3**: e04577. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04577, PMID: 25535793
- Attrill H, Falls K, Goodman JL, Millburn GH, Antonazzo G, Rey AJ, Marygold SJ, FlyBase Consortium. 2016. FlyBase: establishing a gene group resource for Drosophila melanogaster. Nucleic Acids Research 44:D786– D792. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1046, PMID: 26467478
- Barnstedt O, Owald D, Felsenberg J, Brain R, Moszynski JP, Talbot CB, Perrat PN, Waddell S. 2016. Memoryrelevant mushroom body output synapses are cholinergic. Neuron 89:1237–1247. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuron.2016.02.015, PMID: 26948892
- Bassett AR, Tibbit C, Ponting CP, Liu JL. 2013. Highly efficient targeted mutagenesis of *Drosophila* with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Cell Reports* **4**:220–228. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.06.020, PMID: 23 827738
- Beaumont V, Zhong N, Fletcher R, Froemke RC, Zucker RS. 2001. Phosphorylation and local presynaptic protein synthesis in calcium- and calcineurin-dependent induction of crayfish long-term facilitation. Neuron 32:489–501. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00483-4, PMID: 11709159
- Bekkers JM, Stevens CF. 1993. NMDA receptors at excitatory synapses in the hippocampus: test of a theory of magnesium block. *Neuroscience Letters* **156**:73–77. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(93)90443-O, PMID: 8414193
- Bendat J, Piersol A, Saunders H. 2000. Random data-analysis and measurement procedures. Measurement Science and Technology 11:1825. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-0233/11/12/702
- **Billard JM**. 2011. Brain magnesium homeostasis as a target for reducing cognitive ageing. In: Vink R, Nechifor M (Eds). *Magnesium and Alzheimer's Disease*. University of Adelaide Press. p. 99–112.

- Boto T, Louis T, Jindachomthong K, Jalink K, Tomchik SM. 2014. Dopaminergic modulation of cAMP drives nonlinear plasticity across the *Drosophila* mushroom body lobes. *Current Biology* **24**:822–831. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.021, PMID: 24684937
- Brand AH, Perrimon N. 1993. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* **118**:401–415. PMID: 8223268
- Bubis J, Neitzel JJ, Saraswat LD, Taylor SS. 1988. A point mutation abolishes binding of cAMP to site A in the regulatory subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 263:9668–9673. PMID: 2898473
- Burke CJ, Huetteroth W, Owald D, Perisse E, Krashes MJ, Das G, Gohl D, Silies M, Certel S, Waddell S. 2012. Layered reward signalling through octopamine and dopamine in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **492**:433–437. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature11614, PMID: 23103875
- Byers D, Davis RL, Kiger JA. 1981. Defect in cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase due to the dunce mutation of learning in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nature* **289**:79–81. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/289079a0, PMID: 6256649
- Casadio A, Martin KC, Giustetto M, Zhu H, Chen M, Bartsch D, Bailey CH, Kandel ER. 1999. A transient, neuronwide form of CREB-mediated long-term facilitation can be stabilized at specific synapses by local protein synthesis. Cell 99:221–237. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81653-0, PMID: 10535740
- Chen CN, Denome S, Davis RL. 1986. Molecular analysis of cDNA clones and the corresponding genomic coding sequences of the *Drosophila* dunce+ gene, the structural gene for cAMP phosphodiesterase. PNAS 83:9313– 9317. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.83.24.9313, PMID: 3025834
- Chen N, Luo T, Raymond LA. 1999. Subtype-dependence of NMDA receptor channel open probability. The Journal of Neuroscience 19:6844–6854. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.19-16-06844.1999, PMID: 10436042
- Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, Schreiter ER, Kerr RA, Orger MB, Jayaraman V, Looger LL, Svoboda K, Kim DS. 2013. Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. *Nature* 499:295–300. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12354, PMID: 23868258
- **Chen YS**, Kozlov G, Fakih R, Funato Y, Miki H, Gehring K. 2018. The cyclic nucleotide–binding homology domain of the integral membrane protein CNNM mediates dimerization and is required for Mg²⁺ efflux activity . *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **293**:19998–20007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.005672
- Chui D, Chen Z, Yu J, Zhang H, Wang W, Son Y, Yang H, Liu Y. 2011. Magnesium and Alzheimer's disease. In: Vink R, Nechifor M (Eds). Magnesium in the Central Nervous System. University of Adelaide Press. p. 239–250.
- Cilliler AE, Ozturk S, Ozbakir S. 2007. Serum magnesium level and clinical deterioration in Alzheimer's disease. Gerontology 53:419-422. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1159/000110873, PMID: 17992016
- Claridge-Chang A, Roorda RD, Vrontou E, Sjulson L, Li H, Hirsh J, Miesenböck G. 2009. Writing memories with light-addressable reinforcement circuitry. *Cell* **139**:405–415. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.08.034, PMID: 19837039
- Coulthard AB, Alm C, Cealiac I, Sinclair DA, Honda BM, Rossi F, Dimitri P, Hilliker AJ. 2010. Essential loci in centromeric heterochromatin of *Drosophila melanogaster* I: the right arm of chromosome 2. *Genetics* 185:479– 495. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110.117259, PMID: 20382826
- Croset V, Treiber CD, Waddell S. 2018. Cellular diversity in the *Drosophila* midbrain revealed by single-cell transcriptomics. *eLife* 7:e34550. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34550, PMID: 29671739
- Davie K, Janssens J, Koldere D, De Waegeneer M, Pech U, Kreft Ł, Aibar S, Makhzami S, Christiaens V, Bravo González-Blas C, Poovathingal S, Hulselmans G, Spanier KI, Moerman T, Vanspauwen B, Geurs S, Voet T, Lammertyn J, Thienpont B, Liu S, et al. 2018. A single-cell transcriptome atlas of the aging *Drosophila* brain. *Cell* 174:982–998. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.057, PMID: 29909982
- **DeToma AS**, Dengler-Crish CM, Deb A, Braymer JJ, Penner-Hahn JE, van der Schyf CJ, Lim MH, Crish SD. 2014. Abnormal metal levels in the primary visual pathway of the DBA/2J mouse model of glaucoma. *BioMetals* **27**: 1291–1301. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10534-014-9790-z, PMID: 25190614
- Dietzl G, Chen D, Schnorrer F, Su KC, Barinova Y, Fellner M, Gasser B, Kinsey K, Oppel S, Scheiblauer S, Couto A, Marra V, Keleman K, Dickson BJ. 2007. A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene inactivation in *Drosophila*. Nature 448:151–156. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05954, PMID: 17625558
- Dudai Y, Jan YN, Byers D, Quinn WG, Benzer S. 1976. Dunce, a mutant of *Drosophila* deficient in learning. *PNAS* 73:1684–1688. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.73.5.1684, PMID: 818641
- Dudai Y, Zvi S. 1984. Adenylate cyclase in the Drosophila memory mutant rutabaga displays an altered Ca²⁺ sensitivity. Neuroscience Letters 47:119–124. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(84)90416-6, PMID: 6462535
- **Dunwiddie TV**, Lynch G. 1979. The relationship between extracellular calcium concentrations and the induction of hippocampal long-term potentiation. *Brain Research* **169**:103–110. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993 (79)90377-9, PMID: 222396
- Durlach J, Bac P, Durlach V, Durlach A, Bara M, Guiet-Bara A. 1997. Are age-related neurodegenerative diseases linked with various types of magnesium depletion? *Magnesium Research* **10**:339–353. PMID: 9513930
- Erreger K, Dravid SM, Banke TG, Wyllie DJ, Traynelis SF. 2005. Subunit-specific gating controls rat NR1/NR2A and NR1/NR2B NMDA channel kinetics and synaptic signalling profiles. *The Journal of Physiology* 563:345– 358. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2004.080028, PMID: 15649985
- Feeney KA, Hansen LL, Putker M, Olivares-Yañez C, Day J, Eades LJ, Larrondo LF, Hoyle NP, O'Neill JS, van Ooijen G. 2016. Daily magnesium fluxes regulate cellular timekeeping and energy balance. Nature 532:375– 379. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17407, PMID: 27074515

- Finn RD, Attwood TK, Babbitt PC, Bateman A, Bork P, Bridge AJ, Chang HY, Dosztányi Z, El-Gebali S, Fraser M, Gough J, Haft D, Holliday GL, Huang H, Huang X, Letunic I, Lopez R, Lu S, Marchler-Bauer A, Mi H, et al. 2017. InterPro in 2017-beyond protein family and domain annotations. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45**:D190–D199. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1107, PMID: 27899635
- Flynn GE, Black KD, Islas LD, Sankaran B, Zagotta WN. 2007. Structure and rearrangements in the carboxyterminal region of SpIH channels. *Structure* **15**:671–682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2007.04.008, PMID: 17562314
- **Funato Y**, Furutani K, Kurachi Y, Miki H. 2018a. CrossTalk proposal: CNNM proteins are Na⁺/Mg²⁺ exchangers playing a central role in transepithelial Mg²⁺ (re)absorption. *The Journal of Physiology* **596**:743–746. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/JP275248, PMID: 29383719
- Funato Y, Furutani K, Kurachi Y, Miki H. 2018b. Rebuttal from Yosuke Funato, Kazuharu Furutani, Yoshihisa Kurachi and Hiroaki Miki. The Journal of Physiology 596:751. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/JP275706, PMID: 2 9383723
- Gelbart WM, Emmert DB. 2010. FlyBase High Throughput Expression Pattern Data, a Database of Drosophila Genes & Genomes: FlyBase analysis. https://flybase.org/reports/FBrf0212041.html.
- Ghafari M, Whittle N, Miklósi AG, Kotlowski C, Kotlowsky C, Schmuckermair C, Berger J, Bennett KL, Singewald N, Lubec G. 2015. Dietary magnesium restriction reduces amygdala-hypothalamic GluN1 receptor complex levels in mice. Brain Structure and Function 220:2209–2221. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-014-0779-8, PMID: 24807818
- Giménez-Mascarell P, Oyenarte I, Hardy S, Breiderhoff T, Stuiver M, Kostantin E, Diercks T, Pey AL, Ereño-Orbea J, Martínez-Chantar ML, Khalaf-Nazzal R, Claverie-Martin F, Müller D, Tremblay ML, Martínez-Cruz LA. 2017. Structural basis of the oncogenic interaction of phosphatase PRL-1 with the magnesium transporter CNNM2. Journal of Biological Chemistry **292**:786–801. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.759944
- Giménez-Mascarell P, González-Recio I, Fernández-Rodríguez C, Oyenarte I, Müller D, Martínez-Chantar M, Martínez-Cruz L. 2019. Current structural knowledge on the CNNM family of magnesium transport mediators. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 20:1135. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20051135
- Glick JL. 1990. Dementias: the role of magnesium deficiency and an hypothesis concerning the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Medical Hypotheses* **31**:211–225. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-9877(90)90095-V, PMID: 2092675
- Gohl DM, Silies MA, Gao XJ, Bhalerao S, Luongo FJ, Lin CC, Potter CJ, Clandinin TR. 2011. A versatile in vivo system for directed dissection of gene expression patterns. *Nature Methods* 8:231–237. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nmeth.1561, PMID: 21473015
- Gratz SJ, Cummings AM, Nguyen JN, Hamm DC, Donohue LK, Harrison MM, Wildonger J, O'Connor-Giles KM. 2013. Genome engineering of *Drosophila* with the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease. *Genetics* **194**:1029–1035. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.152710, PMID: 23709638
- Günther T, Vormann J, Höllriegl V. 1990. Characterization of Na+-dependent Mg2+ efflux from Mg²⁺-loaded rat erythrocytes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Biomembranes* **1023**:455–461. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/0005-2736(90)90139-F
- Han PL, Levin LR, Reed RR, Davis RL. 1992. Preferential expression of the Drosophila rutabaga gene in mushroom bodies, neural centers for learning in insects. Neuron 9:619–627. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0896-6273(92)90026-A, PMID: 1382471
- Handler A, Graham TGW, Cohn R, Morantte I, Siliciano AF, Zeng J, Li Y, Ruta V. 2019. Distinct dopamine receptor pathways underlie the temporal sensitivity of associative learning. *Cell* **178**:60–75. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.040, PMID: 31230716
- Hardy S, Uetani N, Wong N, Kostantin E, Labbé DP, Bégin LR, Mes-Masson A, Miranda-Saavedra D, Tremblay ML. 2015. The protein tyrosine phosphatase PRL-2 interacts with the magnesium transporter CNNM3 to promote oncogenesis. Oncogene 34:986–995. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2014.33, PMID: 24632616
- Hermosura MC, Nayakanti H, Dorovkov MV, Calderon FR, Ryazanov AG, Haymer DS, Garruto RM. 2005. A TRPM7 variant shows altered sensitivity to magnesium that may contribute to the pathogenesis of two guamanian neurodegenerative disorders. PNAS **102**:11510–11515. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 0505149102, PMID: 16051700
- Hermosura MC, Garruto RM. 2007. TRPM7 and TRPM2—Candidate susceptibility genes for Western Pacific ALS and PD? Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Basis of Disease 1772:822–835. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbadis.2007.02.008
- Hige T, Aso Y, Modi MN, Rubin GM, Turner GC. 2015. Heterosynaptic plasticity underlies aversive olfactory learning in *Drosophila*. Neuron 88:985–998. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.11.003, PMID: 26637 800
- Hirano Y, Masuda T, Naganos S, Matsuno M, Ueno K, Miyashita T, Horiuchi J, Saitoe M. 2013. Fasting launches CRTC to facilitate long-term memory formation in *Drosophila*. *Science* **339**:443–446. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1227170, PMID: 23349290
- Hirata Y, Funato Y, Miki H. 2014. Basolateral sorting of the Mg²⁺ transporter CNNM4 requires interaction with AP-1A and AP-1B. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 455:184–189. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.10.138, PMID: 25449265
- Hoeffer CA, Tang W, Wong H, Santillan A, Patterson RJ, Martinez LA, Tejada-Simon MV, Paylor R, Hamilton SL, Klann E. 2008. Removal of FKBP12 enhances mTOR-Raptor interactions, LTP, memory, and perseverative/ repetitive behavior. *Neuron* 60:832–845. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.037, PMID: 19081378

- Hou L, Klann E. 2004. Activation of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase-Akt-mammalian target of rapamycin signaling pathway is required for metabotropic glutamate receptor-dependent long-term depression. *Journal of Neuroscience* 24:6352–6361. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0995-04.2004, PMID: 15254091
- Howarth FC, Waring J, Hustler BI, Singh J. 1994. Effects of extracellular magnesium and beta adrenergic stimulation on contractile force and magnesium mobilization in the isolated rat heart. *Magnesium Research* 7: 187–197. PMID: 7786682
- Huber KM, Kayser MS, Bear MF. 2000. Role for rapid dendritic protein synthesis in hippocampal mGluRdependent long-term depression. *Science* 288:1254–1256. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.288.5469. 1254, PMID: 10818003
- Ishii T, Funato Y, Hashizume O, Yamazaki D, Hirata Y, Nishiwaki K, Kono N, Arai H, Miki H. 2016. Mg2+ extrusion from intestinal epithelia by CNNM proteins is essential for gonadogenesis via AMPK-TORC1 signaling in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PLOS Genetics* 12:e1006276. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006276, PMID: 27564576
- Jacob PF, Waddell S. 2020. Spaced training forms complementary long-term memories of opposite valence in Drosophila. Neuron **106**:977–991. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.03.013, PMID: 32289250
- Jahr CE, Stevens CF. 1990. Voltage dependence of NMDA-activated macroscopic conductances predicted by single-channel kinetics. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **10**:3178–3182. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 10-09-03178.1990, PMID: 1697902
- Jakob A, Becker J, Schöttli G, Fritzsch G. 1989. α1-adrenergic stimulation causes Mg²⁺ release from perfused rat liver. *FEBS Letters* **246**:127–130. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(89)80267-4, PMID: 2540032
- Jones P, Binns D, Chang HY, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G, Pesseat S, Quinn AF, Sangrador-Vegas A, Scheremetjew M, Yong SY, Lopez R, Hunter S. 2014. InterProScan 5: genomescale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* **30**:1236–1240. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btu031, PMID: 24451626
- Kandel ER. 2012. The molecular biology of memory: camp, PKA, CRE, CREB-1, CREB-2, and CPEB. *Molecular Brain* 5:14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-6606-5-14, PMID: 22583753
- Keene AC, Stratmann M, Keller A, Perrat PN, Vosshall LB, Waddell S. 2004. Diverse odor-conditioned memories require uniquely timed dorsal paired medial neuron output. Neuron 44:521–533. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.neuron.2004.10.006, PMID: 15504331
- Keene AC, Krashes MJ, Leung B, Bernard JA, Waddell S. 2006. Drosophila dorsal paired medial neurons provide a general mechanism for memory consolidation. Current Biology 16:1524–1530. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cub.2006.06.022, PMID: 16890528
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJ. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nature Protocols* 10:845–858. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.053, PMID: 25 950237
- Kesters D, Brams M, Nys M, Wijckmans E, Spurny R, Voets T, Tytgat J, Kusch J, Ulens C. 2015. Structure of the SthK carboxy-terminal region reveals a gating mechanism for cyclic nucleotide-modulated ion channels. PLOS ONE 10:e0116369. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116369, PMID: 25625648
- Kim YC, Lee HG, Han KA. 2007. D1 dopamine receptor dDA1 is required in the mushroom body neurons for aversive and appetitive learning in Drosophila. Journal of Neuroscience 27:7640–7647. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1523/JNEUROSCI.1167-07.2007, PMID: 17634358
- Koldenkova VP, Matsuda T, Nagai T. 2015. MagIC, a genetically encoded fluorescent indicator for monitoring cellular Mg²⁺ using a non-Förster resonance energy transfer ratiometric imaging approach. *Journal of Biomedical Optics* **20**:101203. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.20.10.101203, PMID: 26244765
- Krashes MJ, Keene AC, Leung B, Armstrong JD, Waddell S. 2007. Sequential use of mushroom body neuron subsets during *Drosophila* odor memory processing. *Neuron* 53:103–115. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2006.11.021, PMID: 17196534
- Krashes MJ, Waddell S. 2008. Rapid consolidation to a radish and protein synthesis-dependent long-term memory after single-session appetitive olfactory conditioning in *Drosophila*. *Journal of Neuroscience* 28:3103– 3113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5333-07.2008, PMID: 18354013
- Kvon EZ, Kazmar T, Stampfel G, Yáñez-Cuna JO, Pagani M, Schernhuber K, Dickson BJ, Stark A. 2014. Genomescale functional characterization of *Drosophila* developmental enhancers in vivo. *Nature* 512:91–95. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13395, PMID: 24896182
- Landfield PW, Morgan GA. 1984. Chronically elevating plasma Mg²⁺ improves hippocampal frequency potentiation and reversal learning in aged and young rats. *Brain Research* **322**:167–171. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(84)91199-5, PMID: 6097334
- Laurent G, Naraghi M. 1994. Odorant-induced oscillations in the mushroom bodies of the locust. The Journal of Neuroscience 14:2993–3004. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-05-02993.1994, PMID: 8182454
- Leiss F, Groh C, Butcher NJ, Meinertzhagen IA, Tavosanis G. 2009. Synaptic organization in the adult Drosophila mushroom body calyx. The Journal of Comparative Neurology **517**:808–824. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cne. 22184, PMID: 19844895
- Lemke MR. 1995. Plasma magnesium decrease and altered calcium/magnesium ratio in severe dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Biological Psychiatry* **37**:341–343. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(94)00241-T
- Levin LR, Han PL, Hwang PM, Feinstein PG, Davis RL, Reed RR. 1992. The Drosophila learning and memory gene rutabaga encodes a Ca²⁺/Calmodulin-responsive adenylyl cyclase. Cell 68:479–489. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/0092-8674(92)90185-F, PMID: 1739965

- Li W, Yu J, Liu Y, Huang X, Abumaria N, Zhu Y, Huang X, Xiong W, Ren C, Liu XG, Chui D, Liu G. 2013. Elevation of brain magnesium prevents and reverses cognitive deficits and synaptic loss in Alzheimer's disease mouse model. *Journal of Neuroscience* **33**:8423–8441. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4610-12.2013, PMID: 23658180
- Lin CH, Wu YR, Chen WL, Wang HC, Lee CM, Lee-Chen GJ, Chen CM. 2014. Variant R244H in Na⁺/Mg²⁺ exchanger SLC41A1 in Taiwanese Parkinson's disease is associated with loss of Mg²⁺ efflux function. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders* **20**:600–603. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2014.02.027, PMID: 24661466
- Lindenburg LH, Vinkenborg JL, Oortwijn J, Aper SJ, Merkx M. 2013. MagFRET: the first genetically encoded fluorescent Mg²⁺ sensor. *PLOS ONE* **8**:e82009. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082009, PMID: 24312622
- Liu C, Plaçais PY, Yamagata N, Pfeiffer BD, Aso Y, Friedrich AB, Siwanowicz I, Rubin GM, Preat T, Tanimoto H. 2012. A subset of dopamine neurons signals reward for odour memory in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **488**:512–516. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11304, PMID: 22810589
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* **25**:402–408. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262, PMID: 11846609
- Livingstone MS, Sziber PP, Quinn WG. 1984. Loss of calcium/calmodulin responsiveness in adenylate cyclase of rutabaga, a Drosophila learning mutant. Cell 37:205–215. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(84)90316-7, PMID: 6327051
- Louis T, Stahl A, Boto T, Tomchik SM. 2018. Cyclic AMP-dependent plasticity underlies rapid changes in odor coding associated with reward learning. PNAS **115**:E448–E457. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1709037115, PMID: 29284750
- Maeda Y. 1984. Studies on the unextended (uex) mutant of Drosophila melanogaster. The Japanese Journal of Genetics **59**:249–257. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1266/jjg.59.249
- Maeshima K, Matsuda T, Shindo Y, Imamura H, Tamura S, Imai R, Kawakami S, Nagashima R, Soga T, Noji H, Oka K, Nagai T. 2018. A transient rise in free Mg²⁺ ions released from ATP-Mg hydrolysis contributes to mitotic chromosome condensation. *Current Biology* 28:444–451. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.12.035, PMID: 29358072
- Malenka RC, Lancaster B, Zucker RS. 1992. Temporal limits on the rise in postsynaptic calcium required for the induction of long-term potentiation. *Neuron* 9:121–128. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(92)90227-5, PMID: 1632966
- Malenka RC, Nicoll RA. 1993. NMDA-receptor-dependent synaptic plasticity: multiple forms and mechanisms. Trends in Neurosciences 16:521–527. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(93)90197-T, PMID: 7509523
- Mayer ML, Westbrook GL, Guthrie PB. 1984. Voltage-dependent block by Mg²⁺ of NMDA responses in spinal cord neurones. *Nature* **309**:261–263. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/309261a0, PMID: 6325946
- McGuire SE, Le PT, Davis RL. 2001. The role of *Drosophila* mushroom body signaling in olfactory memory. *Science* **293**:1330–1333. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1062622, PMID: 11397912
- McGuire SE, Le PT, Osborn AJ, Matsumoto K, Davis RL. 2003. Spatiotemporal rescue of memory dysfunction in Drosophila. Science 302:1765–1768. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1089035, PMID: 14657498
- Mickley GA, Hoxha N, Luchsinger JL, Rogers MM, Wiles NR. 2013. Chronic dietary magnesium-L-threonate speeds extinction and reduces spontaneous recovery of a conditioned taste aversion. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* **106**:16–26. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2013.02.019, PMID: 23474371
- Miyashita T, Oda Y, Horiuchi J, Yin JC, Morimoto T, Saitoe M. 2012. Mg²⁺ block of *Drosophila* NMDA receptors is required for long-term memory formation and CREB-dependent gene expression. *Neuron* **74**:887–898. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.03.039, PMID: 22681692
- Murck H. 2002. Magnesium and affective disorders. Nutritional Neuroscience 5:375–389. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1080/1028415021000039194, PMID: 12509067
- Murck H. 2013. Ketamine, magnesium and major depression—from pharmacology to pathophysiology and back. Journal of Psychiatric Research 47:955–965. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.02.015, PMID: 23541145
- Novák B, Tyson JJ. 2008. Design principles of biochemical oscillators. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 9: 981–991. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2530, PMID: 18971947
- Nowak L, Bregestovski P, Ascher P, Herbet A, Prochiantz A. 1984. Magnesium gates glutamate-activated channels in mouse central neurones. *Nature* **307**:462–465. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/307462a0, PMID: 6320006
- Owald D, Felsenberg J, Talbot CB, Das G, Perisse E, Huetteroth W, Waddell S. 2015. Activity of defined mushroom body output neurons underlies learned olfactory behavior in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* 86:417–427. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.025, PMID: 25864636
- Owald D, Waddell S. 2015. Olfactory learning skews mushroom body output pathways to steer behavioral choice in Drosophila. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 35:178–184. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2015.10.002, PMID: 26496148
- Pascual A, Préat T. 2001. Localization of long-term memory within the Drosophila mushroom body. Science 294: 1115–1117. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064200, PMID: 11691997
- Perisse E, Yin Y, Lin AC, Lin S, Huetteroth W, Waddell S. 2013. Different Kenyon cell populations drive learned approach and avoidance in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* 79:945–956. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.07. 045, PMID: 24012007

- Perisse E, Owald D, Barnstedt O, Talbot CB, Huetteroth W, Waddell S. 2016. Aversive learning and appetitive motivation toggle feed-forward inhibition in the *Drosophila* mushroom body. *Neuron* 90:1086–1099. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.04.034, PMID: 27210550
- Perkins LA, Holderbaum L, Tao R, Hu Y, Sopko R, McCall K, Yang-Zhou D, Flockhart I, Binari R, Shim HS, Miller A, Housden A, Foos M, Randkelv S, Kelley C, Namgyal P, Villalta C, Liu LP, Jiang X, Huan-Huan Q, et al. 2015. The transgenic RNAi project at Harvard medical school: resources and validation. *Genetics* 201:843–852. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.180208, PMID: 26320097
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE. 2004. UCSF chimera–a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 25:1605–1612. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084, PMID: 15264254
- Plaçais PY, Trannoy S, Friedrich AB, Tanimoto H, Preat T. 2013. Two pairs of mushroom body efferent neurons are required for appetitive long-term memory retrieval in *Drosophila*. *Cell Reports* **5**:769–780. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.09.032, PMID: 24209748
- Plaçais PY, de Tredern É, Scheunemann L, Trannoy S, Goguel V, Han KA, Isabel G, Preat T. 2017. Upregulated energy metabolism in the *Drosophila* mushroom body is the trigger for long-term memory. *Nature Communications* 8:15510. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15510, PMID: 28580949
- Qin H, Cressy M, Li W, Coravos JS, Izzi SA, Dubnau J. 2012. Gamma neurons mediate dopaminergic input during aversive olfactory memory formation in *Drosophila*. *Current Biology* 22:608–614. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cub.2012.02.014, PMID: 22425153
- Rasmussen HH, Mortensen PB, Jensen IW. 1990. Depression and magnesium deficiency. *The International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine* **19**:57–63. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2190/NKCD-1RB1-QMA9-G1VN
- Romani A, Scarpa A. 1990a. Norepinephrine evokes a marked Mg²⁺ efflux from liver cells. *FEBS Letters* **269**:37–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(90)81113-3, PMID: 2167243
- Romani A, Scarpa A. 1990b. Hormonal control of Mg2+ transport in the heart. *Nature* **346**:841–844. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/346841a0, PMID: 2168019
- Romani AM, Scarpa A. 2000. Regulation of cellular magnesium. Frontiers in Bioscience 5:d720–d734. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2741/Romani, PMID: 10922296
- Rosay P, Armstrong JD, Wang Z, Kaiser K. 2001. Synchronized neural activity in the Drosophila memory centers and its modulation by amnesiac. Neuron 30:759–770. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00323-3, PMID: 11430809
- Sartori SB, Whittle N, Hetzenauer A, Singewald N. 2012. Magnesium deficiency induces anxiety and HPA axis dysregulation: modulation by therapeutic drug treatment. *Neuropharmacology* 62:304–312. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.07.027, PMID: 21835188
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* 9:676–682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019, PMID: 22743772
- Schwaerzel M, Monastirioti M, Scholz H, Friggi-Grelin F, Birman S, Heisenberg M. 2003. Dopamine and octopamine differentiate between aversive and appetitive olfactory memories in Drosophila. The Journal of Neuroscience 23:10495–10502. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-33-10495.2003, PMID: 14627633
- Shindo Y, Yamanaka R, Suzuki K, Hotta K, Oka K. 2016. Altered expression of Mg 2+ transport proteins during Parkinson's disease-like dopaminergic cell degeneration in PC12 cells. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)* -*Molecular Cell Research* 1863:1979–1984. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.05.003
- Slutsky I, Sadeghpour S, Li B, Liu G. 2004. Enhancement of synaptic plasticity through chronically reduced Ca²⁺ flux during uncorrelated activity. *Neuron* **44**:835–849. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.013, PMID: 15572114
- Slutsky I, Abumaria N, Wu LJ, Huang C, Zhang L, Li B, Zhao X, Govindarajan A, Zhao MG, Zhuo M, Tonegawa S, Liu G. 2010. Enhancement of learning and memory by elevating brain magnesium. Neuron 65:165–177. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.12.026, PMID: 20152124
- Tanaka NK, Awasaki T, Shimada T, Ito K. 2004. Integration of chemosensory pathways in the Drosophila secondorder olfactory centers. Current Biology 14:449–457. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2004.03.006, PMID: 15043809
- Tanaka NK, Tanimoto H, Ito K. 2008. Neuronal assemblies of the Drosophila mushroom body. The Journal of Comparative Neurology 508:711–755. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21692, PMID: 18395827
- Tang YP, Shimizu E, Dube GR, Rampon C, Kerchner GA, Zhuo M, Liu G, Tsien JZ. 1999. Genetic enhancement of learning and memory in mice. *Nature* **401**:63–69. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/43432, PMID: 10485705
- Tomchik SM, Davis RL. 2009. Dynamics of learning-related cAMP signaling and stimulus integration in the Drosophila olfactory pathway. Neuron 64:510–521. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.09.029, PMID: 19945393
- Tully T, Preat T, Boynton SC, Del Vecchio M. 1994. Genetic dissection of consolidated memory in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **79**:35–47. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90398-0, PMID: 7923375
- Tully T, Quinn WG. 1985. Classical conditioning and retention in normal and mutant Drosophila melanogaster. Journal of Comparative Physiology A **157**:263–277. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01350033
- Turrigiano GG. 2008. The self-tuning neuron: synaptic scaling of excitatory synapses. *Cell* **135**:422–435. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.10.008, PMID: 18984155
- Venken KJ, Schulze KL, Haelterman NA, Pan H, He Y, Evans-Holm M, Carlson JW, Levis RW, Spradling AC, Hoskins RA, Bellen HJ. 2011. MiMIC: a highly versatile transposon insertion resource for engineering

Drosophila melanogaster genes. Nature Methods 8:737–743. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1662, PMID: 21985007

Vink R, Nechifor M. 2011. Magnesium in the Central Nervous System. University of Adelaide Press.

Vormann J, Günther T. 1987. Amiloride-sensitive net Mg²⁺ efflux from isolated perfused rat hearts. Magnesium
 6:220–224. PMID: 3669732

- Vural H, Demirin H, Kara Y, Eren I, Delibas N. 2010. Alterations of plasma magnesium, copper, zinc, iron and selenium concentrations and some related erythrocyte antioxidant enzyme activities in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology 24:169–173. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jtemb.2010.02.002, PMID: 20569929
- Whittle N, Li L, Chen WQ, Yang JW, Sartori SB, Lubec G, Singewald N. 2011. Changes in brain protein expression are linked to magnesium restriction-induced depression-like behavior. Amino Acids 40:1231–1248. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-010-0758-1, PMID: 21312047
- Wu CL, Xia S, Fu TF, Wang H, Chen YH, Leong D, Chiang AS, Tully T. 2007. Specific requirement of NMDA receptors for long-term memory consolidation in *Drosophila* ellipsoid body. *Nature Neuroscience* 10:1578– 1586. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn2005, PMID: 17982450
- Wu JS, Luo L. 2006. A protocol for dissecting Drosophila melanogaster brains for live imaging or immunostaining. Nature Protocols 1:2110–2115. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.336, PMID: 174 87202
- Xia S, Miyashita T, Fu TF, Lin WY, Wu CL, Pyzocha L, Lin IR, Saitoe M, Tully T, Chiang AS. 2005. NMDA receptors mediate olfactory learning and memory in *Drosophila*. *Current Biology* **15**:603–615. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cub.2005.02.059, PMID: 15823532
- Yamazaki D, Funato Y, Miura J, Sato S, Toyosawa S, Furutani K, Kurachi Y, Omori Y, Furukawa T, Tsuda T, Kuwabata S, Mizukami S, Kikuchi K, Miki H. 2013. Basolateral Mg2+ extrusion via CNNM4 mediates transcellular Mg2+ transport across epithelia: a mouse model. *PLOS Genetics* **9**:e1003983. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003983, PMID: 24339795
- Yang MY, Armstrong JD, Vilinsky I, Strausfeld NJ, Kaiser K. 1995. Subdivision of the *Drosophila* mushroom bodies by enhancer-trap expression patterns. *Neuron* **15**:45–54. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(95) 90063-2, PMID: 7619529
- Yasuyama K, Meinertzhagen IA, Schürmann FW. 2002. Synaptic organization of the mushroom body calyx in Drosophila melanogaster. Journal of Comparative Neurology **445**:211–226. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cne. 10155, PMID: 11920702
- Yoon YK, Kim JH, Kim JH, Kwon JY, Kim YC, Kim YJ, Park ZY. 2016. Pyrazolodiazepine derivatives with agonist activity toward Drosophila RYamide receptor. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 26:5116–5118. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.08.039, PMID: 27597247
- Yu D, Akalal DB, Davis RL. 2006. Drosophila alpha/beta mushroom body neurons form a branch-specific, longterm cellular memory trace after spaced olfactory conditioning. *Neuron* **52**:845–855. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuron.2006.10.030, PMID: 17145505
- Yu Z, Ren M, Wang Z, Zhang B, Rong YS, Jiao R, Gao G. 2013. Highly efficient genome modifications mediated by CRISPR/Cas9 in Drosophila. Genetics 195:289–291. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.153825, PMID: 23833182
- Zagotta WN, Olivier NB, Black KD, Young EC, Olson R, Gouaux E. 2003. Structural basis for modulation and agonist specificity of HCN pacemaker channels. *Nature* 425:200–205. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature01922, PMID: 12968185
- Zars T, Fischer M, Schulz R, Heisenberg M. 2000. Localization of a short-term memory in *Drosophila*. *Science* 288:672–675. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.288.5466.672, PMID: 10784450
- Zhang H, Kozlov G, Li X, Wu H, Gulerez I, Gehring K. 2017. PRL3 phosphatase active site is required for binding the putative magnesium transporter CNNM3. *Scientific Reports* 7:48. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00147-2, PMID: 28246390
- Zhang Y, Skolnick J. 2005. TM-align: a protein structure alignment algorithm based on the TM-score. *Nucleic Acids Research* **33**:2302–2309. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki524, PMID: 15849316
- Zimmer CT, Garrood WT, Puinean AM, Eckel-Zimmer M, Williamson MS, Davies TG, Bass C. 2016. A CRISPR/ Cas9 mediated point mutation in the alpha 6 subunit of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor confers resistance to spinosad in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **73**:62–69. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.04.007, PMID: 27117524