frontiers in Veterinary Science

ERRATUM
published: 28 April 2021
doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.693925

Erratum: Evaluation of Three Medetomidine-Based Anesthetic Protocols in Free-Ranging Wild Boars (*Sus scrofa*)

*Frontiers Production Office**

Frontiers Media SA, Lausanne, Switzerland

Keywords: butorphanol, blood gas, oxygen, anesthesia, capture, medetomidine, *Sus scrofa*, wild boar (*Sus scrofa* L.)

An Erratum on

Evaluation of Three Medetomidine-Based Anesthetic Protocols in Free-Ranging Wild Boars (*Sus scrofa*)

by Morelli, J., Rossi, S., Fuchs, B., Richard, E., Barros, D. S. B., Küker, S., et al. (2021). Front. Vet. Sci. 8:655345. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.655345

Due to a production error, there was a mistake in the captions of **Figure 1**, **Figure 2**, and **Figure 3** as published. The corrected figure captions appear below.

Figure 1. Bland-Altman analysis plots the difference between concurrent SaO_2 and SpO_2 values (Y-axis) against the mean between concurrent SaO_2 and SpO_2 values (X-axis). Mean difference (bias), upper LoA (bias + 1.96 SD), and lower LoA (bias – 1.96 SD) between paired values are represented with straight lines. Upper CAL (5%) and lower CAL (−5%) are represented with dashed lines. LoA, Limit of Agreement; CAL, Clinically Acceptable Limit; SaO_2, arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation; SpO_2, peripheral oxyhemoglobin saturation.

Figure 2. The graph shows the poor concordance between the pulse-oximeter (Y-axis) and the iSTAT (X-axis) results. The blue line represents the equality line, that is for the absolute concordance. SaO_2, arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation; SpO_2, peripheral oxyhemoglobin saturation.

Figure 3. The graph shows the poor correlation between the pulse-oximeter and the iSTAT (X-axis) results. The difference between SaO_2, and SpO_2 is reported on the Y-axis. A tendency of the pulse-oximeter to underestimate actual SaO_2, especially at high readings, is shown. SaO_2, arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation; SpO_2, peripheral oxyhemoglobin saturation.

The publisher apologizes for these mistakes. The original article has been updated.

OPEN ACCESS

Approved by:
Frontiers Editorial Office, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

***Correspondence:**
Frontiers Production Office
production.office@frontiersin.org

Specialty section:
This article was submitted to Zoological Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: *12 April 2021*
Accepted: *12 April 2021*
Published: *28 April 2021*

Citation:
Frontiers Production Office (2021) Erratum: Evaluation of Three Medetomidine-Based Anesthetic Protocols in Free-Ranging Wild Boars (Sus scrofa). Front. Vet. Sci. 8:693925. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.693925