# Peer

## Applied phylogeography of *Cyclopia intermedia* (Fabaceae) highlights the need for 'duty of care' when cultivating honeybush

#### Nicholas C. Galuszynski and Alastair J. Potts

Department of Botany, Nelson Mandela University, Port Elizabeth, Eastern Cape, South Africa

## ABSTRACT

**Background**. The current cultivation and plant breeding of Honeybush tea (produced from members of *Cyclopia* Vent.) do not consider the genetic diversity nor structuring of wild populations. Thus, wild populations may be at risk of genetic contamination if cultivated plants are grown in the same landscape. Here, we investigate the spatial distribution of genetic diversity within *Cyclopia intermedia* E. Mey.—this species is widespread and endemic in the Cape Floristic Region (CFR) and used in the production of Honeybush tea.

**Methods**. We applied High Resolution Melt analysis (HRM), with confirmation Sanger sequencing, to screen two non-coding chloroplast DNA regions (two fragments from the *atpI-aptH* intergenic spacer and one from the *ndhA* intron) in wild *C*. *intermedia* populations. A total of 156 individuals from 17 populations were analyzed for phylogeographic structuring. Statistical tests included analyses of molecular variance and isolation-by-distance, while relationships among haplotypes were ascertained using a statistical parsimony network.

**Results.** Populations were found to exhibit high levels of genetic structuring, with 62.8% of genetic variation partitioned within mountain ranges. An additional 9% of genetic variation was located amongst populations within mountains, suggesting limited seed exchange among neighboring populations. Despite this phylogeographic structuring, no isolation-by-distance was detected (p > 0.05) as nucleotide variation among haplotypes did not increase linearly with geographic distance; this is not surprising given that the configuration of mountain ranges dictates available habitats and, we assume, seed dispersal kernels.

**Conclusions.** Our findings support concerns that the unmonitored redistribution of *Cyclopia* genetic material may pose a threat to the genetic diversity of wild populations, and ultimately the genetic resources within the species. We argue that 'duty of care' principles be used when cultivating Honeybush and that seed should not be translocated outside of the mountain range of origin. Secondarily, given the genetic uniqueness of wild populations, cultivated populations should occur at distance from wild populations that is sufficient to prevent unintended gene flow; however, further research is needed to assess gene flow within mountain ranges.

Subjects Biogeography, Evolutionary Studies, Molecular Biology, Plant Science Keywords Honeybush, Cape floristic region (CFR), Phylogeography, Neo-crops, Wild genetic resources

Submitted 17 April 2020 Accepted 5 August 2020 Published 2 September 2020

Corresponding author Nicholas C. Galuszynski, nicholas.galuszynski@gmail.com

Academic editor Victoria Sosa

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 18

DOI 10.7717/peerj.9818

© Copyright 2020 Galuszynski and Potts

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

### INTRODUCTION

The Cape Floristic Region (CFR), located along the southern Cape of Africa, hosts over 9,000 species of flowering plants, of which nearly 70% are endemic to the region (Goldblatt & Manning, 2002). With such diversity, it is unsurprising that the Cape flora has a long history of commercial harvesting, cultivation and trade, dating back to seventeenth century European settlers (Scott & Hewett, 2008). This includes members of the CFR endemic genus Cyclopia Vent., used for the production of a herbal infusion referred to as Honeybush tea (Hofmeyer & Phillips, 1922; Kies, 1951). The Honeybush industry relies predominantly on raw material sourced from wild populations, but this approach is unable to meet consumer demand and a transition to agricultural production is underway to avoid overexploitation of natural resources (*Joubert et al.*, 2011). This expansion of the cultivated Honeybush sector should reduce harvesting pressure on wild populations and provide opportunities for employment in economically depressed rural communities. However, the underlying distribution and level of genetic diversity present in wild populations is rarely considered during the transition to cultivation plants, and often involves a period of screening individuals for commercially favourable traits (*Hyten et al., 2006*; Schipmann et al., 2005). This has been the case for Honeybush, with individuals sourced from multiple populations and species for initial breeding trials (Joubert et al., 2011). When establishing cultivated populations, failing to represent the genetic diversity patterns of local populations can potentially place wild populations at risk of contamination by non-local genetic lineages (Hammer et al., 2009; Laikre et al., 2010). Potential issues of genetic contamination associated with the cultivation Honeybush have recently been raised Potts (2017a). Based on the limited dispersal abilities of Cyclopia species (seed dispersed by dehiscent seed pods and ants, and pollen by carpenter bees, Xylocopa spp., Schutte, 1997), Potts (2017a) argues that Cyclopia populations are likely to exhibit geographically structured genetic diversity, which may be lost or disrupted if not considered during the transition to cultivation. Here we apply High Resolution Melt analysis (HRM; (Wittwer et al., 2003), coupled with confirmation Sanger sequencing (Sanger, Nicklen & Coulson, 1977), of chloroplast genetic lineages in the widespread Cyclopia intermedia E. Mey to test whether spatial structuring exists in this species.

Genetic diversity provides the basis for evolutionary change, including acclimation and adaptive responses to changes in local environmental conditions (*Pauls et al., 2012*). Genetic diversity is not, however, evenly distributed across a species' range. Rather, demographic history shapes the spatial structuring and extent of molecular variation within a species (*Charlesworth, 2009; Excoffier, Foll & Petit, 2009; Klopfstein, Currat & Excoffier, 2005*), providing the basis for phylogeography (*Avise et al., 1987*). As biogeographic processes (climate and geomorphic changes) fragment and isolate populations, neutral genetic processes (mutation and drift) lead to genetic divergence among populations (if isolated for sufficient time), producing patterns of phylogeographic structuring. Large interconnected populations are likely to exhibit lower levels of genetic drift (*Kimura & Crow, 1963*) as the large effective population size facilitates the persistence of rare alleles (generated by mutation or migration) at low frequencies (*Tajima, 1989*) and therefore have higher levels

of genetic diversity. Alternatively, small populations are often isolated and prone to genetic drift and inbreeding, reducing overall genetic diversity (*Ellstrand & Elam*, 1993). As argued in *Potts (2017a)*, it is likely that these processes have driven phylogeographic structuring in the CFR given its topographic and environmental heterogeneity—and thus high-levels of genetic differentiation amongst populations is likely the norm, rather than the exception.

These demographic processes, and their impacts on intraspecific genetic diversity, are rarely considered when wild crop or medicinal plants are introduced to an agricultural setting. This has resulted in genetic divergence between neighbouring wild and cultivated populations (Yuan et al., 2010; Otero-Arnaiz, Casas & Hamrick, 2005), creating opportunities for genetic pollution of wild populations (Bredeson et al., 2016; Bartsch et al., 1999; VandenBroeck et al., 2004; Millar & Byrne, 2007) and potentially disrupting species boundaries through the formation of hybrid taxa (Macqueen & Potts, 2018; Lexer et al., 2003a; Lexer et al., 2003b). For example, wild harvesting of the Chinese medicinal plant Scutellaria baicalensis (Lamiaceae) has resulted in rapid declines in population sizes and, subsequently, widespread cultivation was promoted to meet demands and reduce harvesting pressure on wild populations (Yuan et al., 2010). By screening genetic diversity and structuring of 28 wild and 22 cultivated S. baicalensis populations, Yuan et al. (2010) demonstrated that although cultivated populations supported similar levels of genetic diversity, they did not represent the phylogeographic structuring of wild populations, as genetic types were widely redistributed outside of their natural ranges. Moreover, if genetically divergent wild and cultivated variants occur within pollination distance from one another, have overlapping flowering times, and are sexually compatible, then gene flow is likely to occur (Arias & Rieseberg, 1994; Bartsch et al., 1999; Papa & Gepts, 2003; Otero-Arnaiz, Casas & Hamrick, 2005). Genetic pollution of crop wild relatives has been widely documented since the advent of genetically modified (GM) crop plants, with the majority of globally important GM crops exhibiting hybridization with at least one wild relative (Ellstrand, Prentice & Hancock, 1999). It is therefore suggested that 'duty of care' be taken when introducing new crops into agricultural systems, so as to minimise any potential negative ecological effects (Byrne & Stone, 2011).

Like the majority of members of the highly-diverse CFR flora, the extent of geographic structuring of chloroplast genetic diversity of members of *Cyclopia* is unknown, and no precautionary limit to the redistribution of seed material exists to guide cultivation. This study therefore sets out to test the postulation put forward by *Potts (2017a)*, that—due to the topographic complexity of the Cape landscape coupled with limited seed dispersal by ants—members of *Cyclopia* will exhibit highly structured chloroplast genetic diversity; such a pattern has already been observed in the preliminary haplotype screening of wild *Cyclopia subternata* Vogel. populations (*Galuszynski & Potts, 2020*). Through a combination of High Resolution Melt analysis (HRM; *Wittwer et al., 2003* and Sanger sequencing *Sanger, Nicklen & Coulson, 1977*) of two non-coding chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) regions (two fragments from the *atpI-aptH* intergenic spacer and one from the *ndhA* intron), an applied phylogeographic approaches is used to describe the levels and distribution of haplotype variation in the widespread and commercially important Honeybush species *C. intermedia.* 

The findings of this study provide baseline data for the development of guidelines for the management and protection of wild genetic diversity in *C. intermedia*.

## **MATERIALS & METHODS**

#### **Study species**

*Cyclopia intermedia* is the most widespread member of the genus (consisting of 23 species; (*Schutte, 1997*), occurring in isolated patches across all major mountain ranges within the eastern CFR (*Schutte, 1997*), sampling locations provided in Fig. 1 and Table 1; further descriptions of these mountain rages are provided in Supplemental Information 1); the species has a broad habitat tolerance occurring at elevations ranging from 500–1,700 m on rocky, loamy, and sandy soils of adequate depth. After fires, it can resprout from a woody rootstock to form a dense shrub (see figures in Supplemental Information 1). This species has poor post-fire recruitment from seed compared to obligate reseeding members of the genus (*Schutte, Vlok & Van Wyk, 1995*). Although *C. intermedia* was not initially targeted for cultivation, it currently accounts for the majority of wild harvested Honeybush (*McGregor, 2017*), and commercial cultivation of this species is on the rise (N. C. Galuszynski. pers. obs., 2018). As such, it is unlikely that genetic material has been widely translocated for this species and opportunities exist to guide future conservation and management of genetic diversity.

#### Sample collection and DNA extraction

Over the period of 2015–2018, samples were collected from wild populations across the natural range of C. intermedia. Due to many widespread fires across the CFR during this period, many populations were limited to individuals that were either in the process of resprouting or those found in micro-refugia (such as rocky outcrops) where they were protected from fire. Thus, sample size is highly variable among populations (ranging between 1 and 16). In populations with less than 10 plants, all individuals were sampled, in large populations plants were collected across the full extent of the stand with a minimum distance of five meters between sampled individuals. All sampling was approved by the relevant permitting agencies: Cape Nature (Permit number: CN35-28-4367), the Eastern Cape Department of Economic Development, Environmental Affairs and Tourism (Permit numbers: CRO 84/16CR, CRO 85/16CR), and the Eastern Cape Parks and Tourism Agency (Permit number: RA\_0185). Fresh leaf material was collected from the healthy growing tips of individuals and placed directly into a silica desiccating medium for a minimum of two weeks prior to DNA extraction. A modified CTAB DNA extraction approach was adapted from the methods outlined by Doyle & Doyle (1987). Once extracted, genomic DNA was quantified using a NanoDrop 2000c Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE19810r Scientific, USA) and 5 ng/L DNA dilutions were made for PCR amplification and HRM analysis.

#### Haplotype detection and sequence alignment

High Resolution Melt analysis involves the gradual heating of PCR products amplified in the presence of a DNA saturating dye. As the double stranded DNA is melted, it dissociates

Population Mountain Coordinates Ν Haplotypes range Lon Lat Μ 0 W А В С D Е F G H I J ΚL Ν Р Q R S Т UV 13 Anysberg (AB) AB 20.72 -33.5013 Garcia's pass (GAR) 21.22 LB -33.96 15 15 Besemfontein (BF) KSB 21.47 -33.3710 5 Rooiberg (RB) RB 21.57 -33.68Swartberg pass (SMP) SB -33.3322.04 16 13 Swartberg mountains (SBM) SB 22.38 -33.383 Blesberg (BB) SB 22.76 -33.413 Doring River (DR) OUT 22.31 -33.883 Prince Alfred's pass (PAP) LK 23.16 -33.768 Haarlem (HAR) LK 23.32 -33.779 Bluehills (BH) LK 23.41 -33.59 5 Langkloof b (LKb) LK 23.71 -33.7912 Langkloof a LK (LKa) 23.79 -33.7816 15Kouga Wilderness LK (KW) 23.83 -33.6710 10 Baviaanskloof (BAV) В 24.48 -33.6221 11 Longmore Forest (LMF) CC -33.82 5 25.11 5 Lady Slipper (LS) CC 25.26 -33.8916 15

# Table 1 Population location and haplotype assignment. Population names and mountain range of origin (following the abbreviated format used in fig1, fig4, full names provided in table footer), and GPS coordinates of each population in decimal degree format. The number of accessions sampled per population (N) ranges between 1 and 16 based on the number of individuals found in the field. The number of accessions assigned to each haplotype (A–W) is also provided.

#### Notes.

Mountain ranges: Anysberg (AB), Klein Swartberg (KSB), Rooiberg (RB), Groot Swartberg (SB), Outeniqua (OUT), Langkloof (LK), Baviaanskloof (B), Cockscomb (CC).



**Figure 1** Geographic distribution of the 17 *Cyclopia intermedia* populations screened for haplotype variation. (A) High contrast Digital Elevation Map of the mountain ranges of the eastern CFR, including the sampling locations of the *C. intermedia* populations included in the phylogeographic analysis. Note that the Kouga and Tsitsikamma mountain ranges are linked by continuous fynbos habitat and are locally referred to as a single area, the Langkloof. The study area in relation to South Africa is indicated in the inset. (B) Haplotype frequencies (partitioning of circles) and sample sizes (in parenthesis) of the *C. intermedia* populations included in the inset. (B) Haplotype frequencies (partitioning of circles) and sample sizes (in parenthesis) of the *C. intermedia* populations included in the study, population names follow the description in Table 1. Populations that overlap and obscure the haplotype frequencies are indicated in the inset. The haplotype frequencies follow the same colour scheme as Fig. 2, with haplotypes color coded based on mountain range of origin: red indicates Anysberg (AB), brown is the Langeberg (GAR), pink is the Klein Swartberg (BF), purple is the Rooiberg (RB), green is Groot Swartberg (SBP, SBM, BB), off white is Outeniqua (DR), blue is Langkloof (PAP, HAR, BH, LKa, LKb, KW), yellow is Baviaans Kloof (BAV), and orange is Cockscomb (LMF, LS).

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9818/fig-1

at a rate based on the nucleotide binding chemistry of the double stranded DNA molecule under analysis. As such, different nucleotide sequences usually produce distinct melt curves when plotting the normalised fluorescence differences among samples against temperature (not every unique sequence has a differentiable melt curve). The application of HRM has been demonstrated to be a rapid and cost effective means of detecting haplotype variation in wild *Cyclopia* populations (*Galuszynski & Potts, 2020*) and other plant species (e.g., *Amphicarpaea bracteata* (L.) Fernald, Fabaceae: *Kartzinel et al., 2016*; *Arenaria ciliata* L. and *Arenaria norvegica* Gunnerus, Caryophyllaceae: (*Dang et al., 2012*); *Olea europaea* L.,



**Figure 2** Relationship among cpDNA haplotypes, as inferred from the statistical parsimony algorithm. All haplotypes are colorized based on Fig. 1 and labeled as in Table 1 and the putative ancestral haplotype (M) is indicated as a square. Frequency of haplotypes that were detected five times or more in the study are provided in parenthesis, while the area of circles is scaled based on haplotype frequency. Black circles indicate "missing" haplotypes, while haplotypes connected by a single line differ by one base pair. When the relationship between haplotypes is uncertain a broken line is used. White circles indicate the outgroup taxa: aur = *Cyclopia aurescens*, bux = *C. buxifolia*, gen = *C. genistoides*, lon = *C. longifolia*, ses = *C. sessiliflora*.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9818/fig-2

Oleaceae: (*Muleo et al., 2009*); and, *Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn., Betulaceae: *Cubry et al., 2015*).

As the application of HRM to members of *Cyclopia* populations has been described elsewhere (*Galuszynski & Potts, 2020*), only a brief overview of the method is provided here. Two non-coding cpDNA regions (two fragments from the *atpI-aptH* intergenic spacer and one from the *ndhA* intron) were amplified using *Cyclopia* specific primers (provided in Table 2) and subsequently screened for nucleotide variation as detected by HRM curve analysis (i.e., samples with different melt curves). Samples were run in replicates of two, and grouped by population using the well group option in the CFX Manager Software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA) to allow for HRM

**Table 2** Polymerase Chain Reaction primers used to detect haplotype variation across two noncoding cpDNA regions in wild *Cyclopia* intermedia populations. Primers used for High Resolution Melt analysis are denoted in bold typeface and primers used to amplify the full cpDNA region are denoted in italic typeface. The primers used for unidirectional sequencing of PCR products for HRM cluster confirmation are underlined and italicised. The average number of length of PCR products amplified by the various primer combinations are given in base pairs (bp), followed by the primers nucleotide motif, and the annealing temperature used for PCR.

| cpDNA region               | Primer ID | PCR<br>product<br>size (bp) | Sequence (5'- > 3')        | Tm (°C) |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| ndhA intron                | ndhAx1    | 1100                        | GCYCAATCWATTAGTTATGAAATACC | 55      |
|                            | ndhAx2    |                             | GGTTGACGCCAMARATTCCA       |         |
|                            | MLT_U1    | 350                         | AGGTACTTCTGAATTGATCTCATCC  | 59.0    |
|                            | MLT_U2    |                             | GCAGTACTCCCCACAATTCCA      |         |
| atpI-atpHintergenic spacer | atpI      | 1100                        | TATTTACAAGYGGTATTCAAGCT    | 50      |
|                            | atpH      |                             | CCAAYCCAGCAGCAATAAC        |         |
|                            | MLT_S1    | 200                         | TGGGGGTTTCAAAGCAAAGG       | 58.8    |
|                            | MLT_S2    |                             | ATTACAGATGAAACGGAAGGGC     |         |
|                            | MLT_S3    | 300                         | TTCCCGTTTCATTCATTCACATTCA  | 59.3    |
|                            | MLT_S4    |                             | CCTTTGCTTTGAAACCCCCA       |         |

 Table 3
 Polymerase Chain Reaction and High Resolution Melt condition used to screen haplotype variation in wild *Cyclopia* intermedia populations.

 Primer annealing temperature (Tm) for the various primer combinations used in this study are provided in Table 2.

| Process           | Step                   | Temperature                     | Time        | Number of cycles |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| PCR Amplification | Initial Denaturing     | 95 °C                           | 2 min       | 1                |
|                   | Denaturing             | 95 °C                           | 10 s        | 40               |
|                   | Annealing + Plate Read | Primer Tm                       | 30 s        |                  |
|                   | Extension + Plate Read | 72 °C                           | 30 s        |                  |
| HRM Analysis      | Heteroduplex Formation | 95 °C                           | 30 s        | 1                |
|                   |                        | 60 °C                           | 1 min       | 1                |
|                   | HRM + Plate Read       | 65–95 °C (in 0.2 °C increments) | 10 sec/step | 1                |

clustering to be conducted on a per population basis following the workflow suggested by *Dang et al. (2012)*. All reactions (PCR amplification and subsequent HRM) took place in a 96 well plate CFX Connect (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA) and PCR and HRM conditions are provided in Table 3. All haplotype melt curve grouping was performed on normalized fluorescence differences curves using the automated clustering algorithm of the High Precision Melt software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA) (Tm threshold = 0.05; curve shape sensitivity = 70%; temperature correction = 20).

As HRM analysis does not provide insights into the specific nucleotide motifs under analysis, the haplotype identity of each HRM cluster was confirmed by sequencing a subset of individuals belonging to each HRM cluster per population (PCR amplification following the protocols of *Shaw et al. (2007)*, which are described in Table S1). Since the HRM analysis targeted a subsection of the cpDNA regions investigated, unidirectional sequencing of the full region (using the reverse primers indicated in Table 2), proved sufficient for verifying the sequence motifs amplified by the genus specific HRM primers. In cases where sample replicates were classified as two different putative haplotypes by HRM clustering, confirmation sequencing of the sample was employed to ensure correct haplotype assignment. Additionally, in cases where HRM clusters represented false negative haplotype assignments (i.e., different haplotypes, as determined from confirmation sequencing, being assigned to the same HRM cluster), all the individuals assigned to the HRM cluster were sequenced for haplotype assignment; this, however, was only required in one instance (described in the results).

Sanger confirmation sequences were assembled using *CondonCode Aligner* [v2.0.1] (Codon Code Corp, http://www.codoncode.com). Each base-call was assigned a quality score using the PHRED base-calling program (*Ewing et al., 1998*). Following this, sequences were automatically aligned using ClustalW (*Thompson, Higgins & Gibson, 1994*) and visually inspected for quality, all small (2–3 bp) indels occurring in homopolymer repeat regions that proved difficult to score were removed. All *C. intermedia* samples that underwent HRM analysis were then assigned the haplotype identity of the HRM cluster they belonged to using a custom *R* script (provided as Supplemental Information 2). The cpDNA regions under investigation are maternally inherited in tandem and not subject to recombination (*Reboud & Zeyl, 1994*), and were therefore combined for subsequent analysis. Haplotype distribution and within populations frequency was mapped using *QGIS* [3.2.2] (*Lacaze, Dudek & Picard, 2018*) (Fig. 1, Table 1).

#### Phylogeographic analysis

The genealogical relationship among the C. intermedia cpDNA haplotypes was ascertained using Statistical Parsimony (SP) network construction in TCS [v1.2.1] (Clement, Posada & Crandall, 2000). Five outgroup taxa (C. aurescens Kies, C. buxifolia (Burm.f.) Kies, C. genistoides (L) R.Br., C. longifolia Vogel, and C. sessiliflora (L) R.Br.) that were previously sequenced to develop the genus specific HRM markers (Galuszynski & Potts, 2020) were included in the SP network. Default options were used to build the network, although each indel event was reduced to a single base pair (TCS counts each base as an independent evolutionary event). Spatial partitioning of genetic diversity across mountain ranges (mountain range assignment for each population is provided in Table 1) and populations was tested using an Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA; Excoffier, Smouse & Quattro, 1992), conducted using the poppr [v2.8.3] library (Kamvar, Tabima & Grünwald, 2014; Kamvar, Brooks & Graanwald, 2015). Isolation By Distance (Wright, 1943) was calculated using untransformed geographic distance, as well as the log natural algorithm of geographic distance; the latter accounts for overdispersion in the data resulting from non-linear patterns of genetic divergence among populations (Rousset, 1997). The genetic divergence indices used to calculate isolation-by-distance (IBD) included: Jost's D (Jost, 2008) (calculated using the *mmod* [v1.3.3] library; (*Winter*, 2012), which measures haplotype differentiation between populations, and Prevosti's distance (Prevosti, Ocana & Alonso, 1975) (calculated using the *poppr* library), a measure of pairwise population genetic distance that treats indels as a fifth character state (all indels were reduced to single base events for the same reason described above). Significance of the IBD analyses were tested via a Mantel tests, with 9999 replicates (*Mantel*, 1967), implemented via the *randtest* function in *ade* [v4 1.7] (*Dray & Dufour*, 2007).

Expected haplotype richness, that corrects for unequal sample sizes among populations, was calculated using the *poppr* function in the *poppr* library, and mean haplotype fixation was calculated for each population using pairwise G"st (*Hedrick, 2005*), calculated in the *mmod* [v1.3.3] library (*Winter, 2012*) and plotted against longitude. This longitudinal trend in haplotype turnover was investigated as the Cape Fold mountains that support *C. intermedia* populations consist of linear arrangement of ranges that are dissected by deep river gorges (Fig. 1 and described further in Supplemental Information 1) that are likely major barriers to seed dispersal. Genetic clustering of populations was estimated from a Neighbour Joining dendrogram constructed from pairwise population genetic distance (*Prevosti, Ocana & Alonso, 1975*) calculated above. Branch support was tested via a bootstrap analysis conducted with 9999 replicates using the *aboot* function in the *poppr* library. All analyses were conducted in *R* [v3.5.1] (*R Core Team, 2018*).

## RESULTS

#### High Resolution Melt haplotype detection

High Resolution Melt proved to be an effective tool for detecting haplotype variation in wild *C. intermedia* populations—with a total of 156 individuals screened across 17 populations—detecting 23 haplotypes (confirmed by sequencing a total of 86 and 79 individuals across the two non-coding cpDNA regions respectively). Only one false negative was detected in the study (HRM clustering two different haplotypes, amplified by the MLT S3 - MLT S4 primer combination, as the same putative haplotype), which was resolved by sequencing the *atpI-atpH* intergenic spacer for both individuals assigned to that cluster. (Note that this is why sequencing of a random subset of samples from each HRM curve cluster was conducted—to detect such possible false negatives).

The final merged cpDNA dataset consists of 844 base pairs, 505 from the *atpI-atpH* intergenic spacer and 339 from the *ndhA* intron, with an overall GC content of 28.1%. The data contained 23 polymorphic sites including four indels, nine transversions, and 13 transitions. Nucleotide differences between *C. intermedia* haplotypes are reported in Table 4.

#### Phylogeographic analysis

The SP network revealed no distinct haplotype groups. Rather, most of the haplotype variation radiates from an ancestral haplotype (M), located at the center of the network (Fig. 2). Haplotypes exhibited little divergence, many differing by a single substitution. Clustering of populations (based on pairwise genetic distance) in the NJ tree (Fig. 3) was largely consistent with a shared mountain of origin; for example, populations from Langkloof formed a well-supported group with 96.7% bootstrap support. However, notable deviations from this were apparent as genetically unique populations from the Swartberg Mountains (BF and SBM in Fig. 1) formed independent branches outside of the core Swartberg Mountains cluster, and the Baviaanskloof population (BAV in Fig. 1) was grouped in the core Swartberg cluster.

 Table 4
 Nucleotide differences among the 23 cpDNA haplotypes detected in wild *Cyclopia* intermedia populations. The three cpDNA fragments that were individually screened via High Resolution Melt analysis are presented as separate sets of columns, with the nucleotide position within the context of the concatenated haplotype provided for each base pair variation provided. The indels that differentiate haplotypes are reported below the table, with the variation among indel 2a–2e indicated by bold italicised typeface.

| MLT S3 –MLT S4 (atp1-atpH intergenic spacer) MLT S1 –MLT S2 (atp1-atpH intergenic spacer) |       |    |    |    |    |    | MLT U1 –MLT U2(ndhA intron) |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |           |     |         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |         |
|---|-------|----|----|----|----|----|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| Position  | 23-29 | 30 | 35 | 38 | 66 | 91 | 99                          | 116 | 130 | 136 | 147 | 191 | 192 | 197 | 233 | 272 | 304 | 306 | 321 | 338 | 342-448   | 437 | 442-448 | 462 | 498 | 538 | 569 | 587 | 613 | 677 | 712 | 726 | 739 | 794 | 797-801 |
| Consensus   | 1     | Т  | Т  | Т  | А  | С  | С                           | G   | А   | Т   | Т   | С   | G   | А   | G   | С   | А   | С   | G   | G   | 2a        | G   | 3       | Т   | G   | С   | G   | Т   | Т   | G   | С   | G   | G   | С   | -       |
| Haplotype   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |           |     |         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |         |
| А   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | a   |     |     | 2b        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | -       |
| В   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     | 2d        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4b      |
| С   |       |    |    |    |    | a  |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | а   |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | -       |
| D   |       |    |    |    |    | a  |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | а   |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       | с   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | -       |
| Е   | -     |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | а   |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | -       |
| F   | -     |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4a      |
| G   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     | a   |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     | -       |
| Н   | -     |    |    |    |    |    |                             | a   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     | a   |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     | -       |
| Ι   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | -       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4a      |
| J   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     | t   |     | t   |     |     | -       |
| K   |       |    |    | ·  |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | <u>2a</u> |     | 3       |     | a   |     |     |     |     | t   |     | t   |     |     | -       |
| L   |       |    |    | ·  |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     | g   |     |     |     |     |     |     | <u>2a</u> |     | 3       |     | a   |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     | -       |
| М   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | -       |
| Ν   | -     |    | •  |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | а   |     | -       |
| 0   | -     |    | •  |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | с   |     | 2c        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4a      |
| Р   |       | g  |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | -       |
| Q   | -     |    | a  | a  |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     | -       |
| R   |       |    |    | ·  |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | -       |
| S   |       |    |    | ·  |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4a      |
| Т   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4b      |
| U   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | t   |     |     |     |     | 2e        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4a      |
| V   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2e        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4a      |
| W   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | -         |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | a   |     |     | a   | 4a      |
| C. aurescens  |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | g   |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | _       |
| C. buxifolia  |       |    |    |    | с  |    | t                           |     | t   | с   | g   |     | t   |     |     |     |     |     |     | a   | 2a        | a   | 3       |     |     |     |     | с   | g   | t   |     |     |     |     | -       |
| C. genistoides  |       |    |    |    | с  |    |                             |     | t   | с   | g   |     | t   |     |     |     |     |     |     | a   | 2a        | a   | 3       |     |     | t   | а   | с   |     |     |     |     |     |     | -       |
| C. longifolia   |       |    |    |    |    |    |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | g   |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4a      |
| C sessiliflora  |       |    |    |    |    | а  |                             |     |     |     |     |     |     | σ   |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2a        |     | 3       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4b      |

Notes.

1 = TAAAAAT, 3 = TATCTAA, 4a = TT—, 4b = TTTTC,2a = TACAGATGAAACGGAAGGGCTTCGTTTTTTGAATCCCTATCTAAATTTACAGGAACAGGGCAAA, 2b = TACAGATGAAACGGAAGGGCTTCGTTTTTTGAATCCCTATCTAAATTTAAGTAACAGGGCAAA, 2c = TACAGATGAAACGGAAGGGCTTCGTTTTTTGAATCCCTATCTAAATTTATAGTAACAGGGCAAA, 2c = TACAGATGAAACGGAAGGGCTTCGTTTTTTGAATCCCTATCTAAATTTACAGTAACAGGGCAAA, 2c = TACAGATTAAACGGAAGGGCTTCGTTTTTTGAATCCCTATCTAAATTTATAGTAACAGGAAAGGGCAAA, 2c = TACAGATGAAACGGAAGGGCTTCGTTTTTTGAATCCCTATCTAAATTTACAGTAACAGGGCAAA, 2c = TACAGATTAAACGGAAGGGCTTCGTTTTTTGAATCCCTATCTAAATTTACAGTAACAGGGCAAA, 2c = TACAGATTAAACGGAAGGGCTTCGTTTTTTGAATCCCTATCTAAATT



**Figure 3** Neighbour Joining tree of *Cyclopia intermedia* grouping based on pairwise population genetic distance. Branches with greater than 50% bootstrap support are labeled and populations that deviate from being grouped with population occurring from the same mountain ranges are denoted in bold typeface; Baviaanskloof (BAV), and Swartberg mountains (SBM), originating from the Groot Swartberg mountains. Population and mountain range naming follows that of Table 1.

Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9818/fig-3

Table 5AMOVA results indicating significant structuring of wild Cyclopia intermedia populationsacross mountain ranges. The degrees of freedom, sum of squares of deviation (SSD), and percentage ofgenetic variation (% variation) accounted for are provided for the three levels of sample grouping, and theglobal Fst from the AMOVA is provided.

|                                      | Degrees<br>freedom | SSD    | % variation | Fst      |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|----------|
| Between mountains                    | 9                  | 298.6  | 62.79**     | 0.704*** |
| Between populations within mountains | 7                  | 21.54  | 8.99        |          |
| Within samples                       | 139                | 123.32 | 28.22       |          |

Notes.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> p < 0.01, <sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> p < 0.005.

Genetic divergence across mountain ranges was further supported by the AMOVA analysis (results reported in Table 5), detecting a significant trend (p < 0.05) for genetic diversity to be structured among mountain ranges, accounting for 62.8% of genetic variation, with an additional 9.0% structured among populations within mountain ranges. No IBD was detected for any of the population differentiation measures (p > 0.05 across all measures of geographic distance). Plots of expected haplotype richness and G<sup>\*\*\*</sup>st against longitude suggest that edge populations of *C. intermedia* tend to be fixed for a small number locally unique haplotypes (Fig. 4).

We note that outgroup samples nest within the statistical parsimony network. This may be due to a recently shared common ancestor, incomplete lineage sorting or chloroplast



**Figure 4** Haplotype diversity (expected haplotype richness) and differentiation (Hedrick's Gst) measures plotted against the longitudinal position (decimal degrees) of populations. Broken lines were manually drawn around the distribution of points.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9818/fig-4

capture (i.e., hybridization). Identifying which driver or drivers gave rise to this observed pattern requires further sampling of species, populations and genomes—sampling the nuclear genome is crucial for identifying hybridization events. At present, little is known about the proclivity for hybridization amongst the species in this genus.

## DISCUSSION

Although *Cyclopia intermedia* is the most widely distributed member of its genus (occurring across all major mountain ranges within the eastern CFR), populations of this species are generally found in localized low density patches on south facing slopes. As stated above, wild harvesting of *C. intermedia* still forms the bulk of the Honeybush industry in the Eastern Cape of South Africa (*McGregor, 2017*), but the recent transition to agricultural production of Honeybush has seen increased cultivation of *Cyclopia* species (*Joubert et al., 2011*), including *C. intermedia*. With no information regarding the spatial structuring of wild *Cyclopia* genetic diversity available, no general rules exist to guide the translocation of seed and seedlings. This poses a potential threat of exposing wild populations to non-local genetic lineages through pollen and seed flow. The escape of non-local genetic lineages may place wild *Cyclopia* at the risk of genetic pollution (*Laikre et al., 2010*; *Potts, 2017a*). The phylogeographic patterns detected for *C. intermedia* (discussed below) support the concerns raised by *Potts (2017a)*, and should provide preliminary guidance to the management and protection of *Cyclopia* wild genetic resources.

#### Phylogeography of C. intermedia

The phylogeography of *C. intermedia* was explored using HRM coupled with sequencing to screen haplotype variation across two non-coding cpDNA regions. High levels of haplotype turnover among populations and genetic structuring was detected. Phylogeographic work in the CFR has, for the most part, detected phylogeographic structuring of biota regardless of taxonomy or life form (reviewed in *Lexer et al., 2013; Tolley et al., 2014*). Unfortunately, few widespread plant taxa have been targeted for phylogeographic work in the region, and even less attention has been given to eastern CFR species. Two studies of widespread CFR plant taxa that naturally occur in the western and eastern CFR (*Tetraria triangularis* (Boeck.) C.B.Clarke: *Britton, Hedderson & Verboom, 2014*); and *Protea repens* (L.) L.: *Prunier et al., 2017*) do, however, highlight the potential for population divergence to occur at a range of spatial scales, including over relatively short distances in heterogeneous landscape of the CFR.

Topographic complexity has been widely cited as an important driver of population isolation in the CFR and adjacent biomes (*Britton, Hedderson & Verboom, 2014; Potts et al., 2013a; Potts, Hedderson & Cowling, 2013b; Prunier et al., 2017; Potts, 2017b; Prunier & Holsinger, 2010*). Disjunct population distributions in the CFR has been attributed to Pleistocene climate cycles fragmenting populations into climate refugia (*Britton, Hedderson & Verboom, 2014; Potts, 2017b; Potts et al., 2013a*) as vegetation dynamics in the region shifted in response to changes in rainfall seasonality (*Bar-Matthews et al., 2010; Chase & Meadows, 2007*). Furthermore, since seed dispersal is generally limited to short distances in CFR taxa long distance dispersal is unlikely to be responsible for the distribution of populations across adjacent mountain ranges or drainage basins.

In the case of *C. intermedia*, topographic complexity appears to have played a pivotal role in shaping the distribution of genetic diversity, with 62.8% of haplotype variation structured based on mountain ranges (AMOVA), and multiple cases of complete haplotype turnover occurring across adjacent ranges (Fig. 1, Table 1). This was most dramatic across the Anysberg, Besemfontein and Swartberg Pass populations that, despite their close proximity, shared no haplotypes. These patterns of intraspecific divergence are similar to those of T. triangularis (Britton, Hedderson & Verboom, 2014) and P. repens (Prunier et al., 2017), where populations sampled from these mountains exhibited high levels of divergence. The deeply incised gorges and expanses of inhospitable lowland habitat separating mountain ranges (see Supplemental Information 1) are barriers dispersal in the CFR, promoting vicariance among upland populations that may have once been more connected during past climate conditions. Additionally, the vegetation composition of the eastern CFR may have seesawed between C3 Mediterranean shrub-lands (that support C. intermedia) and alternative woodlands and/or C4 grasslands (Bar-Matthews et al., 2010; Cowling & Lombard, 2002). While this would have fragmented populations across mountain ranges, as described above, it may have also created opportunities for admixture among populations within mountain ranges and perhaps even across mountain ranges via habitat corridors, especially in the eastern reaches of species ranges. This may explain the widespread distributions of haplotypes M and F in the eastern C. intermedia populations (Fig. 1). These haplotypes, while dominant in the Langkloof and Groot Swartberg mountains respectively (suggesting some degree of connectivity among populations within these ranges), are also found in the eastern most populations located in the Cockscomb (haplotype M) and Baviaanskloof (haplotype F) mountains that both support unique local haplotypes that make them genetically distinct. Considering the slow mutation rate of the chloroplast genome (*Schaal et al., 1998*), the presence of unique haplotypes at low frequencies within populations should be viewed as an additional level of genetic structuring in *C. intermedia*, with 9% of haplotype variation localized in populations within mountain ranges.

Of the 23 haplotypes detected in C. intermedia, four are shared among populations (Table 1), possibly suggesting limited dispersal among and within mountain ranges. These rare haplotypes may result from mutations generated in-situ, with limited migration among adjacent populations preventing rare haplotypes from becoming more widespread. Short distance seed dispersal by ants, where 25 m is considered a long distance dispersal event (Gómez & Espadaler, 2013), coupled with poor recruitment, would be sufficient to maintain isolation among populations, promoting genetic divergence over short distances. Limited seed dispersal capability has frequently been associated with genetic differentiation among populations of CFR taxa in the past (Britton, Hedderson & Verboom, 2014; Lexer et al., 2003a; Lexer et al., 2003b; Potts et al., 2013a; Zietsman, Dreyer & Jansen Van Vuuren, 2009). Britton, Hedderson & Verboom (2014) detected a number of instances of near complete haplotype turnover among neighboring populations of the high elevation sedge T. triangularis sampled from the eastern CFR. While, in the case of the Little Karoo endemic Berkheya cuneata (Asteraceae), populations occurring in the western and eastern sub-basins of the Gouritz basin (located between the Groot Swartberg and Outeniqua mountains) have been isolated for a sufficient period to produce highly divergent west and eastern cpDNA lineages (Potts et al., 2013a). Limited seed dispersal across environmental and physical barriers (expanses of unsuitable lowland habitat in the case of T. triangularis and the Rooiberg mountain in the case of *B. cuneata*) was evoked as possible mechanisms for prolonged population isolation. We postulate that the distribution patterns of rare haplotypes in C. intermedia may be a consequence of the same process and represents an important aspect of Cyclopia wild genetic diversity in need of protection.

#### Distribution patterns of haplotype richness

The lack of IBD (*Rousset, 1997*) and star-burst SP network (*Charlesworth, 2003*) suggests that C. intermedia has experienced a non-linear processes of population isolation and subsequent genetic divergence (i.e., not a single direction stepping stone colonization path), with haplotype M identified as a putative ancestral form due to its central position in the network (*Cann, Stoneking & Wilson, 1987; Templeton, Routman & Phillips, 1995*). Some consequences of this are that co-occurring haplotypes have as many, if not more, nucleotide differences separating them than haplotypes originating from geographically distant populations (Fig. 4). This results from novel haplotypes being generated directly from the ancestral form, rather than accumulating mutations along a colonization path (Fig. 2), thus no spatially structured haplotype groups were detected in the SP network and haplotypes located in edge populations are not more genetically differentiated than populations from the center of the species range. For instance, haplotypes A, E and C,

while occurring in different mountain ranges, are genetically more similar to haplotype M than haplotypes L, O, Q, S, and T that occur within the same mountain range as haplotype M (Figs. 1 and 2). The non-linear pattern of population isolation (described above) has a marked impact on within population genetic diversity (*Excoffier, Foll & Petit, 2009*). Populations located in the geographic source of a species' range, (i.e., where the ancestral haplotypes are generally dominant, such as the Groot Swartberg and Langkloof in the case of C. intermedia) are likely to have reduced impacts of drift, due to a larger effective population size and long term persistence, promoting the accumulation of genetic diversity (Excoffier, Foll & Petit, 2009). This is evident in C. intermedia, with the longitudinal center of the species range having higher expected haplotype richness (Fig. 4). In contrast, populations at the edge of a species range may exhibit reduced genetic diversity (Klopfstein, Currat & Excoffier, 2005) and increase fixation of unique local haplotypes (Fig. 4). Novel mutations and rare haplotypes become rapidly fixed in edge populations that are prone to genetic bottlenecks resulting from founder effects or population fragmentation and isolation due to climate changes (Klopfstein, Currat & Excoffier, 2005). The potential role of Pleistocene climate instability in isolating C. intermedia populations has been discussed, but we reiterate here the potential role of vicariance in isolating of these genetically distinct edge populations, such as the Anysberg, Garcias Pass, Besemfontein and Lady Slipper populations.

#### Management of C. intermedia genetic diversity

The goal of identifying historically isolated sets of genetically similar populations is that these populations can then be viewed as distinct management or conservation units—termed "evolutionarily significant units" (*Moritz, 1994*). For example, evolutionarily significant units are restricted to clearly defined drainage basins in some plant species (*Potts et al., 2013a; Potts, Hedderson & Cowling, 2013b*). Such units may be especially valuable for guiding the translocation of genetic material in *C. intermedia,* and *Cyclopia* as a whole.

Unfortunately, the biogeographic history of *C. intermedia* described here has not partitioned genetic variation into neat geographic units. And despite the coarse pattern of genetic diversity being structured within mountain ranges—populations tend to support unique haplotypes (Fig. 1, Table 1) that make them genetically distinct (e.g., the Prince Alfred's Pass and Swartberg Mountains populations, Fig. 3) and in need of individual management and protection. Furthermore, a single study sampling only two non-coding regions cannot be considered to represent the entirety of a species genetic diversity. Thus, the fact that 13 of the 17 populations screened here supported unique haplotypes suggests that grouping neighbouring populations as genetically homogenous spatial units for conservation and management would poorly represent the species' cpDNA genetic diversity. Rather than relying on a few *Cyclopia* populations to represent the genetic diversity, or evolutionary significance, of broad areas, all wild populations should be safeguarded from potential genetic pollution to some degree.

#### Recommendations for 'duty of care' of C. intermedia genetic diversity

Currently, the Honeybush industry relies on raw material sourced predominantly from wild populations, with an estimated 85% of wild harvested Honeybush collected from *C. intermedia* populations (*McGregor, 2017*). With a rise in popularity of natural products in recent years, the Honeybush industry has seen a surge in consumer demand (*Joubert et al., 2008; Joubert et al., 2011*), placing these wild populations under additional harvesting pressure. Agricultural cultivation has therefore been encouraged as a means of protecting *Cyclopia* from unsustainable harvesting activities.

The initial Honeybush boom in the 1990s (*Joubert et al., 2011*; *Du Toit, Joubert & Britz, 1998*) spurred on investigations into the cultivation potential of various species of *Cyclopia*, including *C. intermedia*. These investigations involved outcrossing experiments, resulting in successful interspecific crossing (*de Lange & von Mollendor, 2006*) and the introduction of cultivated material into wild populations (N. C. Galuszynski. pers. obs., 2016; S Nortje, pers. com., 2019). Furthermore, Honeybush cultivation has been encouraged to take place near to wild *Cyclopia* populations (*Jacobs, 2008*) that, based on the evidence presented here and elsewhere (*Galuszynski & Potts, 2020*), are likely to be genetically distinct—as previously suspected (*Potts, 2017a; Schutte, 1997*). Commercial populations are therefore likely to pose a threat to the genetic integrity of wild *Cyclopia* if gene flow occurs.

Cultivation, as well as interest in augmentation of wild *C. intermedia* populations, is on the rise. The high levels of interpopulation haplotype turnover reported here, most of which coincides with transitions between mountains ranges, should be used to guide future conservation and management of *C. intermedia* seed material—specifically, seed material should be kept within mountain ranges and cultivation should never be used to replace wild populations. While further work is required to describe gene flow patterns within mountain ranges, particularly pollen flow. What can be inferred from the results presented here and existing literature, is that gene flow is likely to be limited to relatively short distances. Currently it is assumed that pollen flow is likely to be limited to a 6 km radius (representing the maximum foraging distance of *Xylocopa* species, (*Pasquet et al., 2008*), the common pollinators of Honeybush, (*Schutte, 1997*) and seed dispersal being limited to under 180 m (globally the longest measured seed dispersal event by ants, *Gómez & Espadaler, 2013*. Honeybush cultivation should therefore operate at a localized scale and facilitate in preserving the genetic uniqueness of *Cylopia* populations within mountain ranges.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge all those that have made this research possible including: those that facilitated with sampling activities (Gillian McGregor and her students, Shayla Tricam and Jonathan Silverman), Anneslise Schutte-Vlok for her assistance with species identification, all landowners and managers that allowed sampling on their property, and L L Dreyer, F Ojeda, F Forest, and two anonymous reviewers for their comments that greatly improved the clarity of this manuscript.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

#### Funding

This work was supported by the National Research Fund of South Africa (Grant No. 99034, 95992, 114687) and the Table Mountain Fund (Grant no. TM2499). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: National Research Fund of South Africa: 99034, 95992, 114687. The Table Mountain Fund: TM2499.

#### **Competing Interests**

Alastair J. Potts is an Academic Editor for PeerJ.

#### **Author Contributions**

- Nicholas C. Galuszynski conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Alastair J. Potts conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

#### **Field Study Permissions**

The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

All sampling was approved by the relevant permitting agencies, Cape Nature (Permit number: CN35-28-4367), the Eastern Cape Department of Economic Development, Environmental Affairs and Tourism (Permit numbers: CRO 84/ 16CR, CRO 85/ 16CR), and the Eastern Cape Parks and Tourism Agency (Permit number: RA\_0185).

#### **DNA** Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences: The sequences for the non-coding chloroplast regions are available at GenBank: MN930803–MN930855 and MN930856–MN930919.

#### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The sample to haplotype assignment of accessions included in the phylogeographic analysis is available at Figshare: Galuszynski, Nicholas (2020): Cyclopia phylogeography: HRM cluster to haplotype assignment. figshare. Dataset. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.11370465.v1.

#### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.9818#supplemental-information.

### REFERENCES

- Arias DM, Rieseberg LH. 1994. Gene flow between cultivated and wild sunflowers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 89:655–660 DOI 10.1007/bf00223700.
- Avise JC, Arnold J, Ball RM, Bermingham E, Lamb T, Neigel JE, Reeb CA, Saunders NC. 1987. Intraspecific phylogeography: the mitochondrial DNA bridge between population genetics and systematics. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 18:489–522 DOI 10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.002421.
- Bar-Matthews M, Marean CW, Jacobs Z, Karkanas P, Fisher EC, Herries AI, Brown K, William HM, Bernatchez J, Ayalon A, Nilssen PJ. 2010. A high resolution and continuous isotopic speleothem record of paleoclimate and paleoenvironment from 90 to 53 ka from Pinnacle Point on the south coast of South Africa. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 29:2131–2145 DOI 10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.05.009.
- Bartsch D, Lehnen M, Clegg J, Pohl-Orf M, Schuphan I, Ellstrand NC. 1999. Impact of gene flow from cultivated beet on genetic diversity of wild sea beet populations. *Molecular Ecology* 8:1733–1741 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-294x.1999.00769.x.
- Bredeson JV, Lyons JB, Prochnik SE, Wu GA, Ha CM, Edsinger-Gonzales E, Grimwood J, Schmutz J, Rabbi IY, Egesi C, Nauluvula P, Lebot V, Ndunguru J, Mkamilo G, Bart RS, Setter TL, Gleadow RM, Kulakow P, Ferguson ME, Rounsley S, Rokhsar DS. 2016. Sequencing wild and cultivated cassava and related species reveals extensive interspecific hybridization and genetic diversity. *Nature Biotechnology* 34:562–570 DOI 10.1038/nbt.3535.
- Britton MN, Hedderson TA, Verboom GA. 2014. Topography as a driver of cryptic speciation in the high-elevation cape sedge *Tetraria triangularis* (Boeck.) C., B. Clarke (Cyperaceae: Schoeneae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 77:96–109 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.03.024.
- Byrne M, Stone L. 2011. The need for duty of care when introducing new crops for sustainable agriculture. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 3(1–2):50–54 DOI 10.1016/j.cosust.2010.11.004.
- Cann RL, Stoneking M, Wilson AC. 1987. Mitochondrial DNA and human evolution. *Nature* **325**:31–36 DOI 10.1038/325031a0.
- **Charlesworth B. 2009.** Effective population size and patterns of molecular evolution and variation. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **10**:195–205 DOI 10.1038/nrg2526.
- Charlesworth D. 2003. Effects of inbreeding on the genetic diversity of populations. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 358:1051–1070 DOI 10.1098/rstb.2003.1296.
- Chase BM, Meadows ME. 2007. Late Quaternary dynamics of southern Africa's winter rainfall zone. *Earth-Science Reviews* 84:103–138 DOI 10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.06.002.
- Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA. 2000. TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. *Molecular Ecology* 9:1657–1659 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01020.x.
- **Cowling RM, Lombard AT. 2002.** Heterogeneity, speciation/extinction history and climate: explaining regional plant diversity patterns in the Cape Floristic Region. *Diversity and Distributions* **8**:163–179 DOI 10.1046/j.1472-4642.2002.00143.x.

- **Cubry P, Gallagher E, O'Connor E, Kelleher CT. 2015.** Phylogeography and population genetics of black alder (*Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn.) in Ireland: putting it in a European context. *Tree Genetics and Genomes* **11**(**5**): Article 99 DOI 10.1007/s11295-015-0924-4.
- Dang XD, Kelleher CT, Howard-Williams E, Meade CV. 2012. Rapid identification of chloroplast haplotypes using High Resolution Melting analysis. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 12:894–908 DOI 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03164.x.
- de Lange H, von Mollendor L. 2006. Current position of plant improvement in the honeybush tea industry. *South African Honeybush Tea Association Newsletter* 14:8–15.
- **Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987.** A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin* **19**:11–15.
- Dray S, Dufour AB. 2007. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists. *Journal of Statistical Software* 22:1–20 DOI 10.18637/jss.v022.i04.
- **Du Toit J, Joubert E, Britz TJ. 1998.** Honeybush Tea a rediscovered indigenous South African herbal tea. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* **12**:67–84 DOI 10.1300/j064v12n02\_06.
- Ellstrand NC, Elam DR. 1993. Population genetic consequences of small population size: implications for plant conservation. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 24:217–242 DOI 10.1146/annurev.es.24.110193.001245.
- Ellstrand NC, Prentice HC, Hancock JF. 1999. Gene flow and introgression from domesticated plants into their wild relatives. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 30:539–563 DOI 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.30.1.539.
- **Ewing B, Hillier L, Wendl MC, Green P. 1998.** Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using Phred, I. accuracy assessment. *Genome Research* **8**:175–185 DOI 10.1101/gr.8.3.175.
- Excoffier L, Foll M, Petit RJ. 2009. Genetic consequences of range expansions. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **40**:481–501 DOI 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173414.
- **Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM. 1992.** Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics* **131**:479–491.
- **Galuszynski NC, Potts AJ. 2020.** Application of High Resolution Melt analysis (HRM) for screening haplotype variation in a non-model plant genus: Cyclopia (Honeybush). *PeerJ* **8**:e9187 DOI 10.7717/peerj.9187.
- **Goldblatt P, Manning JC. 2002.** Plant diversity of the Cape Region of southern Africa. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **89**:281–302 DOI 10.2307/3298566.
- **Gómez C, Espadaler X. 2013.** An update of the world survey of myrmecochorous dispersal distances. *Ecography* **36**:1193–1201 DOI 10.1111/j.1600-0587.2013.00289.x.
- Hammer MP, Unmack PJ, Adams M, Johnson JB, Walker KF. 2009. Phylogeographic structure in the threatened Yarra pygmy perch *Nannoperca obscura* (Teleostei:

Percichthyidae) has major implications for declining populations. *Conservation Genetics* **11**:213–223 DOI 10.1007/s10592-009-0024-9.

- Hedrick PW. 2005. A standardized genetic differentiation measure. *Evolution* 59:1633–1638 DOI 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb01814.x.
- Hofmeyer J, Phillips E. 1922. The genus *Cyclopia* Vent. *Bothalia* 1:105–109 DOI 10.4102/abc.v1i2.1779.
- Hyten DL, Song Q, Zhu Y, Choi IY, Nelson RL, Costa JM, Specht JE, Shoemaker RC, Cregan PB. 2006. Impacts of genetic bottlenecks on soybean genome diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103(45):16666–16671 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0604379103.
- Jacobs R. 2008. Honeybush: where can it be cultivated successfully? *Agri-Probe*.
- Jost L. 2008. GST and its relatives do not measure differentiation. *Molecular Ecology* 17:4015–4026 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294x.2008.03887.x.
- Joubert E, Gelderblom WCA, Louw A, Beer Dde. 2008. South African herbal teas: Aspalathus linearis, Cyclopia spp. and Athrixia phylicoides a review. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 119:376–412 DOI 10.1016/j.jep.2008.06.014.
- Joubert E, Joubert ME, Bester C, Beer Dde, De Lange JH. 2011. Honeybush (*Cyclopia* spp.): from local cottage industry to global markets - The catalytic and supporting role of research. *South African Journal of Botany* 77:887–907 DOI 10.1016/j.sajb.2011.05.014.
- Kamvar ZN, Brooks JC, Graanwald NJ. 2015. Novel R tools for analysis of genomewide population genetic data with emphasis on clonality. *Frontiers in Genetics* 6:208 DOI 10.3389/fgene.2015.00208.
- Kamvar ZN, Tabima JF, Grünwald NJ. 2014. Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ* 2 DOI 10.7717/peerj.281.
- Kartzinel RY, Spalink D, Waller DM, Givnish TJ. 2016. Divergence and isolation of cryptic sympatric taxa within the annual legume *Amphicarpaea bracteata*. *Ecology and Evolution* 6(10):3367–3379 DOI 10.1002/ece3.2134.
- Kies P. 1951. Revision of the genus *Cyclopia* and notes on some other sources of bush tea. *Bothalia* 6:161–176 DOI 10.4102/abc.v6i1.1685.
- Kimura M, Crow JF. 1963. The measurement of effective population number. *Evolution* 17:279–288 DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1963.tb03281.x.
- Klopfstein S, Currat M, Excoffier L. 2005. The fate of mutations surfing on the wave of a range expansion. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 23:482–490 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msj057.
- Lacaze B, Dudek J, Picard J. 2018. GRASS GIS Software with QGIS. In: *QGIS and generic tools*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons Inc, 67–106 DOI 10.1002/9781119457091.ch3.
- Laikre L, Schwartz MK, Waples RS, Ryman N. 2010. Compromising genetic diversity in the wild: unmonitored large-scale release of plants and animals. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 25:520–529 DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2010.06.013.
- Lexer C, Mangili S, Bossolini E, Forest F, Stölting KN, Pearman PB, Zimmermann NE, Salamin N. 2013. 'Next generation' biogeography: towards understanding the drivers

of species diversification and persistence. *Journal of Biogeography* **40**:1013–1022 DOI 10.1111/jbi.12076.

- Lexer C, Welch ME, Raymond O, Rieseberg LH. 2003a. The origin of ecological divergence in *Helianthus Paradoxus* (Asteraceae): selection on transgressive characters in a novel hybrid habitat. *Evolution* 57:1989–2000 DOI 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00379.x.
- Lexer C, Wüest RO, Mangili S, Heuertz M, Stölting KN, Pearman PB, Forest F, Salamin N, Zimmermann NE, Bossolini E. 2003b. Genomics of the divergence continuum in an African plant biodiversity hotspot, I: drivers of population divergence in *R* estio *capensis* (Restionaceae). *Molecular Ecology* 23:4373–4386 DOI 10.1111/mec.12870.
- Macqueen TP, Potts AJ. 2018. Re-opening the case of Frankenflora: evidence of hybridisation between local and introduced *Protea* species at Van Stadens Wildflower Reserve. *South African Journal of Botany* 118:315–320 DOI 10.1016/j.sajb.2018.03.018.
- Mantel N. 1967. Ranking procedures for arbitrarily restricted observation. *Biometrics* 23(65): DOI 10.2307/2528282.
- **McGregor GK. 2017.** *Industry review: an overview of the Honeybush industry.* Cape Town: Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning.
- Millar MA, Byrne M. 2007. Pollen contamination in *Acacia saligna*: assessing the risks for sustainable agroforestry. *Ecosytems and sustainable development VI* 106:101–110 DOI 10.2495/eco070111.
- Moritz C. 1994. Defining 'Evolutionarily Significant Units' for conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 9:373–375 DOI 10.1016/0169-5347(94)90057-4.
- Muleo R, Colao MC, Miano D, Cirilli M, Intrieri MC, Baldoni L, Rugini E. 2009. Mutation scanning and genotyping by high-resolution DNA melting analysis in olive germplasm. *Genome* **52**:252–260 DOI 10.1139/G09-002.
- Otero-Arnaiz A, Casas A, Hamrick JL. 2005. Direct and indirect estimates of gene flow among wild and managed populations of *Polaskia chichipe*, an endemic columnar cactus in Central Mexico. *Molecular Ecology* 14:4313–4322 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294x.2005.02762.x.
- Papa R, Gepts P. 2003. Asymmetry of gene flow and differential geographical structure of molecular diversity in wild and domesticated common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) from Mesoamerica. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 106:239–250 DOI 10.1007/s00122-002-1085-z.
- Pasquet RS, Peltier A, Hufford MB, Oudin E, Saulnier J, Paul L, Knudsen JT, Herren HR, Gepts P. 2008. Long-distance pollen flow assessment through evaluation of pollinator foraging range suggests transgene escape distances. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105:13456–13461 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0806040105.
- Pauls SU, Nowak C, Bálint M, Pfenninger M. 2012. The impact of global climate change on genetic diversity within populations and species. *Molecular Ecology* 22:925–946 DOI 10.1111/mec.12152.
- **Potts AJ. 2017a.** Genetic risk and the transition to cultivation in Cape endemic crops -The example of honeybush (*Cyclopia*)?. *South African Journal of Botany* **110**:52–56 DOI 10.1016/j.sajb.2016.09.004.

- **Potts AJ. 2017b.** Catchments catch all in South African coastal lowlands: topography and palaeoclimate restricted gene flow in *Nymania capensis* (Meliaceae)—a multilocus phylogeographic and distribution modelling approach. *PeerJ* 5:e2965 DOI 10.7717/peerj.2965.
- **Potts AJ, Hedderson TA, Cowling RM. 2013b.** Testing large-scale conservation corridors designed for patterns and processes: comparative phylogeography of three tree species. *Diversity and distributions* **19(11)**:1418–1428 DOI 10.1111/ddi.12113.
- Potts AJ, Hedderson TA, Vlok JHJ, Cowling RM. 2013a. Pleistocene range dynamics in the eastern Greater Cape Floristic Region: a case study of the Little Karoo endemic *Berkheya* cuneata (Asteraceae). *South African Journal of Botany* 88:401–413 DOI 10.1016/j.sajb.2013.08.009.
- **Prevosti A, Ocana J, Alonso G. 1975.** Distances between populations of *Drosophila subobscura*, based on chromosome arrangement frequencies. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **45**:231–241 DOI 10.1007/bf00831894.
- Prunier R, Akman M, Kremer CT, Aitken N, Chuah A, Borevitz J, Holsinger KE.
   2017. Isolation by distance and isolation by environment contribute to population differentiation in *P* rotea repens (Proteaceae L.), a widespread South African species. *American Journal of Botany* 104:674–684 DOI 10.3732/ajb.1600232.
- **Prunier R, Holsinger KE. 2010.** Was it an explosion? Using population genetics to explore the dynamics of a recent radiation within *Protea* (Proteaceae L.). *Molecular Ecology* **19**:3968–3980 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294x.2010.04779.x.
- **R Core Team R. 2018.** R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R foundation for statistical computing. *Available at https://www.R-project.org/*.
- Reboud X, Zeyl C. 1994. Organelle inheritance in plants. *Heredity* 72:132–140 DOI 10.1038/hdy.1994.19.
- **Rousset F. 1997.** Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from f-statistics under Isolation by distance. *Genetics* **145**:1219–1228.
- Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 74:5463–5467 DOI 10.1073/pnas.74.12.5463.
- Schaal BA, Hayworth DA, Olsen KM, Rauscher JT, Smith WA. 1998. Phylogeographic studies in plants: problems and prospects. *Molecular Ecology* 7:465–474 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00318.x.
- Schipmann U, Leaman DJ, Cunningham AB, Walter S. 2005. Impact of cultivation and collection on the conservation of medicinal plants: global trends and issues. *Acta Horticulturae* 3:1–44 DOI 10.17660/actahortic.2005.676.3.
- Schutte AL. 1997. Systematics of the genus *Cyclopia* Vent, (Fabaceae, Podalyrieae). *Edinburgh Journal of Botany* 54:125–170 DOI 10.1017/s0960428600004005.
- Schutte AL, Vlok JHJ, Van Wyk BE. 1995. Fire-survival strategy—a character of taxonomic, ecological and evolutionary importance in fynbos legumes. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 195:243–259 DOI 10.1007/bf00989299.

- Scott G, Hewett ML. 2008. Pioneers in ethnopharmacology: The Dutch East India Company (VOC) at the Cape from 1650 to 1800. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 115:339–360 DOI 10.1016/j.jep.2007.10.020.
- Shaw J, Lickey EB, Schilling EE, Small RL. 2007. Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: the tortoise and the hare III. *American Journal of Botany* 94:275–288 DOI 10.3732/ajb.94.3.275.
- **Tajima F. 1989.** The effect of change in population size on DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**:597–601.
- Templeton AR, Routman E, Phillips CA. 1995. Separating population structure from population history: a cladistic analysis of the geographical distribution of mitochondrial DNA haplotypes in the tiger salamander, *Ambystoma tigrinum. Genetics* 140:767–782.
- **Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. 1994.** CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* **22**:4673–4680 DOI 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673.
- **Tolley KA, Bowie RCK, Measey JG, Price BW, Forest F. 2014.** The shifting landscape of genes since the Pliocene: terrestrial phylogeography in the Greater Cape Floristic Region. In: *Fynbos.* Cape Town: Oxford University Press, 142–163 DOI 10.1093/acprof:0s0/9780199679584.003.0007.
- Vanden Broeck A, Storme V, Cottrell JE, Boerjan W, Van Bockstaele E, Quataert P,
   Van Slycken J. 2004. Gene flow between cultivated poplars and native black poplar (*Populus nigra* L.): a case study along the river Meuse on the Dutch-Belgian border.
   *Forest Ecology and Management* 197:307–310 DOI 10.1016/j.foreco.2004.05.021.
- Winter DJ. 2012. mmod: an R library for the calculation of population differentiation statistics. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 12:1158–1160 DOI 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03174.x.
- Wittwer CT, Reed GH, Gundry CN, Vandersteen JG, Pryor RJ. 2003. High-resolution genotyping by amplicon melting analysis using LCGreen. *Clinical chemistry* 49:853–860 DOI 10.1373/49.6.853.
- Wright S. 1943. Isolation by distance. Genetics 28:114-38.
- Yuan QJ, Zhang ZY, Hu J, Guo LP, Shao AJ, Huang LQ. 2010. Impacts of recent cultivation on genetic diversity pattern of a medicinal plant, *Scutellaria* (Lamiaceae). *BMC Genetics* 11:29 DOI 10.1186/1471-2156-11-29.
- Zietsman J, Dreyer LL, Jansen Van Vuuren B. 2009. Genetic differentiation in *Oxalis* (Oxalidaceae): a tale of rarity and abundance in the Cape Floristic Region. *South African Journal of Botany* 75:27–33 DOI 10.1016/j.sajb.2008.06.003.