

Challenges in treatment of patients with acute leukemia and COVID-19: a series of 12 patients

Susanne Ghandili,^{1,*} Susanne Pfefferle,^{2,*} Kevin Roedl,³ Piet Sonnemann,¹ Panagiotis Karagiannis,¹ Olaf Boenisch,³ Stefan Kluge,³ Stefan Schmiedel,⁴ Harald Ittrich,⁵ Holger Rohde,² Marc Lütgehetmann,² Katja Weisel,¹ Carsten Bokemeyer,¹ Dominic Wichmann,³ Walter Fiedler,¹ Dominik Jarczak,^{3,†} and Franziska Modemann^{1,†}

¹Department of Oncology, Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation with Section Pneumology, II. Department of Internal Medicine, ²Institute of Medical Microbiology, Virology and Hygiene, ³Department of Intensive Care Medicine, ⁴Division of Infectious Diseases, I. Department of Internal Medicine, and ⁵Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

Key Points

- Patients with acute leukemia present with a prolonged and severe course of COVID-19, which is paralleled by high rates of viremia.
- Low-intensive chemotherapy seems to be more feasible in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and concomitant SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Introduction

Since January 2020, >30 million cases of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infections have been confirmed globally.¹ Yet, there is almost no information on the clinical impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in adults with acute leukemia (AL). Because untreated newly diagnosed or refractory/relapsed AL is fatal, these patients require immediate chemotherapy in spite of concomitant SARS-CoV-2 infection. We report a series of 12 patients with AL and SARS-CoV-2 infection who were treated in our department between 18 March and 18 May 2020.

Case description

Eight patients (67%) had acute myeloid leukemia (AML); 4 patients (33%) had acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) or lymphoblastic lymphoma (LBL).

Characteristics of AML patients

At SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis, 3 patients had untreated, newly diagnosed AML; 4 patients had refractory/relapsed AML. One patient was in complete remission with incomplete hematologic recovery (CRi) and received high-dose cytarabine for consolidation. All 4 refractory/relapsed patients had been treated with intensive chemotherapy before SARS-CoV-2 confirmation. After SARS-CoV-2 infection, 6 patients received therapy with azacitidine and venetoclax²; 1 patient with newly diagnosed AML received induction therapy with daunorubicin and cytarabine (3+7).

Clinical course of AML patients

The only AML patient who was in remission started 12 days after SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis with high-dose cytarabine consolidation therapy. He died 23 days after SARS-CoV-2 infection due to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) despite extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in deep aplasia. All three AML patients with newly diagnosed AML developed severe ARDS: the only one who was treated with intensive chemotherapy died. None of the 4 refractory AML patients treated with azacitidine/venetoclax developed ARDS.

Characteristics of ALL patients

One patient had newly diagnosed untreated Philadelphia chromosome–positive common B-cell ALL (B-ALL) and received prephase treatment 5 days before SARS-CoV-2 infection, and continued with induction therapy. Three patients were already under ALL-specific treatment at SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis: 1 elderly patient with T-cell ALL (T-ALL) received induction therapy. The patient with T-cell LBL (T-LBL) in complete remission after induction and consolidation therapy received 1 course of reinduction therapy. One minimal residual disease–positive patient with B-ALL received continuous infusion of

Submitted 4 June 2020; accepted 28 October 2020; published online 4 December 2020. DOI 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020002543.

*S.G. and S.P. contributed equally to this work.

†D.J. and F.M. contributed equally to this work.

Requests for data may be e-mailed to the corresponding author, Walter Fiedler, at fiedler@uke.de.

© 2020 by The American Society of Hematology

Table 1. Overview of each patient's characteristics: part 1

Pt	Age, sex	Underlying disease,* date of first leukemia diagnosis	Systematic therapy shortly before and during COVID-19, date of therapy start	Remission status after current therapy	Relevant secondary diseases	Duration of aplasia, d	Admit to ICU/ invasive ventilation (duration, d)	SOFA score† at ICU admit/ max 72 h	Severity of ARDS (Horowitz index)	Complications during ICU stay	Chest CT results per Simpson et al, ⁶ total severity score per Li et al ⁷	SARS-CoV-2 viremia at baseline and at day 14 of follow-up
1	34, M	Ph ⁺ common B-ALL, 3/13/2020	GMALL 8/13 trial: 1. Prephase: 3/13/20 2. Induction: 3/19/20	MRD ⁺ after induction I	None	None	Yes (13)/yes (4)	2/9	Severe (75)	Septic shock, DVT	Typical appearance, 10	Baseline 3/18/20, yes; day 14, no
2	76, M	De novo AML (intermediate risk), 3/6/20	Induction 1: 3+7, 3/13/20	Unknown (death)	Follicular thyroid carcinoma 2007	15	Yes (12)/yes (8)	11/12	Severe (72)	Septic shock	Typical appearance, 8	Baseline 3/18/20, yes; day 14, yes
3	46, F	MRD ⁺ Ph ⁻ common-B-ALL, 12/6/19	Blinatumomab: 1. First cycle: 3/31/20 2. Second cycle: 5/12/20	MRD ⁺ after first cycle, second cycle ongoing	Hypothyroidism, bronchial asthma, allergic rhinitis	None	No/NA	NA	NA	NA	Typical appearance, 5	Baseline 4/6/20, ND; day 14, no
4	64, F	T-ALL, 3/13/20	GMALL recommendations for patients >55 y: 1. Prephase: 3/13/20 2. Induction 1: 3/19/20 3. Induction 2: 4/15/20	CR after induction II	None	4	Yes (9)/no	3/3	No ARDS	None	ND	Baseline 4/5/20, yes; day 14, no
5	47, M	De novo AML (favorable risk), 3/9/20	AML SG21-12 trial: 1. Induction 1: 3+7, 3/12/20 2. Consolidation 1: HDAC, 4/17/20	1. CR1 after induction I 2. Unknown (death)	None	7	Yes (11)/yes (10)	3/11	Severe (55)	Septic shock, stroke, subarachnoid hemorrhage	Typical and indeterminate appearance, 19	Baseline 4/5/20, yes; day 14, yes
6	50, M	De novo AML (adverse risk), 2/19/20	1. Induction 1: 3+7 + midostaurin, 2/21/20 2. FLAG-Ida + sunitinib: 3/27/20 3. Aza and Ven: 5/2/20	Refractory to 1. and 2. 3. Ongoing Aza/ Ven treatment	None	43	Yes (5)/no	4/5	No ARDS	None	Typical appearance, 5	Baseline 4/5/20, yes; day 14, no
7	62, M	De novo AML (adverse risk), 4/2/20	1. First-cycle Aza and Ven: 4/7/20	CR1	None	7	Yes (23)/yes (12)	2/4	Severe (85)	Septic shock	ND	Baseline 4/5/20, yes; day 14, yes
8	60, M	Secondary AML, # 2/27/20	1. Induction 1: CPX351, 3/2/20 2. First-cycle Aza and Ven: 4/16/20	Refractory after induction I and Aza/ Ven	Lung emphysema, smoker	34	Yes (3)/no	5/6	No ARDS	None	ND	Baseline 4/5/20, yes; day 14, no
9	64, M	Therapy-associated AML, 2/27/20	1. Induction 1: refractory to CPX351, 3/2/20 2. First-cycle Aza and Ven: 4/7/20	1. Refractory after induction I 2. CR1	Primary CNS lymphoma 2010	36	Yes (2)/no	4/4	No ARDS	None	Typical appearance, 6	Baseline 4/5/20, yes; day 14, yes
10	60, M	De novo AML (adverse risk), 3/5/20	1. Induction 3+7 + midostaurin: 3/9/20 2. First-cycle Aza and Ven: 4/14/20	Refractory after induction I and Aza/ Ven	None	37	Yes (9)/no	3/4	No ARDS	None	ND	Baseline 4/5/20, yes; day 14, no

3+7, daunorubicin 60 mg/m², days 1, 3, and 5 plus cytarabine 100 mg/m² days 1-7; admit, admission; aplasia, neutrophil count <0.5 × 10⁹/L; Aza, azacytidine; CPX351, CPX351 100 U/m² days 1, 3, and 5, azacitidine and venetoclax analog per D'Nardo et al.²; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; F, female; FLAG-Ida, fludarabine 30 mg/m² days 1-4, idarubicin 10 mg/m² days 1 and 3; GMALL, German Multicentre ALL Study Group; HDAC, high-dose cytarabine, 2000 mg/m² twice per day, days 1-3; ICU, intensive care unit; M, male; max, maximum; MRD, minimal residual disease; NA, not applicable; ND, not done; Ph, Philadelphia chromosome; Pt, patient; sunitinib, 25 mg/d ongoing; T-CL, T-cell lymphoma; Ven, venetoclax.

*AML risk stratification according to the European LeukemiaNet classification.¹¹

†SOFA score according to Singer et al.¹²

#Preexisting myelodysplastic syndrome.

Table 1. (continued)

Pt	Age, sex	Underlying disease, date of first leukemia diagnosis	Systematic therapy shortly before and during COVID-19, date of therapy start	Remission status after current therapy	Relevant secondary diseases	Duration of aplasia, d	Admit to ICU/ invasive ventilation (duration, d)	SOFA score [†] at ICU admit/ max 72 h	Severity of ARDS (Horovitz index)	Complications during ICU stay	Chest CT results per Simpson et al, ⁶ total severity score per Li et al ⁷	SARS-CoV-2 viremia at baseline and at day 14 of follow-up
11	69, F	De novo AML (adverse risk), 4/2/20	1. First-cycle Aza and Ven: 4/3/20 2. Second-cycle Aza and Ven: 5/15/2020	1. CRi	None	33	Yes (8)/yes (4)	5/13	Severe (83)	Septic shock	ND	Baseline 4/1/20, yes; day 14, no
12	32, M	Lymphoblastic TCL, 11/5/19	GMALL 08/13 trial: reinduction, 4/16/20	MRD [‡] after reinduction	None	None	No/NA	NA	NA	NA	ND	Baseline 4/9/20, ND; day 14, no

3+7, daunorubicin 60 mg/m², days 1, 3, and 5 plus cytarabine 100 mg/m² days 1-7; admit, admission; aplasia, neutrophil count <0.5 × 10⁹/L; Aza, azacytidine; CPX351, CPX351 100 U/m² days 1, 3, and 5, azacitidine and venetoclax analog per D'Nardo et al²; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; F, female; FLAG-Ida, fludarabine 30 mg/m² days 1-4, idarubicin 10 mg/m² days 1-4, cytarabine 2000 mg/m² days 1-4; intensive care unit; M, male; max, maximum; MRD, minimal residual disease; NA, not applicable; ND, not done; Ph, Philadelphia chromosome; Pt, patient; sunitinib, 25 mg/d high-dose cytarabine, 2000 mg/m² twice per day, days 1-3; ICU, intensive care unit; M, male; max, maximum; MRD, minimal residual disease; NA, not applicable; ND, not done; Ph, Philadelphia chromosome; Pt, patient; sunitinib, 25 mg/d ongoing; TCL, T-cell lymphoma; Ven, venetoclax.

*AML risk stratification according to the European LeukemiaNet classification.¹¹

†SOFA score according to Singer et al.¹²

‡Preexisting myelodysplastic syndrome.

blinatumomab (for patients characteristics, see Table 1). All ALL patients were treated according to recommendations of the German Multicenter Study Group on Adult ALL (NCT number 02881086).

Clinical course of ALL/LBL patients

One patient with newly diagnosed ALL developed severe ARDS and deep vein thrombosis during COVID-19. None of the remaining 3 ALL/LBL patients developed ARDS. None of the 4 ALL/LBL patients died. The patient with deep vein thrombosis did not receive further pegylated asparaginase.

Methods

Data of the clinical course were collected from the patient's electronic medical records. Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection was based on virus detection by real-time polymerase chain reaction (SARS-CoV-2 E-gene RT-PCR) in respiratory tract specimens.³ SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies were tested against viral spike protein (S1/S2) and nucleoprotein (N).

Results and discussion

The median age of patients was 60 years (r, 32-76 years). Seventy-five percent (n = 9) were male. Four patients (33%) were asymptomatic at SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis, but later on, all patients became symptomatic. Ten patients (83%) were admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU). Eleven patients (92%) developed COVID-19 pneumonia. None of the 4 refractory AML patients developed ARDS. However, all 4 patients with newly diagnosed AL (patients 1, 2, 7, and 11) and the AML patient in CRi developed severe ARDS (median PaO₂/FiO₂ [Horovitz index] of 75 [r, 55-85])⁴ and septic shock. Overall, 5 patients were intubated (50%): 1 patient received high-flow oxygen and 1 ECMO therapy. Median duration between the beginning of symptoms and diagnosis of ARDS was 8 days (r, 4-20 days).

Deep vein thrombosis was observed in 1 patient despite adequate anticoagulation.⁵ The median stay at the ICU was 9 days (range, 2-23 days). Overall, 9 of 12 patients (75%) had aplasia (grade 4 neutropenia) during SARS-CoV-2 infection with a median duration of 33.5 days (r, 4-43 days). Six of 12 patients (50%) had grade 4 lymphopenia during clinical course (for laboratory values, see Table 2).

At diagnosis, SARS-CoV-2-RNA was detected in the upper respiratory tract samples of all patients (12 of 12) by RT-PCR at high concentrations (average 1 × 10⁷ RNA copies per milliliter).³ All 10 tested patients showed viremia with an average of 1 × 10³ RNA copies per milliliter at diagnosis. At day 14, viral loads in respiratory samples and blood decreased overall by 1 log. Four patients still showed viremia after 14 days. Only 7 of 10 tested patients (70%) developed reactivity in SARS-CoV-2 serology (IgM and IgG) after a median follow-up of 43 days (range, 12-61 days): 1 of these patients received COVID convalescent plasma. At the end of follow-up, 2 of 10 alive patients still had detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA in nasopharyngeal swabs (20%).

All cases in which chest computed tomography (CT) scanning was performed showed bilateral, mainly peripheral, ground-glass opacities with (n = 4) or without (n = 2) consolidation, matching the typical finding of SARS-CoV-2 infection (according to Simpson et al and Li et al^{6,7}). At time of CT scanning, 3 patients were in aplasia

Table 2. Overview of each patient's characteristics: part 2

Pt	Experimental treatment	ICU treatment				Highest grade of neutro-penia	Highest grade of lympho-penia	Highest CrP level in mg/L (normal <5)	Highest IL-6 level in ng/L (normal <7)	Highest PCT level in µg/L (normal <0.5)	Highest ferritin level in µg/L (normal male: 22-322, normal female: 10-291)	SARS-CoV-2 IgM/IgG	Days until no detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA in naso-pharyngeal swabs*
		Type of MV (days)	Vaso-pressor therapy	Renal replacement therapy	Absolute count of neutro-phils at baseline, $\times 10^9/L$								
1	Lopinavir/ritonavir, Pentaglobin	HFNC (1), MV (4)	Yes	No	7.7	Grade 3	Grade 3	246	526	0.8	1 514	Negative/negative	32
2	None	HFNC (1), MV (8)	Yes	Yes	0.1	Aplasia	Grade 4	259	5250	11.84	12 436	ND/ND	Positive until death
3	COVID-19 convalescent plasma	NA	NA	No	1.5	Grade 3	Grade 3	183	109	0.09	4 006	Positive/positive	Positive until end of study
4	None	None	No	No	0.1	Aplasia	Grade 4	215	447	0.59	6 268	Positive/positive	22
5	Tocilizumab, Pentaglobin	HFNC (1), MV (9), ECMO (5)	Yes	Yes	0.3	Aplasia	Grade 4	291	8452	2.87	21 173	ND/ND	Positive until death
6	None	None	No	No	<0.1	Aplasia	Grade 4	292	287	1.87	3 204	Negative/negative	22
7	None	HFNC (1), MV (12)	Yes	Yes	11.3	Aplasia	Grade 3	368	1513	6.71	4 431	Positive/positive	36
8	None	None	No	No	0.1	Aplasia	Grade 3	163	72	0.27	2 413	Positive/positive	33
9	None	None	No	No	0.1	Aplasia	Grade 4	270	1338	4.76	11 911	Positive/positive	Positive until end of study
10	None	HFNC (5)	No	No	0.6	Aplasia	Grade 4	333	768	16.7	15 357	Positive/positive	29
11	None	MV (4)	Yes	No	1.1	Aplasia	Grade 3	361	538	4.86	2 888	Positive/negative	12
12	None	NA	NA	No	1.2	Grade 3	Grade 3	4	1.6	0.1	1 385	Negative/negative	29

Neutropenia: grade 2, $<1.5 \times 10^9/L$ to $1.0 \times 10^9/L$; grade 3, $<1.0 \times 10^9/L$ to $0.5 \times 10^9/L$; aplasia, $<0.5 \times 10^9/L$. Lymphopenia: grade 1, $1.1 \times 10^9/L$ to $0.8 \times 10^9/L$; grade 2, $<0.8 \times 10^9/L$ to $0.5 \times 10^9/L$ to $0.2 \times 10^9/L$; grade 4, $<0.2 \times 10^9/L$

CrP, C-reactive protein; HFNC, high-flow nasal cannula; IL-6, interleukin-6; MV, mechanical ventilation; PCT, procalcitonin. See Table 1 for expansion of other abbreviations.

*Two negative PCR results >24 hours.

(patients 2, 6, and 9). Nevertheless, they were able to mount the typical infiltrates.

Three of 5 patients with ARDS were successfully extubated after invasive ventilation with a median time of 7 days (*r*, 4-12 days). Overall, 8 patients have been discharged from the ICU. Two patients have died (17%; patients 2 and 5). In contrast to the other patients, these patients showed no reduction in viral load in EDTA plasma at day 14 (see visual abstract).

In 7 cases, leukemia-specific treatment was adjusted. In 2 fit patients with newly diagnosed untreated AML (patients 7 and 11), the decision was made against intensive chemotherapy in favor of azacytidine/venetoclax. In all refractory patients (patients 6, 8, 9, and 10), the usual treatment plan was changed from intensive salvage chemotherapy to azacytidine/venetoclax. With this treatment strategy, comparable remission rates to those in non-SARS-CoV-2-infected patients could be observed.² The patient with newly diagnosed B-ALL had to discontinue pegylated-asparaginase treatment due to COVID-19-associated deep vein thrombosis.

Clinical symptoms of COVID-19 range from mild symptoms to critical courses and even death.⁸ In unselected patients in China, mild and moderate courses have been described in ~80%, almost 14% had severe disease, and 6% critical courses.⁸ We scored mild or moderate courses of COVID-19 in 3 patients (25%) and severe and critical courses in 9 patients (75%), including 2 deaths and 42% of severe ARDS. This corresponds to an almost 7 times higher rate of severe and critical courses of COVID-19 in patients with AL and LBL. In April 2020, He et al reported more severe SARS-CoV-2 infections in patients with hematological malignancies.⁹

The prolonged and severe course of clinical disease is paralleled by the virological findings showing that, during the long observation period in 2 patients, SARS-CoV-2 is still detectable and just 60% developed SARS-CoV-2 IgG/IgM. Remarkably, 4 of our patients had persistent viremia on day 14. Increasing RNA titers over the observation period were observed in the 2 patients who had a fatal outcome, which highlights the importance of monitoring RNA load in plasma.

The American Society of Hematology recommends treatment with intensive chemotherapy in patients with newly diagnosed AML and eligibility for intensive therapy.¹⁰ Although the number in our case series is small, only 1 of 4 patients with ALL/LBL developed a critical disease state. In all 4 patients, ALL-specific therapy could be administered with only small delays or modifications. All patients with newly diagnosed AML developed critical courses with ARDS, especially those treated with intensive chemotherapy. None of the 4 refractory AML patients who were all treated with azacytidine/venetoclax developed ARDS. This combination may be less toxic than intensive chemotherapy and may be used as a bridge to further therapy. Meanwhile, after full recovery from COVID-19, 6 patients with indication for stem cell transplantation could receive transplants without SARS-CoV-2 reactivation; another 2 patients are scheduled for transplantation.

To be able to draw firm conclusions on the treatment of AL from the pooled shared experience of the SARS-CoV-2-infected patients, international series are necessary.

Authorship

Contribution: S.G., K.R., P.S., P.K., O.B., S.K., S.S., K.W., C.B., D.W., W.F., D.J., and F.M. collected the clinical and epidemiological data and summarized all data; S.P., H.R., and M.L. performed the virological and RT-PCR assays; H.I. was responsible for radiological assessment and analysis; S.G., S.P., K.R., D.W., W.F., D.J., and F.M. drafted the manuscript; S.G., C.B., D.W., W.F., and F.M. revised the final version; and all authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: P.K. is a shareholder of Abbvie Inc. S.K. received research support by Ambu, E.T. View Ltd, Fisher & Paykel, Pfizer, and Xenios; received lecture honoraria from Arjo-Huntleigh, Astellas, Astra, Basilea, Bard, Baxter, Biotest, CSL Behring, Cytosorbents, Fresenius, Gilead, Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD), Orion, Pfizer, Philips, Sedana, Sorin, Xenios, and Zoll; and received consultant honoraria from AMOMED, Astellas, Baxter, Bayer, Fresenius, Gilead, MSD, Pfizer, and Xenios. H.R. received speaker honoraria from MSD, Pfizer, Infectopharm, Correvio, Accelerate Diagnostics and as an advisor for MSD, Pfizer, and Shionogi. K.W. received speaker honoraria from Amgen, Adaptive, Bristol Myers Squibb (BMS), Celgene, Janssen, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), Karyopharm, Takeda, and Sanofi; has participated in advisory boards/has a consulting role for Amgen, Adaptive, BMS, Celgene, Janssen, GSK, Karyopharm, Takeda, and Sanofi; and received research funding from Amgen, Celgene, Sanofi, and Janssen. C.B. received speaker honoraria from Merck KGaA, Sanofi, Roche, Bayer, BMS, AstraZeneca, and MSD; has a consulting or advisory role for Lilly/ImClone, Merck Serono, Sanofi, Bayer Schering Pharma, MSD, GSK, and AOK Health Insurance; received research funding from AbbVie, ADC Therapeutics, Agile Therapeutics, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Amgen, Apellis Pharmaceuticals, Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BerGenBio, Blueprint, Medicines, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Daiichi Sankyo, Eisai, Gilead Sciences, Glycotape GmbH, GSK, Incyte, IO Biotech, Isofol Medical, Janssen-Cilag, Karyopharm Therapeutics, Lilly, Millennium, MSD, Nektar, Novartis, Rafael Pharmaceuticals, Roche, Springworks Therapeutics, and Taiho Pharmaceutical; and received travel accommodations and expenses from Merck Serono, Sanofi, Pfizer, and BMS. D.W. reports personal fees from Correvio, Gilead, Pfizer, and MSD. W.F. has participated in advisory boards for Amgen, Pfizer, Novartis, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Celgene, Morphosys, and Ariad/Incyte; received research funding from Amgen; received support for meeting attendance from Amgen, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Daiichi Sankyo Oncology, and Servier; and has received support in medical writing from Amgen, Pfizer, and AbbVie. F.M. received support for meeting attendance from Servier, Incyte, Gilead, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, Teva, Pfizer, and Amgen; received a research grant from Daiichi Sankyo; and received a speaker honorarium from Servier. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: S.P., 0000-0001-7489-6557; K.R., 0000-0002-0721-9027; P.K., 0000-0001-6459-7561; H.R., 0000-0001-8587-4433; D.W., 0000-0002-4334-7640; D.J., 0000-0003-0480-2089.

Correspondence: Walter Fiedler, II. Department of Internal Medicine, Oncology, Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation with Section Pneumology, University Cancer Center Hamburg, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, N27, Ground Floor, Room 00.003.01, Martinistr 52, 20246 Hamburg, Germany; e-mail: fiedler@uke.de.

References

1. Johns Hopkins University. Coronavirus Resource Center. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu>. Accessed 15 September 2020.
2. DiNardo CD, Pratz K, Pullarkat V, et al. Venetoclax combined with decitabine or azacitidine in treatment-naïve, elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2019;133(1):7-17.
3. Pfefferle S, Reucher S, Nörz D, Lütgehetmann M. Evaluation of a quantitative RT-PCR assay for the detection of the emerging coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 using a high throughput system. *Euro Surveill*. 2020;25(9):2000152.
4. Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, et al; ARDS Definition Task Force. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin definition. *JAMA*. 2012;307(23):2526-2533.
5. Cui S, Chen S, Li X, Liu S, Wang F. Prevalence of venous thromboembolism in patients with severe novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18(6):1421-1424.
6. Simpson S, Kay FU, Abbara S, et al. Radiological Society of North America Expert Consensus Statement on reporting chest CT findings related to COVID-19. Endorsed by the Society of Thoracic Radiology, the American College of Radiology, and RSNA - secondary publication. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2020;35(4):219-227.
7. Li K, Fang Y, Li W, et al. CT image visual quantitative evaluation and clinical classification of coronavirus disease (COVID-19). *Eur Radiol*. 2020;30(8):4407-4416.
8. World Health Organization. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). <https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf>. Accessed 24 April 2020.
9. He W, Chen L, Chen L, et al. COVID-19 in persons with haematological cancers. *Leukemia*. 2020;34(6):1637-1645.
10. American Society of Hematology. COVID-19 and Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Frequently Asked Questions. <https://www.hematology.org/covid-19/covid-19-and-acute-myeloid-leukemia>. Accessed 16 September 2020.
11. Döhner H, Estey E, Grimwade D, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. *Blood*. 2017;129(4):424-447.
12. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. 2016;315(8):801-810.