

# 

**Citation:** Ebonwu J, Lassaunière R, Paximadis M, Strehlau R, Gray GE, Kuhn L, et al. (2022) *FCGR3A* gene duplication, FcyRIIb-232TT and FcyRIIIb-HNA1a associate with an increased risk of vertical acquisition of HIV-1. PLoS ONE 17(9): e0273933. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273933

**Editor:** Cinzia Ciccacci, Unicamillus, Saint Camillus International University of Health Sciences, ITALY

Received: March 3, 2022

Accepted: August 17, 2022

Published: September 9, 2022

**Copyright:** This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative</u> Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting information file.

**Funding:** This study is supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Child Health and Human Development (HD 42402, HD 47177, HD 57784, HD 073977 and HD 073952), Secure the Future Foundation, the South African Medical Research Council, and the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Innovation and National Research Foundation (84177). The funders had no role in study design, **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# *FCGR3A* gene duplication, FcyRIIb-232TT and FcyRIIIb-HNA1a associate with an increased risk of vertical acquisition of HIV-1

Joy Ebonwu<sup>1,2</sup>, Ria Lassaunière<sup>3</sup>, Maria Paximadis<sup>2,4</sup>, Renate Strehlau<sup>5,6</sup>, Glenda E. Gray<sup>7,8</sup>, Louise Kuhn<sup>9</sup>, Caroline T. Tiemessen<sup>2,4</sup>\*

 Division of Public Health Surveillance and Response, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Johannesburg, South Africa, 2 Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 3 Department of Virus and Microbiological Special Diagnostics, Virus Research and Development Laboratory, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark, 4 Centre for HIV & STIs, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Johannesburg, South Africa, 5 Empilweni Services and Research Unit, Rahima Moosa Mother and Child Hospital, Johannesburg, South Africa, 6 Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 7 Perinatal HIV Research Unit, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 8 South African Medical Research Council, Cape Town, South Africa, 9 Department of Epidemiology, Gertrude H. Sergievsky Centre, Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York City, New York, United States of America

\* carolinet@nicd.ac.za

Abstract

# Background

Some mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) studies suggest that allelic variations of Fc gamma receptors (Fc $\gamma$ R) play a role in infant HIV-1 acquisition, but findings are inconsistent. To address the limitations of previous studies, the present study investigates the association between perinatal HIV-1 transmission and Fc $\gamma$ R variability in three cohorts of South African infants born to women living with HIV-1.

# Methods

This nested case-control study combines *FCGR* genotypic data from three perinatal cohorts at two hospitals in Johannesburg, South Africa. Children with perinatally-acquired HIV-1 (cases, n = 395) were compared to HIV-1-exposed uninfected children (controls, n = 312). All study participants were black South Africans and received nevirapine for prevention of MTCT. Functional variants were genotyped using a multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification assay, and their representation compared between groups using logistic regression analyses.

# Results

*FCGR3A* gene duplication associated with HIV-1 acquisition (OR = 10.27; 95% CI 2.00– 52.65; P = 0.005) as did the Fc $\gamma$ RIIb-232TT genotype even after adjusting for *FCGR3A* copy number and *FCGR3B* genotype (AOR = 1.72; 95%CI 1.07–2.76; P = 0.024). The

data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

association between FcγRIIb-232TT genotype and HIV-1 acquisition was further strengthened (AOR = 2.28; 95%Cl 1.11–4.69; P = 0.024) if adjusted separately for *FCGR2C* c.134-96C>T. Homozygous FcγRIIIb-HNA1a did not significantly associate with HIV-1 acquisition in a univariate model (OR = 1.42; 95%Cl 0.94–2.16; P = 0.098) but attained significance after adjustment for *FCGR3A* copy number and *FCGR2B* genotype (AOR = 1.55; 95%Cl 1.01–2.38; P = 0.044). Both FcγRIIb-232TT (AOR = 1.83; 95%Cl 1.13–2.97; P = 0.014) and homozygous FcγRIIIb-HNA1a (AOR = 1.66; 95%Cl 1.07–2.57; P = 0.025) retained significance when birthweight and breastfeeding were added to the model. The common *FCGR2A* and *FCGR3A* polymorphisms did not associate with HIV-1 acquisition.

### Conclusions

Collectively, our findings suggest that the FcyRIIb-232TT genotype exerts a controlling influence on infant susceptibility to HIV-1 infection. We also show a role for less studied variants–*FCGR3A* duplication and homozygous HNA1a. These findings provide additional insight into a role for FcyRs in HIV-1 infection in children.

## Introduction

Antibody crystallisable fragment (Fc) gamma receptors (Fc $\gamma$ Rs) are hematopoietic cell surface glycoproteins that bind the Fc region of immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies, linking both humoral and cellular branches of immunity. Cross-linking of Fc $\gamma$ Rs on the cell surface initiates and regulates immune mechanisms that include antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP), antibody production, B-cell activation, antigen presentation, and cytokine production [1–4]. Cumulative data have highlighted the role of Fc-mediated effector functions in human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) acquisition and post-infection control of viremia [2, 5–13].

Generally, FcγRs are divided into three classes (FcγRI, FcγRII, and FcγRIII), each with different isoforms and encoded by different genes. The classes differ in structural domain organisation, affinity for specific IgG subclasses and ability to trigger activating or inhibitory signals [14, 15]. While FcγRI binds monomeric IgG with high affinity, both FcγRII and FcγRIII bind to IgG complexes through multivalent and low affinity interactions [16]. The low affinity FcγRs located on chromosome 1q23 (FcγRIIa, FcγRIIb, FcγRIIc, FcγRIIIa and FcγRIIIb) are encoded by *FCGR2A*, *FCGR2B*, *FCGR2C*, *FCGR3A and FCGR3B* genes, respectively [15] and they play different roles in regulating immune responses [4].

Functionally-relevant genetic variants, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and copy number variation (CNV), have been characterized in low-affinity receptors and associated with different diseases [10, 12, 15, 17–22]. Generally, CNV is considered an important factor of inter-individual differences and to date, CNV has been demonstrated for only *FCGR2C, FCGR3A* and *FCGR3B* [23, 24]. Variation in copy number of *FCGR3A* correlates with FcγRIIIa surface expression levels on natural killer (NK) cells, a key mediator of ADCC [23]. Similarly, CNV of *FCGR3B* directly correlates with protein expression and uptake of immune complexes by neutrophils [25]. Functionally-significant amino acid changes have been reported for FcγRIIa, FcγRIIb, FcγRIIIa and FcγRIIIb that affect either their binding affinity for IgG or receptor function. An arginine (R) to histidine (H) substitution at amino acid position 166 of FcγRIIa (position 131 in the mature protein), alters the receptor's affinity

of IgG and its subclasses. In FcγRIIb, an isoleucine (I) to threonine (T) substitution at position 232 in the full protein reduces its inhibitory function on B cells [15]. A polymorphism in FcγRIIIa results in a substitution of valine (V) to phenylalanine (F) at amino acid position 176 (position 158 in the mature protein) that alters the receptor's affinity for IgG and its subclasses [15, 26]. Conversely, a combination of five amino acid changes in FcγRIIIb give rise to the human neutrophil antigen 1 (HNA1) variants, HNA1a, HNA1b and HNA1c. Neutrophils from HNA1a homozygous individuals display greater phagocytic capacity compared to HNA1b homozygous individuals [27].

Accumulating evidence from mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) studies suggests that allelic variations of FcγR play a role in infant HIV-1 acquisition, but the observed results are inconsistent [11, 12, 28]. Specifically, Brouwer et al. reported a positive association between the FcγRIIa 166HH genotype and perinatal HIV-1 acquisition in a cohort of infants in Kenya [11] that was not observed in subsequent separate studies in Kenya and South Africa [12, 28]. The functional consequence for FcγR variants beyond FcγRIIa-H166R and FcγRIIIa-F176V during HIV-1 infection and acquisition *in vivo* has not been largely investigated. Further studies are therefore needed to elucidate the definitive role of *FCGR* genotypes on MTCT of HIV-1.

The potential role of FcyR-mediated effector functions in modulating perinatal HIV-1 transmission and acquisition was investigated for the first time in South Africa, using FcyR variants as proxy for functional capacity [12]. The study differed from the those conducted in Kenya [11, 28] in that it investigated variation at multiple loci and gene copy number variation in the FCGR locus. The population differences within Africa warrants that genetic association studies are done for specific populations. The study found the maternal FcyRIIIa-158V allele, which confers enhanced antibody binding affinity and ADCC capacity, to be significantly associated with reduced HIV-1 transmission. In both mother and infant, having an FcyRIIIb-HNA1b allotype (that reduces neutrophil-mediated effector functions) was associated with increased HIV-1 transmission and acquisition, respectively. On the other hand, homozygosity for the FcyRIIIb-HNA1a allotype in the infant was protective of perinatal HIV acquisition. Since FcyRIIIb is largely expressed in neutrophils, the study findings were suggestive of a potential role for neutrophils in modulating perinatal HIV-1 transmission and acquisition. However, a relatively small number of HIV-1 infected infants (n = 78) were genotyped. This study further interrogates the role of FcyR-mediated effector functions in modulating perinatal HIV-1 acquisition, using a much larger cohort. As we strive towards the goal of elimination of vertical HIV-1 transmission, more studies are required to elucidate natural mechanisms of protection in order to identify novel targets for preventative and therapeutic interventions.

#### Materials and methods

Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (Reference numbers: M170585; M180575). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

#### Study design and population

A nested case-control study was carried out to assess the association between low affinity *FCGR* variability and HIV-1 perinatal acquisition in children, combining data from past studies of three perinatal cohorts at two hospitals in Johannesburg, South Africa [29–32]. The HIV-1-infected cohort (cases) consists of 546 children who were recruited as part of two sequential randomized clinical trials (NEVEREST 2 and 3) [29–31]. The remaining two cohorts comprised of 566 HIV-1-exposed uninfected children (controls) [32]. For this study,

only available samples with sufficient material were genotyped. *FCGR* genotypic data from 395 HIV-1-infected children were compared with 312 of the HIV-1-exposed uninfected children. All study participants were black South Africans and received nevirapine for prevention of MTCT. The receipt of nevirapine at birth, known to significantly reduce intrapartum transmission [32–34], suggests that most of the infants were likely infected in utero. Maternal anti-retroviral therapy was not routinely used at the time. The available demographic and perinatal variables included for both cases and controls are sex, birthweight, breastfeeding status and gestation (term or pre-term).

#### Genotyping

Functional FCGR variants were genotyped using the FCGR-specific multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification assay (MRC Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) according to manufacturer's instructions [18, 35]. In two reactions, the assay detects genomic copy number of FCGR2C, FCGR3A and FCGR3B, as well as functional allelic variants: FcyRIIa-H166R (alias H131R), FcyRIIb-I232T, FcyRIIIa-V176F (alias V158F) and FcyRIIIb-HNA1a/b/c. Furthermore, the assay detects FCGR2C SNPs that affect gene expression- c.169T>C (p.X57Q), c.798 +1A>G, and the *FCGR2B/C* promoter variant at position c.-386G>C and c.-120A>T. Amplicons were separated by capillary electrophoresis on an ABI Genetic Analyser 3130 (Life Technologies, Applied Bio systems, Foster City, CA, USA) and fragments analysed with the Coffalyzer.NET software (MRC Holland) using peak height as a measure of gene/allele copy number. In this study, we did not distinguish FCGR2B and FCGR2C promoter sequences since earlier findings indicate that African individuals do not possess the promoter variant in FCGR2B, and thus any detected c.-386G>C minor alleles would be in FCGR2C (37). The SNP nomenclature used in this manuscript refers to positions in accordance with the Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) guidelines [36]. The numbering of nucleotides is relative to the Genome Reference Consortium Human Reference 38 [GRCh38 (hg38)].

#### Statistical analysis

Categorical data were presented as absolute numbers and percentages. The Chi-squared and Fisher Exact tests (where appropriate) were used for comparisons between children with HIV-1-infection and children who were HIV-1-exposed uninfected. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to determine the association between functional *FCGR* variants and perinatal HIV-1 acquisition. Each *FCGR3B* genotype is defined as the combination of FcγRIIIb-HNA1a/b/c allotypes present or absent irrespective of gene copy number. Genotype reference groups for the di-allelic FcγRIIa-H166R, FcγRIIb-I232T, and FcγRIIIa-V176F variants were homozygosity for the major allele, while the genotype reference group for the multi-allelic FcγRIIb-HNA1a/b/c were selected based on prevalence. A *P* value < 0.05 in the multivariate analysis was regarded as statistically significant and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to estimate precision. Adjustment for multiple comparisons was performed using the Bonferroni correction, which considered six independent tests for the different variants—*FCGR3A* copy number, *FCGR3B* copy number, FcγRIIa-H166R, FcγRIIb-I232T, FcγRIIa-V176F and FcγRIIIb-HNA1a/b/c. Both unadjusted and adjusted *P* values are reported. All analyses were performed in STATA version 15.1 (StataCorp LP, Texas, USA).

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) between functional *FCGR* variants was assessed using the Haploview software package [37] and expressed as D prime (D') and square of the correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ). The closer D' is to 1 the stronger the LD between two loci. We assessed LD for Fc $\gamma$ RIIIb-HNA1a/b/c allotype using tag SNP p.N65S (amino acid change from asparagine to serine at position 65) that differentiates HNA1a (P.65N) from HNA1b|c (p.65S), and the SNP

that differentiates HNA1b from HNA1c, resulting in aspartic acid replacing alanine at amino acid position 78 (p.A78D). Genotypic data with multiple gene copies were considered homozygous if all copies carried the same allele or heterozygous when both alleles were present. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was considered for individuals with two gene copies and the statistics abstracted from the Haploview analysis output.

# Results

#### Study population characteristics

There were no significant differences in sex and gestation between the 395 HIV-1 infected (cases) and 312 HIV-1-exposed uninfected (controls) included in this analysis. However, a higher proportion of HIV-infected children had a low birth weight (<2500g; P < 0.001) and were breastfed (P < 0.001) than controls (Table 1).

#### Distribution of FCGR copy number variation and HIV-1 acquisition

Genes are deleted or duplicated at the *FCGR2/3* locus within previously defined copy number variable regions (CNRs) [38–40]. Fig 1 shows the SNPs genotyped (A) and the 4 distinct patterns of CNV in the present South African cohort: *FCGR2C/FCGR3B*, *FCGR2C/FCGR3A*, *FCGR2C/FCGR3A/FCGR3B* and *FCGR3A* only (Fig 1B). The most common variation was observed for the combined duplication/deletion of complete *FCGR2C* and *FCGR3B* (29.6%; 209/707), equivalent to CNR1 as described by Niederer et al. [38]. Within CNR1, one or more copies were deleted in 61/209 individuals (29%) and duplicated in 148/209 individuals (71%). Thus, in the total group of 707 South African children, 8.6% carried a CNR1 deletion and 20.9% a CNR1 duplication. We observed low variation within CNR2, which encompasses the complete *FCGR3A* and exons 1 to 6 of *FCGR2C* (1.7%; 12/707; 4 deletions and 8 duplications). In seven (1%) individuals, CNV in *FCGR2C*, *FCGR3A* and *FCGR3B* was observed simultaneously, with one deletion and six duplications. Deletion or duplication of *FCGR3A* 

Characteristics	HIV-1-exposed uninfected	HIV-1 infected	P value
	n = 312	n = 395	
Sex			0.661
Male	160 (51)	196 (49.6)	
Female	152 (49)	199 (50.4)	
Gestation	(n = 312)	(n = 389)	0.180
Term	282 (90)	339 (87)	
Preterm (<37 weeks)	30 (10)	50 (13)	
Birth weight (g)	(n = 312)	(n = 375)	<0.001
$\geq 2500$	282 (90)	295 (79)	
< 2500	30 (10)	80 (21)	
Breastfed	(n = 311)	(n = 388)	<0.001
No	289 (93)	297 (77)	
Yes	22 (7)	91 (23)	

Table 1. Characteristics of perinatal HIV-1 acquisition in our study cohort.

Data are expressed as n (%).

Total numbers analyzed for each variable are indicated.

Bold indicates statistical significance of P < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273933.t001



**Fig 1. Diagrammatic representation of the FCGR2/3 locus structure and variation. (A)** The *FCGR2* and *FCGR3* genes on human chromosome 1q23 with their orientation and the functional polymorphisms genotyped in the study. Polymorphic amino acids are indicated by one-letter code. **(B)** CNV has been previously described within distinct copy number variable regions (CNRs) [38, 39]. Four gene combinations of CNV, either duplication or deletion, were observed and are indicated as solid lines. The *FCGR2C/FCGR3B* and *FCGR2C/FCGR3A* combinations correspond to the previously designated CNR1 and CNR2, respectively. **(C)** Copy number region deletions and duplications within CNR1 and CNR2. This displays further breakdown of individuals with either a deletion or duplication within the 4 distinct gene combinations of CNV.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273933.g001

alone was noted in 16 individuals (2.3%), 11 with a gene deletion and 5 with a gene duplication (Fig 1C).

Copy number variation in *FCGR2C* and *FCGR3B* were separately observed in 233/707 (33%) and 219/707 (31%) children, respectively, and did not associate with HIV-1 acquisition (P > 0.05; Table 2). Complete absence of *FCGR2C* and *FCGR3B* was observed in one HIV-1 infected child. *FCGR3A* showed low frequency in copy number variation in 33/707 (4.7%) individuals, with 16 (2.3%) carrying a single gene copy and 17 (2.4%) having three gene copies. No individual with complete absence of *FCGR3A* was observed. A significant difference in *FCGR3A* copy number distribution was observed between the HIV-1 infected and exposed-uninfected children. Using one *FCGR3A* copy as reference, gene duplication was independently associated with increased odds of HIV-1 acquisition (OR = 10.27; 95% CI 2.00–52.65; P = 0.005,  $P_{Bonf} = 0.03$ ; Table 2).

n=120n=393n=393COG2C corp number11(0)37 (9)RefAcm2 copies20 (97)25 (67)16 (6.04-1.77)0.8162 copies20 (97)0.32 (3)16 (6.04-1.77)0.8162 copies20 (97)0.32 (3)16 (6.04-1.77)0.8161 copy11.3551.31Ref12 copies20 (95.5)17 (95.2)27 (95.9-8.0)0.061 (20.1)2 copies10.3911.3511.010.27 (0.9-2.85)0.065 (20.1)2 copies20 (90.1)11.0111.010.00 (20.1)0.00 (20.1)2 copies20 (90.1)21 (90.1)0.91 (90.1)0.97 (20.1)2 copies27 (70)21 (10)0.90 (20.1)0.97 (20.1)2 copies27 (70)21 (10)0.92 (0.91.1)0.97 (20.1)2 copies27 (70)21 (20.1)0.92 (0.91.1)0.93 (20.1)2 copies27 (70)21 (20.1)0.92 (0.91.1)0.93 (20.1)2 copies29 (90.1)21 (20.1)0.92 (0.91.1)0.93 (20.1)2 copies29 (90.1)21 (90.1)0.92 (0.91.1)0.93 (20.1)2 copies29 (90.1)21 (90.1)0.92 (0.91.1)0.92 (0.91.1)2 copies29 (90.1)20 (90.1)10 (90.1)10 (90.1)2 copies29 (90.1)10 (90.1)10 (90.1)10 (90.1)2 copies29 (90.1)10 (90.1)10 (90.1)10 (90.1)2 copies20 (90.1)10 (90.1)10 (90.1)10 (90.1) <th>Variants</th> <th>HIV-1-exposed uninfected</th> <th>HIV-1 infected</th> <th>OR (95% CI)</th> <th>P value</th>	Variants	HIV-1-exposed uninfected	HIV-1 infected	OR (95% CI)	P value	
FGGR2 corp numberIndIndIndIndIndInd≤ 1 copy101025 (67)106 (0.4-1.7)0.8162 copies27 (23)92 (24)108 (0.61-1.91)0.785CGGR4 copy numberIIII2 copies28 (0.5)51.3)BefI2 copies28 (0.5)51.3)Bef0.0613 copies31.0)14 (3.5)10.27 (20.45.6)0.065 (Pasci)2 copies21 (9)51.0970.0610.0712 copies21 (70)21 (9)860.0712 copies21 (70)87 (4.3)0.99 (0.5-1.69)0.6632 copies67 (21)89 (21)0.99 (0.5-1.51)0.6632 copies67 (21)87 (74.3)0.92 (0.2-1.51)0.6631 661H51 (0.9)127 (70)0.95 (0.6-1.48)0.6631 661H51 (0.9)27 (70)0.95 (0.6-1.48)0.631 661H129 (0.1)273 (70)0.95 (0.6-1.48)0.631 616H129 (0.1)120 (0.1)0.7570.162 1 16H allel129 (70)27 (70)0.95 (0.6-1.48)0.632 1 16H allel129 (0.1)160 (1.1)1.00 (1.1)0.10 (1.1)2 1 16H allel129 (1.1)1.61 (1.1)0.11 (Pasci)0.11 (Pasci)2 1 16H allel129 (1.1)1.61 (1.1)1.61 (1.1)0.11 (Pasci)2 1 16H allel129 (1.1)1.61 (1.1)1.61 (1.1)0.11 (Pasci)2 1 16H allel129 (1.1) <th></th> <th>n = 312</th> <th>n = 395</th> <th></th> <th colspan="2"></th>		n = 312	n = 395			
No.         Y (9)         Ref         Media           2 copies         20 (67)         26 (57)         1.06 (0.64-1.77)         0.816           3 copies         72 (23)         93 (24)         1.06 (0.64-1.71)         0.785           CGRA copy number         Image: State	FCGR2C copy number					
2 orgins         200 (07)         265 (67)         106 (0.4-1.77)         0.816           ≥ 3 copies         72 (23)         93 (24)         108 (0.61-1.91)         0.788           COGRA copy number         I         I         I         I           2 copies         29 (95.5)         378 (95.2)         278 (0.9508)         0.061           3 copies         3 (1.0)         14 (3.5)         10.27 (2.00-52.65)         0.005 (Phand - 0.03)           2 copies         3 (1.0)         28 (9)         35 (9)         Ref         I           2 copies         21 (07)         28 (9)         35 (9)         Ref         I           2 copies         21 (27)         28 (9)         36 (2.18)         Ref         I           3 copies         67 (2.1)         89 (2.28)         106 (0.39-1.69)         0.940           1 66HH         65 (2.0.8)         86 (2.18)         Ref         I           1 66HH         65 (2.0.8)         12 (2.0.9)         0.99 (0.65-1.51)         0.663           1 66HR         91 (2.0.1         13 (0.2.16.51)         0.653         0.664           2 1 166H alde         219 (7.0)         300 (7.6)         0.55 (0.69-1.51)         0.55 (0.65           2 1 166H alde	$\leq 1 \text{ copy}$	31 (10)	37 (9)	Ref		
≥ 2 (23)         93 (24)         108 (0.61-19)         0.785 <i>RGRM</i> oop number         1         1         1         1           1 copy         11 (3.5)         5 (1.3)         Ref         1           2 copies         28 (95.5)         378 (95.2)         2.78 (0.95.8.08)         0.061           3 copies         37 (0.9)         378 (95.2)         2.78 (0.95.8.08)         0.061 (0.95.9.109)           2 copies         21 (70)         27 (169)         0.99 (0.59-1.69)         0.997 (0.97.100)           2 copies         67 (21)         89 (22)         0.80 (6.99-1.92)         0.810           2 copies         67 (21)         89 (22)         0.99 (0.59-1.35)         0.663           1 66HR         15 (19.4)         187 (07.3)         0.92 (0.62-1.35)         0.663           1 66HR         15 (19.4)         187 (07.3)         0.92 (0.62-1.35)         0.663           1 66HR         15 (19.4)         187 (07.3)         0.92 (0.62-1.35)         0.663           1 66HR         15 (19.4)         187 (07.3)         0.93 (0.69-1.31)         0.772           2 1 166R         29 (29.0)         29 (0.60         39 (0.8)         0.51 (0.62-1.51)         0.663           2 1 1 66H         29 (70)	2 copies	209 (67)	265 (67)	1.06 (0.64–1.77)	0.816	
FCGR3A copy numberIncomy </td <td><math>\geq</math> 3 copies</td> <td>72 (23)</td> <td>93 (24)</td> <td>1.08 (0.61-1.91)</td> <td>0.785</td>	$\geq$ 3 copies	72 (23)	93 (24)	1.08 (0.61-1.91)	0.785	
1 copy         11 (5.5)         5 (1.3)         Ref           2 copies         28 (955)         378 (952)         2.78 (0.95-0.88)         0.061           3 copies         3 (1.0)         14 (3.5)         10.27 (2.00-52.65)         0.005 ( $P_{bacd} = 0.03$ )           PCGR38 goop number         Image: Copy         28 (9)         35 (9)         Ref         Image: Copy           2 copies         217 (70)         27 (69)         0.99 (0.59-1.69)         0.997           2 copies         67 (21)         89 (22)         1.06 (0.59-1.52)         0.840           2 copies         67 (21)         89 (22)         1.06 (0.59-1.52)         0.663           166HH         65 (0.05)         86 (21.8)         Ref         Image: Copy         Image: Copy           1 66HH         65 (0.05)         86 (21.8)         Ref         Image: Copy         <	FCGR3A copy number					
2 copies         288 (95.5)         378 (95.2)         2.78 (0.95-8.0.8)         0.061           3 copies         3 (1.0)         14 (3.5)         10.27 (2.0-5.26.5)         0.07 ( $s_{basel} = 0.03$ )           CGR3B copy number         Image: Copies         217 (70)         25 (1.6)         0.99 (0.59-1.69)         0.997           2 copies         67 (2.1)         89 (2.2)         1.06 (0.59-1.92)         0.840           CGRAB genotype         Image: Copies         67 (2.1)         89 (2.2)         1.06 (0.59-1.92)         0.840           166HH         154 (19.4)         187 (47.3)         0.92 (0.62-1.35)         0.663           166RR         95 (2.8)         122 (30.9)         0.99 (0.65-1.51)         0.840           Alcels carriage         Image: Copies         67 (2.1)         86 (21.8)         8.67         Image: Copies           2 11 66H allele         219 (70)         273 (70)         0.95 (0.69-1.31)         0.757         Image: Copies         0.663           2 11 66H allele         247 (79)         309 (78)         0.95 (0.69-1.31)         0.757         Image: Copies         0.453           2 11 66H allele         247 (79)         309 (78)         0.95 (0.69-1.31)         0.756 (2.10)         Image: Copies         Image: Copies         0.453	1 copy	11 (3.5)	5 (1.3)	Ref		
3 copies         3 (1.0)         14 (3.5)         10.27 (2.00-52.65)         0.005 (P <sub>hust</sub> = 0.03)           CGR43 copy number  <	2 copies	298 (95.5)	378 (95.2)	2.78 (0.95-8.08)	0.061	
GCGAB copy number         Index         Index         Index         Index           ≤ 1 copy         28 (9)         35 (9)         Ref         0.997           ≥ 1 copies         217 (70)         27 (69)         0.990 (0.59-1.69)         0.997           ≥ 1 copies         67 (21)         89 (22)         1.66 (0.59-1.92)         0.840           IG6HH         65 (20.8)         86 (21.8)         Ref         -           166HR         154 (49.4)         17 (73)         0.92 (0.62-1.35)         0.663           166RR         95 (29.8)         12 (30.9)         0.99 (0.65-1.51)         0.988           166RR         97 (79)         29 (70)         0.95 (0.69-1.31)         0.772           1616 allele         21 (70,9)         29 (70)         0.95 (0.69-1.31)         0.772           21 166 allele         107 (79)         165 (1.8)         Ref         -           23217         12 (240)         165 (1.8)         Ref         -         -           21 2321         166 (31.9)         1.31 (0.82-1.56)         0.451         -           21 2321 allele         77 (88)         35 (63.0)         1.40 (0.82-1.61)         0.657           21 2321 allele         73 (83)         35 (63.0)	3 copies	3 (1.0)	14 (3.5)	10.27 (2.00-52.65)	$0.005 (P_{Bonf} = 0.03)$	
$\leq 1 \operatorname{copy}$ 28 (9)         35 (9)         Ref	FCGR3B copy number					
2 copies         217 (70)         27 (69)         0.99 (0.59-1.69)         0.997           > b copies         67 (21)         89 (22)         1.66 (0.9-1.92)         0.840           CGR2A geotype         -         -         -         -           166HR         65 (20.8)         86 (21.8)         Ref         -           166HR         154 (194.4)         187 (47.3)         0.92 (0.62-1.35)         0.663           166RR         93 (29.8)         122 (30.9)         0.99 (0.65-1.51)         0.968           Allele carriage         -         -         -         -           ≥ 11 66R allele         219 (70)         237 (70)         0.95 (0.60-1.31)         0.752           CGR2B geotype         -         -         -         -         -           2321T         126 (40)         160 (40.5)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.404 (Phanot = 0.246)           Allec carriage         -         -         -         -         -           21 12321 allel         125 (38)         325 (82)         0.66 (0.43-1.01)         0.057         -           21 2321 T         39 (13)         70 (17.7)         1.60 (1.02-2.51)         0.447         -           21 12321 allel         155 (39)	$\leq 1 \text{ copy}$	28 (9)	35 (9)	Ref		
≥ 3 copies         67 (21)         89 (22)         1.06 (0.59-1.92)         0.840 $KGRA2$ genotype                166HH         65 (20.8)         86 (21.8)         Ref            166HR         154 (49.4)         187 (47.3)         0.92 (0.62-1.35)         0.663           166RR         93 (28.8)         122 (30.9)         0.99 (0.65-1.51)         0.968           Allele carriage               ≥ 1 166H allele         219 (70)         273 (70)         0.95 (0.66-1.36)         0.762           23211         147 (47)         165 (41.8)         Ref             23211         126 (40)         100 (40.5)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.453           23217         39 (13)         70 (17.7)         1.60 (1.02-2.51)         0.601 (P <sub>binif</sub> = 0.246)           Allec carriage             12321 allel         155 (53)           21 12321 allele         155 (53)         230 (58)         124 (0.92-1.67)         0.166           176FF         134 (43)         155 (39)         Ref             176FF         134 (43	2 copies	217 (70)	271 (69)	0.99 (0.59–1.69)	0.997	
FCGR2A genotype         Indext         Indext         Indext         Indext           166HH         65 (20.8)         86 (21.8)         Ref         0.663           166HR         154 (49.4)         127 (47.3)         0.92 (0.62-1.3)         0.663           166RR         93 (29.8)         122 (30.9)         0.99 (0.65-1.51)         0.968           Allel carriage         21 (661 allele         219 (70)         273 (70)         0.95 (0.69-1.3)         0.757           ≥ 1 1664 allele         247 (79)         309 (78)         0.95 (0.69-1.3)         0.762           23211         147 (47)         166 (41.8)         Ref         163           23211         126 (40)         160 (40.5)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.453           23217         39 (13)         70 (17.7)         1.60 (1.02-2.51)         0.041 (P <sub>Buorf</sub> = 0.246)           Allele carriage         -         -         -         -           ≥ 1 2321 allele         273 (88)         325 (82)         0.66 (0.43-10.1)         0.057           ≥ 1 234 allele         134 (43)         155 (39)         Ref         -           176FF         134 (43)         155 (39)         Ref         -         -           176FF         134 (	$\geq$ 3 copies	67 (21)	89 (22)	1.06 (0.59–1.92)	0.840	
l66HH         65 (20.8)         86 (21.8)         Ref         Image: Mark (Mark (Ma	FCGR2A genotype					
166HR         154 (49.4)         187 (47.3)         0.92 (0.62-1.35)         0.663           166RR         93 (29.8)         122 (30.9)         0.99 (0.65-1.51)         0.668           Allek carriage               ≥ 11 66H allek         219 (70)         273 (70)         0.95 (0.69-1.31)         0.757           ≥ 11 66H allek         247 (79)         309 (78)         0.95 (0.66-1.36)         0.762 <i>PCGR2B</i> genotype                23211         147 (47)         165 (61.8)         Ref             23217         126 (40)         160 (40.5)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.461 (Pbanf = 0.246)           Allek carriage                 21 2321 allele         173 (88)         325 (82)         0.66 (0.43-1.01)         0.057            21 1232 allele         155 (39)         28 (62)         0.66 (0.43-1.01)         0.057            21 1232 allele         155 (39)         Ref               12321 allele         165 (53)         155 (39)         Ref <t< td=""><td>166HH</td><td>65 (20.8)</td><td>86 (21.8)</td><td>Ref</td><td></td></t<>	166HH	65 (20.8)	86 (21.8)	Ref		
166RR         93 (29.8)         122 (30.9)         0.99 (0.65–1.51)         0.968           Allele carriage         -         -         -         -         -           ≥ 1 166H allele         219 (70)         273 (70)         0.95 (0.69–1.31)         0.757           ≥ 1 166 H allele         247 (79)         309 (78)         0.95 (0.66–1.36)         0.762           CGR28 genotype         -         -         -         -           23211         147 (47)         165 (41.8)         Ref         -           23217         126 (40)         160 (40.5)         1.13 (0.82–1.56)         0.453           23217         39 (13)         70 (17.7)         1.60 (1.02–2.51)         0.041 (Phemf = 0.246)           Allele carriage         -         -         -         -           ≥ 1 2321 allele         165 (53)         230 (58)         1.24 (0.92–1.67)         0.156           PCGR3A genotype         -         -         -         -           176FF         134 (43)         155 (39)         Ref         -         -           176FV         135 (43)         176 (45)         1.13 (0.82–1.56)         0.467           176FV         135 (43)         176 (45)         1.07 (0.86–1.58) </td <td>166HR</td> <td>154 (49.4)</td> <td>187 (47.3)</td> <td>0.92 (0.62-1.35)</td> <td>0.663</td>	166HR	154 (49.4)	187 (47.3)	0.92 (0.62-1.35)	0.663	
Allele carriage         Image: Normal Science         Image: Science <thimage: science<="" th="">         Image: Science         <t< td=""><td>166RR</td><td>93 (29.8)</td><td>122 (30.9)</td><td>0.99 (0.65-1.51)</td><td>0.968</td></t<></thimage:>	166RR	93 (29.8)	122 (30.9)	0.99 (0.65-1.51)	0.968	
≥ 1 166H allele         219 (70)         273 (70)         0.95 (0.69-1.31)         0.757           ≥ 1 166R allele         247 (79)         309 (78)         0.95 (0.66-1.36)         0.762 <i>ECGR2B</i> genotype         -         -         -         -           23211         147 (47)         165 (41.8)         Ref         -           2321T         126 (40)         160 (40.5)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.453           232TT         39 (13)         70 (17.7)         1.60 (1.02-2.51)         0.041 (P <sub>Bend</sub> = 0.246)           Allele carriage         -         -         -         -           ≥ 1 2321 allele         155 (53)         230 (58)         1.24 (0.92-1.67)         0.156 <i>ECGR3A</i> genotype         -         -         -         -           176FF         134 (43)         155 (39)         Ref         -           176FF         134 (43)         176 (45)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.467           176FV         135 (43)         176 (45)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.467           176FV         135 (43)         176 (45)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.467           176FV         135 (43)         176 (45)         1.17 (0.86-1.58)         <	Allele carriage					
≥ 1 166R allele         247 (79)         309 (78)         0.95 (0.66-1.36)         0.762 $FCGR2B$ genotype         -	$\geq$ 1 166H allele	219 (70)	273 (70)	0.95 (0.69-1.31)	0.757	
PCGR2B genotype         Image: Constraint of the second sec	$\geq$ 1 166R allele	247 (79)	309 (78)	0.95 (0.66-1.36)	0.762	
232II         147 (47)         165 (41.8)         Ref           232IT         126 (40)         160 (40.5)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.453           232IT         39 (13)         70 (17.7)         1.60 (1.02-2.51)         0.041 ( $P_{Bonf} = 0.246$ )           Allele carriage         -         -         -         -           ≥ 1 2321 allele         273 (88)         325 (82)         0.66 (0.43-1.01)         0.057           ≥ 1 2321 allele         165 (53)         230 (58)         1.24 (0.92-1.67)         0.156 <i>FCGR3A</i> genotype         -         -         -         -           176FF         134 (43)         155 (39)         Ref         -         -           176FV         135 (43)         176 (45)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.467         -           176FV         135 (43)         176 (45)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.467         -           176FV         135 (43)         176 (45)         1.13 (0.82-1.58)         0.319         -           21 176F allele         269 (86)         331 (84)         0.83 (0.54-1.26)         0.573         -           21 176V allele         178 (57)         240 (61)         1.17 (0.86-1.58)         0.319         -	FCGR2B genotype					
232IT         126 (40)         160 (40.5)         1.13 (0.82-1.56)         0.453           232TT         39 (13)         70 (17.7)         1.60 (1.02-2.51)         0.041 ( $P_{Bonf} = 0.246$ )           Allele carriage	232II	147 (47)	165 (41.8)	Ref		
232TT         39 (13)         70 (17.7)         1.60 (1.02–2.51)         0.041 ( $P_{bonf} = 0.246$ )           Allele carriage <t< td=""><td>232IT</td><td>126 (40)</td><td>160 (40.5)</td><td>1.13 (0.82-1.56)</td><td>0.453</td></t<>	232IT	126 (40)	160 (40.5)	1.13 (0.82-1.56)	0.453	
Allele carriage       Image: Constraint of the state o	232TT	39 (13)	70 (17.7)	1.60 (1.02-2.51)	<b>0.041</b> ( $P_{\text{Bonf}} = 0.246$ )	
≥ 1 2321 allele 273 (88) 325 (82) 0.66 (0.43-1.01) 0.057  ≥ 1 232T allele 165 (53) 230 (58) 1.24 (0.92-1.67) 0.156  FCGR3A genotype 1 176FF 134 (43) 155 (39) Ref 1 176FV 135 (43) 176 (45) 1.13 (0.82-1.56) 0.467  176FV 43 (14) 64 (16) 1.29 (0.82-2.02) 0.273  Allele carriage 2 ≥ 1 176F allele 269 (86) 331 (84) 0.83 (0.54-1.26) 0.373  ≥ 1 176V allele 178 (57) 240 (61) 1.17 (0.86-1.58) 0.319  FCGR3B genotype 4 HNA1a+/1b+/1c- 101 (32) 116 (29.37) Ref 4 HNA1a+/1b+/1c- 13 (4) 16 (4.05) 1.07 (0.49-2.34) 0.862  HNA1a+/1b+/1c- 44 (14) 61 (15.44) 1.21 (0.75-1.93) 0.433  HNA1a+/1b+/1c- 43 (14) 50 (12.66) 1.01 (0.62-1.65) 0.960  HNA1a+/1b+/1c- 43 (14) 50 (12.66) 1.01 (0.62-1.65) 0.960  HNA1a+/1b+/1c- 28 (9) 38 (9.62) 1.18 (0.68-2.06) 0.556  HNA1a+/1b+/1c- 0 (0) 1 (0.25) -  HNA1a+/1b+/1c- 0 (0) 1.025 -  HNA1a+/1b+/1c- 0 (0) 1.025 -  HNA1a+/1b-/1c- 0 (0) 1.025 -  HNA1a+/1b+/1c-	Allele carriage					
≥ 1 232T allele 165 (53) 230 (58) 1.24 (0.92-1.67) 0.156  FCGR3A genotype 1 134 (43) 155 (39) Ref 1 134 (43) 155 (39) Ref 1 1376 (45) 1.13 (0.82-1.56) 0.467  176FV 135 (43) 176 (45) 1.13 (0.82-1.56) 0.467  176VV 43 (14) 64 (16) 1.29 (0.82-2.02) 0.273  Allele carriage 2 176 allel 269 (86) 331 (84) 0.83 (0.54-1.26) 0.373  ≥ 1 176 allel 269 (86) 331 (84) 0.83 (0.54-1.26) 0.373  ≥ 1 176 Vallele 178 (57) 240 (61) 1.17 (0.86-1.58) 0.319  FCGR3B genotype 1 178 (57) 116 (29.37) Ref 1 176 (29.37) (29.43) 0.433  HNA1a+/1b+/1c+ 13 (4) 16 (4.05) 1.07 (0.49-2.34) 0.862  HNA1a+/1b+/1c+ 44 (14) 61 (15.44) 1.21 (0.75-1.93) 0.433  HNA1a+/1b+/1c+ 43 (14) 50 (12.66) 1.01 (0.62-1.65) 0.960  HNA1a+/1b+/1c+ 23 (7) 15 (3.80) 0.57 (0.28-1.15) 0.115  HNA1a-/1b+/1c- 0 (0) 1 (0.25) -	$\geq$ 1 232I allele	273 (88)	325 (82)	0.66 (0.43-1.01)	0.057	
FCGR3A genotype         Image: space s	$\geq$ 1 232T allele	165 (53)	230 (58)	1.24 (0.92-1.67)	0.156	
176FF       134 (43)       155 (39)       Ref         176FV       135 (43)       176 (45)       1.13 (0.82–1.56)       0.467         176VV       43 (14)       64 (16)       1.29 (0.82–2.02)       0.273         Allele carriage	FCGR3A genotype					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	176FF	134 (43)	155 (39)	Ref		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	176FV	135 (43)	176 (45)	1.13 (0.82-1.56)	0.467	
Allele carriageImageImageImage≥ 1 176F allele269 (86)331 (84)0.83 (0.54-1.26)0.373≥ 1 176V allele178 (57)240 (61)1.17 (0.86-1.58)0.319FCGR3B genotypeImageImageImageImageHNA1a+/1b+/1c-101 (32)116 (29.37)RefImageHNA1a+/1b+/1c+13 (4)16 (4.05)1.07 (0.49-2.34)0.862HNA1a+/1b-/1c+44 (14)61 (15.44)1.21 (0.75-1.93)0.433HNA1a+/1b-/1c-60 (19)98 (24.81)1.42 (0.94-2.16)0.098HNA1a+/1b+/1c+43 (14)50 (12.66)1.01 (0.62-1.65)0.960HNA1a-/1b+/1c-28 (9)38 (9.62)1.18 (0.68-2.06)0.556HNA1a-/1b-/1c+23 (7)15 (3.80)0.57 (0.28-1.15)0.115HNA1a-/1b-/1c-0 (0)1 (0.25)Allele carriageImageImageImageImage≥1 HNA1a allotype218 (70)290 (73)1.19 (0.86-1.66)0.298≥1 HNA1b allotype185 (59)221 (56)0.87 (0.65-1.18)0.372	176VV	43 (14)	64 (16)	1.29 (0.82-2.02)	0.273	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Allele carriage					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\geq$ 1 176F allele	269 (86)	331 (84)	0.83 (0.54-1.26)	0.373	
FCGR3B genotypeInterpretInterpretRefHNA1a+/1b+/1c-101 (32)116 (29.37)RefHNA1a+/1b+/1c+13 (4)16 (4.05)1.07 (0.49-2.34)0.862HNA1a+/1b-/1c+44 (14)61 (15.44)1.21 (0.75-1.93)0.433HNA1a+/1b-/1c-60 (19)98 (24.81)1.42 (0.94-2.16)0.098HNA1a-/1b+/1c-60 (19)98 (24.81)1.42 (0.94-2.16)0.098HNA1a-/1b+/1c-28 (9)38 (9.62)1.18 (0.68-2.06)0.556HNA1a-/1b+/1c+23 (7)15 (3.80)0.57 (0.28-1.15)0.115HNA1a-/1b-/1c-0 (0)1 (0.25)Allele carriageInterpret $\geq 1$ HNA1a allotype218 (70)290 (73)1.19 (0.86-1.66)0.298 $\geq 1$ HNA1b allotype185 (59)221 (56)0.87 (0.65-1.18)0.372	$\geq$ 1 176V allele	178 (57)	240 (61)	1.17 (0.86-1.58)	0.319	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	FCGR3B genotype					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HNA1a+/1b+/1c-	101 (32)	116 (29.37)	Ref		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HNA1a+/1b+/1c+	13 (4)	16 (4.05)	1.07 (0.49-2.34)	0.862	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HNA1a+/1b-/1c+	44 (14)	61 (15.44)	1.21 (0.75-1.93)	0.433	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HNA1a+/1b-/1c-	60 (19)	98 (24.81)	1.42 (0.94–2.16)	0.098	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c } HNA1a-/1b+/1c- & 28 (9) & 38 (9.62) & 1.18 (0.68-2.06) & 0.556 \\ \hline HNA1a-/1b-/1c+ & 23 (7) & 15 (3.80) & 0.57 (0.28-1.15) & 0.115 \\ \hline HNA1a-/1b-/1c- & 0 (0) & 1 (0.25) & - & - \\ \hline Allele carriage & & & & & \\ \hline \geq 1  HNA1a  allotype & 218 (70) & 290 (73) & 1.19 (0.86-1.66) & 0.298 \\ \hline \geq 1  HNA1b  allotype & 185 (59) & 221 (56) & 0.87 (0.65-1.18) & 0.372 \\ \hline \end{array} $	HNA1a-/1b+/1c+	43 (14)	50 (12.66)	1.01 (0.62-1.65)	0.960	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HNA1a-/1b+/1c-	28 (9)	38 (9.62)	1.18 (0.68-2.06)	0.556	
HNA1a-/1b-/1c-         0 (0)         1 (0.25)         -         -           Allele carriage         -         -         -         -           ≥1 HNA1a allotype         218 (70)         290 (73)         1.19 (0.86–1.66)         0.298           ≥1 HNA1b allotype         185 (59)         221 (56)         0.87 (0.65–1.18)         0.372	HNA1a-/1b-/1c+	23 (7)	15 (3.80)	0.57 (0.28-1.15)	0.115	
Allele carriage         Image	HNA1a-/1b-/1c-	0 (0)	1 (0.25)	-	-	
≥1 HNA1a allotype         218 (70)         290 (73)         1.19 (0.86-1.66)         0.298           ≥1 HNA1b allotype         185 (59)         221 (56)         0.87 (0.65-1.18)         0.372	Allele carriage					
≥1 HNA1b allotype 185 (59) 221 (56) 0.87 (0.65–1.18) 0.372	≥1 HNA1a allotype	218 (70)	290 (73)	1.19 (0.86–1.66)	0.298	
	≥1 HNA1b allotype	185 (59)	221 (56)	0.87 (0.65-1.18)	0.372	

#### Table 2. Associations of FCGR variants with perinatal HIV-1 acquisition.

(Continued)

#### Table 2. (Continued)

Variants	HIV-1-exposed uninfected		OR (95% CI)	P value
	n = 312	n = 395		
$\geq$ 1 HNA1c allotype	123 (39)	141 (36)	0.85 (0.63–1.16)	0.309

Data are expressed as n (%).

OR, Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval;  $P_{Bonf}$ , Bonferroni corrected P value. Bold indicates statistical significance of P < 0.05.

bold indicates statistical significance of T < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273933.t002

# FCGR2A and FCGR3A genotypes did not associate with perinatal HIV-1 acquisition

For the FcγRIIa-H166R genotype, 341 (48.2%) children were heterozygous (FcγRIIa-166HR), 151 (21.4%) were homozygous for the higher affinity IgG binding allele (FcγRIIa-166HH) and 215 (30.4%) homozygous for FcγRIIa-166RR. The genotype distributions of the *FCGR3A* were 311 (44%) for FcγRIIIa-176FV heterozygotes, 289 (41%) for FcγRIIIa-176FF, and 107 (15%) for FcγRIIIa-176VV. The FcγRIIIa and FcγRIIIa genotype distributions observed in this study are similar to previous findings [12, 41]. FcγRIIa-H166R and FcγRIIIa-V176F genotype and allele carriage frequencies did not differ significantly between the HIV-1 infected and uninfected cohort (Table 2). Neither genotypes significantly associated with HIV-1 acquisition in the univariate or multivariate analyses (P > 0.05 for all comparisons).

# Associations between *FCGR2B* and *FCGR3B* genotypes and perinatal HIV-1 acquisition

The FcγRIIb-232II was the most prevalent *FCGR2B* genotype (44.1%; n = 312), followed by 232IT (40.5%; n = 286) and 232TT (15.4%; n = 109). Homozygosity for the FcγRIIb-232T allele was overrepresented in the HIV-1-infected children compared to the exposed-uninfected children (17.7% vs. 13%; Table 2). Compared to the FcγRIIb-232II genotype, the FcγRIIb-232TT genotype significantly associated with increased odds of HIV-1 acquisition in univariate analysis (OR = 1.60; 95% CI 1.02–2.51; P = 0.041,  $P_{Bonf} > 0.05$ ). At the *FCGR3B* locus, HNA1a was the dominant allotype (72%; n = 508), followed by HNA1b (57%; n = 406) and HNA1c (37%; n = 264). We observed an overrepresentation of homozygous FcγRIIb-HNA1a allotype in HIV-1-infected children compared to the exposed-uninfected (24.81% vs. 19%) but it did not independently associate with HIV-1 acquisition (OR = 1.42; 95% CI 0.94–2.16; P = 0.098,  $P_{Bonf} > 0.05$ ; Table 2).

The association with homozygous FcγRIIIb-HNA1a attained significance after further assessment in a multivariate model that controlled for *FCGR3A* copy number and *FCGR2B* genotype, which were independently associated with HIV-1 acquisition (AOR = 1.55; 95% CI 1.01–2.38; P = 0.044,  $P_{Bonf} > 0.05$ ). Both *FCGR3A* copy number (AOR = 10.68; 95% CI 2.04–55.86; P = 0.005,  $P_{Bonf} = 0.03$ ) and *FCGR2B* genotype (AOR = 1.72; 95% CI 1.07–2.76; P = 0.024,  $P_{Bonf} > 0.05$ ) remained significant (Table 3). The strength of association for *FCGR2B* genotype increased (AOR = 2.28; 95% CI 1.11–4.69; P = 0.024,  $P_{Bonf} > 0.05$ ) when adjusted for *FCGR2C* c.134-96C>T that associated with HIV-1 acquisition in our previous study [42]. We further explored the associations in a subset of the study cohort that excluded breastfed infants (91 HIV-1 infected and 22 HIV-1 exposed-uninfected; nested total n = 586) and controlled for birthweight. The FcγRIIb-232TT genotype (AOR = 1.83; 95% CI 1.13–2.97; P = 0.014,  $P_{Bonf} > 0.05$ ), homozygous FcγRIIIb-HNA1a allotype (AOR = 1.66; 95% CI 1.07–

Variants	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*	P value	
FCGR3A copy number			
1 copy	Ref		
2 copies	2.81 (0.94-8.36)	0.064	
3 copies	10.68 (2.04–55.86)	$0.005 (P_{Bonf} = 0.03)$	
FCGR2B genotype			
232II	Ref		
232IT	1.20 (0.86–1.67)	0.295	
232TT	1.72 (1.07–2.76)	<b>0.024</b> ( $P_{\text{Bonf}} = 0.144$ )	
FCGR3B genotype			
HNA1a+/1b+/1c-	Ref		
HNA1a+/1b+/1c+	1.18 (0.54–2.60)	0.674	
HNA1a+/1b-/1c+	1.27 (0.78–2.05)	0.333	
HNA1a+/1b-/1c-	1.55 (1.01–2.38)	$0.044 (P_{Bonf} = 0.264)$	
HNA1a-/1b+/1c+	1.02 (0.63–1.68)	0.917	
HNA1a-/1b+/1c-	1.10 (0.63–1.95)	0.734	
HNA1a-/1b-/1c+	0.64 (0.31–1.32)	0.228	

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of the effect of *FCGR3A* copy number, *FCGR2B* and *FCGR3B* variants on perinatal HIV-1 acquisition.

OR, Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; P<sub>Bonf</sub>, Bonferroni corrected P value.

Bold indicates statistical significance of P < 0.05.

\* Multivariate model controlled for FCGR3A copy number, FCGR2B and FCGR3B variants.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273933.t003

2.57; P = 0.025,  $P_{Bonf} > 0.05$ ) and *FCGR3A* copy number (AOR = 8.58; 95% CI 1.60–45.92; P = 0.012,  $P_{Bonf} > 0.05$ ) retained significance (Table 4).

# Linkage disequilibrium of functionally relevant variants in the *FCGR2/3* locus

The functionally-relevant variants in the FCGR2/3 locus have been reported to be in strong linkage disequilibrium due to physical proximity of the genes on chromosome 1q23 [43–45]. The observed genotype frequencies for FcyRIIa-H1166R, FcyRIIIa-V176F and FcyRIIIb-HNA1a/b/c were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05) but those for FcyRIIb-I232T were not (P = 0.018). We assessed linkage disequilibrium between FCGR2A, FCGR2B, FCGR3A and FCGR3B variants, with and without considering the CNV, to determine whether the observed associations with independent FCGR variants are linked due to coinheritance of alleles at different loci. All participants were included irrespective of copy number; those with 3 or more copies were considered heterozygous if both alleles were present and homozygous if all copies carried the same allele. We found the FcyRIIIb-HNA1a/b/c haplotype in complete LD as expected (D' = 1.0;  $r^2 = 0.243$ ). The FcyRIIb-I232T was in weak LD with FcyRIIb-HNA1a/b (D' = 0.254; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.032) and FcyRIIIa-V176F (D' = 0.486; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.077). Similarly, weak LD was observed between FcyRIIa-H166R and FcyRIIIa-V176F (D' = 0.280;  $r^2$  = 0.052), and the FcyRIIIb-HNA1c allotype (D' = 0.297;  $r^2 = 0.02$ ). When only those with two gene copies were included, the observed LD pattern remained the same (Fig 2). Multivariate analysis was used to test allelic association for each variant that had some LD. The observed association remained significant for FcyRIIb-I232T (AOR = 1.69; 95% CI 1.06–2.70; P = 0.028,  $P_{Bonf} >$ 0.05) while FcyRIIIb-HNA1a did not (AOR = 1.50; 95% CI 0.98–2.30; P = 0.060) and remained not significant for FcyRIIa-H166R and FcyRIIIa-V176F.

Variants	HIV-1-exposed uninfected	HIV-1 infected n = 297	Univariate		Multivariate*	
			OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted OR	P value
	n = 289				(95% CI)	
FCGR3A copy number						
1 copy	11 (3.5)	5 (1.3)	Ref		Ref	
2 copies	298 (95.5)	378 (95.2)	2.78 (0.95-8.08)	0.061	2.49 (0.82-7.54)	0.107
3 copies	3 (1.0)	14 (3.5)	10.27 (2.00-52.65)	$0.005 (P_{Bonf} = 0.03)$	8.58 (1.60-45.92)	<b>0.012</b> ( $P_{\text{Bonf}} = 0.072$ )
FCGR2B genotype						
232II	140 (48.4)	123 (41.4)	Ref		Ref	
232IT	113 (39.1)	122 (41.1)	1.13 (0.82–1.56)	0.453	1.27 (0.90-1.80)	0.171
232TT	36 (12.5)	52 (17.5)	1.60 (1.02-2.51)	<b>0.041</b> ( $P_{\text{Bonf}} = 0.246$ )	1.83 (1.13-2.97)	<b>0.014</b> ( $P_{\text{Bonf}} = 0.084$ )
FCGR3B genotype						
HNA1a+/1b+/1c-	94 (32.53)	90 (30.30)	Ref		Ref	
HNA1a+/1b+/1c+	11 (3.81)	12 (4.38)	1.07 (0.49-2.34)	0.862	1.27 (0.56-2.84)	0.568
HNA1a+/1b-/1c+	42 (14.53)	40 (13.47)	1.21 (0.75-1.93)	0.433	1.32 (0.80-2.15)	0.275
HNA1a+/1b-/1c-	57 (19.72)	76 (25.59)	1.42 (0.94-2.16)	0.098	1.66 (1.07-2.57)	<b>0.025</b> ( $P_{\text{Bonf}} = 0.15$ )
HNA1a-/1b+/1c+	37 (12.8)	41 (13.8)	1.01 (0.62-1.65)	0.960	1.12 (0.59–1.63)	0.937
HNA1a-/1b+/1c-	26 (9)	26 (8.75)	1.18 (0.68-2.06)	0.556	0.95 (0.63-2.02)	0.676
HNA1a-/1b-/1c+	22 (7)	10 (3.37)	0.57 (0.28-1.15)	0.115	0.55 (0.30-1.32)	0.217
HNA1a-/1b-/1c-	0 (0)	1 (0.34)	-	-		

Table 4. A	Associations of FCGR varian	s with perinatal HIV-	1 acquisition in non-breastfed	l children after adjusting	for birthweight
------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------

OR, Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval;  $P_{\rm Bonf}$ , Bonferroni corrected P value.

Bold indicates statistical significance of P < 0.05.

\* The multivariate analysis adjusted for all 3 genetic parameters (FCGR3A copy number, FCGR2B and FCGR3B genotypes) simultaneously plus birthweight.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273933.t004

### Discussion

The human *FCGR2/3* locus comprise activating and inhibitory receptors that are highly polymorphic (including SNPs and CNV), with functional implications. Whilst the role of some of the genetic variants are not well understood, the functional and clinical relevance of others in the pathogenesis of autoimmune and infectious diseases has been well documented [17, 18, 25, 45-47]. Furthermore, functional *FCGR* polymorphisms have been investigated in the context of HIV-1 acquisition [11, 12, 28, 42], disease progression [10, 20, 48, 49] and response to vaccination regimens [21, 22, 48] with inconsistent findings. In this study, we report further associations between the functional *FCGR* polymorphisms and HIV-1 acquisition in black South African children born to women living with HIV. The analysis excluded an association with *FCGR2C* variants, which was separately assessed by the authors in another study [42]. In that study, the *FCGR2C* c.134-96C>T tag variant produced a deleterious association in perinatal HIV-1 acquisition in contrast to the observed protective effect in the Thai RV144 vaccine trial [21].

The potential role of Fc $\gamma$ R variants in modulating perinatal HIV-1 transmission and acquisition in South Africa was initially investigated by Lassaunière et al. [12], albeit in a small sample cohort. This present study used a larger cohort to validate the previously observed findings and determine if new Fc $\gamma$ R variants associated with perinatal HIV-1 acquisition that may have been confounded by the earlier smaller sample size. The present study adds to a limited number of studies investigating the association between *FCGR* polymorphisms and HIV-1 acquisition in the maternal-infant HIV-1 transmission model. Whereas previous studies in Kenyan



**Fig 2. Linkage disequilibrium of functional FCGR variants in South African children born to women living with HIV-1. (A)** All individuals, with or without CNV (n = 707); **(B)** only individuals with two gene copies (n = 474). The black triangle illustrates a haplotype block. Values reflect D' measures of LD and colour in the squares given by standard D' divided by log of the odds of LD between two loci (LOD). Bright red colour indicates very strong LD.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273933.g002

[11, 28] and South African [12] cohorts used data from mother-infant pairs, only infant data was available for our study cohort.

We did not observe an association between the common *FCGR2A* and *FCGR3A* polymorphisms and HIV-1 acquisition. This is in line with findings from independent Kenyan [28] and South African [12] cohorts, as well as a large genome-wide association study of adults [50], but contrasts the increased acquisition risk associated with Fc $\gamma$ RIIa-166HH genotype reported in another Kenyan cohort [11]. Cohort differences, study design and statistical rigor employed have been suggested as possible reasons for the observed variable results [28, 50].

Other Fc $\gamma$ R variants beyond Fc $\gamma$ RIIa-H166R and Fc $\gamma$ RIIIa-V176F, which include Fc $\gamma$ RIIb-I232T, Fc $\gamma$ RIIIb-HNA1a/b/c, and gene copy number are rarely studied in the context of HIV-1, in particular MTCT. Our earlier study [12] included Fc $\gamma$ RIIb-I232T, and found that possession of at least one 232I allele was protective against in utero infection. Since this current study comprises a completely different cohort and are presumed to be predominantly in utero infected infants (since all received nevirapine at birth that reduces intrapartum transmission), our results on this larger cohort confirm those reported previously. Here we show that homozygosity for the Fc $\gamma$ RIIb-232T minor allele associated with increased odds of perinatal acquisition of HIV-1. These findings suggest that the Fc $\gamma$ RIIb-232TT genotype exerts a controlling influence on infant susceptibility to HIV-1 infection. Fc $\gamma$ RIIb transmits signals via an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIM) in its cytoplasmic tail. The Fc $\gamma$ RIIb-232T polymorphism affects the receptor's ability to translocate to lipid rafts, disrupting the inhibitory function of Fc $\gamma$ RIIb, leading to a potentially higher activation state of cells [51, 52]. The

FCGR2B/C promoter variants at position c.-386G>C and c.-120A>T also influence Fc $\gamma$ RIIb expression but such an effect would not play a role in our cohort because African individuals do not possess the promoter variant in *FCGR2B* [43].

The FcγRIIb-232T and FcγRIIIb-HNA1a alleles are subject to ethnic variation, both being more prevalent in black compared to white South Africans [FcγRIIb-232T (30.9% vs. 10.9%; FcγRIIb-HNA1a (50.6% vs. 36.2%)] [43]. The observed genotype frequencies for FcγRIIb-1232T were not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium possibly because of selection pressure from potent endemic infections in Africa, such as malaria [47]. Significant selection pressure is a likely driver of retention of the FcγRIIb-232T allele that produced a deleterious effect on susceptibility of HIV-1 infection in South African children.

Gene CNV not only contributes to differences in expression levels but also alters the cellular distribution of Fc $\gamma$ Rs in response to activation by IgG complexes [53]. Variation in copy number of *FCGR3A* has been shown to correlate with Fc $\gamma$ RIIIa surface expression and function of NK cells [23]. In the present study, duplication or deletion of *FCGR3A* occurred either alone, in combination with *FCGR2C* or simultaneously with both *FCGR2C* and *FCGR3B* and significantly associated with HIV-1 acquisition. Specifically, we observed a trend towards an association of *FCGR3A* duplications but due to the low frequency of *FCGR3A* duplication, further studies of larger sample size are needed. We also observed 8.6% of the South African children carried a CNR1 deletion, which leads to the formation of *FCGR2C/2B* chimeric genes. This results in unusual expression of inhibitory Fc $\gamma$ RIIb on NK cells and subsequently, reduced ADCC activity [24]. Although, this genotype did not associate with HIV-1 acquisition.

The Fc $\gamma$ RIIIb is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored protein, expressed largely on neutrophils [1]. Neutrophils from homozygous HNA1a individuals display higher affinity for IgG1 and IgG3 and greater phagocytic capacity than homozygous HNA1b individuals [27]. We observed an association between Fc $\gamma$ RIIIb-HNA1a/b/c allotype and perinatally acquired HIV-1 infection. Specifically, homozygosity for the Fc $\gamma$ RIIIb-HNA1a allotype produced a deleterious effect on perinatal HIV-1 acquisition. This is contrary to the protective effect observed in the earlier study with a smaller South African cohort, primarily in the intrapartum infected children [12]. The different observations between the two studies may be attributable to different cohort compositions. The present study cohort was exposed to nevirapine for prevention of MTCT and more likely infected in-utero, with few intrapartum infections. When the breast-fed children were excluded from the analysis, the observed significant association with Fc $\gamma$ RIIb-232T, Fc $\gamma$ RIIIb-HNA1a and *FCGR3A* copy number variants remained. These variants likely play a role in HIV-1 acquisition during the course of pregnancy and at the maternal-foetal interface [12].

The study has several strengths. The genotyping method utilized is robust, as the MLPA assay is able to assess functional SNPs and CNV within the *FCGR2/3* locus simultaneously, rather than investigating associations with perinatal HIV-1 infection using methodologies that use candidate gene designs. Due to high homology of *FCGR2/3* we checked for linkage disequilibrium to identify functional interaction between the independently associated polymorphisms. A limitation of the study is that maternal data were not available. In particular, we could not adjust for maternal viral load, a key determinant of MTCT of HIV-1 infection. Furthermore, we could not assess the the effect of maternal *FCGR* genotypes on transmission.

The contribution of Fc $\gamma$ RIIb to disease susceptibility has largely been studied in systemic lupus erythematosus patients [47, 51] but there is paucity of data on association with HIV-1 acquisition. The findings of this study contribute to better understanding of the role of Fc $\gamma$ Rs in HIV-1 infection in children and add to the growing evidence of a potential role for Fc-mediated effector functions in modulating perinatal HIV-1 acquisition. As more Fc $\gamma$ R variants

associated with HIV-1 acquisition are reported, more studies are needed to critically evaluate their clinical relevance in the development of preventive or therapeutic interventions.

#### Supporting information

S1 File. Dataset for FCGR Variants and MTCT of HIV-1. (XLSX)S2 File.

(DTA)

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge Johanna Ledwaba, Brent Oosthuysen and Monalisa Kalimashe for their kind assistance with the genetic analyser; Mark Goosen for assistance with DNA extraction. Thanks also to all clinical staff and laboratory staff who contributed towards recruitment of the study subjects and sample processing and storage, respectively.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Ria Lassaunière, Caroline T. Tiemessen.

Data curation: Joy Ebonwu, Renate Strehlau, Louise Kuhn.

Formal analysis: Joy Ebonwu.

Funding acquisition: Renate Strehlau, Glenda E. Gray, Louise Kuhn, Caroline T. Tiemessen.

Investigation: Maria Paximadis, Renate Strehlau, Glenda E. Gray, Louise Kuhn.

Methodology: Joy Ebonwu, Maria Paximadis.

Supervision: Ria Lassaunière, Caroline T. Tiemessen.

Validation: Ria Lassaunière.

Writing - original draft: Joy Ebonwu, Ria Lassaunière.

Writing - review & editing: Ria Lassaunière, Caroline T. Tiemessen.

#### References

- 1. Bournazos S, Ravetch JV. Fcγ Receptor Function and the Design of Vaccination Strategies. Immunity. 2017 Aug 15; 47(2):224–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.07.009 PMID: 28813656
- Lewis GK. Role of Fc-mediated antibody function in protective immunity against HIV-1. Immunology. 2014 May; 142(1):46–57. https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.12232 PMID: 24843871
- Boesch AW, Brown E, Ackerman ME. The role of Fc Receptors in HIV Prevention and Therapy. Immunol Rev. 2015 Nov; 268(1):296–310. https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12339 PMID: 26497529
- Nimmerjahn F, Ravetch JV. Fcgamma receptors as regulators of immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol. 2008 Jan; 8(1):34–47. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2206 PMID: 18064051
- Haynes BF, Gilbert PB, McElrath MJ, Zolla-Pazner S, Tomaras GD, Alam SM, et al. Immune-correlates analysis of an HIV-1 vaccine efficacy trial. N Engl J Med. 2012 Apr 5; 366(14):1275–86. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa1113425 PMID: 22475592
- Mabuka J, Nduati R, Odem-Davis K, Peterson D, Overbaugh J. HIV-Specific Antibodies Capable of ADCC Are Common in Breastmilk and Are Associated with Reduced Risk of Transmission in Women with High Viral Loads. PLoS Pathog [Internet]. 2012 Jun 14 [cited 2021 Feb 25]; 8(6). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3375288/ PMID: 22719248
- Lambotte O, Ferrari G, Moog C, Yates NL, Liao HX, Parks RJ, et al. Heterogeneous neutralizing antibody and antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity responses in HIV-1 elite controllers. AIDS Lond Engl. 2009 May 15; 23(8):897–906. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e328329f97d PMID: 19414990

- Baum LL, Cassutt KJ, Knigge K, Khattri R, Margolick J, Rinaldo C, et al. HIV-1 gp120-specific antibodydependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity correlates with rate of disease progression. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950. 1996 Sep 1; 157(5):2168–73.
- Braibant M, Barin F. The role of neutralizing antibodies in prevention of HIV-1 infection: what can we learn from the mother-to-child transmission context? Retrovirology. 2013 Oct 7; 10:103. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1742-4690-10-103 PMID: 24099103</u>
- Forthal DN, Landucci G, Bream J, Jacobson LP, Phan TB, Montoya B. FcgammaRlla genotype predicts progression of HIV infection. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950. 2007 Dec 1; 179(11):7916–23.
- Brouwer KC, Lal RB, Mirel LB, Yang C, van Eijk AM, Ayisi J, et al. Polymorphism of Fc receptor IIa for IgG in infants is associated with susceptibility to perinatal HIV-1 infection. AIDS Lond Engl. 2004 May 21; 18(8):1187–94. https://doi.org/10.1097/00002030-200405210-00012 PMID: 15166534
- Lassaunière R, Musekiwa A, Gray GE, Kuhn L, Tiemessen CT. Perinatal HIV-1 transmission: Fc gamma receptor variability associates with maternal infectiousness and infant susceptibility. Retrovirology. 2016; 13(1):40. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12977-016-0272-y PMID: 27287460
- Milligan C, Richardson BA, John-Stewart G, Nduati R, Overbaugh J. Passively acquired antibodydependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) activity in HIV-infected infants is associated with reduced mortality. Cell Host Microbe. 2015 Apr 8; 17(4):500–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.03.002</u> PMID: 25856755
- van Sorge NM, van der Pol WL, van de Winkel JGJ. FcgammaR polymorphisms: Implications for function, disease susceptibility and immunotherapy. Tissue Antigens. 2003 Mar; 61(3):189–202. https://doi. org/10.1034/j.1399-0039.2003.00037.x PMID: 12694568
- Li X, Ptacek TS, Brown EE, Edberg JC. Fcγ Receptors: Structure, Function and Role as Genetic Risk Factors in SLE. Genes Immun. 2009 Jul; 10(5):380–9.
- Bruhns P, Iannascoli B, England P, Mancardi DA, Fernandez N, Jorieux S, et al. Specificity and affinity of human Fcgamma receptors and their polymorphic variants for human IgG subclasses. Blood. 2009 Apr 16; 113(16):3716–25. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-09-179754 PMID: 19018092
- Bournazos S, Woof JM, Hart SP, Dransfield I. Functional and clinical consequences of Fc receptor polymorphic and copy number variants. Clin Exp Immunol. 2009 Aug; 157(2):244–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2009.03980.x PMID: 19604264</u>
- Breunis WB, van Mirre E, Bruin M, Geissler J, de Boer M, Peters M, et al. Copy number variation of the activating FCGR2C gene predisposes to idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. Blood. 2008 Feb 1; 111 (3):1029–38. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-03-079913 PMID: 17827395
- Hargreaves CE, Rose-Zerilli MJJ, Machado LR, Iriyama C, Hollox EJ, Cragg MS, et al. Fcγ receptors: genetic variation, function, and disease. Immunol Rev. 2015 Nov; 268(1):6–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> imr.12341 PMID: 26497510
- Lassaunière R, Paximadis M, Ebrahim O, Chaisson RE, Martinson NA, Tiemessen CT. The FCGR2C allele that modulated the risk of HIV-1 infection in the Thai RV144 vaccine trial is implicated in HIV-1 disease progression. Genes Immun. 2019 Nov; 20(8):651–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41435-018-0053-9</u> PMID: 30563969
- Li SS, Gilbert PB, Tomaras GD, Kijak G, Ferrari G, Thomas R, et al. FCGR2C polymorphisms associate with HIV-1 vaccine protection in RV144 trial. J Clin Invest. 2014 Sep; 124(9):3879–90. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1172/JCI75539 PMID: 25105367</u>
- 22. Li SS, Gilbert PB, Carpp LN, Pyo CW, Janes H, Fong Y, et al. Fc Gamma Receptor Polymorphisms Modulated the Vaccine Effect on HIV-1 Risk in the HVTN 505 HIV Vaccine Trial. J Virol. 2019 Nov 1; 93 (21). https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02041-18 PMID: 31434737
- Breunis WB, van Mirre E, Geissler J, Laddach N, Wolbink G, van der Schoot E, et al. Copy number variation at the FCGR locus includes FCGR3A, FCGR2C and FCGR3B but not FCGR2A and FCGR2B. Hum Mutat. 2009 May; 30(5):E640–650.
- van der Heijden J, Breunis WB, Geissler J, de Boer M, van den Berg TK, Kuijpers TW. Phenotypic variation in IgG receptors by nonclassical FCGR2C alleles. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950. 2012 Feb 1; 188 (3):1318–24.
- Willcocks LC, Lyons PA, Clatworthy MR, Robinson JI, Yang W, Newland SA, et al. Copy number of FCGR3B, which is associated with systemic lupus erythematosus, correlates with protein expression and immune complex uptake. J Exp Med. 2008 Jul 7; 205(7):1573–82. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem. 20072413 PMID: 18559452
- 26. Wu J, Edberg JC, Redecha PB, Bansal V, Guyre PM, Coleman K, et al. A novel polymorphism of FcgammaRIIIa (CD16) alters receptor function and predisposes to autoimmune disease. J Clin Invest. 1997 Sep 1; 100(5):1059–70. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI119616 PMID: 9276722

- Salmon JE, Edberg JC, Kimberly RP. Fc gamma receptor III on human neutrophils. Allelic variants have functionally distinct capacities. J Clin Invest. 1990 Apr; 85(4):1287–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1172/</u> JCI114566 PMID: 1690757
- Milligan C, Richardson BA, John-Stewart G, Nduati R, Overbaugh J. FCGR2A and FCGR3A Genotypes in Human Immunodeficiency Virus Mother-to-Child Transmission. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2015 Dec; 2 (4):ofv149. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofv149 PMID: 26613093
- Reitz C, Coovadia A, Ko S, Meyers T, Strehlau R, Sherman G, et al. Initial response to protease-inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy among children less than 2 years of age in South Africa: effect of cotreatment for tuberculosis. J Infect Dis. 2010 Apr 15; 201(8):1121–31. https://doi.org/10.1086/651454 PMID: 20214476
- Coovadia A, Abrams EJ, Stehlau R, Meyers T, Martens L, Sherman G, et al. Reuse of nevirapine in exposed HIV-infected children after protease inhibitor-based viral suppression: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2010 Sep 8; 304(10):1082–90. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.1278 PMID: 20823434
- Coovadia A, Abrams EJ, Strehlau R, Shiau S, Pinillos F, Martens L, et al. Efavirenz-Based Antiretroviral Therapy Among Nevirapine-Exposed HIV-Infected Children in South Africa: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2015 Nov 3; 314(17):1808–17. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.13631 PMID: 26529159
- Kuhn L, Schramm DB, Donninger S, Meddows-Taylor S, Coovadia AH, Sherman GG, et al. African infants' CCL3 gene copies influence perinatal HIV transmission in the absence of maternal nevirapine. AIDS Lond Engl. 2007 Aug 20; 21(13):1753–61. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e3282ba553a PMID: 17690574
- Forbes JC, Alimenti AM, Singer J, Brophy JC, Bitnun A, Samson LM, et al. A national review of vertical HIV transmission. AIDS. 2012 Mar 27; 26(6):757–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e328350995c</u> PMID: 22210635
- Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-tochild transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000–2006. AIDS Lond Engl. 2008 May 11; 22(8):973–81.
- Schouten JP, McElgunn CJ, Waaijer R, Zwijnenburg D, Diepvens F, Pals G. Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002 Jun 15; 30(12):e57. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gnf056 PMID: 12060695
- den Dunnen JT, Dalgleish R, Maglott DR, Hart RK, Greenblatt MS, McGowan-Jordan J, et al. HGVS Recommendations for the Description of Sequence Variants: 2016 Update. Hum Mutat. 2016 Jun; 37 (6):564–9.
- Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2005 Jan 15; 21(2):263–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth457 PMID: 15297300
- Niederer HA, Willcocks LC, Rayner TF, Yang W, Lau YL, Williams TN, et al. Copy number, linkage disequilibrium and disease association in the FCGR locus. Hum Mol Genet. 2010 Aug 15; 19(16):3282– 94. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddq216 PMID: 20508037
- Nagelkerke SQ, Tacke CE, Breunis WB, Geissler J, Sins JWR, Appelhof B, et al. Nonallelic homologous recombination of the FCGR2/3 locus results in copy number variation and novel chimeric FCGR2 genes with aberrant functional expression. Genes Immun. 2015 Sep; 16(6):422–9. https://doi.org/10. 1038/gene.2015.25 PMID: 26133275
- 40. van der Heijden J. Genetic variation in human Fc gamma receptors: Functional consequences of polymorphisms and copy number variation [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2021 Oct 6]. https://dare.uva.nl/search? identifier=54e3332e-a8c8-4fec-a49d-833b35617f2f
- Milligan C, Richardson BA, John-Stewart G, Nduati R, Overbaugh J. FCGR2A and FCGR3A Genotypes in Human Immunodeficiency Virus Mother-to-Child Transmission. Open Forum Infect Dis [Internet]. 2015 Oct 14 [cited 2017 Mar 1]; 2(4). Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC4653957/ PMID: 26613093
- Ebonwu J, Lassaunière R, Paximadis M, Goosen M, Strehlau R, Gray GE, et al. An HIV Vaccine Protective Allele in FCGR2C Associates With Increased Odds of Perinatal HIV Acquisition. Front Immunol. 2021; 12:5080. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.760571 PMID: 34917081
- Lassaunière R, Tiemessen CT. Variability at the FCGR locus: characterization in Black South Africans and evidence for ethnic variation in and out of Africa. Genes Immun. 2016 Mar; 17(2):93–104. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1038/gene.2015.60 PMID: 26673965</u>
- Moraru M, Perez-Portilla A, Al-Akioui Sanz K, Blazquez-Moreno A, Arnaiz-Villena A, Reyburn HT, et al. FCGR Genetic Variation in Two Populations From Ecuador Highlands—Extensive Copy-Number Variation, Distinctive Distribution of Functional Polymorphisms, and a Novel, Locally Common, Chimeric FCGR3B/A (CD16B/A) Gene. Front Immunol. 2021 May 24; 12:615645. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.</u> 2021.615645 PMID: 34108956

- 45. Nagelkerke SQ, Tacke CE, Breunis WB, Tanck MWT, Geissler J, Png E, et al. Extensive Ethnic Variation and Linkage Disequilibrium at the FCGR2/3 Locus: Different Genetic Associations Revealed in Kawasaki Disease. Front Immunol [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Jul 13]; 10. Available from: <u>https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00185/full PMID: 30949161</u>
- 46. Li X, Wu J, Ptacek T, Redden DT, Brown EE, Alarcón GS, et al. Allelic-dependent expression of an activating Fc receptor on B cells enhances humoral immune responses. Sci Transl Med. 2013 Dec 18; 5 (216):216ra175. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3007097 PMID: 24353158
- 47. Willcocks LC, Carr EJ, Niederer HA, Rayner TF, Williams TN, Yang W, et al. A defunctioning polymorphism in FCGR2B is associated with protection against malaria but susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010 Apr 27; 107(17):7881–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0915133107</u> PMID: 20385827
- 48. Forthal DN, Gabriel EE, Wang A, Landucci G, Phan TB. Association of Fcγ receptor IIIa genotype with the rate of HIV infection after gp120 vaccination. Blood. 2012 Oct 4; 120(14):2836–42. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1182/blood-2012-05-431361</u> PMID: 22915639
- 49. Weis JF, McClelland RS, Jaoko W, Mandaliya KN, Overbaugh J, Graham SM. Short communication: Fc gamma receptors IIa and IIIa genetic polymorphisms do not predict HIV-1 disease progression in Kenyan women. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2015 Mar; 31(3):288–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/AID.</u> 2014.0209 PMID: 25312792
- Geraghty DE, Thorball CW, Fellay J, Thomas R. Effect of Fc Receptor Genetic Diversity on HIV-1 Disease Pathogenesis. Front Immunol. 2019; 10:970. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00970 PMID: 31143176
- Kono H, Kyogoku C, Suzuki T, Tsuchiya N, Honda H, Yamamoto K, et al. FcgammaRIIB Ile232Thr transmembrane polymorphism associated with human systemic lupus erythematosus decreases affinity to lipid rafts and attenuates inhibitory effects on B cell receptor signaling. Hum Mol Genet. 2005 Oct 1; 14(19):2881–92. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddi320 PMID: 16115811
- Floto RA, Clatworthy MR, Heilbronn KR, Rosner DR, MacAry PA, Rankin A, et al. Loss of function of a lupus-associated FcgammaRIIb polymorphism through exclusion from lipid rafts. Nat Med. 2005 Oct; 11(10):1056–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1288 PMID: 16170323
- Lassaunière R, Tiemessen CT. FcγR Genetic Variation and HIV-1 Vaccine Efficacy: Context And Considerations. Front Immunol. 2021; 12:5379.