

## Comparison of clinical outcomes between intravascular optical coherence tomographyguided and angiography-guided stent implantation: A meta-analysis of randomized control trials and systematic review: Retraction

The article, "Comparison of clinical outcomes between intravascular optical coherence tomography-guided and angiography-guided stent implantation: A meta-analysis of randomized control trials and systematic review",<sup>[1]</sup> which appeared in Volume 98, Issue 6 of *Medicine*, is being retracted by the journal.

The authors included 11 studies in the meta-analysis, however, two of them have the same study population and multiple patients were duplicated in the research. In addition, the first study criteria needed to be altered to "patients were underwent PCI using a metallic drug-eluting stent (DES), bioresorbable vascular scaffolds or metallic BMS" which would alter the sample size and affect the conclusion of the study.

## Reference

[1] Jian Y, He L-P, Gong R. Comparison of clinical outcomes between intravascular optical coherence tomography-guided and angiography-guided stent implantation: A meta-analysis of randomized control trials and systematic review. *Medicine*. 98;6:e14300.

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

How to cite this article: Jian Y, He LP, Gong R. Comparison of clinical outcomes between intravascular optical coherence tomography-guided and angiography-guided stent implantation: A meta-analysis of randomized control trials and systematic review: Retraction. Medicine 2019;98:38(e17379).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000017379

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.