



# Expression Analysis of NF-κB-Related IncRNAs in Parkinson's Disease

Soudeh Ghafouri-Fard<sup>1</sup>, Mahdi Gholipour<sup>2</sup>, Atefe Abak<sup>3</sup>, Mehrdokht Mazdeh<sup>4</sup>, Mohammad Taheri<sup>5\*</sup> and Arezou Sayad<sup>6\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, <sup>2</sup> Phytochemistry Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, <sup>3</sup> Men's Health and Reproductive Health Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, <sup>4</sup> Neurophysiology Research Center, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran, <sup>5</sup> Skull Base Research Center, Loghman Hakim Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, <sup>6</sup> Urology and Nephrology Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

## **OPEN ACCESS**

### Edited by:

Maya Koronyo-Hamaoui, Cedars Sinai Medical Center, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Eswar Shankar, The Ohio State University, United States Hikoaki Fukaura, Saitama Medical University, Japan

#### \*Correspondence:

Mohammad Taheri mohammad\_823@yahoo.com Arezou Sayad ar.sayad@yahoo.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Multiple Sclerosis and Neuroimmunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 08 August 2021 Accepted: 24 September 2021 Published: 13 October 2021

#### Citation:

Ghafouri-Fard S, Gholipour M, Abak A, Mazdeh M, Taheri M and Sayad A (2021) Expression Analysis of NF- κB-Related IncRNAs in Parkinson's Disease. Front. Immunol. 12:755246. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.755246 Parkinson's disease (PD) has been shown to affect approximately 1% of the persons aged more than 65 years. This multifactorial disorder has been associated with abnormal function of NF- $\kappa$ B signals. In this research, we have evaluated expressions of NF- $\kappa$ Brelated long non-coding RNAs in the circulation of PD patients compared with healthy controls. Expression of PACER was lower in total PD patients compared with healthy persons (Ratio of mean expressions (RME)=0.32, P value<0.001). This pattern was also evident among males (RME=0.25, P value<0.001). Expression of DILC was higher in total PD patients (RME=4.07, P value<0.001), and in both sex-based subgroups (RME=3.77, P value=0.01 and RME=4.25, P value<0.001, for females and males, respectively). Similarly, CEBPA was significantly over-expressed in total PD patients (RME=14.76, P value<0.001), and in both sex-based subgroups (RME=12.42, P value<0.001 and RME=15.80, P value<0.001, for females and males, respectively). ATG5 had a similar expression pattern (RME=2.6, P value=1E-08, RME=1.73, P value=0.03 and RME=3.09, P value=1E-07, for total cases, females and males, respectively). H19 was up-regulated in total cases and male cases compared with corresponding controls (RME=2.19, P value<0.001, RME=2.68, P value=0.01, respectively). Finally, HNFA1-AS was downregulated in all comparisons (RME=0.10, P value=2E-06, RME=0.08, P value<0.001 and RME=0.12, P value<0.001, for total cases, females and males, respectively). Among PD patients, expressions of NKILA and ADINR were robustly correlated with each other (r=0.75, P value=2.40E-10). In addition, expression levels of DICER1-AS were significantly correlated with those of ADINR, PACER and H19 in these patients (r=0.73, P value=1.76E-9; r=0.72, P value=5.15E-09 and r=0.72, P value=3.09E-09, respectively). Correlation analyses among healthy controls revealed robust correlations between CHAST and CEBPA (r=0.84, P value=3.09E-09), NKILA and ADINR (r=0.80, P value=4.24E-12) as well as between DILC and CHAST (r=0.76, P value=1.70E-10). CEBPA had the best parameters among all assessed genes (AUC=0.96, Sensitivity=0.90 and specificity=0.97). DILC and ATG5 were the most appropriate markers after CEBPA

1

with AUC values of 0.82 and 0.80, respectively. Most notably, combination of all genes improved AUC, sensitivity and specificity parameters to 1, 0.97 and 0.99, respectively. Cumulatively, the current study provides evidence for participation of NF- $\kappa$ B-related IncRNAs in the pathoetiology of PD.

Keywords: Parkinson's disease, IncRNA, NF-KB, expression, biomarker

# INTRODUCTION

As the second most prevalent neurodegenerative disease, Parkinson's disease (PD) has been shown to affect approximately 1% of the persons aged more than 65 years (1). From a neuropathological point of view, PD is associated with αsynuclein-comprising Lewy body and defects in dopaminergic neurons of substantia nigra which result in reduction of speed of voluntary movements (1). Although the main pathoetiology of PD is not clear, inflammation-related oxidative stress and cytokine-associated neurotoxic events have been shown to be involved in the stimulation of degradation of dopaminergic neurons (2, 3). Nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB) has been demonstrated to regulate activity of inflammatory intermediates in the course of inflammation. This transcription factors is expressed in microglia, neurons, and astrocytes in the central nervous system and contribute in the neurodegenerative process in PD (2). A previous study has shown significant increase in the percentage of dopaminergic neurons expressing NF-KB in their nuclei in PD patients compared with controls. Notably, there has been a possible association between the nuclear immunoreactivity for NF-KB in neurons of mesencephalon of these individuals and presence of oxidative stress in these neurons (4). Therapeutic intervention with NF-κB signaling has been suggested as a new strategy for management of inflammatory response triggered in the course of PD. In fact, agents that inhibit IKK $\beta$  or IKK $\gamma$  have been shown to suppress neurodegeneration of dopaminergic neurons in animal models of PD (5). Thus, identification the regulatory mechanisms for modulation of NF-KB signaling in PD is an important issue for implementation of appropriate treatments for this disorder. Recent studies have revealed interactions between this signaling pathway and several of noncoding RNAs (6). These transcripts, particularly long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been suggested to participate in the pathophysiology of neuropsychiatric disorders, such as schizophrenia (7). In the current project, we compared expression levels of NF-KB-related lncRNAs and mRNAs, namely CEBPA, ATG5, PACER, DILC, NKILA, ADINR, DICER1-AS1, HNF1A-AS1, CHAST and H19 in the circulation of PD patients versus healthy individuals to appraise their possible application as disease markers.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Patient and Controls**

The current research was performed using the blood samples gathered from 50 cases of PD (14 females and 36 males) and 50

healthy individuals (15 females and 35 males). PD cases were recruited during January 2020-April 2021 from Farshchian hospital, Hamadan, Iran. PD cases were diagnosed based on criteria suggested by the International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society (8). None of cases or controls had current or chronic infection, malignant conditions or any systemic disorders. Individuals recruited as controls had no personal or family history of any neuropsychiatric disorder. The study protocol was confirmed by ethical committee of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. All PD patients and controls signed the informed consent forms.

## **Expression Assays**

A total of 3 mL of peripheral blood was gathered from PD cases and healthy controls in EDTA-blood collection tubes. Total RNA was extracted from these specimens using GeneAll extraction kit (Seoul, South Korea). Then, cDNA was produced from approximately 75 ng of RNA using BioFact<sup>TM</sup> kit (Seoul, South Korea). The Ampliqon real time PCR master mix (Denmark) was used for making reactions. Tests were executed in StepOnePlus<sup>TM</sup> RealTime PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster city, CA, USA). **Table 1** demonstrates primers sequences. PCR program consisted of a primary activation stage for 5 minutes at 94°C, and 40 cycles at 94°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 45 seconds.

## **Statistical Methods**

Relative amounts of CEBPA, ATG5, PACER, DILC, NKILA, ADINR, DICER1-AS1, HNF1A-AS1, CHAST and H19 were quantified in all samples relative to amounts of B2M transcripts. The Ln [Efficiency $^\Delta$ CT] formula was used for calculation of expression levels. Data was analyzed using R programming language and Rstan, ggplot 2 and non-parametric quantile regression packages. Mean values were compared between PD patients and healthy subjects using t-test. Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated to evaluate correlations between expressions of CEBPA, ATG5, PACER, DILC, NKILA, ADINR, DICER1-AS1, HNF1A-AS1, CHAST and H19 genes. Receiver operating characteristic curves were plotted using and values for area under these curves (AUC) were measured.

# RESULTS

Table 2 shows the demographic data of PD patients and control subjects.

**Figure 1** shows the minimum values, the first quartiles, the medians, the third quartiles, and the maximum values of relative expressions of genes in the formats of box-and-whisker plots.

#### TABLE 1 | Primer sequences.

Gene		Primer sequence	Product size (bp)
CEBPA	Forward	ACTTGGTGCGTCTAAGATGAGG	144
	Reverse	CATTGGAGCGGTGAGTTTGC	
ATG5	Forward	TTCGAGATGTGTGGTTTGGAC	134
	Reverse	CACTITGTCAGTTACCAACGTCA	
PACER	Forward	TGGTCCTAAGCAGTTACCCTGTA	177
	Reverse	ACCAAAATAATCCACGCATCAGG	
DILC	Forward	GGAAAGGAGAGAAGAATGG	144
	Reverse	GTAAGATGTGGTTGTCGG	
NKILA	Forward	AACCACTATCATTTTATTTTCCATT	100
	Reverse	CAAAGCAATTCTCCTTTCCTA	
ADINR	Forward	TGGATGTGCTGTGATGAAGAGAAG	91
	Reverse	CCATAACACCTCCGCAGACAAATC	
DICER1-AS1	Forward	CCCAGCCTGCTTCCTGTTTTAAC	126
	Reverse	TTCTCTCCCATCTTCACCTTCTCC	
HNF1A-AS1	Forward	CCAGCCTGACCTCTCCATTCC	158
	Reverse	GCCGAACTGACATCACTGAACAC	
CHAST	Forward	GCAGAGGGTGCCAACTTGTA	109
	Reverse	TCTCAGGGAAATCAGATTGCGG	
H19	Forward	TGCTGCACTTTACAACCACTG	105
	Reverse	ATGGTGTCTTTGATGTTGGGC	
B2M	Forward	AGATGAGTATGCCTGCCGTG	104
	Reverse	CGGCATCTTCAAACCTCCA	

TABLE 2 | Demographic and clinical profiles of PD patients and healthy controls [The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS)].

Parameters		Groups	Values
Sex (number)	Male		37
	Female		13
Age [Years, mean ± SD (range)]	Male		69.64 ± 10.59 (47-89)
	Female		66.46 ± 12.6 (38-85)
Duration [Years, mean $\pm$ SD (range)]	Male		3.18 ± 3.65 (1-12)
	Female		5.38 ± 9.76 (1-36)
MMSE [mean ± SD (range)]	Male		22.84 ± 3.032 (17-29)
	Female		23.08 ± 2.499 (19-26)
UPDRS [mean ± SD (range)]	Male		23.92 ± 7.418 (13-41)
	Female		26.31 ± 9.437 (16-42)
Hoehn & Yahr stage (number)	1	Male	8
		Female	3
	П	Male	18
		Female	5
	III	Male	11
		Female	5
Drug administration (number)	L-DOPA		46
	Bromocriptine, Amantao	dine, Quetiapine	4

Expression of *PACER* was lower in total PD patients compared with controls (Ratio of mean expressions (RME)=0.32, P value<0.001). This pattern was also evident among males (RME=0.25, P value<0.001). Expression of *DILC* was higher in total PD patients (RME=4.07, P value<0.001), and in both sex-based subgroups (RME=3.77, P value=0.01 and RME=4.25, P value<0.001, for females and males, respectively). Similarly, *CEBPA* was significantly over-expressed in total PD patients (RME=14.76, P value<0.001), and in both sex-based subgroups (RME=15.80, P value<0.001, for females and males, respectively). ATG5 had a similar expression pattern (RME=2.6, P value=1E-08, RME=1.73, P value=0.03 and RME=3.09, P value=1E-07, for total cases, females and males,

respectively). H19 was up-regulated in total cases and male cases compared with corresponding controls (RME=2.19, P value<0.001, RME=2.68, P value=0.01, respectively). Finally, *HNFA1-AS* was down-regulated in all comparisons (RME=0.10, P value=2E-06, RME=0.08, P value<0.001 and RME=0.12, P value<0.001, for total cases, females and males, respectively). Expression levels of other genes were not different between PD patients and controls (**Table 3**).

Among PD patients, expressions of NKILA and ADINR were robustly correlated with each other (r=0.75, P value=2.40E-10). In addition, expression levels of DICER1-AS were significantly correlated with those of ADINR, PACER and H19 in these patients (r=0.73, P value=1.76E-9; r=0.72, P value=5.15E-09 and r=0.72, P value=3.09E-09, respectively) (**Figure 2**).





FIGURE 1 | (A–J) Box-and-whisker plots showing the minimum values, the first quartiles, the medians, the third quartiles, and the maximum values of relative expressions of genes in PD cases and controls based on their gender (Red and blue plots show respective values among females and males, respectively).


4




 $\label{eq:FIGURE 2 | Correlation matrix for illustration of correlation between expression levels of NF-\kappaB-related IncRNAs among PD patients (The distribution of expression levels of each IncRNA in PD patients is shown on the diagonal. Bivariate scatter plots are shown on the bottom of the diagonal. R and P values are shown on the top of the diagonal).$ 

Correlation analyses among healthy controls revealed robust correlations between *CHAST* and *CEBPA* (r=0.84, P value=3.09E-09), *NKILA* and *ADINR* (r=0.80, P value=4.24E-12) as well as between *DILC* and *CHAST* (r=0.76, P value=1.70E-10). Figure 3 illustrates correlation matrix for healthy controls.

Then, we depicted ROC curves for assessment of diagnostic power of these genes using three distinctive models with Bayesian GLM showing the best values (**Figure 4A**). Subsequently, this model was used for plotting ROC curves for all genes (**Figure 4B**).





Number	lumber of Samples			PACER					DILC					NKILA				5	CHAST					CEBPA		
Case/Control	introl	SE	RME	RME P Value 95% CI	95%	ū	SE	RME	P Value	95% CI	ō	SE	RME	P Value	95% CI		SER	RME P Value	Value	95% CI	I	SE	RME	P Value	95% CI	0
Total	50/50	0.55	0.32	0.00		-0.55	0.33	4.07	0.00	1.36	2.69	0.64	0.97	0.94	-1.32 1	1.22 0		1.32		-0.30 1		0.31 1	14.76	0.00	3.26	4.51
Ŀ	14/15	0.77	0.57	0.31		-2.39 0.78 0.65	0.65	3.77	0.01	0.55	3.28	1.26	1.20	0.84	-2.39 2			0.91			1.27 0		12.42	0.00		4.72
Σ	36/35	0.69	0.25	0.00		-0.65	0.39	4.25	0.00	1.31	2.87	0.76	0.88	0.81	-1.70 1			1.54					15.80	0.00		4.76
				ADINR					H19					ATG5				NH	HNF1A-AS1	_			ă	DICER1-AS1	_	
Number	<b>Jumber of Samples</b>	SE	RME	P Value	95% CI	-	SE	RME	P Value	95% C	ū	SE	RME	P Value	95% CI		SER	MEP	RME P Value 95% CI	95% CI		SEF	3ME	RME P Value 95% CI	35% CI	
Case/Control	introl																									
Total	50/50	0.52	1.31		-0.63	1.42	0.39	2.19	0.00	0.37	1.90	0.22	2.6	1E-08	0.94 1	1.82 0	0.65 0	0.10		-4.56 -	-1.99 0	0.41 (	0.69		-1.343	0.30
ш	14/15	1.11	1.89	0.42	-1.36 3.20 (	3.20	0.79	1.34	0.59	-1.20	2.05	0.35	1.73	0.03				0.08	0.00		-1.29 0		0.50	0.20		0.57
Σ	36/35	0.58	1.12	0.78	-0.99	1.31	0.44	2.68	0.01	0.56	2.30	0.27	3.09	1E-07		2.17 0		0.12				0.49 (	0.78			0.65

TABLE 3 | Statistical parameters calculated for comparisons of genes expressions between PD patients and controls (SE, standard error, RME, ratio of mean expressions, CI, confidence interval).




FIGURE 4 | ROC curves for assessment of diagnostic power of these genes using three distinctive models (A). Assessment of diagnostic values of NF-kB-related genes in PD using Bayesian GLM (B).

*CEBPA* had the best parameters among all assessed genes (AUC=0.96, Sensitivity=0.90 and specificity=0.97). *DILC* and *ATG5* were the most appropriate markers after *CEBPA* with AUC values of 0.82 and 0.80, respectively. Most notably, combination of all genes improved AUC, sensitivity and specificity values to 1, 0.97 and 0.99, respectively (**Table 4**).

## DISCUSSION

NF-KB comprise a group of transcription factors that through regulation of inflammation and apoptosis contribute in the programming of systemic ageing in the central nervous system and pathobiology of the neurodegenerative disease PD (9). NF-KBassociated genes partake in the regulation of the cellular levels of reactive oxygen species in the cell (10, 11). Moreover, through regulating autophagic processes, NF-KB acts as an important modulator of clearance of protein aggregates (12). Notably, NFκB has been shown to be activated in microglia upon their exposure to lipopolysaccharide. Activation of this nuclear factor enhances expression of proinflammatory genes as well as proteolytic enzymes (13). Based on the structure of NF- $\kappa$ B dimers, this pathway might exert either protective or harmful effects. To be more precise, p50/ RelA and c-Rel-containing dimers have pro-apoptotic and neuroprotective effects, respectively (14, 15). In the current investigation, we compared expression levels of a number of NFκB-related lncRNAs and mRNAs in the circulation of PD patients versus healthy controls. Expression of PACER was lower in total PD patients compared with controls. This pattern was also evident among males. Moreover, HNFA1-AS was down-regulated in all comparisons. We have recently reported down-regulation of PACER, while up-regulation of HNFA1-AS in patients with schizophrenia compared with normal individuals (7), indicating distinctive roles of these lncRNAs in these two neuropsychiatric conditions. PACER is an IncRNA whose expression is induced by cyclooxygenase-2. This lncRNA has a functional association with p50, a suppressive subunit of NF-KB, and obstructs it from the

promoter of the cyclooxygenase-2 gene, thus enhancing the interplay with activating NF-κB p65/p50 dimers (16). *HNF1A*-*AS1* is a natural antisense RNA for *HNF1A* (17) whose expression is increased by HNF1A (18). NF-κB *via* affecting expression of TNF-α decreases levels of HNF1A (19), thus it is expected to decrease expression of *HNF1A-AS1*. Therefore, the reduced levels of *HNF1A-AS1* in PD patients might be explained by higher activity of NF-κB signaling in these patients.

Expression of *DILC* was higher in total PD patients, and in both sex-based subgroups. This lncRNA has been shown to inhibit the autocrine IL-6/STAT3 axis (20). STAT3 has a possible impact in the pathogenesis of PD, since the PD gene, *DJ-1* has been shown to regulate astrogliosis *via* this factor (21). Moreover, STAT3 can trigger production of neurotoxic proteins by microglia (22). The observed up-regulation of *DILC* in PD patients can be a compensatory response to attenuate the harmful effects of IL-6/STAT3 axis in these patients.

Similarly, *CEBPA* was significantly over-expressed in total PD patients, and in both sex-based subgroups. *CEBPA* has been among genes of interest for PD recognized by "guilt-by-association" with the known PD-associated genes (23). *CEBPA* has also been reported to interact with the promoter region of leptin coding gene and regulate its expression. Leptin can be easily transported to the brain and interact with its receptors in neurons to influence neurodevelopment (24).

*ATG5* had a similar high expression pattern in both sex-based subgroups of PD patients. Over-expression of ATG5 has been shown to protect dopaminergic neurons in an animal model of PD (25). Thus, upregulation of this gene in PD patients might be a compensatory response to attenuate neuron loss in these patients.

Finally, *H19* was up-regulated in total cases and male cases compared with corresponding controls. This lncRNA reduces dopaminergic neuron loss in PD through modulation of Wnt/ $\beta$ catenin signaling (26). Moreover, it decreases apoptosis in MPTPassociated PD *via* modulation of miR-585-3p/PIK3R3 axis (27).

Among PD patients, expressions of *NKILA* and *ADINR* were robustly correlated with each other. In addition, expression levels

Sensitivity 0.97

AUC

AUC 0.61

AUC 0.75

AUC 0.80

AUC 0.73

AUC 81.63

cificity AUC

AUC 0.61

AUC 0.63

officity 0.80

ŝ

All Markers

-AS1

DICER1

HNF1A-AS1

ATG5

H19

ADINR

CEBPA

HAST

**KILA** 

DILC

PACER

8

0.63

0.64

0.70

0.76

080

0.70

0.76

0.64

pecifici 0.31

80

26

8

0.70

9.9

0.83

0.78

AUC Sensitiv 0.82 0.76

0.58

of *DICER1-AS* were significantly correlated with those of *ADINR*, *PACER* and *H19* in these patients. Correlation analyses among healthy controls revealed robust correlations between *CHAST* and *CEBPA*, *NKILA* and *ADINR* as well as between *DILC* and *CHAST*. Thus, the pattern and robustness of correlations were affected by the presence of PD, except for *NKILA* and *ADINR* genes which were robustly correlated in both groups of study participants.

CEBPA had the best parameters among all assessed genes (AUC=0.96, Sensitivity=0.90 and specificity=0.97). DILC and ATG5 were the most appropriate markers after CEBPA with AUC values of 0.82 and 0.80, respectively. Most notably, combination of all genes improved AUC, sensitivity and specificity values to 1, 0.97 and 0.99, respectively. Therefore, this study provides clues for design of a panel of genes for diagnosis of PD or follow-up of patients. Assessment of expression profile of these genes during different stages of development of PD as well as in drug-naïve patients would help in identification of biomarker role of these genes.

Cumulatively, the current study provides evidence for participation of NF- $\kappa$ B-related lncRNAs in the pathoetiology of PD. Modulation of immune responses and apoptotic pathways are the most probable mechanisms of participation of these lncRNAs in the pathoetiology of PD. We recommend conduction of functional studies for appraisal of the mechanisms of involvement of these genes in the pathogenesis of PD.

Our study has some limitations. First, we did not include a group of drug-naïve patients to apprise expression of these genes in them. Second, we did not perform *in vitro* or *ex vivo* studies to unravel the mechanism of involvement of these genes in the pathogenesis of PD.

Future studies are needed to assess expression levels of NF- $\kappa$ B-related lncRNAs in larger cohorts of PD patients to verify their diagnostic impact. Moreover, the effect of modification of their expression on the course of PD should be assessed in animal models of PD in roder to find novel therapeutic options for this disorder.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences IR.SBMU.MSP.REC.1400.152. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MT and SG-F wrote the draft and revised it. MT and AS designed and supervised the study. MG and AA performed the experiment. MM collected the samples and data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**TABLE 4** | Statistical parameters of ROC curve analyses

# REFERENCES

- Tysnes OB, Storstein A. Epidemiology of Parkinson's Disease. J Neural Transm (Vienna Austria: 1996) (2017) 124(8):901–5. doi: 10.1007/s00702-017-1686-y
- Singh SS, Rai SN, Birla H, Zahra W, Rathore AS, Singh SP. NF-κb-Mediated Neuroinflammation in Parkinson's Disease and Potential Therapeutic Effect of Polyphenols. *Neurotox Res* (2020) 37(3):491–507. doi: 10.1007/s12640-019-00147-2
- Rezaei O, Nateghinia S, Estiar MA, Taheri M, Ghafouri-Fard S. Assessment of the Role of Non-Coding RNAs in the Pathophysiology of Parkinson's Disease. *Eur J Pharmacol* (2021) 896:173914. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2021.173914
- Hunot S, Brugg B, Ricard D, Michel PP, Muriel MP, Ruberg M, et al. Nuclear Translocation of NF-kappaB Is Increased in Dopaminergic Neurons of Patients With Parkinson Disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1997) 94 (14):7531–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.14.7531
- Flood PM, Qian L, Peterson LJ, Zhang F, Shi J-S, Gao H-M, et al. Transcriptional Factor NF-κb as a Target for Therapy in Parkinson's Disease. *Parkinsons Dis* (2011) 2011:216298. doi: 10.4061/2011/216298
- Ghafouri-Fard S, Abak A, Fattahi F, Hussen BM, Bahroudi Z, Shoorei H, et al. The Interaction Between miRNAs/lncRNAs and Nuclear Factor-κb (NF-κb) in Human Disorders. *Biomed Pharmacother* (2021) 138:111519. doi: 10.1016/ j.biopha.2021.111519
- Safa A, Badrlou E, Arsang-Jang S, Sayad A, Taheri M, Ghafouri-Fard S. Expression of NF-κb Associated lncRNAs in Schizophrenia. *Sci Rep* (2020) 10 (1):18105. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-75333-w
- Postuma RB, Berg D, Stern M, Poewe W, Olanow CW, Oertel W, et al. MDS Clinical Diagnostic Criteria for Parkinson's Disease. *Movement Disord* (2015) 30(12):1591–601. doi: 10.1002/mds.26424
- Bellucci A, Bubacco L, Longhena F, Parrella E, Faustini G, Porrini V, et al. Nuclear Factor-κb Dysregulation and α-Synuclein Pathology: Critical Interplay in the Pathogenesis of Parkinson's Disease. *Front Aging Neurosci* (2020) 12:68. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2020.00068
- Morgan MJ, Liu Z-g. Crosstalk of Reactive Oxygen Species and NF-κb Signaling. Cell Res (2011) 21(1):103–15. doi: 10.1038/cr.2010.178
- Lingappan K. NF-κb in Oxidative Stress. Curr Opin Toxicol (2018) 7:81–6. doi: 10.1016/j.cotox.2017.11.002
- Nivon M, Fort L, Muller P, Richet E, Simon S, Guey B, et al. Nfkb Is a Central Regulator of Protein Quality Control in Response to Protein Aggregation Stresses via Autophagy Modulation. Mol Biol Cell (2016) 27(11):1712–27. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e15-12-0835
- Kaminska B, Mota M, Pizzi M. Signal Transduction and Epigenetic Mechanisms in the Control of Microglia Activation During Neuroinflammation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2016) 1862(3):339–51. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2015.10.026
- 14. Inta I, Paxian S, Maegele I, Zhang W, Pizzi M, Spano P, et al. Bim and Noxa Are Candidates to Mediate the Deleterious Effect of the NF-κb Subunit RelA in Cerebral Ischemia. J Neurosci (2006) 26(50):12896–903. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.3670-06.2006
- Sarnico I, Lanzillotta A, Boroni F, Benarese M, Alghisi M, Schwaninger M, et al. NF-κb P50/RelA and C-Rel-Containing Dimers: Opposite Regulators of Neuron Vulnerability to Ischaemia. J Neurochem (2009) 108(2):475–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05783.x
- Krawczyk M, Emerson BM. P50-Associated COX-2 Extragenic RNA (PACER) Activates COX-2 Gene Expression by Occluding Repressive NF-κb Complexes. *elife* (2014) 3:e01776. doi: 10.7554/eLife.01776

- Yang X, Song JH, Cheng Y, Wu W, Bhagat T, Yu Y, et al. Long Non-Coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 Regulates Proliferation and Migration in Oesophageal Adenocarcinoma Cells. *Gut* (2014) 63(6):881–90. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305266
- 18. Ding C-H, Yin C, Chen S-J, Wen L-Z, Ding K, Lei S-J, et al. The Hnf1 $\alpha$ -Regulated lncRNA HNF1A-AS1 Reverses the Malignancy of Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Enhancing the Phosphatase Activity of SHP-1. *Mol Cancer* (2018) 17(1):1–14. doi: 10.1186/s12943-018-0813-1
- Bao C, Li Y, Huan L, Zhang Y, Zhao F, Wang Q, et al. NF-κb Signaling Relieves Negative Regulation by miR-194 in Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Suppressing the Transcription Factor HNF-1α. Sci Signaling (2015) 8(387): ra75-ra. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aaa8441
- Wang X, Sun W, Shen W, Xia M, Chen C, Xiang D, et al. Long Non-Coding RNA DILC Regulates Liver Cancer Stem Cells *via* IL-6/STAT3 Axis. *J Hepatol* (2016) 64(6):1283–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.01.019
- Choi DJ, Kwon JK, Joe EH. A Parkinson's Disease Gene, DJ-1, Regulates Astrogliosis Through STAT3. *Neurosci Lett* (2018) 685:144–9. doi: 10.1016/ j.neulet.2018.08.025
- Tiwari PC, Pal R. The Potential Role of Neuroinflammation and Transcription Factors in Parkinson Disease. *Dialog Clin Neurosci* (2017) 19(1):71–80. doi: 10.31887/DCNS.2017.19.1/rpal
- Chandrasekaran S, Bonchev D. A Network View on Parkinson's Disease. Comput Struct Biotechnol J (2013) 7:e201304004–e. doi: 10.5936/csbj.201304004
- 24. Tang BL. Leptin as a Neuroprotective Agent. Biochem Biophys Res Commun (2008) 368(2):181-5. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.01.063
- Hu ZY, Chen B, Zhang JP, Ma YY. Up-Regulation of Autophagy-Related Gene 5 (ATG5) Protects Dopaminergic Neurons in a Zebrafish Model of Parkinson's Disease. J Biol Chem (2017) 292(44):18062–74. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.M116.764795
- 26. Jiang J, Piao X, Hu S, Gao J, Bao M. LncRNA H19 Diminishes Dopaminergic Neuron Loss by Mediating microRNA-301b-3p in Parkinson's Disease *via* the HPRT1-Mediated Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling Pathway. *Aging* (2020) 12 (10):8820–36. doi: 10.18632/aging.102877
- Zhang Y, Xia Q, Lin J. LncRNA H19 Attenuates Apoptosis in MPTP-Induced Parkinson's Disease Through Regulating miR-585-3p/PIK3R3. *Neurochem Res* (2020) 45(7):1700–10. doi: 10.1007/s11064-020-03035-w

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Ghafouri-Fard, Gholipour, Abak, Mazdeh, Taheri and Sayad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.