



Use of proximal humerus plates for the fixation of the subtrochanteric femoral shortening osteotomy during total hip arthroplasty for Crowe type IV developmental dysplasia of the hip patients

Ömür Çağlar¹, Erdi Özdemir¹, Ahmet Mazhar Tokgözoğlu¹, Bülent Atilla¹

Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

The number of patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty is rising.^[1] Developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) is a frequent diagnosis for total hip arthroplasty and a subtrochanteric femoral shortening osteotomy (SFSO) is often required for the Crowe type IV patients.^[2,3] After the SFSO, fixation may be necessary to obtain the rotational stability.^[4] However, the femoral stem reduces the space required for fixation which makes this procedure challenging.

Cables, cables with strut grafts, plate and screws, cable plates, and various osteotomy methods are used for fixation of the SFSO during total hip arthroplasty.^[4-9] Non-union and implant irritation are the major problems encountered with these methods.^[10,11] Denis et al.^[12] compared plate and cable in their biomechanical study for the fixation around the femoral stem in periprosthetic fracture model and it was shown that unicortical screw fixation proximal to osteotomy was biomechanically stronger than

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aims to evaluate the efficacy of proximal humerus plate in the fixation of subtrochanteric femoral shortening osteotomy (SFSO) during total hip arthroplasty.

Patients and methods: Thirty female patients (mean age 49.8 years; range, 22 to 68 years) who underwent hip arthroplasty with a SFSO and fixed with a proximal humerus plate between January 2014 and June 2018 were evaluated retrospectively. Rate of fracture healing, the number of fixed cortices at both sides of the osteotomy, and complications were documented.

Results: The mean follow-up period was 28 months (range, 12-68 months). The average time to union was 106 days (range, 45-229 days). The mean number of cortices fixed in the proximal segment of the osteotomy was 6.2 (range, 4-9), and the mean number of cortices fixed in the distal segment of the osteotomy was 4.0 (range, 3-7). None of the patients had implant irritation or implant failure at the control visits. We observed only one non-union and our non-union rate was 3.3%.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the use of a proximal humerus plate for the fixation of SFSO can be an alternative procedure for achieving adequate rotational stability until a solid union.

Keywords: Developmental dysplasia of the hip, fixation, proximal humerus plate, subtrochanteric femoral shortening osteotomy.

Received: January 06, 2020

Accepted: March 13, 2020

Published online: Jun 18, 2020

Correspondence: Ömür Çağlar, MD. Hacettepe Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Ortopedi ve Travmatoloji Anabilim Dalı, 06100 Sıhhiye Ankara, Türkiye.

E-mail: omurcaglar@hotmail.com

Doi: 10.5606/ehc.2020.73078

Citation: Çağlar Ö, Özdemir E, Tokgözoğlu AM, Atilla B. Use of proximal humerus plates for the fixation of the subtrochanteric femoral shortening osteotomy during total hip arthroplasty for Crowe type IV developmental dysplasia of the hip patients. Jt Dis Relat Surg 2020;31(2):306-311.

©2020 All right reserved by the Turkish Joint Diseases Foundation

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

cable fixation. For this reason, we considered that a proximal humerus plate may be a good alternative for SFSO fixation as it has many locking screws option for the trochanter major region, allows strengthening distal fixation by using longer plates, and may reduce the implant irritation problem due to its thin (3.5 mm) profile. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to evaluate the efficacy of proximal humerus plate in the fixation of SFSO during total hip arthroplasty.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This retrospective study, conducted at Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology of Hacettepe

University Faculty of Medicine Hospital, examined total hip arthroplasty patients for the diagnosis of DDH between January 2014 and June 2018. Patients who underwent a SFSO were determined. Patients whose SFSO were fixed with an implant other than proximal humerus plate were excluded since there were not adequate number of patients. Patients with less than one year of follow-up were also excluded. Finally, 30 female Crowe type IV DDH patients (mean age 49.8 years; range, 22 to 68 years) who underwent total hip arthroplasty with a SFSO were included in the study. The study protocol was approved by the Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine Hospital Ethics Committee. A written informed consent was obtained from each patient. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Posterolateral approach was used in all patients. The acetabular component was placed in the true acetabulum. Subsequently, the femoral canal was prepared before the SFSO and the trial femoral component was placed into the femur. The amount of shortening was determined according to the distance between the center of the acetabulum

and the center of the femoral head under slight traction. An oblique SFSO was performed using an oscillating saw in the subtrochanteric region. The proximally coated femoral stem was implanted to all the patients. Rotational stability of osteotomy was achieved with a proximal humerus plate (Figure 1). Proximal fixation was achieved by inserting multiple locking screws at different angles to the proximal segment. Distal fixation with locking screws was performed by choosing the desired length plate to provide fixation in the distal segment. Since the contours of the plate fit adequately to the bony anatomy of the proximal femur, there was no need to bend the plate.

Patients were allowed to weight bear as tolerated immediately after the surgery. Patients were controlled at six-week intervals until the postoperative union was achieved. Patients were evaluated radiologically and clinically at their control visits. The union of the osteotomy site and complications were recorded. After union was achieved, the patients were followed up at three-month intervals for the first year and annually thereafter.



FIGURE 1. (a) Patient with Crowe type IV developmental hip dysplasia. (b) Thirty-month radiograph of same patient treated with femoral shortening osteotomy and total hip replacement. Osteotomy was fixed with multiple locking screws on both sides through a proximal humerus plate.

Radiological evaluation was performed on the radiographs taken at the control visits of the patients and a Rush score was calculated for each radiograph at patients' control visits to assess the union of the osteotomy. Patients with a Rush score of 18 or higher were considered to be united.^[13] The number of cortex fixed in the proximal and distal segments of the osteotomy were recorded to determine the minimum number of cortices to be fixed at both sides of the osteotomy. Complications of patients at the control visits were evaluated through patient notes. Complications were defined as non-union in osteotomy, implant irritation, and implant failure.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS version 22.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY., USA). Descriptive statistics for continuous variables were shown as mean \pm standard deviation or median (minimum-maximum) and for categorical variables were shown as the number of patients and percentage (%).

RESULTS

The mean follow-up period was 28 months (range, 12-68 months). The average time to union

TABLE I
Patients' demographics, number of fixed cortices at both sides of osteotomy, union time, and Rush score at union

Patient no	Age/Gender	Follow up (month)	Number of fixed cortices at proximal segment	Number of fixed cortices at distal segment	Union time (day)	Rush score at union
1	32/F	57	8	5	45	22
2	34/F	54	6	4	152	22
3	54/F	44	6	4	88	20
4	48/F	34	4	4	95	24
5	49/F	31	9	4	130	24
6	46/F	18	5	4	Non-union	
7	64/F	21	6	4	52	24
8	63/F	27	6	4	217	23
9	58/F	30	7	4	96	22
10	50/F	21	6	5	144	24
11	57/F	29	5	4	95	19
12	52/F	24	8	4	60	21
13	44/F	29	6	3	109	22
14	62/F	20	6	4	116	19
15	39/F	24	6	3	88	22
16	54/F	26	8	7	90	24
17	50/F	62	5	3	101	24
18	22/F	64	9	4	104	22
19	45/F	16	5	4	186	24
20	64/F	14	5	4	45	20
21	53/F	17	5	4	88	26
22	53/F	16	5	3	75	24
23	47/F	12	5	4	76	26
24	32/F	19	6	4	65	24
25	32/F	14	7	3	53	24
26	52/F	13	6	4	94	24
27	52/F	12	9	5	123	24
28	68/F	17	5	4	99	24
29	48/F	16	6	3	184	20
30	54/F	17	6	4	229	20

TABLE II
Previous studies on developmental dysplasia of hip treated with subtrochanteric femoral shortening osteotomy and total hip arthroplasty

Study	Patient number	Mean follow-up	Type of osteotomy	Type of femoral stem	Fixation method	Mean time to union (days)	Number of non-unions and non-union rate
Akiyama et al. ^[5]	15	3-10 years	Transverse	Cemented stem	Graft+cable	9.2 months (6-15)	3/15 (20%)
Charity et al. ^[4]	18	114 months	Transverse	Cemented stem	Plate	N/A	1/18 (5.5%)
Wang et al. ^[17]	56	10 years (4.8-14.3)	Transverse	Cementless stem	Graft+cable	6 months (4-9)	2/56 (3.5%)
Sofu et al. ^[8]	73	61 months	Transverse	Proximally coated stem	Cable plate	5.2 months	4/73 (5.4%)
Kilicoglu et al. ^[6]	20	82 months	Oblique	9 Restoration HA, 3 Consensus, 8 Echelon	Graft+cable	4 months (3-6)	1/20 (5%)
Hua et al. ^[19]	24	42 months (18-108)	Transverse	Wagner cone	Graft+cable	4 months (3-6)	0/24 (0%)
Mu et al. ^[16]	56	70 months (39-98)	Transverse	Zweymüller	None	N/A	2/56 (3.5%)
Zeng et al. ^[20]	52	9.8 years (8.9-11.8)	Transverse	S-ROM	Graft+cable	N/A	0/52 (0%)
Necas et al. ^[21]	28	94 months (60-134)	Transverse	S-ROM	None	4.7 months (3-8)	0/28 (0%)
<i>Current study</i>	30	28 months (12-68)	Oblique	Proximally coated stem	Proximal humerus plate	106 days	1/30 (3.3%)

N/A: Not applicable.

was 106 days (range, 45-229 days) and mean Rush score at union was 22.8 (range, 19-26). The mean number of cortices fixed in the proximal segment of the osteotomy was 6.2 (range, 4-9), and the mean number of cortices fixed in the distal segment of the osteotomy was 4.0 (range, 3-7) (Table I). None of the patients had implant irritation or implant failure at the control visits. Only one patient had non-union after 12 months of follow-up and non-union rate was calculated as 3.3%. The patient with non-union was treated with a longer proximal humerus plate and autograft.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study in the literature in which the proximal humerus plate was used for the fixation of SFSO for Crowe type IV DDH.^[4] The proximal humerus plate is originally designed to increase the rotational and axial stability in the cancellous bone of proximal humerus with multidirectional locking screws for the patients with poor bone quality.^[15] The trochanteric region of femur resembles the proximal humerus regarding cancellous bone content. Insertion of a bulky prosthesis to femur prevents bicortical fixation particularly in the

proximal segment. Use of proximal humerus plate provided mean 6.2 cortices fixation in the proximal and 4.0 cortices fixation in the distal segment of the SFSO during total hip arthroplasty in Crowe type IV DDH patients.

Denis et al.^[12] investigated the biomechanical properties of fixation techniques after periprosthetic femoral fractures and demonstrated that fixation of the proximal region of the fracture with three unicortical locking screws was superior to fixation with three cables. In the current study, the proximal part of the osteotomy was fixed with a mean number of 6.2 cortices and the distal part was fixed with a mean number of 4.0 cortices. Proximal humerus plate offered the advantage of using more than three cortices at both sides of the osteotomy. Considering the 96.7% union rate, we can recommend a minimum of six cortices fixation in the proximal segment and four cortices fixation in the distal segment of the osteotomy with proximal humerus plate.

Various methods have been described in the literature to increase the stability of SFSO (Table II). Different types of osteotomy orientation, cables, plates, and femoral stems are some of these

methods.^[4,5,7,8,16] The optimal femoral stem option and the optimal implant for osteotomy fixation have not been determined yet. In a biomechanical study where transverse, oblique, Z, and double chevron osteotomy methods were investigated, the superiority of osteotomy methods among each other had not been demonstrated.^[7] In previous studies in which SFSSO was fixed with strut autograft and cable, Wang et al.^[17] reported their non-union rate as 3.5% and Kilicoglu et al.^[6] reported their non-union rate as 4%. Sofu et al.^[8] had a non-union rate of 5.4% with cable plate fixation. In a study by Charity et al.,^[4] in which SFSSO was fixed with plate and screws, the non-union rate was reported as 5.5%. The non-union rate was 3.3% in this study, which was comparable to the previous literature. The only patient with non-union had five fixed cortices at the proximal segment and four fixed cortices at the distal segment. There was no bone contact at the osteotomy of the same patient due to a technical error and it had been thought that distraction at the osteotomy was the reason of the non-union. The non-union of this patient was treated with a longer proximal humerus plate and autograft.

Several femoral stem types including conical stems with flutes, rectangular stems, and modular stems have been used to increase the rotational stability of the SFSSO in the treatment of DDH.^[18] Hua et al.^[19] had 0% non-union rate with combination of conical stem with strut autograft and cables. Mu et al.^[16] used rectangular femoral stem alone as primary stabilizer of the SFSSO and reported a non-union rate of 3.3%. Zeng et al.^[20] reported 100% union rate with S-ROM stem with combination of strut graft and cables while Necas et al.^[21] used S-ROM stem without a need of secondary fixation material at osteotomy and achieved 0% non-union rate. Our results with proximally coated femoral stem and augmentation of the osteotomy with proximal humerus plate were comparable with the previous literature.

The mean union time of SFSSO in the literature ranged from four months to nine months.^[5,6,17] In our study, the average time to union was 106 days. We obtained a comparable union time with the previously reported studies. Implant related complications including painful hardware and implant breakages are not infrequent following the fixation of proximal femur. Wirtz et al.^[11] reported that eight of their 19 patients complained of trochanteric pain after fixation with anatomical proximal femoral plate. Barrack and Butler^[22] reported an implant breakage rate of 19% with the use of cable plate systems. We preferred to use proximal humerus plate to avoid

implant-related pain since the proximal humerus plate has low profile compared to the anatomical proximal femoral plate (3.5 mm vs. 4.5 mm). None of the patients complained of implant irritation during follow-up visits. Use of proximal humerus plate may reduce the implant breakage rate of cable plate system as we did not observe implant failure in any patient's follow-up.

Absence of a control group with a different implant and its retrospective nature are the major limitations of our study. We can only compare our results with the previous literature. Further controlled studies may reveal the necessity of fixation of SFSSO or the optimal implant for fixation of the SFSSO. As the cost-effectiveness of proximal humerus plate in the fixation of SFSSO remains unknown, a cost analysis study may be beneficial. Furthermore, we were not able to determine the exact time of the union because of the retrospective design of the study, which may be earlier than our results. A biomechanical study may reveal the amount of stability that can be achieved with a proximal humeral plate in the fixation of SFSSO.

In conclusion, we report the results of proximal humerus plates used for fixation of SFSSO in Crowe type IV DDH patients. Our study demonstrated comparable results with the previously reported fixation techniques. Proximal humerus plate is thought to be an alternative implant for the rotational control of the SFSSO.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Maradit Kremers H, Larson DR, Crowson CS, Kremers WK, Washington RE, Steiner CA, et al. Prevalence of Total Hip and Knee Replacement in the United States. *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 2015;97:1386-97.
2. Atilla B. Reconstruction of neglected developmental dysplasia by total hip arthroplasty with subtrochanteric shortening osteotomy. *EFORT Open Rev* 2017;1:65-71.
3. Boisgard S, Descamps S, Bouillet B. Complex primary total hip arthroplasty. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 2013;99:S34-42.
4. Charity JA, Tsiridis E, Sheeraz A, Howell JR, Hubble MJ, Timperley AJ, et al. Treatment of Crowe IV high hip dysplasia with total hip replacement using the Exeter stem and shortening derotational subtrochanteric osteotomy. *J Bone Joint Surg [Br]* 2011;93:34-8.

5. Akiyama H, Kawanabe K, Yamamoto K, Kuroda Y, So K, Goto K, et al. Cemented total hip arthroplasty with subtrochanteric femoral shortening transverse osteotomy for severely dislocated hips: outcome with a 3- to 10-year follow-up period. *J Orthop Sci* 2011;16:270-7.
6. Kiliçoğlu Oİ, Türker M, Akgül T, Yazicioğlu O. Cementless total hip arthroplasty with modified oblique femoral shortening osteotomy in Crowe type IV congenital hip dislocation. *J Arthroplasty* 2013;28:117-25.
7. Muratli KS, Karatosun V, Uzun B, Celik S. Subtrochanteric shortening in total hip arthroplasty: biomechanical comparison of four techniques. *J Arthroplasty* 2014;29:836-42.
8. Sofu H, Kockara N, Gursu S, Issin A, Oner A, Sahin V. Transverse Subtrochanteric Shortening Osteotomy During Cementless Total Hip Arthroplasty in Crowe Type-III or IV Developmental Dysplasia. *J Arthroplasty* 2015;30:1019-23.
9. Ermiş MN, Dilaveroğlu B, Erçeltik O, Tuhanoğlu U, Karakaş ES, Durakbaşa MO. Intermediate-term results after uncemented total hip arthroplasty for the treatment of developmental dysplasia of the hip. *Eklemler Hastalıkları* 2010;21:15-22.
10. Gong S, Xu W, Wang R, Liu S, Han L, Chen G, et al. The causes and management of nonunion of femoral subtrochanteric shortening osteotomy in a THA patient: a case report. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2019;20:203.
11. Wirtz C, Abbassi F, Evangelopoulos DS, Kohl S, Siebenrock KA, Krüger A. High failure rate of trochanteric fracture osteosynthesis with proximal femoral locking compression plate. *Injury* 2013;44:751-6.
12. Dennis MG, Simon JA, Kummer FJ, Koval KJ, DiCesare PE. Fixation of periprosthetic femoral shaft fractures occurring at the tip of the stem: a biomechanical study of 5 techniques. *J Arthroplasty* 2000;15:523-8.
13. Chiavaras MM, Bains S, Choudur H, Parasu N, Jacobson J, Ayeni O, et al. The Radiographic Union Score for Hip (RUSH): the use of a checklist to evaluate hip fracture healing improves agreement between radiologists and orthopedic surgeons. *Skeletal Radiol* 2013;42:1079-88.
14. Atik OŞ. Which articles do we prefer to publish? *Eklemler Hastalıkları* 2018;29:1.
15. Südkamp N, Bayer J, Hepp P, Voigt C, Oestern H, Käb M, et al. Open reduction and internal fixation of proximal humeral fractures with use of the locking proximal humerus plate. Results of a prospective, multicenter, observational study. *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 2009;91:1320-8.
16. Mu W, Yang D, Xu B, Mantimin A, Guo W, Cao L. Midterm Outcome of Cementless Total Hip Arthroplasty in Crowe IV-Hartofilakidis Type III Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip. *J Arthroplasty* 2016;31:668-75.
17. Wang D, Li DH, Li Q, Wang HY, Luo ZY, Yang Y, et al. Subtrochanteric shortening osteotomy during cementless total hip arthroplasty in young patients with severe developmental dysplasia of the hip. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2017;18:491.
18. Wang, Y. Current concepts in developmental dysplasia of the hip and Total hip arthroplasty. *Arthroplasty* 2019;1:2.
19. Hua WB, Yang SH, Xu WH, Ye SN, Liu XZ, Wang Jet al. Total hip arthroplasty with subtrochanteric femoral shortening osteotomy for high hip dislocation. *Orthop Surg* 2015;7:112-8.
20. Zeng WN, Liu JL, Wang FY, Zhang X, Fan HQ, Chen GX, et al. Total hip arthroplasty for patients with Crowe type IV developmental dysplasia of the hip: Ten years results. *Int J Surg* 2017;42:17-21.
21. Necas L, Hrubina M, Melisik M, Cibula Z, Chmurny M, Daniel M, et al. Cementless hip arthroplasty and transverse shortening femoral osteotomy with the S-ROM stem for Crowe type IV developmental dysplasia. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 2019;29:1025-33.
22. Barrack RL, Butler RA. Current status of trochanteric reattachment in complex total hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2005;441:237-42.