



Corrigendum: Pragmatic Language Disorder in Parkinson's Disease and the Potential Effect of Cognitive Reserve

Sonia Montemurro^{1,2}, Sara Mondini^{1,2}, Matteo Signorini³, Anna Marchetto³, Valentina Bambini⁴ and Giorgio Arcara^{5*}

¹ Department of General Psychology, University of Padua, Padua, Italy, ² Human Inspired Technology Research Centre, University of Padua, Padua, Italy, ³ Gruppo Veneto Diagnostica e Riabilitazione, Padua, Italy, ⁴ Center for Neurocognition, Epistemology and Theoretical Syntax, University School of Advanced Studies IUSS, Pavia, Italy, ⁵ IRCCS San Camillo Hospital, Venice, Italy

Keywords: Parkinson's disease, pragmatic abilities, Cognitive Reserve, communication, discourse, figurative language

OPEN ACCESS A Corrigendum on

Approved by:

Frontiers Editorial Office, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

> *Correspondence: Giorgio Arcara giorgio.arcara@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Language Sciences, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 30 August 2019 Accepted: 12 September 2019 Published: 08 October 2019

Citation:

Montemurro S, Mondini S, Signorini M, Marchetto A, Bambini V and Arcara G (2019) Corrigendum: Pragmatic Language Disorder in Parkinson's Disease and the Potential Effect of Cognitive Reserve. Front. Psychol. 10:2201. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02201

Pragmatic Language Disorder in Parkinson's Disease and the Potential Effect of Cognitive Reserve

by Montemurro, S., Mondini, S., Signorini, M., Marchetto, A., Bambini, V., and Arcara, G. (2019). Front. Psychol. 10:1220. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01220

In the original article, there were mistakes in **Figures 3**, **4**, and **5** as published. The image reported as **Figure 3** is the image that should be reported as **Figure 4**. The correct **Figure 3** is missing from the paper. The image reported as **Figure 4** is basically a duplicate of **Figure 5** (note that the small differences between the two figures are normal, and due to the stochastic processes involved in the generation of the results used for the image). **Figure 5** has a minor mistake in the position of x-axis labels.

As a consequence of these mistakes, the legends reported in the manuscript for **Figures 3**, **4**, and **5** do not appropriately describe what is depicted. The correct **Figures 3**, **4**, and **5** and their respective legends appear below.

The authors apologize for the errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2019 Montemurro, Mondini, Signorini, Marchetto, Bambini and Arcara. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.



FIGURE 3 Performance below cut-off of Parkinson patients in pragmatic tasks and composite scores. The figure shows patients with PD who scored below cut-off (i.e., below 5th percentile of healthy control data) in the APACS tasks and in the three composite scores. Each row denotes a patient, whose case number is reported in the left part of the figure, consistently with **Supplementary Table S1**. Each column denotes a task or composite score. White cells indicate a performance equal to or above cut-off, whereas colored cells indicate a performance below cut-off. Light blue cells are used in the columns with the pragmatic tasks included in the Pragmatic Production score and dark blue cells are used for the Pragmatic Production score. Dark gray is used for APACS Total.





FIGURE 5 | Importance of all variables in Random Forests with Pragmatic Comprehension as dependent variable. The figure shows the Importance associated with each variable in the Random Forests with Pragmatic Comprehension score from APACS as dependent variable. Variables are sorted from top to bottom according to Importance, so that the variables on top are the ones with the highest Importance.