

---

## Erratum

# Erratum to ‘The postcoital test in the development of new vaginal contraceptives’

Christine K. Mauck<sup>1,\*</sup> and Kathleen L. Vincent<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Daré Bioscience Inc., San Diego, CA, USA and <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA

\*Correspondence: Daré Bioscience Inc., San Diego, CA, USA. Tel: 301-325-5439; E-mail: cmauck@darebioscience.com

*Biology of Reproduction*, Volume 103, Issue 2, August 2020, Pages 437–444, doi: [10.1093/biolre/ioaa099](https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioaa099).

In the original version of the article, a correction in Table 2 was inadvertently missed. The text for the ACIDFORM gel previously read as “13.7% [42] (n=1183) (7-cycle cumulative typical-use pregnancy rate or Food and Drug Administration (FDA) reviewed. Amphora was applied 0–60 min before coitus.)”. However, the correct text is “13.7% [42] (n=1183) (7-cycle cumulative typical-use pregnancy rate). Amphora was applied 0–60 min before coitus”. The correct version of Table 2 appears online now. The publisher apologizes for this error.