



Article

Assessing Different Types of HIV Communication and Sociocultural Factors on Perceived HIV Stigma and Testing among a National Sample of Youth and Young Adults

Gamji M'Rabiu Abubakari ^{1,2}, Martez D. R. Smith ³, Donte T. Boyd ^{2,4,*} , S. Raquel Ramos ^{2,5}, Courtney Johnson ⁶, Juan L. Benavides ⁴, Megan Threats ^{2,7}, Junior L. Allen ⁸ and Camille R. Quinn ^{4,9}

¹ School of Public Health, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510, USA; mohammed-rabiu.abubakari@yale.edu

² Center for Interdisciplinary Research on AIDS (CIRA), School of Public Health, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510, USA; Raquel.ramos@yale.edu (S.R.R.); Megan.threats@rutgers.edu (M.T.)

³ School of Nursing, Rochester University, Rochester, NY 14642, USA; martez_smith@urmc.rochester.edu

⁴ College of Social Work, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA; benavides.35@buckeyemail.osu.edu (J.L.B.); Quinn.395@osu.edu (C.R.Q.)

⁵ School of Nursing, Yale University, Orange, CT 06477, USA

⁶ Ryan Chelsea-Clinton Community Health Center, New York, NY 10036, USA; Courtney.johnson@ryancenter.org

⁷ School of Communication and Information, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA

⁸ School of Social Work, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, USA; gm1306@wayne.edu

⁹ Kirwan Institute for the Study of Race and Ethnicity, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43201, USA

* Correspondence: boyd.465@osu.edu



Citation: Abubakari, G.M.; Smith, M.D.R.; Boyd, D.T.; Ramos, S.R.; Johnson, C.; Benavides, J.L.; Threats, M.; Allen, J.L.; Quinn, C.R. Assessing Different Types of HIV Communication and Sociocultural Factors on Perceived HIV Stigma and Testing among a National Sample of Youth and Young Adults. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 1003. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19021003>

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 4 December 2021

Accepted: 14 January 2022

Published: 17 January 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: In the United States, racial/ethnic and sexual youth and young adults (YYA) of color are disproportionately affected by HIV. Subsequently, YYA experience HIV stigma and engage in increased risk behaviors and reduced HIV testing. HIV communication has been identified as a potential buffer to HIV stigma, resulting in health-seeking behaviors, such as HIV testing. In this study, we respond to a meaningful gap in the literature by examining different types of HIV communication and their impact on HIV stigma and HIV testing in a diverse sample of YYA. We analyzed secondary data from the Kaiser Family Foundation National Survey of Teens and Young Adults on HIV/AIDS. A 40-question, web-based survey was conducted with 1437 youth (ages 15–24). Recruitment included a dual sampling method from households with: (1) listed phone numbers, (2) unlisted phone numbers, (3) telephones, (4) no telephone, and (5) only cell phone access. The purpose of the survey was to establish participants' HIV knowledge, communication, experiences, and testing behaviors. Findings suggested an association between intimate-partner HIV communication, increased HIV testing, and reduced HIV stigma. We also identified differentials in HIV testing and stigma based on gender, income, age, and sexual minority status, explained by HIV communication. Further research is needed that examines ways to use intimate-partner HIV communication to reduce stigma and increase HIV testing among YYA of different sociodemographic characteristics and sexual orientations.

Keywords: US youth and young adults; HIV stigma; HIV testing; HIV communication; partner communication

1. Introduction

To increase the identification of people living with HIV, reduce HIV infections, and improve linkages to care, reoccurring HIV testing for HIV key populations is recommended [1–3]. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends HIV testing every 3 to 6 months for sexually active and high-risk youth and young adults (YYA). They also recommend incorporating HIV screening in the routine health care of adolescents in the United States [4]. However, YYA have lower HIV testing rates and are more likely than any other age group to be unaware of their HIV status [5]. Consequently, YYA are

at severe risk for HIV transmission and disease progression [5–9]. In 2018, 21% of YYA comprised new HIV diagnoses, and close to 48% lived with HIV [4]. Yet only 55% of youth living with HIV know their status compared to 86% of the general population living with HIV [4].

The burden of HIV in YYA varies by gender, race, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic status, ages 15 to 24 years old [2,4,7,10]. The majority of new HIV diagnoses among women were attributed to heterosexual contact. Among women diagnosed with HIV in 2019, Black girls and women accounted for 61%, 16% were Latina, and 19% were White [11]. Among men, heterosexual contact contributed to 10% of HIV diagnoses in 2018; and Black heterosexual men are diagnosed at significantly higher rates than White heterosexual men. New HIV diagnoses remain high among Black (26%) and Latino (21%) MSM. About three out of four Black MSM diagnosed with an HIV infection occurred among young Black MSM, and about two out of three of Latino MSM diagnosed with an HIV infection occurred among young Latino MSM. This suggests an urgent need to focus on scaling up HIV testing and linkage to care among YYA, especially among the most affected demographics (Black and Latino MSM) [4]. HIV rates among young men were identified as higher due to the over-representation of gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (MSM) (92%) when compared to heterosexual men (3%) [4]. Among racial differences in the US, Black youth were eight times more likely than White youth and two times more likely than Latino youth to contract HIV [10].

YYA are at an increased risk of HIV due to low condom use, lack of HIV knowledge, multiple sexual partners, and sex with older MSM [12,13] Because of this, it is critical for YYA and Black and Latino MSM to routinely check their HIV status. Stigma (internalized, perceived, and anticipated) has influenced YYA access and use of HIV testing [13–17]. Stigma refers to the occurrence of negative perceptions or associations of individual characteristics or phenomenon to rejection, unfair treatment, and/or discrimination [15–19]. Internalized stigma occurs when individuals attribute negative perceptions to their personal attributes and sometimes turn to undermine their self-value [15–19]. Perceived stigma occurs when one begins to question and build negative thoughts about what others may ascribe to them due to their stigmatized identities [15–19]. Anticipated stigma occurs when one expects to be stigmatized or rejected in a setting or by others. Young Black and Latino MSM often deal with these kinds of stigma within themselves, friends, immediate family members, and the community [13,15–19]. Stigma has been correlated with increased HIV transmission rates, risk behaviors, and poorer health outcomes. Experiences of stigma and racism have increased internalized and anticipated stigma and negatively correlate with a willingness to engage with HIV testing and utilization of HIV services [13–15]. Hence the efforts to increase HIV testing remain intrinsically connected to raising awareness and reducing HIV stigma among YYA, especially Black and Latino MSM and their communities.

Recommendations from previous studies note that to reduce HIV stigma, increase HIV testing, and reduce HIV transmission, YYA need to be subjected to increased HIV communication and education at all levels [20]. Sexual health communication is a strategy that can improve understanding of HIV transmission, risk behaviors, and prevention techniques [21,22]. This may result in increased HIV testing, early diagnoses, and linkages to care [20,23]. Sexual health communication is beneficial for young adults since they are at a crucial development period as they begin to develop and define their personal beliefs and values. Sexual health communication could be channeled through schools, health care settings, community youth centers, religious institutions, youth groups, and families [23]. Previous studies have shown that such communications can increase HIV testing and estimate that adolescents, including sexual minorities who receive HIV and sexual health communication, have more chances of testing for HIV than others who do not receive any communication about HIV [24,25].

The Current Study. Despite the relevance of HIV and sexual communication on HIV testing, little research has examined the relationship between sexual health communication and HIV testing and stigma among YYA, especially young Black and Latino MSM. This

study examines the relationship between sexual health communication and HIV testing, and HIV stigma among YYA. This study also explores these relationships among the participants based on race, age, and sexual orientation.

2. Method

We analyzed secondary data from the Kaiser Family Foundation National Survey of Teens and Young Adults on HIV/AIDS. This survey assessed the knowledge, stigma, beliefs, and comfort of YYA around HIV. The 40-question, web-based survey was conducted with 1437 youths (aged 15–24) from 21 September 2012, through 1 October 2012. The survey respondents were members of the Knowledge Panel, a randomly drawn representative national panel of households selected using address-based sampling methods to participate by telephone. Knowledge Panel surveys use a dual sampling method that includes households with: (1) listed phone numbers, (2) unlisted phone numbers, (3) telephones, (4) no telephone, and (5) only cell phone access. The participants completed self-administered mail and web surveys, and households were provided with technology to access the internet, if necessary. This differs from other forms of internet research that include only individuals who can already access the internet.

Due to the sensitive subject matter, the parents of those participants aged 15–17 were provided a summary of the survey and had to consent for their children to participate. Of the total number of youths contacted, 77% of parents allowed their children to participate. The data were weighted to balance the sample demographics with estimates of the national population collected by the Census Bureau in August 2012. Since we analyzed publicly available secondary data, ethical approval was not required.

Measures

The two outcome variables of this survey include HIV testing and HIV stigma. HIV testing, in this study, was based on the participants' responses to the following: "Have you, yourself, ever been tested for HIV?" The responses were coded 0 for a negative response and 1 for an affirmative response. HIV stigma was measured using a 5 item, 4-point response scale (ranging from 1 = very comfortable to 4 = very uncomfortable), and sample questions consist of: "Working with someone who has HIV or AIDS", "Having a roommate who is HIV-positive", and "Having a close friendship with someone who is HIV-positive?" with lower scores indicating lower stigma. The Cronbach alpha is 0.90.

Several measures were used to assess HIV communication variables. Several contextual variables were collected. A single-item measured HIV communication, 4-point response scale (ranging from 1 = Never to 4 = Often) asked whether the respondents had held a conversation with someone about HIV/AIDS or other sexually transmitted infections (STIs) in the past year [7,10]. The overall mean for this item is 1.96 ($SD = 0.91$). *Glad the person brought it up* was measured by a single-item, 4-point response scale (ranging from 1 = Strongly disagree to 4 = Strongly agree) asked respondents, "If someone you were seeing romantically suggested that you get tested together for HIV, would you be glad the person brought it up?" A higher score indicated greater agreement. The overall mean for this item is 1.84 ($SD = 0.82$) [10].

The following independent variables are a series of single items, were evaluated on a 2-point response scale (ranging from 0 = No, would not to 1 = Yes, would like more information) and asked respondents the following questions: "Please tell me whether (or not) this is something you would like more information about: "How to talk to a partner about getting tested for STDs (including HIV)" ($M = 1.35$, $SD = 0.47$) and "How to talk to a partner about using condoms" ($M = 1.27$, $SD = 0.44$) [10].

Perceived HIV stigma in the US was measured using a single item, 4-point response scale (ranging from 1 = a lot to 4 = none at all) and asked respondents the following question: "How much stigma if any, do you think there is in the US today around HIV/AIDS".

HIV knowledge was measured using a seven-item, 4-point response scale (ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to 4 = strongly agree). Sample questions consist of: "Unless

you have sex with a lot of people, HIV is not something you have to worry about” and “HIV can only be spread when symptoms are present”. With higher scores indicating more significant HIV beliefs. Cronbach alpha is 0.90.

Condom use was measured using a single item, 4-point response scale (ranging from 1 = never to 4 = all of the time) and asked respondents the following question: “In your current or most recent sexual relationship, how often, if at all, do you use condoms?”.

Sociodemographic characteristics were also collected. Participants were asked to indicate their age, race and ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and household income. Age and household income are continuous variables. Gender was coded 0 if male and 1 if female. Race and ethnicity were coded as 1 = White, 2 = Black, 3 = Hispanic, 4 = Other, Non-Hispanic, and 5 = More than Two Races. Sexual orientation was coded as 1 = heterosexual, 2 = gay, 3 = lesbian, 4 = bisexual, and 5 = other.

3. Statistical Analysis

All analyses were conducted on observations that included non-missing data for the three outcomes: HIV testing, sexual health communication, and sexual risk behaviors. Statistical association tests were conducted between the measures described in the Method section and the three outcomes. Table 1 provides sample characteristics for the study sample. Table 2 provides a bivariate correlation analysis for all the continuous variables: HIV stigma, perceived stigma, HIV knowledge, HIV communication, bringing up the topic of HIV, HIV communication with partner, and age. Table 3 provides a chi-square test with categorical variables: household income, education attainment, sexual orientation, race and ethnicity, and gender by HIV testing. Table 4 presents a multivariate analysis regression model that examines the predictor variables: perceived stigma, HIV communication, bringing up the topic of HIV, HIV communication with a partner, HIV knowledge and condom use along with the accompanying covariates (gender, age, race, and ethnicity, household income, sexual orientation), and the outcome variable: HIV stigma. Table 5 presents a logistic regression analysis with predictors and covariates and the outcome variable, HIV testing. A mean score of the scale items was generated for participants with non-missing data for survey scales. All analyses were conducted using STATA 17, STATA Corp LLC, College Station, TX, 77845-4512, USA.

Table 1. Sample Characteristics (N = 1437).

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Race and Ethnicity		
African American or Black	271	39
Hispanic	322	46
Other, Non-Hispanic	57	8.0
Two + Races, Non-Hispanic	51	7.2
Gender		
Male	312	44
Female	392	57
Sexual Orientation		
Heterosexual	1308	92
Gay	16	1.13
Lesbian	13	1.00
Bisexual	53	4.00
Other	26	1.84
Household Income		
\$29,000 or less	328	47
\$30,000–\$59,000	205	29
\$60,000–\$84,999	71	10
\$85,000–\$99,000	33	5
\$100,000 and above	67	10
Education Attainment		
Less than high School	134	9
High School	399	28
Some College	616	43
Bachelor’s Degree or higher	288	20
HIV Testing		
Yes	238	66
No	455	34

Table 2. Bivariate correlations of study continuous variables on HIV stigma (N = 1437).

HIV Stigma	1						
HIV communication	0.1669 ***	1					
Bringing up the topic of HIV	−0.2139 ***	0.2413 ***	1				
HIV Communication with Partner	−0.1742 ***	0.4588 ***	0.2343 ***	1			
Perceived Stigma	−0.0214	0.1252 ***	0.122 ***	0.0998	1		
HIV Knowledge	0.0976	−0.0212	0.0278	0.0873 *	0.0575	1	
Age	−0.1376 ***	0.0072	0.047	0.139 ***	−0.0113	0.0519 *	1

$p < 0.05$ *, $p < 0.01$ **, $p < 0.001$ ***.

Table 3. Sample Characteristics compared by HIV Testing (N = 1437).

Variables	HIV Testing			χ^2
	Total	Yes	No	
Race and Ethnicity				109.105 ***
African American or Black	271	123	144	
Hispanic	322	85	233	
Other, Non-Hispanic	57	13	43	
Two+ Races, Non-Hispanic	49	17	32	
White	728	107	621	
Gender				39.23 ***
Male	682	115	567	
Female	739	230	509	
Sexual Orientation				14.65 **
Heterosexual	1308	302	994	
Gay	16	4	12	
Lesbian	13	6	7	
Bisexual	53	23	30	
Other	26	19	7	
Condoms in Relationships				24.28 ***
Never	253	73	180	
Some of the time	134	55	79	
Most of the time	109	58	51	
All of the time	251	124	127	
Education Attainment				11.78 **
Less than high School	133	38	95	
High School	397	28	295	
Some College	608	43	450	
Bachelor's Degree or higher	283	47	236	

$p < 0.01$ **, $p < 0.001$ ***.

3.1. Sample Characteristics

We analyzed data from 1437 participants (Table 1). Most of the participants self-identified as female (56%). The average age was 20 years ($SD = 3.02$). The majority of YYA self-identified as heterosexual (91%). Most of the sample (61%) was between 18 and 24 years of age and the average household income was between \$35,000 and \$39,000. Fifty-three percent reported being sexually active during the study period, and 75% said they had not received an HIV test. Approximately 50% reportedly that perceived stigma exists in the US. In addition, 67% of the sample reported stigmatizing views towards HIV-positive individuals; for instance, 35% stated that “Having an HIV-positive roommate” was uncomfortable. The majority of the sample had negative beliefs about HIV. Only 50% reported communicating about HIV in general, and 78% said it was difficult bringing up the topic of HIV to their partner. Approximately 40% of the sample never communicated about HIV due to HIV stigma.

Table 4. Multiple Linear Regression on HIV stigma (N = 1421).

HIV Stigma	B	SE	<i>p</i> > <i>t</i>	Beta
HIV Communication	0.02	0.03	0.65	0.02
Bringing up the topic of HIV	−0.13	0.04	0.001	−0.13
HIV Communication with Partner	0.10	0.04	0.004	0.12
Perceived Stigma	0.08	0.04	0.058	0.07
HIV Knowledge	−0.24	0.06	0.001	−0.14
Condoms in Relationship	−0.02	0.02	0.312	−0.04
Age	0.04	0.01	0.001	0.12
Gender (female reference)	0.06	0.06	0.275	0.04
Household income	0.01	0.01	0.014	0.09
Race and Ethnicity				
Black, Non-Hispanic	0.12	0.08	0.139	0.06
Other, Non-Hispanic	−0.09	0.14	0.531	−0.02
Hispanic	0.07	0.07	0.336	0.04
2+ Races, Non-Hispanic	0.24	0.15	0.123	0.05
Sexual Orientation				
Gay	0.82	0.22	0.001	0.13
Lesbian	0.37	0.24	0.118	0.05
Bisexual	0.46	0.13	0.001	0.12
Other, please specify	0.47	0.25	0.063	0.07

p < 0.01 **, *p* < 0.001 ***.

Table 5. Logistic Regression on HIV testing (N = 1421).

HIV Testing	OR	SE	<i>p</i> > <i>z</i>	95%
HIV communication	1.68	0.19	0.001	1.35, 2.09
Bringing up the topic of HIV	0.79	0.10	0.05	0.62, 1.00
HIV communication with partner	1.43	0.15	0.001	1.16, 1.76
Perceived Stigma	0.97	0.13	0.82	0.75, 1.26
HIV Knowledge	1.05	0.20	0.81	0.71, 1.54
Condoms in Relationship	1.32	0.10	0.001	1.14, 1.53
Age	1.21	0.05	0.001	1.12, 1.31
Gender (female reference)	1.49	0.28	0.04	1.03, 2.17
Household income	0.94	0.02	0.001	0.90, 0.97
Race and Ethnicity				
Black, Non-Hispanic	2.32	0.57	0.001	1.43, 3.77
Other, Non-Hispanic	1.06	0.48	0.89	0.44, 2.59
Hispanic	1.62	0.37	0.03	1.04, 2.53
2+ Races, Non-Hispanic	2.73	1.35	0.04	1.04, 7.19
Sexual Orientation				
Gay	0.38	0.27	0.17	0.10, 1.52
Lesbian	0.44	0.34	0.28	0.10, 1.95
Bisexual	1.42	0.60	0.41	0.62, 3.24
Other, please specify	0.97	0.73	0.97	0.23, 4.19

3.2. Bivariate Results

Table 2 provides bivariate correlations between the continuous variables and the outcome variable of HIV stigma. A positive correlation also existed between HIV communication and HIV stigma ($r = 0.16$, $p < 0.001$). Bringing up the topic of HIV was statistically significant and negatively associated with HIV stigma for YYA ($r = 0.21$, $p < 0.001$).

Table 3 provides chi-square results comparing sample characteristics by HIV testing. A chi-square test of independence was performed to examine the relation between sexual orientation and HIV testing. The relation between these variables was significant, $X^2(4, N = 1437) = 14.65$, $p = 0.001$. A chi-square test of independence showed a significant association between race and ethnicity and HIV testing, $X^2(2, N = 1437) = 109.105$, $p = 0.001$.

3.3. Multivariate Results

As presented in Table 3, the overall model was statistically significant for HIV stigma. Bringing up the topic of HIV with a partner was statistically significant and negatively associated with HIV stigma ($\beta = -0.13, p < 0.001$). Individuals who wanted information about how to communicate HIV with a partner were positively associated with HIV stigma ($\beta = 0.10, p = 0.004$). HIV knowledge was negatively associated with HIV stigma ($\beta = -0.24, p < 0.001$). Age was statistically significant and positively associated with HIV stigma ($\beta = 0.04, p < 0.001$). Higher household incomes were statistically significant and positively associated with HIV stigma ($\beta = 0.01, p = 0.014$). Among sexual minorities, those who reported being gay ($\beta = 0.82, p < 0.001$) and bisexual ($\beta = 0.46, p < 0.001$) were both positively associated with HIV stigma.

As presented in Table 4, the overall model was statistically significant for HIV testing. Youth who engaged in communication about HIV were more likely to get tested for HIV than those who never communicated about HIV (OR: 1.68, 95%CI: 1.35, 2.09). Those who wanted to bring up the topic of HIV with a partner were less likely to get tested for HIV (OR: 0.79, 95%CI: 0.62, 1.00). Participants who wanted more information about communicating about HIV with their partner were more likely to get tested for HIV than individuals who did not want information (OR: 1.43, 95%CI: 1.16, 1.76). African American (OR: 2.32, 95%CI: 1.43, 3.77), Hispanic (OR: 1.62, 95%CI: 1.04, 2.53), and youth who reported multiple racial/ethnic identities (OR = 2.73, 95%CI: 7.19) were more likely to get tested for HIV than whites. Recent condom use was statistically significant and positively associated with HIV testing ($\beta = 0.03, p < 0.001$). Females were more likely to get tested for HIV than males (OR: 1.49; 95%CI: 1.03, 2.17). Participants who reported lower household incomes were more likely to get tested for HIV than individuals who lived in households with higher incomes (OR:0.94, 95%CI: 0.90, 0.97).

4. Discussion

This study aimed to examine the relationship between different types of HIV communication on HIV testing and HIV stigma among YYA. We also examined these relationships based on race, income, age, and sexual orientation. We identified significant associations between HIV communication and HIV stigma, HIV communication, and other contextual factors on HIV testing. Several studies have highlighted the impact of stigma on HIV testing and linkage to care and the utility of sexual health communication in buffering HIV stigma and increasing HIV testing and linkage to care [18,26–29]. Our study provided significant insights about partner sexual health communication and HIV stigma and testing among YYA, necessary for intervention delivery that targets most at-risk populations in HIV science.

4.1. HIV Communication and HIV Stigma

Our findings highlighted the importance of sexual health communication in cultivating positive attitudes towards HIV and reducing HIV stigma among young adults. We found that young adults can lower their perceived HIV stigma when discussing HIV with their partners. YYA who were glad that their partner brought up the topic of HIV were less likely to have stigmatizing views towards HIV. This is consistent with other literature that showed the influence of YYA wanting to discuss HIV with their partner, impacting HIV prevention behaviors [30]. This is a significant contribution, as we must find ways to engage YYA and their partners around HIV to really understand their relationship dynamics and how these dynamics influence HIV stigma. We also must further try to understand the different types of discussion that are being had within these relationships.

Additionally, our findings suggested that increased knowledge about HIV in YYA was correlated to a lower HIV stigma. Although our finding on partner communication and HIV stigma among young adults fills a significant gap in understanding HIV communication and stigma, previous studies have illuminated the significance of communication in reducing the enacted and perceived stigma among HIV key populations [29–31]. HIV stigma

has been identified as one of the most significant concerns that impede HIV conversation and improve HIV health outcomes among key populations [26,27]. Our study findings contribute to the literature in this area by identifying the need for heightened interventions that address stigma via partner communications among young adults. Our results are also concordant with previous studies and suggest that partner communication can increase knowledge on HIV and, by extension, reduce HIV stigma. [29,31].

Findings from this study are concordant with many studies on the relationship between identifying with a sexual minority status and experience with HIV stigma [32,33]. Despite a relationship between sexual health communication and reduced perceived HIV stigma, YYA who identify as gay or bisexual correlate with higher perceived HIV stigma. This has implications for HIV prevention and care among key populations such as young adults, especially MSM, who disproportionately carry the burden of HIV infections [28]. HIV stigma has a negative consequence for other key HIV prevention and treatment outcomes such as condom use, testing, linkage, and adherence to care [26].

4.2. HIV Communication and HIV Testing

Communication about HIV has been identified as a critical promoter of HIV testing and diagnoses and subsequent linkage to care [34–36]. Limited research showed that young adults who engage with HIV communication in schools or with families, providers, or peers have positive attitudes towards HIV testing compared to those who do not engage in any form of HIV conversations [34–36]. Our findings further this dialogue to call for the need for increased interventions that encourage HIV communication among young adults and their partners [34–36]. We found that both young adults interested in holding HIV communication and those who have such conversations have a greater chance of HIV testing than those who do not have plans to hold such discussions with their partners.

4.3. HIV Communication as a Critical Determinant of HIV Testing among Young Adults of Different Socioeconomic Statuses

Our findings illustrate the disparities in HIV testing alongside race, income, and gender among young adults. Our key finding suggested a negative relationship between HIV testing and White young adults compared to positive relations between Black and Hispanic young adults and HIV testing in our sample. This finding departs from a long-standing discourse on access to HIV testing and linkage to care where racial minorities are predominantly associated with lower access and use of HIV testing services in the United States [37–39]. This finding does not dismiss the existence of the long-established knowledge on low HIV testing among racial and ethnic minorities; it only accentuates the importance of HIV communication between partners in improving HIV testing [34–36]. In our sample, higher proportions of young adults who identify as White reported less communication about HIV than those who identify as Black or Hispanic, thus explaining why White young adults endorsed lower HIV testing. This significant finding shows that HIV scientists and policymakers could scale up HIV testing among key populations irrespective of the racial or ethnic identity of the target community if we channel resources into HIV communication strategies, especially between partners among young adults in the country.

Another unconventional finding in our study is that young adults who reported lower household incomes were more likely to get tested for HIV than individuals who lived in households with higher incomes. Scholars who examine social vulnerabilities rank lower income, together with racial minority status as factors associated with lower engagement with care [33–40]. Studies about HIV have found that lower income factors impede access to HIV services, including HIV testing [33–40]. Similar to our findings on racial disparities in HIV testing, HIV communication may explain why young adults with lower income in our sample were positively correlated with HIV testing compared to higher incomes. We had a higher representation of YYA who had engaged in HIV communication among lower-income groups compared to higher-income groups, this reechoing our call on focusing on

increasing HIV communication among young adults since it has the potential to increase HIV testing and linkage to care [36]. Indeed, partner communication has been a critical determinant of HIV testing among young adults [36]. Not surprisingly, we identified higher HIV testing among young females than male participants, which corresponds with findings from previous studies. We surmise this may be due to females generally choosing to use health care services more than males due to reproductive health concerns and a higher likelihood of visiting providers than males [36].

5. Limitations

There were several limitations to this study. First, the data were cross-sectional, so we cannot account for longitudinal effects over time or temporal ordering. Second, our results may not be generalizable to the greater community of YYA of diverse geographic and genetic backgrounds. However, generalizability may be increased due to using a nationally representative sample. Additionally, it is important to note that this study overrepresented heterosexual YYA compared to MSM. Whereas we make some analysis to show the differences between our sample based on sexual orientation, we recognize that the sample of MSM remains small in the population, hence, limiting our ability apply our findings to the general MSM community. Our findings, however, could augment others to provide a broader understanding on HIV stigma, testing and community among YYA and among YYA who are MSM. Lastly, our study did not include anyone who self-identified as HIV positive in our results, which may impact our findings.

6. Future Research

Future research should investigate what types of discussions are happening between youths and their friends, sexual partners, and families. In gaining this understanding, we can learn if the same or different type of conversation is happening among these different types of networks, and what information is being provided about HIV in these different contexts. This will allow researchers and practitioners to target the information and find ways to correct it and is critical because sexual health communication can play an essential role in reducing HIV-related disparities and stigma, which is central to the HIV epidemic. Having sexual health communication between families, friends, and sexual partners can increase their comfort with talking about HIV and removing any fears about the disease.

7. Conclusions

This paper examined the role of sexual health communication about HIV stigma and HIV testing. We found that different types of communication about HIV can be complex but can reduce stigma and increase HIV testing among the most vulnerable populations. This is significant because improving communication about HIV among youths, their partners, and family can potentially reduce HIV stigma and increase HIV testing significantly. More research is needed on different types of communication about sex and HIV and how they influence intersectional stigma. Sexual health communication is a promising strategy to mitigate HIV risk and increase HIV testing in ethnic/racial YYA.

Author Contributions: G.M.A., D.T.B., M.D.R.S., S.R.R., M.T., J.L.B., C.J. and C.R.Q. contributed to the study conceptualization and writing of the manuscript (Introduction, Literature Review, Discussion, and Conclusion). G.M.A., D.T.B., M.D.R.S. and J.L.A. contributed to the study conceptualization, data analyses and writing of the manuscript (Materials and Methods, and Results). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable (This is a secondary data analysis study).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data is not available to the public without the consent of the PI.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Stojanovski, K.; Naja-Riese, G.; King, E.J.; Fuchs, J.D. A Systematic Review of the Social Network Strategy to Optimize HIV Testing in Key Populations to End the Epidemic in the United States. *AIDS Behav.* **2021**, *25*, 2680–2698. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Center for Disease Control. CDC. Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5514a1.htm> (accessed on 22 September 2006).
3. Higginbotham, S.; Holmes, R.; Stone, H.; Beil, J.; Datu, G.B.; Costa, S.; Paul, S. Adoption of protective behaviors among persons with recent HIV infection and diagnosis—Alabama, New Jersey, and Tennessee, 1997–1998. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **2020**, *49*, 512–515.
4. Center for Disease Control. CDC. Sexually Transmitted Infections Treatment Guidelines, 2021 CDC 2021b. HIV and Youth. 2021. Available online: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/group/age/youth/cdc-hiv-youth.pdf> (accessed on 30 November 2021).
5. Van Handel, M.; Kann, L.; Olsen, E.O.; Dietz, P. HIV Testing among US High School Students and Young Adults. *Pediatrics* **2016**, *137*, e20152700. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Inungu, J. HIV Testing among Adolescents and Youth in the United States: Update from the 2009 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. *Open AIDS J.* **2011**, *5*, 80–85. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Boyd, D.; Lea III, C.H.; Gilbert, K.L.; Butler-Barnes, S.T. Sexual health conversations: Predicting the odds of HIV testing among Black Youth and young adults. *Child. Youth Serv. Rev.* **2018**, *90*, 134–140. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Garipey, A.M.; Hieftje, K.; Pendergrass, T.; Miller, E.; Dziura, J.D.; Fiellin, L.E. Development and Feasibility Testing of a Videogame Intervention to Reduce High-Risk Sexual Behavior in Black and Hispanic Adolescents. *Games Health J.* **2018**, *7*, 393–400. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Liu, G.; Hariri, S.; Bradley, H.; Gottlieb, S.L.; Leichter, J.S.; Markowitz, L.E. Trends and Patterns of Sexual Behaviors Among Adolescents and Adults Aged 14 to 59 Years, United States. *Sex Transm Dis* **2015**, *42*, 20–26. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Boyd, D.T.; Waller, B.; Quinn, C.R. Reimagining an AIDS free generation: Examining youth and young adults’ personal agency and its association with HIV testing. *Prev. Med. Rep.* **2021**, *22*, 101335. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Crooks, N.; Wise, A.; Frazier, T. Addressing sexually transmitted infections in the sociocultural context of black heterosexual relationships in the United States. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2020**, *263*, 113303. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Boyer, C.B.; Greenberg, L.; Korelitz, J.; Harper, G.W.; Stewart-Campbell, R.; Straub, D.; Adolescent Medicine Trials Network for HIV/AIDS Interventions. Sexual partner characteristics, relationship type, and HIV risk among a community venue-based sample of urban adolescent and young adult men who have sex with men. *Youth Soc.* **2019**, *51*, 219–246. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Fields, E.L.; Bogart, L.M.; Smith, K.C.; Malebranche, D.J.; Ellen, J.; Schuster, M.A. “I always felt I had to prove my manhood”: Homosexuality, masculinity, gender role strain, and HIV risk among young Black men who have sex with men. *Am. J. Public Health* **2015**, *105*, 122–131. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Threats, M.; Boyd, D.T.; Diaz, J.E.; Adebayo, O.W. Deterrents and motivators of HIV testing among young Black men who have sex with men in North Carolina. *AIDS Care* **2020**, *33*, 943–951. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Eaton, L.A.; Driffin, D.D.; Bauermeister, J.; Smith, H.; Conway-Washington, C. Minimal Awareness and Stalled Uptake of Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Among at Risk, HIV-Negative, Black Men Who Have Sex with Men. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* **2015**, *29*, 423–429. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Golub, S.A.; Gamarel, K.; Rendina, H.J.; Surace, A.; Lelutiu-Weinberger, C. From Efficacy to Effectiveness: Facilitators and Barriers to PrEP Acceptability and Motivations for Adherence Among MSM and Transgender Women in New York City. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* **2013**, *27*, 248–254. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Martinez, J.; Harper, G.; Carleton, R.A.; Hosek, S.; Bojan, K.; Clum, G. The Impact of Stigma on Medication Adherence Among HIV-Positive Adolescent and Young Adult Females and the Moderating Effects of Coping and Satisfaction with Health Care. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* **2012**, *26*, 108–115. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Abubakari, G.M.; Dada, D.; Nur, J.; Turner, D.; Otchere, A.; Tanis, L.; Ni, Z.; Mashoud, I.W.; Nyhan, K.; Nyblade, L.; et al. Intersectional stigma and its impact on HIV prevention and care among MSM and WSW in sub-Saharan African countries: A protocol for a scoping review. *BMJ Open* **2021**, *11*, e047280. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Dowshen, N.; Binns, H.J.; Garofalo, R. Experiences of HIV-Related Stigma Among Young Men Who Have Sex with Men. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* **2009**, *23*, 371–376. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Bago, J.-L.; Lompo, M.L. Exploring the linkage between exposure to mass media and HIV awareness among adolescents in Uganda. *Sex. Reprod. Health* **2019**, *21*, 1–8. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Threats, M.; Bond, K. HIV Information Acquisition and Use Among Young Black Men Who Have Sex With Men Who Use the Internet: Mixed Methods Study. *J. Med Internet Res.* **2021**, *23*, e22986. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Craddock, J.B.; Barman-Adhikari, A.; Combs, K.M.; Fulginiti, A.; Rice, E. Individual and Social Network Correlates of Sexual Health Communication Among Youth Experiencing Homelessness. *AIDS Behav.* **2019**, *24*, 222–232. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. McFarlane, M.; Brookmeyer, K.; Friedman, A.; Habel, M.; Kachur, R.; Hogben, M. GYT: Get yourself tested campaign awareness: Associations with sexually transmitted disease/HIV testing and communication behaviors among Youth. *Sex. Transm. Dis.* **2015**, *42*, 619. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Pierce, J.D.; Ylitalo, K.R.; Lanning, B.A.; Limbers, C.C. Sex Education and HIV Testing Among Young Men Who Have Sex With Men: Findings From the 2006–2010 and 2011–2015 National Survey of Family Growth. *J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.* **2018**, *79*, 179–185. [[CrossRef](#)]

25. Bouris, A.; Hill, B.J.; Fisher, K.; Erickson, G.; Schneider, J.A. Mother–Son Communication About Sex and Routine Human Immunodeficiency Virus Testing Among Younger Men of Color Who Have Sex With Men. *J. Adolesc. Health* **2015**, *57*, 515–522. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Davtyan, M.; Olshansky, E.F.; Brown, B.; Lakon, C. A grounded theory study of HIV-related stigma in US-based health care settings. *J. Assoc. Nurses AIDS Care* **2017**, *28*, 907–922. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Nabunya, P.; Byansi, W.; Bahar, O.S.; McKay, M.; Ssewamala, F.M.; Damulira, C. Factors Associated With HIV Disclosure and HIV-Related Stigma Among Adolescents Living With HIV in Southwestern Uganda. *Front. Psychiatry* **2020**, *11*, 772. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Storey, D.; Seifert-Ahanda, K.; Andaluz, A.; Tsoi, B.; Matsuki, J.M.; Cutler, B. What Is Health Communication and How Does It Affect the HIV/AIDS Continuum of Care? A Brief Primer and Case Study From New York City. *J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.* **2014**, *66*, S241–S249. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Barker, D.H.; Swenson, R.R.; Brown, L.K.; Stanton, B.F.; Venable, P.A.; Carey, M.P.; Valois, R.F.; DiClemente, R.J.; Salazar, L.F.; Romer, D. Blocking the Benefit of Group-Based HIV-Prevention Efforts during Adolescence: The Problem of HIV-Related Stigma. *AIDS Behav.* **2011**, *16*, 571–577. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Keene, L.; Boyd, D. Ending the Epidemic: Assessing Sexual Health Communication, Personal Agency, and HIV Stigma among Black and Latino Youth in the US. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 6319. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Bond, K.T.; Frye, V.; Cupid, M.; Lucy, D.; Koblin, B.A. HIV-Related Communication and Safe Sex Practices among Heterosexual Black Men: A Qualitative Report. *J. Black Sex. Relatsh.* **2018**, *4*, 1–23. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Meyer, I.H.; Russell, S.T.; Hammack, P.L.; Frost, D.M.; Wilson, B.D. Minority stress, distress, and suicide attempts in three cohorts of sexual minority adults: A US probability sample. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0246827. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Graham, L.F.; Braithwaite, K.; Spikes, P.; Stephens, C.F.; Edu, U.F. Exploring the Mental Health of Black Men Who Have Sex with Men. *Community Ment. Health J.* **2009**, *45*, 272–284. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Clarke, R.D.; Fernandez, S.B.; Hospital, M.; Morris, S.L.; Howard, M.; Wagner, E.F.; Wales, E. Getting Their Feet in the Door: Communication Cues to Action for HIV Testing and Condom Use Behaviors Among Hispanic/Latinx College Students. *J. Prim. Prev.* **2020**, *42*, 331–341. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Norris, A.L.; Nelson, K.M.; Carey, M.P. HIV testing intentions and behaviors among 14–17-year-old sexual minority males. *AIDS Care* **2019**, *31*, 1580–1584. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Talib, H.J.; Silver, E.J.; Coupey, S.M.; Bauman, L.J. The Influence of Individual, Partner, and Relationship Factors on HIV Testing in Adolescents. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* **2013**, *27*, 637–645. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Braden, T.B.; Westergaard, R.P. Engaging young, black men who have sex with men in HIV testing using social network strategies: Opportunities and challenges. *Futur. Virol.* **2015**, *10*, 63–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. MacKellar, D.A.; Valleroy, L.A.; Secura, G.M.; Behel, S.; Bingham, T.; Celentano, D.D.; Young Men’s Survey Study Group. Unrecognized HIV infection, risk behaviors, and perceptions of risk among young men who have sex with men: Opportunities for advancing HIV prevention in the third decade of HIV/AIDS. *J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.* **2005**, *38*, 603–614. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Colfax, G.N.; Buchbinder, S.P.; Cornelisse, P.G.A.; Vittinghoff, E.; Mayer, K.; Celum, C. Sexual risk behaviors and implications for secondary HIV transmission during and after HIV seroconversion. *Aids* **2002**, *16*, 1529–1535. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. Liu, Y.; Silenzio, V.M.B.; Nash, R.; Luther, P.; Bauermeister, J.; Vermund, S.H.; Zhang, C. Suboptimal Recent and Regular HIV Testing Among Black Men Who Have Sex With Men in the United States: Implications From a Meta-Analysis. *J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.* **2019**, *81*, 125–133. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]