

Fascia iliaca compartment block for analgesia in total hip replacement

A randomized controlled study protocol

Jiannan Song, MM^a, Yan Qiao, MM^b, Qi Zhou, MM^a, Xizhe Zhang, MM^{a,*}⁰

Abstract

Background: Pain management after the total joint arthroplasty is still challenging, but worthy of attention, because good pain management can improve the outcomes of patient. It is still controversial whether fascia iliaca compartment block (FICB) can effectively decrease the opioid consumption and pain after total hip replacement (THR) owing to the number of published investigations is small. The purpose of this present study is to assess the efficacy and safety of FICB for postoperative analgesia after THR.

Methods: This is a single center, placebo-controlled randomized trial which is performed in accordance with the SPIRIT Checklist for randomized studies. It was authorized via the Chifeng Municipal Hospital institutional review committee (H2020-19-8). 100 patients undergoing THR will be included in this study. Patients are randomly divided into 2 groups: FICB group or Non-FICB group, FICB with 5mgmL⁻¹ of epinephrine and 40 mL of ropivacaine 0.2%. Primary outcomes are pain score at different time point. Visual analog scale is used to assess the pain (10: the maximum possible pain and 0: absent pain). The secondary outcomes are the postoperative complications, length of hospital stay and total consumption of opioid. All the needed analyses are implemented through utilizing SPSS for Windows Version 15.0.

Results: Figure 1 will show the primary and secondary outcomes.

Conclusion: This trial can provide an evidence for the use of FICB for analgesia after THR.

Abbreviations: FICB = fascia iliaca compartment block, THR = total hip replacement.

Keywords: analgesia, fascia iliaca compartment block, opioid, protocol, total hip replacement

1. Introduction

Total hip replacements (THRs) are quite successful surgery for many end-stage hip diseases in terms of pain relief and functional recovery.^[1,2] And the demand for primary THR is projected to grow by 3.48 million procedures in the United States alone by 2030.^[3,4] However, THR are associated with severe periopera-

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Fund (2019-01-638)

Trial registration: This study protocol was registered in Research Registry (researchregistry5894).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

^{*} Correspondence: Xizhe Zhang, Department of Anesthesiology, Chifeng Municipal Hospital, Inner Mongolia, China, 024000 (e-mail: zhangxizhe1965@sina.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Song J, Qiao Y, Zhou Q, Zhang X. Fascia iliaca compartment block for analgesia in total hip replacement: A randomized controlled study protocol. Medicine 2020;99:37(e22158).

Received: 12 August 2020 / Accepted: 14 August 2020 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000022158 tive pain which prolongs length of hospitalization and increases the morbidity and medical cost.^[5,6] Adequate pain management is crucial for improving the satisfaction of patients and clinical outcomes. Numerous strategies have been implemented to reduce postoperative pain following THR including local infiltration analgesia, epidural analgesia, intravenous non-steroidal antiinflammatory agents and peripheral nerve block.^[7–9] Each method has its limitations and opioids are used as an alternative method to reduce pain. However, opioid can cause many side effects, such as gastrointestinal reactions, respiratory depression, retention of urine and constipation.^[10,11] Therefore, multimodal analgesia has been extensively used in perioperative period of THR.

Fascia iliaca compartment block (FICB) is a kind of anterior method to lumbar plexus. The hip joint is supplied via the branches of sciatic, obturator, and femoral nerves.^[12] They are all the part of lumbar plexus, which means that blocking lumbar plexus provides an elegant method for the postoperative analgesia after THR. FICB has no effect on muscle strength of quadriceps femoris and theoretically, it will not increase the risk of fall during rehabilitation. Several articles have suggested that FICB was related to the reduced consumption of opioid analgesics and the improvement of pain relief in patients with THR.^[13,14] However, others reported that there was no positive effects for postoperative pain management.

It is not clear that whether FICB is a kind of effective approach for the postoperative analgesia after THR. Therefore, we conduct this randomized controlled study protocol in order to evaluate

JS and YQ equally contributed to the study.

^a Department of Anesthesiology, ^b Department of neurology, Chifeng Municipal Hospital, Inner Mongolia, China.

the efficacy and safety of FICB for analgesia after THR. Our assumption is that FICB could reduce the consumption of opioid and pain score after operation in the early postoperative period.

2. Methods

This is a single center, placebo-controlled randomized trial which is performed in accordance with the SPIRIT Checklist for randomized studies. It was authorized via institutional review committee in the Chifeng Municipal Hospital (H2020–19-8) and then was registered in research registry (researchregistry5894). The orthopedic surgeon will explain the details of trial, afterward, patiently answer all the questions from patients. These patients are then presented with the written information of our trial. Each of patient received a written informed consent. Since all patients participated voluntarily, they could withdraw at any time during the trial.

2.1. Subjects

100 patients undergoing THR will be included in this study. In the random envelope, all participants will be assigned a random number via utilizing the random number table, and the result of allocation is hidden. Patients are randomly divided into 2 groups: FICB group (n=50) or Non-FICB group (n=50), FICB with 5mgmL⁻¹ of epinephrine and 40 mL of ropivacaine 0.2%. Physicians, statisticians, data collectors and evaluators are all blinded to the allocation.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria included patients aged between 55 and 70 years old, with ASA physical condition I-III, and receiving the total hip arthroplasty in our hospital. The exclusion criteria included refusal or inability to sign the informed consent, intolerance to any drug utilized in our study, BMI above 35 kg/m^2 , with the history of renal and hepatic dysfunction, with the clinical evidence of peripheral neuropathies, coagulation disorders, and opioid dependence.

2.3. Anesthesia

Patients are given intravenously 0.02mgkg⁻¹ of midazolam and 0.5mgkg-¹ of fentanyl. All patients will undergo the spinal anesthesia in lateral position. The level and midline of the intervertebral spaces of L3–4 and L4–5 are determined, afterward, spinal anesthesia is performed by injecting 10mg 0.5% bupivacaine hyperbaric solution with the 25-gauge Quincke needle. Patients are placed promptly in supine position. After the addition of 18 intravenous cannula to the ankle, all the patients receive THR in an independent lateral position via a hip surgeon with fifteen years of experience. The patient is then transferred into a separate ward. After the standard monitoring, the patients in group FICB are performed with ultrasound; Afterward, both 2 groups of patients start the patient-controlled intravenous analgesia.

2.4. Block techniques

In supine position, the chlorhexidine and betadine are utilized to disinfect inguinal crease area. A 5 MHz-12 MHz linear probe is placed parallel to inguinal ligament at inguinal crease, and the

fascia iliaca, fascia lata, femoral artery, femoral nerve as well as iliacus muscle are found. After the probe is rotated 90 to 135 degrees counterclockwise, we makes this probe parallel to vertebral axis. From this view, a 22G Tuohy needle, connected to a venous extension tube between syringe and the needle, is inserted in the plane and then pushed toward the iliacusmuscle and fascia iliaca. After we confirm that the needle passed through the fascia iliaca, we inject the local anesthetic prepared in advance. After the injection of normal saline, we utilize the hydrodissection technique under the guidance of real-time ultrasound. In hydrodissection technique, a small amount of the local anesthetic (1-2 mL) is injected, and then the needle is extended to proximal side for proximal local anesthetic diffusion. Through this hydrodissection process, the needle passes through the proximal end, goes deep into the fascia iliaca, and enters iliac fossa, and only enters space created via the fluid collection. Prior to the entire needle is inserted into skin, this hydrodissection technique need to repeat. And the total volume is $5 \text{mgmL}^{-1} 0.2\%$ epinephrine and 40 mL of ropivacaine.

2.5. Outcomes

Primary outcomes are pain score at different time point. Visual analog scale is used to assess the pain (10: the maximum possible pain and 0: absent pain).^[15] The secondary outcomes are the postoperative complications, length of hospital stay and total consumption of opioid.

2.6. Statistical analysis

All the needed analyses are implemented through utilizing SPSS for Windows Version 15.0. All the data are represented with proper characteristics as median, mean, percentage as well as standard deviation. Independent samples *t*-test or the Mann-Whitney *U* test is utilized for the comparison between groups. Chi-square detection is utilized to compare the categorical variables among the groups. A P < .05 is regarded the significant in statistics.

3. Results

Figure 1 will show the primary and secondary outcomes.

4. Discussion

Pain management after the total joint arthroplasty is still challenging, but worthy of attention, because good pain management can improve the outcomes of patient.^[16] About 6 to 10 percent of the patients may experience moderate to severe pain lasting at least 3 months after the operation, which is considered as the chronic postoperative pain.^[17] Different institutions have different postoperative treatment protocols, which may lead to different techniques used and patterns, and affecting long-term results and success. The lack of a definitive "gold standard" and various postoperative pain treat programs indicate that there is considerable room for improving the standard of care. The techniques of regional anesthesia can decrease postoperative pain scores and the consumption of opioid, so as to improve patient satisfaction and better treatment outcomes.^[18,19] It is still controversial whether FICB can effectively decrease the opioid consumption and pain after THR owing to the number of published investigations is small.

Variables	FICB group (n=50)	Non-FICB group (n=50)	P value
VAS (0-10)			
Preblock			
Postblock 30 min			
Postblock 60 min			
Postblock 24 h			
Morphine consumption (mg)			
Postblock 30 min			
Postblock 60 min			
Postblock 2 h			
Postblock 24 h			
Length of hospitalization (d)			
Postoperative complications (n)			

Figure 1. Primary and secondary outcomes between FICB group and non-FICB group. FICB = fascia iliaca compartment block.

We conduct this protocol to evaluate the safety and efficacy of FICB in postoperative analgesia after THR.

5. Conclusion

This trial can provide an evidence for the use of FICB for analgesia after THR.

Author contributions

Xizhe Zhang design the protocol. Qi Zhou review and edit the study protocol. Yan Qiao perform conduction of experiments. Jiannan Song finish the manuscript.

Investigation: Yan Qiao.

Methodology: Yan Qiao.

Writing – original draft: Jiannan Song.

Writing - review & editing: Qi Zhou.

References

- [1] Berninger MT, Hungerer S, Friederichs J, et al. Primary total hip arthroplasty in severe dysplastic hip osteoarthritis with a far proximal cup position. J Arthroplasty 2019;34:920–5.
- [2] Lindberg-Larsen M, Petersen PB, Jorgensen CC, et al. Lundbeck Foundation Center for Fast-track H, Knee Arthroplasty Collaborating G: Postoperative 30-day complications after cemented/hybrid versus cementless total hip arthroplasty in osteoarthritis patients > 70 years. Acta orthopaedica 2020;91:286–92.
- [3] Kloppenburg M, Berenbaum F. Osteoarthritis year in review 2019: epidemiology and therapy. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2020;28:242-8.
- [4] Kurtz S, Ong K, Lau E, et al. Projections of primary and revision hip and knee 'arthroplasty in the United States from 2005 to 2030. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2007;89:780–5.
- [5] Lespasio MJ, Guarino AJ, Sodhi N, et al. Pain management associated with total joint arthroplasty: a primer. Perm J 2019;23:
- [6] Young AC, Buvanendran A. Pain management for total hip arthroplasty. J Surg Orthop Adv 2014;23:13–21.

- [7] Kuchalik J, Magnuson A, Lundin A, et al. Local infiltration analgesia: a 2-year follow-up of patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty. J Anesth 2017;31:837–45.
- [8] Fleischman AN, Rothman RH, Parvizi J. Femoral nerve palsy following total hip arthroplasty: incidence and course of recovery. J Arthroplasty 2018;33:1194–9.
- [9] Yan H, Cang J, Xue Z, et al. Comparison of local infiltration and epidural analgesia for postoperative pain control in total knee arthroplasty and total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Bosn J Basic Med Sci 2016;16:239–46.
- [10] de Boer HD, Detriche O, Forget P. Opioid-related side effects: Postoperative ileus, urinary retention, nausea and vomiting, and shivering. A review of the literature. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2017;31:499–504.
- [11] Mercadante S. Opioid analgesics adverse effects: the other side of the coin. Curr Pharm Des 2019;25:3197–202.
- [12] Yun MJ, Kim YH, Han MK, et al. Do SH: analgesia before a spinal block for femoral neck fracture: fascia iliaca compartment block. Acta anaesthesiologica Scandinavica 2009;53:1282–7.
- [13] Stevens M, Harrison G, McGrail M. A modified fascia iliaca compartment block has significant morphine-sparing effect after total hip arthroplasty. Anaesth Intensive Care 2007;35:949–52.
- [14] Gao Y, Tan H, Sun R, et al. Fascia iliaca compartment block reduces pain and opioid consumption after total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg 2019;65:70–9.
- [15] de Nies F, Fidler MW. Visual analog scale for the assessment of total hip arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 1997;12:416–9.
- [16] Gaffney CJ, Pelt CE, Gililland JM, et al. Perioperative pain management in hip and knee arthroplasty. Orthop Clin North Am 2017;48: 407–19.
- [17] Shah R, Kuo YF, Westra J, et al. Opioid use and pain control after total hip and knee arthroplasty in the US, 2014 to 2017. JAMA network open 2020;3:e2011972.
- [18] Jacob AK, Mantilla CB, Sviggum HP, et al. Perioperative nerve injury after total hip arthroplasty: regional anesthesia risk during a 20-year cohort study. Anesthesiology 2011;115:1172–8.
- [19] Helwani MA, Avidan MS, Ben Abdallah A, et al. Effects of regional versus general anesthesia on outcomes after total hip arthroplasty: a retrospective propensity-matched cohort study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2015;97:186–93.