

from the commensal microbiota appears to easily cross the epithelia to reach the murine thymus [11], future studies should assess how MAIT cell functions are regulated at steady state based on commensal microbiota composition, or during epithelium damage in the course of pathogenic infections, in relation to MAIT ligand availability and cytokine milieu (Figure 1). Given the abundance of MAIT cells in humans, another exciting avenue for future studies will be to determine whether the potential new functions of MAIT cells in wound healing can be harnessed for therapeutic purposes.

## Acknowledgments

We thank F. Legoux for reviewing the manuscript. This work was funded by ANR (Labex DCbiol, MAIT, DiabMAIT), Inserm, and Institut Curie.

<sup>1</sup>INSERM U932, PSL University, Institut Curie, Paris 75005, France

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire d'Immunologie Clinique, Institut Curie, Paris 75005, France

<sup>3</sup>Centre d'Investigation Clinique en Biothérapie Gustave-Roussy Institut Curie (CIC-BT1428), Paris 75005, France

\*Correspondence: olivier.lantz@curie.fr

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2019.09.001

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### References

- Franciszkiewicz, K. et al. (2016) MHC class lrelated molecule, MR1, and mucosalassociated invariant T cells. *Immunol. Rev.* 272, 120–138
- Salou, M. et al. (2019) A common transcriptomic program acquired in the thymus defines tissue residency of MAIT and NKT subsets. J. Exp. Med. 216, 133–151
- Salou, M. et al. (2017) MAIT cells in infectious diseases. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 48, 7–14
   Wilgenburg, B.V. et al. (2018) MAIT cells
- Wilgenburg, B.V. et al. (2018) MATL cells contribute to protection against lethal influenza infection in vivo. Nat. Commun. 9, 4706
- 5. Hinks, T.S.C. et al. (2019) Activation and in vivo evolution of the MAIT cell transcriptome in mice and humans reveals tissue repair functionality. *Cell Rep.* 28, 3249–3262
- 6. Lamichhane, R. et al. (2019) TCR- or cytokineactivated CD8(+) mucosal-associated invariant T cells are rapid polyfunctional

effectors that can coordinate immune responses. *Cell Rep.* 28, 3061–3076

- 7. Leng, T. et al. (2019) TCR and inflammatory signals tune human MAIT cells to exert specific tissue repair and effector functions. *Cell Rep.* 28, 3077–3091
- Linehan, J.L. et al. (2018) Non-classical immunity controls microbiota impact on skin immunity and tissue repair. Cell 172, 784–796
- Wang, H. et al. (2018) MAIT cells protect against pulmonary Legionella longbeachae infection. Nat. Commun. 9, 3350
- Dusseaux, M. et al. (2011) Human MAIT cells are xenobiotic resistant, tissue-targeted, CD161hi IL-17 secreting T cells. Blood 117, 1250–1259
- Legoux, F. et al. (2019) Microbial metabolites control the thymic development of mucosalassociated invariant T cells. *Science*. Published online 29 August 2019 https://doi. org/10.1126/science.aaw2719

## Forum

# Not All T Cell Synapses Are Built the Same Way

Sudha Kumari,<sup>1,\*</sup> Huw Colin-York,<sup>2</sup> Darrell J. Irvine,<sup>1</sup> and Marco Fritzsche<sup>2,3,\*</sup>

T cells comprise functionally diverse subtypes. Although activated via a conserved scheme of antigen recognition by their T cell receptor, they elicit heterogeneous activation and effector responses. Such functional diversity has been appreciated in gene expression studies, functional assays, and disease models. Yet, our understanding of the principles underlying T cell subtype-specific activation and antigen recognition in the immunological synapse remains limited. This is primarily due to difficulties in primary T cell visualization at high spatiotemporal resolution and the adoption of tractable transformed T cell systems for cell biological experiments that may not correctly represent primary T cell constitutional diversity. Here, we discuss recent findings regarding the architectural and dynamic diversity of the immunological synapse and stateof-the-art methodologies that can be utilized to provide clues on how biological and biophysical differences in synaptic make-up could govern functional divergences in T cell subtypes.

An event common to all T cell subtypes is that their T cell receptor (TCR) recognizes a cognate peptide (p)MHC, leading to the establishment of a specialized cell-cell conjugate interface, termed the immunological synapse (IS), during an immune response [1]. In the limited T cell types studied to date, the dynamics and architecture of the IS are major determinants of antigen recognition and signaling; yet, the cellular principles that contribute to diverse IS patterns observed in distinct T cell subtypes remain largely unknown. However, this knowledge is required to understand how T cell subtypes developed functional specialization and to identify conserved principles of T cell activation that might be targeted to ideally enhance immune function.

While the underlying mechanistic details are unclear, evidence for distinctions in synaptic architecture and dynamics in T cell subtypes are compelling; both the nature of the antigen-presenting cell (APC), the surrounding microenvironment, and the T cell subtype are known to be important determinants of synaptic organization. Originally, a 'bull's eye' IS pattern was described in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells using supported lipid bilayers or upon interaction with B cells [1,2]: central accumulation of the TCR was encircled by a ring of adhesion molecules forming the central super-activating molecular cluster and peripheral super-activating molecular cluster, respectively. A variation on the classical IS was observed when CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were activated using dendritic cells, resulting in a multifocal synapse [3]. These studies indicated that T cell subtypes exhibited diverse IS





#### Figure 1. Schematics Illustrating Immunological Synapse Divergence in CD4<sup>+</sup> T Cells.

(A) Distinct synaptic pattern of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell–B cell (bull's eye) versus T cell–DC (multifocal) synapse.
(B) Evolution of T cell–SLB synapse of a typical primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell or Jurkat CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell line over time. The mature form of the synapse in primary T cell exhibits a prominent lamellum, foci, a ramified actin network, an ill-defined lamellipodium, and indistinct actin arcs. By contrast, Jurkat T cell synapses show a well-defined lamellum, lamellipodium, actin arcs and a ramified actin network [10]. Abbreviations: DC, dendritic cell; dSMAC, distal super-activating molecular cluster; pSMAC, peripheral super-activating molecular cluster; TCR, T cell receptor.

organization when recognizing different activation contexts (Figure 1A). Conversely, T cell subtypes can also form IS structures of remarkably different spatial and dynamic characteristics when using identical APCs. For instance, while CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells can form a sedentary stable IS, CD8<sup>+</sup> cytotoxic T cells (CTLs) can form a motile meta-stable IS, and regulatory T cells (Treg) appear to form the most stable IS of the three cellular subtypes when activated on reconstituted lipid bilayers, despite the fact that they all form bull's eye IS structures under these experimental conditions [4,5].

From a biophysical standpoint, the stability of the IS is accompanied and influenced by mechanical forces [6]; these are a physical consequence of spatial rearrangements at the IS. Indeed, the distribution, strength, and evolution of these forces likely depend on the architecture and dynamics of the IS structure in T cell subtypes [7]. Research over the past decade supports a major role for mechanical forces in T cell activation involving TCR-pMHC interactions, receptor triggering, signal initiation, and IS formation [8]. These processes often rely on mechanisms of mechanical feedback allowing T cells to scale and adjust their function to the activation context and microenvironment. Differences in the interaction of T cell subtypes with APCs are likely to involve the actin cytoskeleton; a primary determinant of the biophysics and mechanics of the IS. We speculate that the actin cytoskeleton can mediate a force balance across the synaptic interface to control the dimensions and lifetimes of various subsynaptic zones; these in turn, may alter different steps of T cell activation [8,9].

Currently, we only have a limited understanding of how cytoskeletal actin organization influences distinct synaptic patterning at the IS. This is largely due to limited transfection/expression of genetic reporters of actin architecture and dynamics in primary lymphocytes, the small size of synapses, and the active cellular interface that precludes visualization at high spatiotemporal resolution. To overcome some of these limitations, Jurkat T cells have been often adopted as a cellular model of choice. Not surprisingly, even under identical activation and ligand density conditions, Jurkat T cells and primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells have revealed distinct synaptic patterns [10]. For example, the filamentous actin (F-actin) architecture and dynamics of Jurkat T cells have been found to differ considerably from their primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell counterparts (Figure 1B). Specifically, primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells have shown four distinct actin organization patterns at the IS; the



prominent lamellum, the actin foci [11], the ramified actin network [12,13], and the somewhat indistinct lamellipodium. By contrast, Jurkat T cells have shown a prominent lamellipodium, a ramified actin network, and a smaller lamellum, where actin foci are practically absent [10]. In addition, actin arcs, a prominent feature of the Jurkat and  $CD8^+$  T cell F-actin network [14], appear to be far less conspicuous in primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [10]. Hence, while these architectural differences suggest that actin network dynamics, mechanics, and rheology are likely to be different in these cells, they might also influence how T cells employ mechanical feedback during antigen recognition [9]. These differences also highlight the fact that care should be practiced in generalizing the cellular mechanisms underlying the diversity of IS patterns and motility behaviors for specific T cell subtypes and across species.

To gain a deeper understanding into how individual T cell synapses are assembled, the biomedical community should aim to further strengthen its interdisciplinary efforts integrating the latest technological developments: new advances in genetic tools, computational methods, and super-resolution microscopy techniques are beginning to enable the interrogation of diverse primary T cell subtype synapses from the bottom-up, interlinking findings from the molecular, cellular, and immune system levels [15]. Visualization and quantification of cytoskeletal actin dynamics underlying IS patterns and their kinetic progression has evolved to a remarkable degree in terms of spatiotemporal resolution. Minimally invasive and fast acquisition imaging, such as by lattice light sheet microscopy, live-cell super-resolution microscopy, and force probing techniques, is currently transforming how we observe cellular and protein arrangements during T cell APC interactions.

In our view, the systematic application of the latest microscopy and mechanobiology technologies can provide a powerful toolbox to unravel the complex principles underlying the organizational and functional diversity of T cell subtypes; it is evident that T cell subtypes can employ different ways of building an IS. Future research should focus on how this diversity is achieved and which biochemical and mechanical characteristics dictate it. Furthermore, work is needed to understand how such functional specialization benefits T cells and whether the macro-scale architecture of the synapse can influence the direct cytoskeletal and/or mechanical feedback applied to membrane receptors; this may alter their dwell time, affinity thresholds, or a combination of the two [15]. Additionally, synaptic organization could also impact the lifetime of the IS, the latter being crucial for T cell effector functions such as delivery of cytotoxic granules in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells or inhibition of APCs by Treg cells. Studying actin cytoskeletal organization and dynamics in different primary T cells populations will be a good starting point to pursue answers for some of these questions and help unravel ways in which the cytoskeleton has adapted to serve subtype-specific synaptic functions. Studies over the years have established actin dynamics as a crucial mediator of TCR activation and mechanical forces at the IS. Thus, recent advances in microscopy can enable a better assessment of the nature and localization of mechanical forces exerted at divergent synapses in fine detail, at the receptor level or intracellularly, suggesting whether tensile, compressive, protrusive, or frictional forces are dominant. Furthermore, although pending further validation, preliminary data suggest

that certain immunodeficiency diseases (such as Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome and Dedicator of cytokinesis 8 deficiency) have been mapped to the lesions in actin regulatory proteins [16]. Understanding the cytoskeleton and associated mechanical forces in T cells in the context of these diseases should help bridge our knowledge of the molecules that execute divergent T cell synaptic patterns; such patterns could be potentially relevant in the physiopathology of such diseases.

<sup>1</sup>Koch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

<sup>2</sup>MRC Human Immunology Unit and Wolfson Imaging Centre Oxford, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 9DS, UK

<sup>3</sup>Kennedy Institute for Rheumatology, University of Oxford, OX3 7LF Oxford, UK

\*Correspondence: Kumars04@mit.edu, marco.fritzsche@rdm.ox.ac.uk

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2019.09.009

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/).

### References

- Grakoui, A. et al. (1999) The immunological synapse: a molecular machine controlling T cell activation. Science 285, 221–227
- Monks, C.R. et al. (1998) Three-dimensional segregation of supramolecular activation clusters in T cells. *Nature* 395, 82–86
- 3. Brossard, C. et al. (2005) Multifocal structure of the T cell - dendritic cell synapse. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 35, 1741–1753
- Zanin-Zhorov, A. et al. (2010) Protein kinase C-theta mediates negative feedback on regulatory T cell function. Science 328, 372–376
- 5. Zinselmeyer, B.H. *et al.* (2013) PD-1 promotes immune exhaustion by inducing antiviral T cell motility paralysis. *J. Exp. Med.* 210, 757–774
- 6. Discher, D.E. *et al.* (2005) Tissue cells feel and respond to the stiffness of their substrate. *Science* 310, 1139–1143
- Thauland, T.J. et al. (2017) Cytoskeletal adaptivity regulates T cell receptor signaling. Sci. Signal. 10, eaah3737
- 8. Comrie, W.A. and Burkhardt, J.K. (2016) Action and traction: cytoskeletal control of receptor triggering at

the immunological synapse. Front. Immunol. 7, 68

- 9. Colin-York, H. *et al.* (2019) Cytoskeletal control of antigen-dependent T cell activation. *Cell Rep* 26, 3369–3379
- Colin-York, H. et al. (2019) Distinct actin cytoskeleton behaviour in primary and immortalised T-cells. J. Cell Sci. 133, ics.232322.
- Kumari, S. et al. (2015) Actin foci facilitate activation of the phospholipase C-gamma in primary T lymphocytes via the WASP pathway. eLife 4, 04953
- Carisey, A.F. et al. (2018) Nanoscale dynamism of actin enables secretory function in cytolytic cells. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 489–502
- Fritzsche, M. et al. (2017) Cytoskeletal actin dynamics shape a ramifying actin network underpinning immunological synapse formation. Sci. Adv. 3, e1603032
- Murugesan, S. et al. (2016) Formingenerated actomyosin arcs propel T cell receptor microcluster movement at the immune synapse. J. Cell Biol. 215, 383–399
- Feng, Y. et al. (2018) Alphabeta T cell receptor mechanosensing forces out serial engagement. Trends Immunol 39, 596–609
- Moulding, D.A. et al. (2013) Actin cytoskeletal defects in immunodeficiency. *Immunol. Rev.* 256, 282–299

# Forum

# Modulating MUC1 Function on T Cells

Timothy K. Erick<sup>1,2</sup> and Pinku Mukherjee<sup>1,\*</sup>

Immunotherapy is a promising approach to treating cancer. Mucin1 (MUC1), an epithelial glycoprotein, is hypo-glycosylated and overexpressed on epithelial cancers. This renders it a promising target for potential immunotherapeutic approaches. However, MUC1 has also been identified on T cells, which might complicate its potential as a target for immunotherapies.

MUC1 is a transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on mammalian epithelial cells. It comprises an N-terminal subunit (MUC1-N) and a C-terminal subunit (MUC1-C). MUC1-N is extracellular, whereas MUC1-C contains an extracellular domain, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic tail. MUC1-N and MUC1-C are connected at the plasma membrane by stable noncovalent bonds [1]. MUC1-N contains the variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) domain, comprising 20-125 tandem repeats of a 20-amino-acid sequence, and is highly O-glycosylated (five potential O-glycosylation sites on serine and threonine residues per repeat). O-Glycosylation comprises mostly core 2 O-glycans and the total extent of O-glycosylation varies by tissue and number of tandem repeats. MUC1 also contains N-glycosylation sites at four asparagine residues along the VNTR and at one asparagine on the extracellular domain of MUC1-C [2].

Most epithelial cancers overexpress an aberrantly glycosylated form of MUC1 called tumor-associated MUC1 (tMUC1). tMUC1 expresses truncated, sialylated core 1 O-glycans due to altered glycosyltransferase activity. This exposes core peptides of the VNTR region. Due to its unique glycosylation signature and ubiquity in human cancers, tMUC1 has been targeted as a putative cancer-specific marker for diagnostic and immunotherapeutic approaches [2]. Nevertheless, studies over the past 20 years have revealed that MUC1 is also expressed on T cells. In this Forum, we discuss the relevance of MUC1 expression on human T cells and its potential role in modulating T cell functions. All immune cells discussed are human immune cells, unless otherwise noted.

The earliest evidence for MUC1 expression on T cells came from a study of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) that were treated *in vitro* with the mitogenic compound phytohemag-glutinin (PHA). Within 3 days, this treatment resulted in the expression of cell-surface MUC1 on T cells, as revealed

by flow cytometry [3]. A subsequent study used the cell proliferation dye carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) to show that MUC1 was specifically expressed on the surface of actively dividing T cells (Figure 1A). Moreover, treating PBMCs with drugs that inhibited mRNA and protein synthesis, or that blocked Golgi transport, prevented MUC1 expression [4].

In addition to *in vitro* activation, T cells isolated directly from the joint fluid of patients with rheumatoid arthritis or osteoarthritis expressed MUC1. By contrast, T cells from the peripheral blood of these patients did not express MUC1 [5]. Moreover, T cells incubated *in vitro* with dendritic cells (DCs) expressed MUC1 in an IL-7-dependent manner [6]. Microscopy experiments revealed that MUC1 expression was polarized on the opposite side of the activated T cell relative to the synapse between the T cell and the DC [6] (Figure 1B).

MUC1 is highly expressed on activated regulatory T cells (Tregs), which suggests that it is involved in regulating the functions of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [7]. In a relatively recent study, highly purified (>99%) PHA-stimulated T cells were treated with an activating anti-CD3 antibody, anti-MUC1 antibody, and a crosslinking antibody (Table 1). This treatment inhibited the proliferation of T cells. However, the addition of antigen-presenting cells (APCs) to the culture rescued T cell proliferation (Figure 1C) [7]. This result was supported by another study, in which treatment of PHA-stimulated T cells (from an enriched sample of roughly 60% T cells) with an activating anti-CD3 antibody, anti-MUC1 antibody, and a crosslinking antibody induced T cell proliferation to a greater degree than anti-CD3 antibody alone. Treatment with both antibodies primarily

