



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Commitment and innovation on the road to people-centred PHC financing



The *Lancet Global Health* Commission on financing primary health care (PHC)¹ recognises that adequately financing PHC will be crucial to meet the target of SDG 3—ie, to ensure healthy lives and promote wellbeing for all at all ages by 2030.² However, we still remain far off that goal, and the COVID-19 pandemic has set us back even further, badly disrupting many essential services that were not directly related to COVID-19, particularly in the realm of PHC such as mental health and maternal and child health.³ And with an uneven global economic recovery, many countries' health budgets will face a period of austerity.⁴

The Commission highlights that the way health care is financed, particularly PHC, is exclusionary and underfunded. It calls for countries to invest more and better in PHC. The most progressive way to fund PHC is by mobilising additional pooled public funding. This is because out-of-pocket payments in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) are the most significant barrier in access to health care yet they still remain the largest share of public financing in low-income and lower-middle-income countries at 41% and 42%, respectively.³ In most LMICs, it is argued that additional public funding can be mobilised through increasing general tax revenue that is then allocated to PHC. However, the weakness in tax revenue mobilisation in low-income countries means a reduced capacity to fund public spending compared to higher-income countries—the mean tax-GDP ratio in low-income countries is 12%, compared with nearly 30% in high-income countries.⁵ The additional tax could be generated by improving the collection of existing taxes, increasing the tax base, and expanding the number and types of taxes levied. The Commission recognises that LMICs face significant challenges collecting tax revenues, thus we need to introduce more progressive taxation such as increasing solidarity levies for financing PHC in LMICs, akin to the funding structure of UNITAID, which has raised US\$1.25 billion from hypothecating airline taxes to global health. Additionally, international cooperation is needed to tackle illicit financial flows, which, according to the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), amounts to \$88.6 billion every year in Africa alone.⁶

Another problem the Commission mentions in financing PHC is the inadequate, declining, and fragmented donor

funding. While LMICs can explore ways to increase their tax revenue to pool public resources together, donor funding for health is essential for low-income countries. Yet donor funding typically neglects the whole-of-society approach to health essential for PHC in favour of targeting specific disease programmes—in low-income countries an average of 65% of donor assistance is allocated to infectious and parasitic diseases and donor contributions tend to operate off-budget, undermining the ability for health ministries to plan and manage these resources alongside domestic funding to fund PHC.³ Nonetheless, bilateral and multilateral aid remains essential in low-income environments until at least 2030, and with improvements in PHC expenditure data, donors could increase funding for PHC through an improved global system of multilateral support that weans the donor community off vertically-supported programmes and towards routine services.

However, with aid and tax revenue not enough to meet the global health financing shortfall, there need to be alternatives to increase the overall envelope of borrowing in LMICs. Typically LMICs are reluctant to acquire more debt to invest in health care and other global public goods because the current form of deficit financing is more suitable for capital rather than recurrent expenditures. Therefore, to help LMICs finance PHC we ought to consider creating an innovative financing facility for health that is able to leverage guarantees from high-income countries, which, combined with grants, could raise significantly more additional resources for poorer countries than traditional forms of borrowing from multilateral development banks.⁷ The COVID-19 pandemic was a revelation in showing the importance of health funding in LMICs, so now this window of opportunity must be capitalised on by creating more innovative forms of borrowing for LMICs that can leverage more and provide the funding at an affordable rate.

Ultimately, to be able to take on more financing, LMICs will need to commit to a sectoral plan for PHC and the overall health-care sector while committing to reform and investment. The *Lancet Global Health* Commission recommends that countries adopt a blended payment model, adaptable to country context but with capitation at its centre, to prioritise reducing out-of-pocket payments.

Published Online

April 4, 2022

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(22\)00172-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00172-3)

See [The Lancet Global Health](#)

[Commissions](#) page e715

Governments should also commit to tackling the inefficiencies in health spending—the 2010 World Health Report stated that there were inefficiencies equivalent to 20–40% of health spending.⁸ Improvements in digital technologies offer hope in reducing the inefficiencies in health spending by providing more data. However, the most effective way to increase the health budget is through the government budget, and that requires the political will to start prioritising health budgets more, and new forms of innovative finance can encourage that political will by providing more resources at a concessionary rate.

We declare no competing interests.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.

*Gordon Brown, Arián Marti
info@gordonandsarahbrown.com

WHO Ambassador for Global Health Financing (GB) and Consultant (AM), Office of Gordon and Sarah Brown, London EC2A 2RS, UK

- 1 Hanson K, Briki N, Erlangga D, et al. The *Lancet Global Health* Commission on financing primary health care: putting people at the centre. *Lancet Glob Health* 2022; published online April 4. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(22\)00005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00005-5).
- 2 United Nations. SDG Goal 3. <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal3> (accessed March 22, 2022).
- 3 WHO. Global spending on health 2020: weathering the storm. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2020.
- 4 Kurowski C, Evans DB, Tandon A, et al. From double shock to double recovery: implications and options for health financing in the time of COVID-19. Health, Nutrition and Population Discussion Paper. Washington, DC: World Bank, 2021.
- 5 International Monetary Fund. World Revenue Longitudinal Data (WoRLD). Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund, 2021.
- 6 UNCTAD. Tackling illicit financial flows for sustainable development in Africa. New York: United Nations Publications, 2020.
- 7 The Education Commission. The International Finance Facility for Education (IFFEd). New York: The Education Commission, 2021.
- 8 WHO. The world health report: health systems financing: the path to universal coverage. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2010.